Meta Analysis

Online Submissions: http://www.journaltem.com ISSN 0255-2922 info@journaltem.com

Efficacy and safety of Buyang Huanwu decoction (补阳还五汤) for diabetic peripheral neuropathy: a systematic review and Meta-analysis

ZHANG Meizhen, HAO Xiaohui, TANG Yiting, CHEN Yupeng, HE Puyu, ZHAO Liming, PANG Bing, NI Qing

ZHANG Meizhen, TANG Yiting, CHEN Yupeng, HE Puyu, ZHAO Liming, PANG Bing, NI Qing, Department of Endocrinology, Guang'anmen Hospital of China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing 100053, China

HAO Xiaohui, Graduate School of Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing 100029, China; Department of Endocrinology, Guang'anmen Hospital of China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing 100053, China

Supported by Natural Science Foundation-funded Project: Optimization of Distribution of Anti-Diabetes Traditional Chinese Medicine based on Glucose Metabolism Signal Pathway and Uniform Design (No. 7182143)

Correspondence to: Prof. NI Qing, Department of Endocrinology, Guang'anmen Hospital of China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing 100053, China. niqing669@163.com; **PANG Bing**, Department of Endocrinology, Guang'anmen Hospital of China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing 100053, China, 307636788@qq.com

Telephone: +86-18810535932 DOI: 10.19852/j.cnki.jtcm.20230802.002 Received: September 26, 2022 Accepted: December 11, 2022 Available online: August 2, 2023

Abstract

OBJECTIVE: To evaluate the efficacy and safety of Buyang Huanwu decoction (BYHWD) in treating diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN).

METHODS: Eight electronic databases, including China National Knowledge Infrastructure Database, Wanfang Database, China Science and Technology Journal Database, Chinese Biomedical Literature Database, Cochrane Library, Embase, Web of Science, and PubMed, were searched for randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of BYHWD to treat DPN. We identified all RCTs related to BYHWD and those on the treatment of DPN with the combination of mecobalamin. RevMan software was used for the statistical analysis.

RESULTS: Twenty-one RCTs with a total of 1945 patients were included. The methodological quality of the literature included was low. Meta-analysis showed that the efficacy of the treatment group was significantly better than that of the control group in the treatment of DPN with BYHWD [risk ratio (*RR*) = 0.33, 95% *CI* (0.27, 0.40), *Z* = 11.25, *P* < 0.000 01]. The median nerve of median motor

nerve conduction velocity (MNCV) [mean difference (MD) = 4.16, 95% CI (1.35, 6.98)] and median sensory NCV (SNCV) [(MD = 3.28, 95% CI (2.35, 4.22)] were improved in the treatment group. The MNCV in the common peroneal nerve [(MD = 1.63, 95% CI (0.39, 2.87)] and SNCV [(MD = 4.56, 95% CI (3.16, 5.97)] were significantly higher than those in the control group (P < 0.01). Plasma viscosity [(MD = -0.15, 95% CI (-0.20, -0.09), Z = 5.17, P < 0.01)], whole blood high shear [(MD = -0.83, 95%) *CI* (-1.56, -0.11), *Z* = 2.26, *P* = 0.02)]and whole blood low shear [(MD = - 1.61, 95% Cl (-2.28, -0.94), Z = 4.68, P < 0.01 decreased significantly after treatment. There was no significant difference in fasting blood glucose [(*MD* = -0.42, 95% *Cl* (-0.89, 0.05), *Z* = 1.76, P = 0.08)] between the treatment and control groups; postprandial blood glucose [(MD = -0.62, 95% CI (-1.19, -0.62)0.05), Z = 2.12, P = 0.03] decreased significantly. No significant difference was found in the blood lipid levels between the treatment and control groups, including triglycerides [(MD = -0.21, 95% C/ (-0.52, 0.10), Z = 1.34, P = 0.18)] and cholesterol [(MD = -0.13, 95% Cl (-0.27, 0.00), Z = 1.92, P = 0.06)]. Of the 21 RCTs, only five reported adverse reactions, and four studies reported the length of follow-up. No serious adverse events were reported. None of the studies reported the quality of life and economic conditions.

CONCLUSIONS: Our study suggests that BYHWD has a significant therapeutic effect on DPN. High-quality, large-scale RCTs are needed to provide more reliable evidence.

© 2023 JTCM. All rights reserved.

Keywords: diabetic peripheral neuropathy; safety; treatment outcome; nerve conduction studies; hemorheology; randomized controlled trial; Meta -analysis; Buyang Huanwu decoction

1. INTRODUCTION

Diabetes is a growing public health concern worldwide, and according to data from the IDF Diabetes Atlas, the number of adults diagnosed with diabetes has tripled over the past 20 years and is predicted to increase to 700 million by 2045. With the increase in the incidence of diabetes, the number of patients suffering from diabetic complications has dramatically grown.¹ Diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN) is one of the most common complications of diabetes. It is characterized by limb numbness, antselectric shock-like sensation, tingling, burning, drilling pain, tactile hypersensitivity, and a decrease in muscle strength by varying degrees.² DPN increases the risk of disability due to foot amputation and ulceration. Apart from the negative impacts on the quality of life of patients with DPN, their mortality is also higher than those with DM alone.³ Data from several studies suggests that the risk of amputation in patients with diabetes is 10-20-fold higher than in those without diabetes. Furthermore, the missed diagnosis of DPN affects more than 40-60 million people worldwide and puts them at risk of lower extremity amputation.⁴

In recent years, there has been an increasing interest in the potential of natural medicine products, such as Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM), to prevent and treat chronic diseases. Buyang Huanwu decoction (补阳 还五汤, BYHWD) was first recorded in a famous Chinese medical book, Yi Lin Gai Cuo,⁵ by Wang Qingren. The decoction is composed of Huangqi (Radix Astragali Mongolici), Danggui (Radix Angelicae Sinensis), Chuanxiong (Rhizoma Chuanxiong), Honghua (Flos Carthami), Taoren (Semen Persicae), Chishao (Radix Paeoniae Rubra), and Dilong (Pheretima Aspergillum). BYHWD has a therapeutic effect on patients with blood stasis of Qi and Yin deficiency. Previous clinical trials and animal experiments have confirmed that BYHWD can lower blood sugar, significantly improve conduction function, promote the regeneration and repair of neurons, and reduce nerve cell damage.^{6,7} However, limited systematic reviews have been conducted. BYHWD is a derivative of vitamin B12, which nourishes and repairs nerves, promotes the synthesis of nucleic acids and proteins, improves the metabolism of neurons and Schwann cells, and restores nerve conduction function. Alprostadil can improve nerve microcirculation, and lipoic acid has an antioxidant effect. Therefore, mecobalamin has been used as a control in many studies.

