

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Glia.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2024 October 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Glia. 2023 October; 71(10): 2437–2455. doi:10.1002/glia.24434.

Yin Yang 1 controls cerebellar astrocyte maturation

Karli Mockenhaupt¹, Katarzyna M. Tyc^{2,5}, Adam McQuiston³, Alexandra K. Gonsiewski¹, Masoumeh Zarei-Kheirabadi¹, Avani Hariprashad¹, Debolina D. Biswas¹, Angela S. Gupta¹, Amy L. Olex⁴, Sandeep K. Singh¹, Michael R. Waters¹, Jeff L. Dupree^{3,7}, Mikhail G. Dozmorov², Tomasz Kordula^{1,6,*}

¹Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia

²Department of Biostatistics, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia

³Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia

⁴C. Kenneth and Dianne Wright Center for Clinical and Translational Research, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia

⁵Massey Cancer Center Bioinformatics Shared Resource Core, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia

⁶The Massey Cancer Center, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia

⁷Research Service, Central Virginia VA Health Care System, Richmond, Virginia.

Abstract

Diverse subpopulations of astrocytes tile different brain regions to accommodate local requirements of neurons and associated neuronal circuits. Nevertheless, molecular mechanisms governing astrocyte diversity remain mostly unknown. We explored the role of a zinc finger transcription factor Yin Yang 1 (YY1) that is expressed in astrocytes. We found that specific deletion of YY1 from astrocytes causes severe motor deficits in mice, induces Bergmann gliosis, and results in simultaneous loss of GFAP expression in velate and fibrous cerebellar astrocytes. Single cell RNA-seq analysis showed that YY1 exerts specific effects on gene expression in subpopulations of cerebellar astrocytes. We found that although YY1 is dispensable for the initial stages of astrocyte development, it regulates subtype-specific gene expression during astrocyte maturation. Moreover, YY1 is continuously needed to maintain mature astrocytes in the adult cerebellum. Our findings suggest that YY1 plays critical roles regulating cerebellar astrocyte

Competing interests

^{*}Address correspondence to: Dr. Tomasz Kordula, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA 23298, tel. (804) 828-0771, fax. (804) 828-1473, Tomasz.Kordula@vcuhealth.org. Author Contributions

K.M planned and performed most experiments, with assistance from A.M, A.G., M.Z. K., A.H., D.D.B., A.S.G., S.K.S., M.R.W., and J.L.D. Bioinformatic analysis was performed by K.M.T. A.O.L aligned sequencing reads. M.G.D commented on bioinformatic work. T.K. conceived the study and contributed to planning of the experiments. T.K. and K.M. drafted the manuscript. All authors read, edited, and approved the final manuscript.

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

maturation during development and maintaining a mature phenotype of astrocytes in the adult cerebellum.

Graphical Abstract



Keywords

Astrocytes; heterogeneity; maturation; cerebellum; YY1

Introduction

Astrocytes tile the entire central nervous system and play critical functions by metabolically supporting neurons, maintaining ion balance, regulating concentrations of neurotransmitters, regulating the blood brain barrier, reinforcing and pruning synapses, guiding migrating neurons, and aiding with immune function (Farmer & Murai, 2017; Khakh & Sofroniew, 2015). It is now recognized; however, that astrocytes are extremely diverse across different brain regions and perform specialized functions (Farmer & Murai, 2017; Zhang & Barres, 2010). The regional specialization fine-tunes astrocytes to the local requirements of neurons and associated neuronal circuits (Ben Haim & Rowitch, 2017; Chai et al., 2017; Khakh, 2019; Khakh & Sofroniew, 2015). This astrocyte diversity is initially generated by intrinsic patterning programs (Farmer et al., 2016; Farmer & Murai, 2017; Ge, Miyawaki, Gage, Jan, & Jan, 2012; Hochstim, Deneen, Lukaszewicz, Zhou, & Anderson, 2008), and then astrocyte maturation is promoted by region-specific communication with neurons (Farmer & Murai, 2017; Ge et al., 2012; Hochstim et al., 2008; Huang et al., 2020; Morel et al., 2017). However, the mechanisms regulating astrocyte's specialized functions that accommodate

local needs at different brain regions have only begun to be discovered (Huang et al., 2020). Most of the recent studies focused on differences and similarities of astrocytes between brain regions, but little is known about generation of specific astrocyte subpopulations within the same brain region (Batiuk et al., 2020; Carter et al., 2018; Chai et al., 2017; Gupta et al., 2018; John Lin et al., 2017; Lozzi, Huang, Sardar, Huang, & Deneen, 2020; Morel et al., 2017; Zeisel et al., 2018). In the cerebellum, Bergmann glia (BG) of the molecular layer, velate astrocytes (VA) of the granular cell layer, and fibrous astrocytes (FA) of the white matter are the three major astrocyte subpopulations that emerge from common progenitors (Buffo & Rossi, 2013; Farmer et al., 2016; Gomez, 2019). Specialization of differentiated BG requires multiple inputs, including induction of Zinc Finger E-Box Binding Homeobox 2 (*Zeb2*) expression (L. He et al., 2018) and signaling by Sonic Hedgehog (SHH) secreted by Purkinje cells (PC) (Farmer et al., 2016; Farmer & Murai, 2017). BG acquire highly specific morphology, express AMPA receptors critical for maintaining synapses with PC, and regulate the fine-tuning of motor skills (Saab et al., 2012). Other mechanisms diversifying cerebellar astrocytes remain enigmatic.

We explored a zinc finger transcription factor, Yin Yang 1 (YY1), which regulates embryogenesis (Donohoe et al., 1999), cell cycle (Petkova et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2018), X chromosome inactivation (Chen et al., 2016), oncogenesis (Gordon, Akopyan, Garban, & Bonavida, 2006), and differentiation of multiple cell types (Beagan et al., 2017; Gregoire et al., 2013; Y. He et al., 2007; Kleiman, Jia, Loguercio, Su, & Feeney, 2016; Liu et al., 2007). YY1 plays diverse roles in transcriptional regulation by interacting with other regulatory proteins (Bengani et al., 2013; Cai et al., 2007; Chiang & Roeder, 1995; Lee et al., 1995; Rezai-Zadeh et al., 2003; L. Srinivasan, Pan, & Atchison, 2005; Wang et al., 2018), including histone deacetylases and acetyltransferases (Atchison, 2014; Weintraub et al., 2017). YY1 was also recognized as an architectural transcription factor that creates active loops of chromatin (Atchison, 2014; Beagan et al., 2017; Weintraub et al., 2017), which provides a common mechanism for many of its diverse functions. Indeed, YY1 orchestrates chromatin looping during early neural lineage commitment (Beagan et al., 2017; Weintraub et al., 2017), is critical for the development of the neuroepithelium (Dong & Kwan, 2020), and maintains proliferation and survival of neural progenitor cells (Zurkirchen et al., 2019). Although YY1 is also highly expressed in astrocytes (Karki et al., 2014; Waters et al., 2019), relatively little is known about its astrocyte-specific functions and mechanisms of action. Astrocytic YY1 mitigates manganese-induced neurotoxicity in substantia nigra (Pajarillo et al., 2020). Recently, astrocytic YY1 has been shown to provide protection against apoptosis, oxidative stress, and inflammation (Pajarillo et al., 2022). In this study, we found that YY1 is critical to execute and sustain unique and distinct gene expression programs in subpopulations of cerebellar astrocytes.

Materials and methods

Mice

Mice with the *Yy1* allele flanked by loxP sites (Jackson Laboratory; B6;129S4-*Yy1^{tm2Yshi}*/J) were bred with GFAP-Cre (Jackson Laboratory; 77.6mGFAPcre) to generate *Yy1* AST mice. *Yy1* AST were subsequently crossed to Aldh111-GFP mice (received from

Dr. Cagla Eroglu, Duke University). *Yy1^{loxP/loxP}* mice were also crossed with Aldh111-CreERT2 mice (received from Dr. Cagla Eroglu, Duke University) to generate tamoxifeninducible conditional knockout mice. Mice were housed at Virginia Commonwealth University according to the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines. Animals were housed with a 12-hour light/dark cycle, in an animal facility, with standard laboratory chow, and water ad libitum. *Yy1* ^{AST} mice were given DietGel (ClearH20) once they developed severe symptoms. Randomly chosen littermates (males and females) were used for all experiments, and the group sizes are provided in the figure legends. Survival curves were created from mice that reached a set experimental endpoint (20% weight loss) or died.

Tamoxifen-induced conditional deletion of Yy1

Tamoxifen was dissolved in 100% ethanol warmed to 55°C (0.2 g/ml). After the tamoxifen was dissolved 9 ml of corn oil (Sigma) was added, incubated at 37°C for 15 minutes, and protected from light. The dissolved tamoxifen was stored at -20°C. Next, 75 mg/kg Tamoxifen (or corn oil only as a control) was injected intraperitoneally for 5 consecutive days, once a day.

Balance beam experiments

Mice were trained on a balance beam two times a day for at least two days. The beam was 12 mm thick and had a dark box at the end with food and bedding. On the day of testing, the time to cross the beam was measured or the time and distance until the mouse fell was recorded. The number of times the paw slipped off the top of the beam was also recorded. For the tamoxifen induced model, a 6 mm thick beam was used.

Footprints experiments

Mouse paws were pressed firmly into a blue ink pad. The mouse was placed on a narrow walkway with 1mm filter paper on the bottom and then allowed to walk from one end to another. Footprints were photographed, and representative images are shown.

Blood-brain barrier tracer leakage assays

BBB leakage assays were performed as previously described (Yanagida et al., 2017). 3-kDa Dextran–TMR (10 μ g/g) or 70-kDa Dextran–TMR (100 μ g/g), both from Life Technologies, were injected in the tail veins of 8–12-week-old mice. Mice were anesthetized after 2h (for 3-kDa) or 16h (for 70-kDa), perfused for 7 min with ice-cold PBS, and brains were removed. Cerebella were dissection and homogenized in 1% Triton X-100 in PBS. Lysates were centrifuged at 12,000×g for 20 min at 4°C, and fluorescence (excitation/emission 540/590 nm) was quantified in the supernatants (SpectraMax 389 Plus, Molecular Devices). The fluorescence values were normalized to the weight.

Astrocyte cultures

Cerebral cortices were dissected from pups (P1-P2) and meninges were removed. The tissue was dissociated mechanically, incubated in serum free Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM, Gibco) with trypsin and DNase1 for 30 minutes at 37°C, and centrifuged.

