1 A Computational Approach for the Identification of Novel L1 Transcriptional

2 Regulators

- Juan I. Bravo^{1,2}, Chanelle R. Mizrahi^{1,3}, Seungsoo Kim⁴, Lucia Zhang^{1,5}, Yousin Suh^{4,6}, Bérénice
- 4 A. Benayoun^{1,7,8,9,10}
- ⁵ ¹ Leonard Davis School of Gerontology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA
- 6 90089, USA.
- ² Graduate program in the Biology of Aging, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA
- 8 90089, USA.
- ³ USC Gerontology Enriching MSTEM to Enhance Diversity in Aging Program, University of
- 10 Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA.
- ⁴ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New
- 12 York, NY 10032, USA.
- ⁵ Quantitative and Computational Biology Department, USC Dornsife College of Letters, Arts
- 14 and Sciences, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA.
- ⁶ Department of Genetics and Development, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New
- 16 York, NY 10032, USA.
- ⁷ Molecular and Computational Biology Department, USC Dornsife College of Letters, Arts and
- 18 Sciences, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA.
- ⁸ Biochemistry and Molecular Medicine Department, USC Keck School of Medicine, Los
 Angeles, CA 90089, USA.
- ⁹ USC Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, Epigenetics and Gene Regulation, Los Angeles,
- 22 CA 90089, USA.
- ¹⁰ USC Stem Cell Initiative, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA.

24

25 **KEYWORDS:** LINE1, transposons, regulators, eQTLs, screen, aging, GWAS

26 ABSTRACT

Long interspersed element 1 (L1) are a family of autonomous, actively mobile transposons that occupy ~17% of the human genome. The pleiotropic effects L1 induces in host cells—promoting genome instability, inflammation, or cellular senescence—are established, and L1's associations with aging and aging diseases are widely recognized. However, because of the cell type-specific nature of transposon control, the catalogue of L1 regulators remains incomplete.

33 Here, we employ an eQTL approach leveraging transcriptomic and genomic data from 34 the GEUVADIS and 1000Genomes projects to computationally identify new candidate 35 regulators of L1 expression in lymphoblastoid cell lines. To cement the role of candidate 36 genes in L1 regulation, we experimentally modulate the levels of top candidates in vitro, 37 including IL16, STARD5, HSDB17B12, and RNF5, and assess changes in TE family 38 expression by Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA). Remarkably, we observe subtle 39 but widespread upregulation of TE family expression following IL16 and STARD5 40 overexpression. Moreover, a short-term 24 hour exposure to recombinant human IL16 was sufficient to transiently induce subtle but widespread upregulation of L1 41 42 subfamilies. Finally, we find that many L1 expression-associated genetic variants are 43 co-associated with aging traits across genome-wide association study databases.

Our results expand the catalogue of genes implicated in L1 transcriptional control and further suggest that L1 contributes to aging processes. Given the ever-increasing availability of paired genomic and transcriptomic data, we anticipate this new approach to be a starting point for more comprehensive computational scans for transposon transcriptional regulators.

49 BACKGROUND

50 Transposable elements (TEs) constitute ~45% of the human genome [1]. Among these, the long interspersed element-1 (LINE-1 or L1) family of transposons is the most 51 52 abundant, accounting for ~16-17% [1, 2], and remains autonomously mobile, with 53 humans harboring an estimated 80-100 retrotransposition-competent L1 copies [3]. 54 These retrotransposition competent L1s belong to evolutionarily younger L1PA and 55 L1Hs subfamilies, are ~6 kilobases long, carry an internal promoter in their 5'-56 untranslated region (UTR), and encode two proteins — L1ORF1p and L1ORF2p — that are necessary for transposition [4]. The remaining ~500,000 copies are non-57 58 autonomous or immobile because of the presence of inactivating mutations or 59 truncations [1] and include L1 subfamilies of all evolutionary ages, including the 60 evolutionarily older L1P and L1M subfamilies. Though not all copies are transposition 61 competent, L1s can nevertheless contribute to aspects of aging [5, 6] and aging-62 associated diseases [7-10].

63 Though mechanistic studies characterizing the role of L1 in aging and agingconditions are limited, it is clear that its effects are pleiotropic. L1 can contribute to 64 65 genome instability via insertional mutagenesis and an expansion of copy number with 66 age [11] and during senescence [12]. L1 can also play a contributing role in shaping 67 inflammatory and cellular senescence phenotypes. The secretion of a panoply of pro-68 inflammatory factors is a marker of senescent cells, called the senescence associated secretory phenotype (SASP) [13]. Importantly, the SASP is believed to stimulate the 69 70 innate immune system and contribute to chronic, low-grade, sterile inflammation with 71 age, a phenomenon referred to as "inflamm-aging" [13, 14]. During deep senescence,

72 L1 are transcriptionally de-repressed and consequently generate cytosolic DNA that 73 initiates an immune response consisting of the production and secretion of pro-74 inflammatory interferons [15]. Finally, L1 is causally implicated in aging-associated 75 diseases like cancer. L1 may contribute to cancer by (i) serving as a source for 76 chromosomal rearrangements that can delete tumor-suppressor genes [16] or (ii) 77 introducing its promoter into normally-silenced oncogenes [17]. Thus, because of the 78 pathological effects L1 can have on hosts, it is critical that hosts maintain precise control 79 over L1 activity.

80 Eukaryotic hosts have evolved several pre- and post-transcriptional mechanisms 81 for regulating TEs [18, 19]. Nevertheless, our knowledge of regulatory genes remains 82 incomplete because of cell type-specific regulation and the complexity of methods 83 required to identify regulators. Indeed, one clustered regularly interspaced short 84 palindromic repeats (CRISPR) screen in two cancer cell lines for regulators of L1 85 transposition identified >150 genes involved in diverse biological functions, such as 86 chromatin regulation, DNA replication, and DNA repair [20]. However, only about ~36% 87 of the genes identified in the primary screen exerted the same effects in both cell lines 88 [20], highlighting the cell type-specific nature of L1 control. Moreover, given the 89 complexities of *in vitro* screens, especially in non-standard cell lines or primary cells, *in* 90 silico screens for L1 regulators may facilitate the task of identifying and cataloguing 91 candidate regulators across cell and tissue types. One such attempt was made by 92 generating gene-TE co-expression networks from RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) data 93 generated from multiple tissue types of cancerous origin [21]. Although co-expression 94 modules with known TE regulatory functions, such as interferon signaling, were

95 correlated with TE modules, it is unclear whether other modules may harbor as of now 96 uncharacterized TE-regulating properties, since no validation experiments were carried 97 out. Additionally, this co-expression approach is limited, as no mechanistic directionality 98 can be assigned between associated gene and TE clusters, complicating the 99 prioritization of candidate regulatory genes for validation. Thus, there is a need for the 100 incorporation of novel "omic" approaches to tackle this problem. Deciphering the 101 machinery that controls TE activity in healthy somatic cells will be crucial, in order to 102 identify checkpoints lost in diseased cells.

103 The 1000Genomes Project and GEUVADIS Consortium provide a rich set of 104 genomic resources to explore the mechanisms of human TE regulation in silico. Indeed, 105 the 1000Genomes project generated a huge collection of genomic data from thousands 106 of human subjects across the world, including single nucleotide variant (SNV) and 107 structural variant (SV) data [22, 23]. To accomplish this, the project relied on 108 lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCLs), which are generated by infecting resting B-cells in 109 peripheral blood with Epstein-Barr virus (EBV). Several properties make them 110 advantageous for use in large-scale projects, e.g. they can be generated relatively 111 uninvasively, they provide a means of obtaining an unlimited amount of a subject's DNA 112 and other biomolecules, and they can serve as an *in vitro* model for studying the effects 113 of genetic variation with any phenotype of interest [24, 25]. Indeed, the GEUVADIS 114 Consortium generated transcriptomic data for a subset of subjects sampled by the 115 1000Genomes Project, and used their genomic data to define the effects genetic 116 variation on gene expression [26]. Together, these resources provide a useful toolkit for 117 investigating the genetic regulation of TEs, generally, and L1, specifically.

In this study, we (i) develop a pipeline to identify novel candidate regulators of L1 expression in lymphoblastoid cell lines, (ii) provide experimental evidence for the involvement of top candidates in L1 expression control, and (iii) expand and reinforce the catalogue of diseases linked to L1.

124 **RESULTS**

125

126 In silico scanning for L1 subfamily candidate regulators by eQTL analysis

127 To identify new candidate regulators of L1 transcription, we decided to leverage 128 publicly available human "omic" datasets with both genetic and transcriptomic 129 information. For this analysis, we focused on samples for which the following data was 130 available: (i) mRNA-seq data from the GEUVADIS project, (ii) SNVs called from whole-131 genome sequencing data overlayed on the hg38 human reference genome made 132 available by the 1000Genomes project, and (iii) repeat structural variation data made available by the 1000Genomes project. This yielded samples from 358 European and 133 134 86 Yoruban individuals, all of whom declared themselves to be healthy at the time of 135 sample collection (Figure 1A). Using the GEUVADIS data, we obtained gene and TE 136 subfamily expression counts using TEtranscripts [27]. As a quality control step, we 137 checked whether mapping rates segregated with ancestry groups, which may bias 138 results. However, the samples appeared to cluster by laboratory rather than by ancestry 139 (Figure S1A). As additional quality control metrics, we also checked whether the SNV and SV data segregated by ancestry following principal component analysis (PCA). 140 141 These analyses demonstrated that the top two and the top three principal components 142 from the SNV and SV data, respectively, segregated ancestry groups (Figure S1B, Figure S1C). 143

144

We then chose to do a three-part integration of the available "omic" data (Figure **18**). Since TEtranscripts quantifies TE expression aggregated at the TE subfamily level

147 and discards TE position information, we chose to carry out a trans-eQTL analysis 148 against global expression of each L1 subfamily. We reasoned that there would have to 149 be factors (i.e., miRNAs, proteins, non-coding RNAs) mediating the effects of SNVs on 150 L1 subfamily expression. Thus, to identify candidate genic mediators, we searched for 151 genes with *cis*-eQTLs that overlapped with L1 *trans*-eQTLs. As a final filter, we 152 reasoned that for a subset of regulators, L1 subfamily expression would respond to 153 changes in the expression of those regulators. Consequently, we chose to quantify the 154 association between L1 subfamily expression and candidate gene expression by linear 155 regression. We hypothesized that this three-part integration would result in 156 combinations of significantly correlated SNVs, genes, and L1 subfamilies (Figure 1B).

157

158 The trans-eQTL analysis against every expressed L1 subfamily led to the 159 identification of 499 trans-eQTLs distributed across chromosomes 6, 11, 12, 14, and 15 160 that passed genome-wide significance (Figure 1C, Supplementary Table S1A). The 161 cis-eQTL analysis led to the identification of 845,260 cis-eQTLs that passed genome-162 wide significance (Supplementary Figure S2, Supplementary Table S1B). After 163 integrating the identified cis- and trans-eQTLs and running linear regression, we 164 identified 1,272 SNV-Gene-L1 trios that fulfilled our three-part integration approach 165 (Supplementary Table S1C). Among this pool of trios, we identified 7 unique proteincoding genes including IL16, STARD5, HLA-DRB5, HLA-DQA2, HSD17B12, RNF5, and 166 167 FKBPL (Figure 1C). We note that although EHMT2 did not pass out screening approach, it does overlap EHMT2-AS1, which did pass our screening thresholds 168 169 (Figure 1C). We also note that several other unique non-coding genes, often

overlapping the protein-coding genes listed, were also identified (Figure 1C). For
 simplicity of interpretation, we focused on protein-coding genes during downstream
 experimental validation.

173

Next, to define first and second tier candidate regulators, we clumped SNVs in 174 175 linkage disequilibrium (LD) by L1 trans-eQTL p-value to identify the most strongly 176 associated genetic variant in each genomic region (Figure 2A, Supplementary Figure 177 **S3A**). LD-clumping identified the following index SNVs (*i.e.* the most strongly associated SNVs in a given region): rs11635336 on chromosome 15, rs9271894 on chromosome 178 179 6, rs1061810 on chromosome 11, rs112581165 on chromosome 12, and rs72691418 180 on chromosome 14 (Supplementary Table S1D). Genes linked to these SNVs were 181 considered first tier candidate regulators and included IL16, STARD5, HLA-DRB5, HLA-182 DQA2, and HSD17B12 (Figure 2B, Supplementary Table S1E). The remaining genes 183 were linked to clumped, non-index SNVs and were consequently considered second tier 184 candidates and included RNF5, EHMT2-AS1, and FKBPL (Supplementary Figure 185 S3B). Additionally, for simplicity of interpretation, we considered only non-HLA genes during downstream experimental validation, since validation could be complicated by 186 187 the highly polymorphic nature of HLA loci [28] and their involvement in multi-protein 188 complexes.