We aimed to collect randomized controlled trials (RCTs) about BYHWD versus mecobalamin for DPN based on evidence-based medicine and conduct a Meta-analysis of its efficacy.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Search strategy

The following electronic databases were searched for relevant RCTs: China National Knowledge Infrastructure Database, China Science and Technology Journal Database, Chinese Biomedical Literature Database, Wanfang Database, Web of Science, PubMed, Embase, and the Cochrane library. We searched for all trials published before July 9, 2022. We searched the databases in both Chinese and English, as detailed in supplementary Table 1. To include unpublished studies, we also searched the website of the international clinical trial registry provided by the United States National Institutes of Health (available at http://clinicaltrials.gov/) and the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (available at http://www.chictr.org.cn/index.aspx). The search was conducted independently by two researchers. There were no language, country, or region restrictions.

2.2. Study selection

All studies were RCTs related to BYHWD or modified BYHWD, which were conducted to add or reduce drugs based on BYHWD according to symptoms. Baseline data, if available, were included in the study. No sex, age, or ethnicity restrictions were used.

All included trials met the following selection criteria: (a) Diagnostic criteria of the study subjects: All patients need to be clearly diagnosed with diabetes mellitus. If patients had clinical symptoms such as pain, numbness, and paresthesia and one of the five abnormalities in ankle reflex, acupuncture pain, vibration, pressure, temperature, or no clinical symptoms yet had two out of the five mentioned abnormalities, DPN could be considered to be diagnosed;² (b) Study type: RCTs; (c) Intervention measures: the control group could be blank, placebo, or mecobalamin. The treatment group should have been treated with BYHWD orally. Routine diabetes education, reasonable diet, exercise therapy, blood glucose control, blood pressure control, and other routine treatment were used in two groups; (d) Intervention time: no less than six weeks; (e) Others: there were no restrictions on the language used. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (a) Allocation methods used in semi-RCTs, such as odd and even number, order of admission or visit, date of birth, number of case records, and different treatment plans; (b) Repeated or identical articles; (c) Articles which did not report relevant outcome indicators or the full text could not be obtained; (d) Interventions such as fumigation, foot bath therapy, acupuncture, acupoint injection, electro-acupuncture, massage, and iontophoresis of TCM were excluded. RCTs that reported patients with neuropathy and severe arteriovenous vascular disease caused by another inducement were also excluded.

The main outcome indicators included the total effective treatment rate and nerve conduction velocity (NCV). The total effective rate was calculated by referring to the guiding principles for clinical research of new Chinese medicines.8 The nerve condition of patients was evaluated by the motor NCV (MNCV) and the sensory NCV (SNCV); the higher the score, the better the improvement effect. The efficacy scores were evaluated based on the nimodipine method: the efficacy index (n) = the point reduction before and after treatment / the points before treatment × 100%. Significant improvement in clinical symptoms and signs and a score reduction of no less than 70% indicates a great effect in treating clinical symptoms and that signs have been improved significantly. A score reduction of no less than 30% indicates a good effect.

If the clinical symptoms and signs are close to or worse than before treatment and the score reduction is less than 30%, it is deemed invalid. Secondary outcome indicators include hemorheology, fasting blood glucose (FPG) or 2 h postprandial blood glucose (2hPG), and blood lipids [total cholesterol, triglycerides (TG)].

2.3. Data extraction

We extracted information from at least three studies using a form to verify its applicability. Two independent reviewers (TANG Yiting and CHEN Yupeng) extracted following information from the studies: the organizational aspects (including reviewer's name, the first author of the articles, year of publication, publication source), trial characteristics (design of the study, number of participants, number of groups, methods of randomization, methods of allocation concealment, blinding, primary aims of the study), participants (age, ethnicity, sex, diagnosis, concurrent conditions), interventions and controls (name of the intervention, length of treatment, type and name of control, additional treatment), outcome measurements (type of outcome, definition of the outcome, time point for assessment, length of follow-up), results (name of the outcome, mean, standard deviation, events observed after the intervention, total sample size), and other research information. If there was a disagreement between the two reviewers, a consensus was achieved by discussion among all reviewers.

2.4. Quality assessment

Two researchers (HE Puyu and ZHAO Liming) independently assessed the quality of the literature. According to the seven items in the Cochrane Handbook, 5.1 Bias Risk Assessment,⁹ independent literature quality evaluation includes the random sequence generation method, allocation plan hiding, blinding (subjects, trial personnel), blinding (outcome evaluator), incomplete outcome reports, selective outcome reports, and other sources of bias. We filled in the results according to three levels: low risk, high risk, and unclear. Any dispute was resolved through discussion or by referring to the opinion of a third-party researcher (NI Qing).

