ORIGINAL ARTICLE

TGFβ signaling links early life endocrine‑disrupting chemicals exposure to suppression of nucleotide excision repair in rat myometrial stem cells

Maria Victoria Bariani1 [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2675-7936) Yan‑Hong Cui2 [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4169-6521) Mohamed Ali1 [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9675-6691) Tao Bai1,6 · Sandra L. Grimm3,4,5 · Cristian Coarfa3,4,5 · Cheryl L. Walker5 · Yu‑Ying He2 [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2665-7962) Qiwei Yang¹ · Ayman Al‑Hendy[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8778-4447)

Received: 30 May 2023 / Revised: 25 July 2023 / Accepted: 18 August 2023 / Published online: 9 September 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

Environmental exposure to endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs) is linked to the development of uterine fbroids (UFs) in women. UFs, non-cancerous tumors, are thought to originate from abnormal myometrial stem cells (MMSCs). Defective DNA repair capacity may contribute to the emergence of mutations that promote tumor growth. The multifunctional cytokine TGFβ1 is associated with UF progression and DNA damage repair pathways. To investigate the impact of EDC exposure on TGFβ1 and nucleotide excision repair (NER) pathways, we isolated MMSCs from 5-month-old Eker rats exposed neonatally to diethylstilbestrol (DES), an EDC, or to vehicle (VEH). EDC-MMSCs exhibited overactivated TGFβ1 signaling and reduced mRNA and protein levels of NER pathway components compared to VEH-MMSCs. EDC-MMSCs also demonstrated impaired NER capacity. Exposing VEH-MMSCs to TGFβ1 decreased NER capacity while inhibiting TGFβ signaling in EDC-MMSCs restored it. RNA-seq analysis and further validation revealed decreased expression of Uvrag, a tumor suppressor gene involved in DNA damage recognition, in VEH-MMSCs treated with TGFβ1, but increased expression in EDC-MMSCs after TGFβ signaling inhibition. Overall, we demonstrated that the overactivation of the TGFβ pathway links early life exposure to EDCs with impaired NER capacity, which would lead to increased genetic instability, arise of mutations, and fibroid tumorigenesis. We demonstrated that the overactivation of the $TGF\beta$ pathway links early life exposure to EDCs with impaired NER capacity, which would lead to increased fbroid incidence.

Keywords Uterine leiomyoma risk · Environmental exposure · DNA damage · Developmental reprogramming · Transforming growth factor superfamily

 \boxtimes Ayman Al-Hendy aalhendy@bsd.uchicago.edu

- ¹ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA
- ² Department of Medicine, Section of Dermatology, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA
- ³ Molecular and Cellular Biology Department, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA
- ⁴ Dan L Duncan Comprehensive Cancer Center, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA
- ⁵ Center for Precision and Environmental Health, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA
- ⁶ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA

Introduction

The incidence of uterine fbroids (UFs) is extremely common among women of reproductive age. Although non-cancerous, these tumors are associated with signifcant morbidity, including prolonged or heavy menstrual bleeding, pelvic pain, and in some cases, they can be related to pregnancy loss and infertility [[1\]](#page-13-0). Although the last few years have shown emergence of diferent treatment alternatives, UFs continue to be the most common cause of hysterectomy, which in turn increases these women's risk for several medical complications [[2\]](#page-13-1).

Risk factors for the development of these tumors include race/ethnicity, age, parity, and Vitamin D defciency [[1\]](#page-13-0). Notably, endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs), both natural and anthropogenic, are capable of disrupting the endocrine system, and may contribute to

some of the most prevalent female reproductive disorders [\[3,](#page-13-2) [4\]](#page-13-3). In this sense, environmental EDCs exposure is considered an important risk factor for UF pathogenesis [\[5](#page-13-4), [6](#page-13-5)]. Moreover, epidemiological studies have confrmed the correlation between early life exposure to EDCs and increased risk for early UF diagnosis [[7–](#page-13-6)[10\]](#page-13-7). However, to understand how the exposure to EDCs during critical uterine developmental period could increase the incidence of UFs is yet unclear and necessary to appeal to animal experimentation, and the best-characterized animal model for this is the Eker rat. These animals carry a defect in the *Tsc2* tumor suppressor gene and female Eker rats develop UFs spontaneously with a high frequency during their adulthood [\[11\]](#page-13-8). Cook et al. [\[12\]](#page-13-9) showed that early life exposure to diethylstilbestrol (DES) during the development of the uterus increased *Tcs2* penetrance, tumor multiplicity, and size, demonstrating that developmental exposure to EDCs can permanently reprogram tissue responses. Even though DES is not currently in use, it is an effective tool to study the efects induced by this EDC since many environmental xenoestrogens such as dibutyl phthalate (DBP) have a similar impact on reproductive health [\[13\]](#page-13-10).

Several studies support the argument that UFs originate from transformed myometrial stem cells (MMSCs) [\[14](#page-13-11)[–16](#page-13-12)]. DNA damage response and repair processes maintain the integrity of genomic DNA, and failures in these mechanisms may be the cause behind the transformation of a normal MMSC to a tumor-initiating cell [\[17,](#page-13-13) [18\]](#page-13-14). In this regard, EDCs can cause DNA damage upon exposure [\[19](#page-13-15), [20](#page-13-16)]. Previous observations from our group have indicated that the expression of DNA repair-related genes/proteins is reprogrammed by early life EDCs exposure in MMSCs isolated from adult Eker rats [[21](#page-13-17), [22](#page-13-18)]. Nucleotide excision repair (NER) is a highly conserved DNA repair pathway, capable of removing structurally bulky DNA helix distortion lesions from the genome, generated by chemicals or UV radiation [[23](#page-13-19), [24\]](#page-13-20). To date, there is limited available information regarding the potential inference of the NER pathway on the physiopathology of UF. A single report done in southern Chinese women has linked a higher susceptibility to UF with a single nucleotide polymorphism in *XPG* gene (rs873601 G>A), a crucial protein involved in NER repair processes [\[25\]](#page-13-21).

Transforming growth factor β (TGFβ) is a secreted cytokine that exists in mammals in three isoforms ($TGF\beta1$, TGFβ2, and TGFβ3). TGFβ controls a plethora of processes, such as apoptosis, angiogenesis, and tumor biology [[26](#page-13-22)], and is considered one of the key factors in the pathophysiology of UFs [\[27](#page-13-23)]. Several studies have suggested a connection between TGFβ signaling and DNA damage response [\[28,](#page-14-0) [29\]](#page-14-1). Previous analyses reported a link between $TGF\beta1$ pathway and steroid hormone signaling [[30](#page-14-2), [31\]](#page-14-3) or EDCs treatment [[32](#page-14-4), [33](#page-14-5)].

This work aimed to elucidate the role of both TGFβ1 and the NER, as well as their link to the tumorigenesis process on MMSCs, the cell origin of UFs, in the best-characterized animal model of UFs for gene-environment interaction.

Material and methods

Animal model and myometrial stem cell isolation and culture

Female Eker rats from an on-site colony (Long Evans; *TSC-2*Ek/+) received subcutaneous injections of 10 µg of the endocrine-disrupting chemical (EDC) diethylstilbestrol (DES, D4628, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) per rat per day or 50 µl of sesame seed oil (vehicle, VEH, S3547, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) on 10, 11, and 12 postnatal days, a sensitive period for uterine developmental programming, as previously described [[12\]](#page-13-9). Animals were euthanized at 5 months of age and subjected to myometrial Stro1+/CD44+ stem cell isolation, according to a previously described protocol [\[34](#page-14-6)]. Briefy, uterine tissues from Eker rat exposed to VEH (*N*=5, pooled) or EDC (*N*=5, pooled) were collected, washed to remove residual blood, and the endometrial and serosal tissues were removed by scraping with a sterile scalpel. Myometrial tissues were digested into single-cell suspensions, which were subjected to selection for Stro1/ CD44 double-positivity by magnetic beads (mouse anti-Stro1, MAB1038, R&D Systems and mouse anti-CD44, #555478, BD Biosciences, respectively) to isolate Stro1+/ CD44+ MMSCs. Then, isolated VEH- and EDC-MMSCs were plated in coated fasks (Attachment factor #S006100, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and cultured separately in Smooth Muscle Growth Medium-2 BulletKit (complete SmGm media) (CC-3182, Lonza, Walkersville, MD) under hypoxic conditions (37 °C, 5% CO₂, 2% O₂). Confuent VEH- and EDC-MMSCs were washed with PBS, trypsinized (TrypLE Express Enzyme, 12604021, Thermo Fisher Scientifc, Waltham, MA), and centrifuged at 500×*g* for 5 min. Supernatants were aspirated, and pellets were stored at −80 °C until further use. Protocols involving the use of these animals were approved by the Institutional Animal Care & Use Committee (IACUC), Baylor College of Medicine (protocol # AN-7189).

RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis, and quantitative real‑time PCR

Total cellular RNA was isolated from frozen MMSCs pellets using TRIzol Reagent (#15596026, Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA) following manufacturer instructions. RNA reverse transcription to complementary DNA (cDNA) was performed using Ecodry premix double-primed (#639549,

Takara Bio, San Jose, CA, USA). Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) was carried out using SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) in a 20-μL fnal reaction volume. Primer sequences are listed in Table [1.](#page-2-0) Primers were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT, Coralville, IA, USA) excluding *Ltbp1, Tgfb1, Smad3,* and *Uvrag* primers (Product IDs: RQP050189, RQP050181, RQP090103, RQP083172, respectively) that were purchased from Genecopoeia (Rockville, MD, USA). Real-time PCR analyses were performed using the Bio-Rad CFX96 detection system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). A melting-curve analysis affirmed the synthesis of a DNA product of the predicted size. The expression data were normalized using *18S* ribosomal RNA values, and these relative normalized values were used to generate data graphs. A reaction without a cDNA template was used as a negative control.

