¹**A Computational Approach for the Identification of Novel L1 Transcriptional**

²**Regulators**

- 3 Juan I. Bravo^{1,2}, Chanelle R. Mizrahi^{1,3}, Seungsoo Kim⁴, Lucia Zhang^{1,5}, Yousin Suh^{4,6}, Bérénice
- 4 A. Benayoun^{1,7,8,9,10}
- ¹ Leonard Davis School of Gerontology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA
- ⁶90089, USA.
- ² Graduate program in the Biology of Aging, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA
- 8 90089, USA.
- ³ USC Gerontology Enriching MSTEM to Enhance Diversity in Aging Program, University of
- 10 Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA.
- 11 ⁴ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New
- 12 York, NY 10032, USA.
- 13 ⁵ Quantitative and Computational Biology Department, USC Dornsife College of Letters, Arts
- 14 and Sciences, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA.
- 15 ⁶ Department of Genetics and Development, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New
- 16 York, NY 10032, USA.
- 17 ⁷ Molecular and Computational Biology Department, USC Dornsife College of Letters, Arts and
- 18 Sciences, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA.
- 19 ⁸ Biochemistry and Molecular Medicine Department, USC Keck School of Medicine, Los 20 Angeles, CA 90089, USA.
- ⁹ USC Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, Epigenetics and Gene Regulation, Los Angeles,
- 22 CA 90089, USA.
- 23 ¹⁰ USC Stem Cell Initiative, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA.

²⁵**KEYWORDS:** LINE1, transposons, regulators, eQTLs, screen, aging, GWAS

²⁶**ABSTRACT**

²⁷Long interspersed element 1 (L1) are a family of autonomous, actively mobile 28 transposons that occupy $~17\%$ of the human genome. The pleiotropic effects L1 29 induces in host cells—promoting genome instability, inflammation, or cellular 30 senescence—are established, and L1's associations with aging and aging diseases are 31 widely recognized. However, because of the cell type-specific nature of transposon 32 control, the catalogue of L1 regulators remains incomplete.

³³Here, we employ an eQTL approach leveraging transcriptomic and genomic data from 34 the GEUVADIS and 1000Genomes projects to computationally identify new candidate 35 regulators of L1 expression in lymphoblastoid cell lines. To cement the role of candidate ³⁶genes in L1 regulation, we experimentally modulate the levels of top candidates *in vitro*, ³⁷including *IL16, STARD5, HSDB17B12,* and *RNF5*, and assess changes in TE family 38 expression by Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA). Remarkably, we observe subtle ³⁹but widespread upregulation of TE family expression following *IL16* and *STARD5* 40 overexpression. Moreover, a short-term 24 hour exposure to recombinant human IL16 ⁴¹was sufficient to transiently induce subtle but widespread upregulation of *L1* 42 subfamilies. Finally, we find that many L1 expression-associated genetic variants are ⁴³co-associated with aging traits across genome-wide association study databases.

⁴⁴Our results expand the catalogue of genes implicated in L1 transcriptional control and 45 further suggest that L1 contributes to aging processes. Given the ever-increasing ⁴⁶availability of paired genomic and transcriptomic data, we anticipate this new approach 47 to be a starting point for more comprehensive computational scans for transposon 48 transcriptional regulators.

 2.22×10^{-2} and 2.22×10^{-2} and 2.22×10^{-2} and 2.22×10^{-2} and 2.22×10^{-2}

⁴⁹**BACKGROUND**

50 Transposable elements (TEs) constitute ~45% of the human genome [1]. Among 51 these, the long interspersed element-1 (LINE-1 or L1) family of transposons is the most 52 abundant, accounting for $~16-17\%$ [1, 2], and remains autonomously mobile, with 53 humans harboring an estimated 80-100 retrotransposition-competent L1 copies [3]. 54 These retrotransposition competent L1s belong to evolutionarily younger L1PA and ⁵⁵L1Hs subfamilies, are ~6 kilobases long, carry an internal promoter in their 5'- 56 untranslated region (UTR), and encode two proteins — L1ORF1p and L1ORF2p — that 57 are necessary for transposition [4]. The remaining ~500,000 copies are non-58 autonomous or immobile because of the presence of inactivating mutations or 59 truncations [1] and include L1 subfamilies of all evolutionary ages, including the 60 evolutionarily older L1P and L1M subfamilies. Though not all copies are transposition 61 competent, L1s can nevertheless contribute to aspects of aging [5, 6] and aging-62 associated diseases [7-10].

63 Though mechanistic studies characterizing the role of L1 in aging and aging-64 conditions are limited, it is clear that its effects are pleiotropic. L1 can contribute to 65 genome instability via insertional mutagenesis and an expansion of copy number with ⁶⁶age [11] and during senescence [12]. L1 can also play a contributing role in shaping 67 inflammatory and cellular senescence phenotypes. The secretion of a panoply of pro-68 inflammatory factors is a marker of senescent cells, called the senescence associated 69 secretory phenotype (SASP) [13]. Importantly, the SASP is believed to stimulate the 70 innate immune system and contribute to chronic, low-grade, sterile inflammation with 71 age, a phenomenon referred to as "inflamm-aging" [13, 14]. During deep senescence,

⁷²L1 are transcriptionally de-repressed and consequently generate cytosolic DNA that 73 initiates an immune response consisting of the production and secretion of pro-74 inflammatory interferons [15]. Finally, L1 is causally implicated in aging-associated 75 diseases like cancer. L1 may contribute to cancer by (i) serving as a source for 76 chromosomal rearrangements that can delete tumor-suppressor genes [16] or (ii) 77 introducing its promoter into normally-silenced oncogenes [17]. Thus, because of the 78 pathological effects L1 can have on hosts, it is critical that hosts maintain precise control 79 over L1 activity.

80 Eukaryotic hosts have evolved several pre- and post-transcriptional mechanisms 81 for regulating TEs [18, 19]. Nevertheless, our knowledge of regulatory genes remains 82 incomplete because of cell type-specific regulation and the complexity of methods 83 required to identify regulators. Indeed, one clustered regularly interspaced short 84 palindromic repeats (CRISPR) screen in two cancer cell lines for regulators of L1 85 transposition identified >150 genes involved in diverse biological functions, such as 86 chromatin regulation, DNA replication, and DNA repair [20]. However, only about ~36% 87 of the genes identified in the primary screen exerted the same effects in both cell lines 88 [20], highlighting the cell type-specific nature of L1 control. Moreover, given the ⁸⁹complexities of *in vitro* screens, especially in non-standard cell lines or primary cells, *in* ⁹⁰*silico* screens for L1 regulators may facilitate the task of identifying and cataloguing 91 candidate regulators across cell and tissue types. One such attempt was made by 92 generating gene-TE co-expression networks from RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) data 93 generated from multiple tissue types of cancerous origin [21]. Although co-expression 94 modules with known TE regulatory functions, such as interferon signaling, were

95 correlated with TE modules, it is unclear whether other modules may harbor as of now 96 uncharacterized TE-regulating properties, since no validation experiments were carried 97 out. Additionally, this co-expression approach is limited, as no mechanistic directionality 98 can be assigned between associated gene and TE clusters, complicating the 99 prioritization of candidate regulatory genes for validation. Thus, there is a need for the 100 incorporation of novel "omic" approaches to tackle this problem. Deciphering the ¹⁰¹machinery that controls TE activity in healthy somatic cells will be crucial, in order to 102 identify checkpoints lost in diseased cells.

103 The 1000Genomes Project and GEUVADIS Consortium provide a rich set of ¹⁰⁴genomic resources to explore the mechanisms of human TE regulation *in silico*. Indeed, 105 the 1000Genomes project generated a huge collection of genomic data from thousands 106 of human subjects across the world, including single nucleotide variant (SNV) and 107 structural variant (SV) data [22, 23]. To accomplish this, the project relied on 108 Iymphoblastoid cell lines (LCLs), which are generated by infecting resting B-cells in 109 peripheral blood with Epstein-Barr virus (EBV). Several properties make them 110 advantageous for use in large-scale projects, e.g. they can be generated relatively 111 uninvasively, they provide a means of obtaining an unlimited amount of a subject's DNA 112 and other biomolecules, and they can serve as an *in vitro* model for studying the effects 113 of genetic variation with any phenotype of interest [24, 25]. Indeed, the GEUVADIS ¹¹⁴Consortium generated transcriptomic data for a subset of subjects sampled by the 115 1000Genomes Project, and used their genomic data to define the effects genetic 116 variation on gene expression [26]. Together, these resources provide a useful toolkit for 117 investigating the genetic regulation of TEs, generally, and L1, specifically.

118 In this study, we (i) develop a pipeline to identify novel candidate regulators of L1 119 expression in lymphoblastoid cell lines, (ii) provide experimental evidence for the 120 involvement of top candidates in L1 expression control, and (iii) expand and reinforce 121 the catalogue of diseases linked to L1.

122

¹²⁴**RESULTS**

¹²⁶*In silico scanning for L1 subfamily candidate regulators by eQTL analysis*

127 To identify new candidate regulators of L1 transcription, we decided to leverage 128 publicly available human "omic" datasets with both genetic and transcriptomic 129 information. For this analysis, we focused on samples for which the following data was 130 available: (i) mRNA-seq data from the GEUVADIS project, (ii) SNVs called from whole-131 genome sequencing data overlayed on the hg38 human reference genome made 132 available by the 1000Genomes project, and (iii) repeat structural variation data made 133 available by the 1000Genomes project. This yielded samples from 358 European and ¹³⁴86 Yoruban individuals, all of whom declared themselves to be healthy at the time of 135 sample collection (**Figure 1A**). Using the GEUVADIS data, we obtained gene and TE 136 subfamily expression counts using TEtranscripts [27]. As a quality control step, we 137 checked whether mapping rates segregated with ancestry groups, which may bias 138 results. However, the samples appeared to cluster by laboratory rather than by ancestry ¹³⁹(**Figure S1A**). As additional quality control metrics, we also checked whether the SNV 140 and SV data segregated by ancestry following principal component analysis (PCA). ¹⁴¹These analyses demonstrated that the top two and the top three principal components ¹⁴²from the SNV and SV data, respectively, segregated ancestry groups (**Figure S1B,** ¹⁴³**Figure S1C**).

¹⁴⁵We then chose to do a three-part integration of the available "omic" data (**Figure** ¹⁴⁶**1B**). Since TEtranscripts quantifies TE expression aggregated at the TE subfamily level

¹⁴⁷and discards TE position information, we chose to carry out a *trans*-eQTL analysis 148 against global expression of each L1 subfamily. We reasoned that there would have to 149 be factors (i.e., miRNAs, proteins, non-coding RNAs) mediating the effects of SNVs on ¹⁵⁰L1 subfamily expression. Thus, to identify candidate genic mediators, we searched for ¹⁵¹genes with *cis*-eQTLs that overlapped with L1 *trans*-eQTLs. As a final filter, we 152 reasoned that for a subset of regulators, L1 subfamily expression would respond to 153 changes in the expression of those regulators. Consequently, we chose to quantify the 154 association between L1 subfamily expression and candidate gene expression by linear 155 regression. We hypothesized that this three-part integration would result in 156 combinations of significantly correlated SNVs, genes, and L1 subfamilies (**Figure 1B**).

157

The *trans*-eQTL analysis against every expressed L1 subfamily led to the identification of 499 *trans*-eQTLs distributed across chromosomes 6, 11, 12, 14, and 15 that passed genome-wide significance (**Figure 1C, Supplementary Table S1A**). The *cis*-eQTL analysis led to the identification of 845,260 *cis*-eQTLs that passed genome-162 wide significance (**Supplementary Figure S2, Supplementary Table S1B**). After integrating the identified *cis*- and *trans*-eQTLs and running linear regression, we 164 identified 1,272 SNV-Gene-L1 trios that fulfilled our three-part integration approach (**Supplementary Table S1C**). Among this pool of trios, we identified 7 unique protein-166 coding genes including *IL16, STARD5, HLA-DRB5, HLA-DQA2, HSD17B12, RNF5, and FKBPL* (**Figure 1C**). We note that although *EHMT2* did not pass out screening 168 approach, it does overlap *EHMT2-AS1*, which did pass our screening thresholds (**Figure 1C**). We also note that several other unique non-coding genes, often

170 overlapping the protein-coding genes listed, were also identified (**Figure 1C**). For 171 simplicity of interpretation, we focused on protein-coding genes during downstream 172 experimental validation.

174 Next, to define first and second tier candidate regulators, we clumped SNVs in 175 linkage disequilibrium (LD) by L1 *trans*-eQTL p-value to identify the most strongly ¹⁷⁶associated genetic variant in each genomic region (**Figure 2A, Supplementary Figure** ¹⁷⁷**S3A**). LD-clumping identified the following index SNVs (*i.e*. the most strongly associated 178 SNVs in a given region): rs11635336 on chromosome 15, rs9271894 on chromosome ¹⁷⁹6, rs1061810 on chromosome 11, rs112581165 on chromosome 12, and rs72691418 180 on chromosome 14 (**Supplementary Table S1D**). Genes linked to these SNVs were 181 considered first tier candidate regulators and included *IL16*, *STARD5*, *HLA-DRB5*, *HLA-*¹⁸²*DQA2*, and *HSD17B12* (**Figure 2B, Supplementary Table S1E**). The remaining genes 183 were linked to clumped, non-index SNVs and were consequently considered second tier ¹⁸⁴candidates and included *RNF5*, *EHMT2-AS1*, and *FKBPL* (**Supplementary Figure** ¹⁸⁵**S3B**). Additionally, for simplicity of interpretation, we considered only non-*HLA* genes 186 during downstream experimental validation, since validation could be complicated by 187 the highly polymorphic nature of *HLA* loci [28] and their involvement in multi-protein 188 complexes.