2.5. Statistical analysis

The relative risk (*RR*), *MD*, and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% *CI*) were calculated using RevMan software version 5.3 for dichotomous and continuous outcomes, respectively. The I^2 test was used to evaluate the heterogeneity. When *P* was ≥ 0.1 and $I^2 \leq 50\%$, this indicated that there was no obvious heterogeneity between clinical studies, and the fixed effects model was adopted; when *P* was < 0.1, and $I^2 > 50\%$, this indicated that there was a significant difference between the clinical studies. Meta-analysis was carried out according to sex, age, doses of BYHWD, and basic medication of the subjects to explore the source of heterogeneity, and subgroup analysis was carried out

after clarifying the source of heterogeneity; when there was still obvious heterogeneity, the random effect model was selected. Sensitivity analysis was used to determine the stability of the results. When the number of research articles was greater than 10, a funnel plot was used to assess the publication bias.

3. RESULTS

In our initial literature search, 633 articles were found in eight electronic databases, of which 277 were repeated, and 356 were retained. After reading the titles and abstracts, 131 articles out of 356 were excluded because they were retrospective research, experimental research, experience, theoretical discussion, systematic review, and literature review based studies. A total of 146 articles underwent full text screening, among which 125 were excluded for the following reasons: the participants did not meet the inclusion criteria (n = 100), repetition or non-retrieval (n = 12), and non-RCTs (n = 13). Finally, 21 RCTs met our eligibility criteria and were included in the systematic evaluation (Figure 1).

3.1. Characteristics of the included trials

We included 21 trials,¹⁰⁻³⁰ which all were RCTs with two parallel arms. All trials were conducted or published before July 9, 2022, in China. The characteristics of the included studies are summarized in Table 1.

In this Meta-analysis, there were 1945 DPN patients: 1018 in the treatment group and 927 in the control group, all of whom came from hospital wards or outpatient clinics. The sample sizes ranged from 20 to 130. Most patients were aged between 37 and 73 years. Six studies^{12,18,20-22,30} recruited patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, while the remaining 15 studies ^{10-11,13-17,19,23-29} did not report the type of diabetes.

The World Health Organization diabetes mellitus diagnostic criteria (1999 or 2010 or not indicated) were mentioned in 13 studies;^{11,13,14,16,18-22,26,28-30} two studies^{17,25} used the International Diabetes Federation diagnostic criteria (1997); one study¹² used the Chinese Diabetes Society diagnostic criteria (2013); two other studies^{10,23} used the WTO or Chinese guidelines for the prevention and treatment of diabetes (2017), and the remaining studies^{15,24,27} included no diagnostic criteria. In the treatment group, BYHWD alone was used in six

trials;^{13,16,17,19,22,23} modified BYHWD was used in 15 trials;^{10-12,14,15,18,20,21,24-30} six trials^{13,16,17,19,22,23} used BYHWD or modified BYHWD in the treatment group and 14 trials used mecobalamin on te premise of BYHWD or modified BYHWD in the control group, except for in one study¹⁷ which was blank.

Our study divided the clinical effective rate into effective and ineffective according to whether the symptoms improved. NCV and the total effective rate of treatment were also used as the main outcome indicators, and hemorheology, blood glucose, and blood lipids as the secondary outcome indicators. Only five studies reported



Figure 1 Flow diagram

the occurrence of adverse events. Withdrawal and loss to follow-up were recorded in four studies, and the effects on quality of life and economic loss were not reported in any of the studies.

3.2. Methodological quality assessment

Quality was evaluated according to the Cochrane risk assessment criteria bias. Among the 21 RCTs, six RCTs were randomly grouped using the random number table method, and "random" grouping was only mentioned in the remaining 15 RCTs.

Allocation concealment was not mentioned in any of the studies. None of the 20 RCTs was a double-blind and placebo-controlled trial. One RCT was reported as "single-blind". All 21 RCTs provided complete baseline data. Five studies were not reported according to the established plan, and sample size estimation methods for other biases were not reported in 21 RCTs. The quality of all the studies was very low, which affected the subsequent confidence intervals. This suggests that the follow-up results should be treated objectively (Figure 2).

3.3. Primary outcomes

3.3.1. Efficacy

This study showed a significant difference in clinical efficacy between the treatment and control groups. The

heterogeneity test showed that the heterogeneity was small between the groups (P = 0.68, $I^2 = 0$). Therefore, the fixed effect model was selected for analysis. The efficacy in the treatment group was better than that in the control group [(RR = 0.33, 95% CI (0.27, 0.40), Z =11.25, P < 0.000 01)]. To compare the clinical efficacy of BYHWD and modified BYHWD with that of the control group, we conducted a subgroup analysis. Compared with the control group, there was a significant difference between the BYHWD alone and control groups [(n = 682, RR = 0.34, 95% CI (0.25, 0.45), Z =7.15, P < 0.00001)], and there was no heterogeneity (γ^2 = 5.53, P = 0.35, $I^2 = 10\%$). Modified BYHWD plus conventional Western Medicine had a significant difference compared with the control group [(n = 1213,RR = 0.33, 95% CI (0.25, 0.42), Z = 8.71, P < 0.000 01)] and there was no heterogeneity ($\chi^2 = 10.15$, P = 0.68, $I^2 =$ 0%). The analysis also concluded that BYHWD plus mecobalamin could significantly increase the efficacy rate compared to mecobalamin alone (supplementary Figure 1).

3.3.2. NCVs

As a continuous variable, the NCV showed great heterogeneity between the two groups. We performed a subgroup analysis of the BYWHD/modified BYHWD and control groups.