Protein expression analysis by western blot

VEH- and EDC-MMSCs pellets were lysed in RIPA bufer (#89900, Thermo Fisher Scientifc, Waltham, MA) containing 1% of protease and phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail (#78440, Thermo Fisher Scientifc, Waltham, MA), vortexed, sonicated, and centrifuged for 10 min at 12,000 RPM at 4 °C. Three experimental replicates per group were run. Samples equivalent to 25 µg of protein were separated using 4–20% Mini-PROTEAN TGX Precast Protein Gels (#4561096, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and transferred to Trans-Blot Turbo Midi 0.2 µm PVDF membranes (#1704157, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) according to standard procedures. Membranes were blocked for 1 h at RT in either 5% w/v nonfat dry milk or 5% BSA in 0.1% Tween-supplemented PBS (0.1% PBS-T) per antibody specifcation. Membranes were then incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4° C in either 1% w/v nonfat dry milk or 1% BSA in 0.1% PBS-T per antibody specifcation. Following is the information regarding the primary antibodies used, their source, and working dilutions: rabbit anti-LTBP1 (ab78294, Abcam; 1:1000), rabbit anti-THBS1 (MA5-13398, Invitrogen; 1:1000), rabbit anti-TGFβ 1 (MA5-15065, Invitrogen; 1:1000), rabbit anti-p-SMAD2 (Ser465/467) (#3108, Cell Signaling; 1:1000), rabbit anti-SMAD2 (#5339, Cell Signaling; 1:1000), rabbit anti-XPA (PA5-86265, Invitrogen; 1:1000), mouse anti-XPB (#8746, Cell Signaling; 1:1000), mouse anti-XPC (sc-74410, Santa Cruz; 1:1000), rabbit anti-XPD (#11963, Cell Signaling; 1:1000), rabbit anti-XPG (PA5-76039, Invitrogen; 1:1000), rabbit anti-XPF (#13465, Cell Signaling; 1:1000), rabbit anti-DDB1 (#5428, Cell Signaling; 1:1000), mouse anti-DDB2 (sc-81246, Santa Cruz; 1:1000). Mouse antiβ-actin (A5441, Sigma, 1:10000) protein levels were assessed by re-probing the blots. Membranes were washed in 0.1% PBS-T and then incubated with anti-rabbit (#7074, Cell Signaling; 1:5000) or anti-mouse (#7076, Cell Signaling; 1:5000) horseradish peroxidase-labeled antibodies. The antigen–antibody complex was detected with Trident femto Western HRP Substrate kit (GTX14698, GeneTex, Irvine, CA, USA) and images of immunoreactive bands were acquired using ChemiDoc XRS+ molecular imager (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Bands were analyzed using Image J software [[35\]](#page-14-7). The relative protein level was normalized to β-actin and results were expressed as relative optical density.

Table 1 Rat primer sequences for RT-qPCR

Symbol/alias Gene		Forward primer sequence $(5'–3')$	Reverse primer sequence $(5'–3')$
Thbs1	Thrombospondin 1	TCGGGGCAGGAAGACTATGA	ACTGGGCAGGGTTGTAATGG
Smad2	Mothers against decapentaplegic homolog 2	GGGAAGTGTTTGCCGAGTG	AGCCTGGTGGGATTTTGC
Xpa	DNA damage recognition and repair factor	CAGACACCAGAGCCACTTTAC	GCAGACACCCATACACAATGA
Xpb, Ercc3	Xeroderma pigmentosum complementation group B, ERCC excision repair 3, TFIIH core complex helicase subunit	GGGTACTCAGAGCCAAGAAAG	GAATCTCTGTCGCTTGGTAGAA
Xpc	Xeroderma pigmentosum complementation group C	CACCTCCATCAGCACATACAA	ACAGCTTCTCCACGACAATAC
Xpf, Ercc4	Xeroderma pigmentosum complementation group F, ERCC excision repair 4, endonucle- ase catalytic subunit	TAAGCTCACACTCCTCACCT	CCAGGGTTATACCTGTCTGA
Xpd, Ercc2	Xeroderma pigmentosum complementation group D, ERCC excision repair 2, TFIIH core complex helicase	TTACTACAGCGCAGAGCCAG	ACCCCAAACATTTCACCCACT
Ddb1	DNA damage-binding protein 1	CACGGTTCCTCTCTATGAATCTC	TAGTGCCTCCACTGGTATCT
Ddb ₂	DNA damage-binding protein 2	TGGTGGTTACAGGAGACAATATG	GCCACATGGGCTACTTTCT
18S	18S ribosomal RNA	CACGGACAGGATTGACAGATT	GAGTCTCGTTCGTTATCGGAATTA

Measurement of TGFβ1 levels in MMSCs culture supernatants

VEH- and EDC-MMSCs were cultured until confuence in previously stated conditions. Then, cells were washed thoroughly using PBS, and media were replenished with complete SmGm media without fetal bovine serum. MMSCs culture supernatant (CS) samples were collected after 6 h and frozen in aliquots at −80 °C. TGFβ1 levels were detected in CS using a solid phase ELISA kit (DB100C, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Immunofuorescence

VEH- and EDC-MMSCs were seeded onto coated-glass coverslips and culture under the conditions stated above. Confuent cells were then fxed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min, followed by permeabilization with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 15 min at room temperature. Non-specifc binding was blocked with 2% BSA in PBS for 1 h at room temperature. Primary antibody targeting TGFβ1 (MA5- 15065, Invitrogen, 1:100 in 0.1% BSA-PBS) and TGFβ Receptor I (PA-95863, Invitrogen, 1:100 in 0.1% BSA-PBS) were applied and incubated overnight at 4 °C. The cells were then washed with PBS three times for 5 min and incubated with Alexa Fluor[™] 568-conjugated α-Rabbit secondary antibody (A11011, Invitrogen, 1:2000 in 0.1% BSA-PBS) for 1 h at room temperature. Finally, the coverslips were mounted onto glass slides using mounting medium containing DAPI for nuclear counterstaining (H-1200, VECTASH-IELD). Digital image fles were created with an Olympus VS200 Research Slide Scanner (Olympus/Evident, Center Valley, PA) with a Hamamatsu ORca-Fusion camera (Hamamatsu Photonics, Skokie, IL). Individual images were created with the OlyVIA Viewer software (Olympus/Evident, Center Valley, PA). Negative controls without primary antibody were included to validate the staining specifcity.

Immunohistochemistry

Myometrial tissue samples from 5-month-old Eker rat exposed neonatally to VEH or EDC were fxed in 10% buffered formalin for 15–20 h and embedded with paraffin. Paraffin blocks were sliced into $5-\mu M$ thick sections, deparaffinized with xylene, and rehydrated by being passed through decreasing concentrations of ethanol in water. Then, antigen retrieval and quenching of endogenous peroxidases were performed. The primary antibodies used to detect XPA and XPC were rabbit anti-XPA (PA5-86265, Invitrogen; 1:250) and mouse anti-XPC (sc-74410, Santa Cruz; 1:200), respectively. Samples were scanned and visualized using Aperio Image Scope Software (v12.4.0.7018) (Leica Biosystems Imaging Inc., Deer Park, IL, USA).

MMSCs TGFβ1 and TGFβ Receptor I inhibitor treatments

Once VEH- or EDC-MMSCs reached 80% confuence, they were treated with human recombinant TGFβ1 (10 ng/ ml, 7754-BH, R&D Systems,) for 48 h or with TGFβ Receptor I inhibitor (2 µM, LY-364947, L6293, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 24 h, respectively. The vehicle used to dissolve TGFβ1 was 4 mM HCl (SA49, Thermo Fisher Scientifc, Waltham, MA, USA) containing 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA, A3294, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA). Mature human TGFβ1 shares 99% amino acid identity with rat TGFβ1, and it demonstrated cross-species activity [[36](#page-14-8)]. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, 472301, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) was used as a VEH to dissolve the TGFβ Receptor I inhibitor (final concentration $< 0.1\%$). VEH- and EDC-treated MMSCs were washed with PBS, trypsinized, and centrifuged at 500×*g* for 5 min. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellets were snap-frozen and stored at -80 °C for RNA isolation.

Determination of UVB‑induced DNA damage in genomic DNA by slot blot assay

VEH- and EDC-MMSCs pellets were collected as described above at diferent time points (0, 6, and 12 h) post-UVB light exposure (10 mJ/cm^2) , and DNA was isolated using a QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (#51304, Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The DNA concentration was calculated from the absorbance at 260 nm using NanoDrop 1000 (NanoDrop products, Wilmington, DE). The cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers (CPD) in DNA were quantifed by slot blot (Bio-Rad) with CPD monoclonal (TDM-2) antibody (CAC-NM-DND-001, COSMO BIO Co., Koto-Ku, Tokyo, Japan) as described previously [\[37](#page-14-9)]. The chemiluminescence was detected with a Carestream Imaging Station (Carestream, Rochester, NY, USA). For examining repair kinetics, the percentage (%) of CPD repair was calculated by comparing the optical density at the indicated time to that of the corresponding absorbance at time zero when there was no opportunity for repair, and 100% of CPDs were present post-UVB. The 10 mJ/cm² UVB dose was chosen as there was little acute, UV-induced cell death (observed under light bright microscopy) induced under these conditions, while there were sufficient levels of DNA damage to reproducibly measure its repair (doses between 10 and 30 mJ/cm² were screened initially).

Whole‑genome RNA sequencing (RNA‑seq)

RNA quality and quantity were assessed using the Agilent bio-analyzer. Strand-specifc RNA-SEQ libraries were prepared using a TruSEQ mRNA-SEQ library protocol (Illumina provided). Library quality and quantity were assessed using the Agilent bio-analyzer, and libraries were sequenced using an Illumina NovaSEQ6000 (Illumina provided reagents and protocols). A variety of R packages were used for this analysis. All packages used are available from the Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN), Bioconductor. org, or Github. The reads were mapped to the *R. norwegicus* reference genome Rnor 6.0 using STAR 2.7.9a. Aligned were quantifed using Salmon 1.4.0, and gene annotations from Ensembl were used to summarize data from transcript level to gene level. We fltered non-protein coding genes as well as genes with less than 1 count per million in at least 3 or more samples and applied TMM normalization. To identify diferentially expressed genes (DEGs), precision weights were applied to gene counts based on within-group samplelevel variance and gene-level mean–variance trends using VOOM from Limma 3.52.4. The count data were ftted to a gene-wise linear model with group status as a coefficient, and an empirical Bayes method was used to estimate the posterior odds of diferential expression after adjusting for gene-level posterior residual standard deviations. Signifcant diferential genes were decided with a minimum absolute fold change of 1.5 and a false-discovery rate of 0.05. GSEA was tested using the Hallmark, CP: REACTOME, and CP: KEGG MSigDB collections.