The tissue was then titrated and filtered through a 100 μ m filter to ensure a single cell suspension. After re-centrifuging, the cells were resuspended in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin/streptomycin, and non-essential amino acids. The cells were plated onto dishes coated with poly-D-lysine in phosphate buffered saline (1 mg/ml), incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO₂, and cultured for two weeks.

Purification and culture of cerebellar neurons

Glia-free purified cerebellar neurons were isolated using immunopanning as described in (Risher et al., 2014). Briefly, cerebellar tissue was dissected out from P6 mouse brains and digested using papain-dissociation system from Worthington following manufacturer's protocol (Worthington Biochem. Corp.). Triturated cells were applied to negative panning dishes to remove unwanted cells and cell debris. Cell suspension were incubated with positive panning dishes (coated with anti-L1, Millipore) followed by a gentle wash to remove un-attached non-neuronal cells. Pure cerebellar neurons were lifted from the plate and collected by centrifugation, cultured in serum free Neurobasal-SATO medium, containing BDNF, CNTF, and forskolin (Risher et al., 2014). After two days, half of the media was replaced with new NB-SATO medium containing AraC (4 μ M). After 48 hours, media was replaced with NB-SATO (without AraC). Cells were cultured for 7 additional days.

Western blotting

Cells or flash frozen tissue were lysed in 10 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 150 mM sodium chloride, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Nonidet P-40, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, and Roche protease inhibitor mixture. Samples were separated on a 10% gel and transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane (GE Healthcare). Anti-β-tubulin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; sc-9104), and anti-YY1 (Bethyl Laboratories; 779) primary antibodies were used. Antigenantibody complexes were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence using Immobilon Western blotting kit (Millipore).

Quantitative PCR

Total RNA was prepared from flash frozen tissue with Trizol (Life Technologies), reversed transcribed with the high-capacity cDNA kit (Applied Biosystems), and amplified on the BioRad CFX Connect Real-time System. SYBR Green intron-spanning pre-designed qPCR primers (BioRad) were used. Gene expression levels were normalized to GAPDH and represented as fold change in expression relative to the control.

Histology

Animals were perfused with 0.9% Sodium Chloride and then with 4% paraformaldehyde. Brains were post-fixed for 48 hours. Tissue was paraffin-embedded, sectioned (5 μ m), and stained by H&E. Slides were imaged using the Vectra Polaris Imaging system at the Cancer Mouse Models Core Facility (VCU, Richmond, VA).

Immunofluorescence

Animals were perfused with 0.9% Sodium Chloride and then with 4% paraformaldehyde. Brains were post-fixed overnight, and then cryopreserved with 30% sucrose in PBS for 48 hours at 4°C, embedded in optimal cutting medium (Tissue-Tek VWR), and 40 µm frozen sections were prepared on probe plus microscopy slides (Fisher Sci). Tissue was permeabilized in ice-cold acetone for 10 minutes, washed three times with 0.2% triton in PBS, and blocked in PBS with Triton (0.2%) and fish skin gelatin (Electron Microscopy). The primary antibodies, anti-GFAP (Cell Signaling; 1:300), anti-IBA (Wako Chemicals; 1:1000), anti-calbindin (Cell Signaling; 1:200), and anti-YY1 (Bethyl Laboratories 779; 1:1000 or Cell Signaling; 1;100) were diluted in the blocking buffer and incubated overnight at 4°C. Subsequently, sections were washed three times, blocked, and incubated with Alexa Fluor 488 or Alexa Fluor 594 secondary antibodies (1:500, Invitrogen) for 90 minutes at room temperature. Nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst or DAPI for 5 minutes at room temperature. Slides were washed three times and mounted using Vectashield mounting medium (Vector Laboratories). Slides were imaged using the Zeiss LSM 700 or the BZ-X800 (Keyence). Maximum projection images from z-stacks, and no fluorescence crossover was found between the channels. Images were analyzed using ImageJ. All locations were chosen for quantification when only viewing Hoechst. $GFAP^+$ processes were quantified in ImageJ by drawing lines along the entire length of the ML, the number of processes were counted, and the length of the line was measured. Alternatively, images were analyzed using Imaris filament tracer software and quantified in Prism. Purkinje cells were quantified by drawing a line across the PC layer. The length of the line was measured, and the number of PC bodies were counted. $IBA1^+$ cells were quantified in the entire area of ML, GL, or WM. The area was measured, and the number of cells were counted.

Preparation of cerebellar slices

Brain slices were obtained by methods previously described (Bell, Shim, Chen, & McQuiston, 2011). Mice were deeply anaesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of ketamine (200 mg/kg) and xylazine (20 mg/kg), transcardially perfused with ice cold buffer consisting of 230 mM Sucrose, 3 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl, 6 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM NaHPO₄, 25 mM NaHCO₃, and 25 mM glucose. The brain was removed, cut on a parasagittal plane, and parasagittal slices containing the vermis of the cerebellum were cut at 350 mm on a Leica VT1200 (Leica Microsystems, Buffalo Grove, IL). Sections were incubated in a holding chamber maintained at 32°C. The holding chamber solution consisted of 125 mM NaCl, 3.0 mM KCl, 1.2 mM CaCl, 1.2 mM MgCl₂, 1.2 mM NaHPO₄, 25 mM NaHCO₃, 25 mM glucose bubbled with 95% $O_2 / 5\%$ CO₂. Recordings were performed at 32–35°C.

Electrophysiological measurements

Whole cell patch clamp recordings from cerebellar Purkinje cells were performed using patch pipettes pulled from borosilicate glass (8250 1.65/1.0 mm) on a Sutter P-1000 micropipette puller. Patch pipettes were filled with 140 mM KMeSO₄, 8 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgATP, 0.1 mM NaGTP, and 10 mM HEPES. Membrane potentials were measured with a Model 2400 patch clamp amplifier (A-M Systems) and converted into a digital signal by a PCI-6040E A/D board (National Instruments). WCP Strathclyde Software was

used to store and analyze membrane potential responses (courtesy of Dr. J Dempster, Strathclyde University, Glasgow, Scotland). The calculated junction potential (9.4 mV) was not compensated for in the analysis. Further analysis was performed using the Julia programming language (Julia Computing), OriginPro 2018 (OriginLab Corp.) and Graphpad Prism. Data were analyzed using WCP software and OriginPro 2018 for electrophysiological measurements. Statistics were performed using GraphPad Prism. Tests for normal distribution of data were performed using the Shapiro-Wilk test (P<0.05). Data that were normally distributed were tested by an ordinary one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc tests. Data that did not pass the Shapiro-Wilk test were analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis tests. Differences were determined to be statistically significant for P values less than 0.05. All data was reported as the mean with error bars representing standard error of the mean (SEM).

Isolation of single cells at P10 and P17

Cerebellum were isolated from mice at P10 or P17, and meninges were removed in cold ACSF (120 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl, 0.2 mM CaCl, 26.2 mM NaHCO₃, 11.1 mM glucose, 5 mM HEPE, 3 mM AP5, and 3 mM CNQX) bubbled with 95% oxygen. The tissue was cut 15 times and dissociated with the Worthington Papain Dissociation kit (# LK003153). The manufactures protocol was followed. Briefly, the tissue was dissociated in the papain DNase solution for 30 minutes at 37° C with 5% CO₂, gently swirling every 5 minutes. The cells were filtered through a 70 µm filter (BD Falcon) to ensure a single cell suspension. At P17 cell debris was removed with the Debris Removal Solution (Miltenyi Biotec), following the manufacturer's protocol. The cells were resuspended in cold PBS with 0.5% BSA, and the cell viability and concentration were determined using the TC20 Automated Cell Counter (Biorad). The cells were diluted to a final concentration of 1×10^6 cells/ml.

single-cell RNA sequencing

There was a targeted cell recovery of 5000 single cells per sample, which was loaded onto the Chromium Controller (10x Genomics). The libraries were made with the Chromium Single Cell 3' Library and Gel Bead Kit (10x Genomics), following the manufacturers protocol. Unique Chromium i7 Sample Indexes (10xGenomics) were added to each sample, following the manufacturers protocol. Prior to sequencing, all four samples were combined. Samples were sequenced on the NextSeq 500 with the 150 cycle kit using the following mode: 28 bp (Read 1), 8 bp (Index), and 91 bp (Read 2). FASTQ files were generated with 10x Genomics CellRanger after trimming raw reads from 3' end to recommended 28 base-pair (bp) long R1 reads and 91bp long R2 reads. At P10 sequencing depth averaged to 97,000,509 raw reads per sample, with almost 29,000 reads per cell on average. At P17 the sequencing depth averaged to 113,023,689 with 52,298 reads per cell on average.

scRNA-seq data processing

scRNA-seq data was processed with 10x Genomics software CellRanger (v 3.0.2). The function count with the option --expect-cells set to 5000 was used on each sample individually. Mouse genome version mm10 was used as a reference (v. mm10–3.0.0, downloaded from https://support.10xgenomics.com/single-cell-gene-expression/software/

downloads/latest). Following alignment, barcode assignment and unique molecular identifier (UMI) counting, samples were merged using aggr function with the option --normalize=mapped. Downstream analysis was performed with R package Seurat (Butler, Hoffman, Smibert, Papalexi, & Satija, 2018; Stuart et al., 2019) using as input pre-filtered CellRanger gene-cell matrix outs/filtered_feature_bc_matrix/. The initial UMI matrix contained 31,053 unique genes. There were 13,778 cells from all four samples at P10 and 8,722 cells from all four samples at P17.

Data filtering

Genes with no expression in any cell were removed (leaving 9,365 in total in P10, and 9,153 in P17 for further filtering). To remove presumably dead cells, mitochondrial gene expression ratio was calculated, and cells with mitochondrial gene expression ratio greater than four median absolute deviations (MAD) from the median value were removed. Upon inspection of density plots, hard cut-offs were applied for removal of cells with respect to the number of expressed genes and number of sequencing reads. Noisy cells were removed from downstream analysis by applying the rationale where putative cells with very few UMIs are believed to indicate only ambient mRNA reads were captured. To exclude those noisy barcodes from downstream analysis, putative cells with <500 UMIs and <250 genes were removed. Similarly, putative cells with extremely high UMIs are likely to represent multiplets or indicate some other issues related to barcode merging or sequencing artifacts (Ocasio et al., 2019). To remove those bad quality putative cells the thresholds of >20,000UMIs and >6,000 genes were applied in P10, and >50,000 UMIs and >8,000 genes in P17. The final P10 UMI matrix contained 21,197 expressed genes and 11,226 cells and was used in downstream analysis. The final P17 UMI matrix contained 21,659 expressed genes and 7,321 cells and was used in downstream analysis.