Outcome	measure	CER	NCV+Hemorheo logy	NCV	CER+NCV	CER+NCV+ Hemorheology	CER+NCV	CER	CER	CER+NCV	CER+NCV+He morheology	CER+NCV+He morheology	CER+ NCV+Hemorheo	CER +NCV+Hemorhe	CER+ NCV+Hemorheo	CER+ NCV+Hemorheo	CER+ NCV+Hemorheo	CER +NCV+Hemorhe
DN	duration (years)	$\begin{array}{c} T:1.83 \pm 1.78 \\ C: 2.2 \pm 1.17 \end{array}$	Not reported	Not reported	T:0.25-3 C: 0.42-3	T:0.8-13.5 C: 0.6-13.6	T:0.5-3 C: 0.5-3	T:6.87±3.41 C: 7.05±3.81	Not reported	Not reported	T:8.45±1.26 C: 8.72±1.35	Not reported	T:6.52±1.21 C: 6.46±1.12	Not reported	T:2.3±1.2 C: 2.3±1.5	Not reported	T:0.25-10 C: 0.25-11	Not reported
Treatment	period (weeks)	12	14	8	12	12	12	12	12	8	12	8	12	8	8	8	8	8
	Control group	Mecobalamine (500 µg tid, po)+BT	Mecobalamin (500 μg, tid, po)+BT	Mecobalamin (1 mg,qd, iv)+BT	Mecobalamin (0.5-1 mg, qd, iv)+BT	Mecobalamin (0.5 mL, qd, im)+BT	Mecobalamin (500 µg, qd, im)+BT	Mecobalamin (0.5 mg, qd, im)+BT	Mecobalamin (0.5-1 mg, qd, im)	Mecobalamine (0.5 mg, tid, po)+BT	Mecobalamine (500 μg, tid, po)+BT	Mecobalamine (500 μg, tid, po)+BT	Mecobalamine (0.5 mg, tid, po)+BT	Mecobalamine (500 μg , tid, po)+BT	Mecobalamine (500 μg, tid, po)+BT	Blank+BT	Mecobalamine (500 μg, qod, im) +BT	Mecobalamine (500 μg , tid, po)+BT
Intervention	Treatment group	Modified BYHWD (150 mL, bid)+ Mecobalamine (500 µg tid, po)+BT	Modified BYHWD (bid)+BT	Modified BYHWD (200 mL, bid) +BT	Modified BYHWD+ BT Mecobalamin (0.5-1 mg, qd, iv)	BYHWD (250 mL, bid)+Mecobalamin (0.5 mL, qd, im)+BT	Modified BYHWD (bid)+BT	Modified BYHWD (bid)+BT	Modified BYHWD (250 mL, bid) + Mecobalamin (0.5-1 mg, qd,im)	Modified BYHWD (200 mL, bid)+ Mecobalamine (0,5 mg, tid, po) + BT	Modified BYHWD (150 mL, bid) + Meco-balamine (500 µg, tid, po) + BT	Modified BYHWD (bid) + BT	Modified BYHWD (200 mL, bid) +Mecobalamine (0.5 mg, tid, po)+BT	BYHWD (100 mL, bid)+Mecobalamine (500 μg , tid, po)+BT	Modified BYHWD (bid)+BT	Modified BYHWD (100 mL, bid)+BT	Modified BYHWD (200 mL, bid)+ Meco- balamine (500 µg, qod, im) +BT	BYHWD (100 mL, bid)+Mecobalamine 500 μg , tid, po)+BT
	Age (years) –	T:62.53±3.67 C:61.43±4.29	Not reported	Not reported	T:45-75 C: 48-74	T:44-73 C: 45-72	T:54.6±5.9 C: 54.8±5.8	T:59.64±10.51 C: 59.35±10.33	T:67.91±2.18 C: 68.59±2.12	T:59.85±2.82 C: 59.35±2.76	T:50.74±9.25 C: 49.62±9.83	T:42-69 C: 41-	T:52.7±11.5 C: 50.8±12.4	T:66.68±9.82 C: 66.9±10.53	T:56.6±6.3 C: 55.8±6.5	T:55.6±4.5 C: 57.8± 3.4	T:39-73 C: 37-70	T:66.68±9.82 C: 66.9±10.53
Sex	(male /female)	T:13/17 C:16/14	Not reported	Not reported	T:27/33 C: 32/28	T:23/19 C: 22/20	T:34/26 C: 32/28	T:24/23 C: 22/25	T:19/19 C: 18/20	T:22/19 C: 21/20	T:13/17 C: 16/14	T:20/16 C: 16/20	T:18/12 C: 14/16	T:22/18 C: 21/19	T:78/52 C: 45/33	T:22/10 C: 14/12	T:58/42 C: 52/40	T:22/18 C: 21/19
Sample	(T/C)	30/30	30/20	09/09	09/09	42/42	09/09	47/47	38/38	41/41	30/30	36/36	30/30	40/40	130/78	42/26	100/92	40/40
τ	Source	Ning RZ <i>et al</i> 2020 ¹⁰	Han Y 2005^{18}	Gong LZ <i>et al</i> 2017 ¹⁹	Wu Y, Ma D 2015 ²⁰	Sun SZ, Wang YZ 2008 ²¹	Zhang T 2008^{22}	Sun BX, Li LQ 2017 ²³	Yang YQ, Xing DZ 2019 ²⁴	Liu B 2018 ¹¹	Pan XJ 2017 ¹²	Li YF 2009 ¹³	Peng H 2009 ¹⁴	Luo XY 2007 ¹⁵	Wang SX <i>et al</i> 2005 ¹⁶	Liu CH <i>et al</i> 2003 ¹⁷	CuiY, Pan MX 2004 ²⁵	Luo XY 2007 ¹⁵