Statistical analysis

Comparisons between groups were made by two-tailed unpaired Student's *t* test using GraphPad Prism 9 (Graph-Pad Software, San Diego, CA). The assumption of normality was assessed by Shapiro–Wilks test. All data are presented as mean \pm standard error of mean (S.E.M.). A difference between groups with **p*<0.05, ***p*<0.005, ****p*<0.0005, or *****p*<0.0001 was considered statistically signifcant.

Results

The TGFβ1 signaling pathway is overactivated in MMSCs isolated from rats exposed to EDCs

To identify transcriptional changes in the context of early life EDCs exposure, we performed RNA-seq on MMSCs isolated from 5-month-old Eker rats exposed neonatally to VEH or to diethylstilbestrol (DES), an EDC that mimic estrogen action [[38](#page-14-10)]. We found 2922 DEGs (1474 up, and

1448 down) in EDC- over VEH-MMSCs (Fig. S1 A). Among the DEGs, we found 14 genes belonging to $TGF\beta$ signaling (Fig. S1 B). In addition, pathway analysis using Hallmark compendium showed signifcant enrichment of the HALLMARK_TGF_BETA_SIGNALING pathway (Fig. S1 C). There is evidence that perinatal exposure to the estrogenic EDC methoxychlor reprogramed *Tgfb1* gene expression in the hypothalamus of Fischer rats [[39](#page-14-11)]. Moreover, Cometti et al. reported that certain environmental estrogen induced TGFβ1 levels in bovine oviduct cell culture [[40\]](#page-14-12), linking steroid hormone signaling with TGFβ1. Additionally, the role of TGFβ in the development of UFs is crucial [[27\]](#page-13-23). Multiple studies have corroborated the involvement of distinct TGFβ isoforms in the pathophysiology of UFs [\[41–](#page-14-13)[43\]](#page-14-14). However, further studies are required to confrm the participation of TGFβ signaling in the effects of exposure to EDCs on MMSCs, which are believed to be the origin UFs $[14–16]$ $[14–16]$ $[14–16]$ $[14–16]$. Therefore, to determine whether early life exposure to EDCs afected TGFβ1 pathway on Eker rat MMSCs, we frst analyzed several members of this superfamily. We found that the mRNA and protein levels of latent TGFβ binding protein 1 (LTBP1), which controls TGFβ1 bioavailability by maintaining it in a latent state in the extracellular matrix [\[44\]](#page-14-15), were increased in EDC-MMSCs compared to VEH-MMSCs (Fig. [1A](#page-5-0)). Further, we observed the same outcome for thrombospondin 1 (TSP1), a major regula-tor of latent TGFβ[1](#page-5-0) activation $[45]$ (Fig. 1B). We further confrmed that TGFβ1 mRNA and protein levels are also elevated in MMSCs isolated from rats exposed to EDCs in early life (Fig. [1C](#page-5-0), E). Moreover, as illustrated in Fig. [1](#page-5-0)D, the levels of TGFβ1 were signifcantly higher in the culture supernatants from EDC-MMSCs compared to the ones from VEH-MMSCs. Additionally, we confrmed the presence of TGFβ Receptor I on VEH- and EDC-MMSCs using immunofuorescence staining (Fig. [1F](#page-5-0)). Importantly, we observed that several VEH- and EDC-MMSCs that exhibit positivity for TGFβ1 and TGFβ RI were in mitotic stages (Fig. [1](#page-5-0)E, F). Interestingly, the pathway analysis of EDC- over VEH-MMSCs RNA-seq data using Hallmark compendium showed signifcant enrichment for pathways related to cell cycle such as HALLMARK_MITOTIC_ SPLINDLE and HALLMARK_G2M_CHECKPOINT (Fig. S1 C), suggesting a potential efect of EDC exposure on the cell cycle. SMADs are the main transducers of the TGFβ superfamily signal from the cell surface to the nucleus [[46](#page-14-17)]. We found that the mRNA and protein levels of SMAD2 and p-SMAD2, which is considered a marker of TGFβ signaling activation, are increased, respectively, in EDC-MMSCs compared to the control (Fig. [1G](#page-5-0), H) while *Smad3* (Fig. [1G](#page-5-0)) mRNA levels did not change. Overall, these results show that the TGFβ1 pathway is

Fig. 1 The TGFβ1 pathway is overactivated in MMSCs isolated from rats neonatally exposed to EDC. Real-time PCR analysis of mRNAs, protein levels and representative gels of **A** LTBP1, **B** THBS1, and **C** TGFβ1 (arrows indicate band for: Pro-TGFβ1 at 44 kDa, Dimer of mature TGFβ1 at 25 kDa, and Monomer of mature TGFβ1 at 12.5 kDa) in VEH- and EDC-MMSCs isolated from 5-month-old rats. **D** TGFβ1 levels in culture supernatants collected from VEHand EDC-MMSCs cultures. Immunofuorescence images of **E** TGFβ1 and **F** TGFβ RI in VEH- and EDC-MMSCs. Scale bar 20 µm. The white arrows indicate cells in mitotic stages expressing TGFβ1 or TGFβ RI. **F** mRNAs levels of *Smad2 and Smad3* in VEH- and EDC-

overactivated in MMSCs isolated from rats developmentally exposed to EDCs.

Early life exposure to EDCs provokes changes in MMSC NER pathway members

The analysis of EDC- and VEH-MMSC RNA-seq data demonstrated significant enrichment of the

MMSCs isolated from 5-month-old rats. **G** Representative gel of p-Smad2 and Smad2 in VEH- and EDC-MMSCs. mRNA data were normalized by the amount of *18S* and protein levels by the amount of β-actin. Data are shown as mean±S.E.M. from triplicate data. *ns* not signifcant. **p*<0.05, ****p*<0.001, *****p*<0.0001, Student's *t* test. *EDC* endocrine-disrupting chemical, *MMCSs* myometrial stem cells, *THBS1* thrombospondin 1, *LTBP1* latent TGFβ binding protein 1, *TGFβ1* transforming growth factor beta 1, *TGFβ RI* transforming growth factor beta receptor 1, *UtSM* human uterine smooth muscle cell line. TGFβ1 treatment: 10 ng/ml for 1 h (positive control)

HALLMARK_UV_RESPONSE pathways along with enrichment of other pathways related to DNA damage repair such as HALLMARK_G2M_CHECPOINT (Fig. S1 C). Moreover, 6 genes involved in nucleotide excision repair (NER) pathway showed changes in their mRNA expression levels between EDC-MMSCs and VEH-MMSC (Fig. S1 D). NER is the main pathway involved in repairing bulky DNA adducts formed by environmental carcinogenic

Fig. 2 Characterization of nucleotide excision repair (NER) pathway in VEH- and EDC-MMSCs. **A** mRNA levels of *Xpb, Xpc, Xpf, Ddb1, Ddb2,* and *Xpd* in VEH- and EDC-MMSCs isolated from 5-monthold rats. *18*S was used to normalize the expression data. **B** Representative gel and protein levels of XPA, XPB, XPC, XPF, DDB1, XPD, XPG, and DDB2 in VEH- and EDC-MMSCs isolated from 5-monthold rats. Data were normalized by the amount of β-actin protein lev-

els. **C** IHC images (×20 magnifcation, insets are at ×40 magnifcation) of XPA and XPC in myometrial tissues from 5-month-old Eker rats treated neonatally with VEH or EDC. Scale bar 200 µm. Data are shown as mean \pm S.E.M. from triplicate data. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, Student's *t* test. *EDC* endocrine-disrupting chemical, *MMSCs* myometrial stem cells, *XP* xeroderma pigmentosum, *DDB1/2* DNA damage-binding protein 1/2

sources such as UV light exposure or chemical agents [\[47](#page-14-18)]. DES, the EDC used in this work as a research tool, is metabolized to reactive intermediates that covalently bind to DNA and nuclear proteins forming adducts [[48](#page-14-19)]. To examine whether the factors that execute NER are regulated in MMSCs by environmental EDC exposure, we evaluate the mRNA and protein levels (Fig. [2A](#page-6-0), B, respectively) of several core enzymes involved in diferent steps during the NER process. The damage sensor XPC exhibited lower mRNA and protein levels in EDC-MMSCs contrasted with VEH-MMSCs. Interestingly, when we evaluated DDB1 and DDB2 (DNA damage-binding protein 1 and 2), which also play central roles in the damage recognition process, we found that DDB1 mRNA and protein levels increased in EDC-MMSCs compared to the control. In contrast, DDB2 (also known as XPE) showed decreased mRNA levels on MMSCs isolated from EDC-exposed rats compared to controls but we did not see any diferences in its protein levels. A previous study from our group have demonstrated that the mRNA levels of *Xpa*, which functions as a scafold to assemble other NER core factors around the DNA damage site [[49](#page-14-20)], were signifcantly downregulated in EDC-MMSCs compared with VEH-MMSCs [\[22](#page-13-18)]. In this work, we showed that the protein levels of XPA presented the same outcome (Fig. [2B](#page-6-0)). The DNA helicase XPB showed decreased mRNA and protein levels in EDC- versus VEH-MMSCs. However, we did not fnd any diference in the DNA helicase XPD mRNA or protein levels. XPF provides the endonuclease activity in a heterodimer complex that is essential for repairing DNA damage [[50\]](#page-14-21). We observed that XPF mRNA and protein levels decreased in EDC-MMSCs in comparison with VEH-MMSCs. In conclusion, these results demonstrate that early life exposure to EDCs provokes changes in several NER pathway members in rat MMSCs, mostly, decreasing their levels.

MMSCs present self-renewal and diferentiation capacities which are critical for myometrial tissue homeostasis. To evaluate whether the observed changes in NER pathway members take place also at the tissue level, we performed XPA and XPC IHC in myometrial tissues collected from adult rats (pre-fbroid) exposed neonatally to VEH or EDC (Fig. [2](#page-6-0)C). We found that the levels of both markers were lower in the myometrium of EDC-exposed rats compared to controls, suggesting that diferentiated myometrial cells maintain characteristics of the parent MMSCs.