 \overline{a}

190 Finally, to computationally determine whether candidate genes may causally 191 influence L1 subfamily expression, we carried out mediation analysis on all SNV-gene-¹⁹²L1 trios (**Supplementary Figure S4A**). Interestingly, 868 out of the 1,272 (68.2%) trios

exhibited significant (FDR < 0.05) mediation effects (**Supplementary Table S1F**). Among the 1st tier candidate regulators, significant, partial, and consistent mediation effects could be attributed to *STARD5*, *IL16*, *HSD17B12*, and *HLA-DRB5* (**Supplementary Figure S4B, Supplementary Table S1F**). To note, while significant mediation could be attributed to the index SNV for *STARD5*, significant mediation could 198 only be attributed to clumped SNVs for *IL16* and *HSD17B12*. Given that *STARD5* and *IL16* share *cis*-eQTL SNVs, this suggests that *STARD5* may be the more potent mediator. Among the 2nd tier candidate regulators, significant, partial, and consistent mediation effects could be attributed to *RNF5*, *EHMT2-AS1*, and *FKBPL* (**Supplementary Figure S4C, Supplementary Table S1F**). These results suggest that 203 candidate genes may mediate the effects between linked SNVs and L1 subfamilies.

In silico scanning for L1 subfamily candidate regulators in an African population

207 We next sought to assess the cross-ancestry regulatory properties of candidate 208 genes by repeating our scan using the Yoruban samples as a smaller but independent replication cohort. Here, rather than conduct a genome-wide scan for *cis*- and *trans*-210 associated factors, we opted for a targeted approach focusing only on gene *cis*-eQTLs and L1 subfamily *trans*-eQTLs that were significant in the analysis with European 212 samples (**Supplementary Figure S5A**). The targeted *trans*-eQTL analysis led to the identification of 227 significant (FDR < 0.05) *trans*-eQTLs distributed across chromosomes 6 and 11 (**Supplementary Table S2A**). The targeted *cis*-eQTL analysis led to the identification of 1,248 significant (FDR < 0.05) *cis*-eQTLs (**Supplementary**

10 million and the control of the c
10 million and the control of the c

²¹⁶**Table S2B**). After integrating the identified *cis*- and *trans*-eQTLs and running linear 217 regression, we identified 393 SNV-Gene-L1 trios that fulfilled our three-part integration 218 approach (**Supplementary Table S2C**). Among this pool of trios, we identified 2 unique 219 protein-coding genes—*HSD17B12 and HLA-DRB6—*as well as several unique non-220 coding genes (**Supplementary Table S2C**). Again, we clumped SNVs in linkage 221 disequilibrium (LD) by L1 *trans*-eQTL p-value. LD-clumping identified the following index ²²²SNVs: rs2176598 on chromosome 11 and rs9271379 on chromosome 6 ²²³(**Supplementary Table S2D**). Genes linked to these SNVs were considered first tier ²²⁴candidate regulators and included both *HSD17B12* and *HLA-DRB6* (**Supplementary** ²²⁵**Figure S5B, Supplementary Table S2E**). Finally, we carried out mediation analysis on 226 all SNV-gene-L1 trios; however, no significant (FDR $<$ 0.05) mediation was observed ²²⁷(**Supplementary Table S2F**). These results implicate *HSD17B12* and the *HLA* loci as 228 candidate, cross-ancestry L1 expression regulators.

230 To assess why some candidate genes did not replicate in the Yoruba cohort, we ²³¹manually inspected *cis*- and *trans*-eQTL results for trios with those genes ²³²(**Supplementary Figure S6A**). Interestingly, we identified rs9270493 and rs9272222 as 233 significant (FDR < 0.05) *trans*-eQTLs for L1MEb expression. However, those SNVs 234 were not significant *cis*-eQTLs for *RNF5* and *FKBPL* expression, respectively. For trios ²³⁵involving *STARD5*, *IL16*, and *EHMT2-AS1*, neither the *cis-*eQTL nor the *trans*-eQTL 236 were significant. We note that for most of these comparisons, although the two 237 genotypes with the largest sample sizes were sufficient to establish a trending change ²³⁸in *cis* or *trans* expression, this trend was often broken by the third genotype with

111 - Andrew Maria (1911), a che alla contratta della contratta della contratta della contratta della contratt
111 - Andrew Maria (1911), a che alla contratta della contratta della contratta della contratta della contratt

239 spurious sample sizes. This suggests that replication in the Yoruba cohort may be 240 limited by the small cohort sample size in the GEUVADIS project.

²⁴³*TE families and known TE-associated pathways are differentially regulated across L1* ²⁴⁴*trans-eQTL variants*

245 Though our eQTL analysis identified genetic variants associated with the 246 expression of specific, evolutionarily older L1 subfamilies, we reasoned that there may 247 be more global but subtle differences in TE expression profiles among genotype groups, 248 given that TE expression is highly correlated [21]. Thus, for each gene-associated index 249 SNV identified in the European eQTL analysis, we carried out differential expression ²⁵⁰analysis for all expressed genes and TEs (**Supplementary Table S3A-S3C**; **Figure** ²⁵¹**3A**). At the individual gene level, we detected few significant (FDR < 0.05) changes: 4 252 genes/TEs varied with rs11635336 genotype (*IL16/STARD5*), 4 genes/TEs varied with 253 rs9271894 genotype (*HLA*), and 5 gene/TEs varied with rs1061810 genotype ²⁵⁴(*HSD17B12*) (**Supplementary Table S3A-S3C**). Importantly, however, these ²⁵⁵genes/TEs overlapped the genes/TEs identified in the *cis-* and *trans*-eQTL analyses, 256 providing an algorithmically independent link among candidate SNV-gene-TE trios.

257 In contrast to gene-level analyses, Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) 258 provides increased sensitivity to subtle but consistent/widespread transcriptomic 259 changes at the level of gene sets (*e.g.* TE families, biological pathways, etc.). Thus, we 260 leveraged our differential expression analysis in combination with GSEA to identify 261 repeat family and biological pathway gene sets impacted by SNV genotype in the

²⁶²GEUVADIS dataset (**Supplementary Table S3D-S3O**; **Figure 3A**). Interestingly, ²⁶³changes in the genotype of rs11635336 (*IL16*/*STARD5*), rs9271894 (*HLA*), and 264 rs1061810 (*HSD17B12*) were associated with an upregulation, upregulation, and ²⁶⁵downregulation, respectively, of multiple TE family gene sets (**Figure 3B,** ²⁶⁶**Supplementary Table S3P**). Differentially regulated TE family gene sets included DNA 267 transposons, such as the hAT-Charlie family, and long terminal repeat (LTR) ²⁶⁸transposons, such as the endogenous retrovirus-1 (ERV1) family (**Figure 3B,** ²⁶⁹**Supplementary Table S3P**). Noteworthy, the L1 family gene set was the only TE gene 270 set whose expression level was significantly altered across all three SNV analyses ²⁷¹(**Figure 3B, Supplementary Table S3P**). Consistent with their relative significance in 272 the L1 *trans*-eQTL analysis, the L1 family gene set was most strongly upregulated by 273 alternating the *IL16/STARD5* SNV (NES = 3.74, FDR = 6.43E-41), intermediately 274 upregulated by alternating the HLA SNV (NES = 1.90, FDR = 7.19E-5), and least 275 strongly changed by alternating the $HSD17B12$ SNV (NES = -1.57, FDR = 2.11E-2) ²⁷⁶(**Figure 3C**). We briefly note here that rs9270493, a clumped SNV linked to *RNF5*, was ²⁷⁷also linked to upregulation of the L1 family gene set (**Supplementary Table S3Q-S3R**). ²⁷⁸These results suggest that TE subfamily *trans*-eQTLs are associated with subtle but 279 global differences in TE expression beyond a lone TE subfamily.

281 Next, we asked if other biological pathways were regulated concomitantly with 282 TE gene sets in response to gene-linked index SNVs, reasoning that such pathways 283 would act either upstream (as regulatory pathways) or downstream (as response 284 pathways) of TE alterations. GSEA with the MSigDB Hallmark pathway gene sets [29,

285 30] identified 5 gene sets fitting this criterion, including "oxidative phosphorylation", ²⁸⁶"mTORC1 signaling", "fatty acid metabolism", "adipogenesis", and "cholesterol 287 homeostasis" (**Figure 3D, Supplementary Table S3S**). Interestingly, several of these 288 pathways or genes in these pathways have been implicated in TE regulation before. 289 Rapamycin, which acts through mTORC1, has been shown to alter the expression of L1 290 and other repeats [31, 32]. Estrogens, which are involved in cholesterol and lipid 291 metabolism, have been found to drive changes in repeat expression, and the receptors 292 for both estrogens and androgens are believed to bind repeat DNA [32, 33]. 293 Pharmacological inhibition of the mitochondrial respiratory chain and pharmacological 294 reduction of endogenous cholesterol synthesis have also been shown to induce 295 changes in L1 protein levels or repeat expression more broadly [34, 35]. GSEA with the ²⁹⁶GO Biological Process gene sets (**Figure 3E, Supplementary Table S3T**) and the ²⁹⁷Reactome gene sets (**Figure 3F, Supplementary Table S3U**) also identified several 298 metabolism-related pathways including "ATP metabolic process", "Generation of 299 precursor metabolites and energy", and "metabolism of amino acids and derivatives". 300 These results add to the catalogue of pathways associated with differences in L1 301 expression.

303 In our eQTL analysis, we also identified two orphan index SNVs, rs112581165 304 and rs72691418, to which we could not attribute a protein-coding gene mediator. To 305 determine whether these SNVs also regulate any transposon families or biological 306 pathways, we repeated the differential expression analysis (with all expressed genes ³⁰⁷and TEs) (**Supplementary Table S4A-S4B**) and the GSEA (**Supplementary Table**

³⁰⁸**S4C-S4J**) with these SNVs (**Supplementary Figure S7A**). At the individual gene level, 309 we detected 3193 genes/TEs that varied significantly (FDR $<$ 0.05) with rs112581165 310 genotype and 1229 genes/TEs that varied significantly with rs72691418 genotype ³¹¹(**Supplementary Table S4A-S4B**). Similar to above, we next carried out GSEA to 312 identify changes in functionally relevant gene sets. Like the gene-linked index SNVs, 313 changes in the genotype of rs112581165 and rs72691418 were both associated with a 314 downregulation and upregulation, respectively, of 10 TE families (Supplementary ³¹⁵**Figure S7B, Supplementary Table S4K**). Noteworthy, the L1 family gene set was 316 among the most strongly dysregulated TE family gene sets for both rs112581165 (NES $317 = -4.32$, FDR = 5.18E-89) and rs72691418 (NES = 4.01, FDR = 5.38E-79) ³¹⁸(**Supplementary Figure S7C**). These results suggest that TE subfamily *trans*-eQTLs 319 are associated with subtle differences in TE expression beyond the lone TE subfamily, 320 even in the absence of a protein-coding gene *cis*-eQTL.

322 Like before, we asked if other biological pathways were regulated concomitantly 323 with TE gene sets in response to orphan index SNVs. The top 10 Hallmark pathway 324 gene sets identified by GSEA included gene sets that were previously identified ³²⁵("oxidative phosphorylation", "fatty acid metabolism", and "mTORC1 signaling"), as well 326 as several new pathways (**Supplementary Figure S7D, Supplementary Table S4L**). ³²⁷Among the new pathways, "DNA repair" [20] and the "P53 pathway" [36, 37] have also 328 been linked to L1 control, and proteins in the "Myc targets v1" gene set interact with L1 329 ORF1p [38]. GSEA with the GO Biological Process gene sets (**Supplementary Figure** ³³⁰**S7E, Supplementary Table S4M**) and the Reactome gene sets (**Supplementary**

³³¹**Figure S7F, Supplementary Table S4N**) identified several metabolism-related 332 pathways and several translation-related pathways, such as "cytoplasmic translation", ³³³"eukaryotic translation initiation", and "eukaryotic translation elongation". Importantly, 334 proteins involved in various aspects of proteostasis have been shown to be enriched 335 among L1 ORF1p-interacting proteins [38]. Again, these results add to the catalogue of 336 pathways associated with differences in TE expression, even in the absence of a 337 candidate *cis* mediator.

-
-

³⁴⁰*Modulation of top candidate gene activity in a lymphoblastoid cell line induces small but* ³⁴¹*widespread TE expression changes*

³⁴²We decided to validate the L1 regulatory properties of top candidate genes 343 associated with L1 *trans*-eQTLs. For experimental purposes, we selected the GM12878 344 lymphoblastoid cell line, because (i) it is of the same cell type as the transcriptomic data 345 used here for our eQTL analysis, and (ii) its epigenomic landscape and culture 346 conditions have been well well-characterized as part of the ENCODE project [39, 40]. ³⁴⁷For validation purposes, we selected *IL16*, *STARD5*, *HSD17B12*, and *RNF5* out of the 7 348 protein-coding gene candidates. We chose these genes for validation because the first ³⁴⁹3 are associated with top *trans*-eQTL SNVs and the fourth one had very strong 350 predicted mediation effects. To note, although GM12878 was part of the 1000Genomes 351 Project, it was not included in the GEUVADIS dataset. However, based on its genotype, ³⁵²we can predict the relative expression of candidate regulators (**Supplementary Figure** ³⁵³**S8A**), which suggest that GM12878 may be most sensitive to modulations in *IL16* and

16 de junho de 1950 e 1960 e 1960
16 de junho de 1960 e 196

³⁵⁴*STARD5* expression, given their relatively low endogenous expression. Interestingly, 355 examination of the ENCODE epigenomic data in GM12878 cells [39] demonstrated that 356 the region near the *IL16*/*STARD5*-linked index SNV (rs11635336) was marked with ³⁵⁷H3K4Me1 and H3K27Ac, regulatory signatures of enhancers (**Supplementary Figure** ³⁵⁸**S8C**). Similarly, the region near the *HLA*-linked index SNV (rs9271894) was marked 359 with H3K4Me1, marked with H3K27Ac, and accessible by DNase, suggesting regulatory 360 properties of the region as an active enhancer (**Supplementary Figure S8C**). These 361 results further highlight the regulatory potential of the *IL16-*, *STARD5-*, and *HLA*-linked 362 SNVs.