Source	Sample	Sex	() V	Interventio	uc	Treatment	DN	Outcome
	(T/C)	(male/female)	Age (years)	Treatment group	Control group	period	duration	measure
Zhuang HZ 2011 ²⁶	30/30	T:14/16 C:16/14	T:54.1±3.7 C:55.1±3.9	Modified BYHWD (bid)+Mecobalamine (500 µg.qd, im) +BT	Mecobalamine (500 μg, qd, im)+BT	8	T:4.8±2.2 C:5.1±3.3	CER
Liu JG 2015 ²⁷	54/54	T:28/26 C:29/25	T:62.07±6.93 C:61.58±6.52	Modified BYHWD (200 mL, bid)+Mecob- alamine (0.5 mg, tid, po) +BT	Mecobalamine (0.5 mg, tid, po)+BT	12	T:5.45±2.81 C:5.16±2.70	CER
Ji TC 2014 ²⁸	32/31	T:18/14 C:16/15	T:40-73 C:41-74	Modified BYHWD (bid)+ Mecobalamine (500 µg, tid)+BT	Mecobalamine (500 μg, tid)+BT	6	T:1-15 C:1-14	CER
Jiao FE <i>et al</i> 2013 ²⁹	56/52	T:30/26 C:31/21	T:40-72 C:42-70	Modified BYHWD (bid)+ Mecobalamine (500 µg, tid)+BT	Mecobalamine (500 µg, tid,)+BT	8	T:0.33-8.5 C:0.25-8	CER
Jiang ZS <i>et al</i> 2005 ³⁰	30/30	T:18/12 C:16/14	T:48.6±9 C:50±10.8	Modified BYHWD (200 mL, bid) + Mecobalamine (first four weeks, im; last four weeks, po)+BT	Mecobalamine (first four weeks, im; last four weeks, po)+BT	×	$T:13.6\pm 8.3^{a}$ $C:13\pm 9.8^{a}$	CER+NCV
Notes: T:treatment month.	group; C:co	ntrol group; CER:	clinical effectiver	ress; NCV: nerve conduction velocity; BYHWD:	Buyang Huanwu decoction; BT:basic tre	catment; bid: bi	is in die; qd: quaque	die; tid: ter in die; a

Seven trials,^{12,13,16,17,20,23,25} containing a total of 742 patients, regarded median MNCV [(MD = 4.16, 95% *CI* (1.35, 6.98), Z = 2.90, P < 0.01)] as the main outcome. The heterogeneity between the two groups was large ($\chi^2 = 78.31$, P < 0.01, $I^2 = 94\%$), so a random effect model was used for the analysis. Four trials were conducted between BYHWD alone and control groups [(n = 370, MD = 3.85, 95% *CI* (-2.85, 9.86), Z = 1.26, P = 0.21)], with heterogeneity ($\chi^2 = 54.63$, P < 0.01, $I^2 = 96\%$). Three trials were conducted between modified BYHWD plus conventional western medicine and control groups [(n = 372, MD = 4.57, 95% *CI* (1.90, 7.23), Z = 3.36, P < 0.01)], with heterogeneity ($\chi^2 = 16.48$, P < 0.01, $I^2 = 88\%$).

Nine trials,^{12-17,20,23,25} involving a total of 954 patients revealed median SNCV [(MD = 3.28, 95% CI (2.35, 4.22), Z = 6.86, P < 0.01)]as the main outcome. The heterogeneity between the two groups was large ($\chi^2 =$ 42.65, $P < 0.01, I^2 = 81\%$), so a random-effects model was used for the analysis. Four trials were included in the subgroup analysis to observe the improvement in median SNCV [(n = 442, MD = 4.16, 95% CI (2.02, 6.29), Z =3.81, P < 0.01)] between BYHWD alone and the control group, with heterogeneity ($\chi^2 = 19.09, P < 0.01, I^2 =$ 84%). Five trials were conducted between modified BYHWD plus conventional western medicine and the control group [(n = 512, MD = 2.74, 95% CI (1.61, 3.86), Z = 4.76, P < 0.01)], with heterogeneity ($\chi^2 = 21.21, P <$ 0.01, $I^2 = 81\%$).

Eleven trials,^{12-17,20,21,23,25,30} involving a total of 1098 patients revealed peroneal MNCV [(MD = 1.63, 95% CI (0.39, 2.87), Z = 2.58, P = 0.010)]as the main outcome. The heterogeneity between the two groups was large ($\chi^2 = 91.79$, $P < 0.01, I^2 = 89\%$), so a random random-effects model was used for the analysis. Four trials were conducted to observe the improvement of peroneal MNCV [(n = 442, MD = 0.96, 95% CI (-2.29, 4.22), Z = 0.58, P = 0.56]] between BYHWD alone and the control group, with heterogeneity ($\chi^2 = 42.57, P < 0.01, I^2 = 93\%$).

Seven trials were included in a subgroup analysis between modified BYHWD plus conventional western medicine and control groups [(n = 656, MD = 1.97, 95% *CI* (0.60, 3.33), *Z* = 2.82, *P* < 0.01)], with heterogeneity ($\chi^2 = 48.46$, *P* < 0.01, *I*² = 88%).

Nine trials,^{12,13,16,17,20,21,23,25,30} involving a total of 958 patients revealed peroneal SNCV [(MD = 4.56, 95% CI (3.16, 5.97), Z = 6.36, P < 0.01)] as the main outcome. The heterogeneity between the two groups was large ($\chi^2 = 52.56$, P < 0.01, $I^2 = 85\%$), so a random random-effects model was used for analysis. Four trials were included in a subgroup analysis to observe the improvement in peroneal SNCV [(n = 442, MD = 5.18, 95% CI (2.08, 8.29), Z = 3.27, P = 0.0001)] between BYHWD alone and the control group, with heterogeneity ($\chi^2 = 41.05$, P < 0.01, $I^2 = 93\%$). Five trials were included in a subgroup analysis between modified BYHWD plus conventional western medicine and control group [(n = 516, MD = 4.15, 95% CI (2.95, 5.35), Z = 6.80, P < 0.01)], with heterogeneity ($\chi^2 = 10.12$, P = 0.04, $I^2 = 60\%$).



Figure 2 Quality assessment of the trials included in the Meta-analysis

The analysis also concluded that BYHWD plus mecobalamin could significantly improve NCV compared to mecobalamin alone.

3.4. Secondary outcomes

3.4.1. Hemorheology

Eight trials^{12-15,17,18,21,25} assessed the variation in hemorheology. This Meta-analysis mainly evaluated the changes in plasma viscosity, whole blood high shear, and whole blood low shear. The heterogeneity test revealed that the heterogeneity in plasma viscosity was large ($\chi^2 =$ 20.97, P = 0.004, $I^2 = 67\%$), so a random effect model was used for the analysis. The difference in plasma viscosity was statistically significant between the two groups [(n = 676, MD = -0.15, 95% CI (-0.20, -0.09), Z = 5.17, P < 0.01)].

