CHRIS F INGLEHEARN EMMA E TARTTELIN T JEFFERY KEEN Molecular Medicine Unit, St James's University Hospital, Leeds, UK SHOMI S BHATTACHARYA

Department of Molecular Genetics, Institute of Ophthalmology, London, UK

ANTHONY T MOORE

ANTHONY I MOOKE Ophthalmology Department, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, UK

> RACHEL TAYLOR ALAN C BIRD

Department of Clinical Ophthalmology, Institute of Ophthalmology, London, UK

- Boughman JA, Conneally PM, Nance WE. Population genetic studies of retinitis pigmentosa. Am J Hum Genet 1980;32:223-35.
- Inglehearn CF. Molecular prospanza for inherited retinal dystrophies. *Eye* 1998;12:571-9.
 Sung CH, Davenport CM, Hennessey JC, et al.
- Studg CH, Davenport CM, Heinessey JC, et al.
 Rhodopsin mutations in autosomal dominant retinitis pigmentosa. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1991;88:6481-5.
 Inglehearn CF, Tarttelin EE, Plant C, et al. A
- 4 ingeneration Cr, farthenn EE, Flaht C, et al. A genetic survey of twenty dominant retinitis pigmentosa families: frequencies of the eight known loci and evidence for further heterogeneity. *J Med Genet* 1998;35:1-5.
- Souied EH, Rozet JM, Gerber S, et al. Screening for mutations within the rhodopsin, peripherin-RDS and ROM1 genes in autosomal dominant retinitis pigmentosa (ADRP) in pedigrees from France. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1995;36(suppl):890.
 Xu S, Schwartz M, Rosenberg T, Gal A. A ninth
- 6 Xu S, Schwartz M, Rosenberg T, Gal A. A ninth locus (RP18) for autosomal dominant retinitis pigmentosa maps in the pericentric region of chromosome 1. Hum Mol Genet 1996;8:1193-7.
- 7 Xu S, Rosenburg T, Gal A. Refined genetic mapping of autosomal dominant retinitis pigmentosa locus RP18 reduces the critical region to 2 cM between D1S442 and D1S2858 on chromosome 1a, *Hum Genet* 1998:102:493-4.
- b) 2 Chrosome 1q. Hum Genet 1998;102:493-4.
 8 Lyness AL, Ernst W, Quinlan MP, et al. A clinical, psychophysical and electroretinographic survey of patients with autosomal dominant retinits pigmentosa. Br J Ophthalmol 1985;69: 326-39.

Frequency of inherited deletions of 22q11

We read with interest the report by Ryan *et al*¹ on the spectrum of clinical features associated with 22q11 deletions, in which they aim "to increase the clinical information on which

 management and counselling can be based". They report a frequency of inherited deletions of 28% in their study. We have looked at the frequency of familial cases of 22q11 deletions in patients from all of Wales, none of whom was included in the European collaborative study, and have found a much lower frequency.

Since starting investigations for 22q11 deletions, it has been our policy to test both parents of all deletion cases irrespective of the reason for referral. Fifty cases with a deletion have now been detected, and these have been referred by clinicians from a range of disciplines, including medical genetics, paediatrics, cardiology, psychiatry, and nephrology. In 41 cases both parents were studied and in two cases only maternal blood was available. Most of the families who have not been studied are recent referrals. The deletion was inherited in only four out of the 41 cases, giving a frequency of approximately 10%. The four cases of parental transmission were all maternal, and all parents with the microdeletion showed facial features associated with 22q11 deletion. One patient had a corrected double outlet right ventricle, another had a ventral septal defect which closed spontaneously in childhood.

The frequency of familial transmission in our group of patients of 10% is nearer the figure of 8% reported by Driscoll et al² in the only other large study than the 28% reported by the European collaborative study.1 It is difficult to explain the large discrepancy between these figures; however, we would stress that where possible we follow up all cases irrespective of parental phenotypes, and although the collaborative study is a far larger one, the authors recognise that patient selection for testing because of suggestive clinical features may have inflated their frequency. If an assumption is made that no further deletions were present in the parents not tested by Ryan et al,1 then the minimal estimate of inherited deletion from their study is 81/558 or 15%, a value closer to our frequency.

An accurate figure for parental transmission of 22q11 microdeletions is important when counselling parents of a child recently diagnosed, helping to allay anxiety, guilt, and also fears of recurrence. A risk of 28% coming as it does from such a comprehensive and well respected study is the one most likely to be used for counselling purposes; however, in view of our findings and those of Driscoll *et al*,² we feel this may be too high and that more clinical data on non-selected patients are needed before a true frequency of familial transmission is known.

