

ENTOMOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF AMERICA SHARING INSECT SCIENCE GLOBALLY

OXFORD

Transcriptome analysis used to identify and characterize odorant binding proteins in *Agasicles hygrophila* **(Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae)**

Changhong Dong^{[1](#page-0-0),[2](#page-0-1),[†](#page-0-2)[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4427-4260)®}, Cong Huang^{2[,3](#page-0-3)[,†](#page-0-2),®}, Xiaoyu Ning^{2,[4](#page-0-4)}, Bo Liu², Xi Qiao^{2,®}, **Wanqiang Qia[n2](#page-0-1)[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4668-8325) , Daohong Zhu[1,](#page-0-0)[*](#page-0-5), [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2442-672X) Fanghao Wan[2](#page-0-1)[,3,](#page-0-3)[*](#page-0-5)[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4275-8632)**

1 Laboratory of Insect Behavior and Evolutionary Ecology, College of Life Science and Technology, Central South University of Forestry and Technology (CSUFT), Changsha, China , 'Shenzhen Branch, Guangdong Laboratory of Lingnan Modern Agriculture, Genome Analysis Laboratory of the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, Agricultural Genomics Institute at Shenzhen, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Shenzhen, China, ³State Key Laboratory for Biology of Plant Diseases and Insect Pests, Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China, 4 College of Plant Protection/Key Laboratory of Green Prevention and Control of Tropical Plant Diseases and Pests, Ministry of Education, Hainan University, Haikou, China * Corresponding authors, mail: daohongzhu@yeah.net (D.Z.); wanfanghao@caas.cn (F.W.)

† These authors contributed equally to this work and share first authorship.

Subject Editor: Joanna Chiu

Received on 14 May 2023; revised on 14 August 2023; accepted on 14 September 2023

The transcriptomes of *Agasicles hygrophila* eggs and first instar larvae were analyzed to explore the olfactory mechanism of larval behavior. The analysis resulted in 135,359 unigenes and the identification of 38 odorantbinding proteins (OBPs), including 23 Minus-C OBPs, 8 Plus-C OBPs, and 7 Classic OBPs. Further analysis of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) revealed 10 DEG OBPs, with 5 (*AhygOBP5*, *AhygOBP9*, *AhygOBP12*, *AhygOBP15* and *AhygOBP36*) up-regulated in first instar larvae. Verification of expression patterns of these 5 *AhygOBPs* using qPCR showed that *AhygOBP9* and *AhygOBP36* were mainly expressed in the adult stage with gradually increasing expression in the larval stage. *AhygOBP5*, *AhygOBP12*, and *AhygOBP15* were not expressed in eggs and pupae, and their expression in larvae and adults showed no clear pattern. These 5 *AhygOBPs* may play an olfactory role in larval behavior, providing a basis for further investigation of their specific functions and clarifying the olfactory mechanism of *A. hygrophila*.

Key words: Agasicles hygrophila, odorant-binding protein, transcriptome analysis, egg, first instar larvae

Introduction

Insect odorant-binding proteins (OBPs) are a class of water-soluble globular proteins consisting of 100–150 amino acids and a molecular weight of approximately 15–20 kD [\(Field et al. 2000\)](#page-7-0). They have hydrophobic cavities that can recognize and bind hydrophobic compounds. OBPs are generally divided into 3 different subgroups based on the number of conserved cysteine residues: the Classic subgroup, which contains 6 highly conserved cysteine residues; the Minus-C subgroup, which lacks 2 conserved cysteine residues; and the Plus-C subgroup, which has 2–4 additional cysteine residues compared to the Classic subgroup ([Zhou et al. 2004](#page-7-1), [Li et al. 2013](#page-7-2)).