189

Finally, to computationally determine whether candidate genes may causally influence L1 subfamily expression, we carried out mediation analysis on all SNV-gene-L1 trios (**Supplementary Figure S4A**). Interestingly, 868 out of the 1,272 (68.2%) trios

193 exhibited significant (FDR < 0.05) mediation effects (Supplementary Table S1F). 194 Among the 1st tier candidate regulators, significant, partial, and consistent mediation 195 attributed to STARD5, IL16, HSD17B12, effects could be and HLA-DRB5 196 (Supplementary Figure S4B, Supplementary Table S1F). To note, while significant 197 mediation could be attributed to the index SNV for STARD5, significant mediation could 198 only be attributed to clumped SNVs for IL16 and HSD17B12. Given that STARD5 and 199 IL16 share cis-eQTL SNVs, this suggests that STARD5 may be the more potent 200 mediator. Among the 2nd tier candidate regulators, significant, partial, and consistent 201 effects could be attributed to RNF5, EHMT2-AS1, and FKBPL mediation 202 (Supplementary Figure S4C, Supplementary Table S1F). These results suggest that 203 candidate genes may mediate the effects between linked SNVs and L1 subfamilies.

204

205

206 In silico scanning for L1 subfamily candidate regulators in an African population

207 We next sought to assess the cross-ancestry regulatory properties of candidate 208 genes by repeating our scan using the Yoruban samples as a smaller but independent 209 replication cohort. Here, rather than conduct a genome-wide scan for *cis*- and *trans*-210 associated factors, we opted for a targeted approach focusing only on gene *cis*-eQTLs 211 and L1 subfamily trans-eQTLs that were significant in the analysis with European 212 samples (Supplementary Figure S5A). The targeted trans-eQTL analysis led to the 213 identification of 227 significant (FDR < 0.05) trans-eQTLs distributed across 214 chromosomes 6 and 11 (Supplementary Table S2A). The targeted *cis*-eQTL analysis 215 led to the identification of 1,248 significant (FDR < 0.05) *cis*-eQTLs (**Supplementary**

216 Table S2B). After integrating the identified *cis*- and *trans*-eQTLs and running linear 217 regression, we identified 393 SNV-Gene-L1 trios that fulfilled our three-part integration 218 approach (Supplementary Table S2C). Among this pool of trios, we identified 2 unique 219 protein-coding genes-HSD17B12 and HLA-DRB6-as well as several unique non-220 coding genes (Supplementary Table S2C). Again, we clumped SNVs in linkage 221 disequilibrium (LD) by L1 trans-eQTL p-value. LD-clumping identified the following index 222 rs2176598 on chromosome 11 and rs9271379 on chromosome 6 SNVs: 223 (Supplementary Table S2D). Genes linked to these SNVs were considered first tier 224 candidate regulators and included both HSD17B12 and HLA-DRB6 (Supplementary 225 Figure S5B, Supplementary Table S2E). Finally, we carried out mediation analysis on 226 all SNV-gene-L1 trios; however, no significant (FDR < 0.05) mediation was observed 227 (Supplementary Table S2F). These results implicate HSD17B12 and the HLA loci as 228 candidate, cross-ancestry L1 expression regulators.

229

To assess why some candidate genes did not replicate in the Yoruba cohort, we 230 231 manually inspected cis- and trans-eQTL results for trios with those genes 232 (Supplementary Figure S6A). Interestingly, we identified rs9270493 and rs9272222 as 233 significant (FDR < 0.05) trans-eQTLs for L1MEb expression. However, those SNVs 234 were not significant *cis*-eQTLs for *RNF5* and *FKBPL* expression, respectively. For trios 235 involving STARD5, IL16, and EHMT2-AS1, neither the cis-eQTL nor the trans-eQTL 236 were significant. We note that for most of these comparisons, although the two 237 genotypes with the largest sample sizes were sufficient to establish a trending change in cis or trans expression, this trend was often broken by the third genotype with 238

spurious sample sizes. This suggests that replication in the Yoruba cohort may belimited by the small cohort sample size in the GEUVADIS project.

241

242

TE families and known TE-associated pathways are differentially regulated across L1
 trans-eQTL variants

245 Though our eQTL analysis identified genetic variants associated with the 246 expression of specific, evolutionarily older L1 subfamilies, we reasoned that there may 247 be more global but subtle differences in TE expression profiles among genotype groups, 248 given that TE expression is highly correlated [21]. Thus, for each gene-associated index 249 SNV identified in the European eQTL analysis, we carried out differential expression 250 analysis for all expressed genes and TEs (Supplementary Table S3A-S3C: Figure 251 **3A**). At the individual gene level, we detected few significant (FDR < 0.05) changes: 4 252 genes/TEs varied with rs11635336 genotype (IL16/STARD5), 4 genes/TEs varied with 253 rs9271894 genotype (HLA), and 5 gene/TEs varied with rs1061810 genotype 254 (Supplementary Table S3A-S3C). (HSD17B12) Importantly, however. these genes/TEs overlapped the genes/TEs identified in the *cis*- and *trans*-eQTL analyses, 255 256 providing an algorithmically independent link among candidate SNV-gene-TE trios.

In contrast to gene-level analyses, Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) provides increased sensitivity to subtle but consistent/widespread transcriptomic changes at the level of gene sets (*e.g.* TE families, biological pathways, etc.). Thus, we leveraged our differential expression analysis in combination with GSEA to identify repeat family and biological pathway gene sets impacted by SNV genotype in the

262 GEUVADIS dataset (Supplementary Table S3D-S3O; Figure 3A). Interestingly, 263 changes in the genotype of rs11635336 (IL16/STARD5), rs9271894 (HLA), and 264 rs1061810 (HSD17B12) were associated with an upregulation, upregulation, and 265 downregulation, respectively, of multiple TE family gene sets (Figure 3B. 266 Supplementary Table S3P). Differentially regulated TE family gene sets included DNA transposons, such as the hAT-Charlie family, and long terminal repeat (LTR) 267 268 transposons, such as the endogenous retrovirus-1 (ERV1) family (Figure 3B, 269 Supplementary Table S3P). Noteworthy, the L1 family gene set was the only TE gene 270 set whose expression level was significantly altered across all three SNV analyses 271 (Figure 3B, Supplementary Table S3P). Consistent with their relative significance in 272 the L1 *trans*-eQTL analysis, the L1 family gene set was most strongly upregulated by 273 alternating the IL16/STARD5 SNV (NES = 3.74, FDR = 6.43E-41), intermediately 274 upregulated by alternating the HLA SNV (NES = 1.90, FDR = 7.19E-5), and least 275 strongly changed by alternating the HSD17B12 SNV (NES = -1.57, FDR = 2.11E-2) 276 (Figure 3C). We briefly note here that rs9270493, a clumped SNV linked to RNF5, was 277 also linked to upregulation of the L1 family gene set (Supplementary Table S3Q-S3R). 278 These results suggest that TE subfamily *trans*-eQTLs are associated with subtle but 279 global differences in TE expression beyond a lone TE subfamily.

280

Next, we asked if other biological pathways were regulated concomitantly with TE gene sets in response to gene-linked index SNVs, reasoning that such pathways would act either upstream (as regulatory pathways) or downstream (as response pathways) of TE alterations. GSEA with the MSigDB Hallmark pathway gene sets [29,

285 30] identified 5 gene sets fitting this criterion, including "oxidative phosphorylation", 286 "mTORC1 signaling", "fatty acid metabolism", "adipogenesis", and "cholesterol 287 homeostasis" (Figure 3D, Supplementary Table S3S). Interestingly, several of these 288 pathways or genes in these pathways have been implicated in TE regulation before. 289 Rapamycin, which acts through mTORC1, has been shown to alter the expression of L1 290 and other repeats [31, 32]. Estrogens, which are involved in cholesterol and lipid 291 metabolism, have been found to drive changes in repeat expression, and the receptors 292 for both estrogens and androgens are believed to bind repeat DNA [32, 33]. 293 Pharmacological inhibition of the mitochondrial respiratory chain and pharmacological 294 reduction of endogenous cholesterol synthesis have also been shown to induce 295 changes in L1 protein levels or repeat expression more broadly [34, 35]. GSEA with the 296 GO Biological Process gene sets (Figure 3E, Supplementary Table S3T) and the 297 Reactome gene sets (Figure 3F, Supplementary Table S3U) also identified several metabolism-related pathways including "ATP metabolic process", "Generation of 298 299 precursor metabolites and energy", and "metabolism of amino acids and derivatives". 300 These results add to the catalogue of pathways associated with differences in L1 301 expression.

302

In our eQTL analysis, we also identified two orphan index SNVs, rs112581165 and rs72691418, to which we could not attribute a protein-coding gene mediator. To determine whether these SNVs also regulate any transposon families or biological pathways, we repeated the differential expression analysis (with all expressed genes and TEs) (**Supplementary Table S4A-S4B**) and the GSEA (**Supplementary Table**

308 S4C-S4J) with these SNVs (Supplementary Figure S7A). At the individual gene level, 309 we detected 3193 genes/TEs that varied significantly (FDR < 0.05) with rs112581165 genotype and 1229 genes/TEs that varied significantly with rs72691418 genotype 310 311 (Supplementary Table S4A-S4B). Similar to above, we next carried out GSEA to 312 identify changes in functionally relevant gene sets. Like the gene-linked index SNVs, 313 changes in the genotype of rs112581165 and rs72691418 were both associated with a 314 downregulation and upregulation, respectively, of 10 TE families (Supplementary 315 Figure S7B, Supplementary Table S4K). Noteworthy, the L1 family gene set was 316 among the most strongly dysregulated TE family gene sets for both rs112581165 (NES 317 = -4.32, FDR = 5.18E-89) and rs72691418 (NES = 4.01, FDR = 5.38E-79) 318 (Supplementary Figure S7C). These results suggest that TE subfamily trans-eQTLs 319 are associated with subtle differences in TE expression beyond the lone TE subfamily. 320 even in the absence of a protein-coding gene *cis*-eQTL.

321

322 Like before, we asked if other biological pathways were regulated concomitantly 323 with TE gene sets in response to orphan index SNVs. The top 10 Hallmark pathway gene sets identified by GSEA included gene sets that were previously identified 324 325 ("oxidative phosphorylation", "fatty acid metabolism", and "mTORC1 signaling"), as well 326 as several new pathways (Supplementary Figure S7D, Supplementary Table S4L). 327 Among the new pathways, "DNA repair" [20] and the "P53 pathway" [36, 37] have also 328 been linked to L1 control, and proteins in the "Myc targets v1" gene set interact with L1 329 ORF1p [38]. GSEA with the GO Biological Process gene sets (Supplementary Figure 330 S7E, Supplementary Table S4M) and the Reactome gene sets (Supplementary

Figure S7F, Supplementary Table S4N) identified several metabolism-related pathways and several translation-related pathways, such as "cytoplasmic translation", "eukaryotic translation initiation", and "eukaryotic translation elongation". Importantly, proteins involved in various aspects of proteostasis have been shown to be enriched among L1 ORF1p-interacting proteins [38]. Again, these results add to the catalogue of pathways associated with differences in TE expression, even in the absence of a candidate *cis* mediator.

- 338
- 339

Modulation of top candidate gene activity in a lymphoblastoid cell line induces small but
widespread TE expression changes

342 We decided to validate the L1 regulatory properties of top candidate genes 343 associated with L1 trans-eQTLs. For experimental purposes, we selected the GM12878 344 lymphoblastoid cell line, because (i) it is of the same cell type as the transcriptomic data 345 used here for our eQTL analysis, and (ii) its epigenomic landscape and culture 346 conditions have been well well-characterized as part of the ENCODE project [39, 40]. 347 For validation purposes, we selected IL16, STARD5, HSD17B12, and RNF5 out of the 7 348 protein-coding gene candidates. We chose these genes for validation because the first 349 3 are associated with top trans-eQTL SNVs and the fourth one had very strong 350 predicted mediation effects. To note, although GM12878 was part of the 1000Genomes 351 Project, it was not included in the GEUVADIS dataset. However, based on its genotype, 352 we can predict the relative expression of candidate regulators (Supplementary Figure 353 S8A), which suggest that GM12878 may be most sensitive to modulations in *IL16* and

354 STARD5 expression, given their relatively low endogenous expression. Interestingly, 355 examination of the ENCODE epigenomic data in GM12878 cells [39] demonstrated that 356 the region near the IL16/STARD5-linked index SNV (rs11635336) was marked with 357 H3K4Me1 and H3K27Ac, regulatory signatures of enhancers (Supplementary Figure 358 S8C). Similarly, the region near the HLA-linked index SNV (rs9271894) was marked 359 with H3K4Me1, marked with H3K27Ac, and accessible by DNase, suggesting regulatory 360 properties of the region as an active enhancer (Supplementary Figure S8C). These 361 results further highlight the regulatory potential of the IL16-, STARD5-, and HLA-linked 362 SNVs.

363

364 First, we decided to test the transcriptomic impact of overexpressing our top 365 candidates in GM12878 LCLs. Cells were electroporated with overexpression plasmids 366 (or corresponding empty vector), and RNA was isolated after 48h (Figure 4A, 367 Supplementary Figure S9A). Differential expression analysis comparing control and 368 overexpression samples confirmed the overexpression of candidate genes 369 (Supplementary Figure S9B, Supplementary Table S5A-S5D). Intriguingly, we 370 observed that IL16 was significantly upregulated following STARD5 overexpression 371 (Supplementary Figure S9C, Supplementary Table S5B), although the inverse was 372 not observed (Supplementary Figure S9C, Supplementary Table S5A), suggesting 373 that IL16 may act downstream of STARD5. We note here that, consistent with the use 374 of a high expression vector, the IL16 upregulation elicited by STARD5 overexpression 375 $(\log_2 \text{ fold change} = 0.45)$ was weaker than the upregulation from the *IL16* 376 overexpression (\log_2 fold change = 1.89) (Supplementary Table S5A-S5B).