Four trials¹²⁻¹⁵ assessed the variation in whole blood high shear, and the heterogeneity was large ($\chi^2 = 90.83$, P < 0.01, $I^2 = 97\%$), so a random-effects model was used for the analysis. The difference in whole blood high shear was statistically significant between the two groups [(n = 272, MD = -0.83, 95% CI(-1.56, -0.11), Z = 2.26, P = 0.02)].

Four trials¹²⁻¹⁵ assessed the variation in whole blood low shear, and the heterogeneity was large ($\chi^2 = 21.95$, P < 0.01, $I^2 = 86\%$), so a random-effects model was used for the analysis. The difference in whole blood low shear was statistically significant between the two groups [(n = 272, MD = -1.61, 95% CI(-2.28, -0.94), Z = 4.68, P < 0.01)].

3.4.2. Glycemic control

Eight trials^{12-14,18,21,23,,24,30} evaluated the level of fasting blood glucose changes, with high heterogeneity ($\chi^2 =$ 74.04, P < 0.01, $I^2 = 91\%$). Therefore, a random-effects model was used for the statistical analysis. There was no significant statistical difference between the treatment and control groups [(n = 556, MD = -0.42, 95% CI (-0.89, 0.05), Z = 1.76, P = 0.08]].

Five trials^{12-14,23,24} evaluated the changes in 2 h postprandial blood glucose, with great heterogeneity (χ^2

= 40.76, P < 0.01, $I^2 = 90\%$). Therefore, a randomeffects model was used for the statistical analysis. There was a statistically significant difference between the treatment and control groups [(n = 362, MD = -0.62, 95% CI (-1.19, -0.05), Z = 2.12, P = 0.03)].

3.5. Adverse and other effects

Adverse reactions were reported in five trials.^{18,19,21,22,24} Three trials^{18,19,21} indicated that there were no adverse reactions in the two groups. One trial²² reported that some patients had stomach discomfort, but this symptom was relieved in the treatment and observation groups. Furthermore, one experiment²⁴ reported that patients had a mild headache, stomach discomfort, and rash in both the treatment and observation groups. Therefore, the safety of Chinese herbal medicines cannot be evaluated. The length of follow-up was reported in two trials.²¹⁻²² Publication bias was evaluated using funnel plots. The shape of the clinical efficacy and MNCV funnel plots were not completely symmetrical, indicating that publication bias may exist (Figure 3).



Figure 3 Funnel plot for assessing publication bias *SE*: standard error; *RR*: relative risk; BYHWD: Buyang Huanwu decoction.

3.6. Sensitivity and subgroup analysis

Sensitivity analysis was used to explore the sources of

heterogeneity. To investigate specific factors affecting the overall efficacy of RCTs, we performed a subgroup analysis on the treatment duration, sample size, blank or placebo effects, and DPN duration. There was much overlap and difference in confidence intervals and overall risk ratios for MNCV. All I^2 values were more than 50%, indicating a high heterogeneity. There was a significant difference (P < 0.05) in the overall risk ratios for all subgroup analyses. We conducted a sensitivity analysis by removing the trials one by one and found that the I^2 became 0% after removing one trial;¹⁶ the data before and after this adjustment were RR = -0.4, 95% CI(-3.3, 2.49), P < 0.01, and RR = 1.67, 95% CI (1.16, 2.18), P = 0.71, respectively (supplementary Table 1).

After reading the full text, we found that heterogeneity may originate from an unclear course of DPN, unclear random methods, and no reports of missing data or visits.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Analysis of efficacy

This Meta-analysis showed that the efficacy of BYHWD in the treatment of DPN was significantly better than that in the control group, indicating that BYHWD has a therapeutic effect on DPN. One clinical study showed that the efficacy of BYHWD in the treatment of diabetes was better than that in the control group [Liuwei Dihuang pills (六味地黄丸)] (P < 0.05). There appear to be significant differences in the treatment of patients with diabetes from *Qi* deficiency and blood stasis in terms of improving symptoms or lowering blood sugar.³¹

It has been shown that the mechanism of BYHWD in treating DPN is to increase the activity of superoxide dismutase (SOD), improve the function of mitochondria, increase the production of adenosine triphosphate, improve the symptoms of Qi deficiency, resist the damage to blood vessels caused by free radical reactions, indirectly inhibit platelet aggregation, and improve the symptoms of blood stasis. It has a good effect on antioxidant injury and a protective effect on Schwann cell injury induced by H₂O₂. It can alter the expression of adhesion molecules in vascular endothelial cells and participate in the growth and differentiation of nerve cells.³²⁻³⁴

NCVs were included as the main outcome indicators. Most studies provided NCVs, but the observation sites were not consistent and included the superficial peroneal, tibial, and posterior tibial nerves. Only about half of the studies observed the conduction velocity of the median and peroneal nerves.

In the included trials, plasma viscosity was only observed in eight trials, and whole blood high shear and low shear were observed in four experiments. We found significant differences in the decrease in plasma viscosity, whole blood high shear degree, and whole blood low shear degree. However, our study was limited, which might have adversely affected the improvement of hemorheology by BYHWD. Modern pharmacological studies have shown that Huangqi (*Radix Astragali*) Mongolici) can reduce the platelet adhesion rate, inhibit platelet aggregation, and prevent thrombosis, thereby reducing whole blood and plasma viscosity. Components of BYHWD, such as sodium ferulate in Danggui (Radix Angelicae Sinensis) and paeonol in Chishao (Radix Paeoniae Rubra), have obvious effects on anti-platelet aggregation and improving microcirculation. Ligustrazine in Chuanxiong (Rhizoma Chuanxiong) can dilate blood vessels and significantly improve microcirculation, reducing platelet surface activity and inhibiting platelet aggregation. Moreover, Taoren (Semen Persicae), Honghua (Flos Carthami), and Dilong (Pheretima Aspergillum) have strong analgesic, antiinflammatory, and inhibitory effects on platelet aggregation.35-39 Eight trials evaluated the changes in fasting blood glucose, and five trials assessed the changes in 2 h postprandial blood glucose; these trials found that there was a significant improvement in these two factors.