Cell clustering and data visualization

Briefly, the seurat object was normalized with NormalizeData(). FindVariableFeatures() was used to identify 2,000 highly variable genes, followed by data scaling with ScaleData(), and principal component analysis (PCA) with RunPCA(). The cells were then clustered by applying FindNeighbors (seurat_norm, dims = 1:40) and FindClusters (seurat_norm, resolution = 0.4). To visualize the data, uniform manifold approximation and projection method, UMAP (Becht et al., 2018), was first applied with the function RunUMAP() and DimPlot() was used for visualization.

Gene expression analysis, top 10 genes for heatmaps and biomarker discovery

Differential gene expression analysis and biomarker discovery of each cluster of interest was performed following established procedure using R/Seurat package. Briefly, FindAllMarkers() was applied to identify genes distinguishing each cluster in the dataset followed by selection of top 10 markers characterizing each cluster as follows:

seurat_norm.markers = FindAllMarkers(object = seurat_norm, only.pos = TRUE, min.pct = 0.25, thresh.use = 0.25)

seurat_norm.markers %>% group_by(cluster) %>% top_n(10, avg_logFC)

Markers of WT and cKO cells in cluster 6 were identified by sub-setting the seurat object to cluster 6 only and reformatting the identities so that the below holds:

seurat_norm.cluster.6 <- subset(seurat_norm, idents = 6)</pre>

seurat_norm.cluster.6 = subset(seurat_norm.cluster.6, idents = c("0_WT", "1_WT", "2_WT", "0_cKO", "1_cKO", "2_cKO"))

followed by FindAllMarkers():

P10_6.markers = FindAllMarkers(object = seurat_norm.cluster.6, only.pos = TRUE, min.pct = 0.25, thresh.use = 0.25)

Markers for the related heatmap were collected as above:

P10_6.markers %>% group_by(cluster) %>% top_n(10, avg_logFC)

Biomarkers of BG, VA, or FA were identified as follows:

FindMarkers(seurat_norm.cluster.6, ident.1 = 0, logfc.threshold = 0.25, test.use = "roc", only.pos = TRUE)

Subclustering and gene expression analysis

At P10 Cluster 6 was selected for more detailed analysis. Briefly, seurat object was subsetted to contain only cells matching cluster 6 and clustering procedure was again applied on that cluster with the following commands:

seurat_norm.cluster.6 <- subset(seurat_norm, idents = 6)</pre>

seurat_norm.cluster.6 <- FindNeighbors(seurat_norm.cluster.6, dims = 1:40)

seurat_norm.cluster.6 <- FindClusters(seurat_norm.cluster.6, resolution = 0.4)

The above procedure identified 4 subclusters (subCluster = $-\{1,2,3\}$). FindMarkers() was then applied to determine biomarkers of each subcluster with respect to cluster 6 only, i.e., FindMarkers(seurat_norm.cluster.6, ident.1 = subCluster, logfc.threshold = 0.25, test.use = "roc", only.pos = TRUE). Differential gene expression (DE) analysis was performed between subclusters and between conditions using FindMarkers() with adequate specifications, e.g., FindMarkers(cluster6.0, ident.1 = "WT", ident.2 = "cKO", logfc.threshold = .50, test.use="MAST") would be applied for DE analysis of a subcluster 6.0 and between the two conditions. Whereas FindMarkers(seurat_norm.cluster.6, ident.1 = 0, ident.2 = 1, logfc.threshold = .50, test.use="MAST") would be applied for DE analysis between two 6.0 and 6.1 subclusters. At P17 analogous analysis was performed for clusters 9 and 10.

Venn Diagrams

At P10, the top significant genes of cluster 6 (compared to all other P10 clusters) were compared to previous mouse astrocyte data sets (Zhang et al., 2016) (Batiuk et al., 2020;

Borggrewe et al., 2021). Overlapping DEGs were visualized in a Venn Diagrams, using the Intervene tool (Khan & Mathelier, 2017). Similarly, at P17 the top significant genes from each of the subgroups of astrocytes were compared to the published data sets indicated above.

Metaneighbour analysis

An unsupervised version of Metaneighbour analysis was applied to astrocyte clusters to estimate similarities within and across the P10 and P17 subpopulations. The analysis was performed as outlined in "Analysis of single cell RNA-seq data" course, section 8.8.5 (http://hemberg-lab.github.io/scRNA.seq.course/). The script '2017–08-28-runMN-US.R' to perform the analysis was obtained from 'https://github.com/hemberg-lab/scRNA.seq.course/ tree/master/course_files/utils'. In brief, P10 and P17 seurat objects were saved as SingleCellExperiment(). Selected P10 and P17 subclusters were then merged into a single expression matrix and most variable genes were identified. By running the function 'run_MetaNeighbor_US', similarities of the clusters across the two datasets were calculated and visualized with heatmap().

Data and codes availability

Raw sequencing data are publicly available through the GEO database (GSE166792). Analysis was performed using R Statistical Language and all the analysis codes are available upon request.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 9. Values are displayed as mean \pm standard error. T tests or ANOVAs were performed as indicated.

Results

YY1 deletion in GFAP⁺ cells triggers severe motor deficits and distinct effects on subpopulations of cerebellar astrocytes.

Although YY1 is ubiquitously expressed in the central nervous system and is critical in neural progenitors (Beagan et al., 2017; Weintraub et al., 2017; Zurkirchen et al., 2019), oligodendrocytes (Y. He et al., 2007), and protects astrocytes against apoptosis, oxidative stress, and inflammation (Pajarillo et al., 2022), its biological functions during astrocyte development are not understood. YY1 is expressed by astrocytes in many brain regions including, cortex, striatum, hippocampus, and cerebellum (Supplementary Fig. 1). To delineate YY1's roles in astrocytes, we generated conditional knock-out mice with the *Yy1* gene specifically deleted from GFAP⁺ cells (*Yy1* ^{AST}) using the *mGfap-cre* line 77.6 mice. Recently, others used the *mGfap-cre* line 73.12 mice to delete the *Yy1* gene from GFAP⁺ cells (Pajarillo et al., 2022), however, the 73.12 mice also express CRE in adult neural stem cells from the dentate gyrus and subventricular zone as well as in some hippocampal pyramidal, cerebellar granule, and scattered neurons in the midbrain (Garcia, Doan, Imura, Bush, & Sofroniew, 2004). We decided to use the 77.6 mouse line that drives CRE expression mostly in astrocytes, including astrocytes in the cerebellum starting at P7 (Tao et al., 2011), and only in a subpopulation of adult neural stem cells in the subventricular

zone. As expected, only partial depletion of YY1 was observed in the brain lysates of $Yy1 \ ^{AST}$ mice (Fig. 1A), suggesting a successful Yy1 deletion in astrocytes, but not other cell types. Accordingly, YY1 was abundant in cultured astrocytes of control littermates but not expressed by astrocytes derived from $Yy1 \ ^{AST}$ mice (Fig. 1B). Specific deletion of YY1 was also evident in cerebellar astrocytes *in vivo* already at postnatal day 10 (Fig. 1C). In contrast to astrocytes, YY1 was expressed by cultured neurons of both control and $Yy1 \ ^{AST}$ littermates (Fig. 1D), emphasizing the specificity of YY1 deletion. At birth, the $Yy1 \ ^{AST}$ mice were indistinguishable from their littermates, which aligns with postnatal development of astrocytes. However, as these mice aged, $Yy1 \ ^{AST}$ animals gained weight more slowly, remained smaller into adulthood (Fig. 1E), and had a drastically shorter lifespan (Fig. 1F). $Yy1 \ ^{AST}$ mice developed severe motor deficits characterized by a slower velocity (Fig. 1G), an increased number of paw slips on a balance beam (Fig. 1H, and Supplementary Videos 1–8), and a widened gait (Fig. 1I). These findings were similar to those recently reported for YY1 cKO mice generated using the mGfap-cre line 73.12 mice (Pajarillo et al., 2022).

Motor deficits can be associated with dysfunction of several regions of both the central and peripheral nervous system, including cerebellum, which integrates signals controlling locomotion. Damage to the cerebellum affects balance, coordination, and fine motor skills. Since cerebellar neurodegeneration was evident in 5-month-old Yv1 AST mice (Supplementary Fig. 2), we decided to focus our studies on the role of astrocytic YY1 in the cerebellum. The cerebellum regulates muscle synergy by integrating signals from the peripheral nervous system (Leto et al., 2016). Cerebellar insults result in ataxia, a widened gait, attention tremor, PC dysfunction, and learning deficits (Sathyanesan, Kundu, Abbah, & Gallo, 2018). During development, cerebellar cells migrate from the external granule layer to their proper positions, establishing the intricate cytoarchitecture. Initially, PCs migrate along radial glia and form the PC layer (Buffo & Rossi, 2013). Radial glia differentiate into BG relocating their cell bodies into the PC layer, withdrawing from the ependymal layer, retaining their end feet in the pial basement membrane, and enwrapping PC synapses. Subsequently, Granule Cells (GC) migrate across the BG, composing the thick GC Layer (GCL). Radial glia also differentiate into VA of the GCL and FA of the white matter (WM) (Cerrato, 2020). Despite motor deficits evident in adult (8-12 weeks old) mice, we found no substantial differences in brain morphology (Fig. 2A) or weight of Yy1loxP/loxP and Yv1 AST cerebella (Fig. 2B). There was also no difference in thickness of the ML, GCL, or WM (Supplementary Fig. 3). However, there was a dramatic increase in reactive astrocyte marker expression (Zamanian et al., 2012), including GFAP, SERPINA3N, and LCN2 as well as a decrease in SPARCL1 expression (Fig. 2C), indicating presence of reactive astrocytes in the Yy1 AST cerebella. In contrast, expression of neuronal (NRG1 and RBFOX3) (Fig. 2D) and oligodendrocyte markers (MBP and PLP1) (Fig. 2E) were not affected, suggesting activation of astrocytes but not a loss of neurons and oligodendrocytes. We also examined blood-brain barrier function using 3-kDa and 70-kDa tracers and found that vasculature was leaky in Yy1 AST cerebella (Supplementary Fig. 4). Further analysis of the cytoarchitecture revealed extensive astrogliosis (Bergmann gliosis) only in the ML of Yv1 AST mice (Fig. 2F) indicated by GFAP⁺ reactive hypertrophic BG exhibiting fewer processes that were disorganized (Fig. 2G, Supplementary Fig. 5). In contrast to BG, there was decreased abundance of GFAP⁺ VA and FA in the GCL and WM of Yy1 AST

mice, respectively (Fig. 2F, H, I); however, morphology of both VA and FA was also dramatically affected in *Yy1* ^{AST} mice (Supplementary Fig. 5). In addition to the distinct effects on astrocytes, calbindin staining revealed reduced arborization of PC (Fig. 2F) but the number of PC bodies was unchanged (Fig. 2J). Furthermore, the numbers of Iba1-positive cells were significantly increased (Fig. 2F, K), suggesting ongoing inflammation. Taken together, these findings suggest that astrocytic YY1 exerts unique effects on subpopulations of cerebellar astrocytes that may be critical for the coordination of motor functions. Of note, YY1 deletion also affected astrocyte morphology in the cortex, striatum, and hippocampus (Supplementary Fig. 6). In subsequent experiments, we focused on the cerebellum to understand the role of YY1 in subpopulations of cerebellar astrocytes.

