Report

TPLATE complex-dependent endocytosis attenuates CLAVATA1 signaling for shoot apical meristem maintenance

Jie Wang[1,2,3] (iD), Qihang Jiang[1,2] (iD), Roman Pleskot[1,2,4] (iD), Peter Grones[1,2] (iD), Elmehdi Bahafid[5,6] (iD), Grégoire Denay[5,6] (iD), Carlos Galván-Ampudia[7] (iD), Xiangyu Xu[1,2] (iD), Michael Vandorpe[1,2] (iD), Evelien Mylle[1,2], Ive De Smet[1,2] (iD), Teva Vernoux[7] (iD), Rüdiger Simon[5,6] (iD), Moritz K Nowack[1,2] (iD) & Daniel Van Damme[1,2,*] (iD)

Abstract

Endocytosis regulates the turnover of cell surface localized receptors, which are crucial for plants to rapidly respond to stimuli. The evolutionary ancient TPLATE complex (TPC) plays an essential role in endocytosis in Arabidopsis plants. Knockout or knockdown of single TPC subunits causes male sterility and seedling lethality phenotypes, complicating analysis of the roles of TPC during plant development. Partially functional alleles of TPC subunits however only cause mild developmental deviations. Here, we took advantage of the partially functional TPLATE allele, WDXM2, to investigate a role for TPC-dependent endocytosis in receptor-mediated signaling. We discovered that reduced TPC-dependent endocytosis confers a hypersensitivity to very low doses of CLAVATA3 peptide signaling. This hypersensitivity correlated with the abundance of the CLAVATA3 receptor protein kinase CLAVATA1 at the plasma membrane. Genetic and biochemical analysis as well as live-cell imaging revealed that TPC-dependent regulation of CLAVATA3-dependent internalization of CLAVATA1 from the plasma membrane is required for shoot stem cell homeostasis. Our findings provide evidence that TPC-mediated endocytosis and degradation of CLAVATA1 is a mechanism to dampen CLAVATA3-mediated signaling during plant development.

Introduction

Coordinating cellular responses to environmental stimuli largely relies on receptor-like kinases (RLKs) or receptor-like proteins (RLPs) localized on the plasma membrane (PM), that are activated by cognate peptide ligands (Hohmann et al, 2017; Claus et al, 2018; Olsson et al, 2019; Gou & Li, 2020). CLAVATA1(CLV1)-type receptors are one of the most intensively studied groups of plant RLKs, and they are crucial for shoot apical meristem (SAM) and root apical meristem (RAM) maintenance (Clark et al, 1993, 1997; Dievart et al, 2003; DeYoung et al, 2006; Deyoung & Clark, 2008; Stahl et al, 2013). PM abundance and vacuolar targeting of CLV1 depend on the CLAVATA3 (CLV3) peptide (Nimchuk et al, 2011). However, how CLV1 signaling is modulated by its internalization remains unknown (Yamaguchi et al, 2016).

In plants, clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME) is the best-characterized pathway by which cells internalize transporters, receptors, and their bound ligands from PM via transport vesicles (Zhang et al, 2015; Paez Valencia et al, 2016). Internalization of PM localized receptors can occur in a ligand-independent or in a ligand-dependent manner (Nimchuk et al, 2011; Beck et al, 2012; Irani et al, 2012; Ben Khaled et al, 2015; Mbengue et al, 2016; Ortiz-Morea et al, 2016) and serves either to attenuate signaling by vacuolar degradation or to sustain signaling from endosomes (Paez Valencia et al, 2016; Claus et al, 2018).

The heterotetrameric adaptor protein complex 2 (AP-2) and the octameric TPLATE complex (TPC) jointly function as adaptor complexes to execute CME in plants (Di Rubbo et al, 2013; Gadeyne et al, 2014; Zhang et al, 2015). Knockout or strong knockdown of single TPC subunits results in pollen and seedling lethality (Van Damme et al, 2006; Gadeyne et al, 2014; Wang et al, 2019). Mild

1 Department of Plant Biotechnology and Bioinformatics, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium
2 VIB Center for Plant Systems Biology, Ghent, Belgium
3 Tobacco Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Qingdao, China
4 Institute of Experimental Botany, Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic
5 Institute for Developmental Genetics, Heinrich-Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany
6 Cluster of Excellence on Plant Sciences (CEPLAS), Düsseldorf, Germany
7 Laboratoire Reproduction et Développement des Plantes, Univ Lyon, ENS de Lyon, CNRS, INRAE, Lyon, France
*Corresponding author. Tel: +32(0)93313970; E-mail: daniel.vandamme@psb.vib-ugent.be

knockdown of TPC subunits or destabilization of TPC by mutating the evolutionary most conserved domain (the WDX domain) in the TPLATE subunit, however, results in viable plants, allowing to address possible developmental functions for this complex (Van Damme et al, 2006; Bashline et al, 2015; Wang et al, 2021).

In this study, we took advantage of WDX domain-dependent TPC destabilization to explore how reduced TPC-dependent endocytic capacity affects receptor-mediated signaling in plants. We compared the response of control plants ($tplate^{-/-}$ rescued with TPLATE-GFP) with the response of plants expressing the partially functional allele ($tplate^{-/-}$ rescued with WDXM2-GFP) upon exposure to different types of exogenous peptides.

Results and Discussion

Reduced TPC-dependent endocytosis confers hypersensitivity to a subset of CLE peptides

In vitro bioassays comparing root growth in the presence or absence of exogenous peptide ligands provide an easy readout and are widely employed to evaluate how plants respond to peptide-dependent signaling (Hazak et al, 2017; Poncini et al, 2017; Anne et al, 2018; Hu et al, 2018; Breda et al, 2019; Graeff et al, 2020; Blumke et al, 2021). To correlate peptide-dependent receptor signaling with CME capacity, we selected several classes of peptide ligands. CME has been shown to internalize the pattern recognition receptors PEP RECEPTOR1 (PEPR1) and FLAGELLIN SENSING 2 (FLS2), which are the respective receptors of the Arabidopsis thaliana endogenous elicitor peptides (AtPEPs) and the bacterial peptide FLAGELLIN 22 (FLG22) (Mbengue et al, 2016; Ortiz-Morea et al, 2016). We also included the C-TERMINALLY ENCODED PEPTIDE 5 (CEP5), which impacts on primary root length and lateral root initiation via its proposed receptor XYLEM INTERMIXED WITH PHLOEM 1 (XIP1)/CEP RECEPTOR 1 (CEPR1) (Roberts et al, 2016). Finally, we included 14 CLV3/EMBRYO SURROUNDING REGION (CLE) peptides, which are essential for shoot and root meristem maintenance by activating various plasma membrane-bound receptors (Yamaguchi et al, 2016).

TPLATE and WDXM2 rescued seedlings were grown in the presence of different CLE peptides. The majority of the tested CLE peptides, which were applied at nanomolar concentrations, elicited a similar response in WDXM2 and TPLATE seedlings (Fig EV1A and B). However, we observed a strong hypersensitivity of WDXM2 seedlings to CLV3, CLE10, and CLE40 (Fig EV1A and B). CLE40 is the closest homolog of CLV3 in Arabidopsis, and both peptides are crucial for root and shoot meristem maintenance (Clark et al, 1995; Fletcher et al, 1999; Brand et al, 2000; Hobe et al, 2003; Ito et al, 2006; Stahl et al, 2009, 2013; Yamaguchi et al, 2016; Schlegel et al, 2021). The specific hypersensitivity of the WDXM2-expressing seedlings to these two closely related peptides hinted toward a connection between TPC-dependent endocytosis and CLV1-type receptor signaling.