Agasicles hygrophila (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) is a leaf beetle native to South America that feeds exclusively on *Alternanthera philoxeroides* ([Coulson 1977](#page-7-3), [Zhao et al. 2016](#page-7-4)). Herbivorous insects use plant volatile compounds to locate their host plants for feeding and egg deposition ([Wang et al. 2020](#page-7-5)). Previous studies have shown that *A. hygrophila* female beetles use olfactory cues to select plants

for feeding and oviposition ([Li et al. 2017](#page-7-6)). The monophagous beetle *A. hygrophila* uses (E)-4,8-dimethyl-1,3,7 nonanetriene (DMNT) as a volatile compound to recognize its host plant *A. philoxeroides* [\(Wang et al. 2020\)](#page-7-5). *A. philoxeroides* releases DMNT in response to attacks by *A. hygrophila*, which actively encourages *A. hygrophila* feeding and oviposition ([Li et al. 2017,](#page-7-6) [Wang et al. 2020](#page-7-5)). However, only the *A. hygrophila* odorant receptor coreceptor (AhygOrco) has been reported to be associated with foraging and mate locating, and inhibition of AhygOrco reduces host and partner recognition [\(Ning](#page-7-7) [et al. 2023](#page-7-7)). Chemosensory mechanisms other than AhygOrco in *A. hygrophila* have not been well studied.

Based on experimental observations, we have discovered that *A. hygrophila* displays host specificity from its larval stage. To investigate the olfactory mechanism underlying this larval behavior, we sequenced and analyzed the transcriptome of eggs and 1st instar larvae. Through bioinformatic analysis, we identified a total of 38 *AhygOBPs*. To confirm our findings, we used quantitative real-time

© Crown copyright 2023.

This Open Access article contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0 [\(https://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/](https://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/) [open-government-licence/version/3/\)](https://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/).

PCR (qPCR) to verify the up-regulated expression of 5 OBP genes at various developmental stages. Our results shed light on the olfactory mechanism behind larval foraging.

Materials and Methods

Insect Rearing and Sample Collection

Insects were raised under controlled conditions at 25 ± 1 °C, with a 12-hour light:12-hour dark photoperiod and $75 \pm 5\%$ relative humidity. For transcriptome sequencing, eggs were collected 48 h after

Table 1. Summary of BLASTP search results of unigenes against public protein databases

Databases	Numbers of unigene hits	Percentage	
NCBI nr	31,327	23.1%	
Swiss-prot	16,714	12.3%	
GO	20,590	15.2%	
KEGG	6,322	4.7%	
Total unigenes	135,359		

laying, while first instar larvae were collected 48 h after hatching. Expression profiling studies were conducted using samples from a total of 6 developmental stages, including eggs, first to third instar larvae, pupae, and female and male adults. All samples were represented by at least 3 biological replicates.

RNA Extraction and cDNA Synthesis

TR150 Micro Total RNA Rapid Extraction Kit (with DNase I) (JIANSHI BIOTECH) was used to extract total RNA from each sample individually, and the concentration and purity of RNA were assessed using a NanoDrop-2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The first-strand cDNA was synthesized from 1 μg RNA usingHifair III 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis SuperMix for qPCR (Yisheng Bio), and cDNA templates were stored at −20 °C.

Sequencing was performed by UW Genetics Ltd (Shenzhen, China) using the Illumina HiSeq 4000 sequencing platform. Trimming of lowquality reads and adapter sequences was performed using Trimmomatic software. The get_longest_isoform_seq_per_trinity_ gene.pl script in TRINITY was used to obtain the longest isoforms for each gene [\(Grabherr et al. 2011;](#page-7-8) [Zhang et al. 2022\)](#page-7-9); transcripts with 95% similarity were clustered using cd-hit and then annotated