YY1 is continuously needed in astrocytes

YY1 could be temporarily needed during astrocyte development or permanently required in mature cerebellar astrocytes. To address the later possibility, we generated tamoxifen (TAM)-inducible astrocyte-specific YY1 cKO mice (Yv1^{Aldh1L1-CreERT2}) expressing CreERT2 driven by a highly specific Aldh1L1 promoter (R. Srinivasan et al., 2016). We examined the effects of TAM or vehicle administration in adult mice (Fig. 3A). Similarly, to the Yy1 AST mice, Yy1Aldh1L1-CreERT2 mice had dramatically shorter life span after TAM treatment (Fig. 3B). They also displayed motor deficits with a slower velocity (Fig. 3C) and made more mistakes on a balance beam (Fig. 3D). We also found evidence of Bergmann gliosis in the ML indicated by both thickened processes (Fig. 3E) and decreased numbers of BG processes (Fig. 3F). This was accompanied by a reduction in PC arborization (Fig. 3E). Although the numbers of $Iba1^+$ cells have not changed (Fig. 3G), the ameboid morphology of these cells (Fig. 3E, H) indicated their activation. As for the cerebellar VA and FA, no significant morphological changes were detected 18 days after induction. However, analysis of these subpopulations was not possible at later time points because of the short life span of the Yv1^{Aldh1L1-CreERT2} mice after tamoxifen treatment. Importantly, expression of astrocyte markers, including Aldoc, Aqp4, and Gria4, was significantly diminished (Fig 3I), while expression of Lcn2 was increased indication astrocyte activation. These data suggest that continuous expression of YY1 in BG and likely other subpopulations of astrocytes is required to maintain astrocyte specialization even in adult mice.

YY1 is dispensable for the initial stages of astrocyte development

Next, we wondered at what timepoint is YY1 required for astrocyte development. First, YY1 could be needed to execute the initial intrinsic patterning programs affecting astrocyte progenitors (Hochstim et al., 2008). In this case, one would expect to find effects of YY1 deletion at early stages of cerebellar development that would manifest in improper cell migration, changes in morphology of immature astrocytes, and changes in gene expression profiles. Alternatively, YY1 could affect subsequent maturation locally promoted by region-specific crosstalk of immature astrocytes with neurons supporting neural circuit assembly (Chai et al., 2017; Farmer et al., 2016). In this scenario, YY1 would be required for latter stages of astrocyte development, whereas the initial steps would be unaltered. We first analyzed cytoarchitecture of cerebella of *Yy1Aldh1L1-CreERT2* mice after TAM administration at early stages of postnatal development, which efficiently abolished expression of YY1 in astrocytes (Fig. 4A, Supplementary Fig. 7). In contrast to the findings

in adult Yy1^{Aldh1L1-CreERT2} mice, there were no substantial changes in the distribution or appearance of astrocytes, PC, or microglia (Fig. 4B). The numbers of BG processes were unaffected (Fig. 4C). Furthermore, the numbers of Iba1⁺ cells were comparable (Fig. 4D), suggesting that YY1 is not needed at early stages of astrocyte development. To verify these findings, we examined cytoarchitecture of Yy1^{loxP/loxP} and Yy1 AST cerebella at P10. In contrast to what we found in the adult Yy1 AST cerebellum (Fig. 2), but in agreement to our findings in Yv1Aldh1L1-CreERT2 mice (Fig. 4A-D), astrogliosis was also not evident in Yy1 AST cerebella at P10 (Fig. 4E). There was no difference in the distribution or appearance of astrocytes, PC, or microglia (Fig. 4E-H). Moreover, the electrophysiological properties of PC were not changed in *Yy1* AST mice at P10 (Fig. 4I, J, Supplementary Fig. 8). Quantitative measurement of the action and membrane potential showed no statistical difference in resting membrane potential, input resistance, depolarizing sag to hyperpolarizing current injection, action potential amplitude, duration, or threshold between PC from *Yv1^{loxP/loxP}* and *Yv1^{AST}* cerebella (Supplementary Table 1). Altogether, the morphology of immature astrocytes and cerebellar cytoarchitecture were initially unaltered in both Yv1Aldh1L1-CreERT2 and Yv1 AST mice.

Given that YY1 deletion had no apparent effects on cerebellar cytoarchitecture at P10, we set on to evaluate whether deletion of YY1 from astrocytes manifests in initial changes on the molecular level. To this end, we examined gene expression using single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) of Yy1 AST cerebella. In total, we sequenced 11,226 cells and applied unsupervised clustering that identified 16 unique clusters of cells that were shared by Yv1loxP/loxP and Yv1 AST cerebella (Fig. 5A, Supplementary Table 2). All clusters had similar UMI and gene counts except for cluster 9 (Fig. 5B); however, low values for cluster 9 were found in both Yv1^{loxP/loxP} and Yv1 AST cerebella. To identify astrocytes, we took a two-pronged approach. First, an unsupervised analysis of the top 10 biomarkers for each cluster identified cluster 6 as astrocytes (Supplementary Fig. 9). Second, we performed a supervised analysis of the expression of known astrocyte markers, which indicated that cluster 6 indeed represented astrocytes (Fig. 5C). Furthermore, comparison of gene expression profiles of cluster 6 to previously published profiles of astrocytes derived from different brain regions (Batiuk et al., 2020; Borggrewe et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2016) indicated substantial similarities (Fig 5D). Nevertheless, cells in cluster 6 were also unique likely because of their immature astrocyte phenotype. In addition to cluster 6, cells in cluster 11 expressed some of the astrocytic markers (Batiuk et al., 2020); however, Gfap. Aldh1L1, and many additional astrocyte markers were expressed at very low levels, and thus these cells were not further analyzed. Importantly, Yy1 expression was specifically lost from astrocytes (cluster 6), but not other cell types in Yy1 AST cerebella (Fig. 5E, F), confirming highly specific deletion of YY1. Three cerebellar astrocyte subpopulations have been previously described (Gupta et al., 2018; John Lin et al., 2017). In line with these findings, unsupervised re-clustering of cells in cluster 6 yielded three major sub-populations of astrocytes (6a, 6b, and 6c) (Fig. 5G, Supplementary Table 2). Biomarker expression analysis of the sub-clusters (Supplementary Table 2) in combination with Allen Brain Atlas in situ RNA expression data (https://developingmouse.brain-map.org/) identified sub-cluster 6a as BG, 6b as VA, and 6c as FA (Fig. 5H-K). Interestingly, although Gdf10 and Gpr3711 are known markers of BG (Carter et al., 2018; Gupta et al., 2018; L. He et al., 2018;

Marazziti et al., 2013), our analysis uncovered *Cyp26b1* and *Hsd11b1* as novel markers of VA, and *Myoc* and *C4b* as novel markers of FA (Fig. 5H–J), which were still uniquely localized at P28 (Supplementary Fig. 10). Significantly, gene expression profiles of the three astrocyte sub-populations were largely unaffected by YY1 deletion (Fig. 5K). YY1 deletion did not affect expression of astrocyte progenitor markers (Zhang et al., 2016) (Supplementary Fig. 11) or mature astrocyte markers (Zhang et al., 2016) (Supplementary Fig. 12) at P10. Furthermore, expression of reactive astrocyte markers (Escartin et al., 2021) was also not changed (Supplementary Fig. 13), which coincided with the lack of expression of inflammatory mediators by either astrocytes or microglia (Supplementary Fig. 14). Altogether, our analysis of *Yy1^{Aldh1L1-CreERT2* and *Yy1 AST* cerebella at P10 indicated that YY1 is dispensable for the initial steps of cerebellar astrocyte development.}

YY1 is required for late stages of astrocyte development

To assess whether YY1 affects subsequent steps of astrocyte development that are governed by region-specific astrocyte-neuron cross-communication (Chai et al., 2017; Farmer et al., 2016), we examined cerebella at P20. In contrast to P10, activation of BG was evident in the ML at P20 in Yy1 ^{AST} cerebella while numbers of GFAP⁺ VA and FA were dramatically diminished (Fig. 6A). While processes of BG were affected (Fig. 6B), number of PC bodies remained the same (Fig. 6C). We noticed a decrease in arborization of PC (Fig. 6A); however, electrophysiological properties of PC were not affected (Supplementary Fig. 15, Supplementary Table 3). Activation of BG was also associated with increased amounts of reactive microglia (Fig. 6A and D), indicating an inflammatory environment. Importantly cytoarchitectures of Yy1 ^{AST} cerebella at P20 and adult mice (Fig. 2F) were similar, indicating that YY1 exerts its effects on astrocytes at late stages of their development. Both granule cell migration and synapse elimination are completed by P20 (Araujo, Carpi-Santos, & Gomes, 2019), and immature astrocytes undergo their location-specific maturation that is driven by signals from the surrounding cells (Araujo et al., 2019).

