EDITORIAL Open Access



A call to improve paediatric palliative care quality through research

Laure Dombrecht^{1*}, Ana Lacerda², Joanne Wolfe³ and Jennifer Snaman⁴

Abstract

Paediatric palliative care is needed now more than ever. Medical and technological advances mean that children with complex chronic conditions are surviving longer, necessitating longitudinal support from communities and healthcare systems. Efforts need to be made to ensure our healthcare systems and workforce are equipped to meet the needs of this growing population, including gathering data on the effect of many of our primary and specialty palliative care interventions. *BMC Palliative Care* has launched a new article Collection on "Paediatric palliative care" to provide an open-access resource for all interested in this topic.

Over 20 million children worldwide may benefit from palliative care, yet we currently lack the capacity to provide high quality palliative care to all in need [1]. We therefore not only need to train interprofessional clinicians to provide high quality palliative care, we also must advance the field by building the knowledge base through empiric investigation. In contrast to adult palliative care, there is a lack of high quality evidence on the effectiveness of palliative care interventions in children with serious illness and their families. We hope this editorial will serve as a call to action to paediatric palliative care (PPC) investigators to support this emerging evidence base aimed at improving the care and enhancing quality of life in children with serious illness and those that care for them.

PPC represents an holistic, proactive, interprofessional approach to managing suffering related to serious illness in children, stemming prenatally through young

adulthood. The main focus of PPC is on improving quality of life, through the prevention and timely assessment and management of distress [2]. Areas of focus include addressing medical problems (symptoms related to the disease or the disease-focused treatments), and all domains of life - psychological, spiritual, social, economical, educational. Accordingly, the key components of effective PPC are symptom control, family support (including support following death), communication, shared decision-making, and advance care planning.

Over the past several decades and driven by advancement in medicine and technology, healthcare systems across the world have experienced a growing number of children and young people (CYP) with serious illness surviving for much longer than before. Many of these children are assisted with medical devices, such as feeding tubes and ventilators, and require significant care support [3]. Taken together, these transformations necessitate new approaches to providing care of children with serious illness and their families. Many PPC programs are developed to fill a dire need in their current practice or hospital ward, without formal research PPC provision, with only limited insight in which components actually contribute to better care [4]. Another major challenge is defining the remit of PPC - which children and families

¹End-of-Life Care Research Group, Vrije Universiteit Brussel and Ghent University, Brussels, Belgium

⁴Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, USA



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*}Correspondence: Laure Dombrecht laure.dombrecht@vub.be

²Portuguese Institute of Oncology, Lisbon Centre, Lisbon, Portugal

³Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, USA

Dombrecht et al. BMC Palliative Care (2023) 22:141 Page 2 of 3

require specialised PPC? Is it possible (or desirable) to set defined eligibility criteria? Novel clinical care models are needed to deliver specialty palliative care to patients with high distress. Additionally, we must prioritise systematic and organised educational efforts to enhance the primary palliative care skills of clinicians caring for all CYP with serious illness. Collaboration with researchers will aid in the selection of appropriate outcome measures to establish the effect of these clinical and educational initiatives.

In addition to research focused on the testing of care models and educational initiatives, PPC research should work to include the child voice and perspectives (as able), focus on the care of children with rare medical conditions as well as those populations that are disenfranchised or disadvantaged. These research foci require adequate resources and population sizes, both of which have been historically limited in paediatrics. International collaboration is essential to seek the tools and frameworks that may allow us to accomplish this challenge, while also diminishing regional inequities across the globe. Successful coordinated research groups have been developed for certain paediatric diseases (paediatric oncology) and disciplines (critical care); the Pediatric Palliative Care Research Network (PPCRN) is a nascent North American research group that has successfully completed several multisite clinical trials. PPC researchers should look to these groups as models for development of sustainable research collaboratives.

High quality PPC research requires both adequate funding and rigorous training and mentoring of investigators. In the US, longstanding funding mechanisms have ended and may limit resources for future large scale PPC trials. Additionally, clinical palliative care specialty training does not require research training. Together, these two factors undermine the ability to move our field forward.

In addition to challenges with funding for robust research, other barriers include ethical concerns (children as a "vulnerable population") and language barriers. For example, North America has one primary language while Europe has 24 different languages. Despite our ever-increasingly global world, with a large number and array of languages and cultures, almost all PPC clinical and research tools are only available and tested in English, which impairs the dissemination and implementation of these tools in clinical practice to the patients that may benefit from them the most.

Finally, across the research field of PPC there is a general lack of effectiveness, implementation, and dissemination studies. PPC is an established field, yet most research is descriptive (case studies), retrospective chart reviews, feasibility studies, and small sample (pilot) studies [5]. Researchers and funding sources should prioritise

pragmatic trials as well as those focused on developing collaborative research groups.

Working to find answers to these and similar questions will also help us debate models of care delivery. In a world where healthcare demands keep rising, and where resources (staff, equipment, funding) are not growing at the same rate (if growing at all), finding creative and effective ways to deliver the high quality seamless care that seriously ill CYP and their families deserve is paramount.

BMC Palliative Care launched a new article Collection devoted to disseminating PPC research: https://www.biomedcentral.com/collections/PPC. We invite you to submit your studies as we continue to build the evidence base for PPC together.

List of abbreviations

CYP Children and Young People
PPC Pediatric Palliative Care

PPCRN Pediatric Palliative Care Research Network

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank those researchers who contribute to the BMC Palliative Care "Pediatric palliative care" Collection.

Authors' contributions

Laure Dombrecht, Ana Lacerda, Jennifer Snaman, and Joanne Wolfe contributed to the conception of the article and drafting of the manuscript. Laure Dombrecht, Ana Lacerda, Jennifer Snaman, and Joanne Wolfe read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable.

Data Availability

Not applicable.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

LD, AL, JW and JS are Guest Editors of the Collection 'Pediatric palliative care'.

Received: 11 September 2023 / Accepted: 12 September 2023 Published online: 26 September 2023

References

- Connor SR, Downing J, Marston J. Estimating the global need for palliative care for children: a cross-sectional analysis. J Pain Symptom Manag. 2017;53(2):171–7.
- World Health Organization. (2018). Integrating palliative care and symptom relief into paediatrics: a WHO guide for health-care planners, implementers and managers.
- Feudtner C, Kang TI, Hexem KR, Friedrichsdorf SJ, Osenga K, Siden H, ..., Wolfe J. Pediatric palliative care patients: a prospective multicenter cohort study. Pediatrics. 2011;127(6):1094–101.

- 4. Dombrecht L, Chambaere K, Beernaert K, Roets E, De Vilder De Keyser M, De Smet G, ..., Cools F. Components of Perinatal Palliative Care: an integrative review. Children. 2023;10(3):482.
- 5. Parravicini E. Neonatal palliative care. Curr Opin Pediatr. 2017;29(2):135–40.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.