1	The Lifespan Trajectories of Brain Activities Related to Cognitive Control
2	
3 4 5	Zhenghan Li ^{1,2,3,4} , Isaac T. Petersen ⁵ , Lingxiao Wang ^{6,7,3} , Joaquim Radua ^{8,9,10} , Guo- chun Yang ^{5,11,4*} , Xun Liu ^{4,12}
6 7	¹ Institute of Brain Science and Department of Physiology, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou, China
8 9	² Zhejiang Philosophy and Social Science Laboratory for Research in Early Develop- ment and Childcare, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou, China
10 11	³ Zhejiang Key Laboratory for Research in Assessment of Cognitive Impairments, Hangzhou, China
12 13	⁴ Key Laboratory of Behavioral Science, Institute of Psychology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
14 15	⁵ Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA
16 17	⁶ Centre for Cognition and Brain disorders, The Affiliated Hospital of Hangzhou Nor- mal University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, China
18 19	⁷ Institute of Psychological Science, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, China
20 21	⁸ Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi i Sunyer (IDIBAPS), CIBERSAM, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
22 23	⁹ Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, Lon- don, United Kingdom
24	¹⁰ Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden
25	¹¹ Cognitive Control Collaborative, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA
26 27	¹² Department of Psychology, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
28 29	*Correspondence: guochun-yang@uiowa.edu
30 31	Classification: Biological Sciences/Psychological and Cognitive Sciences
32 33 34	Keywords: Cognitive control; Lifespan; Brain activity; Neuroimaging meta-analysis; Heterogeneity
51	1

35 Abstract

36 Cognitive control plays a pivotal role in guiding human goal-directed behavior. While 37 existing studies have documented an inverted U-shaped trajectory of cognitive control 38 both behaviorally and anatomically, little is known about the corresponding changes in 39 functional brain activation with age. To bridge this gap, we conducted a comprehensive 40 meta-analysis of 129 neuroimaging studies using conflict tasks, encompassing 3,388 41 participants aged from 5 to 85 years old. We have three major findings: 1) The inverted 42 U-shaped trajectory is the predominant pattern; 2) Cognitive control-related brain re-43 gions exhibit heterogeneous lifespan trajectories: the frontoparietal control network fol-44 lows inverted U-shaped trajectories, peaking between 24 and 40 years, while the dorsal 45 attention network demonstrates no clear trajectories; 3) Both the youth and the elderly 46 show weaker brain activities and greater left laterality than young to middle-aged adults. 47 These results reveal the lifespan trajectories of cognitive control, highlighting hetero-48 geneous fluctuations in brain networks with age.

49 Introduction

50	The cognitive abilities of human beings dynamically change throughout the entire
51	lifespan, experiencing rapid development in the early stages, and gradual decline in the
52	later period of life. As one of the most fundamental cognitive functions, cognitive con-
53	trol is deeply engaged in various domains of high-level capabilities that humans greatly
54	outperform other species, such as decision making, planning and problem solving ¹ .
55	Cognitive control refers to the cognitive processes that enable individuals to manage
56	and regulate their attention, thoughts, and actions, which plays a vital role in goal-di-
57	rected behavior, allowing us to focus on the target and ignore distractors ^{2,3} . For instance,
58	cognitive control enables us to concentrate on reading in a library despite the presence
59	of people chatting nearby.

60 Cognitive control provides fundamental support for normal human behaviors. Young adults typically maintain an optimal mature level of cognitive control⁴. However, 61 the youth (including children and adolescents, less than 18 years) and elderly (60 years 62 63 and older) individuals may struggle with behavioral problems because of their suboptimal cognitive control system⁵⁻¹⁰. While the state-of-art progress of cognitive/behav-64 65 ioral changes has been well-documented and shaped how the diagnosis of developmen-66 tal/ageing related disorders¹¹, the change of related neural system has been under-in-67 vestigated. Understanding how the neural underpinning of cognitive control changes

over the lifespan can yield valuable insights into the developmental and aging mechanisms of the human brain. This knowledge may assist in customizing cognitive training
strategies based on related brain regions and their activities¹².

71 Researchers generally believe that cognitive control ability follows an inverted Ushaped trajectory across the lifespan^{5,13,14,17}. This inverted U-shaped trajectory has been 72 73 generally supported by behavioral and anatomical evidence. The Eriksen Flanker task 74 (requiring participants to respond to central stimuli while ignoring flanking distractions) has been widely utilized to detect cognitive control across the lifespan¹⁵, and results 75 76 suggest a clear U-shape trajectory of conflict cost (measured by worsened behavioral 77 performance, e.g., reaction time, in incongruent compared to congruent conditions) with age¹⁶⁻¹⁸. Similar results have been observed in other conflict tasks, such as color-78 79 word Stroop (requiring participants to name the ink color of a word that is incongruent with the word's semantic meaning)¹⁶. Recent large-cohort studies have also found that 80 81 gray and white matter volumes across all brain regions exhibit overall inverted U-82 shaped trajectories with age, with the gray matter volume peaking at early adolescence and the white matter volume peaking at young adulthood^{19,20}. During late adulthood, 83 84 normal ageing yields a protracted decline of brain structure, with the volumes of both gray matter and white matter reduced¹⁴. Consistently, the gray matter volumes of the 85 86 frontal and parietal regions, which are essential in cognitive control tasks²¹, have also been found to increase during early childhood and atrophy in early elderly age²². 87

88 However, it remains largely unknown how brain activities related to cognitive control change over the lifespan. Previous research has primarily focused on brain ac-89 90 tivities in either youths or elderly adults, rather than examining changes across the en-91 tire lifespan. With conflict paradigms, children and adolescents are often found to have lower brain activity than young adults in frontoparietal regions (refs.²³⁻²⁸, but see Bunge 92 et al.²⁹). For example, a study utilizing the Flanker task revealed that children aged 93 94 8-12 years had reduced activation in dorsolateral prefrontal regions compared to young adults, suggesting an immature cognitive control system²⁷. However, brain activity dif-95 96 ferences in elderly adults as compared to young adults during cognitive control tasks have been less consistently reported¹⁴. Some studies have found that elderly adults have 97 lower neural activity in frontoparietal regions than young adults³⁰⁻³², possibly because 98 99 elderly adults may be unable to engage in an equal level of control-related activity due 100 to functional decline. On the other hand, other studies have found that elderly adults may exhibit greater brain activity in frontoparietal regions than young adults^{33,34}, pos-101 102 sibly because they have recruited additional brain regions to compensate for their de-103 creased efficiency in utilizing control resources. Adding to the debate, it has been proposed that the cognitive control function in elderly adults might not decline at all^{35,36}. 104 105 These conflicting findings underscore the complexity of understanding age-related dif-106 ferences in cognitive control. 107 Few studies have directly tested the change of brain activities related to cognitive

108 control across the lifespan. One existing study observed a positive association between

109 the activation of the bilateral prefrontal cortex and age^{37} . Given the relatively small 110 sample size (N = 30), the reliability of these findings is somewhat limited. As a result, 111 it is difficult to draw a clear conclusion about how brain activities related to cognitive 112 control change across the entire age range.

113 One direct way to test the lifespan trajectory of brain activation related to cognitive 114 control is to conduct a large cohort of neuroimaging study with participants covering a 115 wide age range. To the best of our knowledge, such studies have not yet been conducted. 116 An alternative approach is to utilize meta-analyses to combine the results of existing 117 studies targeting different age groups. Compared to the large cohort studies, meta-anal-118 yses are more accessible and resource-saving. In addition, meta-analyses can increase the statistical power and generalizability by combining various studies, reducing heter-119 120 ogeneity and bias from individual studies' methods, populations, or confounding variables³⁸. Importantly, meta-analyses can reveal patterns not evident in individual studies, 121 like nonlinear effects or interactions³⁸. Several neuroimaging meta-analyses have ex-122 amined age-related changes of cognitive control brain activity^{31,39,40}, but limitations 123 124 such as incomplete age coverage and insufficient studies in certain age ranges prevent 125 them from appropriately answering questions about the lifespan trajectory of cognitive control functions^{31,39,40}. These studies have primarily focused on spatial convergence 126 127 and/or diversity of coordinates across different age ranges, offering limited insights into 128 lifespan trajectories of activity strength. Although a few studies have attempted para129 metric meta-regression to examine the age-related differences in cognitive control-re-

130 lated brain activity^{39,41}, they have been constrained by utilizing linear models that may

131 overlook non-linear trajectory patterns, such as the inverted U-shaped trend.

132 The goal of this study is to provide a comprehensive examination to reveal the 133 lifespan trajectory of brain activities responsible for cognitive control. Instead of en-134 compassing various aspects of cognitive control, we focus on conflict processing for 135 several reasons. First, conflict processing reflects the fundamental cognitive control 136 ability to maintain a goal while avoiding distractions². Second, its mechanisms in young 137 adults are relatively well-known, with the frontoparietal and cingulo-opercular networks $engaged^{21,42}$, providing a baseline reference for our study. Third, conflict tasks 138 139 with neuroimaging data have been widely applied to both younger and elderly groups, 140 making a systematic meta-analysis feasible. Lastly, different conflict tasks share key components of cognitive control, such as conflict monitoring⁴³ and inhibitory control⁸, 141 142 which enables us to conduct effect-size-based meta-analyses using the congruency ef-143 fect (i.e., the contrast between incongruent and congruent/neutral conditions). Including 144 other sub-processes of cognitive control may introduce heterogeneity and make effect 145 sizes incomparable.

146

Previous research suggests that better cognitive control-related performance is of-147 ten associated with greater brain activity, especially in the prefrontal cortex⁴⁴. Therefore, 148 we hypothesized that brain activities related to cognitive control might follow an in-149 verted U-shaped trajectory like that of behavior patterns. Additionally, we hypothesized 150 that different brain networks may show some heterogeneity in their lifespan trajectories.

151 **Results**

152 Sample Description

- 153 A total of 3,611 articles were identified including 3,484 articles searched from the da-
- tabase, 111 articles adopted from a previous study²¹, and 16 articles searched from the

155 references of crucial articles. After excluding duplicates and applying exclusion criteria,

- 156 119 articles including 129 studies with 3,388 participants and 1,579 brain activation
- 157 foci, were included in this meta-analytic study (Supplementary Fig. S1 and Table S1).
- 158 The average age of participants ranged from 8 to 74 years, with the individual age rang-
- 159 ing from 5 to 85 years. A demonstration of the age distribution for each included study
- 160 is presented in Supplementary Fig. S2.