Subsequently, we established that the initial morphological signs of astrocyte dysfunction occur at P17 (Supplementary Fig. 16A). Since microglia activation was not yet evident at this stage, we reasoned that changes to gene expression between $Y_V I^{loxP/loxP}$ and the Yv1 AST mice at P17 should be attributed to diseased astrocytes and not yet a result of developing inflammation. To analyze gene expression, we sequenced 7.321 cells, applied unsupervised clustering, and identified 25 distinct cell clusters (Fig. 7A, Supplementary Table 4). There were similar UMI and gene counts across all the clusters (Fig. 7B). In stark contrast to what we found at P10, several clusters were unique to either the $YyI^{loxP/loxP}$ or the Yy1 AST cerebella. We applied the same two-pronged approach to identify astrocytes. Both the unsupervised analysis (Supplementary Fig. 17) and the supervised analysis (Fig. 7C) identified clusters 8, 10, and 15 as astrocytes in the $Yy1^{loxP/loxP}$ cerebella, whereas clusters 1 and 9 are astrocytes in the Yy1 AST cerebella. This was in striking contrast to all other cell types that clustered similarly in both the $Yy1^{loxP/loxP}$ and the $Yy1^{AST}$ cerebella. Similarly to cluster 11 at P10, cells in cluster 14 at P17 expressed low levels of Gfap, Aldh1L1, and several other astrocytes markers, and thus were not further analyzed. Importantly, Yv1 expression was specifically diminished in astrocytes of the Yv1 AST cerebella (Supplementary Fig. 15B, C). Re-clustering of the astrocyte clusters 9 and 10

indicated three major sub-populations present in both clusters, 9a, 9b, and 9c in Yv1 AST cerebella and 10a, 10b, and 10c in Yv1^{loxP/loxP} cerebella (Fig. 7D and Supplementary Fig. 18). Subsequent analysis of key biomarker gene expression (Fig. 7E-G) indicated that, although unique, both clusters 8 and 15 account for BG, sub-clusters 10a and 10b represent VA, whereas sub-cluster 10c represents FA in Yv1^{loxP/loxP} cerebella. Similarly to astrocytes at P10, immature astrocyte subpopulations at P17 had unique expression profiles that displayed similarities to previously published profiles of astrocytes (Batiuk et al., 2020; Borggrewe et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2016) (Fig 7H-J). Furthermore, our analysis of Yv1 AST cerebella, identified cluster 1 as BG, sub-clusters 9a and 9b as VA, and sub-cluster 9c as FA. Importantly, while YY1 deletion did not affect astrocyte progenitor marker expression (Zhang et al., 2016) (Supplementary Fig. 19), it dramatically reduced expression of mature astrocyte markers (Zhang et al., 2016) (Supplementary Fig. 20) suggesting that YY1 is needed during astrocyte maturation. This was further reinforced by hierarchical clustering analysis (Supplementary Fig. 15D), which indicated that YY1-deficient astrocytes at P17 are more similar to Yy1^{loxP/loxP} immature astrocytes at P10 than to $Yy1^{loxP/loxP}$ astrocytes at P17. Interestingly, expression of reactive astrocyte markers (Escartin et al., 2021) was not suggestive of reactive astrogliosis in the YY1deficient astrocytes (Supplementary Fig. 21), and there was no induction of expression of inflammatory mediators by astrocytes or microglia (Supplementary Fig. 22), indicating that astrocytes were not yet reactive at P17. However, Gfap expression was induced in BG and reduced in both VA and FA of Yy1 AST mice (Supplementary Fig. 20). Thus, the loss of GFAP⁺ VA and FA in Yv1 AST cerebella (Fig. 2F, 2H 6A) is a result of downregulation of Gfap expression and not a decrease of VA and FA. To determine biological effects of YY1 deletion in subpopulations of astrocytes, we performed pathway analysis. Although there were subpopulation-specific effects, in general, processes previously reported to be controlled by YY1 in neural progenitors (Zurkirchen et al., 2019) were increased (Fig. 7K–M), such as cytoplasmic translation" and "ribonucleoprotein complex biogenesis", and "mRNA metabolic processes". However, YY1 also reduced many other processes, including those involved in neuronal development, such as "synapse organization" and "regulation of membrane potential".

Together, our data suggest that although YY1 is dispensable during early stages of astrocyte development, it is required during late stages of their development that are governed by region specific interactions with neurons.

Discussion

Although morphological diversity of astrocytes and their specialized functions have long been recognized (Farmer & Murai, 2017; Meyer et al., 2017; Zhang & Barres, 2010), only recently has their molecular diversity been shown using RNA-seq, scRNA-seq, scISOrseq, slide-seq, and TRAP (Batiuk et al., 2020; Carter et al., 2018; Gupta et al., 2018; John Lin et al., 2017; Rodriques et al., 2019). It has been proposed that five molecularly distinct subpopulations of astrocytes occupy specific locations across different brain regions, with three subpopulations representing mature astrocytes (Batiuk et al., 2020). Three major molecularly distinct subpopulations of astrocytes were also found in the cerebellum; however, their identities and precise localizations have not been established (Gupta et al.,

2018; John Lin et al., 2017). In this study, we confirmed existence of the three major molecular subpopulations of cerebellar astrocytes. Importantly, we identified unique markers that allow identification of these subpopulations. Indeed, our analysis identified BG, which were marked by previously known markers Gdf10, Gpr3711, Slc1a3, and Gria1 (Carter et al., 2018; Gupta et al., 2018; L. He et al., 2018; Marazziti et al., 2013; Saab et al., 2012). Although there was a single cluster of immature BG at P10, we found two distinct molecular subsets of cells that were BG at P17. It is unlikely that these subsets represent BG at different stages of differentiation since neither more closely clusters with immature BG at P10. Importantly, two molecularly unique subpopulations of BG have also been reported by others (Carter et al., 2018). It is possible that one of these BG subsets represents elusive Fañanas cells (Goertzen & Veh, 2018); however, this requires further analysis. In contrast to specific markers of BG, genes specifically expressed in either VA or FA have been difficult to identify to date. Both Aqp4 and Bcan have been used as a marker of VA (Farmer et al., 2016; Yamada et al., 1997). While we found that both Aqp4 and Bcan were expressed in VA, Aqp4 was also upregulated in the FA, and Bcan was also expressed in BG, FA, and oligodendrocytes. Our data indicate that in contrast to Aqp4 and Bcan, both Cyp26b1 and Hsd11b1 selectively label cerebellar VA at both P10 and P17. However, expression profiles of cerebellar VA do not resemble a specific subpopulation profile of cortical and hippocampal astrocytes (Batiuk et al., 2020), and it remains to be established whether their markers are specifically expressed in other brain regions. Surprisingly, specific markers of FA have not been identified to date. Here we identified Myoc and C4b to be uniquely expressed in FA but not BG or VA in the cerebellum. Whether these are markers of FA across different brain regions or unique to the cerebellum needs to be determined. These new markers may allow the creation of molecular tools to study subpopulations of cerebellar astrocytes.

Although our studies demonstrate specific effects YY1 exerts on subpopulations of cerebellar astrocytes during their maturation, our data suggest that it also affects astrocytes in other brain regions, and likely in other locations such as the spinal cord. This has not been the focus of these studies but needs further exploration in the future. In addition, we cannot exclude that the premature death of both Yy1 ^{AST} and $Yy1^{Aldh1L1-CreERT2}$ mice was not caused by a deletion of YY1 from GFAP⁺ and Aldh1L1⁺ cells outside of the CNS, such as enteric glia that are needed for proper functioning of the gut.

While our analysis at P10 indicates that expression profiles of immature BG, VA, and FA are initially different, the profiles of VA and FA were remarkably similar at P17, and these cells clustered together, away from BG. It has been shown that all three cerebellar astrocyte subpopulations stem from common progenitors in the developing cerebellum. Nevertheless, VA and BG are more likely to stem from the same clones (Cerrato et al., 2018), while FA may derive from their own clones or share a clonal lineage with interneurons (Parmigiani et al., 2015). Since immature FA and VA are initially different and subsequently their expression profiles become more similar, our findings point to the plasticity of developing astrocytes, and the importance of region-specific external signals that drive maturation of these cells.

Here we have shown that YY1 is needed to execute unique gene expression programs in subpopulations of developing cerebellar astrocytes during their maturation. This is supported by our findings that YY1-deficient astrocytes at P17 fail to gain expression of known mature astrocyte markers and group together with immature astrocytes at P10, indicating a defect in their maturation. YY1 is also permanently required to sustain mature BG and likely VA and FA in adult mice. Thus, YY1 regulates region-specific maturation of cerebellar astrocytes during both development and in the adult cerebellum. Similar findings have recently been reported for another critical transcription factor, NFIA, which also controls region-specific gene expression and maturation of astrocytes, as well as local circuit activities (Huang et al., 2020). These data suggest that both region-specific external signals and intrinsic transcriptional programs are needed to specifically fine tune maturing astrocytes to local needs of the neural circuits. Specific mechanisms by which YY1 exerts its effects in subpopulations of astrocytes remain unknown. Multiple mechanisms of action have been proposed for YY1, including both epigenetic changes to the chromatin and generation of cell-type-specific active chromatin loops (Beagan et al., 2017; Weintraub et al., 2017). Although the chromatin loop model is an attractive mechanism that functions in neural progenitors (Beagan et al., 2017), it remains to be established if YY1 exerts its effects on astrocytes via this or different more traditional mechanisms for a transcription factor. Reorganization of chromatin regulates neural stem cell fate determination, neural plasticity, and learning and memory (Ravi & Kannan, 2013; Sultan & Day, 2011). In contrast, relatively little is known about functions of such reorganization in astrocytes (Galloway et al., 2018; Ito & Takizawa, 2018) with studies mostly focused on DNA methylation and histone modifications (Neal & Richardson, 2018; Pavlou, Grandbarbe, Buckley, Niclou, & Michelucci, 2019). Our data suggest that both development and maintenance of mature BG, VA, and FA in the cerebellum may be differentially regulated on the chromatin level. This mode of regulation would parallel findings demonstrating distinct chromatin accessibilities in subgroups of neurons (Mo et al., 2015), and further epigenetic alternations associated with neuronal activity (Su et al., 2017).

Originally, YY1 has been assumed to be dispensable for astrocytes (Y. He et al., 2007). Recently, astrocytic YY1 has been shown to affect manganese-induced neurotoxicity (Pajarillo et al., 2020) and provide protection against apoptosis, oxidative stress, and inflammation in the brain (Pajarillo et al., 2022). However, direct role of YY1 on astrocytic gene expression and subsequent functions of astrocytes have not been explored. In this study, we found that YY1 is critical to execute and sustain unique and distinct gene expression programs in subpopulations of cerebellar astrocytes. Our findings drastically change the understanding of its importance in astrocyte maturation during the development and maintenance of a mature astrocyte phenotype in the adult cerebellum.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments:

This work was supported by NIH grants R01NS122986, R21NS102802, and R21NS118359 (to TK). Microscopy and histology were performed at the VCU Microscopy Facility and VCU Cancer Mouse Models Core, respectively, supported, in part, by funding from NIH-NCI Cancer Center Support Grant P30 CA016059. This work was also supported by the CTSA award No. UL1TR002649 from the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences. We would like to thank Mr. Lukasz Kordula for help constructing the balance beam.