We subsequently treated TPLATE and WDXM2 rescued plants with CLV3, CLE40 at a concentration of 10 nM as well as with different doses of FLG22, AtPEP1, and CEP5 peptides, previously shown to affect root growth (Poncini et al, 2017), and we compared the effect between our two backgrounds that differ in their

endocytic capacity (Wang et al, 2021). After a 5-day exposure, both WDXM2 and TPLATE seedlings, grown in the presence of the peptides, showed reduced root growth compared to the control situation, indicating that they responded to the treatments. In contrast to the clearly differential effect observed for CLV3 and CLE40 (Fig 1A and B), both backgrounds responded similarly to FLG22 treatment and only a slight but statistically significant difference was found in response to the low dose of AtPEP1 but not to the higher dose (Fig 1C and D). We also did not observe any differential response between TPLATE and WDXM2 rescued plants to both low and high doses of CEP5, although the latter severely reduced root growth (Fig 1C and D). These results indicate that the differential endocytic capacity between both backgrounds elicits hypersensitivity to CLE peptides, but that the mild endocytic flux difference between both backgrounds is insufficient to generate a differential developmental effect due to FLG22-, AtPEP1-, or CEP5-dependent receptor signaling at the concentrations used. We conclude that regulatory mechanisms controlling the activity of those receptors remain sufficiently active in both genetic backgrounds.

To independently confirm the observed hypersensitivity to CLV3 and CLE40, we tested another genetic background affected in TPC function. The twd40-2-3 mutant is a mild knockdown allele of the TPC subunit TWD40-2 (Bashline et al, 2015). Similar to our partially functional WDXM2 allele, twd40-2-3 mutant plants also exhibited a hypersensitive response to low doses of CLV3 and CLE40 treatment (Fig EV2A and B). Altogether, these results revealed that reduced TPC-dependent endocytosis enhances CLV3 and CLE40 signaling in Arabidopsis roots.

TPC-dependent endocytosis contributes to SAM maintenance through the WUSCHEL signaling pathway

Next to root meristem maintenance, CLV3-dependent signaling is also essential to maintain SAM homeostasis. Long-term synthetic CLV3 peptide treatment dampens cell proliferation and thus consumes the SAM (Ishida et al, 2014; Hu et al, 2018). To investigate the importance of TPC-dependent endocytosis for SAM maintenance, we compared the sensitivity of TPLATE and WDXM2 rescued plants to long-term CLV3 peptide treatment. Seedling morphologies indicated that TPLATE and WDXM2 seedlings were equally capable of maintaining their SAM in the presence of very low doses of exogenous CLV3 peptides (10 nM), even during long-term treatment (Fig 2A and B). However, higher concentrations (100 nM and 1 μM) of CLV3 revealed hypersensitivity of WDXM2 seedlings and increasingly caused SAM termination in independent mutant WDXM2 lines (Figs 2A and B, and EV3A and B). The hypersensitivity of WDXM2 plants to CLV3 further correlated with the protein levels of the complementation constructs in the rescued tplate mutant lines (Fig EV3C). These results suggest that TPC-dependent endocytic deficiency causes a dose-dependent hypersensitivity to CLV3-dependent receptor signaling.

In the SAM, CLV3 signaling functions in a negative feedback circuit to dampen stem cell proliferation by regulating the expression of the homeodomain transcription factor WUSCHEL (WUS) (Hazak & Hardtke, 2016; Yamaguchi et al, 2016; Kitagawa & Jackson, 2019). To further examine whether TPC-dependent endocytosis is involved in the CLV–WUS feedback loop to regulate SAM homeostasis, we

Figure 1. Impaired TPC-dependent endocytic capacity confers hypersensitivity to CLV3 and CLE40 peptides.

A, B   Representative images and quantification of the root growth ratios of TPLATE_1 and WDXM2_1 seedlings (see Table EV1 for the specifications of the lines) treated with or without (Con) low doses of CLV3 or CLE40 peptides.

C, D   Representative images and quantification of the root growth ratios of TPLATE_1 and WDXM2_1 seedlings treated with or without (Con) different doses of FLG22, CEP5, and AtPEP1 peptides.

Data information: 5-day-old seedlings grown vertically on ½ MS medium plate were transferred to freshly prepared ½ MS medium plates supplemented with or without low doses of peptides and grown vertically for an extra 5 days. For each individual root, the primary root length after the transfer was divided by the root length of the seedling before the transfer. In (B) and (D), the numbers at the bottom of the box plot and jitter box graphs represent the number of individual roots measured. The box plot extends from the 25th to 75th percentiles. The line inside the box marks the median. The whiskers go down and up to the 95% percentile. The red dots mark the average. Differences as compared to TPLATE rescued lines are indicated (selected pairs from Welch's ANOVA *post hoc* pairwise comparison with the Tukey contrasts); N.S., no significant difference; *$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$; ***$P < 0.001$. The data represented results from at least four sets of seedlings grown on separate plates. Scale bar in (A) and (C) = 1 cm.

Source data are available online for this figure.

Figure 2. Impaired TPC-dependent endocytic capacity confers hypersensitivity to CLV3 in SAM.

A   Phenotypic comparison of 3- to 4-week-old TPLATE_1 and WDXM2_1 rosette stage plants grown on ½ MS with or without different doses of CLV3 peptide. Magenta arrows indicate terminated SAMs. Scale bar = 1 cm.

B   Quantification of the amount of terminated shoot apical meristems in relation to the dose of CLV3 applied. The number of plants used for the quantification is indicated at the top of the bar chart.

C, D   Representative images (C) and quantification (D) of *WUS::GUS* expression in the vegetative SAMs of 3-day-old TPLATE_1 and WDXM2_1 seedlings treated with or without different doses of CLV3 peptide. Intermediate (blue arrowhead) and weak (cyan arrowhead) *WUS* expression is indicated in the SAMs of WDXM2_1 seedlings after CLV3 treatment. Scale bar = 50 μm. *WUS* expression after CLV3 treatment was visually scored and quantified. The numbers of seedlings analyzed are indicated at the top of the bar chart.

Data information: The data represented in panel (B) result from at least five sets of seedlings grown on separate plates. The data represented in panel (D) are the combination of two independent repetitions.

Source data are available online for this figure.

analyzed the expression patterns of *WUS* in TPLATE and WDXM2 rescued plants following a 3-day CLV3 peptide treatment. Both 10 and 100 nM CLV3 peptide treatment did not visibly impair *WUS* promoter activity in TPLATE vegetative SAMs at the seedling level compared to control conditions as visualized by GUS staining (Fig 2C and D). In WDXM2 vegetative SAMs, however, CLV3 application dampened *WUS* expression in a dose-dependent manner (Fig 2C and D), which is coherent with the terminated SAM phenotype observed at the rosette stage level upon prolonged treatment (Fig 2A and B). These findings reveal that TPC-dependent endocytosis is involved in the regulation of CLV3-WUS signaling in the SAM.

TPC-dependent endocytosis internalizes CLV1 to dampen CLV3-dependent signaling

The receptor kinase CLV1 signals in response to CLV3 and plays a central role in shoot meristem maintenance (Clark *et al*, 1997; Fletcher *et al*, 1999; Brand *et al*, 2000; Ogawa *et al*, 2008; Shinohara & Matsubayashi, 2015; Somssich *et al*, 2015). CLV1 levels increase at PM in the absence of CLV3 and accumulate in the vacuole in the presence of CLV3 (Nimchuk *et al*, 2011). CLV3-induced vacuolar accumulation of CLV1 suggests a negative regulation of CLV3/CLV1 signaling by internalization, yet this hypothesis remains to be experimentally tested (Yamaguchi *et al*, 2016).

Figure 3. CLV1 loss-of-function dampens CLV3 hypersensitivity in the SAMs of WDXM2 rescued plants.