Table 2. Summary of AhygOBP sequence information

Gene ID	Length of AA	Classification	Signal peptide	Mw(kD)	PI
AhygOBP1	102	Minus-C		11.2	9.6
AhygOBP2	104	Minus-C		11.8	4.9
AhygOBP3	117	Classic-C	16	12.4	6.1
AhygOBP4	123	Classic-C	17	13.6	5.0
AhygOBP5	126	Minus-C	16	14.1	6.8
AhygOBP6	127	Classic-C	20	14.8	4.6
AhygOBP7	128	Minus-C	17	14.4	5.5
AhygOBP8	128	Minus-C	19	14.5	6.1
AhygOBP9	128	Minus-C	19	14.5	5.4
AhygOBP10	129	Minus-C	16	14.7	4.7
AhygOBP11	129	Minus-C	20	14.3	4.7
AhygOBP12	129	Minus-C	$\qquad \qquad -$	15.0	5.4
AhygOBP13	129	Minus-C	19	14.8	5.8
AhygOBP14	129	Plus-C	22	15.1	5.1
AhygOBP15	131	Minus-C	17	14.6	6.2
AhygOBP16	131	Plus-C	16	14.3	4.2
AhygOBP17	132	Minus-C	17	14.5	5.9
AhygOBP18	133	Minus-C	17	15.3	9.0
AhygOBP19	133	Minus-C	18	14.6	5.1
AhygOBP20	134	Minus-C	16	14.9	7.7
AhygOBP21	134	Minus-C	16	15.0	7.7
AhygOBP22	134	Minus-C	19	15.2	5.4
AhygOBP23	135	Classic-C	19	15.8	7.6
AhygOBP24	136	Classic-C	19	15.4	5.1
AhygOBP25	136	Minus-C	16	15.4	9.0
AhygOBP26	137	Plus-C	16	15.4	8.1
AhygOBP27	138	Plus-C	19	15.7	9.3
AhygOBP28	139	Minus-C	19	16.0	8.3
AhygOBP29	141	Plus-C	22	16.4	4.5
AhygOBP30	143	Plus-C	19	16.1	8.4
AhygOBP31	146	Minus-C	25	16.4	7.1
AhygOBP32	147	Minus-C	\overline{a}	16.6	6.2
AhygOBP33	147	Minus-C	17	16.7	5.9
AhygOBP34	148	Classic-C	16	16.6	4.9
AhygOBP35	152	Plus-C	32	16.9	5.6
AhygOBP36	155	Minus-C	33	17.3	8.3
AhygOBP37	165	Classic-C	21	19.2	8.5
AhygOBP38	173	Plus-C	$\overline{}$	20.1	8.6

by searching various databases, including the NCBI Non-Redundant Protein Sequence Database (Nr), Swiss-Prot, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG), Pfam, Protein Orthologue Group (KOG), and Gene Ontology (GO) ([Li et al. 2020\)](#page-7-10).

Gene Identification and Bioinformatic Analysis

The OBP genes were identified based on the conserved structural domain of the OBP gene family (PBP_GOBP:PF01395). The PBP_ GOBP HMM profile was downloaded from the Pfam database, and then the candidate genes were screened using the hmmsearch program of HMMER (version 3.1b2). The identified candidates were then subjected to further validation screening by submitting them to NCBI Batch CD-search [\(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/bwrpsb/bwrpsb.cgi) [bwrpsb/bwrpsb.cgi](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/bwrpsb/bwrpsb.cgi)).

The open reading frame (ORF) of each individual gene was determined using the ORF Finder tool [\(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/orffinder/) [orffinder/\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/orffinder/). To assess sequence conservation, multiple sequence alignment was conducted using DNAMAN. Signal peptides were predicted using SignalP 6.0 ([Almagro Armenteros et al. 2019\)](#page-7-11). Motif structure was predicted using MEME [\(https://meme-suite.org/meme/tools/meme](https://meme-suite.org/meme/tools/meme)).

Phylogenetic Analysis

To explore the evolutionary relationship between the *AhygOBP* genes and their orthologues, we utilized 162 OBP genes from 5 Coleopteran species, including *A. hygrophila*, *Dendroctonus ponderosae*, *Anoplophora glabripennis*, *Agrilus planipennis*, *Tribolium castaneum*, and *Rhynchophorus ferrugineus*, to construct a phylogenetic tree. The 4 other Coleopteran insects were selected due to their extensively studied olfactory genes, and the amino acid sequences of OBP genes were retrieved from the NCBI database or literature attachments. To align all amino acid sequences, the Clustalw method in MEGA ([Yang et al. 2018](#page-7-12)) was used, and the neighbor-joining method with 1,000 bootstraps ([Tamura et al. 2004\)](#page-7-13) was employed to construct the phylogenetic tree. The phylogenetic tree was visualized and annotated using FigTree [\(Zhu et al. 2022\)](#page-7-14).