161 Regions Related to Cognitive Control Identified by both ALE and SDM

To enhance the replicability and robustness of our finding and enable direct comparison with a previous study²¹, we conducted the mean analysis across all studies with the activation likelihood estimation (ALE) and seed-based d mapping (SDM) approaches separately. First, we performed the single dataset analysis with the GingerALE software

166 to explore the brain regions consistently reported in all the included studies (see section 167 "Activation Likelihood Estimation (ALE)" in Methods). Results showed significant ac-168 tivation in the frontoparietal regions, including the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, 169 bilateral frontal eye field, right inferior frontal gyrus and bilateral inferior parietal lob-170 ule; the cingulo-opercular regions, including the supplementary motor area and bilateral 171 insula; and other regions, including the left inferior temporal gyri (Fig. 1A and Supple-172 mentary Table S2). Second, we calculated the average brain activation based on the 173 effect sizes reported in all studies using the SDM with permutation of subject images 174 (SDM-PSI) software (see section "Mean Analyses Across all Studies" in Methods). 175 Similar to the ALE results, we found significant activation in the frontoparietal regions 176 (left inferior parietal lobule, right inferior frontal gyrus, and right middle frontal gyrus), 177 the cingulo-opercular regions (left anterior cingulate cortex), and other regions includ-178 ing bilateral inferior temporal gyrus, right caudate nucleus, right cerebellum, and left 179 anterior thalamic projections (Fig. 1B and Supplementary Table S3). The count of 180 voxels revealed that the overlapped area (3,496 voxels, Fig. 1C) accounted for 96.3% 181 of the regions from the ALE analysis (3,635 voxels), and 15.0% of the regions from the 182 SDM analysis (23,240 voxels). This result suggested that SDM analysis could be a re-183 liable approach for detecting brain regions, thereby laying a solid foundation for using 184 this approach in subsequent analyses. In addition, a robustness analysis suggested that 185 age does not influence the mean results of SDM analysis (Supplementary Fig. S3 and 186 Table S2).

187

[Fig. 1]

188 Trajectories of Cognitive Control Regions Identified in the Mean Analysis

189 Having identified nine brain regions in the mean analysis using SDM-PSI, we pro-190 ceeded to explore how the activation levels of these regions change with age. To this 191 end, we extracted brain activity data from all studies for each identified region. Before 192 performing the meta-regression, we verified the effectiveness of using mean age as a 193 predictor with a simulation approach (Supplementary Note S1 and Fig. S4). We then 194 subjected the extracted data to separate generalized additive model (GAM) analyses, 195 factoring in the confounding covariates (see section "Generalized Additive Model 196 (GAM) Fitting" in Methods). The analyses (Supplementary Table S4) revealed signifi-197 cant age-related changes in the activation levels of 4 out of the 9 regions (Fig. 2, 1-ACC, 198 r-IFG, l-ITG, and r-CN). Visualization of the trajectories suggests that these regions 199 showed inverted U-shaped patterns. The significance of their inverted U-shaped trajec-200 tories was further examined using a two-line test approach⁴⁵. Results suggest that all 201 four regions involve an increase in activity from childhood to young adulthood (ap-202 proximately up to 30 years of age), and three of them (r-IFG, l-ITG and r-CN) showed consistent decrease in the later stages of age (Supplementary Note S2). The other five 203 204 regions (Fig. 2, 1-IPL, r-ITG, r-MFG, r-CB and 1-ATP) showed no significant age-re-205 lated changes. Notably, none of the clusters showed significant publication bias based 206 on Egger's test (ps > 0.86), and they all showed low between-study heterogeneity ($\tau s <$

207	0.13, $Qs < 12.11$, $Ps < 25\%$). This indicates that the observed results are not likely
208	influenced by biased reporting or substantial variability in the included studies. A ro-
209	bustness analysis further ruled out the potential influence of including unpublished and
210	non-English studies in this study (Supplementary Note S4 and Fig. S5).

211 [Fig. 2]

Note that some regions are large, containing up to over 9,000 voxels. This could obscure the distinct trajectories specific to different subregions, which are crucial in understanding the hierarchical patterns of lifespan trajectories. We address this by using a more granular approach below.

216 Detecting Different Trajectories of Whole Brain Activities

217 To explore various possibilities of lifespan trajectories, we grouped studies by their 218 mean age into the youth, young to middle-aged, and elderly groups, and then conducted 219 several contrast analyses (see section "Contrast Analysis" in Methods). These analyses 220 did not reveal any regions that exhibited significantly higher or lower brain activity in 221 the youth compared to others (the combination of young to middle-aged and older 222 adults) (Table 1). Similarly, we did not observe any regions with higher or lower brain 223 activity in older adults compared to others (the combination of the youth and young to 224 middle-aged adults) (Table 1). These results excluded the possibilities of increase/de-225 crease-then-stable and stable-then-increase/decrease trajectories (Fig. 3, panels D, F, G,

and I). In addition, we failed to observe any region showing lower activity in young to
middle-aged adults than others (the combination of the youth and older adults), and
thereby ruled out the possibility of the upright U-shaped trajectory (Table 1, Fig. 3E).

229

[Fig. 3]

However, we identified greater activity in young to middle-aged adults compared 230 231 to others in the frontoparietal regions, including bilateral inferior frontal gyrus and bi-232 lateral inferior parietal lobule; the cingulo-opercular regions, including left supplemen-233 tary motor area, left insula, and right middle cingulate cortex; and a subcortical re-234 gion-right caudate nucleus (Fig. 4 and Table 1). This result essentially supports an 235 inverted U-shaped trajectory. Notably, none of the clusters showed significant publica-236 tion bias based on Egger's test (ps > 0.79), and they all showed low between-study 237 heterogeneity ($\tau s < 0.17$, Os < 12.21, Ps < 25%). This indicates that the observed results 238 are not likely influenced by biased reporting or substantial variability in the included 239 studies. Consistently, further contrast analyses revealed that the young to middle-aged 240 adults showed greater activity than both the youth (Supplementary Fig. S6) and the 241 elderly (Supplementary Fig. S7).

242 Fitting the Lifespan Trajectories with the GAM

For each region identified from the contrast between young to middle-aged adults and others, the GAM could fit the data significantly with a smooth curve (Fig. 4), with degrees of freedom varying from 2.9 to 6.7. Peak ages of the inverted U-shaped trajectories were between 24.5 and 39.4 years. Detailed statistics are shown in Supplementary
Table S4.

248 Model Simplification of the Lifespan Trajectories

249 Considering the GAM may overfit the data, we fitted the results with simpler models commonly adopted in lifespan developmental literature⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸, including the quadratic, 250 251 cubic, square root and quadratic logarithmic models, and estimated the goodness of fit 252 by comparing their Akaike information criterion (AIC) (see section "Model Simplification and Model Comparison" in Methods). Results showed that the quadratic model 253 provided the best fit for capturing the age-related changes in left inferior parietal lobule, 254 255 while the square root model demonstrated the best goodness of fit for all the other re-256 gions (Supplementary Table S5). We also calculated the peak age for each region based 257 on the optimal model, and results showed that the peak ages ranged from 33.3 to 40.0 258 years. In addition, we found the peak ages obtained from the above optimal model (i.e., square root or quadratic models) and the GAM are consistent, r = 0.71, p = 0.032, 95% 259 $CI = [0.09\ 0.93]$. See Fig. 4 and Supplementary Table S6 for details. 260

261 [Fig. 4]

262 Fitting the Whole Brain with Square Root and Linear Models

263 Based on model comparisons, we found that the square root model provided the best

277	Dissociated Brain Networks with Distinct Lifespan Trajectories
276	[Fig. 5]
275	were observed (Fig. 5B), even under a more tolerant threshold of uncorrected $p < 0.01$.
274	(see section "Meta-regression Analyses" in Methods). However, no significant regions
273	In addition, we explored the whole-brain trajectories with a linear meta-regression
272	inverted U-shaped regions we initially identified (Fig. 4).
271	5A and Supplementary Table S7). These results further supported the existence of the
270	lobule, right insula, right caudate nucleus, and left anterior thalamic projections (Fig.
269	regions, including the bilateral inferior frontal gyrus, right angular, left inferior parietal
268	By fitting the activation over the whole brain, we found seven significant brain
267	regression Analyses" in Methods).
266	submitted to whole-brain meta-regression analyses in SDM-PSI (see section "Meta-
265	inverted U-shaped results from the contrast analysis, the square root function was then
264	goodness of fit for the age-related change of the brain activities. To supplement the

278 We note that the inverted U-shaped regions constitute only part of the cognitive control-

related regions (Fig. 2), and the remaining regions show a less clear trajectory. To fur-

ther elucidate the spatial distribution of brain regions following these different trajec-

- tory patterns, we used the results from the mean SDM analysis (Fig. 1B) as the mask
- and replotted the results of the contrast analysis between young to middle-aged adults
- and others with two different thresholds, and then compared them with the Yeo's 7-

284	network atlas ⁴⁹ (Fig. 6). Visualization of the spatial distribution patterns revealed a dis-
285	sociation between the middle frontal gyrus and its adjacent rostral and caudal areas (Fig.
286	6A). Moreover, the distribution of inverted U-shaped regions was more consistent with
287	the frontoparietal control network (FPCN), and the distribution of non-U-shaped re-
288	gions was more closely related to the dorsal attention network (DAN, Fig. 6B). The
289	count of voxels revealed that a numerically larger portion of the inverted U-shaped re-
290	gions overlapped with the FPCN (2,538 voxels) than with the DAN (932 voxels), while
291	the overlap with the cingulo-opercular network (CON) was in between (1,867 voxels).
292	Conversely, a numerically larger portion of the non-U-shaped regions overlapped with
293	the DAN (3,009 voxels) than with the FPN (2,447 voxels), while the overlap with the
294	CON was lower (1,594 voxels).