A   Phenotypic comparisons of 3- to 4-week-old TPLATE_1 and WDXM2_1 plants as well as combinations of these with the *clv1* null (*clv1-101*) or dominant negative (*clv1-8*) mutant backgrounds under control conditions or in the presence of 100 nM exogenous CLV3 peptide. The magenta arrowhead indicates a terminated SAM. Scale bar = 1 cm.

B   Quantification of the amount of terminated meristems in relation to the dose of CLV3 peptide applied. Numbers of plants used for quantification are indicated at the top of the bar chart.

C   Box plot and jitter box representation of the quantification of the number of leaves produced by WDXM2, WDXM2/*clv1-101*, and WDXM2/*clv1-8* plants grown *in vitro* on medium supplemented with 100 nM CLV3. Numbers of biological samples are indicated at the bottom of the box plot and jitter box graphs. The box plot extends from the 25th to 75th percentiles. The line inside the box marks the median. The whiskers go down and up to the 95% percentile. The red dots mark the average. Letters (a–c) represent significantly different groups ($P < 0.001$) evaluated by Welch's ANOVA *post hoc* pairwise comparison with the Tukey contrasts.

Data information: The data represented in panel (B) result from at least six sets of seedlings grown on separate plates. The data in panel (C) are based on a random selection of 3–4 plates from panel (B).

Source data are available online for this figure.

To characterize whether TPC-dependent endocytosis functions in CLV1 internalization, we evaluated whether the response of TPLATE and WDXM2 rescued plants to CLV3 treatment depended on the presence of CLV1. Combining the *clv1-101* null allele (Kinoshita *et al*, 2010) with our TPLATE and WDXM2 rescued plants largely suppressed the hypersensitivity to exogenous CLV3 leading to SAM termination in WDXM2, although not completely (Fig 3A and B). Combining the strong and dominant-negative *clv1* mutant allele *clv1-8* (Clark *et al*, 1997; Dievart *et al*, 2003) restored SAM maintenance in WDXM2 in the presence of 100 nM CLV3 (Fig 3A and B). The differential effect of exogenous CLV3 on SAM activity between WDXM2, WDXM2/*clv1-101*, and WDXM2/*clv1-8*

was also apparent in the number of leaves that the plants produced (Fig 3C).

These results reveal that CLV1 predominantly contributes to the hypersensitivity of CLV3-dependent signaling in WDXM2 mutant plants. The different capacity of the *clv1-101* null allele and the *clv1-8* dominant negative allele to reduce the sensitivity of WDXM2 to CLV3 is likely attributed to genetic redundancy within the CLV1 receptor family (DeYoung *et al*, 2006; Deyoung & Clark, 2008; Nimchuk *et al*, 2015; Shinohara & Matsubayashi, 2015; Nimchuk, 2017).

The WDXM mutation destabilizes TPC and thereby negatively affects endocytic capacity (Wang *et al*, 2021). The entire TPC complex is required to execute CME at PM (Gadeyne *et al*, 2014; Wang

Figure 4. Reduced TPC-dependent endocytic capacity impairs internalization of CLV1 from the PM in SAM cells.

Confocal images and quantification of Arabidopsis seedlings showing enhanced PM localization of CLV1-GFP in WDXM2_3 vegetative meristems compared to vegetative meristems of TPLATE_3 lines with or without exogenous CLV3 (100 nM) in the growing medium from germination onward. Left panels are merged channels (GFP and PI), and right panels are GFP-only channels represented via an intensity scale. Scale bar = 20 μm. The box plot and jitter box representation graph shows the average fluorescence intensity (8-bit gray values) of CLV1 over the entire SAM (indicated by a dotted line). The box plot extends from the 25th to 75th percentiles. The line inside the box marks the median. The whiskers go down and up to the 95% percentile. The red dots mark the average. Numbers of biological samples from two repeats are indicated at the bottom of the box plot and jitter box graphs. Differences of CLV1-GFP intensity between WDXM2_3 and TPLATE_3 lines under both conditions were evaluated by Welch's ANOVA *post hoc* pairwise comparison with the Tukey contrasts. Letters (a–c) represent significant difference between groups (a–c; $P < 0.001$). The quantification is a combination of two independent experiments for each genotype and treatment.

Source data are available online for this figure.

et al, 2020, 2021; Johnson *et al*, 2021; Yperman *et al*, 2021b) and destabilizing TPC in WDXM2 rescued plants impairs endocytic capacity while it does not affect recruitment of the two AtEH/Pan1 subunits at PM, which are involved in promoting autophagy (Wang *et al*, 2019, 2021). It is therefore likely that the CLV1-dependent hypersensitivity to CLV3 is linked to altered endocytosis of CLV1 in WDXM2. CLV1 is a master regulator of flower development (Clark *et al*, 1997; Schoof *et al*, 2000). Both TPLATE and WDXM2 are expressed in the inflorescence meristem at roughly similar levels, although in these tissues, WDXM2 appears to be slightly less PM-associated compared to TPLATE (Fig EV4A). CLV1 undergoes CLV3-mediated degradation in inflorescence meristems upon induction of *CLV3* expression in the *clv3-2* mutant background (Nimchuk *et al*, 2011). We subsequently addressed whether we could visualize ligand-dependent degradation of CLV1 in our background. Live-cell imaging revealed a strong vacuolar accumulation of CLV1-GFP in the inflorescence meristem of the control background (TPLATE_3) upon short time treatment with exogenous CLV3 peptide as well as a clear increase in vacuolar flux visualized by an increased ratio of free GFP over full-length protein, which is a proxy for degradation, in the presence of CLV3. Moreover, our live-cell imaging and biochemical analysis also showed that CLV1 degradation was dampened in inflorescence meristems in the WDXM2_3 background (Fig EV4B and C). In agreement with reduced internalization and degradation of CLV1 in WDXM2 inflorescence meristems, our live imaging analysis in vegetative meristems clearly showed increased levels of CLV1-GFP in WDXM2 rescued plants compared to control plants (Fig 4).

In vegetative meristems and in the presence of endogenous levels of CLV3 however, signal intensities of CLV1 varied before and after exogenous CLV3 application. Live-cell imaging of the same vegetative meristem before and after CLV3 addition (Fig EV5A) as well as quantification of treated and untreated meristems however revealed that CLV1 levels significantly reduced upon long-term (present in the medium from germination onward; Fig 4) or short-term (10 and 30 min; Fig EV5B–E) exogenous CLV3 application in TPLATE seedlings, while this was not the case in WDXM2 seedlings (Figs 4 and EV5B–E).

These results strongly correlate the endocytosis deficiency in WDXM2 with impaired internalization of CLV1 in inflorescence and in vegetative meristems. Increased CLV1 levels at PM are also in accordance with the fact that WDXM2 rescued plants are hypersensitive to CLV3 peptide treatment, which correlates with strongly reduced *WUS* levels and therefore likely increased CLV1-mediated transcriptional repression (Figs 2 and 3). Despite this hypersensitivity, vegetative SAMs in WDXM2 appear enlarged compared to those in TPLATE control seedlings (Figs 4 and EV5). How this relates to the abundance of CLV1 at PM and to altered *WUS* levels remains to be determined.