Expression Profiling Analysis

The gene expression levels between eggs and first instar larvae were compared using fragments per kilobase of transcript per million fragments mapped (FPKM). DESeq2 software was used to analyze genes that were significantly differentially expressed, with absolute values of log2 (fold change) (>1) and *P*-values (<0.05) ([Anders and](#page-7-15) [Huber 2010\)](#page-7-15).

To verify the 5 up-regulated OBP genes in first instar larvae, qPCR was performed with ribosomal protein S18 (RPS18) as the internal reference gene ([Jia et al. 2020\)](#page-7-16). The primers used for qPCR were designed and synthesized by Shanghai Biological Engineering Co., Ltd. (China). The qPCR reactions were performed using the Applied Biosystems Step One Plus Real-Time PCR System, with a reaction volume of 20 µl. The reactions were established with 10.0 µl Hieff qPCR SYBR Green Master Mix (High Rox Plus), 0.4 µl of each primer (10 µM), 1.0 µl of cDNA template, and 8.2 µl of sterilized ultrapure H_2O . The reaction conditions included predenaturation at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of 10 s at 95 °C, and 30 s at 60 °C. Three biological replicates per tissue and 3 technical replicates per biological replicate were used. Data were processed using the 2^{−∆∆CT} method [\(Schmittgen and Livak 2008\)](#page-7-17), one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed using SPSS, and multiple comparisons were performed using the LSD (least significance difference) method. The results were visualized with GraphPad Prism software.

Results

Transcriptome Sequencing and Assembly

The Illumina HiSeq 4000 sequencing platform generated a total of 1,426,068,984 reads from 8 (egg: 3 samples; 1st instar larvae: 5

Fig. 1. Multiple sequence alignments of AhygOBPs.

Fig. 2. Motif information of *AhygOBPs;* Rectangles in different colors represent different Motifs, and the sequences represented by different motifs are shown in the legend.

samples) RNA-Seq libraries with a total size of 142.5 Gb. A total of 272,894 transcripts were generated after pruning and recombination. Similarity clustering by searching for the longest isoforms and transcripts for each gene resulted in 135,359 nonredundant single genes. Further classification of the single genes by function [\(Table 1](#page-1-0)) showed that 31,327 single genes were annotated by the Nr database (23.1%), 16,714 single genes by the Swiss-Prot database (12.3%), 20,590 single genes by the GO database (15.2%), and 6,322 single genes by the KEGG database (4.7%).

Identification, Characterization, and Phylogenetics of *AhygOBPs*

A total of 38 OBPs were identified from the transcriptome assembly of *A. hygrophila* and named as AhygOBP1-38 based on their sequence length ([Table 2\)](#page-1-1). These 38 *AhygOBPs* encode 102–173 amino acids, with 7 of them belonging to the Classic OBPs, 23 belonging to the Minus-C OBPs, and 8 belonging to the Plus-C OBPs. From the 38 *AhygOBPs*, 33 have a signal peptide at the N-terminus, while the remaining 5 have no signal peptide. The signal peptide is composed of 16–33 amino acids. The molecular weights of the 38 *AhygOBPs*

range from 11.2 to 20.1 kD, and their isoelectric points range from 4.2 to 9.6.

The multiple sequence alignment of 38 *AhygOBP* genes showed that a total of 4 C on the alignments [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-2-0), indicating a highly conserved OBP gene family structure. To identify conserved structural domains in the sequence, we used MEME and found that the sequences contained multiple identical motifs, with the red motif being the most conserved and the blue motif being the next most conserved ([Fig. 2](#page-3-0)).

Phylogenetic analysis showed that the OBP genes are relatively conserved, with most of the OBP genes of the same species clustered in the same family and only a few clustered with other Hymenoptera [\(Fig. 3\)](#page-4-0). For instance, *AhygOBP6* and *AglaOBP52*, *AhygOBP25*, and *DponOBP39*, *AhygOBP8*, and *AplaOBP2*, and *AhygOBP1* and *TcasOBP15* clustered in the same family.