295

[Fig. 6]

296 To further investigate the potential functional difference between the two sets of brain regions, we decoded the related terms with the Neurosynth decoder⁴¹ (see section 297 298 "Neurosynth Decoding Analysis" in Methods). Results showed that the inverted U-299 shaped regions were related to the term "cognitive control" (r = 0.187), but were less so to "attentional" (r = 0.100) and "monitoring" (r = 0.072). Note the keyword "monitor-300 ing" refers to the major function of the cingulo-opercular network²¹. On the other hand, 301 302 the non-U-shaped regions were related to the term "attentional" (r = 0.240) but were less so to "monitoring" (r = 0.090) and "control" (r = 0.062) (Supplementary Table S8). 303

This result suggests that the inverted U-shaped and non-U-shaped regions may be associated with cognitive control and attention, respectively, which is consistent with the frontoparietal control network and dorsal attention network as identified in the atlas overlapping analysis.

308 Lifespan Trajectory of the Laterality

309 We also tested how the laterality of the brain activity changes with age (see section 310 "Laterality Analysis" in Methods). We first modeled the laterality trajectory using the 311 GAM. Results showed a significant model fitting, F(3.0, 3.7) = 3.49, p = 0.012, $R^2 =$ 312 0.24. Moreover, we fitted the data with the four simplified models (i.e., the quadratic, 313 cubic, square root, and quadratic logarithmic models). The results showed that a square root function provided the best goodness of fit, with the $\beta_{\text{sqrt(age)}} = -0.68$ (95% CI = 314 315 [-1.02, -0.33]), p < 0.001. The two-line test suggests the hypothetical peaks from both 316 models did not reach significance (Supplementary Note S2). A visually upright U-317 shaped trajectory indicated the youth and elderly adults tended to be more left-lateral-318 ized across the whole brain. A further comparison of the relative levels of laterality 319 across different age groups revealed that both the youth and the elderly groups exhibited greater left lateralization than the young to middle-aged adult group (Supplementary 320 321 Note S3). This left-lateralized pattern could be illustrated by the brain map estimated 322 with voxel-wise laterality calculation (Fig. 7).

323 [Fig. 7]

324 Discussion

325	The present study yielded three primary findings: 1) Among different possible trajec-
326	tories, only the inverted U-shaped trajectories were reliably observed across the whole
327	brain; 2) The cognitive control-related brain regions exhibit heterogeneous lifespan tra-
328	jectories: the frontoparietal control network (such as the inferior frontal gyrus and in-
329	ferior parietal lobule) follows inverted U-shaped trajectories, peaking between 24 and
330	40 years, while the dorsal attention network (such as the frontal eye field and superior
331	parietal lobule) demonstrates less clear trajectories with age; 3) The youth and the el-
332	derly demonstrate weaker brain activities and a relatively greater extent of left laterality
333	compared to the young to middle-aged adults. These results provide strong evidence
334	for the existence of cognitive control regions exhibiting inverted U-shaped trajectory,
335	and also show the heterogenous lifespan trajectories in different brain regions.
336	The Inverted U-shaped Trajectory of Brain Activity Related to Cognitive Control
337	The main finding is that a wide range of cognitive control regions follow inverted U-

- 337
- shaped lifespan trajectories, but no regions showed decrease-then-stable (Fig. 3D), up-338
- 339 right U-shaped (Fig. 3E), stable-then-increase (Fig. 3F), increase-then-stable (Fig. 3G),
- 340 stable-then-decrease (Fig. 3I), or linear trajectories (Fig. 3A and 3C).
- 341 The greater activation in the frontoparietal control network among young to mid-
- 342 dle-aged adults compared to the youth and the elderly supports the notion that cognitive

343	control abilities may not be fully developed in children and may decline in the elderly.
344	This finding is consistent with the idea that the cognitive control system is most effec-
345	tive in young adulthood, suggesting a possible correlation between the higher functional
346	activations in the brain and the superior performance of young adults on cognitive con-
347	trol tasks ⁵⁰ . Consistently, a previous study ²⁹ showed that behavioral performance (suc-
348	cess of interference suppression) is positively correlated with the activity in frontal re-
349	gions. Similar patterns have been repeatedly reported ^{51,52} , although the opposite results
350	have also been observed ⁵³ .
351	The inverted U-shaped trajectory of brain activation might be associated with the
352	development of brain structure and functional changes. First, it may reflect the well-
353	documented structural changes that occur in these regions across the lifespan, which
354	include synaptic pruning, myelination, cortical thinning, and white matter matura-
355	tion ^{19,54} . For example, the density of dopamine receptors increases during adolescence
356	and young adulthood and subsequently declines with age ⁵⁵ . These changes can affect
357	the efficiency and connectivity of neural circuits within the frontoparietal control net-
358	work ^{13,56} . Second, the inverted U-shaped trajectory may also arise from functional
359	changes resulting from the modulation of neurotransmitters, hormones, and environ-
360	mental factors ⁵⁷ . Understanding change patterns of brain structure and function is crit-
361	ical for developing interventions and treatments aimed at improving cognitive control
362	abilities across the lifespan.

363 The present results further revealed that the inverted U-shaped lifespan trajectories of cognitive control regions are not uniform. The GAM fitting results (Fig. 4, Supple-364 365 mentary Table S4) showed that the subregions exhibited varying degrees of association 366 with age. Moreover, the model simplification demonstrated different underlying trajec-367 tory curves, with most regions showing a skewed shape that could best be fitted with a 368 square root model, except that one region showed a symmetric quadratic shape. The quadratic lifespan trajectory has been well-documented in previous studies^{19,46,48,58}, 369 while the application of the square root model has been relatively rare⁵⁹. The square 370 371 root model can better capture the early peak in the trajectory. We also identified differ-372 ent peak ages for those regions, ranging from 24 to 40 years, suggesting that cognitive control regions may not develop at the same rate. 373

374 Hierarchical development trajectories in different brain networks

375 Previous research has indicated that the attentional orientation function is preserved 376 during ageing^{35,60}. Consistently, we found that the dorsal attention network regions un-377 derlying the attentional orientation showed no significant age-related change, in con-378 trast to the inverted U-shaped trajectory in frontoparietal control network regions. In addition, we observed that the supporting regions mediating top-down control with mo-379 tor^{61} (right cerebellum, Fig. 2) and sensory⁶² (left anterior thalamic projections, Fig. 2) 380 381 functions also lack the sensitivity to age. The dissociation across regions may reflect 382 hierarchical associations with age on brain function.

383 The brain regions are organized in a functional hierarchy, with the frontoparietal 384 control network at the highest level. It acts as a hub that interacts with other systems, including the dorsal attention network⁶³. The cingulo-opercular network did not present 385 386 a clear dissociation of trajectory patterns, possibly suggesting its intermediate position between the frontoparietal control and dorsal attention networks⁶⁴. During conflict tasks, 387 388 these networks function in a hierarchical manner. The frontoparietal control network 389 maintains task goals and resolves conflicts, the cingulo-opercular network monitors 390 conflict, and the dorsal attention network directs attention towards task-relevant stimuli⁶⁵. Even within the prefrontal cortex itself, a hierarchical organization exists, with 391 middle frontal areas occupying the peak position⁶⁶. This is in line with our finding that 392 393 the middle frontal cortex is dissociated from rostral and caudal frontal regions (Fig. 6A). 394 In addition, previous research suggests that the frontoparietal control network can be further divided⁶⁷, with the rostral and caudal frontal regions observed in our study align-395 396 ing closely with the sub-network that connects more strongly with the dorsolateral at-397 tention network. This may explain why some areas within the frontal region do not 398 show age-related changes.

Furthermore, different brain regions exhibit different age-related changes. Higherorder regions typically have more complex lifespan trajectories⁵⁸ and reach peaks during later periods^{56,68}. Specifically, prefrontal control regions are among the last to mature and one of the earliest to decline^{5,13,69}. Therefore, the different lifespan trajectory

403 patterns among different networks likely reflect their hierarchical positions of age-re-

404 lated changes.

405 Implications for the Compensatory and Asymmetry Reduction Theories

406 Critically, there was no region showing higher activity in the elderly compared to the young to middle-aged adults, but we observed several regions showing the opposite 407 (Supplementary Note S3 and Table S9). The results persisted after we controlled the 408 409 behavioral congruency effect (Supplementary Note S4 and Fig. S8), thereby ruling out 410 the possibility of weaker brain activity associated with poor behavioral performance in 411 the elderly. The observed decrease in brain activation among the elderly might be at-412 tributed to several interrelated factors. First, cognitive control regions, especially the 413 frontal area, tend to shrink with age, leading to a reduction in overall brain volume and potential loss of synaptic integrity⁷⁰. This shrinkage can impair the brain's ability to 414 415 effectively process and manage complex tasks. Another significant factor is the impair-416 ment of neurovascular coupling, the relationship between neuronal activity, synaptic 417 function, and subsequent blood flow, which disrupts the brain's ability to maintain optimal function during cognitive tasks^{71,72}. Furthermore, the decrease in cerebral blood 418 flow with age can diminish the delivery of essential nutrients and oxygen to the brain, 419 impairing its overall functionality⁷³. These changes could lead to regional abnormali-420 421 ties, such as blood flow, blood volume, metabolic rate, or BOLD-derived physiologic

422 proxies like the fractional amplitude of low-frequency fluctuation and regional homo-423 geneity⁷⁴. Future studies may validate and extend our study by adopting the age-sensi-424 tive regions we observed and testing other measurements, such as resting-state data, 425 which are more easily collected in large-scale studies involving children and the elderly 426 compared to task-based activations.

The compensatory theory¹⁰ proposes that the elderly recruit additional brain re-427 428 gions to compensate for age-related cognitive decline, but our results did not show this 429 pattern. We suggest that the absence of compensatory upregulation in frontoparietal 430 regions among the elderly observed in our study might be attributed to limited available resources when cognitive control related brain regions are already fully engaged^{75,76}. 431 432 Previous research has shown that younger adults recruit lower activity in frontoparietal 433 regions during the congruent condition but significantly greater activities during the 434 incongruent condition. In contrast, older adults already show a relatively higher activa-435 tion during the congruent condition, leaving limited capacity for further increases in activation during the incongruent condition³². This is consistent with our findings, 436 437 which are based on the contrast between incongruent and congruent conditions. 438 Moreover, the nature of the task investigated might influence whether there is an upregulation in cognitive control regions with age. Upregulation in the frontal regions 439 440 usually compensates for memory and sensory declination due to deficits in the hippocampus and sensory cortices⁷⁷. Semantic cognition⁷⁸ might also be a target of compen-441

sation. However, conflict tasks seem to rely minimally on memory, and involve relatively simple sensory stimuli (e.g., colors and locations) and simple semantic processing (e.g., reading a word). As such, conflict tasks may not necessitate compensation
in these functions.