377

378 To further assess the biological relevance of each overexpression, we carried out 379 GSEA using the GO Biological Process, Reactome pathway, and Hallmark pathway 380 gene sets (Supplementary Table S5E-S5P). Importantly, GSEA using GO Biological 381 Process and Reactome pathway gene sets highlighted differences that were consistent 382 with the known biology of our candidate genes. Firstly, IL16 is involved in regulating T-383 cell activation, B-cell differentiation, and functions as a chemoattractant [41-46]. 384 Moreover, it modulates macrophage polarization by regulating *IL-10* expression [47]. 385 IL16 overexpressing cells showed upregulation for "phagocytosis recognition" and 386 "positive chemotaxis", downregulation for "negative regulation of cell differentiation", and 387 downregulation for "Interleukin 10 signaling" (Figure 4B-4C). Secondly, STARD5 388 encodes a cholesterol transporter and is upregulated in response to endoplasmic 389 reticulum (ER) stress [48-50]. STARD5 overexpressing cells showed downregulation of 390 various cholesterol-related gene sets such as "sterol biosynthetic process", "sterol 391 metabolic process", and "regulation of cholesterol biosynthesis by SREBP (SREBF)" 392 (Figure 4D-4E). Thirdly, HSD17B12 encodes a steroid dehydrogenase involved in 393 converting estrone into estradiol and is essential for proper lipid homeostasis [51-53]. 394 HSD17B12 overexpressing cells showed downregulation of cholesterol-related gene 395 sets, including "sterol biosynthetic process" and "regulation of cholesterol biosynthesis 396 by SREBF (SREBP)" (Supplementary Figure S9D-S9E). Finally, RNF5 encodes an 397 ER and mitochondrial-bound E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase that ubiquitin-tags proteins for 398 degradation [54-57]. RNF5 overexpressing cells demonstrated alterations in gene sets 399 involved in proteostasis and ER biology, including upregulation of "ERAD pathway",

"response to endoplasmic reticulum stress", and "intra-Golgi and retrograde Golgi-to-ER
traffic" (Supplementary Figure S9F-S9G). These results suggest that our approach
leads to biological changes consistent with the known biological impact of the genes
being overexpressed.

404

405 Next, we sought to determine whether modulation of candidate genes had any 406 impact on TE expression in general, and L1 in particular. Although there were no 407 significant changes for individual TE subfamilies following IL16 and STARD5 overexpression (Supplementary Table S5A-S5B), we identified subtle but widespread 408 409 upregulation of various TE families across both conditions by GSEA (Figure 4F, 410 Supplementary Table S5Q-S5R). Interestingly, 7 families, including L1, ERV1, ERVL-MaLR, Alu, ERVL, TcMar-Tigger, and hAT-Charlie families, were commonly 411 412 upregulated under both conditions (Figure 4F). In contrast, cells overexpressing 413 HSD17B12 or RNF5 did not drive widespread changes in L1 family expression, as 414 assessed by GSEA (Supplementary Table S5S-S5T). Noteworthy, the L1 family gene 415 set was more strongly upregulated following STARD5 overexpression (NES = 2.25, FDR = 6.14E-7) compared to *IL16* overexpression (NES = 2.24, FDR = 2.40E-5) 416 417 (Figure 4G, Supplementary Table S5Q-S5R). Since *IL16* is upregulated in response to 418 STARD5 overexpression, this suggests that STARD5 may synergize with IL16 for the 419 regulation of L1 transcription.

420

421 Then, we decided to further characterize the impact of IL16 activity on TEs, since 422 (i) its overexpression led to a global upregulation of TE transcription, and (ii) it was itself

423 upregulated in response to STARD5 overexpression, which also led to increased TE 424 expression. Thus, since IL16 is a soluble cytokine, we independently assessed its 425 regulatory properties by exposing GM12878 cells to recombinant human IL16 peptide 426 [rhlL16] for 24 hours (Figure 5A, Supplementary Figure S10A). Differential gene 427 expression analysis (Supplementary Table S6A) and comparison with the IL16 428 overexpression results demonstrated that differentially expressed genes were weakly 429 but significantly correlated (Supplementary Figure S10B). Additionally, we carried out 430 GSEA using the GO Biological Process, Reactome pathway, and Hallmark pathway gene sets (Supplementary Table S6B-S6E) and compared those results with the 431 GSEA from the *IL16* overexpression (Supplementary Table S6F-S6H). Consistent with 432 433 the known biology of IL16, GSEA highlighted a downregulation of many immune cell-434 related gene sets, including "leukocyte differentiation", "mononuclear cell differentiation", 435 and "Interleukin-10 signaling" (Figure 5B-5C, Supplementary Table S6F-S6H). Like 436 the overexpression results, exposure of GM12878 to rhIL16 for 24 hours led to an 437 upregulation of an L1 family gene set by GSEA, although the effect was less 438 pronounced than with the overexpression (Figure 5D). Even though treatment of GM12878 with rhlL16 for 48 hours exhibited known features of IL16 biology 439 440 (Supplementary Figure S10B-S10D, Supplementary Table S6J-S6Q), the L1 441 upregulation was no longer detectable, though other TEs remained upregulated (Supplementary Figure S10E, Supplementary Table S6Q). These results further 442 443 support the notion that *IL16* acts as a modulator of L1 expression.

444

445 Finally, we sought to define the biological pathways regulated concomitantly with 446 the L1 family gene set under all experimental conditions where it was upregulated (i.e., 447 IL16 overexpression, STARD5 overexpression, and 24 hours of rhIL16 exposure) 448 (Figure 6A, Figure 6B, Supplementary Table S7A). Again, we reasoned that such 449 pathways would act either upstream (as regulatory pathways) or downstream (as 450 response pathways) of TE alterations. GSEA with the Hallmark pathway gene sets identified 7 gene sets fitting this criterion, including "TNF α signaling via NF-KB", "IL2 451 452 STAT5 signaling", "inflammatory response", "mTORC1 signaling", "estrogen response 453 early", "apoptosis", and "UV response up" (Figure 6C, Supplementary Table S7B). 454 GSEA with the GO Biological Process gene sets (Figure 6D, Supplementary Table 455 S7C) and the Reactome pathway gene sets (Figure 6E, Supplementary Table S7D) 456 also identified MAPK signaling, virus-related pathways like "HCMV early events", 457 pathways involved in cell differentiation, and pathways involved in cholesterol and 458 steroid metabolism like "signaling by nuclear receptors". These results further cement 459 the catalogue of pathways associated with differences in TE expression.

460

461

462 L1 trans-eQTLs are co-associated with aging traits in GWAS databases.

Although TE de-repression has been observed broadly with aging and agerelated disease [5, 58], whether this de-repression acts as a causal driver, or a downstream consequence, of aging phenotypes remains unknown. We reasoned that if increased TE expression at least partially drives aging phenotypes, L1 *trans*-eQTLs

should be enriched for associations to aging traits in genome-wide association studies[GWAS] or phenome-wide association studies [PheWAS].

469

470 To test our hypothesis, we queried the Open Targets Genetics platform with our 499 trans-eQTL SNVs, mapped traits to standardized MeSH IDs, and then manually 471 472 curated MeSH IDs related to aging-related traits (Figure 7A). Consistent with our 473 hypothesis, a large proportion of L1 trans-eQTL SNVs (222/499 or 44.5%) were either 474 (i) associated with an aging MeSH trait by PheWAS or (ii) LD-linked to a lead variant 475 associated with an aging MeSH trait (Figure 7B). Moreover, among the 222 SNVs with 476 significant aging-trait associations, we observed frequent mapping to more than a single 477 age-related trait by PheWAS, with many SNVs associated with 10-25 traits (Figure 7C, 478 Supplementary Table S8A). Additionally, many of the 222 SNVs mapped to 1-5 aging 479 traits through a proxy lead variant (Figure 7D, Supplementary Table S8A). Among the 480 most frequently associated or linked traits, we identified type 2 diabetes mellitus, 481 hyperparathyroidism, thyroid diseases, coronary artery disease, hypothyroidism, and psoriasis, among many others (Figure 7E, Supplementary Table S8B). 482

483

As a parallel approach, we queried the Open Targets Genetics platform with our L1 *trans*-eQTL SNVs, as well as 500 combinations of random SNVs sampled from all SNVs used in the eQTL analyses. We then leveraged broader phenotype categories annotated by the platform, including 14 disease categories that we considered agingrelated, to determine whether L1 eQTL associations were enriched for any disease categories (**Supplementary Figure S11A**). L1 eQTL associations were significantly

490 enriched (FDR < 0.05 and ES > 1) for 13 out of 14 disease categories, including cell 491 proliferation disorders, immune system diseases, and musculoskeletal diseases (Supplementary Figure S11B-N). The cardiovascular diseases category was the only 492 493 disease category for which we did not observe a significant enrichment 494 (Supplementary Figure S110). The enrichment for cell proliferation disorders is 495 consistent with the associations of L1 activity with cellular senescence [12, 15] and 496 cancer [59, 60]. The enrichment for immune system diseases is consistent with the role 497 of L1 as a stimulator of the interferon pathway, inflammation, and senescence [15], as 498 well as the more general notion that transposons can mimic viruses and stimulate 499 immune responses from their hosts [61]. The enrichment for musculoskeletal diseases 500 is consistent with an increase in L1 expression and copy number with age in muscle 501 tissue from aging mice [11]. These results reinforce the notion that L1 activity is strongly 502 and non-randomly associated with an assortment of age-related diseases.

503

504 Intriguingly, a large fraction of co-associated SNVs were on chromosome 6 near 505 the HLA locus, which has previously been shown to be a hotspot of age-related disease 506 traits [62]. Despite its association to our strongest L1 trans-eQTL SNV, little is known 507 about the regulation and impact of IL16 during aging. One study, however, found that 508 IL16 expression increases with age in ovarian tissue, and the frequency of IL16 509 expressing cells is significantly higher in ovarian tissue from women at early and late 510 menopause, compared to premenopausal women [63]. Given these findings, and since 511 L1 expression levels and copy number have been found to increase with age [5], we 512 asked whether circulating IL16 levels may also change with age, using C57BL/6JNia

mice as a model (Figure 7F, Supplementary Table S8C). Consistent with the notion 513 514 that increased IL16 levels may, at least partially, drive age-related TE de-repression, we 515 observed a significant increase in circulating IL16 levels in female mice with age, and a 516 trending increase with age in male mice (although the levels showed more animal-to-517 animal variability). By meta-analysis, circulating IL16 levels changed significantly with 518 age across sexes (Figure 7F). These results further support the hypothesis that *IL16* is 519 involved in L1 biology and may modulate L1 age-related changes. In sum, our results 520 provide one of the first pieces of evidence of a causal link between L1 expression levels 521 and age-related decline.

522

524 DISCUSSION

525 In this work, we developed a pipeline to computationally identify candidate L1 526 transcriptional regulators by eQTL analysis. We provide experimental evidence for the 527 involvement of top candidates in regulating L1 expression, demonstrating as a proof-ofprinciple that this approach can be broadly used on other large "omic"-characterized 528 529 cohorts with human (i.e. GTEx [64, 65] or HipSci [66]) or mouse (i.e. DO mice [67]) 530 subjects to identify other regulators of L1 activity. These datasets, combined with our 531 approach, could be utilized to rigorously characterize conserved or group-specific TE 532 regulatory mechanisms on multiple layers, such as across TE families (like Alu or 533 ERVs), across cell or tissue types, across ancestry groups, and across species. This 534 approach, which leverages existing datasets to perform in silico screening, could be a 535 powerful method to expand our knowledge of TE regulation in non-diseased cells and 536 tissues.