EDC‑MMSCs present decreased ability to repair DNA by NER

To determine whether early life exposure to EDCs afects NER repair capability in MMSCs, we assessed the repair kinetic of cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers (CPD) after UVB exposure in VEH- and EDC-MMSCs. Cells were irradiated with 10 mJ/cm² UVB light and the cellular morphology was monitored by phase contrast light microscopy before and 9, 12, and 24 h after the exposure. Figure [3](#page-7-0)A illustrates that EDC-MMSCs revealed more cell death than VEH-MMSCs 9 h after UVB light exposure. Although at 24 h, VEH-MMSCs also presented death-related cell debris, the levels of cell debris were markedly enhanced in EDC-MMSCS. As expected, EDC-MMSCs presented a signifcantly decreased ability to repair the UVB-induced CPD compared with the control group at 6 h (VEH-MMSCs: $23.7\% \pm 2.5$ vs. EDC-MMSCs: $8.3\% \pm 4.7$, $p < 0.05$) and 12 h after the UVB

exposure (VEH-MMSCs: $76.3\% \pm 4.1$ vs. EDC-MMSCs: $7.6\% \pm 15$, $p < 0.05$) (Fig. [3B](#page-7-0)).

The transforming growth factor‑β1 (TGFβ1) pathway regulates NER in rat MMSCs

TGFβ1 signaling has been implicated in regulating NER in human immortalized keratinocytes (HaCaT) cells (Qiang et al. [[29\]](#page-14-1)), but the link between these two pathways has not been evaluated in MMSC. We hypothesized that TGFβ1 pathway activation will compromise NER-dependent DNA repair in the healthy MMSC while TGFβ1 signaling inhibition will revert NER impairment in EDC-MMSC. To verify this hypothesis, we treated VEH-MMSCs with exogenous TGFβ1 and we observed that this activation suppressed CPD repair at 6 h (VEH-MMSCs: $70.6\% \pm 2.9$ vs. VEH-MMSCs + TGFβ1: 33.3% ± 11.4, *p* < 0.05) and at 12 h (VEH-MMSCs: $71.3\% \pm 2.1$ vs. VEH-MMSCs + TGF β 1: $63.1\% \pm 1.2$, $p < 0.05$) after UVB light exposure (Fig. [3C](#page-7-0)). In this sense, when we inhibited TGFβ Receptor I on EDC-MMSCs we found that EDC-MMSCs recovered the capacity to repair CPD at 6 h (EDC-MMSCs: $25.9\% \pm 6.7$ vs.

Fig. 3 Efect of early life EDC exposure and TGFβ1 on CPD repair. **A** Bright-feld images of VEH- and EDC-MMSCs before (0 h) and after UVB exposure $(9, 12, \text{ and } 24 \text{ h}; 10 \text{ mJ/cm}^2)$. Magnification ×20. **B** Quantifcation of percentage (%) of CPD repair and a representative image of DNA slot blot in VEH- and EDC-MMSCs isolated from 5-month-old rats at 0, 6 and 12 h post-UVB (10 mJ/cm^2) . **C** Quantifcation of percentage (%) of CPD repair and a representative image of DNA slot blot in VEH-MMSC treated with vehicle (4 mM

HCl+0.1% BSA) or TGF β 1 (10 ng/ml) for 48 h and then collected at 0, 6 and 12 h post-UVB (10 mJ/cm2). **D** Quantifcation of percentage (%) of CPD repair and a representative image of DNA slot blot in EDC-MMSC treated with vehicle (<0.1% DMSO) or TGFβ1 receptor inhibitor $(2 \mu M)$ for 24 h and then collected at 0, 6, and 12 h post-UVB (10 mJ/cm²). Methylene blue (MB) was used as the loading control. Data are shown as mean \pm S.E.M. from triplicate data. **p*<0.05, Student's *t* test

Fig. 4 Efect of TGFβ1 pathway activation and inhibition on gene expression of rat VEH- and EDC-MMSCs. **A** Principal component analysis plot showing samples clustering and DEGs table (Fold change \geq 1.5, FDR of 0.05). **B** Heatmaps representing the DEGs clustered using Pearson correlation in VEH-MMSCs treated with vehicle or TGFβ1 (10 ng/ml) for 48 h (left), and EDC-MMSCs treated with vehicle or TGF β receptor I inhibitor (2 μ M) for 24 h (right). Data

are scaled by *Z*-score for each row. **C** Volcano plots showing genes downregulated (blue dots) or upregulated (red dots) statistically signifcant. **D** mRNA levels of *Uvrag* in VEH-MMSCs treated with vehicle or TGFβ1 (10 ng/ml) for 48 h, and EDC-MMSCs treated with vehicle or TGFβ receptor I inhibitor (2 µM) for 24 h. *18S* was used to normalize the expression data. Data are shown as mean \pm S.E.M. **p*<0.05, *****p*<0.0001

EDC-MMSCs + TGFβ RI inhibitor: $53.4% \pm 7$, *p* < 0.05) (Fig. [3](#page-7-0)D). These data imply that TGFβ1 pathway is involved in regulating NER pathways in rat MMSCs.

Uvrag gene expression is afected by TGFβ1 activation and inhibition on rat MMSCs

We performed RNA-seq analysis to further investigate the efect of TGFβ1 activation and inhibition in VEH and EDC-MMCs, respectively. The principal component analysis indicated that samples clustered by group (Fig. [4A](#page-8-0)). A total of 3220 DEG were found in the comparison of EH-MMSCs treated with vehicle or TGFβ1 (Fig. [4A](#page-8-0) and Supplementary Table 1). On the other hand, we observed 1402 DEG in EDC-MMSCs treated with vehicle or TGFβ Receptor I inhibitor (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)A and Supplementary Table 2). The heatmaps confrmed that samples were separated by treatment (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)B). The volcano plots in Fig. [4](#page-8-0)C illustrate the distribution of DEG in VEH-MMSCs treated with vehicle or TGFβ1 (top) and in EDC-MMSCs treated with vehicle or TGFβ Receptor I inhibitor (bottom). Genes of interest involved in NER and TGFβ1 pathways are indicated in the volcano plots. Interestingly, we observed that *Xpa* and *Xpc*, two NER members that were downregulated in EDC- compared to VEH-MMSCs (Fig. [2](#page-6-0)), were also downregulated on VEH-MMSC after the treatment with exogenous TGFβ1 (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)C, top). In addition, the *Ddb1* gene, which showed increased mRNA and protein levels in EDC- compared to VEH-MMSCs (Fig. [2](#page-6-0)), presented the same outcome in TGF β 1treated VEH-MMSCs compared to the control (Fig. [4C](#page-8-0), top).

However, *Xpf* (also known as *Ercc1*) showed the opposite result, since its levels were decreased in EDC- compared to VEH-MMSCs (Fig. [2](#page-6-0)) but increased in VEH-MMSC after the TGF β 1 treatment (Fig. [4C](#page-8-0), top). Regarding the effect of TGFβ Receptor I inhibitor on EDC-MMSCs transcriptome, we observed that the treatment increased the levels of *Xpc* gene (Fig. [4C](#page-8-0), bottom), which, as we mentioned above, were downregulated in EDC- compared to VEH-MMSCs (Fig. [2\)](#page-6-0). It is important to highlight that, as expected, the treatment of EDC-MMSCs with TGFβ Receptor I inhibitor downregulated several genes that belong to TGFβ1 signaling such as *Thbs1, Ltbp1, Tgfb1, Tgfbr1, Smad6, and Smad7* (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)C, bottom). Furthermore, UV radiation resistanceassociated gene (*Uvrag*) expression was downregulated in VEH-MMSCs after the treatment with exogenous TGFβ1 and upregulated after TGFβ Receptor I inhibition in EDC-MMSCs. *Uvrag* specifcally interacts with DDB1, which together with DDB2, checks the whole genome for damage independently of transcriptional status [[51](#page-14-22)]. We confrmed the results by qPCR (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)D), noting that *Uvrag* mRNA levels were decreased in EDC compared to VEH-MMSCS. Next, we analyzed the top enriched Hallmark gene sets in VEH-MMSCs treated with TGF $β1$ or vehicle (Fig. [5A](#page-9-0)) and EDC-MMSCs treated with TGFβ Receptor I inhibitor or

vehicle (Fig. [5](#page-9-0)B) by Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) using Hallmark biological processes. In addition, we have tested GSEA using CP: REACTOME and CD: KEGG collections (Supplemental Fig. 2). The most signifcant enriched pathway in VEH-MMSCs treated with TGFβ1 in comparison with the vehicle was HALLMARK_MTORC1_SIGN-ALING (Fig. [5A](#page-9-0)). On the other hand, the HALLMARK_ INTERFERON_GAMMA_RESPONSE pathway emerged as the most enriched, followed by the HALLMARK_ INTERFERON_ALPHA_RESPONSE pathway, in EDC-MMSCs treated with the TGFβ Receptor I inhibitor compared to the vehicle (Fig. [5B](#page-9-0)). Remarkably, these pathways appeared as downregulated in VEH-MMSCs treated with TGFβ1 in contrast to the control (Fig. [5](#page-9-0)A). Additionally, the GSEA performed using the Reactome gene set collection indicated that the REACTOME_INTERFERON_ALPHA_ BETA_SIGNALING pathway was among the top enriched (Supplemental Fig. 2). It is important to emphasize that several pathways related to translation stages and, consequently, the process of protein biosynthesis, have been found among the most enriched in the GSEA in both comparisons. These results were observed in the analyses performed using HALLMARK, REACTOME, or KEGG gene set compendiums (Fig. [5](#page-9-0)A and Supplemental Fig. 2). Notably, GSEA

Fig. 5 Efect of TGFβ1 pathway activation and inhibition on pathway enrichment of rat VEH- and EDC-MMSCs. A bubble chart of the gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) (top) and enrichment plot (bottom) using the Hallmark MSigDB collection for **A** VEH-MMSCs treated with vehicle or TGFβ1, and **B** EDC-MMSCs treated with

vehicle or TGFβ Receptor I inhibitor comparisons. Normalized enrichment score (NES) is a metric whose sign corresponds to which end of the dataset is enriched in the tested gene set. The arrows indicate enriched pathways related to NER (orange) and TGFβ (red) signaling

identifed that HALLMARK_UV_RESPONSE_DN gene set were signifcantly altered in EDC-MMSCs after TGFβ Receptor I inhibitor (Fig. [5](#page-9-0)B). These results confrm the link that exists between TGFβ1 and NER pathways.