To establish a direct link between CLV1 and TPC, we examined the interaction between TPC and CLV1. TPC, visualized using an antibody against TPLATE, specifically co-purified with CLV1 in Arabidopsis seedlings when CLV1-2xGFP was used as bait (Fig 5A). Next, we aimed to confirm this interaction and to determine which adaptor complex subunits were involved. Tyrosine motif-based cargo recognition involves the medium subunit of the adaptor protein 2 complex, AP-2 M (Arora & Van Damme, 2021), whose

counterpart in TPC is the TML subunit. Furthermore, TPLATE co-purified with CLV1 (Fig 5A) and AtEH1/Pan1 was shown to interact with cargo (Yperman *et al*, 2021a). We therefore selected these proteins for ratiometric bimolecular fluorescence complementation (rBiFC) in *N. benthamiana*. Similar to previous experiments, the shaggy-like kinase BIN2 served as negative control (Arora *et al*, 2020). We could not visualize interaction between CLV1 and TPLATE, TML, or AP-2 M in this system (Fig 5B and C). Our

confocal analysis, however, clearly linked CLV1 to the plant-specific TPC subunit AtEH1/Pan1 (Gadeyne *et al*, 2014; Hirst *et al*, 2014) in the presence and absence of exogenous CLV3 peptide (Fig 5B and C). The interaction between CLV1 and AtEH1/Pan1 was further assessed via yeast-two-hybrid (Y2H) using the cytoplasmic part of CLV1 and the N-terminal part of AtEH1/Pan1 ending just after the second EH domain (Yperman *et al*, 2021b). In total, 24 independent double transformations, combining CLV1 with AtEH1/Pan1, CLV1


Figure 5.

◀

with empty vector control, or AtEH1/Pan1 with empty vector control, were compared, alongside 8 double transformations of the empty vector control and the p53-SV40 positive control (Fig 5D and E). The results clearly show a specific interaction between CLV1 and AtEH1/Pan1 (Fig 5D and E). Both rBiFC and Y2H therefore clearly link the cytoplasmic part of CLV1 to the N-terminal part of AtEH1/Pan1. The N-terminal located EH domains of AtEH1/Pan1 were previously also shown to be involved in membrane recruitment of TPC as well as in the internalization of the Secretory Carrier Membrane Protein 5 (SCAMP5) via its double NPF motif (Johnson et al, 2021; Yperman et al, 2021a). CLV1, in contrast to SCAMP5, does however not contain obvious NPF motifs. How CLV1 is recognized by AtEH1/Pan1 therefore remains to be determined.

Taken together, our findings reveal that the hypersensitivity of WDXM2 rescued plants to CLV3 is most likely a consequence of sustained signaling from the PM, which is caused by impaired internalization of CLV1 due to reduced TPC-dependent endocytosis. TPC-dependent endocytosis, therefore, serves to internalize CLV1 to attenuate CLV3 signaling to prevent meristem termination. Our work thus identifies TPC-dependent CME as a mechanism to control the availability of CLV1 at the PM and to tune the activity of the shoot stem cell niche during plant development.

Materials and Methods

Molecular cloning

mSCARLET (Bindels et al, 2017) was amplified with a stop codon from plasmid pEB2-mSCARLET (Addgene, 104006), introduced into pDONRP2R-P3 via a Gateway BP reaction (Invitrogen), and confirmed by sequencing. To generate mSCARLET-fused expression constructs of TPLATE and WDXM2, the pDONR221-TPLATE and pDONR221-WDXM2 motif substituted entry clones (Wang et al, 2021) were combined with pHm34GW (Karimi et al, 2007), pDONRP4-P1r-Lat52 (Van Damme et al, 2006), and pDONRP2R-P3-mSCARLET in triple gateway LR reactions (Invitrogen).

The pBiFCt-2in1 BiFC vectors, which allow quantification of the observed bimolecular YPF fluorescence complementation by measuring the ratio between the intensity of the YPF signal for a specific pair of interacting proteins and the intensity of the constitutively expressed RFP which is present on the backbone of the vector, were used to generate CLV1 related rBiFC constructs (Grefen & Blatt, 2012). The CLV1 entry clone for rBiFC reactions was amplified from a published plasmid (Schlegel et al, 2021), while TPLATE, TML, AtEH1/Pan1, and AP2M were obtained from previously reported rBiFC experiments (Arora et al, 2020; Liu et al, 2020; Yperman et al, 2021a). Entry clones were assembled in an empty rBiFC destination vector (pBiFCt-2in1-CC, Addgene 105114 or pBiFCt-2in1-NC, Addgene 105112) with a Gateway LR recombination reaction and selected using LB containing spectinomycin and XgalI. The final rBiFC vectors were checked by restriction digestion and sequencing of the recombination borders. For Y2H, the N-terminal domain of AtEH1/Pan1 (AA 1–527) was amplified using the following primer pairs (AtEH1_1-527_GBD_F GCCATGGAGGCC-GAATTCCCAATGGCGGGTCAGAATCCTAACATGG and AtEH1_1-527_GBD_R CTGCAGGTCGACGGATCCCCTTATGCAGAATATC-CATT ACCTAGGTGATTAGC) and cloned into the pGBKT7 vector (Clontech). The cytoplasmic part of CLV1 (AA 671–980, corresponding to the end of the transmembrane helix, from amino acids LAWKL to the end of the UniProt sequence Q9SYQ8), was amplified using following primer pairs (CLV1_671-980_GAD_F GAGGCCAGT-GAATTCCACCCACTCG CCTGGAAACTAACCGCCTTC and CLV1_671-980_GAD_R TCCCGTATCGATGCCC ACCCTTAGAACGC-GATCAAGTTCGCCACGG) and cloned into pGADT7 (Clontech). Both vectors were generated via Gibson assembly following SmaI-dependent linearization of the vectors. Plasmids were verified using sequencing.

Arabidopsis transgenic lines and growth conditions

All plant materials used in this research are in the Columbia-0 (Col-0) ecotype background. Information on plant materials is listed in Table EV1. To generate the mSCARLET fusions of transgenic lines, tplate heterozygous mutant plants were identified by genotyping PCR and were transformed with expression constructs of TPLATE and WDXM2 fused to mSCARLET under the control of LAT52 promoter as described before (Van Damme et al, 2006; Wang

et al, 2021; Yperman et al, 2021b). Primary transformants were selected with Hygromycin, and those carrying the *tplate* T-DNA insertion were identified via genotyping PCR. The rescued lines in the T2 generation were further genotyped to identify homozygous *tplate* mutants (Wang et al, 2021).

For all the crosses, the same reporter line or mutant plant was used as male to cross with TPLATE and WDXM2 rescued lines respectively. The *pWUS::GUS* (Su et al, 2009) reporter line was crossed into TPLATE_1 and WDXM2_1 rescued mutant backgrounds. In the progeny, F2 plants were genotyped to obtain homozygous *tplate* mutant backgrounds. The F3 or F4 generation plants were screened to identify homozygous plants for *pWUS::GUS* expression by GUS staining. The *clv1* null mutant *clv1-101* (Kinoshita et al, 2010) and the dominant-negative *clv1-8* mutant (Dievart et al, 2003) were crossed into the TPLATE_1 and WDXM2_1 rescued lines. The F2 or F3 generation plants were genotyped or sequenced to identify the *tplate/clv1-101* or *tplate/clv1-8* double mutant backgrounds. To introduce the CLV1 marker line into TPLATE and WDXM2 rescued lines, a wild-type Col-0 plant expressing the functional pCLV1::CLV1-GFP (Schlegel et al, 2021) was backcrossed to Col-0, and a single locus expression F2 line was identified by segregation using Basta (20 mg/l) selection. Then, the F2 Basta-resistant CLV1-GFP expressing plant was used to cross with the TPLATE_3 and WDXM2_3 rescued plants. In the progeny, plants homozygous for the *tplate* mutant background were identified by genotyping PCR while homozygous expression of CLV1-GFP was selected by segregation on BASTA. For co-IP experiments, the pCLV1::CLV1-2xGFP line was used (Nimchuk et al, 2011).

Seeds were sterilized by chlorine gas sterilization and sown on ½ MS medium plates without sugar following a 3-day vernalization period at 4°C. Seedlings were grown in a growth chamber under continuous light conditions at 21°C.