537

538 While we believe this approach can readily be applied to other datasets, we 539 would like to note potential limitations with the approach implemented here, some of 540 which were simply beyond the scope of this paper. Firstly, though it is common to use 541 probabilistic estimation of expression residuals (PEER) [68] to enhance detection of *cis*-542 eQTLs, PEER was not implemented in our analysis as a precautionary measure, in 543 order to avoid potentially blurring global TE signals, which likely led to a more 544 conservative list of candidate *cis* gene mediators. Second, given the technical 545 complexity in generating the vast amount of mRNA-seq data used for the eQTL 546 analysis, it is possible that technical covariates introduced non-linear effects that would

547 not be easily removed by approaches like PEER or SVA [69]. For that reason, we opted 548 to supplement our computational predictions with experimental data. Third, the L1 trans-549 eQTLs identified were specific to older L1 subfamilies (L1P and L1M) and were not 550 shared across subfamilies. One factor that may partially explain this is the heightened 551 difficulty of quantifying the expression of evolutionarily younger L1 subfamilies using 552 short-read sequencing [70]. More generally, significant single gene differences are often 553 difficult to reproduce across studies, and it is for this reason that methods like GSEA 554 were developed, to robustly identify broader changes in sets of genes [29]. Consistently, 555 GSEA suggests that many TE families, beyond the single L1 subfamilies identified in 556 the eQTL analysis, are differentially regulated among samples with different genotypes 557 for trans-eQTL SNVs and among samples where IL16/IL16 and STARD5 were 558 manipulated. We note that although HLA and HSD17B12 loci were significant in both 559 the European and African cohorts, we were not able to independently identify all of the 560 same candidate regulators. This is likely due to a combination of small sample size for 561 the African cohort and the existence of population-specific L1 regulation. Future studies 562 with larger sample sizes may be useful for expanding the catalogue of loci that are 563 biologically meaningful for L1 expression across more than one population. Importantly, 564 our computational scan is limited to loci exhibiting genomic variation among tested 565 individuals. This will vary with factors like the ancestry groups of the populations being 566 studied. Moreover, variants that confer extreme fitness defects may not exist at a 567 sufficiently high level in a population so as to allow for an assessment of their 568 involvement as eQTLs. Also, a potential "blindspot" of our current approach is that it 569 does not distinguish between TE reads of intronic or intergenic origin. Intronic TE RNA

570 is often considered less interesting from a biological perspective since their presence 571 may be attributed to readthrough transcription [71]. However, all analyses carried out in 572 this study relied on polyA-selected libraries, which should be enriched for mature gene 573 and transposon transcripts, minimizing the presence of readthrough transcribed L1 574 RNA. Additionally, even if L1-containing transcripts were quantified, these have been 575 implicated in tightly controlled functions like T-cell quiescence maintenance [31], 576 suggesting that intronic L1 RNA possesses biologically meaningful properties. Thus, 577 this pipeline should help expand our understanding of L1 biology in either case. Finally, 578 although we focused on protein-coding candidate regulators, it is possible that the non-579 coding genes identified in our scan may also causally drive differences in L1 580 expression. Though not explored here, other regulatory factors like small RNAs may 581 also act as partial mediators. Since the GEUVADIS Consortium generated small RNA 582 data in parallel to the mRNA data used in this study [26], in the future, our pipeline could 583 be adapted to scan for *cis* small RNA mediators relatively easily. These unexplored 584 factors may explain the associations between orphan SNV genotypes and TE family 585 gene set changes.

586

Despite potential limitations, our approach identified *IL16, STARD5, HLA-DRB5, HLA-DQA2, HSD17B12, RNF5, FKBPL*, and *EHMT2-AS1* as candidate L1 regulators in the European cohort. Moreover, the upregulation of several TE gene sets following *IL16/*L16 and *STARD5* manipulation highlights a causal role for these genes in TE control. Between these two genes, multiple lines of evidence suggest that *STARD5* is the more potent mediator. First, the three-part integration statistics are more significant

for STARD5 than for IL16. Second, the index SNV on chromosome 15 exhibited 593 594 significant mediation effects through STARD5 but not IL16; the most significant 595 mediation effect for *IL16* was linked to a clumped SNV. Third, the upregulation for the 596 L1 family gene set was stronger under STARD5 overexpression than under IL16 597 overexpression. Importantly, we observed that IL16 was upregulated following STARD5 598 overexpression, but this upregulation was less than the upregulation from the IL16 599 overexpression. This suggests that *IL16* can participate in the L1-regulating properties 600 exerted by STARD5. Moving forward, it will be informative to assess the effects of IL16 601 and STARD5 on L1 expression in other cell types. Interestingly, other genes like 602 EHMT2 have previously been linked to retrotransposons [72, 73]. For the remaining 603 genes that we experimentally tested, we note that GM12878 is predicted to have 604 relatively high endogenous HSD17B12 expression and intermediate RNF5 expression. 605 based on the GM12878 genotypes at cis-eQTLs for these genes. Given these 606 expression patterns, GM12878 may not be sensitive to overexpression of RNF5, and 607 especially insensitive to HSD17B12 overexpression. For these two candidates, cells 608 may be more sensitive to knockdown- or knockout-based approaches. Indeed, 609 HSD17B12 is essential for mouse development, HSD17B12 knockout in adult mice 610 results in reduced body weight and liver toxicity, and knockdown of the Caenorhabditis 611 elegans ortholog for HSD17B12 reduces lipid stores and blocks induction of the 612 unfolded protein response of the endoplasmic reticulum [52, 74, 75]. Given that TEs are 613 often derepressed when homeostasis is challenged [61], such as following HSD17B12 614 knockout/knockdown, it remains possible that HSD17B12 possesses L1 regulatory 615 properties that were not detectable by our approach. Future work could avert such

technical limitations by testing the impact of candidate genes by both up- and downregulation, or by selecting LCL samples with endogenous target gene expression levels
that would be most sensitive to our overexpression approach.

619

620 As another, theoretical line of evidence for the potential involvement of candidate 621 genes in L1 regulation, we highlight known interactions between tested candidate genes 622 and viral infections, which may be relevant under conditions where transposons are 623 recognized as viral mimics [61]. Indeed, *IL16* has been extensively studied for its ability 624 to inhibit human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) replication, partly by suppressing mRNA 625 expression [76-78]. Additionally, but in contrast to its HIV-suppressive properties, IL16 626 can enhance the replication of influenza A virus (IAV) and facilitate its infection of hosts, 627 potentially through its repression of type I interferon beta and interferon-stimulated 628 genes [79]. *IL16* can also contribute to the establishment of lifelong gamma herpesvirus 629 infection [80]. STARD5 is another candidate implicated in the influenza virus replication 630 cycle [81]. HSD17B12 promotes the replication of hepatitis C virus via the very-long-631 chain fatty acid (VLCFA) synthesis pathway and the production of lipid droplets 632 important for virus assembly [82, 83]. Additionally, HSD17B12 has been found 633 interacting with the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) protein nonstructural protein 634 13 (NSP13), which is thought to antagonize interferon signaling [84]. Finally, RNF5 has 635 been implicated in both promoting and antagonizing severe acute respiratory syndrome 636 coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) by either stabilizing the interactions of membrane protein 637 (M) [85] or inducing degradation of structural protein envelope (E) [86], respectively. Fundamentally, RNF5 regulates virus-triggered interferon signaling by targeting the 638

stimulator of interferon genes (STING) or mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein
(MAVS) for ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation [56, 57]. These studies reinforce the
roles of tested candidate regulators in virus-associated processes, including interferonmediated signaling.

643

644 Consistent with the notion that L1 is associated with aging and aging phenotypes 645 [5, 58], we observed that L1 trans-eQTL SNVs were associated with aging phenotypes 646 in GWAS/PheWAS databases. This is very surprising, but interesting, given that all 647 1000Genomes Project participants declared themselves to be healthy at the time of 648 sample collection. Assuming this to be true, our results suggest that L1 expression 649 differences exist in natural, healthy human populations, and these expression 650 differences precede onset of aging diseases. Though it is often unclear whether L1 mis-651 regulation is a consequence or driver of aging phenotypes, our results suggest that L1 652 levels may drive aging phenotypes. As we continue to expand the catalogue of L1 653 regulators, especially in healthy cells and tissues, the L1 regulatory processes that are 654 disrupted over the course of aging will become increasingly clear. To that end, this work 655 may serve as a guide for conducting more comprehensive scans for candidate TE 656 regulators.

657

659 CONCLUSIONS

We developed an eQTL-based pipeline 660 that leverages genomic and 661 transcriptomic data to scan the human genome for novel candidate regulators of L1 662 subfamily expression. Though the initial scan identified genetic variants associated with 663 expression differences in specific L1 subfamilies, secondary analyses by GSEA suggest 664 that genetic variants are associated with subtle but global differences in the expression 665 of many TE families. Our pipeline identified candidate genes, including HSD17B12 and 666 HLA genes, that likely play a conserved role in L1 regulation across human populations 667 of different ancestries. Though some top candidates from the European cohort scan, 668 such as IL16, STARD5, and RNF5, were not significant in the African cohort analysis, it 669 is likely that some of these genes would appear in cross-ancestry scans with larger samples sizes. We detected subtle but global differences in L1 family expression 670 671 following IL16 overexpression, STARD5 overexpression, and rhIL16 treatment for 24 672 hours, further suggesting that some candidate genes have regulatory potential. We 673 generate a list of pathways, such as mTORC1 signaling and cholesterol metabolism, 674 that may act upstream of L1 expression. Finally, the co-association of some genetic 675 variants with both L1 expression differences and various age-related diseases suggests 676 that L1 differences may precede and contribute to the onset of disease. Our results 677 expand the potential mechanisms by which L1 expression is regulated and by which L1 678 may influence aging-related phenotypes.

679

680

681 METHODS

682 **Publicly available data acquisition**

683 The eQTL analysis was carried out on 358 European (EUR) individuals and 86 684 Yoruban (YRI) individuals for which paired single nucleotide variant, structural variant, 685 and transcriptomic data were available from Phase 3 of the 1000 Genomes Project [22, 686 23] and from the GEUVADIS consortium [26]. Specifically, Phase 3 autosomal SNVs 687 called on the GRCh38 reference genome were obtained from The International Genome **FTP** 688 Sample Resource (IGSR) site (689 http://ftp.1000genomes.ebi.ac.uk/vol1/ftp/data collections/1000 genomes project/relea 690 se/20190312 biallelic SNV and INDEL/). Structural variants were also obtained from 691 **IGSR** FTP the site 692 (http://ftp.1000genomes.ebi.ac.uk/vol1/ftp/phase3/integrated sv map/). mRNA-693 sequencing fast files generated by the GEUVADIS consortium were obtained from 694 ArrayExpress under accession E-GEUV-1.

695

696

697 Aggregating and pre-processing genotype data for eQTL analyses

To prepare SNVs for association analyses, all SNVs were first annotated with rsIDs from dbSNP build 155 using BCFtools v1.10.2 [87]. VCFtools v0.1.17 [88] was then used to remove indels and keep variants with the following properties in each of the two populations: possessed a minimum and maximum of two alleles, possessed a minor allele frequency (MAF) of at least 1%, passed Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium thresholding at p < 1e-6, with no missing samples, and located on an autosome. We

704 note here that sex chromosomes were not included in the analysis since (i) Y 705 chromosome SNVs were not available and (ii) analyses with X chromosome SNVs 706 require unique algorithms and cannot simply be incorporated into traditional association 707 pipelines [89, 90]. VCF files containing these filtered SNVs were then converted to 708 PLINK BED format using PLINK v1.90b6.17 [91], keeping the allele order. PLINK BED 709 files were subsequently used to generate preliminary 0/1/2 genotype matrices using the 710 '--recodeA' flag in PLINK. These preliminary matrices were manipulated in terminal, 711 using the gcut v9.0 function to remove unnecessary columns and datamash v1.7 to 712 transpose the data, to generate the final 0/1/2 matrices used for the eQTL analyses. 713 Finally, PLINK was used to prune the list of filtered SNVs, using the "--indep-pairwise 50 714 10 0.1" flag, and to generate principal components (PCs) from the pruned genotypes.

715

716 To control for inter-individual differences in genomic transposon copy number 717 load, we applied 1 of 2 approaches, depending on the analysis. For approach 1, the net 718 number of L1 and Alu insertions was quantified across the 444 samples. We chose to 719 aggregate the L1 and Alu copy numbers, since Alu relies on L1 machinery for 720 mobilization [92], and so the aggregate number may provide a finer view of L1-721 associated copy number load. Briefly, VCFTools was used to extract autosomal 722 structural variants from the 1000Genomes structural variant calls. L1 and Alu insertions 723 and deletions were then extracted with BCFtools by keeping entries with the following 724 'SVTYPE="LINE1", 'SVTYPE="ALU", 'SVTYPE="DEL_LINE1", and expressions: 725 'SVTYPE="DEL_ALU". The resulting VCF files were then transformed to 0/1/2 matrices 726 in the same manner as the SNVs. A net copy number score was obtained for each

sample by adding the values for the L1 and Alu insertions and subtracting the values for the L1 and Alu deletions. For approach 2, the complete structural variant matrix was filtered with VCFtools using the same parameters as with the SNV matrices. The filtered structural variant matrix was then pruned with PLINK, and these pruned structural variant genotypes were used to generate principal components, in the same fashion as with the SNV matrix. The net copy number score or the structural variant principal components, depending on the analysis, were included as covariates.

- 734
- 735

736 mRNA-seq read trimming, mapping, and quantification

737 Fastq files were first trimmed using fastp v0.20.1 [93] with the following 738 parameters: detect_adapter_for_pe, disable_quality_filtering, trim_front1 17, trim_front2 739 cut front, cut front window size 1, cut front mean quality 17. 20, cut tail. 740 cut tail window size 1, cut tail mean quality 20, cut right, cut right window size 5, 741 cut_right_mean_quality 20, and length_required 36. Read quality was then inspected 742 using fastqc v0.11.9.