Although our Meta-analysis showed no significant improvement in the fasting blood glucose of DPN in BYHWD treatment between the treatment and control groups, we could not evaluate the efficacy of blood sugar well.

Triglyceride and hypercholesterolemia levels were evaluated in five trials, and there was no significant difference between the treatment and control groups.

All studies did not include the follow-up period and did not evaluate the life quality of patients. Therefore, the long-term efficacy of BYHWD could not be evaluated.

4.2. Quality of the evidence and potential biases

Most of the studies included in this study were smallsample RCTs, and only two trials included more than 100 cases. The samples in 19 RCTs were small, and sample size estimation methods were not reported in 21 RCTs. The methodological quality of all trials was very low. The random number table method for the grouping was mentioned in six RCTs. "Random" was mentioned in only 15 RCTs, but the specific method of randomization was not detailed. Allocation concealment was not mentioned in any of the studies, which may have caused selection bias. Blinding was not reported in any of the studies. However, it is difficult for TCM decoctions to implement a blind method for participants, and BYHWD is not standardized, so it is inevitable to trigger bias to some extent.

Sensitivity and subgroup analyses were performed to test the stability of the results. For the main outcome, MNCV, a random-effects model was used for the Meta-analysis, not a fixed effects model, since $I^2 > 50\%$. The sources of heterogeneity were analyzed regarding the treatment time, sample size, blank or placebo adoption, and disease course. Except for one study, it was found to be consistent with the results of previous studies, indicating that this Meta-analysis was stable.

The funnel plot of clinical efficiency was asymmetrical, so we checked all the studies. However, no negative tests were found. This could be due to all the studies having been published in China or that negative results were not published, indicating the existence of publication bias. Furthermore, the patients and researchers were aware of NCV as the main outcome index, which may have led to bias. Based on the above, we should be cautious regarding the methodological quality evaluation of this study.

4.3. Limitations

There are several potential limitations to this Metaanalysis. First, the duration of the RCTs included did not exceed 12 weeks, and most studies did not mention the follow-up period, which made it impossible to assess the long-term quality of life changes. Second, adverse events were largely unreported in the trials included. Third, there was no sample size calculation in all studies. Fourth, although BYHWD was taken twice a day in all the trials, the period, dose, and composition were inconsistent, which could have affected the reliability of this Metaanalysis. Fifth, the studies included were limited, as all were conducted in China with a limited number of cases and inconsistent controls. Finally, the research protocols were not always published, which may lead to overestimation and underestimation of the results and affect the reliability.

In conclusion, this Meta-analysis of RCTs showed that BYHWD could improve the neurological symptoms of patients with DPN, increase the NCV, reduce the indices of hemorheology, and have a significant therapeutic effect on DPN. Due to the low quality of the included trials, our findings may be biased. Therefore, highquality and large-scale RCTs are needed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of BYHWD in the treatment of DPN more thoroughly.

5. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Supporting data to this article can be found online at http://journaltcm.com.

6. REFERENCES

- Danaei G, Finucane MM, Lu Y, et al. National, regional, and global trends in fasting plasma glucose and diabetes prevalence since 1980: systematic analysis of health examination surveys and epidemiological studies with 370 country-years and 2.7 million participants. Lancet 2011; 378: 31-40.
- Chinese Diabetes Society. Guidelines for the prevention and control of type 2 diabetes in China (2017 Edition). Zhong Guo Tang Niao Bing Za Zhi 2018; 10: 4-67.
- 3. Lee CC, Perkins BA, Kayaniyil S, et al. Peripheral neuropathy and nerve dysfunction in individuals at high risk for type 2 diabetes: The PROMISE Cohort. Diabetes Care 2015; 38: 793-800.
- Saeedi P, Petersohn I, Salpea P, et al. Global and regional diabetes prevalence estimates for 2019 and projections for 2030 and 2045: Results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas, 9th ed. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2019; 157: 107843.
- Wang QR (Qing dynasty). Yi Lin Gai Cuo. Beijing: China Medical Science and Technology Press, 2011: 1-67.
- Xu S, Hua WJ. Research progress of Buyang Huanwu decoction in the treatment of diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Zhong Yi Xue 2020; 9: 92-7.
- 7. Pan R, Cai J, Zhan L, et al. Buyang Huanwu decoction facilitates neurorehabilitation through an improvement of synaptic plasticity

in cerebral ischemic rats. BMC Complement Altern Med 2017; 17: 173.