446 In addition, compensation in older adults may manifest as increased recruitment of bilateral regions and homologues with age⁷⁹. For example, the hemispheric asym-447 metry reduction in older adults (HAROLD) theory⁸⁰ suggests that older adults typically 448 449 exhibit less lateralization, either as a compensatory response to functional deficits or as 450 a reflection of neural dedifferentiation. However, we observed that the elderly showed 451 greater left lateralization compared to young to middle-aged adults. This finding is in-452 consistent with the assumption of HAROLD but aligns with the right hemi-ageing 453 model⁸¹, which posits that the right hemisphere is more vulnerable to age-related de-454 cline. Prior research has shown that functional connectivity within the frontoparietal 455 control network is more disrupted in the right hemisphere than in the left during ageing⁸². This suggests that neural resources in the right hemisphere might be more limited 456 for the elderly, reducing its capacity to compensate for cognitive demands. Stronger 457 458 patterns of left laterality were also identified in childhood in the current study, primarily 459 noticeable within the prefrontal region (Fig. 7), which may reflect the earlier development of the left hemisphere compared to the right⁸³. In contrast, we found lower lateral-460 461 ization in young adults. It is possible that previous studies showing stronger laterality 462 in young adults may have been biased by too small sample sizes and the use of nonquantitative methods for calculation of laterality^{84,85}. Moreover, because both left and
right lateralized results were reported in the literature on laterality⁸¹, it is reasonable to
observe low laterality for young to middle-aged adults in the current meta-analysis.

466 Methodology Implications

467 By incorporating all the studies, our results demonstrate that the SDM can reliably iden-

468 tify brain regions as the ALE. However, the SDM has the added advantage of fully

469 utilizing existing effect size data and coordinates⁸⁶, allowing us to compare the relative

470 activity strength among various age groups, such as the contrast between young to mid-

dle-aged adults and elderly groups. More importantly, this approach allows for meta-

472 regressions to examine parametric relationships between brain region activity and age,

473 providing insights into the lifespan trajectories of cognitive control regions.

474 Limitation of Results

One caveat to consider in this study is the non-uniform distribution of age among the included studies. Specifically, there is a noticeable gap in the age range of 45 to 60 years. Consequently, the observed age distribution could potentially influence the results of the regression analysis. We hope that future research could allocate more attention to the middle-aged period, considering the significant cognitive and neural changes during this stage, such as the onset of cognitive decline^{15,17,87}. In addition, it is crucial to avoid the occurrence of ecological fallacy⁸⁸ (associations observed at the group level

482	are erroneously assumed to apply to individuals) when interpreting the results of meta-
483	regression analyses. Therefore, associations between brain activities and age across var-
484	ious studies do not provide direct insights into the specific age-related changes at the
485	individual level. Future research incorporating individual-level investigations (e.g., lon-
486	gitudinal follow-up studies) is crucial to obtaining a more comprehensive understand-
487	ing of these relationships.

488 Conclusions

489	Our meta-analysis adopted advanced meta-regression approaches to chart the lifespan
490	trajectories of cognitive control brain activities. We observed inverted U-shaped chang-
491	ing patterns in regions aligned with the frontoparietal control network, with the peaks
492	occurring between 24 and 40 years. In contrast, the dorsal attention network does not
493	present a clear age-related trajectory. This dissociation may reflect the hierarchy of
494	brain development in different regions. No other trajectory patterns were observed,
495	highlighting the predominance of the inverted U-shaped pattern in the lifespan trajec-
496	tory of cognitive control. Furthermore, we found the youth and elderly showed a more
497	asymmetric brain distribution than young to middle-aged adults. In sum, these results
498	demonstrate the multifaceted nature of age-related changes in cognitive control brain
499	function.

500 Methods

501 Literature Preparation

502 Literature Search

503	We report how we determined all data exclusions (if any), all manipulations, and all
504	measures in the study. We first searched both English and Chinese articles on the youth
505	and the elderly from PUBMED, Web of Science and CNKI (China National Knowledge
506	Infrastructure) till 2022. The following search terms were applied in titles, abstracts,
507	table of contents, indexing, and key concepts: ("Stroop" OR "Flanker" OR "Simon"
508	OR "SNARC" OR "Navon" OR "interference" OR "cognitive conflict") AND ("fMRI"
509	OR "functional resonance imaging" OR "functional imaging" OR "neuroimaging"
510	OR "PET") AND ("children" OR "kids" OR "adolescents" OR "teenagers" OR "un-
511	derage" OR "aged" OR "old" OR "older" OR "elder" OR "elderly" OR "senior"
512	OR "development" OR "developmental" OR "aging" OR "life span"). The above pro-
513	cess yielded 3,484 articles. In addition, 111 studies on young to middle-aged adults
514	from a previous meta-analysis study ²¹ were included in the literature pool, 40 of which
515	were excluded according to the current literature exclusion criteria (see below). More-
516	over, we screened 16 articles citing or being cited by the crucial literature. After remov-
517	ing duplicates, the literature search identified 2,930 articles.

518 Exclusion Criteria

519	We excluded any articles that met one or more of the following predefined exclusion
520	criteria ⁸⁹ : 1) not in English or Chinese; 2) not including healthy human participants; 3)
521	case study; 4) not empirical study; 5) not functional resonance imaging (fMRI) or pos-
522	itron emission tomography (PET) study; 6) not whole-brain results (i.e., not have cov-
523	ered the whole gray matter); 7) not in Talairach or Montreal Neurological Institute
524	(MNI) space; 8) not reflecting the congruency effect (i.e., contrasts between incongru-
525	ent and congruent or between incongruent and neutral conditions); 9) not reporting ex-
526	act mean age of participants.
527	A total of 119 articles were identified as eligible for inclusion in our meta-analyses.
528	No statistical methods were used to pre-determine sample sizes, but our sample sizes
529	are similar to or larger than those reported in previous publications ^{31,90} . Supplementary
530	Fig. S1 shows the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses
531	(PRISMA) ⁹¹ flow chart for the literature screening process. The 119 articles included
532	129 studies (individual contrasts reported in the articles) with 3,388 participants and
533	1,579 activation foci reported. All studies were published or completed between 1994
534	and 2022. None of the experiments share the same group of participants. The included
535	studies are written in English (124 studies) and Chinese (5 studies). Of the studies in-
536	cluded, 125 were published in peer-reviewed journals, and 4 were master's theses. All
537	included studies reported the task type used, including 74 studies utilizing Stroop-like

538	task (57%), 25 studies utilizing Simon task (19%), 25 studies utilizing Flanker task
539	(19%), 2 studies utilizing a combination of Simon and Flanker tasks (2%), 1 study uti-
540	lizing a combination of Simon and Stroop tasks (1%), and 3 studies utilizing multi-
541	source interference task (2%). In addition, the contrasts conducted to reveal brain acti-
542	vations were also reported, with 98 studies (76%) resulting from the contrast of Incon-
543	gruent trials > Congruent trials, 25 studies (19%) resulting from the contrast of Incon-
544	gruent trials > Neutral trials, and 6 studies (5%) resulting from the union contrast of
545	Incongruent trials > Congruent trials and Incongruent trials > Neutral trials. Regarding
546	the handedness of participants in the included studies, 89 studies (69%) included right-
547	handed participants only, 6 studies (5%) included both left and right-handed partici-
548	pants, while 34 studies (26%) did not report this information. Furthermore, 78 studies
549	(60%) included only correct response trials, 2 studies (2%) included both correct and
550	incorrect response trials, while 49 studies (38%) did not report this information. A de-
551	tailed description of these features for each study is available in the Supplementary
552	Table S1. To eliminate the influence of these confounding factors, we included them as
553	covariates in the modeling analyses.

554 Coding Procedure

A coding manual was formulated to record pertinent study information, including authors, publication dates, experimental tasks, contrasts, and sample demographics (such

557 as the average age and sample size). To ensure coding accuracy, two authors independently coded all studies, with discrepancies resolved through discussion or refer-558 559 ence to the original studies. In instances where studies lacked essential information, 560 such as peak coordinates for relevant contrasts, participant age averages, or data for 561 specific age groups, efforts were made to contact the authors via e-mail to obtain the 562 relevant data. In addition, both coordinates and effect sizes (i.e., Hedge's g) were ex-563 tracted from each study. Further, Talairach space coordinates were transformed to MNI coordinates using the Lancaster transform⁹². 564

565 Meta-Analytic Procedure

566 Activation Likelihood Estimation (ALE)

In order to obtain a comprehensive understanding of cognitive control-related brain ac-567 tivity across all age groups and to replicate a prior study²¹, we initially conducted a 568 single dataset meta-analysis using BrainMap GingerALE software⁹³ (version 3.0.2, 569 570 http://www.brainmap.org). This meta-analytical approach, known as activation likeli-571 hood estimation, utilizes the spatial convergence of brain activity across multiple stud-572 ies to determine the probability of activation in specific regions. Foci from individual 573 studies were transformed into a standardized coordinate space and modeled as Gaussian 574 probability values that accounted for variability in the number of participants in each

575 study. In situations where foci overlapped across studies, multiple Gaussians were associated with a single focus, and ALE selected the Gaussian with maximum probability 576 for each focus⁹³. Subsequently, ALE score maps were generated by comparing these 577 578 modeled Gaussian distributions with a null distribution that simulated random brain 579 effects. The null distribution was generated using the same sample size and number of foci groups as the experimental dataset for 1,000 times⁹⁴. ALE scores were then used 580 581 to calculate *p*-values, which were based on the proportion of values higher than a certain 582 threshold in the null distribution. This resulted in a statistical ALE map that differenti-583 ated true brain effects from random effects. A cluster-defining threshold of p < 0.001584 and a minimum cluster size of 10 voxels (80 mm³) were utilized to compute ALE maps, consistent with the threshold applied in the seed-based d mapping (SDM) approach (see 585 586 below).