Data Availability

Raw sequencing data are publicly available through the GEO database (GSE166792).

References

- Araujo APB, Carpi-Santos R, & Gomes FCA (2019). The Role of Astrocytes in the Development of the Cerebellum. Cerebellum, 18(6), 1017–1035. doi:10.1007/s12311-019-01046-0 [PubMed: 31218566]
- Atchison ML (2014). Function of YY1 in Long-Distance DNA Interactions. Front Immunol, 5, 45. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2014.00045 [PubMed: 24575094]
- Batiuk MY, Martirosyan A, Wahis J, de Vin F, Marneffe C, Kusserow C, . . . Holt MG (2020). Identification of region-specific astrocyte subtypes at single cell resolution. Nat Commun, 11(1), 1220. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-14198-8 [PubMed: 32139688]
- Beagan JA, Duong MT, Titus KR, Zhou L, Cao Z, Ma J, . . . Phillips-Cremins JE (2017). YY1 and CTCF orchestrate a 3D chromatin looping switch during early neural lineage commitment. Genome Res, 27(7), 1139–1152. doi:10.1101/gr.215160.116 [PubMed: 28536180]
- Becht E, McInnes L, Healy J, Dutertre CA, Kwok IWH, Ng LG, . . . Newell EW (2018). Dimensionality reduction for visualizing single-cell data using UMAP. Nat Biotechnol. doi:10.1038/ nbt.4314
- Bell KA, Shim H, Chen CK, & McQuiston AR (2011). Nicotinic excitatory postsynaptic potentials in hippocampal CA1 interneurons are predominantly mediated by nicotinic receptors that contain alpha4 and beta2 subunits. Neuropharmacology, 61(8), 1379–1388. doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2011.08.024 [PubMed: 21878344]
- Ben Haim L, & Rowitch DH (2017). Functional diversity of astrocytes in neural circuit regulation. Nat Rev Neurosci, 18(1), 31–41. doi:10.1038/nrn.2016.159 [PubMed: 27904142]
- Bengani H, Mendiratta S, Maini J, Vasanthi D, Sultana H, Ghasemi M, . . . Brahmachari V (2013). Identification and Validation of a Putative Polycomb Responsive Element in the Human Genome. PLoS One, 8(6), e67217. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067217 [PubMed: 23805300]
- Borggrewe M, Grit C, Vainchtein ID, Brouwer N, Wesseling EM, Laman JD, ... Boddeke E (2021). Regionally diverse astrocyte subtypes and their heterogeneous response to EAE. Glia, 69(5), 1140– 1154. doi:10.1002/glia.23954 [PubMed: 33332631]
- Buffo A, & Rossi F (2013). Origin, lineage and function of cerebellar glia. Prog Neurobiol, 109, 42–63. doi:10.1016/j.pneurobio.2013.08.001 [PubMed: 23981535]
- Butler A, Hoffman P, Smibert P, Papalexi E, & Satija R (2018). Integrating single-cell transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. Nat Biotechnol, 36(5), 411–420. doi:10.1038/nbt.4096 [PubMed: 29608179]
- Cai Y, Jin J, Yao T, Gottschalk AJ, Swanson SK, Wu S, . . . Conaway JW (2007). YY1 functions with INO80 to activate transcription. Nat Struct Mol Biol, 14(9), 872–874. doi:10.1038/nsmb1276 [PubMed: 17721549]
- Carter RA, Bihannic L, Rosencrance C, Hadley JL, Tong Y, Phoenix TN, . . . Gawad C (2018). A Single-Cell Transcriptional Atlas of the Developing Murine Cerebellum. Curr Biol, 28(18), 2910– 2920 e2912. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.07.062 [PubMed: 30220501]
- Cerrato V (2020). Cerebellar Astrocytes: Much More Than Passive Bystanders In Ataxia Pathophysiology. J Clin Med, 9(3). doi:10.3390/jcm9030757

- Cerrato V, Parmigiani E, Figueres-Onate M, Betizeau M, Aprato J, Nanavaty I, . . . Buffo A (2018). Multiple origins and modularity in the spatiotemporal emergence of cerebellar astrocyte heterogeneity. PLoS Biol, 16(9), e2005513. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.2005513 [PubMed: 30260948]
- Chai H, Diaz-Castro B, Shigetomi E, Monte E, Octeau JC, Yu X, ... Khakh BS (2017). Neural Circuit-Specialized Astrocytes: Transcriptomic, Proteomic, Morphological, and Functional Evidence. Neuron, 95(3), 531–549 e539. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2017.06.029 [PubMed: 28712653]
- Chen CY, Shi W, Balaton BP, Matthews AM, Li Y, Arenillas DJ, . . . Wasserman WW (2016). YY1 binding association with sex-biased transcription revealed through X-linked transcript levels and allelic binding analyses. Sci Rep, 6, 37324. doi:10.1038/srep37324 [PubMed: 27857184]
- Chiang CM, & Roeder RG (1995). Cloning of an intrinsic human TFIID subunit that interacts with multiple transcriptional activators. Science, 267(5197), 531–536. [PubMed: 7824954]
- Dong X, & Kwan KM (2020). Yin Yang 1 is critical for mid-hindbrain neuroepithelium development and involved in cerebellar agenesis. Mol Brain, 13(1), 104. doi:10.1186/s13041-020-00643-z [PubMed: 32703236]
- Donohoe ME, Zhang X, McGinnis L, Biggers J, Li E, & Shi Y (1999). Targeted disruption of mouse Yin Yang 1 transcription factor results in peri-implantation lethality. Mol Cell Biol, 19(10), 7237– 7244. [PubMed: 10490658]
- Escartin C, Galea E, Lakatos A, O'Callaghan JP, Petzold GC, Serrano-Pozo A, . . . Verkhratsky A (2021). Reactive astrocyte nomenclature, definitions, and future directions. Nat Neurosci, 24(3), 312–325. doi:10.1038/s41593-020-00783-4 [PubMed: 33589835]
- Farmer WT, Abrahamsson T, Chierzi S, Lui C, Zaelzer C, Jones EV, . . . Murai KK (2016). Neurons diversify astrocytes in the adult brain through sonic hedgehog signaling. Science, 351(6275), 849– 854. doi:10.1126/science.aab3103 [PubMed: 26912893]
- Farmer WT, & Murai K (2017). Resolving Astrocyte Heterogeneity in the CNS. Front Cell Neurosci, 11, 300. doi:10.3389/fncel.2017.00300 [PubMed: 29021743]
- Galloway A, Adeluyi A, O'Donovan B, Fisher ML, Rao CN, Critchfield P, . . . Ortinski PI (2018). Dopamine Triggers CTCF-Dependent Morphological and Genomic Remodeling of Astrocytes. J Neurosci, 38(21), 4846–4858. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3349-17.2018 [PubMed: 29712779]
- Garcia AD, Doan NB, Imura T, Bush TG, & Sofroniew MV (2004). GFAP-expressing progenitors are the principal source of constitutive neurogenesis in adult mouse forebrain. Nat Neurosci, 7(11), 1233–1241. doi:10.1038/nn1340 [PubMed: 15494728]
- Ge WP, Miyawaki A, Gage FH, Jan YN, & Jan LY (2012). Local generation of glia is a major astrocyte source in postnatal cortex. Nature, 484(7394), 376–380. doi:10.1038/nature10959 [PubMed: 22456708]
- Goertzen A, & Veh RW (2018). Fananas cells-the forgotten cerebellar glia cell type: Immunocytochemistry reveals two potassium channel-related polypeptides, Kv2.2 and Calsenilin (KChIP3) as potential marker proteins. Glia, 66(10), 2200–2208. doi:10.1002/glia.23478
 [PubMed: 30151916]
- Gomez CM (2019). The cerebellum in health and disease. Neurosci Lett, 688, 1. doi:10.1016/ j.neulet.2018.07.025 [PubMed: 30031034]
- Gordon S, Akopyan G, Garban H, & Bonavida B (2006). Transcription factor YY1: structure, function, and therapeutic implications in cancer biology. Oncogene, 25(8), 1125–1142. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1209080 [PubMed: 16314846]
- Gregoire S, Karra R, Passer D, Deutsch MA, Krane M, Feistritzer R, ... Wu SM (2013). Essential and unexpected role of Yin Yang 1 to promote mesodermal cardiac differentiation. Circ Res, 112(6), 900–910. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.113.259259 [PubMed: 23307821]
- Gupta I, Collier PG, Haase B, Mahfouz A, Joglekar A, Floyd T, . . . Tilgner HU (2018). Single-cell isoform RNA sequencing characterizes isoforms in thousands of cerebellar cells. Nat Biotechnol. doi:10.1038/nbt.4259
- He L, Yu K, Lu F, Wang J, Wu LN, Zhao C, . . . Lu QR (2018). Transcriptional Regulator ZEB2 Is Essential for Bergmann Glia Development. J Neurosci, 38(6), 1575–1587. doi:10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.2674-17.2018 [PubMed: 29326173]