363

364 First, we decided to test the transcriptomic impact of overexpressing our top 365 candidates in GM12878 LCLs. Cells were electroporated with overexpression plasmids ³⁶⁶(or corresponding empty vector), and RNA was isolated after 48h (**Figure 4A,** ³⁶⁷**Supplementary Figure S9A**). Differential expression analysis comparing control and 368 overexpression samples confirmed the overexpression of candidate genes ³⁶⁹(**Supplementary Figure S9B, Supplementary Table S5A-S5D**). Intriguingly, we 370 observed that *IL16* was significantly upregulated following *STARD5* overexpression ³⁷¹(**Supplementary Figure S9C, Supplementary Table S5B**), although the inverse was 372 not observed (**Supplementary Figure S9C, Supplementary Table S5A**), suggesting 373 that *IL16* may act downstream of *STARD5*. We note here that, consistent with the use 374 of a high expression vector, the *IL16* upregulation elicited by *STARD5* overexpression 375 (log₂ fold change = 0.45) was weaker than the upregulation from the *IL16* 376 overexpression (log_2 fold change = 1.89) (**Supplementary Table S5A-S5B**).

377

378 To further assess the biological relevance of each overexpression, we carried out ³⁷⁹GSEA using the GO Biological Process, Reactome pathway, and Hallmark pathway ³⁸⁰gene sets (**Supplementary Table S5E-S5P**). Importantly, GSEA using GO Biological 381 Process and Reactome pathway gene sets highlighted differences that were consistent 382 with the known biology of our candidate genes. Firstly, *IL16* is involved in regulating T-383 cell activation, B-cell differentiation, and functions as a chemoattractant [41-46]. ³⁸⁴Moreover, it modulates macrophage polarization by regulating *IL-10* expression [47]. ³⁸⁵*IL16* overexpressing cells showed upregulation for "phagocytosis recognition" and ³⁸⁶"positive chemotaxis", downregulation for "negative regulation of cell differentiation", and ³⁸⁷downregulation for "Interleukin 10 signaling" (**Figure 4B-4C**). Secondly, *STARD5* ³⁸⁸encodes a cholesterol transporter and is upregulated in response to endoplasmic 389 reticulum (ER) stress [48-50]. *STARD5* overexpressing cells showed downregulation of 390 various cholesterol-related gene sets such as "sterol biosynthetic process", "sterol 391 metabolic process", and "regulation of cholesterol biosynthesis by SREBP (SREBF)" ³⁹²(**Figure 4D-4E**). Thirdly, *HSD17B12* encodes a steroid dehydrogenase involved in 393 converting estrone into estradiol and is essential for proper lipid homeostasis [51-53]. ³⁹⁴*HSD17B12* overexpressing cells showed downregulation of cholesterol-related gene 395 sets, including "sterol biosynthetic process" and "regulation of cholesterol biosynthesis ³⁹⁶by SREBF (SREBP)" (**Supplementary Figure S9D-S9E**). Finally, *RNF5* encodes an ³⁹⁷ER and mitochondrial-bound E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase that ubiquitin-tags proteins for ³⁹⁸degradation [54-57]. *RNF5* overexpressing cells demonstrated alterations in gene sets 399 involved in proteostasis and ER biology, including upregulation of "ERAD pathway",

⁴⁰⁰"response to endoplasmic reticulum stress", and "intra-Golgi and retrograde Golgi-to-ER 401 traffic" (**Supplementary Figure S9F-S9G**). These results suggest that our approach 402 leads to biological changes consistent with the known biological impact of the genes 403 being overexpressed.

 $\ddot{ }$

405 Next, we sought to determine whether modulation of candidate genes had any 406 impact on TE expression in general, and L1 in particular. Although there were no ⁴⁰⁷significant changes for individual TE subfamilies following *IL16* and *STARD5* 408 overexpression (**Supplementary Table S5A-S5B**), we identified subtle but widespread ⁴⁰⁹upregulation of various TE families across both conditions by GSEA (**Figure 4F,** 410 **Supplementary Table S5Q-S5R**). Interestingly, 7 families, including L1, ERV1, ERVL-⁴¹¹MaLR, Alu, ERVL, TcMar-Tigger, and hAT-Charlie families, were commonly 412 upregulated under both conditions (Figure 4F). In contrast, cells overexpressing ⁴¹³*HSD17B12* or *RNF5* did not drive widespread changes in L1 family expression, as ⁴¹⁴assessed by GSEA (**Supplementary Table S5S-S5T**). Noteworthy, the L1 family gene 415 set was more strongly upregulated following *STARD5* overexpression (NES = 2.25, 416 FDR = $6.14E-7$) compared to $I L 16$ overexpression (NES = 2.24, FDR = 2.40E-5) ⁴¹⁷(**Figure 4G, Supplementary Table S5Q-S5R**). Since *IL16* is upregulated in response to ⁴¹⁸*STARD5* overexpression, this suggests that *STARD5* may synergize with *IL16* for the 419 regulation of L1 transcription.

421 Then, we decided to further characterize the impact of IL16 activity on TEs, since ⁴²²(i) its overexpression led to a global upregulation of TE transcription, and (ii) it was itself

1990 - Paul Barbara, Amerikaansk politiker († 1990)
1990 - Johann Barbara, frysk politiker († 1990)
1990 - Johann Barbara, frysk politiker († 1990)

423 upregulated in response to *STARD5* overexpression, which also led to increased TE 424 expression. Thus, since IL16 is a soluble cytokine, we independently assessed its 425 regulatory properties by exposing GM12878 cells to recombinant human IL16 peptide ⁴²⁶[rhIL16] for 24 hours (**Figure 5A, Supplementary Figure S10A**). Differential gene ⁴²⁷expression analysis (**Supplementary Table S6A**) and comparison with the *IL16* 428 overexpression results demonstrated that differentially expressed genes were weakly 429 but significantly correlated (**Supplementary Figure S10B**). Additionally, we carried out 430 GSEA using the GO Biological Process, Reactome pathway, and Hallmark pathway ⁴³¹gene sets (**Supplementary Table S6B-S6E**) and compared those results with the ⁴³²GSEA from the *IL16* overexpression (**Supplementary Table S6F-S6H**). Consistent with 433 the known biology of *IL16*, GSEA highlighted a downregulation of many immune cell-⁴³⁴related gene sets, including "leukocyte differentiation", "mononuclear cell differentiation", ⁴³⁵and "Interleukin-10 signaling" (**Figure 5B-5C, Supplementary Table S6F-S6H**). Like 436 the overexpression results, exposure of GM12878 to rhIL16 for 24 hours led to an 437 upregulation of an L1 family gene set by GSEA, although the effect was less 438 pronounced than with the overexpression (Figure 5D). Even though treatment of 439 GM12878 with rhIL16 for 48 hours exhibited known features of IL16 biology ⁴⁴⁰(**Supplementary Figure S10B-S10D, Supplementary Table S6J-S6Q**), the L1 441 upregulation was no longer detectable, though other TEs remained upregulated ⁴⁴²(**Supplementary Figure S10E, Supplementary Table S6Q**). These results further 443 support the notion that *IL16* acts as a modulator of L1 expression.

and the contract of the contract of

⁴⁴⁵Finally, we sought to define the biological pathways regulated concomitantly with 446 the L1 family gene set under all experimental conditions where it was upregulated (i.e., ⁴⁴⁷*IL16* overexpression, *STARD5* overexpression, and 24 hours of rhIL16 exposure) ⁴⁴⁸(**Figure 6A, Figure 6B, Supplementary Table S7A**). Again, we reasoned that such 449 pathways would act either upstream (as regulatory pathways) or downstream (as 450 response pathways) of TE alterations. GSEA with the Hallmark pathway gene sets 451 identified 7 gene sets fitting this criterion, including "TNF α signaling via NF-KB", "IL2 452 STAT5 signaling", "inflammatory response", "mTORC1 signaling", "estrogen response ⁴⁵³early", "apoptosis", and "UV response up" (**Figure 6C, Supplementary Table S7B**). ⁴⁵⁴GSEA with the GO Biological Process gene sets (**Figure 6D, Supplementary Table** ⁴⁵⁵**S7C**) and the Reactome pathway gene sets (**Figure 6E, Supplementary Table S7D**) 456 also identified MAPK signaling, virus-related pathways like "HCMV early events", 457 pathways involved in cell differentiation, and pathways involved in cholesterol and 458 steroid metabolism like "signaling by nuclear receptors". These results further cement 459 the catalogue of pathways associated with differences in TE expression.

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

⁴⁶²*L1 trans-eQTLs are co-associated with aging traits in GWAS databases.*

463 Although TE de-repression has been observed broadly with aging and age-464 related disease [5, 58], whether this de-repression acts as a causal driver, or a 465 downstream consequence, of aging phenotypes remains unknown. We reasoned that if ⁴⁶⁶increased TE expression at least partially drives aging phenotypes, L1 *trans*-eQTLs

21 - An Aonaichte ann an Chaidh an Chuid an Chuid ann an Chuid an Chuid ann an Chuid an Chuid an Chuid an Chui
Chuid an Chuid an Ch

467 should be enriched for associations to aging traits in genome-wide association studies ⁴⁶⁸[GWAS] or phenome-wide association studies [PheWAS].

470 To test our hypothesis, we queried the Open Targets Genetics platform with our ⁴⁷¹499 *trans*-eQTL SNVs, mapped traits to standardized MeSH IDs, and then manually 472 curated MeSH IDs related to aging-related traits (Figure 7A). Consistent with our ⁴⁷³hypothesis, a large proportion of L1 *trans*-eQTL SNVs (222/499 or 44.5%) were either 474 (i) associated with an aging MeSH trait by PheWAS or (ii) LD-linked to a lead variant ⁴⁷⁵associated with an aging MeSH trait (**Figure 7B**). Moreover, among the 222 SNVs with 476 significant aging-trait associations, we observed frequent mapping to more than a single ⁴⁷⁷age-related trait by PheWAS, with many SNVs associated with 10-25 traits (**Figure 7C,** ⁴⁷⁸**Supplementary Table S8A**). Additionally, many of the 222 SNVs mapped to 1-5 aging ⁴⁷⁹traits through a proxy lead variant (**Figure 7D, Supplementary Table S8A**). Among the 480 most frequently associated or linked traits, we identified type 2 diabetes mellitus, 481 hyperparathyroidism, thyroid diseases, coronary artery disease, hypothyroidism, and ⁴⁸²psoriasis, among many others (**Figure 7E, Supplementary Table S8B**).

⁴⁸⁴As a parallel approach, we queried the Open Targets Genetics platform with our ⁴⁸⁵L1 *trans*-eQTL SNVs, as well as 500 combinations of random SNVs sampled from all 486 SNVs used in the eQTL analyses. We then leveraged broader phenotype categories 487 annotated by the platform, including 14 disease categories that we considered aging-488 related, to determine whether L1 eQTL associations were enriched for any disease 489 categories (**Supplementary Figure S11A**). L1 eQTL associations were significantly

22 March 2014 - An Dùbhlachd ann an Chomhair an Chomhair ann an Chomhair an Chomhair an Chomhair an Chomhair a
Chomhair an Chomhair an Ch

490 enriched (FDR $<$ 0.05 and ES $>$ 1) for 13 out of 14 disease categories, including cell 491 proliferation disorders, immune system diseases, and musculoskeletal diseases ⁴⁹²(**Supplementary Figure S11B-N**). The cardiovascular diseases category was the only 493 disease category for which we did not observe a significant enrichment ⁴⁹⁴(**Supplementary Figure S11O**). The enrichment for cell proliferation disorders is 495 consistent with the associations of L1 activity with cellular senescence [12, 15] and 496 cancer [59, 60]. The enrichment for immune system diseases is consistent with the role 497 of L1 as a stimulator of the interferon pathway, inflammation, and senescence [15], as 498 well as the more general notion that transposons can mimic viruses and stimulate ⁴⁹⁹immune responses from their hosts [61]. The enrichment for musculoskeletal diseases 500 is consistent with an increase in L1 expression and copy number with age in muscle 501 tissue from aging mice [11]. These results reinforce the notion that L1 activity is strongly 502 and non-randomly associated with an assortment of age-related diseases.

504 Intriguingly, a large fraction of co-associated SNVs were on chromosome 6 near 505 the HLA locus, which has previously been shown to be a hotspot of age-related disease 506 traits [62]. Despite its association to our strongest L1 trans-eQTL SNV, little is known 507 about the regulation and impact of IL16 during aging. One study, however, found that ⁵⁰⁸*IL16* expression increases with age in ovarian tissue, and the frequency of *IL16* 509 expressing cells is significantly higher in ovarian tissue from women at early and late ⁵¹⁰menopause, compared to premenopausal women [63]**.** Given these findings, and since 511 L1 expression levels and copy number have been found to increase with age [5], we 512 asked whether circulating IL16 levels may also change with age, using C57BL/6JNia

23 March 2014 - An Dùbhlachd ann an Chomhair an Chomhair ann an Chomhair an Chomhair an Chomhair an Chomhair a
Chomhair an Chomhair an Ch

513 mice as a model (**Figure 7F, Supplementary Table S8C**). Consistent with the notion 514 that increased IL16 levels may, at least partially, drive age-related TE de-repression, we 515 observed a significant increase in circulating IL16 levels in female mice with age, and a 516 trending increase with age in male mice (although the levels showed more animal-to-517 animal variability). By meta-analysis, circulating IL16 levels changed significantly with 518 age across sexes (**Figure 7F**). These results further support the hypothesis that *IL16* is 519 involved in L1 biology and may modulate L1 age-related changes. In sum, our results 520 provide one of the first pieces of evidence of a causal link between L1 expression levels 521 and age-related decline.