Discussion

Transforming growth factor beta (TGFβ) is a multipotent cytokine that is involved in several pathological processes in many cell types. Misregulation of TGFβ activity has been related to tumorigenesis [\[52](#page-14-23)], including the development of UFs [[27\]](#page-13-23). Recent studies have identifed a connection between EDCs and TGF β [\[32,](#page-14-4) [53,](#page-14-24) [54\]](#page-14-25). Song et al. [[53\]](#page-14-24) showed that bisphenol S (BPS), an industrial EDC, increases the mRNA and protein levels of TGFβ in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cells, and that their upregulation mediates BPS-induced NSCLC cell migration. Interestingly, in 3D human uterine leiomyoma (ht-UtLM) spheroids, the treatment with tetrabromobisphenol A (TBBPA), a derivative of bisphenol A (BPA), were found to induce an upregulated expression of profbrotic genes and corresponding proteins associated with the TGF β pathway [[32\]](#page-14-4). In this sense, Li et al. [\[54](#page-14-25)] demonstrated, using transcriptomic analysis, that phenolic environmental estrogens such as BPA and nonylphenol promote uterine fbroid primary cells proliferation by regulating TGFβ signaling pathway. The previously mentioned studies focused on the direct efects of EDC exposure on TGFβ signaling, but it is likely that both direct and developmental EDC exposure may be mediated through similar pathways. In this sense, BPA exposure in pregnant rats delayed bone development and reduced bone mass in female ofspring, and these results were accompanied by downregulated TGFβ signaling pathway in the bone tissue [\[55\]](#page-14-26). In contrast, maternal exposure to di-n-butyl phthalate (DBP), which induces renal fibrosis in adult rat offspring, is related to increased TGFβ mRNA and protein levels in the kidneys of DBP-exposed compared to unexposed 18-months old ofspring [\[56](#page-14-27)]. In this current work, we have observed that EDC-MMSCs presented increased mRNA and protein levels of LTBP1, THBS1, and TGFβ1, and higher secreted levels of TGFβ1 compared to VEH-MMSCs, concluding that developmental EDC exposure overactivated TGFβ1 pathway. In the study by Liu et al. [[32\]](#page-14-4), TBBPA treatment activated TGFβ signaling through phosphorylation of TGFβR1 and downstream efectors SMAD2 and SMAD3 in a 3D ht-UtLM spheroid model. Similarly in our study, we have detected increased levels of SMAD2 phosphorylation confrming the downstream activation of TGFβ pathway, which after oligomerization with SMAD4, binds the DNA to mediate transcriptional activation or repression of target genes [[57\]](#page-14-28).

Besides the capacity of the EDCs to mimic the action of endogenous hormones, they have also been reported to exert genotoxic and mutagenic efects [[58](#page-14-29), [59\]](#page-14-30). The exposure to EDCs *in uterus* or during early life is associated with the progression of diseases later in life [\[60](#page-14-31), [61](#page-14-32)]. Developmental periods present increased susceptibility to environmental stressors and these components become important risk factors for adverse health outcomes. In this sense, epidemiological studies have suggested associations between several EDCs and increased UF prevalence and severity [[62–](#page-15-0)[65\]](#page-15-1). In addition, experimental animal studies have shown evidence that early life exposure to EDCs, such as DES or genistein, induces anatomic abnormalities in the reproductive tract, including uterine tumors [\[66](#page-15-2), [67\]](#page-15-3). It is important to highlight that environmental exposure to EDCs also can reprogram the cell epigenome, resulting in gene expression changes [\[6](#page-13-5)].

Several studies have demonstrated the presence of MMSCs [[68](#page-15-4), [69\]](#page-15-5), which are able to self-renew while producing daughter cells that diferentiate, and are susceptible to reprogramming by EDC exposure. Evidence points out that EDC or their reactive intermediates can interact with DNA altering DNA bases and leading to DNA damage [[58,](#page-14-29) [70](#page-15-6)]. Among the most common DNA lesions, single- and double-strand breaks [[71\]](#page-15-7), oxidative damage [[72](#page-15-8)] and DNA adducts formation [[73,](#page-15-9) [74\]](#page-15-10) have been reported. Moreover, EDC can act through epigenetic mechanisms by which DNA damage repair is altered. The incapacity to correctly repair the DNA damage provoked by these compounds can lead to mutations, and consequently, cells undergo modifcations resulting in tumorigenesis. Moreover, the accepted model for the development of UFs establishes that they originate from an abnormal MMSC that acquire a driver mutation in pivotal genes such as *TSC-2* in the Eker rat or *MED12* in women. In particular, the Eker rat (*TSC-2*Ek/+), inactivation of the wild-type *TSC-2* allele commonly occurs by loss of heterozygosity (LOH, caused by direct deletion, deletion due to unbalanced rearrangements, etc.). However, other mechanisms such as point mutation have also been reported [[75](#page-15-11)]. Here, we showed that MMSCs isolated from Eker rats that were exposed in early life to DES, a potent EDC, presented lower nucleotide excision repair (NER) capacity compared to VEH-MMSCs. Importantly, defective NER causes the accumulation of point mutations and genomic instability [[76](#page-15-12)]. Therefore, this impaired capacity to repair the DNA could lead to the loss of the wild-type *TSC-2* allele in EDC-MMSCs, which would trigger the development of uterine tumors at a higher frequency in EDC-exposed Eker rats than unexposed. We found that the observed decreased NER capacity could be related to lower mRNA and protein levels of several members of this pathway, such as XPC, an indispensable factor for the initial recognition of bulky DNA damage [[77](#page-15-13)]. There is evidence that demonstrates that the availability and the activity of NER factors can be regulated

by environmental factors. In this sense, Notch et al. [\[78\]](#page-15-14) described a marked reduction in the expression of genes involved in the NER pathway, including XPC, in the livers of zebrafsh exposed to the xenoestrogen 17-α-ethinylestradiol (EE2). This study expanded our previous fndings showing that developmental EDC exposure decreased DNA endjoining ability $[22]$ $[22]$, and impaired ability to repair DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) by homologous recombination pathway [[21\]](#page-13-17) in rat MMSCs.

Furthermore, we showed that increased levels of TGFβ1 afected the repair of bulky DNA damage, through modulation of nucleotide excision repair (NER). Although contradictory, several works showed a connection between TGFβ1 and DNA damage. While some authors showed that the inhibition of TGFβ pathway tends to mitigate DNA damage responses and increase genomic instability [\[79–](#page-15-15)[81\]](#page-15-16), others demonstrated a possible role of activated TGFβ signaling in reducing the expression and/or activity of some genes involved in DNA repair [[29](#page-14-1), [82](#page-15-17), [83\]](#page-15-18). Regarding TGFβ1 and NER pathway, Qiang et al. [[29\]](#page-14-1) showed that the activation of the TGFβ pathway impairs UV-induced DNA repair by suppressing the transcription of XPC and DDB1. Additionally, we demonstrated that the treatment of VEH-MMSCs with exogenous TGFβ1 led to a decreased repair of DNA damage formed by ultraviolet-B radiation; a comparable response to that observed in EDC-MMSCs, which constitutively present activated endogenous TGFβ1 signaling. In accordance with this, we have shown that EDC-MMSCs treated with TGFβ RI inhibitor recover the capacity to repair the DNA damage after 6 h of UVB light exposure. Furthermore, we use RNAseq data to identify NER-related genes that are afected by activation or inhibition of TGFβ pathway in MMSCs. In particular, we discovered that TGFβ signaling regulates the expression of genes such as *UVRAG*, which is essential in the NER pathway.

The Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) indicated that HALLMARK_MTORC1_SIGNALING was the most significant enriched pathway in VEH-MMSCs treated with TGFβ1 in comparison with vehicle. Mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) is a serine/threonine protein kinase that regulates fundamental cell processes, from protein synthesis to cell growth [\[84](#page-15-19)]. Several studies have demonstrated a connection between TGF β and mTOR signaling [[85](#page-15-20), [86](#page-15-21)]. Interestingly, there is evidence that suggests cross talk between these pathways in the regulation of the epithelial to mesenchymal transition process [\[87\]](#page-15-22). Importantly, HALLMARK_EPITHE-LIAL_MESENCHYMAL_TRANSITION pathway was also found among the top enriched pathway in VEH-MMSCs treated with TGFβ1 compared to vehicle. On the other hand, this study demonstrated that activation or inhibition of TGFβ signaling led to alterations in interferon (INF)-related pathways. IFN are cytokines involved in the induction and regulation of a variety of immune responses [\[88](#page-15-23)]. In this study,

the treatment of EDC-MMSCs with TGF Receptor I inhibitor resulted in the upregulation of genes involved in INF γ , α, and β responses. In this sense, it has been demonstrated an antagonistic relationship between IFN and TGFβ [\[89,](#page-15-24) [90](#page-15-25)]. Remarkably, HALLMARK_UV_RESPONSE_DN pathway was enriched in EDC-MMSCs after TGFβ Receptor I inhibitor, confrming the existing link between TGFβ1 and NER pathways.

