

## Correspondence

TO THE EDITOR, *British Journal of Venereal Diseases*

### Cefoxitin v procaine penicillin in the treatment of uncomplicated gonorrhoea

Sir,

We report the first British study comparing cefoxitin and procaine penicillin in the treatment of culture positive uncomplicated gonorrhoea.

Cultures were made on Birmingham General Hospital VCT medium<sup>1</sup>; Oxidase positive typical colonies of urethral and cervical isolates confirmed by Gram staining were accepted as *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* for the purpose of this study, but rectal and pharyngeal isolates were subjected to full identification. All isolates were screened for  $\beta$ -lactamase production,<sup>2</sup> and minimum inhibitory concentration tests were performed on pretreatment isolates.<sup>3</sup> Patients who were known to be allergic to  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics, had hepatic or renal impairment, had a genital sore, were pregnant or lactating, or gave a history of taking antibiotics in the previous four weeks were excluded from the study.

A total of 223 men and 106 women were randomly assigned to treatment with either a) cefoxitin sodium 2 g in 2 ml of 1% lignocaine intramuscularly plus probenecid 1 g orally, or b) procaine penicillin 1.8 MU intramuscularly plus probenecid 1 g orally. Ideal follow up was at 3, 10, and 24 days, and the results obtained are shown in table I.

The results obtained with both regimes were comparable until the third follow up

visit, when the higher default rate in the penicillin treatment group resulted in a statistically significant increase in the

$\mu\text{g/ml}$ ). The table (table II) of published results shows that this drug has still not been fully evaluated in relation to PPNG strains and pharyngeal infections.

Yours faithfully,  
M Veeravahu  
A H T Sumathipala  
J C Clay

TABLE I Comparison of follow up attendance and positive cultures in patients treated with cefoxitin v penicillin

Treatment	No who attended follow up visits (no of positive cultures)		
	1st	2nd	3rd
Cefoxitin (n = 164)	140 (4)	98 (3)	64 (5)
Penicillin (n = 165)	131 (2)*	94 (2)	44 (10)

\*includes one PPNG infection.

apparent failure rate compared with that of the cefoxitin group ( $p < 0.05$ ). The only patient with an infection caused by a penicillinase producing organism was in the penicillin treatment group. One man and 11 women with rectal infections and one woman with a pharyngeal infection were cured with cefoxitin. Injection site pain was reported in 23% of the cefoxitin group and 14.1% of the penicillin group. Other trivial side effects reported by patients in the cefoxitin group were as follows: pruritus and rash (2), rhinorrhoea (1), weakness (2), diarrhoea (2), numbness or pain in the legs (3), dizziness (1), vomiting (1), and fever (1).

The majority (85.8%) of the pre-treatment isolates in Birmingham up to the completion of the study (February 1981) were penicillin sensitive ( $\text{MIC} < 0.03$

Department of Genitourinary Medicine, General Hospital, Birmingham B4 6NH.

### References

1. Clay JC, Brierley AFM, Thornbury JR. Screening for sexually transmissible infections in unwanted pregnancy. *Br J Clin Pract* 1982;36:49-51, 54.
2. O'Callaghan CH, Morris A, Kirby SM, Shingler AH. Novel method for detection of Beta lactamase by using a chromogenic cephalosporin substrate. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1972;1:283-8.
3. Phillips I. The susceptibility of *Neisseria gonorrhoea* to cefoxitin sodium. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1978;4, Suppl B:61-4.
4. Berg SW, Kilpatrick ME, Harrison WO, McCutchan SA. Cefoxitin in the treatment of penicillinase producing *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. *N Engl J Med* 1979;301:509-11.
5. Jones RB, Stimson J, Counts GW, Holmes KK. Cefoxitin in the treatment of gonorrhoea. *Sex Transm Dis* 1979;6:239-42.
6. Siegel MS, Thompson SE, Perine PL, Brown ST, Reynolds G, Thornsberry C. Treatment of uncomplicated gonococcal urethritis with cefoxitin: comparison with penicillin. *Rev Infect Dis* 1979;1:183-8.
7. Greaves WL, Kraus SJ, McCormack WM, et al. Cefoxitin v penicillin in the treatment of uncomplicated gonorrhoea. *Sex Transm Dis* 1983;10:53-5.

TABLE II Comparison of published data showing failure rates for cefoxitin in treatment of gonorrhoea

Studies by	Sites of infection in						Strains isolated	
	Men			Women			PPNG	Non-PPNG
	Urethra	Rectum	Pharynx	Urethra/cervix/rectum	Pharynx			
Beng et al (1979) <sup>4</sup>	0/54					0/21	0/27	
Jones et al (1979) <sup>5</sup>	0/10	0/1	1/2	0/25	3/5		0/6 NT	
Siegel et al (1979) <sup>6</sup>	1/59		1/2				4/25	
Greaves et al (1983) <sup>7</sup>	6/123	1/21	0/1	4/75			1/59	
Total no	7/246	1/22	2/5	4/90	3/5	0/21	16/337	
Success rate (%)	97.3	25.5	60	95.6	40	100	95.3	

NT = not tested; PPNG = penicillinase producing *Neisseria gonorrhoea*.