⁵²⁴**DISCUSSION**

525 In this work, we developed a pipeline to computationally identify candidate L1 526 transcriptional regulators by eQTL analysis. We provide experimental evidence for the 527 involvement of top candidates in regulating L1 expression, demonstrating as a proof-of-528 principle that this approach can be broadly used on other large "omic"-characterized 529 cohorts with human (i.e. GTEx [64, 65] or HipSci [66]) or mouse (i.e. DO mice [67]) 530 subjects to identify other regulators of L1 activity. These datasets, combined with our 531 approach, could be utilized to rigorously characterize conserved or group-specific TE 532 regulatory mechanisms on multiple layers, such as across TE families (like Alu or ⁵³³ERVs), across cell or tissue types, across ancestry groups, and across species. This 534 approach, which leverages existing datasets to perform *in silico* screening, could be a 535 powerful method to expand our knowledge of TE regulation in non-diseased cells and 536 tissues.

⁵³⁸While we believe this approach can readily be applied to other datasets, we 539 would like to note potential limitations with the approach implemented here, some of 540 which were simply beyond the scope of this paper. Firstly, though it is common to use 541 probabilistic estimation of expression residuals (PEER) [68] to enhance detection of *cis*-542 eQTLs, PEER was not implemented in our analysis as a precautionary measure, in 543 order to avoid potentially blurring global TE signals, which likely led to a more ⁵⁴⁴conservative list of candidate *cis* gene mediators. Second, given the technical 545 complexity in generating the vast amount of mRNA-seq data used for the eQTL 546 analysis, it is possible that technical covariates introduced non-linear effects that would

25 March 2014 - An Dùbhlachd ann an Chomhair an Chomhair ann an Chomhair an Chomhair an Chomhair an Chomhair a
Chomhair an Chomhair an Ch

547 not be easily removed by approaches like PEER or SVA [69]. For that reason, we opted 548 to supplement our computational predictions with experimental data. Third, the L1 *trans*-549 eQTLs identified were specific to older L1 subfamilies (L1P and L1M) and were not 550 shared across subfamilies. One factor that may partially explain this is the heightened 551 difficulty of quantifying the expression of evolutionarily younger L1 subfamilies using 552 short-read sequencing [70]. More generally, significant single gene differences are often 553 difficult to reproduce across studies, and it is for this reason that methods like GSEA 554 were developed, to robustly identify broader changes in sets of genes [29]. Consistently, 555 GSEA suggests that many TE families, beyond the single L1 subfamilies identified in 556 the eQTL analysis, are differentially regulated among samples with different genotypes 557 for *trans*-eQTL SNVs and among samples where *IL16*/IL16 and *STARD5* were 558 manipulated. We note that although *HLA* and *HSD17B12* loci were significant in both 559 the European and African cohorts, we were not able to independently identify all of the 560 same candidate regulators. This is likely due to a combination of small sample size for 561 the African cohort and the existence of population-specific L1 regulation. Future studies 562 with larger sample sizes may be useful for expanding the catalogue of loci that are 563 biologically meaningful for L1 expression across more than one population. Importantly, 564 our computational scan is limited to loci exhibiting genomic variation among tested 565 individuals. This will vary with factors like the ancestry groups of the populations being 566 studied. Moreover, variants that confer extreme fitness defects may not exist at a 567 sufficiently high level in a population so as to allow for an assessment of their 568 involvement as eQTLs. Also, a potential "blindspot" of our current approach is that it 569 does not distinguish between TE reads of intronic or intergenic origin. Intronic TE RNA

570 is often considered less interesting from a biological perspective since their presence 571 may be attributed to readthrough transcription [71]. However, all analyses carried out in 572 this study relied on polyA-selected libraries, which should be enriched for mature gene 573 and transposon transcripts, minimizing the presence of readthrough transcribed L1 574 RNA. Additionally, even if L1-containing transcripts were quantified, these have been 575 implicated in tightly controlled functions like T-cell quiescence maintenance [31], 576 suggesting that intronic L1 RNA possesses biologically meaningful properties. Thus, 577 this pipeline should help expand our understanding of L1 biology in either case. Finally, 578 although we focused on protein-coding candidate regulators, it is possible that the non-579 coding genes identified in our scan may also causally drive differences in L1 580 expression. Though not explored here, other regulatory factors like small RNAs may 581 also act as partial mediators. Since the GEUVADIS Consortium generated small RNA 582 data in parallel to the mRNA data used in this study [26], in the future, our pipeline could ⁵⁸³be adapted to scan for *cis* small RNA mediators relatively easily. These unexplored 584 factors may explain the associations between orphan SNV genotypes and TE family 585 gene set changes.

587 **Despite potential limitations, our approach identified** *IL16, STARD5, HLA-DRB5,**illa-DRB5***,** ⁵⁸⁸*HLA-DQA2, HSD17B12, RNF5, FKBPL*, and *EHMT2-AS1* as candidate L1 regulators in 589 the European cohort. Moreover, the upregulation of several TE gene sets following ⁵⁹⁰*IL16/*IL16 and *STARD5* manipulation highlights a causal role for these genes in TE 591 control. Between these two genes, multiple lines of evidence suggest that *STARD5* is 592 the more potent mediator. First, the three-part integration statistics are more significant

27 March 2014 - An Dùbhlachd an
Chaidhean

593 for *STARD5* than for *IL16*. Second, the index SNV on chromosome 15 exhibited 594 significant mediation effects through *STARD5* but not *IL16*; the most significant 595 mediation effect for *IL16* was linked to a clumped SNV. Third, the upregulation for the ⁵⁹⁶L1 family gene set was stronger under *STARD5* overexpression than under *IL16* 597 overexpression. Importantly, we observed that *IL16* was upregulated following *STARD5* 598 overexpression, but this upregulation was less than the upregulation from the *IL16* 599 overexpression. This suggests that *IL16* can participate in the L1-regulating properties ⁶⁰⁰exerted by *STARD5*. Moving forward, it will be informative to assess the effects of *IL16* ⁶⁰¹and *STARD5* on L1 expression in other cell types. Interestingly, other genes like ⁶⁰²*EHMT2* have previously been linked to retrotransposons [72, 73]. For the remaining 603 genes that we experimentally tested, we note that GM12878 is predicted to have ⁶⁰⁴relatively high endogenous *HSD17B12* expression and intermediate *RNF5* expression, ⁶⁰⁵based on the GM12878 genotypes at *cis*-eQTLs for these genes. Given these 606 expression patterns, GM12878 may not be sensitive to overexpression of *RNF5*, and 607 especially insensitive to *HSD17B12* overexpression. For these two candidates, cells 608 may be more sensitive to knockdown- or knockout-based approaches. Indeed, ⁶⁰⁹*HSD17B12* is essential for mouse development, *HSD17B12* knockout in adult mice ⁶¹⁰results in reduced body weight and liver toxicity, and knockdown of the *Caenorhabditis* ⁶¹¹*elegans* ortholog for *HSD17B12* reduces lipid stores and blocks induction of the 612 unfolded protein response of the endoplasmic reticulum [52, 74, 75]. Given that TEs are 613 often derepressed when homeostasis is challenged [61], such as following *HSD17B12* ⁶¹⁴knockout/knockdown, it remains possible that *HSD17B12* possesses L1 regulatory 615 properties that were not detectable by our approach. Future work could avert such

616 technical limitations by testing the impact of candidate genes by both up- and down-617 regulation, or by selecting LCL samples with endogenous target gene expression levels 618 that would be most sensitive to our overexpression approach.

620 As another, theoretical line of evidence for the potential involvement of candidate 621 genes in L1 regulation, we highlight known interactions between tested candidate genes ⁶²²and viral infections, which may be relevant under conditions where transposons are 623 recognized as viral mimics [61]. Indeed, *IL16* has been extensively studied for its ability 624 to inhibit human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) replication, partly by suppressing mRNA 625 expression [76-78]. Additionally, but in contrast to its HIV-suppressive properties, *IL16* 626 can enhance the replication of influenza A virus (IAV) and facilitate its infection of hosts, 627 potentially through its repression of type I interferon beta and interferon-stimulated 628 genes [79]. *IL16* can also contribute to the establishment of lifelong gamma herpesvirus ⁶²⁹infection [80]. *STARD5* is another candidate implicated in the influenza virus replication 630 cycle [81]. *HSD17B12* promotes the replication of hepatitis C virus via the very-long-631 chain fatty acid (VLCFA) synthesis pathway and the production of lipid droplets 632 important for virus assembly [82, 83]. Additionally, HSD17B12 has been found 633 interacting with the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) protein nonstructural protein ⁶³⁴13 (NSP13), which is thought to antagonize interferon signaling [84]. Finally, *RNF5* has 635 been implicated in both promoting and antagonizing severe acute respiratory syndrome 636 coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) by either stabilizing the interactions of membrane protein ⁶³⁷(M) [85] or inducing degradation of structural protein envelope (E) [86], respectively. ⁶³⁸Fundamentally, *RNF5* regulates virus-triggered interferon signaling by targeting the

29 March 2014 - An Dùbhlachd ann an Chomhair an Chomhair ann an Chomhair an Chomhair an Chomhair an Chomhair a
Chomhair an Chomhair an Ch

639 stimulator of interferon genes (STING) or mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein ⁶⁴⁰(MAVS) for ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation [56, 57]. These studies reinforce the 641 roles of tested candidate regulators in virus-associated processes, including interferon-642 mediated signaling.

643

644 Consistent with the notion that L1 is associated with aging and aging phenotypes ⁶⁴⁵[5, 58], we observed that L1 *trans*-eQTL SNVs were associated with aging phenotypes 646 in GWAS/PheWAS databases. This is very surprising, but interesting, given that all ⁶⁴⁷1000Genomes Project participants declared themselves to be healthy at the time of 648 sample collection. Assuming this to be true, our results suggest that L1 expression 649 differences exist in natural, healthy human populations, and these expression 650 differences precede onset of aging diseases. Though it is often unclear whether L1 mis-651 regulation is a consequence or driver of aging phenotypes, our results suggest that L1 ⁶⁵²levels may drive aging phenotypes. As we continue to expand the catalogue of L1 653 regulators, especially in healthy cells and tissues, the L1 regulatory processes that are 654 disrupted over the course of aging will become increasingly clear. To that end, this work 655 may serve as a guide for conducting more comprehensive scans for candidate TE 656 regulators.

⁶⁵⁹**CONCLUSIONS**

⁶⁶⁰We developed an eQTL-based pipeline that leverages genomic and 661 transcriptomic data to scan the human genome for novel candidate regulators of L1 662 subfamily expression. Though the initial scan identified genetic variants associated with 663 expression differences in specific L1 subfamilies, secondary analyses by GSEA suggest 664 that genetic variants are associated with subtle but global differences in the expression 665 of many TE families. Our pipeline identified candidate genes, including *HSD17B12* and ⁶⁶⁶*HLA* genes, that likely play a conserved role in L1 regulation across human populations 667 of different ancestries. Though some top candidates from the European cohort scan, 668 such as *IL16, STARD5*, and *RNF5*, were not significant in the African cohort analysis, it 669 is likely that some of these genes would appear in cross-ancestry scans with larger 670 samples sizes. We detected subtle but global differences in L1 family expression 671 following *IL16* overexpression, *STARD5* overexpression, and rhIL16 treatment for 24 672 hours, further suggesting that some candidate genes have regulatory potential. We 673 generate a list of pathways, such as mTORC1 signaling and cholesterol metabolism, 674 that may act upstream of L1 expression. Finally, the co-association of some genetic 675 variants with both L1 expression differences and various age-related diseases suggests 676 that L1 differences may precede and contribute to the onset of disease. Our results 677 expand the potential mechanisms by which L1 expression is regulated and by which L1 678 may influence aging-related phenotypes.

⁶⁸¹**METHODS**

⁶⁸²**Publicly available data acquisition**

683 The eQTL analysis was carried out on 358 European (EUR) individuals and 86 684 Yoruban (YRI) individuals for which paired single nucleotide variant, structural variant, 685 and transcriptomic data were available from Phase 3 of the 1000 Genomes Project [22, ⁶⁸⁶23] and from the GEUVADIS consortium [26]. Specifically, Phase 3 autosomal SNVs 687 called on the GRCh38 reference genome were obtained from The International Genome ⁶⁸⁸Sample Resource (IGSR) FTP site (689 http://ftp.1000genomes.ebi.ac.uk/vol1/ftp/data_collections/1000_genomes_project/relea 690 se/20190312 biallelic SNV and INDEL/). Structural variants were also obtained from 691 the IGSR FTP site 692 (http://ftp.1000genomes.ebi.ac.uk/vol1/ftp/phase3/integrated_sv_map/). mRNA-⁶⁹³sequencing fastq files generated by the GEUVADIS consortium were obtained from 694 ArrayExpress under accession E-GEUV-1.

695

696

⁶⁹⁷**Aggregating and pre-processing genotype data for eQTL analyses**

698 To prepare SNVs for association analyses, all SNVs were first annotated with 699 rsIDs from dbSNP build 155 using BCFtools v1.10.2 [87]. VCFtools v0.1.17 [88] was 700 then used to remove indels and keep variants with the following properties in each of 701 the two populations: possessed a minimum and maximum of two alleles, possessed a 702 minor allele frequency (MAF) of at least 1%, passed Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium 703 thresholding at $p < 1e-6$, with no missing samples, and located on an autosome. We

704 note here that sex chromosomes were not included in the analysis since (i) Y 705 chromosome SNVs were not available and (ii) analyses with X chromosome SNVs 706 require unique algorithms and cannot simply be incorporated into traditional association 707 pipelines [89, 90]. VCF files containing these filtered SNVs were then converted to 708 PLINK BED format using PLINK v1.90b6.17 [91], keeping the allele order. PLINK BED 709 files were subsequently used to generate preliminary $0/1/2$ genotype matrices using the ⁷¹⁰'--recodeA' flag in PLINK. These preliminary matrices were manipulated in terminal, 711 using the gcut v9.0 function to remove unnecessary columns and datamash v1.7 to 712 transpose the data, to generate the final $0/1/2$ matrices used for the eQTL analyses. 713 Finally, PLINK was used to prune the list of filtered SNVs, using the "--indep-pairwise 50 714 10 0.1" flag, and to generate principal components (PCs) from the pruned genotypes.