> P W THOMPSON S J DAVIES

Institute of Medical Genetics, University Hospital of Wales, Heath Park, Cardiff CF4 4XW, UK

- Ryan AK, Goodship JA, Wilson DI, et al. Spectrum of clinical features associated with interstitial chromosome 22q11 deletions: a European collaborative study. *J Med Genet* 1997;34:798-804.
- 2 Driscoli DA, Salvin J, Sellinger B, et al. Prevalence of 22q11 microdeletions in Di-George and velocardiofacial syndromes: implications for genetic counselling and prenatal diagnosis. J Med Genet 1993;30:813-817.

The annual incidence of DiGeorge/velocardiofacial syndrome

The majority of cases with either DiGeorge syndrome or velocardiofacial syndrome are caused by a submicroscopic deletion in chromosome 22q11 (del22q11).1-3 Since the advent of a routine diagnostic test for this microdeletion, the number of patients diagnosed has increased dramatically, including many patients with either mild features or non-specific presenting symptoms. The del22q11 occurs much more frequently than previously thought, but precise incidence figures are not known. Wilson et al3 found a del22q11 in approximately 5% of children with a congenital heart defect (CHD), and therefore an estimated incidence of at least 1/4000 live births. A more direct way is to

Table 1 The minimum annual incidence of del22q11 at birth in Flanders

No of cases	Annual incidence per 100 000	95% CI	Total births	
11	16	6.5-25.4	68 613	
10	15	5.2-24.7	66 780	
11	17.1	7-27.3	63 851	
11	17.2	7-27.4	63 372	
8	12.6	2.3-22.7	63 550	
51	15.3	13.3-17.2	326 166	
	No of cases	No of cases Annual incidence per 100 000 11 16 10 15 11 17.1 11 17.2 8 12.6 51 15.3	No of cases Annual incidence per 100 000 95% CI 11 16 6.5–25.4 10 15 5.2–24.7 11 17.1 7–27.3 11 17.2 7–27.4 8 12.6 2.3–22.7 51 15.3 13.3–17.2	No of cases Annual incidence per 100 000 95% CI Total births 11 16 6.5–25.4 68 613 10 15 5.2–24.7 66 780 11 17.1 7–27.3 63 851 11 17.2 7–27.4 63 372 8 12.6 2.3–22.7 63 550 51 15.3 13.3–17.2 326 166

determine the annual incidence of cases with a del22q11, in a well defined region, with a known number of births. In a region in southern France, with an annual birth rate of approximately 23 000, Du Montcel *et al*^{*} found 1/9700 as a minimum incidence of the del22q11 associated with the typical clinical picture.

In the Flemish region of Belgium, all genetic tests are performed in four genetic centres. The number of births was extracted from the annual reports of the Study Centre for Perinatal Epidemiology (Studiecentrum voor perinatale epidemiologie, SPE), which registers in Flanders over 99% of all live and stillborn children with a birth weight of over 500 g. Routine genetic testing for a del22q11 by means of FISH became available during the years 1992-1993. A total of 151 Flemish cases have been diagnosed since and, of these, 94 were born before 1992, six in 1997, and 51 in the five year period between January 1992 and December 1996. The annual birth rate in Flanders ranges from 68 613 in 1992 to 63 550 in 1996, with a total of 326 166 births during the five year study period of 1992-1996. Therefore, the estimated annual incidence of a del22q11 in 1992-1996 is 15.3/100 000 newborns (95% confidence intervals 13.3-17.2), or 1/6395 (table 1).

However, as in the study of Du Montcel et al_{1}^{4} it is evident that this represents a minimum estimate, since many cases with mild features remain undiagnosed. In the total group of patients with a del22q11, 81 of the 151 patients (54%) have a symptomatic congenital heart defect (CHD), compared to 37 of the 51 patients (72.5%) born during the last five years. This confirms the clinical experience that the diagnosis is delayed in patients without a heart defect. In our series of patients from 1992-1996, mean age at diagnosis of those with a heart defect was 8.3 months (range: day of birth-39 months). During the last three years, the majority of infants with a conotruncal heart defect and a del22q11 were diagnosed during the first weeks of life. In contrast, patients without a heart defect were diagnosed at a mean age of 25.9 months (SD 17 months), when developmental delay or speech delay becomes evident. It can be estimated, therefore, that a large proportion of the children born during the last five years with a del22q11 but without a heart defect remain to be diagnosed.

Taken together, our observation is in good agreement with a maximal annual incidence of 1/4500 found in children born in 1993 in the study of Du Montcel *et al*^t and with an estimated incidence of 1/4000 as suggested by Wilson *et al.*³ We conclude that a del22q11 is among the most frequent causes of genetic syndromes.

K DEVRIENDT J-P FRYNS Centre for Human Genetics, University of Leuven, Herestraat 49, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium G MORTIER Department of Medical Genetics, University of Ghent, Belgium