Our observations revealed that multiple VEH- and EDC-MMSCs displaying positivity for both TGFβ1 and TGFβ RI were in mitotic stages. Interestingly, TGFβ is a potent inhibitor of cell proliferation in a wide variety of cells. However, in transformed cells these regulatory mechanisms are often lost, leading to tumor cells becoming resistant to the growthinhibiting properties of TGF β [\[91,](#page-15-26) [92](#page-15-27)]. In line with this, a study conducted by Lee et al. revealed that uterine fbroid cells exhibited increased expression of TGFβ3 and a reduced sensitivity to the antiproliferative efects of this cytokine [[42](#page-14-33)]. Significantly, previous studies from our laboratory revealed a signifcant increase in the percentage of EDCcompared to VEH-MMSCs measured by FACs in neonatally exposed 5-month-old rats [[34\]](#page-14-6). These fndings suggest that EDC exposure afects MMSCs expansion. Consequently, we can infer that the upregulation of TGFβ observed on EDC-MMSCs does not exert suppressive efects on proliferation on these cells. Furthermore, it is well known that TGFβ mediates G1 cell cycle arrest in various cell types [[91](#page-15-26)]. Importantly, NER plays an important role during G1 phase to remove bulky lesions, such as those caused by genotoxic stressors [[93\]](#page-15-28). It have been demonstrated that DNA repair genes are often translationally regulated during the cell cycle [[94](#page-15-29)]. Specifcally, genes for repair proteins involved in the initial steps of NER pathway, such as XPC, are not detected as cell cycle-regulated at the transcript level, nor are any of the other XP-genes, including XPA, XPB, XPD, DDB1 (XPE), XPF, or XPG [\[94](#page-15-29)]. Hence, the observed differences in gene and protein expression levels between EDCand VEH-MMSCs on NER members are unlikely to be due to the potential efect of TGFβ on the cell cycle. Remarkably, Pal et al. demonstrated on NSCLC and osteosarcoma cell lines that although the treatment with TGFβ1 reduced the expression of DNA repair genes within 9 h, it did not induce any signifcant changes in the distribution of cells in G1/S/G2 within the same timeframe [[82](#page-15-17)]. Additionally, Zheng et al. did not observe efect on cell cycle progression in human hepatocellular carcinoma cells exposed to TGFβ [[95](#page-15-30)].

While we have identifed TGFβ and NER pathways as signifcant contributors to the efects of EDC exposure in rat MMSCs, it is important to point out that these chemicals can also impact other signaling. Moreover, to fully understand the precise mechanism by which $TGF\beta$ signaling impairs MMSCs NER capacity, further investigations

Fig. 6 Early life exposure to endocrine-disrupting chemicals reprograms rat MMSC and impaired their capacity to repair the DNA. Myometrial stem cells (MMSC) from Eker rats exposed to endocrinedisrupting chemicals (EDCs) in early life present lower levels of several members of nucleotide excision repair (NER) pathway, and overactivation of the TGFβ pathway which is also linked to changes in

this DNA damage repair signaling. The reprogrammed MMSCs present impaired NER capacity, leading to increased genetic instability, arise of mutations, and their transformation into tumor-initiating stem cells, which would result in uterine tumorigenesis later in life. Created with BioRender.com

are warranted. Specifcally, it remains to be determined whether this effect involves transcriptional or posttranscriptional regulation, or epigenetic modifcations. In this regard, Liu et al. [[96](#page-15-31)] demonstrated that BPA exposure in UF cells altered H3K27ac levels, resulting in the upregulation of the XBP1 transcription factor which in turn afected the activation of integrin subunit α 2 (ITGA2)/PI3K/AKT signaling pathway, leading to increased proliferation of UF cells. Regardless, our analysis provides valuable insights into the underlying mechanisms associated with the impact of EDCs exposure on the origin of uterine fbroids. Importantly, by identifying key molecular pathways and genes involved, researchers can incorporate these factors into their experimental models, enabling more accurate and targeted investigations. Moreover, such understanding contributes to the development of better diagnostic tools, preventive measures, and therapeutic interventions in the feld of reproductive biology and environmental health.

EDC exposure may be one factor driving the observed disparities in UF burden between Black and White women [\[97\]](#page-15-32), as studies suggest that exposure to certain environmental EDC is higher in non-whites populations [[98](#page-15-33)]. In this sense, a systematic review published by Ruiz et al. affirms that Black, Latinos, and low-income individuals have greater exposure rates to EDCs, such as certain phthalates, BPA, polychlorinated biphenyls, and organochlorine pesticides, than any other ethnic and sociodemographic population groups [[99\]](#page-16-0). Importantly, it is essential to recognize modifable sources of EDC exposure that bring opportunities for reduction in racial/ethnic health disparities.

In summary, our fndings pinpoint a link between the overactivation of TGFβ and the impaired ability of rat MMSCs to repair DNA damage through the NER pathway, and both provoked by the developmental exposition to EDC, which have implications for uterine tumor development (Fig. 6).

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-023-04928-z>.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants RO1 HD094378, RO1 ES028615, and U54 MD007602. We thank The University of Chicago Genomics Facility (RRID:SCR_019196), especially Pieter W. Faber, for their assistance with Illumina RNA sequencing. This work was supported in part through the computational resources and staff expertise provided by Evan Wu and Wenjun Kang from the Center for Research Informatics at The University of Chicago. We thank The University of Chicago Human Tissue Resource Center (RRID:SCR_019199), especially Dr. Terri Li and Dr. Can Gong, for their assistance with immunohistochemistry services.

Author contributions MVB made the major contribution to the acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of the data, and drafted the manuscript. YHC performed CPD slot blot, analyzed it, and interpreted the data. MA cultured rat MMSC, performed TGFβ1 western blot, analyzed it, and interpreted the data. TB cultured rat MMSC. SLG and CC analyzed VEH and EDC-MMSCs transcriptomic data. CLW supplied the Eker rats and performed DES exposures. QY and AAH contributed to the concept and design of the article. YYH, QY, and AAH revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

Funding This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants RO1 HD094378, RO1 ES028615, and U54 MD007602.

Data availability The datasets (Raw FASTQ files) generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus database with accession number GSE157503 (Supplementary Fig. 1—VEH-MMSC and EDC-MMSC) and GSE225636 (Figs. [4](#page-8-0) and [5—](#page-9-0)VEH-MMSC, VEH-MMSC+TGFβ1, EDC-MMSC, and EDC-MMSCs+TGFβ RI inhibitor).

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no known competing fnancial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to infuence the work reported in this paper.

Ethics approval All animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the policies and procedures set by the Institutional Animal Care

& Use Committee (IACUC), Baylor College of Medicine (protocol # AN-7189).

Consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- 1. Yang Q, Ciebiera M, Bariani MV et al (2022) Comprehensive review of uterine fbroids: developmental origin, pathogenesis, and treatment. Endocr Rev 43:678–719. [https://doi.org/10.1210/](https://doi.org/10.1210/endrev/bnab039) [endrev/bnab039](https://doi.org/10.1210/endrev/bnab039)
- 2. Cohen SL, Vitonis AF, Einarsson JI (2014) Updated hysterectomy surveillance and factors associated with minimally invasive hysterectomy. JSLS J Soc Laparoendosc Surg 18(e2014):00096. <https://doi.org/10.4293/JSLS.2014.00096>
- 3. Padmanabhan V, Song W, Puttabyatappa M (2021) Praegnatio perturbatio—impact of endocrine-disrupting chemicals. Endocr Rev 42:295–353. <https://doi.org/10.1210/endrev/bnaa035>
- 4. Crain DA, Janssen SJ, Edwards TM et al (2008) Female reproductive disorders: the roles of endocrine-disrupting compounds and developmental timing. Fertil Steril 90:911–940. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2008.08.067) [10.1016/j.fertnstert.2008.08.067](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2008.08.067)
- 5. Bariani MV, Rangaswamy R, Siblini H et al (2020) The role of endocrine-disrupting chemicals in uterine fbroid pathogenesis. Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes 27:380–387. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1097/MED.0000000000000578) [10.1097/MED.0000000000000578](https://doi.org/10.1097/MED.0000000000000578)
- 6. Yang Q, Diamond MP, Al-Hendy A (2016) Early life adverse environmental exposures increase the risk of uterine fbroid development: role of epigenetic regulation. Front Pharmacol. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2016.00040) doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2016.00040
- 7. D'Aloisio AA, Baird DD, DeRoo LA, Sandler DP (2010) Association of intrauterine and early-life exposures with diagnosis of uterine leiomyomata by 35 years of age in the sister study. Environ Health Perspect 118:375–381. [https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.09014](https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0901423) [23](https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0901423)
- 8. Omwandho COA, Konrad L, Halis G et al (2010) Role of TGF- s in normal human endometrium and endometriosis. Hum Reprod 25:101–109.<https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dep382>
- 9. D'Aloisio AA, Baird DD, DeRoo LA, Sandler DP (2012) Earlylife exposures and early-onset uterine leiomyomata in black women in the sister study. Environ Health Perspect 120:406–412. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1103620>
- 10. Baird DD, Newbold R (2005) Prenatal diethylstilbestrol (DES) exposure is associated with uterine leiomyoma development. Reprod Toxicol 20:81–84. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2005.01.002) [2005.01.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2005.01.002)
- 11. Walker CL, Hunter D, Everitt JI (2003) Uterine leiomyoma in the Eker rat: a unique model for important diseases of women. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 38:349–356. [https://doi.org/10.1002/gcc.](https://doi.org/10.1002/gcc.10281) [10281](https://doi.org/10.1002/gcc.10281)
- 12. Cook JD, Davis BJ, Cai S-L et al (2005) Interaction between genetic susceptibility and early-life environmental exposure determines tumor-suppressor-gene penetrance. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102:8644–8649.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0503218102>
- 13. Hunter D, Heng K, Mann N et al (2021) Maternal exposure to dibutyl phthalate (DBP) or diethylstilbestrol (DES) leads to longterm changes in hypothalamic gene expression and sexual behavior. Int J Mol Sci 22:4163.<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22084163>
- 14. Mas A, Cervelló I, Gil-Sanchis C et al (2012) Identifcation and characterization of the human leiomyoma side population as putative tumor-initiating cells. Fertil Steril 98:741-751.e6. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2012.04.044) [org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2012.04.044](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2012.04.044)
- 15. Ono M, Maruyama T, Masuda H et al (2007) Side population in human uterine myometrium displays phenotypic and functional characteristics of myometrial stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104:18700–18705. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0704472104>
- 16. Chang HL, Senaratne TN, Zhang L et al (2010) Uterine leiomyomas exhibit fewer stem/progenitor cell characteristics when compared with corresponding normal myometrium. Reprod Sci 17:158–167.<https://doi.org/10.1177/1933719109348924>
- 17. Elkafas H, Qiwei Y, Al-Hendy A (2017) Origin of uterine fbroids: conversion of myometrial stem cells to tumor-initiating cells. Semin Reprod Med 35:481–486. [https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0037-](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0037-1607205) [1607205](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0037-1607205)
- 18. Mani C, Reddy PH, Palle K (2020) DNA repair fdelity in stem cell maintenance, health, and disease. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis 1866:165444. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbadis.2019.03.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbadis.2019.03.017) [017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbadis.2019.03.017)
- 19. Iso T, Watanabe T, Iwamoto T et al (2006) DNA damage caused by bisphenol A and estradiol through estrogenic activity. Biol Pharm Bull 29:206–210. <https://doi.org/10.1248/bpb.29.206>
- 20. Xin F, Jiang L, Liu X et al (2014) Bisphenol A induces oxidative stress-associated DNA damage in INS-1 cells. Mutat Res Toxicol Environ Mutagen 769:29–33. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrgentox.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrgentox.2014.04.019) [2014.04.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrgentox.2014.04.019)
- 21. Elkafas H, Ali M, Elmorsy E et al (2020) Vitamin D3 ameliorates DNA damage caused by developmental exposure to endocrine disruptors in the uterine myometrial stem cells of Eker rats. Cells 9:1459.<https://doi.org/10.3390/cells9061459>
- 22. Prusinski Fernung LE, Yang Q, Sakamuro D et al (2018) Endocrine disruptor exposure during development increases incidence of uterine fbroids by altering DNA repair in myometrial stem cells. Biol Reprod.<https://doi.org/10.1093/biolre/ioy097>
- 23. Kusakabe M, Onishi Y, Tada H et al (2019) Mechanism and regulation of DNA damage recognition in nucleotide excision repair. Genes Environ 41:2.<https://doi.org/10.1186/s41021-019-0119-6>
- 24. Cleaver JE, Lam ET, Revet I (2009) Disorders of nucleotide excision repair: the genetic and molecular basis of heterogeneity. Nat Rev Genet 10:756–768. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2663>
- 25. Liu Z-Q, Chen G-G, Sun R-L et al (2018) XPG rs873601 G>A contributes to uterine leiomyoma susceptibility in a Southern Chinese population. Biosci Rep. [https://doi.org/10.1042/BSR20](https://doi.org/10.1042/BSR20181116) [181116](https://doi.org/10.1042/BSR20181116)
- 26. Prud'homme GJ (2007) Pathobiology of transforming growth factor β in cancer, fbrosis and immunologic disease, and therapeutic considerations. Lab Investig 87:1077–1091. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/labinvest.3700669) [1038/labinvest.3700669](https://doi.org/10.1038/labinvest.3700669)
- 27. Ciebiera M, Włodarczyk M, Wrzosek M et al (2017) Role of transforming growth factor β in uterine fbroid biology. Int J Mol Sci 18:2435. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18112435>
- 28. Liu Q, Lopez K, Murnane J et al (2019) Misrepair in context: TGFβ regulation of DNA repair. Front Oncol. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2019.00799) [3389/fonc.2019.00799](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2019.00799)
- 29. Qiang L, Shah P, Barcellos-Hoff MH, He YY (2016) TGF-β signaling links E-cadherin loss to suppression of nucleotide excision repair. Oncogene 35:3293–3302. [https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.](https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2015.390) [2015.390](https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2015.390)
- 30. Matsuda T, Yamamoto T, Muraguchi A, Saatcioglu F (2001) Cross-talk between transforming growth factor-β and estrogen receptor signaling through Smad3. J Biol Chem 276:42908– 42914. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M105316200>
- 31. Kang H-Y, Lin H-K, Hu Y-C et al (2001) From transforming growth factor-β signaling to androgen action: identifcation of Smad3 as an androgen receptor coregulator in prostate cancer cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98:3018–3023. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.061305498) [1073/pnas.061305498](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.061305498)
- 32. Liu J, Yu L, Castro L et al (2022) Short-term tetrabromobisphenol A exposure promotes fbrosis of human uterine fbroid cells in a 3D culture system through TGF-beta signaling. FASEB J. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.202101262R) [doi.org/10.1096/f.202101262R](https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.202101262R)
- 33. Park M-A, Choi K-C (2014) Efects of 4-nonylphenol and bisphenol A on stimulation of cell growth via disruption of the transforming growth factor-β signaling pathway in ovarian cancer models. Chem Res Toxicol 27:119–128. [https://doi.org/10.1021/](https://doi.org/10.1021/tx400365z) [tx400365z](https://doi.org/10.1021/tx400365z)
- 34. Mas A, Stone L, O'Connor PM et al (2017) Developmental exposure to endocrine disruptors expands murine myometrial stem cell compartment as a prerequisite to leiomyoma tumorigenesis. Stem Cells 35:666–678.<https://doi.org/10.1002/stem.2519>
- 35. Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW (2012) NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nat Methods 9:671–675. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089>
- 36. Derynck R, Kohei M (2008) TGF-β and the TGF-β Family. Cold Spring Harb Monogr Arch 50:29–43
- 37. Nichols AF (2003) Basal transcriptional regulation of human damage-specifc DNA-binding protein genes DDB1 and DDB2 by Sp1, E2F, N-myc and NF1 elements. Nucleic Acids Res 31:562– 569.<https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkg152>
- 38. Korach KS, Metzler M, McLachlan JA (1978) Estrogenic activity in vivo and in vitro of some diethylstilbestrol metabolites and analogs. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 75:468–471. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.75.1.468) [1073/pnas.75.1.468](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.75.1.468)
- 39. Gore AC, Walker DM, Zama AM et al (2011) Early life exposure to endocrine-disrupting chemicals causes lifelong molecular reprogramming of the hypothalamus and premature reproductive aging. Mol Endocrinol 25:2157–2168. [https://doi.org/10.1210/me.](https://doi.org/10.1210/me.2011-1210) [2011-1210](https://doi.org/10.1210/me.2011-1210)
- 40. Cometti BPS, Dubey RK, Imthurn B, Rosselli M (2018) Natural and environmental oestrogens induce TGFB1 synthesis in oviduct cells. Reproduction 155:233–244. [https://doi.org/10.1530/](https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-17-0425) [REP-17-0425](https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-17-0425)
- 41. Joseph DS, Malik M, Nurudeen S, Catherino WH (2010) Myometrial cells undergo fbrotic transformation under the infuence of transforming growth factor β-3. Fertil Steril 93:1500–1508. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2009.01.081>
- 42. Lee B-S, Nowak RA (2001) Human leiomyoma smooth muscle cells show increased expression of transforming growth factor-β3 (TGFβ3) and altered responses to the antiproliferative efects of TGFβ 1. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 86:913–920. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.86.2.7237) [1210/jcem.86.2.7237](https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.86.2.7237)
- 43. Arici A, Sozen I (2000) Transforming growth factor-β3 is expressed at high levels in leiomyoma where it stimulates fbronectin expression and cell proliferation. Fertil Steril 73:1006– 1011. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0015-0282\(00\)00418-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0015-0282(00)00418-0)
- 44. Robertson IB, Horiguchi M, Zilberberg L et al (2015) Latent TGF-β-binding proteins. Matrix Biol 47:44–53. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matbio.2015.05.005) [10.1016/j.matbio.2015.05.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matbio.2015.05.005)
- 45. Murphy-Ullrich JE, Suto MJ (2018) Thrombospondin-1 regulation of latent TGF-β activation: a therapeutic target for fbrotic disease. Matrix Biol 68–69:28–43. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matbio.2017.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matbio.2017.12.009) [12.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matbio.2017.12.009)
- 46. Witkowska M, Smolewski P (2014) SMAD family proteins: the current knowledge on their expression and potential role in neoplastic diseases. Postepy Hig Med Dosw 68:301–309. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.5604/17322693.1094726) [org/10.5604/17322693.1094726](https://doi.org/10.5604/17322693.1094726)
- 47. Cleaver JE (2005) Cancer in xeroderma pigmentosum and related disorders of DNA repair. Nat Rev Cancer 5:564–573. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc1652) [org/10.1038/nrc1652](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc1652)
- 48. Green M, Wilson C, Newell O et al (2005) Diallyl sulfde inhibits diethylstilbesterol-induced DNA adducts in the breast of female ACI rats. Food Chem Toxicol 43:1323–1331. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2005.02.005) [1016/j.fct.2005.02.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2005.02.005)
- 49. Borszéková Pulzová L, Ward TA, Chovanec M (2020) XPA: DNA repair protein of signifcant clinical importance. Int J Mol Sci 21:2182. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21062182>
- 50. Faridounnia M, Folkers G, Boelens R (2018) Function and interactions of ERCC1-XPF in DNA damage response. Molecules 23:3205. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23123205>
- 51. Gomes L, Menck C, Leandro G (2017) Autophagy roles in the modulation of DNA repair pathways. Int J Mol Sci 18:2351. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18112351>
- 52. Baba AB, Rah B, Bhat GR et al (2022) Transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β) signaling in cancer—a betrayal within. Front Pharmacol 13:791272.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2022.791272>
- 53. Song P, Fan K, Tian X, Wen J (2019) Bisphenol S (BPS) triggers the migration of human non-small cell lung cancer cells via upregulation of TGF-β. Toxicol Vitr 54:224–231. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2018.10.005) [10.1016/j.tiv.2018.10.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2018.10.005)
- 54. Li Z, Yin H, Shen Y et al (2021) The infuence of phenolic environmental estrogen on the transcriptome of uterine leiomyoma cells: a whole transcriptome profling-based analysis. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 211:111945. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2021.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2021.111945) [111945](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2021.111945)
- 55. Wang T, Xu F, Song L et al (2021) Bisphenol A exposure prenatally delays bone development and bone mass accumulation in female rat ofspring via the ERβ/HDAC5/TGFβ signaling pathway. Toxicology 458:152830. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2021.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2021.152830) [152830](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2021.152830)
- 56. Zhu Y-P, Chen L, Wang X-J et al (2017) Maternal exposure to di-*n*-butyl phthalate (DBP) induces renal fbrosis in adult rat ofspring. Oncotarget 8:31101–31111. [https://doi.org/10.18632/](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.16088) [oncotarget.16088](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.16088)
- 57. Hata A, Chen Y-G (2016) TGF-β Signaling from Receptors to Smads. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 8:a022061. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a022061) [org/10.1101/cshperspect.a022061](https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a022061)
- 58. Gwinn MR, Johns DO, Bateson TF, Guyton KZ (2011) A review of the genotoxicity of 1,2-dichloroethane (EDC). Mutat Res Mutat Res 727:42–53.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2011.01.001>
- 59. Hercog K, Maisanaba S, Filipič M et al (2019) Genotoxic activity of bisphenol A and its analogues bisphenol S, bisphenol F and bisphenol AF and their mixtures in human hepatocellular carcinoma (HepG2) cells. Sci Total Environ 687:267–276. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.486) [1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.486](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.486)
- 60. Heindel JJ, Balbus J, Birnbaum L et al (2015) Developmental origins of health and disease: integrating environmental infuences. Endocrinology 156:3416–3421. [https://doi.org/10.1210/](https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2015-1394) [en.2015-1394](https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2015-1394)
- 61. Hoover RN, Hyer M, Pfeifer RM et al (2011) Adverse health outcomes in women exposed in utero to diethylstilbestrol. N Engl J Med 365:1304–1314. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1013961>
- 62. Zota AR, Geller RJ, Calafat AM et al (2019) Phthalates exposure and uterine fbroid burden among women undergoing surgical treatment for fbroids: a preliminary study. Fertil Steril 111:112– 121.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2018.09.009>
- 63. Zota AR, Geller RJ, VanNoy BN et al (2020) Phthalate exposures and MicroRNA expression in uterine fbroids: the FORGE study. Epigenet Insights 13:251686572090405. [https://doi.org/10.1177/](https://doi.org/10.1177/2516865720904057) [2516865720904057](https://doi.org/10.1177/2516865720904057)
- 64. Lee J, Jeong Y, Mok S et al (2020) Associations of exposure to phthalates and environmental phenols with gynecological disorders. Reprod Toxicol 95:19–28. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2020.04.076) [2020.04.076](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2020.04.076)
- 65. Lee G, Kim S, Bastiaensen M et al (2020) Exposure to organophosphate esters, phthalates, and alternative plasticizers in association with uterine fbroids. Environ Res 189:109874. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.109874) [org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.109874](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.109874)
- 66. DeAnn CJ, Davis BJ, Goewey JA et al (2007) Identifcation of a sensitive period for developmental programming that increases risk for uterine leiomyoma in Eker rats. Reprod Sci 14:121–136. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1177/1933719106298401) doi.org/10.1177/1933719106298401
- 67. Greathouse KL, Bredfeldt T, Everitt JI et al (2012) Environmental estrogens diferentially engage the histone methyltransferase EZH2 to increase risk of uterine tumorigenesis. Mol Cancer Res 10:546– 557. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-11-0605>
- 68. Mas A, Nair S, Laknaur A et al (2015) Stro-1/CD44 as putative human myometrial and fibroid stem cell markers. Fertil Steril 104:225-234.e3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2015.04.021>
- 69. Ono M, Bulun SE, Maruyama T (2014) Tissue-specifc stem cells in the myometrium and tumor-initiating cells in leiomyoma. Biol Reprod.<https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.114.123794>
- 70. Sicińska P, Mokra K, Wozniak K et al (2021) Genotoxic risk assessment and mechanism of DNA damage induced by phthalates and their metabolites in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Sci Rep 11:1658. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-79932-5>
- 71. Vincent-Hubert F, Revel M, Garric J (2012) DNA strand breaks detected in embryos of the adult snails, Potamopyrgus antipodarum, and in neonates exposed to genotoxic chemicals. Aquat Toxicol 122–123:1–8.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2012.05.004>
- 72. Franken C, Koppen G, Lambrechts N et al (2017) Environmental exposure to human carcinogens in teenagers and the association with DNA damage. Environ Res 152:165–174. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2016.10.012) [envres.2016.10.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2016.10.012)
- 73. Zhao H, Wei J, Xiang L, Cai Z (2018) Mass spectrometry investigation of DNA adduct formation from bisphenol A quinone metabolite and MCF-7 cell DNA. Talanta 182:583–589. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2018.02.037) [1016/j.talanta.2018.02.037](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2018.02.037)
- 74. Saeed M, Rogan E, Cavalieri E (2009) Mechanism of metabolic activation and DNA adduct formation by the human carcinogen diethylstilbestrol: the defning link to natural estrogens. Int J Cancer 124:1276–1284.<https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.24113>
- 75. Cook J, Walker C (2004) The Eker rat: establishing a genetic paradigm linking renal cell carcinoma and uterine leiomyoma. Curr Mol Med 4:813–824.<https://doi.org/10.2174/1566524043359656>
- 76. Gerasymchuk M (2021) Genomic instability and aging: causes and consequences. In: Genome stability: from virus to human application. Elsevier Inc., pp 533–553
- 77. Shah P, He Y-Y (2015) Molecular regulation of UV-induced DNA repair. Photochem Photobiol 91:254–264. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/php.12406) [php.12406](https://doi.org/10.1111/php.12406)
- 78. Notch EG, Miniutti DM, Mayer GD (2007) 17α-Ethinylestradiol decreases expression of multiple hepatic nucleotide excision repair genes in zebrafsh (*Danio rerio*). Aquat Toxicol 84:301–309. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2007.06.006) doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2007.06.006
- 79. Kirshner J, Jobling MF, Pajares MJ et al (2006) Inhibition of transforming growth factor-β1 signaling attenuates ataxia telangiectasia