715

716 To control for inter-individual differences in genomic transposon copy number 717 load, we applied 1 of 2 approaches, depending on the analysis. For approach 1, the net 718 Thumber of L1 and Alu insertions was quantified across the 444 samples. We chose to 719 aggregate the L1 and Alu copy numbers, since Alu relies on L1 machinery for 720 mobilization [92], and so the aggregate number may provide a finer view of L1-721 associated copy number load. Briefly, VCFTools was used to extract autosomal 722 structural variants from the 1000Genomes structural variant calls. L1 and Alu insertions 723 and deletions were then extracted with BCFtools by keeping entries with the following 724 expressions: 'SVTYPE="LINE1"', 'SVTYPE="ALU"', 'SVTYPE="DEL_LINE1"', and 725 'SVTYPE="DEL_ALU"'. The resulting VCF files were then transformed to 0/1/2 matrices 726 in the same manner as the SNVs. A net copy number score was obtained for each

and the state of th
State of the state of the state

727 sample by adding the values for the L1 and Alu insertions and subtracting the values for 728 the L1 and Alu deletions. For approach 2, the complete structural variant matrix was 729 filtered with VCFtools using the same parameters as with the SNV matrices. The filtered 730 structural variant matrix was then pruned with PLINK, and these pruned structural 731 variant genotypes were used to generate principal components, in the same fashion as 732 with the SNV matrix. The net copy number score or the structural variant principal 733 components, depending on the analysis, were included as covariates.

-
-

⁷³⁶**mRNA-seq read trimming, mapping, and quantification**

737 Fastq files were first trimmed using fastp v0.20.1 [93] with the following 738 parameters: detect_adapter_for_pe, disable_quality_filtering, trim_front1 17, trim_front2 739 17, cut_front, cut_front_window_size 1, cut_front_mean_quality 20, cut_tail, 740 cut tail window size 1, cut tail mean quality 20, cut right, cut right window size 5, 741 cut_right_mean_quality 20, and length_required 36. Read quality was then inspected 742 using fastgc v0.11.9.

744 Next, the GRCh38 primary human genome assembly and comprehensive gene 745 annotation were obtained from GENCODE release 33 [94]. Since LCLs are generated 746 by infecting B-cells with Epstein-Barr virus, the EBV genome (GenBank ID V01555.2) 747 was included as an additional contig in the human reference genome. The trimmed 748 reads were aligned to this modified reference genome using STAR v2.7.3a [95] with the 749 following parameters: outFilterMultimapNmax 100, winAnchorMultimapNmax 100, and

and the state of th
State of the state of the state

750 outFilterMismatchNoverLmax 0.04. Finally, the TEcount function in the TEtranscripts 751 $\sqrt{2.1.4}$ [27] package was employed to obtain gene and TE counts, using the GENCODE 752 annotations to define gene boundaries and a repeat GTF file provided on the Hammell 753 lab website (downloaded on February 19 2020 from 754 https://labshare.cshl.edu/shares/mhammelllab/www-

755 data/TEtranscripts/TE_GTF/GRCh38_GENCODE_rmsk_TE.gtf.gz) to define repeat 756 boundaries.

-
-

⁷⁵⁹**Gene** *cis***-eQTL and L1 t***rans***-eQTL analyses**

760 Gene and TE count files were loaded into R v4.2.1. Lowly expressed genes were 761 first filtered out if 323/358 European samples and 78/86 Yoruban samples did not have 762 over 0.44 counts per million (cpm) or 0.43 cpm, respectively. These fractions were 763 selected because they corresponded to expression in \sim 90% of samples and thus helped ⁷⁶⁴maintain maximal statistical power by focusing on genes ubiquitously expressed across 765 each entire population. The cpm thresholds were selected because they corresponded 766 to 10 reads in the median-length library within each set of samples.

768 Then, counts underwent a variance stabilizing transformation (vst) using DESeq2 769 v1.36.0 [96]. The following covariates were regressed out from vst normalized 770 expression data using the 'removeBatchEffect' function in Limma v3.52.2 [97]: lab, 771 population category, principal components 1-2 of the pruned SNVs, biological sex, net 772 L1/Alu copy number, and EBV expression levels. Since the Yoruban samples were all

773 from the same population, the population variable was omitted in their batch correction. 774 Here, we note several things. First, EBV expression was included as a covariate 775 because heightened TE expression is often a feature of viral infections [98]. Secondly, 776 although PEER [68] is often used to remove technical variation for *cis*-eQTL analysis, 777 this can come at the expense of correcting out genome-wide biological effects. This can 778 be problematic in some settings, such as *trans*-eQTL analysis. Thus, PEER factors 779 were not included. The batch-corrected data underwent a final inverse normal 780 transformation (INT), using the RankNorm function in the R package RNOmni v1.0.1, to 781 obtain normally distributed gene expression values.

 $\frac{1}{2}$

783 The INT expression matrices were split into genes and L1 subfamilies, which 784 were used to identify gene *cis*-eQTLs and L1 subfamily *trans*-eQTLs in the European 785 superpopulation using MatrixEQTL v2.3 [99]. For gene *cis*-eQTLs, SNVs were tested for 786 association with expressed genes within 1 million base pairs. We opted to use a *trans*-⁷⁸⁷eQTL approach using aggregate subfamily-level TE expression since the *trans* 788 approach should allow us to identify regulators of many elements rather than one. The 789 Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) was calculated in each analysis, and we 790 used the p-value corresponding to an FDR of $<$ 5% as the threshold for eQTL 791 significance. In addition, the *cis*-eQTL and *trans*-eQTL analyses were also repeated 792 using 20 permuted expression datasets in which the sample names were scrambled, 793 and the p-value corresponding to an average empirical FDR of $<$ 5% was used as a 794 secondary threshold. To note, we calculated the average empirical FDR at a given p-795 value p_i by (i) counting the total number of null points with $p \le p_i$, (ii) dividing by the
796 number of permutations, to obtain an average number of null points with $p \le p_i$, and (iii) 797 dividing the average number of null points with $p \le p_i$ by the number of real points with p $798 \le p_i$. eQTLs were called as significant if they passed the stricter of the two thresholds. ⁷⁹⁹SNV-gene and SNV-L1 associations that were significant in the European 800 superpopulation were then targeted and tested in the Yoruban population using R's 801 built-in linear modelling functions. In this case, only the Benjamini-Hochberg FDR was 802 calculated, and significant eQTLs were called if they possessed an FDR $<$ 5%.

-
-

⁸⁰⁵**Defining SNV-gene-L1 trios and mediation analysis**

806 For each population, the *cis-* and *trans-eQTL* results were integrated to identify 807 SNVs associated with both gene and L1 subfamily expression. We reasoned that L1 808 expression would respond to differences in expression of *bona fide* regulators. 809 Consequently, gene expression and L1 subfamily expression associations were 810 assessed by linear regression, and the p-values from this analysis were Benjamini-811 Hochberg FDR-corrected. Candidate SNV-gene-L1 trios were defined as those with *cis*-812 eQTL, *trans*-eQTL, and expression regression FDRs < 5%. To identify top, index SNVs 813 in regions of linkage disequilibrium (LD), SNVs within 500 kilobases of each other with 814 α an R² > 0.10 were clumped together by *trans*-eQTL p-value using PLINK v1.90b6.17. 815 Mediation analysis was carried out using the 'gmap.gpd' function in eQTLMAPT v0.1.0 816 [100] on all candidate SNV-gene-L1 trios. Empirical p-values were calculated using 817 30,000 permutations, and Benjamini-Hochberg FDR values were calculated from

818 empirical p-values. Mediation effects were considered significant for trios with FDR <

- 819 5%.
-
-

⁸²²**Differential expression analysis across** *trans***-eQTL SNV genotypes**

823 Transcriptomic changes associated with alternating the allele of each SNV of 824 interest were evaluated using DESeq2 v1.36.0. Using the same filtered counts prepared 825 for the eQTL analysis, a linear model was constructed with the following covariates for 826 each SNV: SNV genotype in 0/1/2 format, biological sex, lab, population category, 827 principal components 1-2 of the pruned SNVs, and principal components 1-3 of the 828 pruned SVs (to account for structural variant population structure). As before, the 829 population label was omitted from the Yoruban population analysis. Significant genes 830 and TEs were those with an FDR $<$ 5%.

832

⁸³³**Functional enrichment analyses**

834 We used the Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) paradigm as implemented 835 in the R package clusterProfiler v4.4.4 [101]. Gene Ontology, Reactome, and Hallmark 836 pathway gene sets were obtained from the R package msigdbr v7.5.1, an Ensembl ID-837 mapped collection of gene sets from the Molecular Signature Database [29, 30]. 838 Additionally, TE subfamilies were aggregated into TE family gene sets using the TE 839 family designations specified in the TE GTF file (downloaded on February 19 2020 from 840 https://labshare.cshl.edu/shares/mhammelllab/www-

841 data/TEtranscripts/TE_GTF/GRCh38_GENCODE_rmsk_TE.gtf.gz) used during the 842 RNA-seq quantification step. The DESeq2 v1.36.0 Wald-statistic was used to generate 843 a combined ranked list of genes and TEs for functional enrichment analysis. All gene 844 sets with an FDR $<$ 5% were considered significant. For plots with a single analysis, the 845 top 5 downregulated and top 5 upregulated gene sets were plotted, at most. For plots 846 with multiple analyses, shared gene sets with the desired expression patterns in each 847 individual analysis were first identified. Then, the p-values for shared gene sets were 848 combined using Fisher's method, and this meta-analysis p-value was used to rank 849 shared gene sets. Finally, the top 5 gene sets with one expression pattern and the top 5 850 gene sets with the opposite expression pattern were plotted. If there were less than 5 851 gene sets in either group, those were replaced with gene sets exhibiting the opposite 852 regulation, in order to plot 10 shared gene sets whenever possible.

⁸⁵⁵**Cell lines and cell culture conditions.**

⁸⁵⁶GM12878 (RRID: CVCL_7526) lymphoblastoid cells were purchased from the 857 Coriell Institute. We opted to use GM12878 as a well-characterized representative cell 858 line for candidate validation, given that (i) it is of the same cell type as the transcriptomic 859 data used here for our eQTL analysis, and (ii) its epigenomic landscape and culture 860 conditions are well-characterized as part of the ENCODE project [39, 40].

862 GM12878 cells were maintained in RPMI (Corning cat. 15-040-CV) containing ⁸⁶³15% FBS and 1X Penicillin-Streptomycin-Glutamine (Corning cat. 30-009-CI). Cells

and the state of th
State of the state of the state

⁸⁸⁰**Transfections**

⁸⁸¹*Escherichia coli* were cultured in LB Broth (ThermoFischer Scientific) 882 supplemented with 50 μ g/mL carbenicillin to an optical density 600 (OD₆₀₀) of 2 – 4. 883 Plasmid extractions were carried out using the Nucleobond Xtra Midi Plus EF kit ⁸⁸⁴(Macherey-Nagel) following manufacturer recommendations. Plasmids were aliquoted 885 and stored at -20°C until the time of transfection. On the day of transfection, GM12878 886 cells were collected in conical tubes, spun down (100xG, 5 minutes, room temperature),

887 resuspended in fresh media, and counted by trypan blue staining using a Countess II FL 888 automated cell counter (Thermo Fisher). The number of cells necessary for the 889 experiment were then aliquoted, spun down, and washed with Dulbecco's phosphate-890 buffered saline (DPBS)(Corning, cat. #21-031-CV).

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

892 GM12878 cells were transfected by electroporation using the Neon Transfection 893 System (Invitrogen) with the following parameters: 1200 V, 20 ms, and 3 pulses for ⁸⁹⁴GM12878 cells in Buffer R. Per reaction, we maintained a plasmid mass:cell number 895 ratio of 10 μg : $2*10^6$ cells. For mRNA-sequencing, $8*10^6$ GM12878 cells were 896 independently transfected for each biological replicate, with 4 replicates per 897 overexpression condition, and cultured in a T25 flask. Immediately after transfection, 898 cells were cultured in Penicillin-Streptomycin-free media for \sim 24 hours.

899

900 Afterwards, to promote selection of viable and healthy transfected GM12878 901 cells, we enriched for viable cells using the EasySep Dead Cell Removal (Annexin V) 902 Kit (STEMCELL Technologies) before seeding $2*10⁶$ live cells in the same media used 903 for cell maintenance. After another 24 hours, cell viability was measured by trypan blue 904 staining on a Countess automated cell counter and cells were spun down (100xG, 5 905 min, room temperature) and lysed in TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen) for downstream total 906 RNA isolation (see below).

908

⁹⁰⁹**Recombinant human IL16 (rhIL16) peptide treatment**

de la construcción de la construcc
Altres de la construcción de la co

910 Human rIL16 was obtained from PeproTech (cat. #200-16) and resuspended in 911 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) solution (Akron, cat. #AK8917-0100). GM12878 cells 912 were seeded at a concentration of 500,000 live cells per mL of media on 6-well 913 suspension plates with 3 independent replicates per condition. Cells were exposed to 0, 914 24, or 48 hours of 100 ng mL $^{-1}$ of rhIL16. To replace or exchange media 24 hours after 915 seeding, cells were transferred to conical tubes, spun down (100xG, 5 min, room 916 temperature), resuspended in 5 mL of the appropriate media, and transferred back to 6-917 well suspension plates. After 48 hours, cell viability was measured by trypan blue 918 staining and cells were spun down (100xG, 5 min, room temperature) and lysed in 919 TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen).

920

921

⁹²²**RNA extractions and mRNA sequencing**

923 RNA was extracted using the Direct-zol RNA Miniprep kit (Zymo Research) 924 following manufacturer recommendations. The integrity of RNA samples was evaluated 925 using an Agilent High Sensitivity RNA ScreenTape assay (Agilent Technologies), 926 ensuring that all samples had a minimum eRIN score of 8 before downstream 927 processing. We then submitted total RNA samples to Novogene (Sacramento, 928 California) for mRNA library preparation and sequencing on the NovaSeq 6000 platform 929 as paired-end 150 bp reads.