587 Seed-based *d* (Effect Size) Mapping

SDM is an alternative approach to statistically synthesize results from multiple neuroimaging experiments⁸⁶. Similar to ALE, SDM employs a coordinate-based randomeffect approach to amalgamate peak coordinate information into a standard space across several experiments. However, while ALE solely considers the binary feature (i.e., active versus inactive) of peak coordinates, SDM takes into account the quantitative effect size (can be positive or negative) connected to each peak and reconstructs the initial

parametric maps of individual experiments before amalgamating them into a meta-analytic map⁹⁵. Therefore, the use of a distinct algorithm in SDM from ALE allows us to scrutinize the robustness and replicability of the outcomes obtained via ALE. More importantly, SDM enables the inclusion of covariates in the meta-regression analyses to reflect the changes in brain function across the lifespan.

599 We conducted three types of analyses using the SDM approach. Firstly, we esti-600 mated the mean activation across all age groups and compared the results with ALE's 601 single dataset meta-analysis results. Secondly, we conducted contrasts between two 602 groups of studies (e.g., between young to middle-aged adults and a combination of the youth and elderly groups) to identify brain regions that showed different levels of ac-603 604 tivity across age. This analysis method served to investigate the hypothesized lifespan 605 trajectories, such as the inverted U-shaped pattern by elucidating neural activity varia-606 tions linked to age. Thirdly, we defined specific models (e.g., linear and square root 607 models) to fit the whole brain to validate brain regions adhering to the hypothetical 608 lifespan changing patterns. This type of analysis aimed to explore various lifespan tra-609 jectories, recognizing that different brain regions might follow distinct model functions. See below for the details. 610

These analyses were conducted using the software of SDM with permutation of subject images (SDM-PSI) (version 6.22, https://www.sdmproject.com). Effect size maps were built for the 129 individual experiments. This was accomplished by (a) converting the statistical value of each peak coordinate into an estimate of effect size

615	(Hedge's g) using standard formulas ⁹⁶ and (b) convolving these peaks with a fully ani-
616	sotropic unnormalized Gaussian kernel ($\alpha = 1$, FWHM = 20 mm) within the boundaries
617	of a gray matter template (voxel size = $2 \times 2 \times 2$ mm ³). Imputation (50 times) was con-
618	ducted for each study separately to obtain a reliable estimate of brain activation maps ⁹⁵ .
619	In addition, the individual effect size maps were combined using a random-effect gen-
620	eral linear model. To assess the statistical significance of activations in the resulting
621	meta-analytic effect size map, 1,000 random permutations of activation peaks within
622	the gray matter template were compared. Finally, the meta-analytic maps were
623	thresholded using a voxel-wise family-wise error (FWE) corrected threshold of $p <$
624	0.001 and a cluster-wise extent threshold of 10 voxels ⁹⁷ .

625 Mean Analyses Across all Studies

626 This analysis aimed to characterize the activation distributions of cognitive control-627 related brain regions across all studies, which was conducted utilizing the "Mean" func-628 tion in SDM-PSI software. In order to verify the reliability of the SDM analysis results, we compared the results with the single dataset meta-analysis using ALE. Results from 629 630 this analysis were further used as regions of interest (ROIs) in the subsequent model fitting analyses (see section "Generalized Additive Model (GAM) Fitting" below). The 631 possibility of publication bias for resultant clusters was examined using Egger's test⁹⁸, 632 633 in which any result showing p < 0.05 was regarded as having significant publication bias. Heterogeneity was evaluated using the I^2 index, which quantifies the proportion 634

of total variability attributable to heterogeneity between studies. A value less than 25%
indicates low heterogeneity among the included studies⁹⁹.

637 *Contrast Analyses*

638	To test our hypothesis that cognitive control related brain activities follow an inverted
639	U-shaped trajectory with age, we categorized each study based on the mean age of par-
640	ticipants into youth (< 18 years), young to middle-aged adults (18-59 years), and el-
641	derly (>= 60 years) groups. The age boundaries were determined to minimize age dis-
642	tribution overlap. We utilized SDM-PSI to perform a contrast analysis between the
643	group of young to middle-aged adults and the combination of other groups in order to
644	examine whether there are brain regions that exhibit an inverted U-shaped lifespan tra-
645	jectory. This was achieved by assigning studies from the young to middle-aged adult
646	group as 1 and all other studies as -1. This analysis yielded two results, one showing
647	higher activity in young to middle-aged adults than the youth and elderly groups, and
648	the other showing the opposite. Like the mean analysis, results from this analysis were
649	used as ROIs in the subsequent model fitting analyses (see sections "Generalized Ad-
650	ditive Model (GAM) Fitting" and "Model Simplification and Model Comparison").
651	In addition, to explore other possible trajectories, such as the increase-and-stable
652	pattern ⁵ , we conducted contrast analyses between the youth and the combined group of
653	young to middle-aged and the elderly, as well as between the elderly and the combined

654 group of youth and young to middle-aged adults. Furthermore, to address the contro-655 versies in previous studies, we conducted contrast analyses between older and young to 656 middle-aged adult groups, and between the youth and young to middle-aged adult 657 groups, respectively.

658 Meta-regression Analyses

To better describe the possible lifespan trajectories of the whole brain, we carried out meta-regression analyses with the age and/or its derivatives as regressors. Two regressions were conducted across the whole-brain, including a linear regression (with only age as the regressor) and a square root regression (with age and its square root as separate regressors). The linear regression aimed to test regions with increasing/decreasing activity with age, and the square root regression aimed to test regions with the inverted U-shaped trajectories based on the model fitting analyses (see below).

666 Data Extraction

667 Masks were generated for each ROI derived from the mean and contrast analyses in

- 668 SDM-PSI as described above. Subsequently, we extracted the effect sizes for each mask.
- 669 Fifty values were obtained from the SDM iterations and subsequently averaged for each
- 670 study in each region. The iterated variances were also averaged in a similar way. Addi-
- tionally, we removed the outliers (beyond 3 standard deviations from the mean) in the
- 672 following model fitting analyses.

673 Generalized Additive Model (GAM) Fitting

To precisely estimate the inverted U-shaped trajectories, we adopted the GAM to fit the curves. The GAM allows for flexible, nonparametric smoothing of predictor variables¹⁰⁰, and has been widely used to depict the lifespan trajectories^{101,102}. We implemented GAMs using the "mgcv" package¹⁰⁰ in R. For each ROI, we fitted a GAM with the following formula:

679
$$g \sim s(age) + covariates,$$

680 where g is the effect size (dependent variable), s(age) represents a smoothing spline of 681 age (predictor variable), and covariates represent the dummy-coded categorical covariate regressors. These regressors correspond to six aspects of the included studies: (1) 682 the presence of various conflict types (e.g., Stroop or Simon), (2) the mixed subject 683 samples based on handedness (e.g., right handed only or both handed), (3) the different 684 contrasts in reporting congruency effects (e.g., incongruent – congruent or incongruent 685 - neutral), (4) different trial types regarding whether they excluded error trials, (5) the 686 687 use of different types of experimental design (i.e., event-related or block designs), and 688 (6) the behavioral congruency effects measured by reaction time. Notably, we adopted median imputation¹⁰³ for 9 studies (accounting for 6.98% of the total included studies) 689 not reporting the behavioral congruency effects, and included an indicator regressor to 690 691 account for the potential impact of imputation¹⁰⁴. The validity of this imputation ap-

692	proach was confirmed through a robustness analysis (Supplementary Note S4). We in-
693	corporated these covariates to control for their potential confounding effects related to
694	age, which could otherwise influence our results. We also adjusted the estimate with a
695	weight parameter, which was the reciprocal of variance. We used penalized regression
696	splines, with the amount of smoothing determined automatically based on generalized
697	cross validation.

698 For each ROI, we quantified the peak age by choosing the highest prediction of a

699 fine-grained age scale (1,000 points from 8 to 74 years old). We also calculated the

roomestimated degree of freedom (EDF) for the smooth curve by summing up the degree of

freedom for each penalized term (i.e., s(age).1 to s(age).9).

702 Model Simplification and Model Comparison

While the GAM analysis may yield good fitting results on the data, it is important to
acknowledge its potential limitations. One concern is that it can fit the data with high
degree of freedoms (up to 7.0, Supplementary Table S4), which makes it susceptible to

over-fitting and harder to generalize. Another issue is its poor interpretability. Therefore,

707 we next sought to fit the data with simpler models.

To this end, we used the "metafor" package in R to fit these effect sizes with the

- age and its derivatives as predictors. Specifically, we tested four non-linear models (see
- 710 below formulas and Fig. 3). Quadratic and cubic models were included based on previ-
- 711 ous studies^{46,47}; quadratic logarithmic and square-root models were included to capture

712	the possible skewed trajectory, which would reflect the asymmetric trajectory of devel-
713	opment and decline of cognitive control ⁵ . Each model was fitted to each ROI separately.
714	In addition, we included the same covariates as the GAM analysis in each regression
715	model. We calculated the Akaike information criterion (AIC) to evaluate the goodness
716	of fit for each model.
717	1) Quadratic model
718	$g \sim age + age^2 + covariates$
719	2) Cubic model

- 720 $g \sim age + age^2 + age^3 + covariates$
- 7213)Quadratic logarithmic model

722 $g \sim \log(age) + (\log(age))^2 + \text{covariates}$

- 723 4) Square root model
- 724 $g \sim age + sqrt(age) + covariates$

725 Neurosynth Decoding Analysis

726 To investigate the potential functional difference between the two sets of brain regions

727 reported in section "Dissociated Brain Networks with Distinct Lifespan Trajectories"

of Results, we generated two binary maps from the contrast analysis between young to

- middle-aged adults and the combination of other groups, and then submitted them to
- the Neurosynth decoding system⁴¹. As the non-U-shaped map is defined as the converse
- of the inverted U-shaped map, they were obtained by applying thresholds of 0.1

732	0.9 and $p < 0.05$, respectively. These lenient threshold boundaries were used to mini-
733	mize the influence of sparsity on the decoding results. The two-boundary threshold was
734	used in generating the non-U-shaped map, as the original statistical map from the SDM-
735	PSI analysis was one-tailed, which means the threshold of $p > 0.9$ indicates the upright
736	U-shaped trend instead of a non-U-shaped trajectory. Additionally, to focus on the brain
737	regions specifically related to cognitive control, the two maps were masked by using
738	results from the mean SDM analysis (Fig. 1B). In the decoding results, we deleted terms
739	that were related to brain regions (e.g., "frontal"), not functional specific (e.g., "task"),
740	and duplicated (e.g., "attention" was removed if there was already "attentional").