743

Next, the GRCh38 primary human genome assembly and comprehensive gene annotation were obtained from GENCODE release 33 [94]. Since LCLs are generated by infecting B-cells with Epstein-Barr virus, the EBV genome (GenBank ID V01555.2) was included as an additional contig in the human reference genome. The trimmed reads were aligned to this modified reference genome using STAR v2.7.3a [95] with the following parameters: outFilterMultimapNmax 100, winAnchorMultimapNmax 100, and

750 outFilterMismatchNoverLmax 0.04. Finally, the TEcount function in the TEtranscripts 751 v2.1.4 [27] package was employed to obtain gene and TE counts, using the GENCODE 752 annotations to define gene boundaries and a repeat GTF file provided on the Hammell 753 lab website (downloaded February 19 2020 from on 754 https://labshare.cshl.edu/shares/mhammelllab/www-

755 data/TEtranscripts/TE_GTF/GRCh38_GENCODE_rmsk_TE.gtf.gz) to define repeat756 boundaries.

- 757
- 758

759 Gene cis-eQTL and L1 trans-eQTL analyses

Gene and TE count files were loaded into R v4.2.1. Lowly expressed genes were first filtered out if 323/358 European samples and 78/86 Yoruban samples did not have over 0.44 counts per million (cpm) or 0.43 cpm, respectively. These fractions were selected because they corresponded to expression in ~90% of samples and thus helped maintain maximal statistical power by focusing on genes ubiquitously expressed across each entire population. The cpm thresholds were selected because they corresponded to 10 reads in the median-length library within each set of samples.

767

Then, counts underwent a variance stabilizing transformation (vst) using DESeq2 v1.36.0 [96]. The following covariates were regressed out from vst normalized expression data using the 'removeBatchEffect' function in Limma v3.52.2 [97]: lab, population category, principal components 1-2 of the pruned SNVs, biological sex, net L1/Alu copy number, and EBV expression levels. Since the Yoruban samples were all

773 from the same population, the population variable was omitted in their batch correction. 774 Here, we note several things. First, EBV expression was included as a covariate 775 because heightened TE expression is often a feature of viral infections [98]. Secondly, 776 although PEER [68] is often used to remove technical variation for *cis*-eQTL analysis, 777 this can come at the expense of correcting out genome-wide biological effects. This can 778 be problematic in some settings, such as trans-eQTL analysis. Thus, PEER factors 779 were not included. The batch-corrected data underwent a final inverse normal 780 transformation (INT), using the RankNorm function in the R package RNOmni v1.0.1, to 781 obtain normally distributed gene expression values.

782

783 The INT expression matrices were split into genes and L1 subfamilies, which 784 were used to identify gene *cis*-eQTLs and L1 subfamily *trans*-eQTLs in the European 785 superpopulation using MatrixEQTL v2.3 [99]. For gene *cis*-eQTLs, SNVs were tested for 786 association with expressed genes within 1 million base pairs. We opted to use a trans-787 eQTL approach using aggregate subfamily-level TE expression since the trans 788 approach should allow us to identify regulators of many elements rather than one. The 789 Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) was calculated in each analysis, and we 790 used the p-value corresponding to an FDR of < 5% as the threshold for eQTL 791 significance. In addition, the cis-eQTL and trans-eQTL analyses were also repeated 792 using 20 permuted expression datasets in which the sample names were scrambled, 793 and the p-value corresponding to an average empirical FDR of < 5% was used as a 794 secondary threshold. To note, we calculated the average empirical FDR at a given p-795 value p_i by (i) counting the total number of null points with $p \le p_i$, (ii) dividing by the
number of permutations, to obtain an average number of null points with $p \le p_i$, and (iii) dividing the average number of null points with $p \le p_i$ by the number of real points with $p \le p_i$. eQTLs were called as significant if they passed the stricter of the two thresholds. SNV-gene and SNV-L1 associations that were significant in the European superpopulation were then targeted and tested in the Yoruban population using R's built-in linear modelling functions. In this case, only the Benjamini-Hochberg FDR was calculated, and significant eQTLs were called if they possessed an FDR < 5%.

- 803
- 804

805 **Defining SNV-gene-L1 trios and mediation analysis**

806 For each population, the *cis*- and *trans*-eQTL results were integrated to identify SNVs associated with both gene and L1 subfamily expression. We reasoned that L1 807 808 expression would respond to differences in expression of bona fide regulators. 809 Consequently, gene expression and L1 subfamily expression associations were 810 assessed by linear regression, and the p-values from this analysis were Benjamini-811 Hochberg FDR-corrected. Candidate SNV-gene-L1 trios were defined as those with cis-812 eQTL, trans-eQTL, and expression regression FDRs < 5%. To identify top, index SNVs 813 in regions of linkage disequilibrium (LD), SNVs within 500 kilobases of each other with an $R^2 > 0.10$ were clumped together by *trans*-eQTL p-value using PLINK v1.90b6.17. 814 815 Mediation analysis was carried out using the 'gmap.gpd' function in eQTLMAPT v0.1.0 816 [100] on all candidate SNV-gene-L1 trios. Empirical p-values were calculated using 817 30,000 permutations, and Benjamini-Hochberg FDR values were calculated from

818 empirical p-values. Mediation effects were considered significant for trios with FDR <

- 819 5%.
- 820
- 821

822 Differential expression analysis across trans-eQTL SNV genotypes

823 Transcriptomic changes associated with alternating the allele of each SNV of 824 interest were evaluated using DESeq2 v1.36.0. Using the same filtered counts prepared 825 for the eQTL analysis, a linear model was constructed with the following covariates for 826 each SNV: SNV genotype in 0/1/2 format, biological sex, lab, population category, 827 principal components 1-2 of the pruned SNVs, and principal components 1-3 of the 828 pruned SVs (to account for structural variant population structure). As before, the 829 population label was omitted from the Yoruban population analysis. Significant genes 830 and TEs were those with an FDR < 5%.

- 831
- 832

833 Functional enrichment analyses

We used the Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) paradigm as implemented in the R package clusterProfiler v4.4.4 [101]. Gene Ontology, Reactome, and Hallmark pathway gene sets were obtained from the R package msigdbr v7.5.1, an Ensembl IDmapped collection of gene sets from the Molecular Signature Database [29, 30]. Additionally, TE subfamilies were aggregated into TE family gene sets using the TE family designations specified in the TE GTF file (downloaded on February 19 2020 from https://labshare.cshl.edu/shares/mhammelllab/www841 data/TEtranscripts/TE_GTF/GRCh38_GENCODE_rmsk_TE.gtf.gz) used during the 842 RNA-seq quantification step. The DESeq2 v1.36.0 Wald-statistic was used to generate 843 a combined ranked list of genes and TEs for functional enrichment analysis. All gene 844 sets with an FDR < 5% were considered significant. For plots with a single analysis, the 845 top 5 downregulated and top 5 upregulated gene sets were plotted, at most. For plots 846 with multiple analyses, shared gene sets with the desired expression patterns in each 847 individual analysis were first identified. Then, the p-values for shared gene sets were 848 combined using Fisher's method, and this meta-analysis p-value was used to rank 849 shared gene sets. Finally, the top 5 gene sets with one expression pattern and the top 5 850 gene sets with the opposite expression pattern were plotted. If there were less than 5 851 gene sets in either group, those were replaced with gene sets exhibiting the opposite 852 regulation, in order to plot 10 shared gene sets whenever possible.

853

854

855 Cell lines and cell culture conditions.

GM12878 (RRID: CVCL_7526) lymphoblastoid cells were purchased from the Coriell Institute. We opted to use GM12878 as a well-characterized representative cell line for candidate validation, given that (i) it is of the same cell type as the transcriptomic data used here for our eQTL analysis, and (ii) its epigenomic landscape and culture conditions are well-characterized as part of the ENCODE project [39, 40].

861

62 GM12878 cells were maintained in RPMI (Corning cat. 15-040-CV) containing 63 15% FBS and 1X Penicillin-Streptomycin-Glutamine (Corning cat. 30-009-CI). Cells

864	were cultured in a humidified incubator at 37° C and 5% CO ₂ , subculturing cells 1:5 once
865	cells reached a density of $\sim 10^6$ mL ⁻¹ . All cells used were maintained below passage 30
866	and routinely tested for mycoplasma contamination using the PlasmoTest Mycoplasma
867	Detection Kit (InvivoGen).
868	
869	
870	Plasmids
871	The empty pcDNA3.1(+) backbone (Invitrogen cat. V79020) was a kind gift from
872	the lab of Dr. Changhan David Lee at the University of Southern California Leonard
873	Davis School of Gerontology. Overexpression vectors for IL16 (CloneID OHu48263C),
874	STARD5-FLAG (CloneID OHu07617D), HSD17B12-FLAG (CloneID OHu29918D), and
875	RNF5-FLAG (CloneID OHu14875D) on a pcDNA3.1 backbone were purchased from
876	GenScript. Plasmid sequences were verified for accuracy using Plasmidsaurus's whole
877	plasmid sequencing service.
878	

879

880 Transfections

881 Escherichia coli were cultured in LB Broth (ThermoFischer Scientific) 882 supplemented with 50 μ g/mL carbenicillin to an optical density 600 (OD₆₀₀) of 2 – 4. 883 Plasmid extractions were carried out using the Nucleobond Xtra Midi Plus EF kit 884 (Macherey-Nagel) following manufacturer recommendations. Plasmids were aliquoted 885 and stored at -20°C until the time of transfection. On the day of transfection, GM12878 886 cells were collected in conical tubes, spun down (100xG, 5 minutes, room temperature),

resuspended in fresh media, and counted by trypan blue staining using a Countess II FL automated cell counter (Thermo Fisher). The number of cells necessary for the experiment were then aliquoted, spun down, and washed with Dulbecco's phosphatebuffered saline (DPBS)(Corning, cat. #21-031-CV).

891

GM12878 cells were transfected by electroporation using the Neon Transfection System (Invitrogen) with the following parameters: 1200 V, 20 ms, and 3 pulses for GM12878 cells in Buffer R. Per reaction, we maintained a plasmid mass:cell number ratio of 10 μ g : 2*10⁶ cells. For mRNA-sequencing, 8*10⁶ GM12878 cells were independently transfected for each biological replicate, with 4 replicates per overexpression condition, and cultured in a T25 flask. Immediately after transfection, cells were cultured in Penicillin-Streptomycin-free media for ~24 hours.

899

Afterwards, to promote selection of viable and healthy transfected GM12878 cells, we enriched for viable cells using the EasySep Dead Cell Removal (Annexin V) Kit (STEMCELL Technologies) before seeding 2*10⁶ live cells in the same media used for cell maintenance. After another 24 hours, cell viability was measured by trypan blue staining on a Countess automated cell counter and cells were spun down (100xG, 5 min, room temperature) and lysed in TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen) for downstream total RNA isolation (see below).

907

908

909 Recombinant human IL16 (rhIL16) peptide treatment

910 Human rIL16 was obtained from PeproTech (cat. #200-16) and resuspended in 911 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) solution (Akron, cat. #AK8917-0100). GM12878 cells 912 were seeded at a concentration of 500,000 live cells per mL of media on 6-well 913 suspension plates with 3 independent replicates per condition. Cells were exposed to 0, 24, or 48 hours of 100 ng mL⁻¹ of rhIL16. To replace or exchange media 24 hours after 914 915 seeding, cells were transferred to conical tubes, spun down (100xG, 5 min, room 916 temperature), resuspended in 5 mL of the appropriate media, and transferred back to 6-917 well suspension plates. After 48 hours, cell viability was measured by trypan blue 918 staining and cells were spun down (100xG, 5 min, room temperature) and lysed in 919 TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen).

920

921

922 RNA extractions and mRNA sequencing

923 RNA was extracted using the Direct-zol RNA Miniprep kit (Zymo Research)
924 following manufacturer recommendations. The integrity of RNA samples was evaluated
925 using an Agilent High Sensitivity RNA ScreenTape assay (Agilent Technologies),
926 ensuring that all samples had a minimum eRIN score of 8 before downstream
927 processing. We then submitted total RNA samples to Novogene (Sacramento,
928 California) for mRNA library preparation and sequencing on the NovaSeq 6000 platform
929 as paired-end 150 bp reads.

930

931

932 Analysis of overexpression and rhlL16 exposure mRNA-seq

933 mRNA-seq reads were trimmed, mapped, and quantified like for the eQTL 934 analysis, except for the overexpression sample data. For this data, one modification 935 was made: the EBV-inclusive reference genome was further modified to include the 936 pcDNA3.1 sequence as an additional contig. Lowly expressed genes were filtered using 937 a cpm threshold as in the eQTL processing, but that cpm threshold had to be satisfied 938 by as many samples as the size of the smallest biological group. For the overexpression 939 data, surrogate variables were estimated with the 'svaseg' function [69] in the R 940 package 'sva' v3.44.9, and they were regressed out from the raw read counts using the 941 'removeBatchEffect' function in the R package Limma v3.52.2. DESeg2 was used to 942 identify significantly (FDR < 5%) differentially expressed genes and TEs between 943 groups. Functional enrichment analysis was carried out as previously described.