⁹³²**Analysis of overexpression and rhIL16 exposure mRNA-seq**

de la construcción de la construcc
A la construcción de la construcci

⁹³³mRNA-seq reads were trimmed, mapped, and quantified like for the eQTL 934 analysis, except for the overexpression sample data. For this data, one modification 935 was made: the EBV-inclusive reference genome was further modified to include the 936 pcDNA3.1 sequence as an additional contig. Lowly expressed genes were filtered using 937 a cpm threshold as in the eQTL processing, but that cpm threshold had to be satisfied 938 by as many samples as the size of the smallest biological group. For the overexpression 939 data, surrogate variables were estimated with the 'svaseq' function [69] in the R 940 package 'sva' v3.44.9, and they were regressed out from the raw read counts using the 941 fremoveBatchEffect' function in the R package Limma v3.52.2. DESeq2 was used to 942 identify significantly (FDR $<$ 5%) differentially expressed genes and TEs between 943 groups. Functional enrichment analysis was carried out as previously described.

944

⁹⁴⁶**PheWAS analysis**

947 To gather the known associated traits for the 499 TE-related SNVs, we used 948 Open Targets Genetics (https://genetics.opentargets.org/), a database of GWAS 949 summary statistics [102]. First, we queried the database using the 499 TE-related SNVs 950 and collected traits that were directly associated (with $P < 5x10^{-8}$) with the SNVs, as well 951 as traits associated with lead variants that were in linkage disequilibrium (LD) with the 952 queried SNPs (with $R^2 > 0.6$). For age-related traits (ARTs), we used the 953 comprehensive list of 365 Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms reported by [103] ⁹⁵⁴(downloaded from https://github.com/kisudsoe/Age-related-traits). To identify known 955 age-related traits, the known associated traits were translated into the equivalent MeSH

956 terms using the method described by [103]. Then, the MeSH-translated known 957 associated traits for the 499 TE-related SNVs were filtered by the MeSH terms for age-958 related traits.

960 As a parallel approach, we mapped the RsIDs for all SNVs used during the eQTL 961 analyses to their corresponding bi-allelic Open Targets variant IDs, when available. The 962 variant IDs corresponding to L1 *trans*-eQTL SNVs were extracted, and 500 different 963 equal-length combinations of random SNVs were generated. Next, we queried the Open 964 Targets database using the lists of L1-associated and random SNVs and collected the 965 associated traits (with $P < 5x10^{-8}$). Importantly, the database assigns traits to broader 966 categories, including 14 disease categories that we considered age-related. We 967 counted the number of L1-associated or random SNVs mapping to each category, and 968 we used the random SNV counts to generate an empirical cumulative distribution 969 function (ecdf) for each category. We calculated enrichment p-values using the formula 970 $p = 1$ - ecdf(mapped eQTLs) and then Benjamini-Hochberg FDR-corrected all p-values. 971 An enrichment score (ES) was also calculated for each category using the formula $ES =$ 972 number of mapped L1 eQTLs / median number of randomly mapping SNVs. Categories 973 with an $ES > 1$ and $FDR < 0.05$ were considered significantly enriched.

975

⁹⁷⁶**Quantification of mouse serum IL16 by ELISA**

977 Serum was collected from male and female C57BL/6JNia mice (4-6 and 20-24 978 months old) obtained from the National Institute on Aging (NIA) colony at Charles

de la construcción de la construcc
A la construcción de la construcci

979 Rivers. All animals were euthanized between 8-11 am in a "snaking order" across all 980 groups to minimize batch-processing confounds due to circadian processes. All animals 981 were euthanized by $CO₂$ asphyxiation followed by cervical dislocation. Circulating IL16 982 levels were quantitatively evaluated from mouse serum by enzyme-linked 983 immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Serum was diluted 1/10 before quantifying IL16 984 concentrations using Abcam's Mouse IL-16 ELISA Kit (ab201282) in accordance with 985 manufacturer instructions. Technical replicates from the same sample were averaged to 986 one value before statistical analysis and plotting. P-values across age within each sex 987 were calculated using a non-parametric 2-sided Wilcoxon test, and p-values from each 988 sex-specific analysis were combined using Fisher's method.

⁹⁹²**DECLARATIONS**

⁹⁹⁴**Ethics approval and consent to participate**

995 All animals were treated and housed in accordance with the Guide for Care and 996 Use of Laboratory Animals. All experimental procedures were approved by the 997 University of Southern California's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee ⁹⁹⁸(IACUC) and are in accordance with institutional and national guidelines. Samples were 999 derived from animals on approved IACUC protocol #20770.

¹⁰⁰¹**Availability of data and materials**

1002 Sequencing data generated in this study is accessible through the Sequence ¹⁰⁰³Read Archive (SRA) under BioProject PRJNA937306. All code is available on the 1004 Benayoun lab GitHub (https://github.com/BenayounLaboratory/TE-eQTL_LCLs). ¹⁰⁰⁵Analyses were conducted using R version 4.2.1. Code was re-run independently on R 1006 version 4.3.0 to check for reproducibility.

1007

¹⁰⁰⁸**Competing interests**

1009 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

¹⁰¹¹**Funding**

1012 This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation ¹⁰¹³Graduate Research Fellowship Program (NSF GRFP) under Grant No. DGE-1842487 ¹⁰¹⁴(to J.I.B.), the National Institute on Aging (NIA) under Grant No. T32 AG052374 (to

1015 J.I.B.), the University of Southern California with a Provost Fellowship (to J.I.B.), the 1016 USC Gerontology Enriching MSTEM (GEMSTEM) to Enhance Diversity in Aging 1017 Program under Grant No. R25 AG076400 (to C.R.M.), and the National Institute of 1018 General Medical Sciences (NIGMS) under Grant No. R35 GM142395 (to B.A.B).

1019
1019
1019

¹⁰²¹**Authors' contributions**

1022 J.I.B. and B.A.B designed the study. J.I.B., L.Z., and S.K. performed data 1023 analyses, with guidance from Y.S. and B.A.B. J.I.B. and C.R.M. carried out 1024 experiments. J.I.B., B.A.B., S.K., and Y.S. wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed 1025 to the editing of the manuscript.

¹⁰²⁸**Acknowledgements**

¹⁰²⁹We would like to thank Prof. Rachel Brem for her feedback and insights on the 1030 eQTL analyses. We would also like to thank Dr. Minhoo Kim for her feedback on the 1031 manuscript. Some panels were created with BioRender.com.

1033

1034

REFERENCES

- 1036 1. Lander ES, Linton LM, Birren B, Nusbaum C, Zody MC, Baldwin J, Devon K, Dewar K,
- 1037 Doyle M, FitzHugh W, et al: **Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome.** *Nature* 2001, **409:**860-921.
- 1039 2. Venter JC, Adams MD, Myers EW, Li PW, Mural RJ, Sutton GG, Smith HO, Yandell M, Evans CA, Holt RA, et al: **The Sequence of the Human Genome.** *Science* 2001, **291:**1304-1351.
- 3. Brouha B, Schustak J, Badge RM, Lutz-Prigge S, Farley AH, Moran JV, Kazazian HH:
- **Hot L1s account for the bulk of retrotransposition in the human population.** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2003, **100:**5280-5285.
- 4. Moran JV, Holmes SE, Naas TP, DeBerardinis RJ, Boeke JD, Kazazian HH, Jr.: **High Frequency Retrotransposition in Cultured Mammalian Cells.** *Cell* 1996, **87:**917-927.
- 5. Bravo JI, Nozownik S, Danthi PS, Benayoun BA: **Transposable elements, circular RNAs and mitochondrial transcription in age-related genomic regulation.**
- *Development* 2020, **147**.
- 6. Della Valle F, Reddy P, Yamamoto M, Liu P, Saera-Vila A, Bensaddek D, Zhang H, Prieto Martinez J, Abassi L, Celii M, et al: *LINE-1* **RNA causes heterochromatin erosion and is a target for amelioration of senescent phenotypes in progeroid syndromes.** *Science Translational Medicine* 2022, **14:**eabl6057.
- 1054 7. Simon M, Van Meter M, Ablaeva J, Ke Z, Gonzalez RS, Taguchi T, De Cecco M, Leonova KI, Kogan V, Helfand SL, et al: **LINE1 Derepression in Aged Wild-Type and SIRT6-Deficient Mice Drives Inflammation.** *Cell Metabolism* 2019, **29:**871-885.e875.
- 1057 8. Zhao Y, Oreskovic E, Zhang Q, Lu Q, Gilman A, Lin YS, He J, Zheng Z, Lu JY, Lee J, et al: **Transposon-triggered innate immune response confers cancer resistance to the blind mole rat.** *Nature Immunology* 2021, **22:**1219-1230.

de la construcción de la construcc
A la construcción de la construcci

- 9. Zhao H, Ji Q, Wu Z, Wang S, Ren J, Yan K, Wang Z, Hu J, Chu Q, Hu H, et al: **Destabilizing heterochromatin by APOE mediates senescence.** *Nature Aging* 2022, **2:**303-316.
- 10. Liu EY, Russ J, Cali CP, Phan JM, Amlie-Wolf A, Lee EB: **Loss of Nuclear TDP-43 Is Associated with Decondensation of LINE Retrotransposons.** *Cell Reports* 2019, **27:**1409-1421.e1406.
- 11. De Cecco M, Criscione SW, Peterson AL, Neretti N, Sedivy JM, Kreiling JA: **Transposable elements become active and mobile in the genomes of aging mammalian somatic tissues.** *Aging (Albany NY)* 2013, **5:**867-883.
- 1069 12. De Cecco M, Criscione SW, Peckham EJ, Hillenmeyer S, Hamm EA, Manivannan J, Peterson AL, Kreiling JA, Neretti N, Sedivy JM: **Genomes of replicatively senescent cells undergo global epigenetic changes leading to gene silencing and activation of transposable elements.** *Aging Cell* 2013, **12:**247-256.
- 13. Campisi J: **Aging, Cellular Senescence, and Cancer.** *Annual Review of Physiology* 2013, **75:**685-705.
- 14. Franceschi C, Garagnani P, Parini P, Giuliani C, Santoro A: **Inflammaging: a new immune–metabolic viewpoint for age-related diseases.** *Nature Reviews Endocrinology* 2018, **14:**576-590.
- 1078 15. De Cecco M, Ito T, Petrashen AP, Elias AE, Skvir NJ, Criscione SW, Caligiana A, Brocculi G, Adney EM, Boeke JD, et al: **L1 drives IFN in senescent cells and promotes age-associated inflammation.** *Nature* 2019, **566:**73-78.
- 1081 16. Rodriguez-Martin B, Alvarez EG, Baez-Ortega A, Zamora J, Supek F, Demeulemeester 1082 J, Santamarina M, Ju YS, Temes J, Garcia-Souto D, et al: **Pan-cancer analysis of whole genomes identifies driver rearrangements promoted by LINE-1 retrotransposition.** *Nature Genetics* 2020, **52:**306-319.

- 17. Flasch DA, Chen X, Ju B, Li X, Dalton J, Mulder HL, Easton J, Wang L, Baker SJ,
- Chiang J, Zhang J: **Somatic LINE-1 promoter acquisition drives oncogenic FOXR2 activation in pediatric brain tumor.** *Acta Neuropathologica* 2022, **143:**605-607.
- 1088 18. Levin HL, Moran JV: Dynamic interactions between transposable elements and **their hosts.** *Nature Reviews Genetics* 2011, **12:**615-627.
- 19. Rebollo R, Romanish MT, Mager DL: **Transposable Elements: An Abundant and Natural Source of Regulatory Sequences for Host Genes.** *Annual Review of Genetics* 2012, **46:**21-42.
- 20. Liu N, Lee CH, Swigut T, Grow E, Gu B, Bassik MC, Wysocka J: **Selective silencing of euchromatic L1s revealed by genome-wide screens for L1 regulators.** *Nature* 2018,
- **553:**228-232.
- 1096 21. Chung N, Jonaid GM, Quinton S, Ross A, Sexton CE, Alberto A, Clymer C, Churchill D,

1097 Navarro Leija O, Han MV: **Transcriptome analyses of tumor-adjacent somatic tissues reveal genes co-expressed with transposable elements.** *Mobile DNA* 2019, **10:**39.

