



## Reply: Lecanemab: turning point, or status quo? An ethics perspective

John Hardy<sup>1,2,3</sup> and Catherine Mummery<sup>2</sup>

- 1 Reta Lilla Weston Research Laboratories, UCL Institute of Neurology, London WC1N 3BG, UK
- 2 Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, UCL Institute of Neurology, London WC1N 3BG, UK

3 Dementia Research Institute, UCL Institute of Neurology, London WC1N 3BG, UK

Correspondence to: John Hardy, PhD Department of Neurodegenerative Disease UCL Institute of Neurology Queen Square, London WC1N 3BG, UK E-mail: J.hardy@ucl.ac.uk

We are pleased to respond to Dr Daly's critique of our commentary<sup>1</sup> on anti-amyloid trials.<sup>2</sup> We were surprised that he deemed such trials 'unethical': this is both legally suspect, as all of them are, of course, supported by informed consent and approved and monitored by rigorous ethics committees, and also marginally insulting to the trialists and their patients who are working together to try and reduce the burden of this terrible disease.

He makes the point, to which we also alluded, that there have been many previous and failed 'anti-amyloid' trials. There are many reasons for this: some purported 'anti-amyloid trials' did not hit their target<sup>3</sup> and thus were not genuinely anti-amyloid trials, and many earlier trials did not remove amyloid, but rather prevented further amyloid build up which we now realise was not enough.<sup>4</sup> The value, however, of these earlier trials was that it was through their analysis we learned the hard fact that to be successful, drugs had to remove amyloid.

As to the changing nature of the amyloid hypothesis<sup>1,5</sup>: of course, we acknowledge this. There would be no point in doing experiments if we did not allow the data from these experiments (both basic and clinical) to change our mind. As J. K. Galbraith is reported to have said 'When the facts change, I change my mind—what do you do?'.

We hope that the positive lecanemab data is the first swallow of spring for Alzheimer therapeutics and that other, and perhaps more effective and easier to use drugs and interventions are now developed that are aimed both against amyloid and other biologically validated targets. Clearly, every researcher working on Alzheimer's disease and as well as in patient support groups, wants to reduce the burden of this disease and double blind, placebocontrolled trials, backed by informed consent, are the most effective way we have to achieve this aim.

## Data availability

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed in this article.

## **Competing interests**

J.H. has consulted for Eisai, Roche and Eli Lilly on their Alzheimer programmes. C.M. holds a grant from Biogen for the use of ultrafast MRI in trials, has an educational travel award from Roche, has received honoraria for presentations from Biogen, Roche and IONIS and has consulted on Advisory Boards for Biogen, Roche, IONIS, Lilly, WAVE and Alnylam.

## References

- 1. Daly T. Lecanemab: Turning point, or status quo? An ethics perspective. Brain. 2023;146:e71-e72.
- 2. Hardy J, Mummery C. An anti-amyloid therapy works for Alzheimer's disease: Why has it taken so long and what is next? *Brain.* 2023;146:1240-1242.
- 3. Karran E, Hardy J. A critique of the drug discovery and phase 3 clinical programs targeting the amyloid hypothesis for Alzheimer disease. *Ann Neurol.* 2014;76:185-205.
- 4. Karran E, De Strooper B. The amyloid hypothesis in Alzheimer disease: New insights from new therapeutics. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2022;21:306-318.
- Daly T. Learning from the amyloid hypothesis: Adaptability, brevity, and clarity of ideas. *Neurol* Sci. Published online 15 March 2023. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-023-06745-5

Received March 15, 2023. Accepted March 16, 2022. Advance access publication March 30, 2023

© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Guarantors of Brain.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.