944

945

946 PheWAS analysis

947 To gather the known associated traits for the 499 TE-related SNVs, we used 948 Open Targets Genetics (https://genetics.opentargets.org/), a database of GWAS 949 summary statistics [102]. First, we queried the database using the 499 TE-related SNVs and collected traits that were directly associated (with $P < 5x10^{-8}$) with the SNVs, as well 950 951 as traits associated with lead variants that were in linkage disequilibrium (LD) with the queried SNPs (with $R^2 > 0.6$). For age-related traits (ARTs), we used the 952 953 comprehensive list of 365 Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms reported by [103] 954 (downloaded from https://github.com/kisudsoe/Age-related-traits). To identify known 955 age-related traits, the known associated traits were translated into the equivalent MeSH terms using the method described by [103]. Then, the MeSH-translated known
associated traits for the 499 TE-related SNVs were filtered by the MeSH terms for agerelated traits.

959

960 As a parallel approach, we mapped the RsIDs for all SNVs used during the eQTL 961 analyses to their corresponding bi-allelic Open Targets variant IDs, when available. The 962 variant IDs corresponding to L1 trans-eQTL SNVs were extracted, and 500 different 963 equal-length combinations of random SNVs were generated. Next, we gueried the Open 964 Targets database using the lists of L1-associated and random SNVs and collected the 965 associated traits (with $P < 5x10^{-8}$). Importantly, the database assigns traits to broader 966 categories, including 14 disease categories that we considered age-related. We 967 counted the number of L1-associated or random SNVs mapping to each category, and 968 we used the random SNV counts to generate an empirical cumulative distribution 969 function (ecdf) for each category. We calculated enrichment p-values using the formula 970 p = 1- ecdf(mapped eQTLs) and then Benjamini-Hochberg FDR-corrected all p-values. 971 An enrichment score (ES) was also calculated for each category using the formula ES = 972 number of mapped L1 eQTLs / median number of randomly mapping SNVs. Categories 973 with an ES > 1 and FDR < 0.05 were considered significantly enriched.

974

975

976 Quantification of mouse serum IL16 by ELISA

977 Serum was collected from male and female C57BL/6JNia mice (4-6 and 20-24 978 months old) obtained from the National Institute on Aging (NIA) colony at Charles

Rivers. All animals were euthanized between 8-11 am in a "snaking order" across all 979 980 groups to minimize batch-processing confounds due to circadian processes. All animals 981 were euthanized by CO₂ asphyxiation followed by cervical dislocation. Circulating IL16 982 levels were quantitatively evaluated from mouse serum by enzvme-linked 983 immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Serum was diluted 1/10 before quantifying IL16 984 concentrations using Abcam's Mouse IL-16 ELISA Kit (ab201282) in accordance with 985 manufacturer instructions. Technical replicates from the same sample were averaged to 986 one value before statistical analysis and plotting. P-values across age within each sex 987 were calculated using a non-parametric 2-sided Wilcoxon test, and p-values from each 988 sex-specific analysis were combined using Fisher's method.

989

990

992 **DECLARATIONS**

993

994 Ethics approval and consent to participate

All animals were treated and housed in accordance with the Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. All experimental procedures were approved by the University of Southern California's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) and are in accordance with institutional and national guidelines. Samples were derived from animals on approved IACUC protocol #20770.

1000

1001 Availability of data and materials

Sequencing data generated in this study is accessible through the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under BioProject PRJNA937306. All code is available on the Benayoun lab GitHub (https://github.com/BenayounLaboratory/TE-eQTL_LCLs). Analyses were conducted using R version 4.2.1. Code was re-run independently on R version 4.3.0 to check for reproducibility.

1007

1008 **Competing interests**

1009 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

1010

1011 Funding

1012 This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation 1013 Graduate Research Fellowship Program (NSF GRFP) under Grant No. DGE-1842487 1014 (to J.I.B.), the National Institute on Aging (NIA) under Grant No. T32 AG052374 (to

J.I.B.), the University of Southern California with a Provost Fellowship (to J.I.B.), the
USC Gerontology Enriching MSTEM (GEMSTEM) to Enhance Diversity in Aging
Program under Grant No. R25 AG076400 (to C.R.M.), and the National Institute of
General Medical Sciences (NIGMS) under Grant No. R35 GM142395 (to B.A.B).

1019

1020

1021 Authors' contributions

J.I.B. and B.A.B designed the study. J.I.B., L.Z., and S.K. performed data analyses, with guidance from Y.S. and B.A.B. J.I.B. and C.R.M. carried out experiments. J.I.B., B.A.B., S.K., and Y.S. wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the editing of the manuscript.

1026

1027

1028 Acknowledgements

1029 We would like to thank Prof. Rachel Brem for her feedback and insights on the 1030 eQTL analyses. We would also like to thank Dr. Minhoo Kim for her feedback on the 1031 manuscript. Some panels were created with BioRender.com.

1032

1033

1035 **REFERENCES**

- 1036 1. Lander ES, Linton LM, Birren B, Nusbaum C, Zody MC, Baldwin J, Devon K, Dewar K,
- Doyle M, FitzHugh W, et al: Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. *Nature* 2001, 409:860-921.
- Venter JC, Adams MD, Myers EW, Li PW, Mural RJ, Sutton GG, Smith HO, Yandell M,
 Evans CA, Holt RA, et al: The Sequence of the Human Genome. Science 2001,
- **291:**1304-1351.
- Brouha B, Schustak J, Badge RM, Lutz-Prigge S, Farley AH, Moran JV, Kazazian HH:
 Hot L1s account for the bulk of retrotransposition in the human population.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2003, 100:5280-5285.
- Moran JV, Holmes SE, Naas TP, DeBerardinis RJ, Boeke JD, Kazazian HH, Jr.: High
 Frequency Retrotransposition in Cultured Mammalian Cells. *Cell* 1996, 87:917-927.
- Bravo JI, Nozownik S, Danthi PS, Benayoun BA: Transposable elements, circular
 RNAs and mitochondrial transcription in age-related genomic regulation.
 Development 2020, 147.
- Della Valle F, Reddy P, Yamamoto M, Liu P, Saera-Vila A, Bensaddek D, Zhang H,
 Prieto Martinez J, Abassi L, Celii M, et al: *LINE-1* RNA causes heterochromatin
 erosion and is a target for amelioration of senescent phenotypes in progeroid
 syndromes. *Science Translational Medicine* 2022. 14:eabl6057.
- Simon M, Van Meter M, Ablaeva J, Ke Z, Gonzalez RS, Taguchi T, De Cecco M,
 Leonova KI, Kogan V, Helfand SL, et al: LINE1 Derepression in Aged Wild-Type and
 SIRT6-Deficient Mice Drives Inflammation. *Cell Metabolism* 2019, 29:871-885.e875.
- 10578.Zhao Y, Oreskovic E, Zhang Q, Lu Q, Gilman A, Lin YS, He J, Zheng Z, Lu JY, Lee J, et1058al: Transposon-triggered innate immune response confers cancer resistance to1059al: Transposon-triggered innate immune response confers cancer resistance to
- 1059
 the blind mole rat. Nature Immunology 2021, 22:1219-1230.

- 1060 9. Zhao H, Ji Q, Wu Z, Wang S, Ren J, Yan K, Wang Z, Hu J, Chu Q, Hu H, et al:
 1061 Destabilizing heterochromatin by APOE mediates senescence. *Nature Aging* 2022,
 1062 2:303-316.
- 1063 10. Liu EY, Russ J, Cali CP, Phan JM, Amlie-Wolf A, Lee EB: Loss of Nuclear TDP-43 Is
 1064 Associated with Decondensation of LINE Retrotransposons. *Cell Reports* 2019,
 1065 27:1409-1421.e1406.
- 1066 11. De Cecco M, Criscione SW, Peterson AL, Neretti N, Sedivy JM, Kreiling JA:
 1067 Transposable elements become active and mobile in the genomes of aging
 1068 mammalian somatic tissues. *Aging (Albany NY)* 2013, **5**:867-883.
- De Cecco M, Criscione SW, Peckham EJ, Hillenmeyer S, Hamm EA, Manivannan J,
 Peterson AL, Kreiling JA, Neretti N, Sedivy JM: Genomes of replicatively senescent
 cells undergo global epigenetic changes leading to gene silencing and activation
 of transposable elements. *Aging Cell* 2013, 12:247-256.
- 1073 13. Campisi J: Aging, Cellular Senescence, and Cancer. Annual Review of Physiology
 1074 2013, 75:685-705.
- 1075 14. Franceschi C, Garagnani P, Parini P, Giuliani C, Santoro A: Inflammaging: a new
 1076 immune-metabolic viewpoint for age-related diseases. Nature Reviews
 1077 Endocrinology 2018, 14:576-590.
- 1078 15. De Cecco M, Ito T, Petrashen AP, Elias AE, Skvir NJ, Criscione SW, Caligiana A,
 1079 Brocculi G, Adney EM, Boeke JD, et al: L1 drives IFN in senescent cells and
 1080 promotes age-associated inflammation. *Nature* 2019, 566:73-78.
- 1081 16. Rodriguez-Martin B, Alvarez EG, Baez-Ortega A, Zamora J, Supek F, Demeulemeester 1082 J, Santamarina M, Ju YS, Temes J, Garcia-Souto D, et al: Pan-cancer analysis of 1083 whole genomes identifies driver rearrangements promoted LINE-1 by retrotransposition. Nature Genetics 2020, 52:306-319. 1084

- 1085 17. Flasch DA, Chen X, Ju B, Li X, Dalton J, Mulder HL, Easton J, Wang L, Baker SJ,
- 1086 Chiang J, Zhang J: **Somatic LINE-1 promoter acquisition drives oncogenic FOXR2** 1087 **activation in pediatric brain tumor.** *Acta Neuropathologica* 2022. **143:**605-607.
- 1088 18. Levin HL, Moran JV: Dynamic interactions between transposable elements and
 1089 their hosts. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 2011, 12:615-627.
- 1090 19. Rebollo R, Romanish MT, Mager DL: Transposable Elements: An Abundant and
 1091 Natural Source of Regulatory Sequences for Host Genes. Annual Review of
 1092 Genetics 2012, 46:21-42.
- Liu N, Lee CH, Swigut T, Grow E, Gu B, Bassik MC, Wysocka J: Selective silencing of
 euchromatic L1s revealed by genome-wide screens for L1 regulators. *Nature* 2018,
 553:228-232.
- 1096 21. Chung N, Jonaid GM, Quinton S, Ross A, Sexton CE, Alberto A, Clymer C, Churchill D,
 1097 Navarro Leija O, Han MV: Transcriptome analyses of tumor-adjacent somatic
 1098 tissues reveal genes co-expressed with transposable elements. *Mobile DNA* 2019,
 1099 10:39.
- 1100 22. Auton A, Abecasis GR, Altshuler DM, Durbin RM, Abecasis GR, Bentley DR, Chakravarti
- A, Clark AG, Donnelly P, Eichler EE, et al: A global reference for human genetic
 variation. *Nature* 2015, **526:**68-74.
- Sudmant PH, Rausch T, Gardner EJ, Handsaker RE, Abyzov A, Huddleston J, Zhang Y,
 Ye K, Jun G, Hsi-Yang Fritz M, et al: An integrated map of structural variation in
 2,504 human genomes. *Nature* 2015, 526:75-81.
- Sie L, Loong S, Tan EK: Utility of lymphoblastoid cell lines. Journal of Neuroscience *Research* 2009, 87:1953-1959.
- Hussain T, Mulherkar R: Lymphoblastoid Cell lines: a Continuous in Vitro Source of
 Cells to Study Carcinogen Sensitivity and DNA Repair. Int J Mol Cell Med 2012,
- **1110 1:75-87**.

- Lappalainen T, Sammeth M, Friedländer MR, 't Hoen PAC, Monlong J, Rivas MA,
 Gonzàlez-Porta M, Kurbatova N, Griebel T, Ferreira PG, et al: Transcriptome and
 genome sequencing uncovers functional variation in humans. *Nature* 2013,
 501:506-511.
- Jin Y, Tam OH, Paniagua E, Hammell M: TEtranscripts: a package for including
 transposable elements in differential expression analysis of RNA-seq datasets.
 Bioinformatics 2015, 31:3593-3599.
- Williams TM: Human Leukocyte Antigen Gene Polymorphism and the
 Histocompatibility Laboratory. *The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics* 2001, 3:98-104.
- Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, Paulovich
 A, Pomeroy SL, Golub TR, Lander ES, Mesirov JP: Gene set enrichment analysis: A
- 1122 knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles.
 1123 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2005, **102**:15545-15550.
- 1124 30. Liberzon A, Birger C, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Ghandi M, Mesirov JP, Tamayo P: The
 1125 Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) hallmark gene set collection. *Cell Syst* 1126 2015, 1:417-425.
- Marasca F, Sinha S, Vadalà R, Polimeni B, Ranzani V, Paraboschi EM, Burattin FV,
 Ghilotti M, Crosti M, Negri ML, et al: LINE1 are spliced in non-canonical transcript
 variants to regulate T cell quiescence and exhaustion. *Nature Genetics* 2022,
 54:180-193.
- Wahl D, Cavalier AN, Smith M, Seals DR, LaRocca TJ: Healthy Aging Interventions
 Reduce Repetitive Element Transcripts. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series A* 2020,
 76:805-810.
- 1134 33. Sampathkumar NK, Bravo JI, Chen Y, Danthi PS, Donahue EK, Lai RW, Lu R, Randall
 1135 LT, Vinson N, Benayoun BA: Widespread sex dimorphism in aging and age-related
 1136 diseases. *Hum Genet* 2020, 139:333-356.