mutated activity in response to genotoxic stress. Cancer Res 66:10861–10869. <https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-2565>

- 80. Glick AB, Weinberg WC, Wu IH et al (1996) Transforming growth factor beta 1 suppresses genomic instability independent of a G1 arrest, p53, and Rb. Cancer Res 56:3645–3650
- 81. Maxwell CA, Fleisch MC, Costes SV et al (2008) Targeted and nontargeted effects of ionizing radiation that impact genomic instability. Cancer Res 68:8304–8311. [https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-1212) [CAN-08-1212](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-1212)
- 82. Pal D, Pertot A, Shirole NH et al (2017) TGF-β reduces DNA dsbreak repair mechanisms to heighten genetic diversity and adaptability of CD44+/CD24− cancer cells. Elife. [https://doi.org/10.7554/](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.21615) [eLife.21615](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.21615)
- 83. Liu L, Zhou W, Cheng C-T et al (2014) TGFβ induces "BRCAness" and sensitivity to PARP inhibition in breast cancer by regulating DNA-repair genes. Mol Cancer Res 12:1597–1609. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-14-0201) [10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-14-0201](https://doi.org/10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-14-0201)
- 84. Saxton RA, Sabatini DM (2017) mTOR Signaling in Growth, Metabolism, and Disease. Cell 168:960–976
- 85. Gabriel SS et al (2021) Transforming growth factor-β-regulated mTOR activity preserves cellular metabolism to maintain long-term T cell responses in chronic infection. Immunity 54:1698–1714.e5
- 86. Woodcock H et al (2015) mTOR signalling is an essential pathway for TGF-β 1 induced collagen synthesis in 3.3 Mechanisms of Lung Injury and Repair, (European Respiratory Society, 2015), p PA935
- 87. Lamouille S, Derynck R (2007) Cell sizeand invasion in TGF-β– induced epithelial to mesenchymal transition is regulated by activation of the mTOR pathway. J Cell Biol178:437–451
- 88. Price GE, Gaszewska-Mastarlarz A, Moskophidis D (2000) The role of Alpha/Beta and Gamma interferonsin development of immunity to Infuenza A Virus in Mice. J Virol 74:3996–4003
- 89. Hamon P, et al (2022) TGFβ receptorinhibition unleashes interferon-β production by tumor-associated macrophages and enhances radiotherapy efficacy. J ImmunotherCancer 10:e003519
- 90. Park I-K, Shultz LD, Letterio JJ, Gorham JD (2005) TGF-β1 inhibits T-bet induction by IFN-γ inMurine CD4+ T cells through the Protein Tyrosine Phosphatase Src Homology Region 2 Domain-Containing Phosphatase-1. JImmunol 175:5666–5674
- 91. Donovan J, Slingerland J (2000) Transforming growth factor-β and breast cancer: cell cycle arrest by transforming growth factor-β and its disruption in cancer. Breast Cancer Res 2:116. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1186/bcr43) [1186/bcr43](https://doi.org/10.1186/bcr43)
- 92. Kubiczkova L, Sedlarikova L, Hajek R, Sevcikova S (2012) TGF-β—an excellent servant but a bad master. J Transl Med 10:183. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5876-10-183>
- 93. Branzei D, Foiani M (2008) Regulation of DNA repair throughout the cell cycle. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 9:297–308. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2351) [1038/nrm2351](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2351)
- 94. Mjelle R, Hegre SA, Aas PA et al (2015) Cell cycle regulation of human DNA repair and chromatin remodeling genes. DNA Repair (Amst) 30:53–67.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dnarep.2015.03.007>
- 95. Zheng H, Jarvis IWH, Bottai M et al (2019) TGF beta promotes repair of bulky DNA damage through increased ERCC1/XPF and ERCC1/XPA interaction. Carcinogenesis 40:580–591. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgy156) [org/10.1093/carcin/bgy156](https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgy156)
- 96. Liu J, Yu L, Castro L, et al (2022) Shortterm tetrabromobisphenol A exposure promotes fbrosis of human uterine fbroid cells in a 3D culture system through TGF‐betasignaling. FASEB J. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.202101262R) [org/10.1096/f.202101262R](https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.202101262R)
- 97. Eltoukhi HM, Modi MN, Weston M et al (2014) The health disparities of uterine fbroid tumors for African American women: a public health issue. Am J Obstet Gynecol 210:194–199. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2013.08.008) [1016/j.ajog.2013.08.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2013.08.008)
- James-Todd TM, Chiu Y-H, Zota AR (2016) Racial/ethnic disparities in environmental endocrine disrupting chemicals and women's reproductive health outcomes: epidemiological examples across the

life course. Curr Epidemiol Rep 3:161–180. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40471-016-0073-9) [s40471-016-0073-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40471-016-0073-9)

99. Ruiz D, Becerra M, Jagai JS et al (2018) Disparities in environmental exposures to endocrine-disrupting chemicals and diabetes risk in vulnerable populations. Diabetes Care 41:193–205. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.2337/dc16-2765) [10.2337/dc16-2765](https://doi.org/10.2337/dc16-2765)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.