Phenotypic analysis

Sequences of CLE peptides described before (Yamaguchi et al, 2016) were ordered from GenScript. Information on the peptides is listed in Table EV2. For shoot treatments, seedlings were grown horizontally on ½ MS medium supplemented with or without the indicated concentration of CLV3 peptide for 3 weeks. Plants with terminated shoots were counted manually. For root growth assays, seedlings were initially grown on ½ MS medium supplemented with or without CLE peptides for a certain duration (data depicted in Fig EV1). For the FLG22, AtPEP, CEB5, CLV3, and CLE40 peptides depicted in Figs 1 and 2, seedlings were grown on ½ MS plates and then transferred to plates with and without the indicated amount of peptides. Plates with seedlings were scanned, and root lengths were measured with the Fiji software package (https://imagej.net/software/fiji/) equipped with the NeuronJ plugin (Meijering et al, 2004). Quantification of the number of leaves in Fig 3C was done manually using the cell counter plugin in Fiji.

GUS staining

GUS staining was performed as described before (Lammens et al, 2008). Seedlings (3 days after putting the plates in continuous light, that is roughly 1 day after germination) expressing *pWUS::GUS* grown on ½ MS with or without CLV3 peptide were harvested and incubated with 80% cold acetone for 30 min. After that, seedlings were washed with phosphate buffer (pH = 7.2), incubated in GUS staining solution (1 mg/ml of 5-bromo-4-chromo-3-indolyl β-D-glucuronide, 2 mM ferricyanide, and 0.5 mM ferrocyanide in 100 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.2) and kept at 37°C in the dark for 3 h. After GUS staining, seedlings were cleared with lactic acid and visualized between slide and coverslip on a BX51 light microscope (Olympus) using a 10× or 20× magnification.

Nicotiana benthamiana infiltration

Three- to four-week-old *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants grown in greenhouse under long-day conditions (06–22 h light, 100 PAR, 21°C) were used for infiltration as described before (Arora et al, 2020). Three days after infiltration, *N. benthamiana* leaves were imaged with an SP8X confocal microscope. CLV3 peptide (1 μM) in infiltration buffer (10 mM MgCl₂ and 10 mM MES, pH 5.6) was applied via leaf infiltration. After 5 min incubation, the injected samples were imaged within 30 min.

Live-cell imaging and analysis

A Leica SP8X confocal microscope equipped with a white laser was used for all confocal imaging via a 40× (HC PL APO CS2, NA = 1.10) water-immersion corrected objective except the flower meristem imaging.

Ratiometric bimolecular fluorescence complementation images were acquired with hybrid detectors (HyDTM) using a time-gated window between 0.3 and 6.0 ns and in line sequential mode. YFP signals were acquired using WLL 514 nm excitation and an emission window of 520–550 nm, and RFP signals were detected using WLL 561 nm excitation and an emission window of 580–650 nm. All images were taken using the same settings for YFP and RFP detection and saturation was avoided in order not to interfere with the ratiometric quantification.

For CLV1-GFP imaging in vegetative SAMs in Figs 4 and EV5B–E, seeds expressing CLV1-GFP in TPLATE and WDXM2 rescued mutant backgrounds were germinated on ½ MS plates supplemented with or without 100 nM of CLV3 peptide. Seedlings were imaged following 3-days after putting the plates in continuous light, which roughly equals 1 day after germination. For CLV1-GFP imaging upon short-term CLV3 peptide treatment in Fig EV5, seedlings grown on ½ MS plates (3 days in light) were used. After removal of the cotyledons, seedlings were incubated in ½ MS medium containing 1 μM CLV3 peptide and 0.1% Tween 20 (v/v) for 10 or 30 min and washed with water shortly three times. Prior to imaging, seedlings expressing CLV1-GFP were stained with PI solution (10 μg/ml) for 1–2 min. The HyDTM were employed to image PI (excitation at 561 nm, emission between 600 and 700 nm) and CLV1-GFP (excitation at 488 nm, emission between 500 and 540 nm) without (PI) or with (GFP) a time-gated window between 0.3 and 6.0 ns. To achieve sufficient signal when imaging CLV1-GFP in the vegetative SAMs of TPLATE-3 and WDXM2_3 seedlings, accumulative imaging was used. Images were acquired using eight times line accumulation and two times frame averaging.

For the flower SAM imaging in Fig EV4A, Arabidopsis plants were grown in soil for 4 weeks at 21°C under long day condition

(16 h light:8 h dark, LED 150 μmol/m²/s). Primary inflorescence shoot apical meristems were dissected, mounted in ACM, and then stained with 100 μM propidium iodide (PI; Merck) for 5 min prior to imaging (Brunoud *et al*, 2020). Meristems were imaged with a Zeiss LSM 710 spectral microscope using the following settings: GFP (excitation at 488 nm, emission between 510 and 558 nm) and propidium iodide (excitation 488 nm, emission between 605 and 650 nm).

For CLV1-GFP imaging in vegetative SAMs in Fig EV5A, vegetative shoot apices at 3 DAG were manually dissected under a stereo microscope by removing the leaf primordia. The cell wall was stained with propidium iodide (PI). After removal of the leaf primordia, vegetative SAMs were treated with ½ MS medium containing 1 μM CLV3 peptide and 0.1% Tween 20 and imaged at 0 min and 30 min after treatment. Z-stacks of vegetative SAMs were acquired using a Zeiss LSM 780 confocal microscope (40× water immersion objective, Zeiss C-PlanApo, NA 1.2). GFP was excited with an Argon laser at 488 nm and emission was detected using a 490–530 nm window. PI was excited at 561 nm by a DPSS laser and detected using a 590–650 nm window.

For the flower SAM imaging in Fig EV4B, IFMs from the pCLV1: CLV1-GFP reporter line were dipped for 1 min into a peptide solution containing 100 μM CLV3 peptide, 0.1% DMSO, and 0.01% Silwet and then incubated for 30 min before imaging. For the mock treatment, IFMs were dipped for 1 min into a solution containing 0.1% DMSO and 0.01% Silwet and then incubated for 30 min before imaging.

To image IFMs, inflorescences were cut off and fixed to double-sided adhesive tape on an objective slide and then dissected. The cell walls were stained with 5 mM PI for 2 min. Inflorescences were then washed three times with water and covered with a cover slide before being placed under the microscope. All IFM imaging was acquired using a Zeiss LSM 880 confocal microscope equipped with a 40× water immersion objective (Zeiss C-PlanApo, NA 1.2). GFP was excited with an Argon laser at 488 nm, and emission was detected using a 490–530 nm window. PI was excited at 561 nm by a DPSS laser and detected using a 590–650 nm window.

The quantification of rBiFC and SAM images was performed using Fiji. For rBiFC, a region of interest (ROI) on PM of the cells was selected, and the intensities of YFP and RFP signals were measured. The ratios between YFP and RFP signals per cell were then calculated and plotted. For the quantification of CLV1-GFP in TPLATE and WDXM2 vegetative SAMs, a region of interest (ROI) covering the meristem was defined and the CLV1-GFP signal intensities were measured. Only images with less than 1% saturated pixels were quantified. The histogram function in Fiji was used to generate intensity values (8-bit gray values) for each pixel, and the top 10% highest intensity pixels were used to calculate the mean fluorescence intensities using an in-house designed script in Microsoft Excel. Using a selection of the strongest intensity pixels for the calculations omits background noise that otherwise reduces the average fluorescence intensities of the quantifications and follows from the rationale that the fluorescence is linked to the endomembrane system and therefore not continuously present throughout the selected ROI. Similar approaches are also used to calculate ratios of endocytic flux between PM and endosomal compartments (Dejonghe *et al*, 2016; Mishev *et al*, 2018).