- Zheng XY. Guiding principles for clinical research of new drugs of Traditional Chinese Medicine. Beijing: China Medical Science and Technology Press, 2002: 233-7.
- Higgins JPT, Green S. Corchrane Reviewers' Handbook 5.2 [updated March 2013], ReviewManager (RevMan) [Computer program]. Version 5.2; 2013.
- Ning RZ, Zhang TY, Ma J. Effect of modified Buyang Huanwu decoction on diabetic peripheral neuropathy and insulin-like growth factor. Zhong Yi Yao Xue Bao 2020; 48: 41-4.
- 11. Liu B. Therapeutic effect of modified Buyang Huanwu ecoction on diabetic peripheral neuropathy of *Qi* deficiency and blood stasis type. Tang Niao Bing Xin Shi Jie 2018; 21: 179-80.
- Pan XJ. Therapeutic effect of Buyang Huanwu decoction on T2DM peripheral neuropathy of *Qi* deficiency and blood stasis type. Yunnan: Yunnan university of Chinese medicine, 2017: 1-51.
- 13. Li YF. Clinical study of modified Buyang Huanwu decoction in the treatment of diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Guangxi: Guangxi university of Chinese medicine, 2009: 1-55.
- Peng H. Clinical observation on the treatment of diabetic peripheral neuropathy with modified Buyang Huanwu decoction. Hubei: Hubei University Of Chinese Medicine, 2009: 1-36.
- Luo XY. Clinical study on Buyang Huanwu decoction in the treatment of type 2 diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Hubei: Hubei University Of Chinese Medicine, 2007: 1-42.
- Wang SX, Chen L, Ma XJ. 130 cases of diabetic peripheral neuropathy treated with modified Buyang Huanwu decoction. Zhong Guo Zhong Yi Yao Xin Xi Za Zhi 2005; 12: 60-1.
- Liu CH, Fan GJ, Tang XY. Clinical observation on 42 cases of diabetic peripheral neuropathy treated with Buyang Huanwu decoction. Sichuan Zhong Yi 2003; 21: 31-2.
- Han Y. Treatment of 30 cases of type 2 diabetic peripheral neuropathy with Buyang Huanwu decoction: a control study of 20 cases treated with Mecobalamin tablets. Zhejiang Zhong Yi Za Zhi 2005; 40: 430-1.
- Gong LZ, Li YF, Zhang JX, Zhang YP, Qiao XY, Zhang J. Clinical observation on the treatment of diabetic peripheral neuropathy with Buyang Huanwu decoction. Shi Yong Zhong Yi Yao Za Zhi 2017; 33: 1364-5.
- Wu Y, Ma D. Clinical observation of Buyang Huanwu decoction combined with mecobalamin injection in the treatment of diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Lin Chuang He Li Yong Yao Za Zhi 2015; 8: 34-5.
- Sun SZ, Wang YZ. Clinical observation on 42 cases of diabetic peripheral neuropathy treated by Buyang Huanwu decoction combined with mecobalamin. Jiangsu Zhong Yi Yao 2008; 40: 48-9.
- Zhang T. Buyang Huanwu decoction in treating 60 cases of diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Tianjin Zhong Yi Yao 2008; 25: 216.
- Sun BX, Li LQ. Clinical effect of Buyang Huanwu decoction on diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Ji Yin Zu Xue Yu Ying Yong Sheng Wu Xue 2017; 36: 3398-402.
- Yang YQ, Xing DZ. Clinical study of modified Buyang Huanwu decoction combined with mecobalamin in the treatment of senile diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Xin Zhong Yi 2019; 51: 67-9.
- Cui Y, Pan MX. Therapeutic effect of Buyang Huanwu decoction combined with mecobalamin on diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Hebei Zhong Yi 2004; 26: 374-5.
- 26. Zhuang HZ. 30 cases of diabetic peripheral neuropathy treated with integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine. Zhong Guo Zhong Yi Yao Xian Dai Yuan Cheng Jiao Yu 2011, 9: 60.
- Liu JG. Therapeutic effect of Buyang Huanwu decoction on diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Zhong Yi Lin Chuang Yan Jiu 2015, 7: 113-4.
- Ji TC. Clinical observation on the treatment of diabetic peripheral neuropathy with Buyang Huanwu decoction. Zhong Yi Lin Chuang Yan Jiu 2014; 6: 74-5.
- 29. Jiao FE, Cong K, Ji XY. Therapeutic effect of Buyang Huanwu

decoction on diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Liaoning Zhong Yi Za Zhi 2013; 40: 740.

- 30. Jiang ZS, Ren ZX, Zhang SL, Li HY. Clinical study on the treatment of diabetic peripheral neuropathy by supplementing *Qi* and activating *Yang*, activating blood and dredging collaterals. Shandong Zhong Yi Yao Da Xue Xue Bao 2005; 29: 200-2.
- Zhao L. Clinical observation of modified Buyang Huanwu decoction in the treatment of type II diabetes mellitus. Hubei Zhong Yi Za Zhi 2002; 24: 8-9.
- 32. Xu XM, Chen XJ, Chen ran, Zhang JY, Zhang YL. Effect of Buyang Huanwu decoction on whole blood specific viscosity, TXB2, PLO and SOD in rats. Shanxi Yi Ke Da Xue Xue Bao 2002; 33: 212-4.
- Xing SL, Li ZH, Sun JH, Liu YP, Pu LH. Antioxidant effect of Buyang Huanwu decoction. Jie Pou Xue Za Zhi 2005; 28: 529-32.
- 34. Chen LG, Qu Y, GE HY, Hu XQ, Nie YA, He MQ. Effect of Buyang Huanwu decoction on the expression of vascular endothelial cell adhesion molecules in rats with blood stasis

syndrome. Zhong Cao Yao 2005; 36: 706-9.

- 35. Wang QY. Effect of astragalus injection on hemorheology in rabbits with blood stasis syndrome. Zhong Yao Yao Li Xue Yu Lin Chuang 2004; 20: 19-20.
- Jiang DF, Yin CH, Yu NG, et al. Effect of Astragalosides on hemorheology in aged rats. Ji Chu Zhong Yi Za Zhi 2002; 16: 15-6.
- 37. Zhuang WQ. Clinical efficacy of Jingui Shenqi pill combined with Buyang Huanwu decoction in the treatment of diabetic peripheral neuropathy. clinical efficacy of diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Tang Niao Bing Xin Shi Jie 2017; 20: 168-9.
- 38. Jiang JL, Zhang RL, Zhang RP. Clinical study of Buyang Huanwu decoction and Huangqi Guizhi Wuwu decoction combined with western medicine in the treatment of diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Xin Nao Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi 2020; 18: 840-2.
- 39. Li S, Liu SR. Treatment of 47 cases of diabetic peripheral neuropathy with Buyang Huanwu decoction. Guang Ming Zhong Yi 2016; 31: 674-5.