741 Laterality Analysis

We calculated the laterality based on the effect size of reported brain coordinates from each study. We computed the sum of effect sizes across coordinates for the left and right hemisphere, respectively, yielding one global effect size each (i.e., g_L and g_R). Then, we calculated the index of brain laterality with the following equation¹⁰⁵:

746 laterality =
$$\frac{g_L - g_R}{g_L + g_R}$$
,

which was then submitted to the GAM and simplified models (i.e., the quadratic, cubic,square root, and quadratic logarithmic models).

To illustrate the contribution of different brain regions to the age-related change
of laterality, we calculated the laterality for each voxel⁷⁸. We first used the SDM-PSI

751	to conduct a mean analysis for each age group (i.e., the youth, young to middle-aged
752	adult and elderly), yielding three z-maps. Then the laterality was computed with the
753	above equation for each voxel from the left hemisphere. The opposite values were cal-
754	culated for the right hemisphere. To avoid the bias due to asymmetric brain hemispheres,
755	we removed voxels without corresponding mirror coordinates. The results were visual-
756	ized confining to the brain regions estimated from the grand mean analyses (Fig. 1B).

757 Data Availability

- 758 The meta-data that support the findings of this study are available in Zenodo with the
- 759 identifier doi: 10.5281/zenodo.12727621¹⁰⁶.
- 760 Code Availability
- All codes conducting the ROI analyses are available in Zenodo with the identifier doi:
- 762 10.5281/zenodo.12727621¹⁰⁶.

763 Acknowledgement

764 We thank Fergus I.M. Craik, Beatriz Luna, and Haiyan Wu for valuable suggestions on this manuscript. We also thank Jing Yang and Yifan Zhang for the data check of ex-765 766 tracted coordinates. Z.L. discloses support for the research of this work from Scientific 767 Research Fund of Zhejiang Provincial Education Department (Y202249966), Starting 768 Research Fund from Hangzhou Normal University (2021QDL079), and STI 2030-Ma-769 jor Projects (2021ZD0201705). I.T.P discloses support for the research of this work from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human De-770 771 velopment (NICHD) (HD098235). G.Y. discloses support for the research of this work 772 from Jiefeng Jiang, and China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2019M650884). X.L. 773 discloses support for the research of this work from the Ministry of Science and Tech-774 nology of the People's Republic of China (2021ZD0200505). The funders had no role 775 in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the 776 manuscript.

777 Author Contributions Statement

- 778 Conceptualization: Z.L. and G.Y.; Methodology: G.Y.; Formal analysis: G.Y. and Z.L.;
- 779 Data curation: Z.L.; Writing original draft: G.Y. and Z.L.; Writing, review, and editing:
- 780 G.Y., Z.L., I.T.P., L.W., X.L. and J.R.; Funding: Z.L., I.T.P., X.L. and G.Y; Supervi-
- 781 sion: G.Y.

782 Competing Interests Statement

783 The authors declare no competing interests.

784 Inclusion & Ethics

785 All collaborators of this study fulfilled the criteria for authorship required by Nature 786 Portfolio journals have been included as authors, as their participation was essential 787 for implementation of the study and improvement of the manuscript. Roles and re-788 sponsibilities were agreed among collaborators ahead of the research. This work does 789 not include findings that are locally relevant. As a meta-analysis, this study does not 790 involve direct interactions with human participants or the collection of new data. Each 791 of the original studies included in this meta-analysis obtained ethical approval from 792 their respective institutions, and we confirm that we complied with all applicable ethi-793 cal guidelines and regulations.

794 Tables

795 **Table 1.** Brain areas activated in the contrast of one age group versus others (voxel-796 wise FWE-corrected, p < 0.001, with minimum cluster size ≥ 10 voxels) with the SDM-797 PSI.

					MNI	coord	inate	- Anatomical	
Order	# Voxels	Z	р	L/R	X	У	Z	location	BA
Young	Young to middle-aged adults > Others								
1	1165	4.713	< 0.001	R	52	16	4	inferior frontal gyrus	48
2	607	4.895	< 0.001	L	-46	16	26	inferior frontal gyrus	48
3	569	4.289	< 0.001	R	54	-48	42	inferior parietal lobule	40
4	215	3.405	< 0.001	L	-8	0	58	supplementary motor area	6
5	202	3.830	< 0.001	L	-36	-54	42	inferior parietal lobule	40
6	106	3.245	< 0.001	R	10	4	6	caudate nucleus	/
7	76	3.231	< 0.001	L	-38	24	0	insula	47
8	33	3.119	< 0.001	L	-36	12	-6	insula	48
9	10	2.794	< 0.001	R	4	-16	44	middle cingulate cortex	23
Young	Young to middle-aged adults < Others								
None									
The yo	The youth > Others								
None	None								
The youth < Others									
None	None								
The eld	The elderly > Others								

None

The elderly < Others

None

798 *Note.* The brain regions in the table correspond to the regions in Fig. 4. MNI = Montreal Neurological

799 Institute, BA = Brodmann area, L = left, R = right.

800 Figure Legends/Captions



801

802 Fig. 1. Overview of significant clusters across all studies regardless of age in the ALE

- 803 meta-analysis (A), the SDM meta-analysis (B), and their overlap (C). ALE = activa-
- tion likelihood estimation; SDM =seed-based *d* mapping.



807 Fig. 2. Lifespan trajectories within regions identified in the mean analysis. I-ACC: left 808 anterior cingulate cortex, l-IPL: left inferior parietal lobule, r-IFG: right inferior 809 frontal gyrus, r-ITG: right inferior temporal gyrus, l-ITG: left inferior temporal gyrus, 810 r-MFG: right middle frontal gyrus, r-CN: right caudate nucleus, r-CB: right cerebel-811 lum, I-ATP: left anterior thalamic projections. Scattered plots are the effect sizes as a 812 function of age, with curves fitted by GAM. The sizes of the scattered dots show the 813 square root of model weights (1/variance) for each study. Shaded areas around the 814 curves represent standard errors. Dashed lines indicate peak ages. Panels 1-ACC and 1-815 IPL do not show the peak age due to an insignificant decrease at the later stage (Sup-816 plementary Note S2).



818 Fig. 3. The lifespan trajectories explored in our study. Panels A-C show linear de-819 crease, flat, and linear increase patterns, respectively, and were modelled with the lin-820 ear function. Panels E and H show the upright and inverted U-shapes, respectively, 821 and were tested with the contrast between young to middle-aged adults and others, as 822 well as with the quadratic function. Panels D, F, G, and I show combinations of a sta-823 ble period and an increase/decrease period across the lifespan, and were tested with 824 the contrast between the youth and others, or between the elderly and others. Panels J, 825 K and L show the variants of inverted U-shaped trajectories, which capture the possibly early peak feature. They were tested with square root, quadratic logarithmic, and 826 cubic functions, respectively. See Methods for detailed models. 827



830 Fig. 4. Brain regions showing inverted U-shaped trajectory patterns. Scattered plots 831 are the effect size as a function of age, with curves fitted by GAM (blue color) and the 832 best simplified model (red color). Shaded areas around the curves represent standard 833 errors. Dashed vertical lines show peak ages estimated from GAM (blue) and simpli-834 fied model (red). The sizes of the scattered dots show the square root of model 835 weights (1/variance) for each study. r-IFG: right inferior frontal gyrus, 1-IFG: left in-836 ferior frontal gyrus, r-IPL: right inferior parietal lobule, l-SMA: left supplementary 837 motor area, l-IPL: left inferior parietal lobule, r-CN: right caudate nucleus, l-Insula: 838 left insula, r-MCC: right middle cingulate cortex.

839





842 ing square root pattern (A) and linear pattern (B) with age in the model fitting.



845 Fig. 6. Dissociated brain regions based on their trajectory patterns. A) The regions following inverted U-shaped trajectories (red color) and non-U-shaped trajectories 846 847 (blue color). B) The axial view of the same results. The border lines display the fron-848 toparietal control network (black), dorsal attention network (white), and their boundary (gray) from Yeo's 7-network atlas⁴⁹. Cingulo-opercular network was not plotted 849 850 due to its less clear dissociation among the two maps. The two scatter plots show two 851 example regions showing the non-U-shaped trajectory, one ([34, 4, 52]) representing a 852 peak region from the average brain activity analysis (Supplementary Table S3), and 853 the other ([22, -63, 42]) representing a region displaying a weak age-related change 854 from the contrast analysis with p between 0.49 and 0.51. The GAM analysis showed that neither coordinate could be adequately fitted by a smoothed curve, with ps >855 856 0.22.

857



Fig. 7. The laterality as a function of age. A) The trajectory fitted with a square root

860 model (red) and the GAM (blue). Higher values mean more left-lateralized and lower

861 values mean more right-lateralized. B) Visualization of the laterality for each group.