Protein extraction and Western blotting

Arabidopsis seedlings were grown for 5 days on ½ MS medium without sugar under continuous light conditions. Seedlings were harvested, flash-frozen, and ground in liquid nitrogen. Proteins were extracted in a 1:1 ratio, buffer (ml):seedlings (g), in HB+ buffer, as described before (Van Leene *et al*, 2015). Protein extracts were incubated for 30 min at 4°C on a rotating wheel before spinning down twice at 20,000 *g* for 20 min. The supernatant concentration was measured using the Bradford Protein Assay (Invitrogen), and equal amounts of proteins were loaded on 4–20% gradient gels (Bio-Rad). Gels were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes using the Trans-Blot Turbo system (Bio-Rad). Blots were incubated with α-TPLATE appendage antibodies (rabbit) (Dejonghe *et al*, 2019) and imaged on a ChemiDoc Imaging System (Bio-Rad).

Co-immunoprecipitation

For experiments performed on 5-day-old seedlings, entire seedlings of Col-0, pCLV1::CLV1-2xGFP, and 35S::eGFP were ground to a fine powder using liquid nitrogen. For experiments performed on inflorescence meristems of lines expressing pCLV1::CLV1-GFP in TPLATE_3 and WDXM2_3 backgrounds, the inflorescence meristems were dipped into a solution of 100 μM CLV3 peptide and 0.01% Silwet L-77. After 30 min, the flower meristems were harvested and ground to a fine powder using liquid nitrogen. Finely ground material was suspended in homogenization extraction buffer [150 mM Tris–HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, 1 mM sodium molybdate, 1 mM NaF, 10 mM DTT, 1% IGEPAL CA-630 (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) with Complete Ultra EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Tablets (Roche, Switzerland; 1 tablet per 10 ml)]. After 30 min of rotation at 4°C, cell debris was removed from the samples by centrifugation for 15 min at 2,000 *g* at 4°C. Supernatant was transferred to a new tube through Miracloth (Millipore Sigma, USA). Then, 50 μl pre-equilibrated GFP-Trap®_MA beads (ChromoTek, Germany) was added into each sample, and samples were incubated for 2 h at 4°C to maximize the protein binding. Afterward, the beads were washed two times with wash buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl). Protein was eluted from the beads by adding Laemmli sample buffer (Bio-Rad, Laboratories, Inc., USA), Sample Reducing Agent (Invitrogen, USA) and incubating at 70°C for 10 min.

The proteins were separated on 4–15% SDS–PAGE stain-free protein gel (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., USA), followed by transferring onto a Trans-Blot® Turbo™ Mini PVDF Transfer Packs (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., USA). After blocking with 5% Skim Milk (Difco, USA) for 1 h at room temperature, blots were incubated with α GFP-HRP (ChromoTek, Germany) (1:2,000) or α TPLATE2 (rabbit) (Dejonghe *et al*, 2019) overnight at 4°C. Imaging was done using Chemiluminescent substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) and detected by ChemiDoc™ MP Imaging System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., USA).

The quantification of the band intensities on the WB from the CLV1 IP (Fig EV4C) was performed using ImageJ, by marking the region of the band with an ROI and measuring the mean signal intensity.

Yeast two-hybrid analysis

The N-terminal part of AtEH1/Pan1 (AA 1–527) up to the coiled-coil domain in pGBKT7 and the cytoplasmic part of CLV1 (AA 671–980) in pGADT7 were combined with each other and with empty control plasmids using the Matchmaker™ Gold Yeast Two-Hybrid System (Clontech). The vectors were co-transformed into the Y2Hgold MATa Yeast strain. Auto-activation was tested by co-transforming each vector with the corresponding empty pGADT7 and pGBKT7 vectors. The empty pGADT7 and pGBKT7 were also co-transformed as a negative control and as a positive control, we used the pGADT7-SV40 T-Ag and pGBKT7-p53 supplied with the Matchmaker system (Clontech).

Colonies of double-transformed yeasts were first selected on SD-Leu-Trp plates. After 3 days at 30°C, colonies were picked and grown for 3 days in liquid-Leu–Trp medium at 30°C 200 rpm. Fully grown cultures were diluted 1/5 in -L-T-H and 10 μl was spotted on SD-Leu-Trp and SD-Leu-Trp-His plates. Pictures were taken after 3 days at 30°C.

Statistical analysis

The R package in R studio (www.rstudio.com) was used. Data were tested for normality and heteroscedasticity, after which the multcomp package was used (Herberich *et al*, 2010).

Data availability

This study includes no data deposited in external repositories. Data used for quantifications as well as full Western blots can be found in the source data file. All material will be made available upon reasonable request to the corresponding author (daniel.vandamme@psb.vib-ugent.be).

Expanded View for this article is available online.

Acknowledgements

Author contributions

Jie Wang: Conceptualization; formal analysis; validation; investigation; methodology; writing – original draft; writing – review and editing. **Qihang Jiang:** Formal analysis; visualization; methodology; writing – review and editing. **Roman Pleskot:** Conceptualization; data curation; software; supervision; methodology; writing – original draft; writing – review and editing. **Peter Grones:** Conceptualization; formal analysis; supervision; methodology; writing – review and editing. **Elmehdi Bahafid:** Conceptualization; resources; formal analysis; methodology; writing – review and editing. **Gregoire Denay:** Resources. **Carlos Galván-Ampudia:** Resources; formal analysis; methodology; writing – review and editing. **Xiangyu Xu:** Resources; formal analysis; methodology; writing – review and editing. **Michael Vandorpe:** Conceptualization; formal analysis; validation; investigation; methodology; writing – review and editing. **Evelien Mylle:** Formal analysis; validation; methodology; writing – review and editing. **Ive De Smet:** Resources; supervision; funding acquisition; methodology; writing – review and editing. **Teva Vernoux:** Conceptualization; resources; data curation; supervision; writing – review and editing. **Rüdiger Simon:** Resources; supervision; methodology; writing – review and editing. **Moritz K Nowack:** Resources; supervision; funding acquisition; writing – review and editing. **Daniel Van Damme:** Conceptualization; resources; supervision; funding acquisition; writing – original draft; project administration; writing – review and editing.

Disclosure and competing interests statement

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

Anne P, Amiguet-Vercher A, Brandt B, Kalmbach L, Geldner N, Hothorn M, Hardtke CS (2018) CLERK is a novel receptor kinase required for sensing of root-active CLE peptides in *Arabidopsis*. *Development* 145: 1–10

Arora D, Abel NB, Liu C, Van Damme P, Yperman K, Eeckhout D, Vu LD, Wang J, Tornkvist A, Impens F *et al* (2020) Establishment of proximity-dependent biotinylation approaches in different plant model systems. *Plant Cell* 32: 3388–3407

Arora D, Van Damme D (2021) Motif-based endomembrane trafficking. *Plant Physiol* 186: 221–238

Bashline L, Li S, Zhu X, Gu Y (2015) The TWD40-2 protein and the AP2 complex cooperate in the clathrin-mediated endocytosis of cellulose synthase to regulate cellulose biosynthesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 112: 12870–12875

Beck M, Zhou J, Faulkner C, MacLean D, Robatzek S (2012) Spatio-temporal cellular dynamics of the *Arabidopsis* flagellin receptor reveal activation status-dependent endosomal sorting. *Plant Cell* 24: 4205–4219

Ben Khaled S, Postma J, Robatzek S (2015) A moving view: subcellular trafficking processes in pattern recognition receptor-triggered plant immunity. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* 53: 379–402

Bindels DS, Haarbosch L, van Weeren L, Postma M, Wiese KE, Mastop M, Aumonier S, Gotthard G, Royant A, Hink MA *et al* (2017) mScarlet: a bright monomeric red fluorescent protein for cellular imaging. *Nat Methods* 14: 53–56