- He Y, Dupree J, Wang J, Sandoval J, Li J, Liu H, . . . Casaccia-Bonnefil P (2007). The transcription factor Yin Yang 1 is essential for oligodendrocyte progenitor differentiation. Neuron, 55(2), 217– 230. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2007.06.029 [PubMed: 17640524]
- Hochstim C, Deneen B, Lukaszewicz A, Zhou Q, & Anderson DJ (2008). Identification of positionally distinct astrocyte subtypes whose identities are specified by a homeodomain code. Cell, 133(3), 510–522. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008.02.046 [PubMed: 18455991]
- Huang AY, Woo J, Sardar D, Lozzi B, Bosquez Huerta NA, Lin CJ, . . . Deneen B (2020). Region-Specific Transcriptional Control of Astrocyte Function Oversees Local Circuit Activities. Neuron, 106(6), 992–1008 e1009. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2020.03.025 [PubMed: 32320644]
- Ito K, & Takizawa T (2018). Nuclear Architecture in the Nervous System: Development, Function, and Neurodevelopmental Diseases. Front Genet, 9, 308. doi:10.3389/fgene.2018.00308 [PubMed: 30127803]
- John Lin CC, Yu K, Hatcher A, Huang TW, Lee HK, Carlson J, . . . Deneen B (2017). Identification of diverse astrocyte populations and their malignant analogs. Nat Neurosci, 20(3), 396–405. doi:10.1038/nn.4493 [PubMed: 28166219]
- Karki P, Webb A, Smith K, Johnson J Jr., Lee K, Son DS, . . . Lee E (2014). Yin Yang 1 is a repressor of glutamate transporter EAAT2, and it mediates manganese-induced decrease of EAAT2 expression in astrocytes. Mol Cell Biol, 34(7), 1280–1289. doi:10.1128/MCB.01176-13 [PubMed: 24469401]
- Khakh BS (2019). Astrocyte-Neuron Interactions in the Striatum: Insights on Identity, Form, and Function. Trends Neurosci, 42(9), 617–630. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2019.06.003 [PubMed: 31351745]
- Khakh BS, & Sofroniew MV (2015). Diversity of astrocyte functions and phenotypes in neural circuits. Nat Neurosci, 18(7), 942–952. doi:10.1038/nn.4043 [PubMed: 26108722]
- Khan A, & Mathelier A (2017). Intervene: a tool for intersection and visualization of multiple gene or genomic region sets. BMC Bioinformatics, 18(1), 287. doi:10.1186/s12859-017-1708-7 [PubMed: 28569135]
- Kleiman E, Jia H, Loguercio S, Su AI, & Feeney AJ (2016). YY1 plays an essential role at all stages of B-cell differentiation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 113(27), E3911–3920. doi:10.1073/ pnas.1606297113 [PubMed: 27335461]
- Lee JS, Galvin KM, See RH, Eckner R, Livingston D, Moran E, & Shi Y (1995). Relief of YY1 transcriptional repression by adenovirus E1A is mediated by E1A-associated protein p300. Genes Dev, 9(10), 1188–1198. [PubMed: 7758944]
- Leto K, Arancillo M, Becker EB, Buffo A, Chiang C, Ding B, . . . Hawkes R (2016). Consensus Paper: Cerebellar Development. Cerebellum, 15(6), 789–828. doi:10.1007/s12311-015-0724-2 [PubMed: 26439486]
- Liu H, Schmidt-Supprian M, Shi Y, Hobeika E, Barteneva N, Jumaa H, ... Shi Y (2007). Yin Yang 1 is a critical regulator of B-cell development. Genes Dev, 21(10), 1179–1189. doi:10.1101/ gad.1529307 [PubMed: 17504937]
- Lozzi B, Huang TW, Sardar D, Huang AY, & Deneen B (2020). Regionally Distinct Astrocytes Display Unique Transcription Factor Profiles in the Adult Brain. Front Neurosci, 14, 61. doi:10.3389/fnins.2020.00061 [PubMed: 32153350]
- Marazziti D, Di Pietro C, Golini E, Mandillo S, La Sala G, Matteoni R, & Tocchini-Valentini GP (2013). Precocious cerebellum development and improved motor functions in mice lacking the astrocyte cilium-, patched 1-associated Gpr3711 receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 110(41), 16486–16491. doi:10.1073/pnas.1314819110 [PubMed: 24062445]
- Meyer LC, Paisley CE, Mohamed E, Bigbee JW, Kordula T, Richard H, . . . Sato-Bigbee C (2017). Novel role of the nociceptin system as a regulator of glutamate transporter expression in developing astrocytes. Glia, 65(12), 2003–2023. doi:10.1002/glia.23210 [PubMed: 28906039]
- Mo A, Mukamel EA, Davis FP, Luo C, Henry GL, Picard S, . . . Nathans J (2015). Epigenomic Signatures of Neuronal Diversity in the Mammalian Brain. Neuron, 86(6), 1369–1384. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2015.05.018 [PubMed: 26087164]
- Morel L, Chiang MSR, Higashimori H, Shoneye T, Iyer LK, Yelick J, ... Yang Y (2017). Molecular and Functional Properties of Regional Astrocytes in the Adult Brain. J Neurosci, 37(36), 8706–8717. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3956-16.2017 [PubMed: 28821665]

- Neal M, & Richardson JR (2018). Epigenetic regulation of astrocyte function in neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis, 1864(2), 432–443. doi:10.1016/ j.bbadis.2017.11.004 [PubMed: 29113750]
- Ocasio JK, Babcock B, Malawsky D, Weir SJ, Loo L, Simon JM, . . . Gershon TR (2019). scRNA-seq in medulloblastoma shows cellular heterogeneity and lineage expansion support resistance to SHH inhibitor therapy. Nat Commun, 10(1), 5829. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-13657-6 [PubMed: 31863004]
- Pajarillo E, Johnson J Jr., Rizor A, Nyarko-Danquah I, Adinew G, Bornhorst J, . . . Lee E (2020). Astrocyte-specific deletion of the transcription factor Yin Yang 1 in murine substantia nigra mitigates manganese-induced dopaminergic neurotoxicity. J Biol Chem, 295(46), 15662–15676. doi:10.1074/jbc.RA120.015552 [PubMed: 32893191]
- Pajarillo E, Nyarko-Danquah I, Digman A, Vied C, Son DS, Lee J, . . . Lee E (2022). Astrocytic Yin Yang 1 is critical for murine brain development and protection against apoptosis, oxidative stress, and inflammation. Glia. doi:10.1002/glia.24286
- Parmigiani E, Leto K, Rolando C, Figueres-Onate M, Lopez-Mascaraque L, Buffo A, & Rossi F (2015). Heterogeneity and Bipotency of Astroglial-Like Cerebellar Progenitors along the Interneuron and Glial Lineages. J Neurosci, 35(19), 7388–7402. doi:10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.5255-14.2015 [PubMed: 25972168]
- Pavlou MAS, Grandbarbe L, Buckley NJ, Niclou SP, & Michelucci A (2019). Transcriptional and epigenetic mechanisms underlying astrocyte identity. Prog Neurobiol, 174, 36–52. doi:10.1016/ j.pneurobio.2018.12.007 [PubMed: 30599178]
- Petkova V, Romanowski MJ, Sulijoadikusumo I, Rohne D, Kang P, Shenk T, & Usheva A (2001). Interaction between YY1 and the retinoblastoma protein. Regulation of cell cycle progression in differentiated cells. J Biol Chem, 276(11), 7932–7936. doi:10.1074/jbc.M007411200 [PubMed: 11118439]
- Ravi B, & Kannan M (2013). Epigenetics in the nervous system: An overview of its essential role. Indian J Hum Genet, 19(4), 384–391. doi:10.4103/0971-6866.124357 [PubMed: 24497700]
- Rezai-Zadeh N, Zhang X, Namour F, Fejer G, Wen YD, Yao YL, . . . Seto E (2003). Targeted recruitment of a histone H4-specific methyltransferase by the transcription factor YY1. Genes Dev, 17(8), 1019–1029. doi:10.1101/gad.1068003 [PubMed: 12704081]
- Risher WC, Patel S, Kim IH, Uezu A, Bhagat S, Wilton DK, . . . Eroglu C (2014). Astrocytes refine cortical connectivity at dendritic spines. Elife, 3. doi:10.7554/eLife.04047
- Rodriques SG, Stickels RR, Goeva A, Martin CA, Murray E, Vanderburg CR, ... Macosko EZ (2019). Slide-seq: A scalable technology for measuring genome-wide expression at high spatial resolution. Science, 363(6434), 1463–1467. doi:10.1126/science.aaw1219 [PubMed: 30923225]
- Saab AS, Neumeyer A, Jahn HM, Cupido A, Simek AA, Boele HJ, . . . Kirchhoff F (2012). Bergmann glial AMPA receptors are required for fine motor coordination. Science, 337(6095), 749–753. doi:10.1126/science.1221140 [PubMed: 22767895]
- Sathyanesan A, Kundu S, Abbah J, & Gallo V (2018). Neonatal brain injury causes cerebellar learning deficits and Purkinje cell dysfunction. Nat Commun, 9(1), 3235. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-05656-w [PubMed: 30104642]
- Srinivasan L, Pan X, & Atchison ML (2005). Transient requirements of YY1 expression for PcG transcriptional repression and phenotypic rescue. J Cell Biochem, 96(4), 689–699. doi:10.1002/ jcb.20562 [PubMed: 16052488]
- Srinivasan R, Lu TY, Chai H, Xu J, Huang BS, Golshani P, . . . Khakh BS (2016). New Transgenic Mouse Lines for Selectively Targeting Astrocytes and Studying Calcium Signals in Astrocyte Processes In Situ and In Vivo. Neuron, 92(6), 1181–1195. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2016.11.030 [PubMed: 27939582]
- Stuart T, Butler A, Hoffman P, Hafemeister C, Papalexi E, Mauck WM 3rd, . . . Satija R (2019). Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data. Cell, 177(7), 1888–1902 e1821. doi:10.1016/ j.cell.2019.05.031 [PubMed: 31178118]
- Su Y, Shin J, Zhong C, Wang S, Roychowdhury P, Lim J, . . . Song H (2017). Neuronal activity modifies the chromatin accessibility landscape in the adult brain. Nat Neurosci, 20(3), 476–483. doi:10.1038/nn.4494 [PubMed: 28166220]