- 22. Auton A, Abecasis GR, Altshuler DM, Durbin RM, Abecasis GR, Bentley DR, Chakravarti A, Clark AG, Donnelly P, Eichler EE, et al: **A global reference for human genetic variation.** *Nature* 2015, **526:**68-74.
- 23. Sudmant PH, Rausch T, Gardner EJ, Handsaker RE, Abyzov A, Huddleston J, Zhang Y, 1104 Ye K, Jun G, Hsi-Yang Fritz M, et al: **An integrated map of structural variation in 2,504 human genomes.** *Nature* 2015, **526:**75-81.
- 24. Sie L, Loong S, Tan EK: **Utility of lymphoblastoid cell lines.** *Journal of Neuroscience Research* 2009, **87:**1953-1959.
- 25. Hussain T, Mulherkar R: **Lymphoblastoid Cell lines: a Continuous in Vitro Source of Cells to Study Carcinogen Sensitivity and DNA Repair.** *Int J Mol Cell Med* 2012,
- **1:**75-87.

ing the state of th
The state of the st

- 27. Jin Y, Tam OH, Paniagua E, Hammell M: **TEtranscripts: a package for including transposable elements in differential expression analysis of RNA-seq datasets.** *Bioinformatics* 2015, **31:**3593-3599.
- 28. Williams TM: **Human Leukocyte Antigen Gene Polymorphism and the Histocompatibility Laboratory.** *The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics* 2001, **3:**98-104.
- 29. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, Paulovich
- A, Pomeroy SL, Golub TR, Lander ES, Mesirov JP: **Gene set enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles.** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2005, **102:**15545-15550.
- 30. Liberzon A, Birger C, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Ghandi M, Mesirov JP, Tamayo P: **The Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) hallmark gene set collection.** *Cell Syst* 2015, **1:**417-425.
- 1127 31. Marasca F, Sinha S, Vadalà R, Polimeni B, Ranzani V, Paraboschi EM, Burattin FV. Ghilotti M, Crosti M, Negri ML, et al: **LINE1 are spliced in non-canonical transcript variants to regulate T cell quiescence and exhaustion.** *Nature Genetics* 2022, **54:**180-193.
- 32. Wahl D, Cavalier AN, Smith M, Seals DR, LaRocca TJ: **Healthy Aging Interventions Reduce Repetitive Element Transcripts.** *The Journals of Gerontology: Series A* 2020, **76:**805-810.
- 1134 33. Sampathkumar NK, Bravo JI, Chen Y, Danthi PS, Donahue EK, Lai RW, Lu R, Randall LT, Vinson N, Benayoun BA: **Widespread sex dimorphism in aging and age-related diseases.** *Hum Genet* 2020, **139:**333-356.

in de la construcción de la constr
Sistema

- 34. Baeken MW, Moosmann B, Hajieva P: **Retrotransposon activation by distressed**
- **mitochondria in neurons.** *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 2020, **525:**570-575.
- 1140 35. Valdebenito-Maturana B, Valdebenito-Maturana F, Carrasco M, Tapia JC, Maureira A:
- **Activation of Transposable Elements in Human Skeletal Muscle Fibers upon Statin**
- **Treatment.** *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 2023, **24:**244.
- 36. Tiwari B, Jones AE, Caillet CJ, Das S, Royer SK, Abrams JM: **p53 directly represses human LINE1 transposons.** *Genes & Development* 2020, **34:**1439-1451.
- 1145 37. Ardeljan D, Steranka JP, Liu C, Li Z, Taylor MS, Taylor MS, Payer LM, Gorbounov M,
- 1146 Sarnecki JS, Deshpande V, et al: **Cell fitness screens reveal a conflict between**
- **LINE-1 retrotransposition and DNA replication.** *Nature structural & molecular biology* 2020, **27:**168-178.
- 38. Luqman-Fatah A, Watanabe Y, Uno K, Ishikawa F, Moran JV, Miyoshi T: **The interferon**
- **stimulated gene-encoded protein HELZ2 inhibits human LINE-1 retrotransposition**
- **and LINE-1 RNA-mediated type I interferon induction.** *Nature Communications* 2023, **14:**203.
- 39. The EPC: **A User's Guide to the Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (ENCODE).** *PLOS Biology* 2011, **9:**e1001046.
- 40. **An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome.** *Nature* 2012, **489:**57-74.
- 41. Center DM, Cruikshank W: **Modulation of lymphocyte migration by human lymphokines. I. Identification and characterization of chemoattractant activity for lymphocytes from mitogen-stimulated mononuclear cells.** *J Immunol* 1982, **128:**2563-2568.
- 42. Cruikshank WW, Center DM, Nisar N, Wu M, Natke B, Theodore AC, Kornfeld H: **Molecular and functional analysis of a lymphocyte chemoattractant factor:**

association of biologic function with CD4 expression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1994, **91:**5109-5113.

- 43. Theodore AC, Center DM, Nicoll J, Fine G, Kornfeld H, Cruikshank WW: **CD4 ligand IL-16 inhibits the mixed lymphocyte reaction.** *J Immunol* 1996, **157:**1958-1964.
- 44. Cruikshank WW, Lim K, Theodore AC, Cook J, Fine G, Weller PF, Center DM: **IL-16 inhibition of CD3-dependent lymphocyte activation and proliferation.** *J Immunol* 1996, **157:**5240-5248.
- 45. Center DM, Kornfeld H, Cruikshank WW: **Interleukin 16 and its function as a CD4 ligand.** *Immunol Today* 1996, **17:**476-481.
- 46. Wilson KC, Center DM, Cruikshank WW: **Mini ReviewThe Effect of Interleukin-16 and its Precursor on T Lymphocyte Activation and Growth.** *Growth Factors* 2004, **22:**97- 1174 104.
- 47. Huang Y, Du KL, Guo PY, Zhao RM, Wang B, Zhao XL, Zhang CQ: **IL-16 regulates macrophage polarization as a target gene of mir-145-3p.** *Mol Immunol* 2019, **107:**1- 1177 9.
- 48. Rodriguez-Agudo D, Malacrida L, Kakiyama G, Sparrer T, Fortes C, Maceyka M, Subler MA, Windle JJ, Gratton E, Pandak WM, Gil G: **StarD5: an ER stress protein regulates plasma membrane and intracellular cholesterol homeostasis.** *Journal of Lipid Research* 2019, **60:**1087-1098.

49. Rodriguez-Agudo D, Calderon-Dominguez M, Medina MA, Ren S, Gil G, Pandak WM: **ER stress increases StarD5 expression by stabilizing its mRNA and leads to relocalization of its protein from the nucleus to the membranes.** *J Lipid Res* 2012, **53:**2708-2715.

50. Soccio RE, Adams RM, Maxwell KN, Breslow JL: **Differential Gene Regulation of StarD4 and StarD5 Cholesterol Transfer Proteins: ACTIVATION OF StarD4 BY STEROL REGULATORY ELEMENT-BINDING PROTEIN-2 AND StarD5 BY**

in the state of the
State of the state of the state

ENDOPLASMIC RETICULUM STRESS *. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2005, **280:**19410-19418.

- 1191 51. Luu-The V, Tremblay P, Labrie F: Characterization of type 12 17beta-hydroxysteroid **dehydrogenase, an isoform of type 3 17beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase responsible for estradiol formation in women.** *Mol Endocrinol* 2006, **20:**437-443.
- 52. Heikelä H, Ruohonen ST, Adam M, Viitanen R, Liljenbäck H, Eskola O, Gabriel M, Mairinoja L, Pessia A, Velagapudi V, et al: **Hydroxysteroid (17**β**) dehydrogenase 12 is essential for metabolic homeostasis in adult mice.** *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2020, **319:**E494-e508.
- 53. Nagasaki S, Miki Y, Akahira J, Suzuki T, Sasano H: **Transcriptional regulation of 17beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 12 by SREBP-1.** *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 2009, **307:**163-168.
- 54. Didier C, Broday L, Bhoumik A, Israeli S, Takahashi S, Nakayama K, Thomas SM, 1202 Turner CE, Henderson S, Sabe H, Ronai Ze: RNF5, a RING Finger Protein That **Regulates Cell Motility by Targeting Paxillin Ubiquitination and Altered Localization.** *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 2003, **23:**5331-5345.
- 55. Tcherpakov M, Delaunay A, Toth J, Kadoya T, Petroski MD, Ronai ZeA: **Regulation of Endoplasmic Reticulum-associated Degradation by RNF5-dependent Ubiquitination of JNK-associated Membrane Protein (JAMP) *.** *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2009, **284:**12099-12109.
- 56. Zhong B, Zhang Y, Tan B, Liu TT, Wang YY, Shu HB: **The E3 ubiquitin ligase RNF5 targets virus-induced signaling adaptor for ubiquitination and degradation.** *^J Immunol* 2010, **184:**6249-6255.
- 57. Zhong B, Zhang L, Lei C, Li Y, Mao A-P, Yang Y, Wang Y-Y, Zhang X-L, Shu H-B: **The Ubiquitin Ligase RNF5 Regulates Antiviral Responses by Mediating Degradation of the Adaptor Protein MITA.** *Immunity* 2009, **30:**397-407.

- 58. Lai RW, Lu R, Danthi PS, Bravo JI, Goumba A, Sampathkumar NK, Benayoun BA: **Multi-level remodeling of transcriptional landscapes in aging and longevity.** *BMB Rep* 2019, **52:**86-108.
- 59. Sato S, Gillette M, de Santiago PR, Kuhn E, Burgess M, Doucette K, Feng Y, Mendez-1219 Dorantes C, Ippoliti PJ, Hobday S, et al: **LINE-1 ORF1p as a candidate biomarker in**
- **high grade serous ovarian carcinoma.** *Scientific Reports* 2023, **13:**1537.
- 60. Rodić N, Sharma R, Sharma R, Zampella J, Dai L, Taylor MS, Hruban RH, Iacobuzio-Donahue CA, Maitra A, Torbenson MS, et al: **Long Interspersed Element-1 Protein Expression Is a Hallmark of Many Human Cancers.** *The American Journal of Pathology* 2014, **184:**1280-1286.
- 61. Lindholm HT, Chen R, De Carvalho DD: **Endogenous retroelements as alarms for disruptions to cellular homeostasis.** *Trends in Cancer* 2023, **9:**55-68.
- 62. Jeck WR, Siebold AP, Sharpless NE: **Review: a meta-analysis of GWAS and age-associated diseases.** *Aging Cell* 2012, **11:**727-731.
- 63. Ramirez J, Bitterman P, Basu S, Barua A: **Changes in IL-16 Expression in the Ovary during Aging and Its Potential Consequences to Ovarian Pathology.** *J Immunol Res* 2022, **2022:**2870389.
- 64. Lonsdale J, Thomas J, Salvatore M, Phillips R, Lo E, Shad S, Hasz R, Walters G, Garcia
- F, Young N, et al: **The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project.** *Nature Genetics* 2013, **45:**580-585.
- 65. Carithers LJ, Ardlie K, Barcus M, Branton PA, Britton A, Buia SA, Compton CC, DeLuca DS, Peter-Demchok J, Gelfand ET, et al: **A Novel Approach to High-Quality Postmortem Tissue Procurement: The GTEx Project.** *Biopreservation and Biobanking* 2015, **13:**311-319.

66. Streeter I, Harrison PW, Faulconbridge A, The HipSci Consortium, Flicek P, Parkinson

H, Clarke L: **The human-induced pluripotent stem cell initiative—data resources for cellular genetics.** *Nucleic Acids Research* 2016, **45:**D691-D697.

- 67. Chick JM, Munger SC, Simecek P, Huttlin EL, Choi K, Gatti DM, Raghupathy N, 1243 Svenson KL, Churchill GA, Gygi SP: **Defining the consequences of genetic variation on a proteome-wide scale.** *Nature* 2016, **534:**500-505.
- 68. Stegle O, Parts L, Piipari M, Winn J, Durbin R: **Using probabilistic estimation of expression residuals (PEER) to obtain increased power and interpretability of gene expression analyses.** *Nat Protoc* 2012, **7:**500-507.
- 69. Leek JT: **svaseq: removing batch effects and other unwanted noise from sequencing data.** *Nucleic Acids Research* 2014, **42:**e161-e161.
- 70. Savytska N, Heutink P, Bansal V: **Transcription start site signal profiling improves transposable element RNA expression analysis at locus-level.** *Frontiers in Genetics* **2022**, **13**.
- 71. Lanciano S, Cristofari G: **Measuring and interpreting transposable element expression.** *Nature Reviews Genetics* 2020, **21:**721-736.
- 72. Zeng TB, Pierce N, Liao J, Szabó PE: **H3K9 methyltransferase EHMT2/G9a controls ERVK-driven noncanonical imprinted genes.** *Epigenomics* 2021, **13:**1299-1314.
- 73. Jiang Q, Ang JYJ, Lee AY, Cao Q, Li KY, Yip KY, Leung DCY: **G9a Plays Distinct Roles in Maintaining DNA Methylation, Retrotransposon Silencing, and Chromatin Looping.** *Cell Reports* 2020, **33:**108315.
- 74. Rantakari P, Lagerbohm H, Kaimainen M, Suomela J-P, Strauss L, Sainio K, Pakarinen
- P, Poutanen M: **Hydroxysteroid (17**β**) Dehydrogenase 12 Is Essential for Mouse**
- **Organogenesis and Embryonic Survival.** *Endocrinology* 2010, **151:**1893-1901.

- 75. Garcia G, Zhang H, Moreno S, Tsui CK, Webster BM, Higuchi-Sanabria R, Dillin A: **Lipid homeostasis is essential for a maximal ER stress response.** *eLife* 2023, **12:**e83884.
- 76. Baier M, Werner A, Bannert N, Metzner K, Kurth R: **HIV suppression by interleukin-16.** *Nature* 1995, **378:**563.
- 77. Zhou P, Goldstein S, Devadas K, Tewari D, Notkins AL: **Human CD4+ cells transfected with IL-16 cDNA are resistant to HIV-1 infection: inhibition of mRNA expression.** *Nat Med* 1997, **3:**659-664.
- 1271 78. Idziorek T, Khalife J, Billaut-Mulot O, Hermann E, Aumercier M, Mouton Y, Capron A,
- Bahr GM: **Recombinant human IL-16 inhibits HIV-1 replication and protects against activation-induced cell death (AICD).** *Clin Exp Immunol* 1998, **112:**84-91.
- 79. Jia R, Jiang C, Li L, Huang C, Lu L, Xu M, Xu J, Liang X: **Interleukin 16 Enhances the Host Susceptibility to Influenza A Virus Infection.** *Frontiers in Microbiology* 2021, **12**.
- 80. Liu S, Lei Z, Li J, Wang L, Jia R, Liu Z, Jiang C, Gao Y, Liu M, Kuang L, et al: **Interleukin 16 contributes to gammaherpesvirus pathogenesis by inhibiting viral reactivation.** *PLoS Pathog* 2020, **16:**e1008701.
- 81. Watanabe T, Watanabe S, Kawaoka Y: **Cellular Networks Involved in the Influenza Virus Life Cycle.** *Cell Host & Microbe* 2010, **7:**427-439.
- 1281 82. Germain MA, Chatel-Chaix L, Gagné B, Bonneil É, Thibault P, Pradezynski F, de 1282 Chassey B, Meyniel-Schicklin L, Lotteau V, Baril M, Lamarre D: **Elucidating novel hepatitis C virus-host interactions using combined mass spectrometry and functional genomics approaches.** *Mol Cell Proteomics* 2014, **13:**184-203.
- 1285 83. Mohamed B, Mazeaud C, Baril M, Poirier D, Sow AA, Chatel-Chaix L, Titorenko V, Lamarre D: **Very-long-chain fatty acid metabolic capacity of 17-beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 12 (HSD17B12) promotes replication of hepatitis C virus and related flaviviruses.** *Scientific Reports* 2020, **10:**4040.