Blumke P, Schlegel J, Gonzalez-Ferrer C, Becher S, Pinto KG, Monaghan J, Simon R (2021) Receptor-like cytoplasmic kinase MAZZA mediates developmental processes with CLAVATA1 family receptors in *Arabidopsis*. *J Exp Bot* 72: 4853–4870

Brand U, Fletcher JC, Hobe M, Meyerowitz EM, Simon R (2000) Dependence of stem cell fate in *Arabidopsis* on a feedback loop regulated by CLV3 activity. *Science* 289: 617–619

Breda AS, Hazak O, Schultz P, Anne P, Graeff M, Simon R, Hardtke CS (2019) A cellular insulator against CLE45 peptide signaling. *Curr Biol* 29: 2501–2508

Brunoud G, Galvan-Ampudia CS, Vernoux T (2020) Methods to visualize auxin and cytokinin signaling activity in the shoot apical meristem. *Methods Mol Biol* 2094: 79–89

Clark SE, Running MP, Meyerowitz EM (1993) CLAVATA1, a regulator of meristem and flower development in *Arabidopsis*. *Development* 119: 397–418

Clark SE, Running MP, Meyerowitz EM (1995) CLAVATA3 is a specific regulator of shoot and floral meristem development affecting the same processes as CLAVATA1. *Development* 121: 2057–2067

Clark SE, Williams RW, Meyerowitz EM (1997) The CLAVATA1 gene encodes a putative receptor kinase that controls shoot and floral meristem size in *Arabidopsis*. *Cell* 89: 575–585

Claus LAN, Savatin DV, Russinova E (2018) The crossroads of receptor-mediated signaling and endocytosis in plants. *J Integr Plant Biol* 60: 827–840

Dejonghe W, Kuenen S, Mylle E, Vasileva M, Keech O, Viotti C, Swerts J, Fendrych M, Ortiz-Morea FA, Mishev K et al (2016) Mitochondrial uncouplers inhibit clathrin-mediated endocytosis largely through cytoplasmic acidification. *Nat Commun* 7: 11710

Dejonghe W, Sharma I, Denoo B, De Munck S, Lu Q, Mishev K, Bulut H, Mylle E, De Rycke R, Vasileva M et al (2019) Disruption of endocytosis through chemical inhibition of clathrin heavy chain function. *Nat Chem Biol* 15: 641–649

Deyoung BJ, Clark SE (2008) BAM receptors regulate stem cell specification and organ development through complex interactions with CLAVATA signaling. *Genetics* 180: 895–904

DeYoung BJ, Bickle KL, Schrage KJ, Muskett P, Patel K, Clark SE (2006) The CLAVATA1-related BAM1, BAM2 and BAM3 receptor kinase-like proteins are required for meristem function in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J* 45: 1–16

Di Rubbo S, Irani NG, Kim SY, Xu ZY, Gadeyne A, Dejonghe W, Vanhoutte I, Persiau G, Eeckhout D, Simon S et al (2013) The clathrin adaptor complex AP-2 mediates endocytosis of brassinosteroid insensitive1 in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 25: 2986–2997

Dievart A, Dalal M, Tax FE, Lacey AD, Huttly A, Li J, Clark SE (2003) CLAVATA1 dominant-negative alleles reveal functional overlap between multiple receptor kinases that regulate meristem and organ development. *Plant Cell* 15: 1198–1211

Fletcher JC, Brand U, Running MP, Simon R, Meyerowitz EM (1999) Signaling of cell fate decisions by CLAVATA3 in *Arabidopsis* shoot meristems. *Science* 283: 1911–1914

Gadeyne A, Sanchez-Rodriguez C, Vanneste S, Di Rubbo S, Zauber H, Vanneste K, Van Leene J, De Winne N, Eeckhout D, Persiau G et al (2014) The TPLATE adaptor complex drives clathrin-mediated endocytosis in plants. *Cell* 156: 691–704

Gou X, Li J (2020) Paired receptor and coreceptor kinases perceive extracellular signals to control plant development. *Plant Physiol* 182: 1667–1681

Graeff M, Rana S, Marhava P, Moret B, Hardtke CS (2020) Local and systemic effects of brassinosteroid perception in developing phloem. *Curr Biol* 30: 1626–1638

Grefen C, Blatt MR (2012) A 2in1 cloning system enables ratiometric bimolecular fluorescence complementation (rBiFC). *Biotechniques* 53: 311–314

Hazak O, Hardtke CS (2016) CLAVATA 1-type receptors in plant development. *J Exp Bot* 67: 4827–4833

Hazak O, Brandt B, Cattaneo P, Santiago J, Rodriguez-Villalon A, Hothorn M, Hardtke CS (2017) Perception of root-active CLE peptides requires CORYNE function in the phloem vasculature. *EMBO Rep* 18: 1367–1381

Herberich E, Sikorski J, Hothorn T (2010) A robust procedure for comparing multiple means under heteroscedasticity in unbalanced designs. *PloS One* 5: e9788

Hirst J, Schlacht A, Norcott JP, Traynor D, Bloomfield G, Antrobus R, Kay RR, Dacks JB, Robinson MS (2014) Characterization of TSET, an ancient and widespread membrane trafficking complex. *Elife* 3: e02866

Hobe M, Muller R, Grunewald M, Brand U, Simon R (2003) Loss of CLE40, a protein functionally equivalent to the stem cell restricting signal CLV3, enhances root waving in *Arabidopsis*. *Dev Genes Evol* 213: 371–381

Hohmann U, Lau K, Hothorn M (2017) The structural basis of ligand perception and signal activation by receptor kinases. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 68: 109–137

Hu C, Zhu Y, Cui Y, Cheng K, Liang W, Wei Z, Zhu M, Yin H, Zeng L, Xiao Y et al (2018) A group of receptor kinases are essential for CLAVATA signalling to maintain stem cell homeostasis. *Nat Plants* 4: 205–211

Irani NG, Di Rubbo S, Mylle E, Van den Begin J, Schneider-Pizon J, Hnilikova J, Sisa M, Buyst D, Vilarrasa-Blasi J, Szatmari AM et al (2012) Fluorescent castasterone reveals BRI1 signaling from the plasma membrane. *Nat Chem Biol* 8: 583–589

Ishida T, Tabata R, Yamada M, Aida M, Mitsumasu K, Fujiwara M, Yamaguchi K, Shigenobu S, Higuchi M, Tsuji H et al (2014) Heterotrimeric G proteins control stem cell proliferation through CLAVATA signaling in *Arabidopsis*. *EMBO Rep* 15: 1202–1209

Ito Y, Nakanomyo I, Motose H, Iwamoto K, Sawa S, Dohmae N, Fukuda H (2006) Dodeca-CLE peptides as suppressors of plant stem cell differentiation. *Science* 313: 842–845

Johnson A, Dahhan DA, Gnyliukh N, Kaufmann WA, Zheden V, Costanzo T, Mahou P, Hrtyan M, Wang J, Aguilera-Servin J et al (2021) The TPLATE complex mediates membrane bending during plant clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 118: e2113046118

Karimi M, Depicker A, Hilson P (2007) Recombinational cloning with plant gateway vectors. *Plant Physiol* 145: 1144–1154

Kinoshita A, Betsuyaku S, Osakabe Y, Mizuno S, Nagawa S, Stahl Y, Simon R, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Fukuda H, Sawa S (2010) RPK2 is an essential receptor-like kinase that transmits the CLV3 signal in *Arabidopsis*. *Development* 137: 3911–3920

Kitagawa M, Jackson D (2019) Control of meristem size. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 70: 269–291

Lammens T, Boudolf V, Kheibarshekan L, Zalmas LP, Gaamouche T, Maes S, Vanstraelen M, Kondorosi E, La Thangue NB, Govaerts W et al (2008) Atypical E2F activity restrains APC/CCCS52A2 function obligatory for endocycle onset. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105: 14721–14726