862 Regions in the left hemisphere show the left laterality, and vice versa.

References

865 866	1.	Cohen JD. Cognitive control: Core constructs and current considerations. In: The Wiley handback of accritive control (od Egner T) (2017)
000	2	Miller EV. Cohen ID. An integrative theory of profrontel control function.
80/	2.	Miller EK, Conen JD. An integrative theory of prefrontal cortex function. Annu
808	2	<i>Rev Neurosci</i> 24, 167-202 (2001).
869	3.	Li Z, Goschi F, Yang G. Dissociated Neural Mechanisms of Target and
870		Distractor Processing Facilitated by Expectations. J Neurosci 40, 1997-1999
871		(2020).
872	4.	Constantinidis C, Luna B. Neural Substrates of Inhibitory Control Maturation
873		in Adolescence. <i>Trends Neurosci</i> 42 , 604-616 (2019).
874	5.	Craik FI, Bialystok E. Cognition through the lifespan: mechanisms of change.
875		<i>Trends Cogn Sci</i> 10 , 131-138 (2006).
876	6.	Gupta R, Kar BR. Development of attentional processes in ADHD and normal
877		children. Prog Brain Res 176, 259-276 (2009).
878	7.	Casey BJ, Getz S, Galvan A. The adolescent brain. Dev Rev 28, 62-77 (2008).
879	8.	Diamond A. Executive functions. Annu Rev Psychol 64, 135-168 (2013).
880	9.	Zanto TP, Gazzaley A. Attention and ageing. In: The Oxford handbook of
881		attention.). Oxford University Press (2014).
882	10.	Reuter-Lorenz PA, Cappell KA. Neurocognitive Aging and the Compensation
883		Hypothesis. Current Directions in Psychological Science 17, 177-182 (2008).
884	11.	Association AP. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders : DSM-
885		5.). 5th edn. American Psychiatric Association (2013).
886	12.	Adnan A, Chen AJW, Novakovic-Agopian T, D'Esposito M, Turner GR. Brain
887		Changes Following Executive Control Training in Older Adults. Neurorehabil
888		Neural Repair 31 , 910-922 (2017).
889	13.	Casey BJ, Tottenham N, Liston C, Durston S. Imaging the developing brain:
890		what have we learned about cognitive development? Trends Cogn Sci 9, 104-
891		110 (2005).
892	14.	Grady C. The cognitive neuroscience of ageing. Nat Rev Neurosci 13, 491-505
893		(2012).
894	15.	Weintraub S, et al. Cognition assessment using the NIH Toolbox. Neurology 80,
895		\$54-64 (2013).
896	16.	Ferguson HJ, Brunsdon VEA, Bradford EEF. The developmental trajectories of
897		executive function from adolescence to old age. <i>Sci Rep</i> 11 , 1382 (2021).
898	17	Li SC. Hammerer D. Muller V. Hommel B. Lindenberger U. Lifespan
899		development of stimulus-response conflict cost: similarities and differences
900		between maturation and senescence <i>Psychol Res</i> 73 777-785 (2009)
200		serveen maturation and senescence. I sychot hes 19, 111 105 (2007).

901	18.	Erb CD, Germine L, Hartshorne JK. Cognitive control across the lifespan:
902		Congruency effects reveal divergent developmental trajectories. J Exp Psychol
903		<i>Gen</i> 152 , 3285-3291 (2023).
904	19.	Brouwer RM, et al. Genetic variants associated with longitudinal changes in
905		brain structure across the lifespan. Nat Neurosci 25, 421-432 (2022).
906	20.	Bethlehem RAI, et al. Brain charts for the human lifespan. Nature, (2022).
907	21.	Li Q, Yang G, Li Z, Qi Y, Cole MW, Liu X. Conflict detection and resolution
908		rely on a combination of common and distinct cognitive control networks.
909		Neurosci Biobehav Rev 83 , 123-131 (2017).
910	22.	Giedd JN, et al. Brain development during childhood and adolescence: a
911		longitudinal MRI study. Nat Neurosci 2, 861-863 (1999).
912	23.	Crone EA, Dahl RE. Understanding adolescence as a period of social-affective
913		engagement and goal flexibility. Nat Rev Neurosci 13, 636-650 (2012).
914	24.	Andrews-Hanna JR, Mackiewicz Seghete KL, Claus ED, Burgess GC, Ruzic L,
915		Banich MT. Cognitive control in adolescence: neural underpinnings and
916		relation to self-report behaviors. PLoS One 6, e21598 (2011).
917	25.	Veroude K, Jolles J, Croiset G, Krabbendam L. Changes in neural mechanisms
918		of cognitive control during the transition from late adolescence to young
919		adulthood. Dev Cogn Neurosci 5, 63-70 (2013).
920	26.	Wilk HA, Morton JB. Developmental changes in patterns of brain activity
921		associated with moment-to-moment adjustments in control. Neuroimage 63,
922		475-484 (2012).
923	27.	Konrad K, et al. Development of attentional networks: an fMRI study with
924		children and adults. Neuroimage 28, 429-439 (2005).
925	28.	Marsh R, et al. A developmental fMRI study of self-regulatory control. Hum
926		Brain Mapp 27, 848-863 (2006).
927	29.	Bunge SA, Dudukovic NM, Thomason ME, Vaidya CJ, Gabrieli JD. Immature
928		frontal lobe contributions to cognitive control in children: evidence from fMRI.
929		<i>Neuron</i> 33 , 301-311 (2002).
930	30.	Milham MP, et al. Attentional control in the aging brain: insights from an fMRI
931		study of the stroop task. Brain Cogn 49, 277-296 (2002).
932	31.	Yaple ZA, Stevens WD, Arsalidou M. Meta-analyses of the n-back working
933		memory task: fMRI evidence of age-related changes in prefrontal cortex
934		involvement across the adult lifespan. Neuroimage 196, 16-31 (2019).
935	32.	Prakash RS, Erickson KI, Colcombe SJ, Kim JS, Voss MW, Kramer AF. Age-
936		related differences in the involvement of the prefrontal cortex in attentional
937		control. Brain Cogn 71, 328-335 (2009).
938	33.	Fernandez NB, Hars M, Trombetti A, Vuilleumier P. Age-related changes in
939		attention control and their relationship with gait performance in older adults
940		with high risk of falls. <i>Neuroimage</i> 189, 551-559 (2019).

- 34. Sebastian A, *et al.* Differential effects of age on subcomponents of response
 inhibition. *Neurobiology of aging* 34, 2183-2193 (2013).
- 943 35. Verhaeghen P, Cerella J. Aging, executive control, and attention: a review of
 944 meta-analyses. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 26, 849-857 (2002).
- 945 36. Verhaeghen P. *The elements of cognitive aging: Meta-analyses of age-related differences in processing speed and their consequences.* OUP USA (2014).
- Wood G, Ischebeck A, Koppelstaetter F, Gotwald T, Kaufmann L.
 Developmental trajectories of magnitude processing and interference control: an FMRI study. *Cereb Cortex* 19, 2755-2765 (2009).
- 38. Hart H, Radua J, Nakao T, Mataix-Cols D, Rubia K. Meta-analysis of functional
 magnetic resonance imaging studies of inhibition and attention in attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: exploring task-specific, stimulant medication,
 and age effects. *JAMA psychiatry* 70, 185-198 (2013).
- 39. Zhang Z, Peng P, Eickhoff SB, Lin X, Zhang D, Wang Y. Neural substrates of
 the executive function construct, age-related changes, and task materials in
 adolescents and adults: ALE meta-analyses of 408 fMRI studies. *Dev Sci* 24,
 e13111 (2021).
- 40. Long J, Song X, Wang Y, Wang C, Huang R, Zhang R. Distinct neural activation patterns of age in subcomponents of inhibitory control: A fMRI meta-analysis. *Front Aging Neurosci* 14, 938789 (2022).
- 41. Yarkoni T, Poldrack RA, Nichols TE, Van Essen DC, Wager TD. Large-scale
 automated synthesis of human functional neuroimaging data. *Nat Methods* 8, 665-670 (2011).
- 42. Nee DE, Wager TD, Jonides J. Interference resolution: insights from a metaanalysis of neuroimaging tasks. *Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci* 7, 1-17 (2007).
- Botvinick MM, Cohen JD, Carter CS. Conflict monitoring and anterior
 cingulate cortex: an update. *Trends Cogn Sci* 8, 539-546 (2004).
- 44. Kerns JG, Cohen JD, MacDonald AW, 3rd, Cho RY, Stenger VA, Carter CS.
 Anterior cingulate conflict monitoring and adjustments in control. *Science* 303, 1023-1026 (2004).
- 971 45. Simonsohn U. Two Lines: A Valid Alternative to the Invalid Testing of U972 Shaped Relationships With Quadratic Regressions. *Advances in Methods and*973 *Practices in Psychological Science* 1, 538-555 (2018).
- 46. Zuo XN, *et al.* Growing together and growing apart: regional and sex
 differences in the lifespan developmental trajectories of functional homotopy. J *Neurosci* 30, 15034-15043 (2010).
- 977 47. Coupe P, Catheline G, Lanuza E, Manjon JV, Alzheimer's Disease
 978 Neuroimaging I. Towards a unified analysis of brain maturation and aging
 979 across the entire lifespan: A MRI analysis. *Hum Brain Mapp* 38, 5501-5518
 980 (2017).

48. Amlien IK, Sneve MH, Vidal-Pineiro D, Walhovd KB, Fjell AM. The Lifespan
782 Trajectory of the Encoding-Retrieval Flip: A Multimodal Examination of
783 Medial Parietal Cortex Contributions to Episodic Memory. *J Neurosci* 38, 8666784 8679 (2018).