- 1137 34. Baeken MW, Moosmann B, Hajieva P: Retrotransposon activation by distressed
- mitochondria in neurons. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications
 2020, 525:570-575.
- 1140 35. Valdebenito-Maturana B, Valdebenito-Maturana F, Carrasco M, Tapia JC, Maureira A:
- 1141 Activation of Transposable Elements in Human Skeletal Muscle Fibers upon Statin
- 1142 **Treatment.** International Journal of Molecular Sciences 2023, **24**:244.
- 1143 36. Tiwari B, Jones AE, Caillet CJ, Das S, Royer SK, Abrams JM: p53 directly represses
 1144 human LINE1 transposons. *Genes & Development* 2020, 34:1439-1451.
- 1145 37. Ardeljan D, Steranka JP, Liu C, Li Z, Taylor MS, Taylor MS, Payer LM, Gorbounov M,
- 1146 Sarnecki JS, Deshpande V, et al: Cell fitness screens reveal a conflict between
- 1147 LINE-1 retrotransposition and DNA replication. *Nature structural & amp; molecular* 1148 *biology* 2020, **27**:168-178.
- 1149 38. Lugman-Fatah A, Watanabe Y, Uno K, Ishikawa F, Moran JV, Miyoshi T: **The interferon**
- 1150 stimulated gene-encoded protein HELZ2 inhibits human LINE-1 retrotransposition
- and LINE-1 RNA-mediated type I interferon induction. Nature Communications 2023,
 1152 14:203.
- 1153 39. The EPC: A User's Guide to the Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (ENCODE). *PLOS*1154 *Biology* 2011, 9:e1001046.
- 1155 40. An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. *Nature* 2012,
 1156 489:57-74.
- 1157 41. Center DM, Cruikshank W: Modulation of lymphocyte migration by human
 1158 lymphokines. I. Identification and characterization of chemoattractant activity for
 1159 lymphocytes from mitogen-stimulated mononuclear cells. *J Immunol* 1982,
 1160 128:2563-2568.
- 1161 42. Cruikshank WW, Center DM, Nisar N, Wu M, Natke B, Theodore AC, Kornfeld H: 1162 Molecular and functional analysis of a lymphocyte chemoattractant factor:

association of biologic function with CD4 expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
1994, 91:5109-5113.

- 1165 43. Theodore AC, Center DM, Nicoll J, Fine G, Kornfeld H, Cruikshank WW: CD4 ligand IL1166 16 inhibits the mixed lymphocyte reaction. *J Immunol* 1996, 157:1958-1964.
- 1167 44. Cruikshank WW, Lim K, Theodore AC, Cook J, Fine G, Weller PF, Center DM: IL-16
 1168 inhibition of CD3-dependent lymphocyte activation and proliferation. *J Immunol*1169 1996, 157:5240-5248.
- 1170 45. Center DM, Kornfeld H, Cruikshank WW: Interleukin 16 and its function as a CD4
 1171 ligand. *Immunol Today* 1996, 17:476-481.
- Wilson KC, Center DM, Cruikshank WW: Mini ReviewThe Effect of Interleukin-16 and
 its Precursor on T Lymphocyte Activation and Growth. *Growth Factors* 2004, 22:97104.
- Huang Y, Du KL, Guo PY, Zhao RM, Wang B, Zhao XL, Zhang CQ: IL-16 regulates
 macrophage polarization as a target gene of mir-145-3p. *Mol Immunol* 2019, 107:19.
- 1178 48. Rodriguez-Agudo D, Malacrida L, Kakiyama G, Sparrer T, Fortes C, Maceyka M, Subler
 1179 MA, Windle JJ, Gratton E, Pandak WM, Gil G: StarD5: an ER stress protein regulates
 1180 plasma membrane and intracellular cholesterol homeostasis. *Journal of Lipid*1181 *Research* 2019, 60:1087-1098.

Rodriguez-Agudo D, Calderon-Dominguez M, Medina MA, Ren S, Gil G, Pandak WM:
ER stress increases StarD5 expression by stabilizing its mRNA and leads to
relocalization of its protein from the nucleus to the membranes. *J Lipid Res* 2012,
53:2708-2715.

118650.Soccio RE, Adams RM, Maxwell KN, Breslow JL: Differential Gene Regulation of1187StarD4 and StarD5 Cholesterol Transfer Proteins: ACTIVATION OF StarD4 BY1188STEROL REGULATORY ELEMENT-BINDING PROTEIN-2 AND StarD5 BY

1189 ENDOPLASMIC RETICULUM STRESS *. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2005,
1190 280:19410-19418.

- Luu-The V, Tremblay P, Labrie F: Characterization of type 12 17beta-hydroxysteroid
 dehydrogenase, an isoform of type 3 17beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase
 responsible for estradiol formation in women. *Mol Endocrinol* 2006, 20:437-443.
- Heikelä H, Ruohonen ST, Adam M, Viitanen R, Liljenbäck H, Eskola O, Gabriel M,
 Mairinoja L, Pessia A, Velagapudi V, et al: Hydroxysteroid (17β) dehydrogenase 12 is
 essential for metabolic homeostasis in adult mice. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*2020, 319:E494-e508.
- 1198 53. Nagasaki S, Miki Y, Akahira J, Suzuki T, Sasano H: Transcriptional regulation of
 17beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 12 by SREBP-1. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*2009, 307:163-168.
- Didier C, Broday L, Bhoumik A, Israeli S, Takahashi S, Nakayama K, Thomas SM,
 Turner CE, Henderson S, Sabe H, Ronai Ze: RNF5, a RING Finger Protein That
 Regulates Cell Motility by Targeting Paxillin Ubiquitination and Altered
 Localization. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 2003, 23:5331-5345.
- Tcherpakov M, Delaunay A, Toth J, Kadoya T, Petroski MD, Ronai ZeA: Regulation of
 Endoplasmic Reticulum-associated Degradation by RNF5-dependent
 Ubiquitination of JNK-associated Membrane Protein (JAMP) *. Journal of Biological
 Chemistry 2009, 284:12099-12109.
- 1209 56. Zhong B, Zhang Y, Tan B, Liu TT, Wang YY, Shu HB: The E3 ubiquitin ligase RNF5
 1210 targets virus-induced signaling adaptor for ubiquitination and degradation. J
 1211 Immunol 2010, 184:6249-6255.
- 1212 57. Zhong B, Zhang L, Lei C, Li Y, Mao A-P, Yang Y, Wang Y-Y, Zhang X-L, Shu H-B: The
 1213 Ubiquitin Ligase RNF5 Regulates Antiviral Responses by Mediating Degradation
 1214 of the Adaptor Protein MITA. *Immunity* 2009, 30:397-407.

- 1215 58. Lai RW, Lu R, Danthi PS, Bravo JI, Goumba A, Sampathkumar NK, Benayoun BA:
 1216 Multi-level remodeling of transcriptional landscapes in aging and longevity. *BMB* 1217 *Rep* 2019, **52**:86-108.
- 1218 59. Sato S, Gillette M, de Santiago PR, Kuhn E, Burgess M, Doucette K, Feng Y, Mendez-
- Dorantes C, Ippoliti PJ, Hobday S, et al: LINE-1 ORF1p as a candidate biomarker in
 high grade serous ovarian carcinoma. *Scientific Reports* 2023, 13:1537.
- Rodić N, Sharma R, Sharma R, Zampella J, Dai L, Taylor MS, Hruban RH, Iacobuzio Donahue CA, Maitra A, Torbenson MS, et al: Long Interspersed Element-1 Protein
 Expression Is a Hallmark of Many Human Cancers. *The American Journal of Pathology* 2014, 184:1280-1286.
- 1225 61. Lindholm HT, Chen R, De Carvalho DD: Endogenous retroelements as alarms for
 1226 disruptions to cellular homeostasis. *Trends in Cancer* 2023, 9:55-68.
- 1227 62. Jeck WR, Siebold AP, Sharpless NE: Review: a meta-analysis of GWAS and age1228 associated diseases. Aging Cell 2012, 11:727-731.
- Ramirez J, Bitterman P, Basu S, Barua A: Changes in IL-16 Expression in the Ovary
 during Aging and Its Potential Consequences to Ovarian Pathology. *J Immunol Res* 2022, 2022:2870389.
- 1232 64. Lonsdale J, Thomas J, Salvatore M, Phillips R, Lo E, Shad S, Hasz R, Walters G, Garcia
- F, Young N, et al: The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project. *Nature Genetics*2013, 45:580-585.
- 1235 65. Carithers LJ, Ardlie K, Barcus M, Branton PA, Britton A, Buia SA, Compton CC, DeLuca
 1236 DS, Peter-Demchok J, Gelfand ET, et al: A Novel Approach to High-Quality
 1237 Postmortem Tissue Procurement: The GTEx Project. *Biopreservation and* 1238 *Biobanking* 2015, 13:311-319.

1239 66. Streeter I, Harrison PW, Faulconbridge A, The HipSci Consortium, Flicek P, Parkinson

- H, Clarke L: The human-induced pluripotent stem cell initiative—data resources for
 cellular genetics. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2016, 45:D691-D697.
- 1242 67. Chick JM, Munger SC, Simecek P, Huttlin EL, Choi K, Gatti DM, Raghupathy N,
 1243 Svenson KL, Churchill GA, Gygi SP: Defining the consequences of genetic variation
 1244 on a proteome-wide scale. *Nature* 2016, **534**:500-505.
- Stegle O, Parts L, Piipari M, Winn J, Durbin R: Using probabilistic estimation of
 expression residuals (PEER) to obtain increased power and interpretability of
 gene expression analyses. *Nat Protoc* 2012, 7:500-507.
- 1248 69. Leek JT: svaseq: removing batch effects and other unwanted noise from 1249 sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2014, **42:**e161-e161.
- 1250 70. Savytska N, Heutink P, Bansal V: Transcription start site signal profiling improves
 1251 transposable element RNA expression analysis at locus-level. *Frontiers in Genetics* 1252 2022, 13.
- 1253 71. Lanciano S, Cristofari G: Measuring and interpreting transposable element
 1254 expression. Nature Reviews Genetics 2020, 21:721-736.
- 1255 72. Zeng TB, Pierce N, Liao J, Szabó PE: H3K9 methyltransferase EHMT2/G9a controls
 1256 ERVK-driven noncanonical imprinted genes. *Epigenomics* 2021, 13:1299-1314.
- Jiang Q, Ang JYJ, Lee AY, Cao Q, Li KY, Yip KY, Leung DCY: G9a Plays Distinct
 Roles in Maintaining DNA Methylation, Retrotransposon Silencing, and Chromatin
 Looping, Cell Reports 2020, 33:108315.
- 1260 74. Rantakari P, Lagerbohm H, Kaimainen M, Suomela J-P, Strauss L, Sainio K, Pakarinen
- 1261 P, Poutanen M: Hydroxysteroid (17β) Dehydrogenase 12 Is Essential for Mouse
- 1262 **Organogenesis and Embryonic Survival.** *Endocrinology* 2010, **151**:1893-1901.

- 1263 75. Garcia G, Zhang H, Moreno S, Tsui CK, Webster BM, Higuchi-Sanabria R, Dillin A:
 1264 Lipid homeostasis is essential for a maximal ER stress response. *eLife* 2023,
 1265 12:e83884.
- 1266 76. Baier M, Werner A, Bannert N, Metzner K, Kurth R: HIV suppression by interleukin1267 16. *Nature* 1995, 378:563.
- 1268 77. Zhou P, Goldstein S, Devadas K, Tewari D, Notkins AL: Human CD4+ cells
 1269 transfected with IL-16 cDNA are resistant to HIV-1 infection: inhibition of mRNA
 1270 expression. Nat Med 1997, 3:659-664.
- 1271 78. Idziorek T, Khalife J, Billaut-Mulot O, Hermann E, Aumercier M, Mouton Y, Capron A,
- Bahr GM: Recombinant human IL-16 inhibits HIV-1 replication and protects against
 activation-induced cell death (AICD). *Clin Exp Immunol* 1998, **112**:84-91.
- Jia R, Jiang C, Li L, Huang C, Lu L, Xu M, Xu J, Liang X: Interleukin 16 Enhances the
 Host Susceptibility to Influenza A Virus Infection. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 2021, 12.
- 1276 80. Liu S, Lei Z, Li J, Wang L, Jia R, Liu Z, Jiang C, Gao Y, Liu M, Kuang L, et al:
 1277 Interleukin 16 contributes to gammaherpesvirus pathogenesis by inhibiting viral
 1278 reactivation. *PLoS Pathog* 2020, 16:e1008701.
- 1279 81. Watanabe T, Watanabe S, Kawaoka Y: Cellular Networks Involved in the Influenza
 1280 Virus Life Cycle. Cell Host & Microbe 2010, 7:427-439.
- Bermain MA, Chatel-Chaix L, Gagné B, Bonneil É, Thibault P, Pradezynski F, de
 Chassey B, Meyniel-Schicklin L, Lotteau V, Baril M, Lamarre D: Elucidating novel
 hepatitis C virus-host interactions using combined mass spectrometry and
 functional genomics approaches. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 2014, **13**:184-203.
- Mohamed B, Mazeaud C, Baril M, Poirier D, Sow AA, Chatel-Chaix L, Titorenko V,
 Lamarre D: Very-long-chain fatty acid metabolic capacity of 17-beta hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 12 (HSD17B12) promotes replication of
 hepatitis C virus and related flaviviruses. *Scientific Reports* 2020, 10:4040.