Liu D, Kumar R, Claus LAN, Johnson AJ, Siao W, Vanhoutte I, Wang P, Bender KW, Yperman K, Martins S et al (2020) Endocytosis of BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE1 is partly driven by a canonical Tyr-based motif. *Plant Cell* 32: 3598–3612

Mbengue M, Bourdais G, Gervasi F, Beck M, Zhou J, Spallek T, Bartels S, Boller T, Ueda T, Kuhn H et al (2016) Clathrin-dependent endocytosis is required for immunity mediated by pattern recognition receptor kinases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 113: 11034–11039

Meijering E, Jacob M, Sarria JC, Steiner P, Hirling H, Unser M (2004) Design and validation of a tool for neurite tracing and analysis in fluorescence microscopy images. *Cytometry A* 58: 167–176

Mishev K, Lu Q, Denoo B, Peurois F, Dejonghe W, Hullaert J, De Rycke R, Boeren S, Bretou M, De Munck S et al (2018) Nonselective chemical inhibition of Sec7 domain-containing ARF GTPase exchange factors. *Plant Cell* 30: 2573–2593

Nimchuk ZL (2017) CLAVATA1 controls distinct signaling outputs that buffer shoot stem cell proliferation through a two-step transcriptional compensation loop. *PLoS Genet* 13: e1006681

Nimchuk ZL, Tarr PT, Ohno C, Qu X, Meyerowitz EM (2011) Plant stem cell signaling involves ligand-dependent trafficking of the CLAVATA1 receptor kinase. *Curr Biol* 21: 345–352

Nimchuk ZL, Zhou Y, Tarr PT, Peterson BA, Meyerowitz EM (2015) Plant stem cell maintenance by transcriptional cross-regulation of related receptor kinases. *Development* 142: 1043–1049

Ogawa M, Shinohara H, Sakagami Y, Matsubayashi Y (2008) *Arabidopsis* CLV3 peptide directly binds CLV1 ectodomain. *Science* 319: 294

Olsson V, Joos L, Zhu S, Gevaert K, Butenko MA, De Smet I (2019) Look closely, the beautiful may Be small: precursor-derived peptides in plants. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 70: 153 – 186

Ortiz-Morea FA, Savatin DV, Dejonghe W, Kumar R, Luo Y, Adamowski M, Van den Begin J, Dressano K, Pereira de Oliveira G, Zhao X *et al* (2016) Danger-associated peptide signaling in *Arabidopsis* requires clathrin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 113: 11028 – 11033

Paez Valencia J, Goodman K, Otegui MS (2016) Endocytosis and endosomal trafficking in plants. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 67: 309 – 335

Poncini L, Wyrsch I, Denervaud Tendon V, Vorley T, Boller T, Geldner N, Metraux JP, Lehmann S (2017) In roots of *Arabidopsis thaliana*, the damage-associated molecular pattern AtPep1 is a stronger elicitor of immune signalling than flg22 or the chitin heptamer. *PloS One* 12: e0185808

Roberts I, Smith S, Stes E, De Rybel B, Staes A, van de Cotte B, Njo MF, Dedeyne L, Demol H, Lavenus J *et al* (2016) CEP5 and XIP1/CEPR1 regulate lateral root initiation in *Arabidopsis*. *J Exp Bot* 67: 4889 – 4899

Schlegel J, Denay G, Wink R, Pinto KG, Stahl Y, Schmid J, Blumke P, Simon RG (2021) Control of *Arabidopsis* shoot stem cell homeostasis by two antagonistic CLE peptide signalling pathways. *Elife* 10: e70934

Schoof H, Lenhard M, Haecker A, Mayer KF, Jurgens G, Laux T (2000) The stem cell population of *Arabidopsis* shoot meristems in maintained by a regulatory loop between the CLAVATA and WUSCHEL genes. *Cell* 100: 635 – 644

Shinohara H, Matsubayashi Y (2015) Reevaluation of the CLV3-receptor interaction in the shoot apical meristem: dissection of the CLV3 signaling pathway from a direct ligand-binding point of view. *Plant J* 82: 328 – 336

Somssich M, Ma Q, Weidtkamp-Peters S, Stahl Y, Felekyan S, Bleckmann A, Seidel CA, Simon R (2015) Real-time dynamics of peptide ligand-dependent receptor complex formation in planta. *Sci Signal* 8: ra76

Stahl Y, Wink RH, Ingram GC, Simon R (2009) A signaling module controlling the stem cell niche in *Arabidopsis* root meristems. *Curr Biol* 19: 909 – 914

Stahl Y, Grabowski S, Bleckmann A, Kuhnemuth R, Weidtkamp-Peters S, Pinto KG, Kirschner GK, Schmid JB, Wink RH, Hulsewede A *et al* (2013)

Moderation of *Arabidopsis* root stemness by CLAVATA1 and ARABIDOPSIS CRINKLY4 receptor kinase complexes. *Curr Biol* 23: 362 – 371

Su YH, Zhao XY, Liu YB, Zhang CL, O'Neill SD, Zhang XS (2009) Auxin-induced WUS expression is essential for embryonic stem cell renewal during somatic embryogenesis in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J* 59: 448 – 460

Van Damme D, Coutuer S, De Rycke R, Bouget FY, Inze D, Geelen D (2006) Somatic cytokinesis and pollen maturation in *Arabidopsis* depend on TPLATE, which has domains similar to coat proteins. *Plant Cell* 18: 3502 – 3518

Van Leene J, Eeckhout D, Cannoot B, De Winne N, Persiau G, Van De Slijke E, Vercruysse L, Dedecker M, Verkest A, Vandepoele K *et al* (2015) An improved toolbox to unravel the plant cellular machinery by tandem affinity purification of *Arabidopsis* protein complexes. *Nat Protoc* 10: 169 – 187

Wang P, Pleskot R, Zang J, Winkler J, Wang J, Yperman K, Zhang T, Wang K, Gong J, Guan Y *et al* (2019) Plant AtEH/Pan1 proteins drive autophagosome formation at ER-PM contact sites with actin and endocytic machinery. *Nat Commun* 10: 5132

Wang J, Mylle E, Johnson A, Besbrugge N, De Jaeger G, Friml J, Pleskot R, Van Damme D (2020) High temporal resolution reveals simultaneous plasma membrane recruitment of TPLATE complex subunits. *Plant Physiol* 183: 986 – 997

Wang J, Yperman K, Grones P, Jiang Q, Dragwidge J, Mylle E, Mor E, Nolf J, Eeckhout D, De Jaeger G *et al* (2021) Conditional destabilization of the TPLATE complex impairs endocytic internalization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 118: e2023456118

Yamaguchi YL, Ishida T, Sawa S (2016) CLE peptides and their signaling pathways in plant development. *J Exp Bot* 67: 4813 – 4826

Yperman K, Papageorgiou AC, Merceron R, De Munck S, Bloch Y, Eeckhout D, Jiang Q, Tack P, Grigoryan R, Evangelidis T *et al* (2021a) Distinct EH domains of the endocytic TPLATE complex confer lipid and protein binding. *Nat Commun* 12: 3050

Yperman K, Wang J, Eeckhout D, Winkler J, Vu LD, Vandorpe M, Grones P, Mylle E, Kraus M, Merceron R *et al* (2021b) Molecular architecture of the endocytic TPLATE complex. *Sci Adv* 7: eabe7999

Zhang Y, Persson S, Hirst J, Robinson MS, Van Damme D, Sanchez-Rodriguez C (2015) Change your TPLATE, change your fate: plant CME and beyond. *Trends Plant Sci* 20: 41 – 48