- 985 49. Yeo BT, *et al.* The organization of the human cerebral cortex estimated by
 986 intrinsic functional connectivity. *J Neurophysiol* 106, 1125-1165 (2011).
- 987 50. De Luca CR, Leventer RJ. Developmental trajectories of executive functions
 988 across the lifespan. In: *Executive functions and the frontal lobes*). Psychology
 989 Press (2010).
- 990 51. Vaidya CJ, Bunge SA, Dudukovic NM, Zalecki CA, Elliott GR, Gabrieli JD.
 991 Altered neural substrates of cognitive control in childhood ADHD: evidence
 992 from functional magnetic resonance imaging. *The American journal of*993 *psychiatry* 162, 1605-1613 (2005).
- Striatum mediates cognitive control, not cognitive effort per se, in decisionmaking: An event-related fMRI study. *Neuroimage* 114, 170-184 (2015).
- 53. Hsu CL, *et al.* Aerobic exercise promotes executive functions and impacts
 functional neural activity among older adults with vascular cognitive
 impairment. *Br J Sports Med* 52, 184-191 (2018).
- 1000 54. , (!!! INVALID CITATION !!!).
- 1001 55. Brenhouse HC, Andersen SL. Developmental trajectories during adolescence in 1002 males and females: a cross-species understanding of underlying brain changes. 1003 *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 35, 1687-1703 (2011).
- 1004 56. Gogtay N, *et al.* Dynamic mapping of human cortical development during
 1005 childhood through early adulthood. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 101, 8174-8179
 1006 (2004).
- 1007 57. Luna B, Marek S, Larsen B, Tervo-Clemmens B, Chahal R. An integrative
 1008 model of the maturation of cognitive control. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 38, 151-170
 1009 (2015).
- 1010 58. Shaw P, *et al.* Neurodevelopmental trajectories of the human cerebral cortex. J
 1011 Neurosci 28, 3586-3594 (2008).
- 1012 59. Grimm KJ, Ram N, Estabrook R. *Growth Modeling: Structural Equation and*1013 *Multilevel Modeling Approaches*. Guilford Publications (2016).
- 1014 60. Verissimo J, Verhaeghen P, Goldman N, Weinstein M, Ullman MT. Evidence
 1015 that ageing yields improvements as well as declines across attention and
 1016 executive functions. *Nat Hum Behav* 6, 97-110 (2022).
- 1017 61. D'Mello AM, Gabrieli JDE, Nee DE. Evidence for Hierarchical Cognitive
 1018 Control in the Human Cerebellum. *Curr Biol* 30, 1881-1892 e1883 (2020).
- Shine JM, Lewis LD, Garrett DD, Hwang K. The impact of the human thalamus
 on brain-wide information processing. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 24, 416-430 (2023).

1021	63.	Cole MW, Reynolds JR, Power JD, Repovs G, Anticevic A, Braver TS. Multi-
1022		task connectivity reveals flexible hubs for adaptive task control. Nat Neurosci
1023		16 , 1348-1355 (2013).
1024	64.	Zhou Y, Friston KJ, Zeidman P, Chen J, Li S, Razi A. The Hierarchical
1025		Organization of the Default, Dorsal Attention and Salience Networks in
1026		Adolescents and Young Adults. Cereb Cortex 28, 726-737 (2018).
1027	65.	Petersen SE, Posner MI. The attention system of the human brain: 20 years after.
1028		Annu Rev Neurosci 35, 73-89 (2012).
1029	66.	Badre D, Nee DE. Frontal Cortex and the Hierarchical Control of Behavior.
1030		Trends Cogn Sci 22 , 170-188 (2018).
1031	67.	Dixon ML, et al. Heterogeneity within the frontoparietal control network and
1032		its relationship to the default and dorsal attention networks. Proc Natl Acad Sci
1033		<i>USA</i> 115 , E1598-E1607 (2018).
1034	68.	Sydnor VJ, et al. Intrinsic activity development unfolds along a sensorimotor-
1035		association cortical axis in youth. Nat Neurosci 26, 638-649 (2023).
1036	69.	Raz N, et al. Selective aging of the human cerebral cortex observed in vivo:
1037		differential vulnerability of the prefrontal gray matter. Cereb Cortex 7, 268-282
1038		(1997).
1039	70.	Fjell AM, Walhovd KB. Structural brain changes in aging: courses, causes and
1040		cognitive consequences. Rev Neurosci 21, 187-221 (2010).
1041	71.	Kaplan L, Chow BW, Gu C. Neuronal regulation of the blood-brain barrier and
1042		neurovascular coupling. Nat Rev Neurosci 21, 416-432 (2020).
1043	72.	Lipecz A, et al. Age-related impairment of neurovascular coupling responses: a
1044		dynamic vessel analysis (DVA)-based approach to measure decreased flicker
1045		light stimulus-induced retinal arteriolar dilation in healthy older adults.
1046		Geroscience 41, 341-349 (2019).
1047	73.	Zlokovic BV. Neurovascular pathways to neurodegeneration in Alzheimer's
1048		disease and other disorders. Nat Rev Neurosci 12, 723-738 (2011).
1049	74.	Montala-Flaquer M, Canete-Masse C, Vaque-Alcazar L, Bartres-Faz D, Pero-
1050		Cebollero M, Guardia-Olmos J. Spontaneous brain activity in healthy aging: An
1051		overview through fluctuations and regional homogeneity. Front Aging Neurosci
1052		14 , 1002811 (2022).
1053	75.	Cappell KA, Gmeindl L, Reuter-Lorenz PA. Age differences in prefontal
1054		recruitment during verbal working memory maintenance depend on memory
1055		load. Cortex 46, 462-473 (2010).
1056	76.	Zanto TP, Gazzaley A. Cognitive Control and the Ageing Brain. In: The Wiley
1057		Handbook of Cognitive Control (ed Egner T) (2017).
1058	77.	Park DC, Reuter-Lorenz P. The adaptive brain: aging and neurocognitive
1059		scaffolding. Annu Rev Psychol 60, 173-196 (2009).

1060	78.	Hoffman P, Morcom AM. Age-related changes in the neural networks
1061		supporting semantic cognition: A meta-analysis of 47 functional neuroimaging
1062		studies. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 84, 134-150 (2018).
1063	79.	Langenecker SA, Nielson KA, Rao SM. fMRI of healthy older adults during
1064		Stroop interference. NeuroImage 21, 192-200 (2004).
1065	80.	Cabeza R. Hemispheric asymmetry reduction in older adults: the HAROLD
1066		model. Psychol Aging 17, 85-100 (2002).
1067	81.	Dolcos F, Rice HJ, Cabeza R. Hemispheric asymmetry and aging: right
1068		hemisphere decline or asymmetry reduction. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 26, 819-
1069		825 (2002).
1070	82.	Zhang HY, Chen WX, Jiao Y, Xu Y, Zhang XR, Wu JT. Selective vulnerability
1071		related to aging in large-scale resting brain networks. PLoS One 9, e108807
1072		(2014).
1073	83.	Thatcher RW, Walker RA, Giudice S. Human cerebral hemispheres develop at
1074		different rates and ages. Science 236, 1110-1113 (1987).
1075	84.	Cabeza R, et al. Age-related differences in neural activity during memory
1076		encoding and retrieval: a positron emission tomography study. J Neurosci 17,
1077		391-400 (1997).
1078	85.	Grady CL, Bernstein LJ, Beig S, Siegenthaler AL. The effects of encoding task
1079		on age-related differences in the functional neuroanatomy of face memory.
1080		Psychol Aging 17, 7-23 (2002).
1081	86.	Albajes-Eizagirre A, Solanes A, Vieta E, Radua J. Voxel-based meta-analysis
1082		via permutation of subject images (PSI): Theory and implementation for SDM.
1083		Neuroimage 186 , 174-184 (2019).
1084	87.	Sowell ER, Thompson PM, Toga AW. Mapping changes in the human cortex
1085		throughout the span of life. Neuroscientist 10, 372-392 (2004).
1086	88.	Thompson SG, Higgins JP. How should meta-regression analyses be
1087		undertaken and interpreted? Stat Med 21, 1559-1573 (2002).
1088	89.	Cumpston M, et al. Updated guidance for trusted systematic reviews: a new
1089		edition of the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions.
1090		Cochrane Database Syst Rev 10, ED000142 (2019).
1091	90.	Lu J, Yao J, Zhou Z, Wang XT. Age effects on delay discounting across the
1092		lifespan: A meta-analytical approach to theory comparison and model
1093		development. Psychological Bulletin 149, 447-486 (2023).
1094	91.	Page MJ, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting
1095		systematic reviews. 88, 105906 (2021).
1096	92.	Lancaster JL, et al. Bias between MNI and talairach coordinates analyzed using
1097		the ICBM-152 brain template. Human Brain Mapping 28, 1194-1205 (2007).
1098	93.	Turkeltaub PE, Eickhoff SB, Laird AR, Fox M, Wiener M, Fox P. Minimizing
1099		within-experiment and within-group effects in activation likelihood estimation
1100		meta-analyses. Human Brain Mapping 33, 1-13 (2012).

1101	94.	Eickhoff SB, Bzdok D, Laird AR, Kurth F, Fox PT. Activation likelihood
1102		estimation meta-analysis revisited. <i>NeuroImage</i> 59 , 2349-2361 (2012).

- 1103 95. Radua J, Mataix-Cols D. Meta-analytic methods for neuroimaging data
 1104 explained. *Biol Mood Anxiety Disord* 2, 6 (2012).
- Hedges LV. Distribution theory for Glass's estimator of effect size and related
 estimators. *Journal of Educational Statistics* 6, 107-128 (1981).
- 1107 97. Radua J, *et al.* A new meta-analytic method for neuroimaging studies that
 1108 combines reported peak coordinates and statistical parametric maps. *Eur*1109 *Psychiat* 27, 605-611 (2012).
- 1110 98. Egger M, Smith GD, Phillips AN. Meta-analysis: principles and procedures.
 1111 BMJ (Clinical research ed) 315, 1533-1537 (1997).
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in
 meta-analyses. *BMJ (Clinical research ed)* 327, 557-560 (2003).
- 1114 100. Wood SN. mgcv: GAMs and generalized ridge regression for R. *J R news* 1, 201115 25 (2001).
- 1116 101. Zuo XN, He Y, Betzel RF, Colcombe S, Sporns O, Milham MP. Human
 1117 Connectomics across the Life Span. *Trends Cogn Sci* 21, 32-45 (2017).
- 1118 102. Bethlehem RAI, *et al.* Brain charts for the human lifespan. *Nature* 604, 525-533
 1119 (2022).
- 1120 103. Ochieng' Odhiambo F. Comparative Study of Various Methods of Handling
 1121 Missing Data. *Mathematical Modelling and Applications* 5, (2020).
- 1122 104. Sandhu AT, Kohsaka S, Turakhia MP, Lewis EF, Heidenreich PA. Evaluation
 of Quality of Care for US Veterans With Recent-Onset Heart Failure With
 Reduced Ejection Fraction. *JAMA Cardiol* 7, 130-139 (2022).
- 1125 105. Seghier ML. Laterality index in functional MRI: methodological issues. *Magn*1126 *Reson Imaging* 26, 594-601 (2008).
- 1127106.YangG.guochun-yang/Cognitive_Control_Developmental_Trajectory:1128Release_20240711 (Lifespan).). Zenodo (2024).
- 1129