- 84. Feng K, Min Y-Q, Sun X, Deng F, Li P, Wang H, Ning Y-J: **Interactome profiling reveals interaction of SARS-CoV-2 NSP13 with host factor STAT1 to suppress**
- **interferon signaling.** *Journal of Molecular Cell Biology* 2021, **13:**760-762.
- 85. Yuan Z, Hu B, Xiao H, Tan X, Li Y, Tang K, Zhang Y, Cai K, Ding B: **The E3 Ubiquitin**
- **Ligase RNF5 Facilitates SARS-CoV-2 Membrane Protein-Mediated Virion Release.**
- *mBio* 2022, **13:**e03168-03121.
- 86. Li Z, Hao P, Zhao Z, Gao W, Huan C, Li L, Chen X, Wang H, Jin N, Luo Z-Q, et al: **The**

E3 ligase RNF5 restricts SARS-CoV-2 replication by targeting its envelope protein for degradation. *Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy* 2023, **8:**53.

- 87. Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MO, Whitwham A, Keane
- 1299 T, McCarthy SA, Davies RM, Li H: Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *GigaScience* 2021, **10**.
- 88. Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, Handsaker RE, 1302 Lunter G, Marth GT, Sherry ST, et al: **The variant call format and VCFtools.** *Bioinformatics* 2011, **27:**2156-2158.
- 89. Gao F, Chang D, Biddanda A, Ma L, Guo Y, Zhou Z, Keinan A: **XWAS: A Software Toolset for Genetic Data Analysis and Association Studies of the X Chromosome.** *J Hered* 2015, **106:**666-671.
- 90. Keur N, Ricaño-Ponce I, Kumar V, Matzaraki V: **A systematic review of analytical methods used in genetic association analysis of the X-chromosome.** *Briefings in Bioinformatics* 2022, **23**.
- 1310 91. Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MAR, Bender D, Maller J, Sklar P, de Bakker PIW, Daly MJ, Sham PC: **PLINK: A Tool Set for Whole-Genome Association and Population-Based Linkage Analyses.** *The American Journal of Human Genetics* 2007, **81:**559-575.

- 92. Ahl V, Keller H, Schmidt S, Weichenrieder O: **Retrotransposition and Crystal Structure of an Alu RNP in the Ribosome-Stalling Conformation.** *Molecular Cell* 2015, **60:**715-727.
- 93. Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J: **fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.** *Bioinformatics* 2018, **34:**i884-i890.
- 94. Frankish A, Diekhans M, Ferreira A-M, Johnson R, Jungreis I, Loveland J, Mudge JM,
- 1320 Sisu C, Wright J, Armstrong J, et al: **GENCODE reference annotation for the human and mouse genomes.** *Nucleic Acids Research* 2018, **47:**D766-D773.
- 95. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M,
- Gingeras TR: **STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner.** *Bioinformatics* 2012, **29:**15- 1324 21.
- 96. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S: **Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2.** *Genome Biology* 2014, **15:**550.
- 97. Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, Smyth GK: **limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies.** *Nucleic Acids Research* 2015, **43:**e47-e47.
- 98. Macchietto MG, Langlois RA, Shen SS: **Virus-induced transposable element expression up-regulation in human and mouse host cells.** *Life Sci Alliance* 2020, **3**.
- 99. Shabalin AA: **Matrix eQTL: ultra fast eQTL analysis via large matrix operations.** *Bioinformatics* 2012, **28:**1353-1358.
- 100. Wang T, Peng Q, Liu B, Liu X, Liu Y, Peng J, Wang Y: **eQTLMAPT: Fast and Accurate eQTL Mediation Analysis With Efficient Permutation Testing Approaches.** *Frontiers in Genetics* 2020, **10**.
- 1337 101. Wu T, Hu E, Xu S, Chen M, Guo P, Dai Z, Feng T, Zhou L, Tang W, Zhan L, et al: **clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics data.** *The Innovation* 2021, **2:**100141.

1340 102. Ghoussaini M, Mountjoy E, Carmona M, Peat G, Schmidt EM, Hercules A, Fumis L, 1341 Miranda A, Carvalho-Silva D, Buniello A, et al: **Open Targets Genetics: systematic identification of trait-associated genes using large-scale genetics and functional genomics.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 2021, **49:**D1311-d1320. 103. Kim S-S, Hudgins AD, Gonzalez B, Milman S, Barzilai N, Vijg J, Tu Z, Suh Y: **^A Compendium of Age-Related PheWAS and GWAS Traits for Human Genetic**

- ¹³⁴⁶**Association Studies, Their Networks and Genetic Correlations.** *Frontiers in* ¹³⁴⁷*Genetics* 2021, **12**.
-
-
- 1350

¹³⁵¹**FIGURE LEGENDS**

¹³⁵³**Figure 1. Overview of the pipeline developed to scan for L1 transcriptional** ¹³⁵⁴**regulators** *in silico***.**

¹³⁵⁵**(A)** An illustration of the samples and "omic" data used in this study. Of the 358 ¹³⁵⁶European individuals, 187 were female and 171 were male. Of the 86 African 1357 individuals, 49 were female and 37 were male. (Note that Utah subjects are of Northern ¹³⁵⁸European ancestry, and thus part of the European cohort for analytical purposes). **(B)**^A 1359 schematic illustrating how genetic variants, gene expression, and TE expression can be 1360 integrated to identify highly correlated SNV-Gene-TE trios. **(C)** A Manhattan plot for the ¹³⁶¹L1 subfamily *trans*-eQTL analysis in the European cohort. The genes that passed our 1362 three-part integration approach are listed next to the most significant *trans*-eQTL SNV 1363 they were associated with in *cis*. The dashed line at $p = 3.44E-8$ corresponds to an 1364 average empirical FDR $<$ 0.05, based on 20 random permutations. One such 1365 permutation is illustrated in the bottom panel. The solid line at $p = 2.31E-8$ corresponds 1366 to a Benjamini-Hochberg FDR $<$ 0.05. The stricter of the two thresholds, p = 2.31E-8, 1367 was used to define significant *trans*-eQTLs. FDR: False Discovery Rate. Some panels 1368 were created with BioRender.com.

Figure 2. Identification of 1st ¹³⁷⁰**tier candidate L1 expression regulators in the** ¹³⁷¹**European cohort.**

1372 (A) A schematic for how 1st tier candidate genes were defined. In short, these were ¹³⁷³genes in trios with index SNVs that were at the top of their respective peak. **(B)** The

e de la construcción de la constru
A la construcción de la construcci

1374 three-part integration results for three protein-coding genes—*STARD5*, *IL16*, ¹³⁷⁵*HSD17B12*—that we considered first tier candidates for functional, *in vitro* testing. In the ¹³⁷⁶left column are the *trans*-eQTLs, in the middle column are the *cis*-eQTLs, and in the 1377 right column are the linear regressions for gene expression against L1 subfamily 1378 expression. Expression values following an inverse normal transform (INT) are shown. 1379 The FDR for each analysis is listed at the top of each plot. FDR: False Discovery Rate.

¹³⁸¹**Figure 3. L1** *trans***-eQTLs are associated with subtle, widespread differences in TE** ¹³⁸²**families and known TE-associated pathways.**

¹³⁸³**(A)** Scheme for functionally annotating gene-linked index SNVs by GSEA. **(B)** GSEA 1384 analysis for shared, significantly regulated TE family gene sets across genotypes for ¹³⁸⁵rs11635336 (*IL16/STARD5*), rs9271894 (*HLA*), and rs1061810 (*HSD17B12*). **(C)** GSEA 1386 plots for the L1 family gene set results summarized in **(B)**. For these plots, the FDR 1387 value is listed. GSEA analysis for top, shared, concomitantly regulated **(D)** MSigDB ¹³⁸⁸Hallmark pathway, **(E)** GO Biological Process, and **(F)** Reactome pathway gene sets 1389 across genotypes for rs11635336 (*IL16/STARD5*), rs9271894 (*HLA*), and rs1061810 ¹³⁹⁰(*HSD17B12*). Shared gene sets were ranked by combining p-values from each 1391 individual SNV analysis using Fisher's method. In each bubble plot, the size of the dot 1392 represents the $-log_{10}(FDR)$ and the color reflects the normalized enrichment score. 1393 FDR: False Discovery Rate.

¹³⁹⁵**Figure 4. Impact of** *IL16* **and** *STARD5* **overexpression on LCL gene and TE** ¹³⁹⁶**expression landscapes.**

e de la construcción de la constru
A la construcción de la construcci

¹³⁹⁷*IL16* and *STARD5* overexpression induce changes consistent with their known biology, ¹³⁹⁸as well as subtle but widespread upregulation of TE families. **(A)** Scheme for 1399 experimentally validating the roles of *IL16* and *STARD5* in L1 regulation. GSEA analysis 1400 for top, differentially regulated **(B)** GO Biological Process and **(C)** Reactome pathway 1401 gene sets following *IL16* overexpression. GSEA analysis for top, differentially regulated ¹⁴⁰²**(D)** GO Biological Process and **(E)** Reactome pathway gene sets following *STARD5* 1403 overexpression. **(F)** GSEA analysis for shared, significantly regulated TE family gene 1404 sets following *IL16* and *STARD5* overexpression. **(G)** GSEA plots for the L1 family gene 1405 set results summarized in (F). For these plots, the FDR value is listed. In each bubble 1406 plot, the size of the dot represents the $-log_{10}(FDR)$ and the color reflects the normalized 1407 enrichment score. FDR: False Discovery Rate. Some panels were created with 1408 BioRender.com.

¹⁴¹⁰**Figure 5. rhIL16 treatment is sufficient to transiently upregulate an L1 family gene** ¹⁴¹¹**set.**

¹⁴¹²**(A)** Scheme for experimentally validating the role of rhIL16 in L1 regulation. GSEA ¹⁴¹³analysis for top, shared, concomitantly regulated **(B)** GO Biological Process and **(C)** ¹⁴¹⁴Reactome pathway gene sets following *IL16* overexpression and rhIL16 exposure for 24 1415 hours. Shared gene sets were ranked by combining p-values from each individual 1416 treatment analysis using Fisher's method. **(D)** GSEA analysis for top, differentially 1417 regulated TE family gene sets following rhIL16 exposure for 24 hours. The GSEA plot 1418 for the L1 family gene set result summarized in the bubble plot is also shown. For this 1419 plot, the FDR value is listed. In each bubble plot, the size of the dot represents the -

e de la construcción de la constru
A la construcción de la construcci

1420 $log_{10}(FDR)$ and the color reflects the normalized enrichment score. FDR: False 1421 Discovery Rate. Some panels were created with BioRender.com.

¹⁴²³**Figure 6**. **Consistent cellular responses to** *IL16* **overexpression,** *STARD5* ¹⁴²⁴**overexpression, and rhIL16 exposure for 24 hours.**

¹⁴²⁵*IL16* overexpression, *STARD5* overexpression, and rhIL16 exposure for 24 hours are 1426 associated with subtle but widespread differences in TE families and known TE-1427 associated pathways. **(A)** Scheme for assessing concordantly regulated TE family and 1428 pathway gene sets across conditions where an L1 gene set is upregulated. GSEA ¹⁴²⁹analysis for top, shared, concomitantly regulated **(B)** TE family, **(C)** MSigDB Hallmark 1430 pathway, **(D)** GO Biological Process, and **(E)** Reactome pathway gene sets following ¹⁴³¹*IL16* overexpression, *STARD5* overexpression, and rhIL16 exposure for 24 hours. 1432 Shared gene sets were ranked by combining p-values from each individual treatment 1433 analysis using Fisher's method. In each bubble plot, the size of the dot represents the -1434 $log_{10}(FDR)$ and the color reflects the normalized enrichment score. FDR: False 1435 Discovery Rate.

¹⁴³⁷**Figure 7. L1** *trans***-eQTLs are co-associated with aging traits in GWAS databases.**

¹⁴³⁸**(A)** Scheme for obtaining *trans*-eQTL SNV-associated aging phenotypes from the Open 1439 Targets Genetics platform. **(B)** A pie chart representing the number of SNVs (222/499) 1440 associated with an aging-related MeSH trait, either by PheWAS or indirectly linked to 1441 the phenotype through a proxy lead SNP in LD with the SNV. **(C)** Histogram depicting 1442 the distribution of number of aging MeSH traits associated with the 222/499 SNVs by 1443 PheWAS. **(D)** Histogram depicting the distribution of number of aging MeSH traits linked ¹⁴⁴⁴with the 222/499 SNVs through a proxy lead SNP in LD with the SNVs. **(E)** A diagram 1445 highlighting the organ targets of the top 10 most frequently associated aging traits. **(F)** 1446 The concentrations of circulating IL16 in aging mice of both sexes was assessed by ¹⁴⁴⁷ELISA. Significance across age in each sex was assessed using a Wilcoxon test. The 1448 p-values from each sex (females in pink and males in blue) were combined by meta-1449 analysis using Fisher's method. Any p-value < 0.05 was considered significant. Some 1450 panels were created with BioRender.com.

1452
1

A Scheme for defining 1st tier candidate genes

rs11635336 *IL16*/*STARD5* rs9271894 *HLA*

rs1061810 *HSD17B12*

rs11635336 *IL16*/*STARD5*

rs9271894 *HLA*

rs1061810 *HSD17B12*

1.26E-2

<u> Bilim III dhe</u>

rhIL16 associations with TE family gene sets

A Scheme for assessing shared pathway changes in conditions with L1 regulation

A Scheme for identifying TE eQTL co-associated aging traits