- Sultan FA, & Day JJ (2011). Epigenetic mechanisms in memory and synaptic function. Epigenomics, 3(2), 157–181. doi:10.2217/epi.11.6 [PubMed: 22122279]
- Tao J, Wu H, Lin Q, Wei W, Lu XH, Cantle JP, . . . Sun YE (2011). Deletion of astroglial Dicer causes non-cell-autonomous neuronal dysfunction and degeneration. J Neurosci, 31(22), 8306– 8319. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0567-11.2011 [PubMed: 21632951]
- Wang J, Wu X, Wei C, Huang X, Ma Q, Huang X, . . . Ding J (2018). YY1 Positively Regulates Transcription by Targeting Promoters and Super-Enhancers through the BAF Complex in Embryonic Stem Cells. Stem Cell Reports, 10(4), 1324–1339. doi:10.1016/j.stemcr.2018.02.004 [PubMed: 29503092]
- Waters MR, Gupta AS, Mockenhaupt K, Brown LN, Biswas DD, & Kordula T (2019). RelB acts as a molecular switch driving chronic inflammation in glioblastoma multiforme. Oncogenesis, 8(6), 37. doi:10.1038/s41389-019-0146-y [PubMed: 31142741]
- Weintraub AS, Li CH, Zamudio AV, Sigova AA, Hannett NM, Day DS, . . . Young RA (2017). YY1 Is a Structural Regulator of Enhancer-Promoter Loops. Cell, 171(7), 1573–1588 e1528. doi:10.1016/ j.cell.2017.11.008 [PubMed: 29224777]
- Yamada H, Fredette B, Shitara K, Hagihara K, Miura R, Ranscht B, . . . Yamaguchi Y (1997). The brain chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan brevican associates with astrocytes ensheathing cerebellar glomeruli and inhibits neurite outgrowth from granule neurons. J Neurosci, 17(20), 7784–7795. [PubMed: 9315899]
- Yanagida K, Liu CH, Faraco G, Galvani S, Smith HK, Burg N, ... Hla T (2017). Size-selective opening of the blood-brain barrier by targeting endothelial sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor 1. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 114(17), 4531–4536. doi:10.1073/pnas.1618659114 [PubMed: 28396408]
- Zamanian JL, Xu L, Foo LC, Nouri N, Zhou L, Giffard RG, & Barres BA (2012). Genomic analysis of reactive astrogliosis. J Neurosci, 32(18), 6391–6410. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6221-11.2012 [PubMed: 22553043]
- Zeisel A, Hochgerner H, Lonnerberg P, Johnsson A, Memic F, van der Zwan J, . . . Linnarsson S (2018). Molecular Architecture of the Mouse Nervous System. Cell, 174(4), 999–1014 e1022. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.06.021 [PubMed: 30096314]
- Zhang Y, & Barres BA (2010). Astrocyte heterogeneity: an underappreciated topic in neurobiology. Curr Opin Neurobiol, 20(5), 588–594. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2010.06.005 [PubMed: 20655735]
- Zhang Y, Sloan SA, Clarke LE, Caneda C, Plaza CA, Blumenthal PD, . . . Barres BA (2016). Purification and Characterization of Progenitor and Mature Human Astrocytes Reveals Transcriptional and Functional Differences with Mouse. Neuron, 89(1), 37–53. doi:10.1016/ j.neuron.2015.11.013 [PubMed: 26687838]
- Zurkirchen L, Varum S, Giger S, Klug A, Hausel J, Bossart R, . . . Sommer L (2019). Yin Yang 1 sustains biosynthetic demands during brain development in a stage-specific manner. Nat Commun, 10(1), 2192. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-09823-5 [PubMed: 31097699]

- YY1 is dispensable for the initial stages of cerebellar astrocyte development,
- YY1 regulates subtype-specific gene expression during cerebellar astrocyte maturation.
- YY1 is needed to maintain astrocyte identity in the adult cerebellum.

Author Manuscript



Fig. 1. Deletion of YY1 in astrocytes drastically affects motor function.

(A-D) Expression of YY1 protein in (A) total brain lysates, (B) cultured astrocytes, (C) P10 mouse cerebellum, and (D) cultured cerebellar neurons. (E) Mean weight of $Yy1^{loxP/loxP}$ and $Yy1^{AST}$ mice (n=15, 9; two-tailed t-test; * p <0.0001). (F) Survival of $Yy1^{loxP/loxP}$ and $Yy1^{AST}$ mice (n=13, 14; Log Rank, Mantel-Cox Test; p=0.005). (G) Mean velocity (n=5, 5; two-tailed t-test; * p=0.008). (I) Tracks of $Yy1^{loxP/loxP}$ and $Yy1^{AST}$ mice crossing narrow path.



Fig. 2. Effect of YY1 deletion on subpopulations of astrocytes in adult cerebellum.

(A) Hematoxylin and Eosin staining of $Yy1^{loxP/loxP}$ and $Yy1^{AST}$ 8–12 week-old brains. (B) Weights of $Yy1^{loxP/loxP}$ and $Yy1^{AST}$ cerebella (n=10, 9; two-tailed t-test; p=0.78). (C-E) Expression of mRNAs (normalized to GAPDH) in cerebella of $Yy1^{loxP/loxP}$ and $Yy1^{AST}$ mice (n=9, 11; two-tailed t-test; * p<0.01). (F) Immunofluorescence of $Yy1^{loxP/loxP}$ and $Yy1^{AST}$ cerebellum with GFAP, Calbindin, Iba1, and Hoechst. Confocal images at 40X, scale bar 20 µm. ML, molecular layer; GCL, granular cell layer; WM, white matter. (G) Quantification of GFAP⁺ processes in the ML (n=4, 3 mice; n=50, 40 locations; two-tailed

t-test; * p=0.02). (**H**) Quantification of VA in the ML (n=3, 3 mice; n=15, 15 locations; two-tailed t-test; ** p=0.004). (**I**) Quantification of FA in the WM (n=3, 3 mice; n=15, 15 locations; two-tailed t-test; * p=0.034). (**J**) Quantification of PC bodies (n=3, 3 mice; n=29, 29 locations; two-tailed t-test; p=0.600). (**K**) Quantification of IBA1⁺ cells (n=4, 3 mice; n=35, 18 locations; two-tailed t-test; *** p=0.0004).

Mockenhaupt et al.

Page 27





5–6-week-old mice were administered (IP) 75 mg/kg tamoxifen or corn oil over 5 days. (**A**) Model of experimental design. (**B**) Survival (n=5, 10, 8, 13 mice; Log Rank, Mantel-Cox test; * p<0.0001). (**C**) Mean velocity (n=5, 5, 5, 7; Two-way Anova; * p<0.003). (**D**) Mean number of paw slips on a balance beam (n=5, 5, 5, 7; Two-way Anova; * p<0.003). (**E**) Immunofluorescence of cerebellum (day 18 post induction) stained with GFAP, Calbindin, IBA1, and Hoechst; 20X. ML, molecular layer; GCL, granular cell layer; WM, white matter. (**F**) Quantification of GFAP⁺ processes (n=3, 4 mice; n=42, 54 locations; two-tailed t-test; * p<0.0001). (**G**) Quantification of IBA1⁺ cells (n=3, 3 mice; n=51, 40 locations; multiple unpaired two-tailed t-test; * p<=0.03). (**I**) Expression of mRNAs (normalized to GAPDH) (n=16, 8; two-tailed t-test; * p<0.001, ****p<0.001).

Mockenhaupt et al.



Fig. 4. Normal architecture of *Yy1^{Aldh1L1-CreERT2*} and *Yy1^{AST}* cerebella at P10. 4-day-old mice were administered (IP) 75 mg/kg tamoxifen over 5 days. (A) Model of experimental design (left). Immunofluorescence of cerebella (GCL/WM border) stained with YY1 and GFAP. White arrows point to astrocytes with/without YY1. (B) Immunofluorescence of TAM-treated cerebella stained with GFAP, Calbindin, Iba1, and Hoechst at 40X, scale bar 20 µm. ML, molecular layer; GCL, granular cell layer; WM, white matter. (C) Quantification of GFAP⁺ processes of BG (n=3, 3 mice; n=21, 18; locations; two-tailed t-test; p=0.21). (D) Quantification of IBA1⁺ cells (n=3, 3 mice; n=5, 8 locations; two-tailed t-test; p=0.34). (E) Immunofluorescence of $Yy1^{loxP/loxP}$ and $Yy1^{AST}$ cerebellum at P10 stained with GFAP, Calbindin, Iba1, and Hoechst. Confocal images at 40X, scale bar 20 µm. ML, molecular layer; GCL, granular cell layer; WM, white matter. (F) Quantification of GFAP⁺ processes of BG (n=3, 3 mice; n=26, 26; locations; two-tailed t-test; p=0.29). (G) Quantification of PC bodies (n=3, 3 mice; n=10, 9 locations, two-tailed t-test; p=0.43). (H) Quantification of IBA1⁺ cells (n=3, 3 mice; n=40, 38 locations; two-tailed t-test; p=0.69). (I) Representative responses of a P10 Yv1^{loxP/loxP} and Yv1 AST PC to 350 pA depolarizing and -400 pA hyperpolarizing step current injections (600 ms duration). (J) Overlay of the first action potentials in the train of E.



Fig. 5. scRNA-seq analysis of *Yy1^{loxP/loxP}* and *Yy1^{AST}* cerebella at P10.

(A) Two-dimensional UMAP clustering. 11,226 cerebellar cells (n= 2, 2; mice). (B) Box plot of UMI and gene number per cell in each cell cluster. (C) Expression of astrocyte markers in each cluster. (D) Overlap of top 618 cluster 6 genes with published mouse astrocyte gene sets (Batiuk et al., 2020; Borggrewe et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2016). (E) UMAP and (F) violin plot visualization of YY1 expression in $Yy1^{loxP/loxP}$ and $Yy1^{AST}$ cerebella. (G) Two-dimensional UMAP clustering visualizing subclusters of astrocytes. (H-J) UMAP graphs presenting the expression of subpopulation markers and their expression

in situ (Allen Brain Atlas, P14) in BG (**H**), VA (**I**), and FA (**J**). (**K**) Heatmap of top 10 biomarkers for each subpopulation. Unsupervised analysis of gene expression profiles of BG, VA, and FA in $Yy1^{LoxP/LoxP}$ and $Yy1^{AST}$ cerebella.

Mockenhaupt et al.



Fig. 6. Differential effect of YY1 deletion on subpopulations of cerebellar astrocytes at P20. (A) Immunofluorescence of *Yy1^{loxP/loxP}* and *Yy1* ^{AST} cerebella at P20 stained with GFAP, Calbindin, IBA1, and Hoechst. Confocal images at 40X, scale bar 20 µm. ML, molecular layer; GCL, granular cell layer; WM, white matter. (B) Quantification of GFAP⁺ processes of BG (n=3, 4 mice; n=16, 28 locations; two-tailed t-test; * p=0.01). (C) Quantification of PC bodies (n=3, 4 mice; n=8, 14 locations, two-tailed t-test; * p<0.0001). (D) Quantification of IBA1⁺ cells (n=4, 3 mice; n=19, 25 locations; two-tailed t-test; * p<0.0001).

Mockenhaupt et al.



Fig. 7. Unique astrocyte clusters are present in *Yy1* AST cerebellum at P17.

(A) Two-dimensional UMAP clustering. 7,321 cerebellar cells were sequenced and analyzed. (n= 2, 2; mice). (B) Box plot of UMI and gene number per cell in each cluster. (C) Expression of astrocyte markers in each cell cluster. (D) Two-dimensional UMAP clustering visualizing subclusters of astrocytes. (E-G) UMAP graphs presenting the expression of subpopulation in BG (E), VA (F), and FA (G). (H-J) Overlap of top 728 BG (H), 387 VA (I), and 208 FA (J) genes with published mouse astrocyte gene sets (Batiuk et al., 2020; Borggrewe et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2016). (K-M) Pathway analysis of biological processes

regulated by differentially expressed genes in the $Yy1^{loxP/loxP}$ and $Yy1^{AST}$ BG (**K**), VA (**L**), and FA (**M**). Top 10 enriched categories are shown.