- Feng K, Min Y-Q, Sun X, Deng F, Li P, Wang H, Ning Y-J: Interactome profiling
 reveals interaction of SARS-CoV-2 NSP13 with host factor STAT1 to suppress
 interferon signaling. *Journal of Molecular Cell Biology* 2021, 13:760-762.
- 1292 85. Yuan Z, Hu B, Xiao H, Tan X, Li Y, Tang K, Zhang Y, Cai K, Ding B: The E3 Ubiquitin
- 1293 Ligase RNF5 Facilitates SARS-CoV-2 Membrane Protein-Mediated Virion Release.
- *mBio* 2022, **13**:e03168-03121.
- 1295 86. Li Z, Hao P, Zhao Z, Gao W, Huan C, Li L, Chen X, Wang H, Jin N, Luo Z-Q, et al: The

E3 ligase RNF5 restricts SARS-CoV-2 replication by targeting its envelope protein
 for degradation. Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy 2023, 8:53.

- 1298 87. Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MO, Whitwham A, Keane
- T, McCarthy SA, Davies RM, Li H: Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *GigaScience* 2021, 10.
- 130188.Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, Handsaker RE,1302Lunter G, Marth GT, Sherry ST, et al: The variant call format and VCFtools.
- 1303 *Bioinformatics* 2011, **27:**2156-2158.
- 1304 89. Gao F, Chang D, Biddanda A, Ma L, Guo Y, Zhou Z, Keinan A: XWAS: A Software
 1305 Toolset for Genetic Data Analysis and Association Studies of the X Chromosome.
 1306 *J Hered* 2015, 106:666-671.
- 1307 90. Keur N, Ricaño-Ponce I, Kumar V, Matzaraki V: A systematic review of analytical
 1308 methods used in genetic association analysis of the X-chromosome. *Briefings in*1309 *Bioinformatics* 2022, 23.
- Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MAR, Bender D, Maller J, Sklar
 P, de Bakker PIW, Daly MJ, Sham PC: PLINK: A Tool Set for Whole-Genome
 Association and Population-Based Linkage Analyses. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* 2007, 81:559-575.

- 1314 92. Ahl V, Keller H, Schmidt S, Weichenrieder O: Retrotransposition and Crystal
 1315 Structure of an Alu RNP in the Ribosome-Stalling Conformation. *Molecular Cell*1316 2015, 60:715-727.
- 1317 93. Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J: fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.
 1318 Bioinformatics 2018, 34:i884-i890.
- 1319 94. Frankish A, Diekhans M, Ferreira A-M, Johnson R, Jungreis I, Loveland J, Mudge JM,
 1320 Sisu C, Wright J, Armstrong J, et al: GENCODE reference annotation for the human
- and mouse genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2018, **47**:D766-D773.
- 1322 95. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M,
- Gingeras TR: STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* 2012, 29:1521.
- 1325 96. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S: Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion
 1326 for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology* 2014, 15:550.
- 1327 97. Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, Smyth GK: limma powers
 1328 differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies.
 1329 Nucleic Acids Research 2015, 43:e47-e47.
- Macchietto MG, Langlois RA, Shen SS: Virus-induced transposable element
 expression up-regulation in human and mouse host cells. *Life Sci Alliance* 2020, 3.
- 1332 99. Shabalin AA: Matrix eQTL: ultra fast eQTL analysis via large matrix operations.
 1333 Bioinformatics 2012, 28:1353-1358.
- 1334 100. Wang T, Peng Q, Liu B, Liu X, Liu Y, Peng J, Wang Y: eQTLMAPT: Fast and Accurate
 eQTL Mediation Analysis With Efficient Permutation Testing Approaches. Frontiers
 in Genetics 2020, 10.
- 1337 101. Wu T, Hu E, Xu S, Chen M, Guo P, Dai Z, Feng T, Zhou L, Tang W, Zhan L, et al:
 1338 clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics data. *The* 1339 *Innovation* 2021, 2:100141.

1340 102. Ghoussaini M, Mountjoy E, Carmona M, Peat G, Schmidt EM, Hercules A, Fumis L,
1341 Miranda A, Carvalho-Silva D, Buniello A, et al: Open Targets Genetics: systematic
1342 identification of trait-associated genes using large-scale genetics and functional
1343 genomics. Nucleic Acids Res 2021, 49:D1311-d1320.
1344 103. Kim S-S, Hudgins AD, Gonzalez B, Milman S, Barzilai N, Vijg J, Tu Z, Suh Y: A

- 1345 Compendium of Age-Related PheWAS and GWAS Traits for Human Genetic 1346 Association Studies, Their Networks and Genetic Correlations. *Frontiers in* 1347 *Genetics* 2021, **12**.
- 1348
- 1349
- 1350

1351 FIGURE LEGENDS

1352

1353 **Figure 1. Overview of the pipeline developed to scan for L1 transcriptional** 1354 **regulators** *in silico*.

(A) An illustration of the samples and "omic" data used in this study. Of the 358 1355 European individuals, 187 were female and 171 were male. Of the 86 African 1356 individuals, 49 were female and 37 were male. (Note that Utah subjects are of Northern 1357 European ancestry, and thus part of the European cohort for analytical purposes). (B) A 1358 schematic illustrating how genetic variants, gene expression, and TE expression can be 1359 integrated to identify highly correlated SNV-Gene-TE trios. (C) A Manhattan plot for the 1360 L1 subfamily *trans*-eQTL analysis in the European cohort. The genes that passed our 1361 1362 three-part integration approach are listed next to the most significant trans-eQTL SNV 1363 they were associated with in *cis*. The dashed line at p = 3.44E-8 corresponds to an average empirical FDR < 0.05, based on 20 random permutations. One such 1364 1365 permutation is illustrated in the bottom panel. The solid line at p = 2.31E-8 corresponds to a Benjamini-Hochberg FDR < 0.05. The stricter of the two thresholds, p = 2.31E-8, 1366 was used to define significant trans-eQTLs. FDR: False Discovery Rate. Some panels 1367 were created with BioRender.com. 1368

1369

Figure 2. Identification of 1st tier candidate L1 expression regulators in the
European cohort.

(A) A schematic for how 1st tier candidate genes were defined. In short, these were
genes in trios with index SNVs that were at the top of their respective peak. (B) The

three-part integration results for three protein-coding genes—*STARD5*, *IL16*, *HSD17B12*—that we considered first tier candidates for functional, *in vitro* testing. In the left column are the *trans*-eQTLs, in the middle column are the *cis*-eQTLs, and in the right column are the linear regressions for gene expression against L1 subfamily expression. Expression values following an inverse normal transform (INT) are shown. The FDR for each analysis is listed at the top of each plot. FDR: False Discovery Rate.

1380

Figure 3. L1 *trans*-eQTLs are associated with subtle, widespread differences in TE families and known TE-associated pathways.

(A) Scheme for functionally annotating gene-linked index SNVs by GSEA. (B) GSEA 1383 analysis for shared, significantly regulated TE family gene sets across genotypes for 1384 1385 rs11635336 (IL16/STARD5), rs9271894 (HLA), and rs1061810 (HSD17B12). (C) GSEA 1386 plots for the L1 family gene set results summarized in (B). For these plots, the FDR 1387 value is listed. GSEA analysis for top, shared, concomitantly regulated (D) MSigDB 1388 Hallmark pathway, (E) GO Biological Process, and (F) Reactome pathway gene sets across genotypes for rs11635336 (IL16/STARD5), rs9271894 (HLA), and rs1061810 1389 1390 (HSD17B12). Shared gene sets were ranked by combining p-values from each individual SNV analysis using Fisher's method. In each bubble plot, the size of the dot 1391 represents the -log₁₀(FDR) and the color reflects the normalized enrichment score. 1392 1393 FDR: False Discovery Rate.

1394

1395 Figure 4. Impact of *IL16* and *STARD5* overexpression on LCL gene and TE 1396 expression landscapes.

1397 *IL16* and *STARD5* overexpression induce changes consistent with their known biology. 1398 as well as subtle but widespread upregulation of TE families. (A) Scheme for experimentally validating the roles of *IL16* and *STARD5* in L1 regulation. GSEA analysis 1399 1400 for top, differentially regulated (B) GO Biological Process and (C) Reactome pathway 1401 gene sets following *IL16* overexpression. GSEA analysis for top, differentially regulated (D) GO Biological Process and (E) Reactome pathway gene sets following STARD5 1402 1403 overexpression. (F) GSEA analysis for shared, significantly regulated TE family gene 1404 sets following *IL16* and *STARD5* overexpression. (G) GSEA plots for the L1 family gene 1405 set results summarized in (F). For these plots, the FDR value is listed. In each bubble 1406 plot, the size of the dot represents the $-\log_{10}(FDR)$ and the color reflects the normalized enrichment score. FDR: False Discovery Rate. Some panels were created with 1407 1408 BioRender.com.

1409

Figure 5. rhlL16 treatment is sufficient to transiently upregulate an L1 family gene set.

(A) Scheme for experimentally validating the role of rhIL16 in L1 regulation. GSEA 1412 analysis for top, shared, concomitantly regulated (B) GO Biological Process and (C) 1413 1414 Reactome pathway gene sets following *IL16* overexpression and rhIL16 exposure for 24 hours. Shared gene sets were ranked by combining p-values from each individual 1415 treatment analysis using Fisher's method. (D) GSEA analysis for top, differentially 1416 1417 regulated TE family gene sets following rhIL16 exposure for 24 hours. The GSEA plot for the L1 family gene set result summarized in the bubble plot is also shown. For this 1418 plot, the FDR value is listed. In each bubble plot, the size of the dot represents the -1419

1420 log₁₀(FDR) and the color reflects the normalized enrichment score. FDR: False
1421 Discovery Rate. Some panels were created with BioRender.com.

1422

1423 Figure 6. Consistent cellular responses to *IL16* overexpression, *STARD5* 1424 overexpression, and rhIL16 exposure for 24 hours.

IL16 overexpression, STARD5 overexpression, and rhIL16 exposure for 24 hours are 1425 1426 associated with subtle but widespread differences in TE families and known TEassociated pathways. (A) Scheme for assessing concordantly regulated TE family and 1427 1428 pathway gene sets across conditions where an L1 gene set is upregulated. GSEA analysis for top, shared, concomitantly regulated (B) TE family, (C) MSigDB Hallmark 1429 pathway, (D) GO Biological Process, and (E) Reactome pathway gene sets following 1430 1431 IL16 overexpression, STARD5 overexpression, and rhIL16 exposure for 24 hours. 1432 Shared gene sets were ranked by combining p-values from each individual treatment analysis using Fisher's method. In each bubble plot, the size of the dot represents the -1433 1434 log₁₀(FDR) and the color reflects the normalized enrichment score. FDR: False **Discovery Rate.** 1435

1436

1437 Figure 7. L1 *trans*-eQTLs are co-associated with aging traits in GWAS databases.

(A) Scheme for obtaining *trans*-eQTL SNV-associated aging phenotypes from the Open
Targets Genetics platform. (B) A pie chart representing the number of SNVs (222/499)
associated with an aging-related MeSH trait, either by PheWAS or indirectly linked to
the phenotype through a proxy lead SNP in LD with the SNV. (C) Histogram depicting
the distribution of number of aging MeSH traits associated with the 222/499 SNVs by

PheWAS. (D) Histogram depicting the distribution of number of aging MeSH traits linked 1443 with the 222/499 SNVs through a proxy lead SNP in LD with the SNVs. (E) A diagram 1444 1445 highlighting the organ targets of the top 10 most frequently associated aging traits. (F) 1446 The concentrations of circulating IL16 in aging mice of both sexes was assessed by ELISA. Significance across age in each sex was assessed using a Wilcoxon test. The 1447 p-values from each sex (females in pink and males in blue) were combined by meta-1448 1449 analysis using Fisher's method. Any p-value < 0.05 was considered significant. Some 1450 panels were created with BioRender.com.

1451

10

5

Chi

 $-\log_{10}(p)$





ծ

9

 o

N ᠕ 20 \$

1, 0,

D

Ś

Α Scheme for defining 1st tier candidate genes



INT(HSD17B12)



rs11635336 16/STARD5

16/STARD5 rs11635336







IL16 OE STARD5 OE

Scheme for assessing the effects of IL16 protein on L1 expression



1.26E-2

rhIL16 associations with TE family gene sets



A Scheme for assessing shared pathway changes in conditions with L1 regulation



A Scheme for identifying TE eQTL co-associated aging traits