Fig. 3. Phylogenetic tree of OBP genes from *A. hygrophila* and other coleopteran species (neighbor-joining tree, NJ); Ahyg: *Agasicles hygrophila*, Agla: *Anoplophora glabripennis*, Apla: *Agrilus planipennis*, Dpon: *Dendroctonus ponderosae*, Tcas: *Tribolium castaneum*, Rfer: *Rhynchophorus ferrugineus*.

Fig. 4. Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes in the eggs and first instar larvae of *A. hygrophila*; The OBP genes up-regulated in first instar larvae were *AhygOBP5*, *AhygOBP9*, *AhygOBP12*, *AhygOBP15*, and *AhygOBP36*.

Transcriptome-based Identification of Differentially Expressed Genes

Based on transcriptome read counts in *A. hygrophila,* we determined the expression profiles of all unigenes using DESeq2. Among the 1,914 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) identified, 1,560 were highly expressed in the first instar larvae, while 354 were highly expressed in the egg stage. DEGs highly expressed in the first instar larvae, include 5 OBP genes, namely *AhygOBP3*, *AhygOBP11*, *AhygOBP19*, *AhygOBP35*, and *AhygOBP36*. The expression of these 5 OBP genes across both periods is shown in [Fig. 4.](#page-5-0)

Expression Profiles during Different Developmental Stages

The expression of the 5 up-regulated *AhygOBP* genes in the first instar larvae was further validated by qPCR at different developmental stages. The results showed that all 5 OBP genes had higher expression levels in the first instar larvae compared to the egg stage. However, the expression levels of each OBP varied at different developmental stages ([Fig. 5](#page-6-0)). *AhygOBP11* and *AhygOBP35* had similar expression patterns, with low expression in eggs and pupae, gradually increasing in the larval stage, and being highest in the adult stage [\(Fig. 5\)](#page-6-0). The expression of *AhygOBP11* was higher in female adults, while *AhygOBP35* had higher expression in male adults [\(Fig. 5](#page-6-0)). *AhygOBP3* was most highly expressed in the first instar larvae, with low expression in eggs and pupae, and not significantly different in adult and second and third instar larval stages [\(Fig. 5\)](#page-6-0). *AhygOBP36*

was mainly expressed in the larval stages, with lower expression in eggs, pupae, and male adults, and not significantly different. *AhygOBP19* had low expression in eggs and pupae and showed no significant differences in the larval and adult stages [\(Fig. 5](#page-6-0)).

Discussion

The identification of olfactory genes is a crucial step towards understanding the molecular mechanisms of olfaction. Among these genes, OBPs are known to be important chemosensory proteins in insects, playing a key role in odorant perception. Although it has been shown that the function of OBPs is not limited to olfactory effects, and OBPs have been found to be present in organs not generally associated with chemoreception, their binding molecular signatures remain indisputable ([Bruno et al. 2018](#page-7-18)).

In our previous experiments, we observed olfactory functions in *A. hygrophila* at the larval stage, and therefore analyzed the transcriptome of both eggs and larvae in order to elucidate the olfactory mechanisms at different developmental stages. In this study, we generated a total of 1,426,068,984 reads from eggs and first instar larvae, resulting in a total of 135,359 of the longest transcripts. Among these, we identified a total of 38 OBPs genes, with the Minus-C subclade having the most OBPs (23), followed by the Plus-C subclade (8), and the Classic-C subclade (7). Previous studies have suggested that Minus-C OBPs are more abundant in primitive insects, whereas Plus-C OBPs are more abundant in higher species [\(Vieira and Rozas 2011,](#page-7-19) [Spinelli et al. 2012](#page-7-20)). This implies that Minus-C OBPs may be the ancestors of both classical OBPs

Fig. 5. Relative expression of the 5 *AhygOBP* genes throughout different developmental stages; E: egg; L1–L3: 1st-3rd instar larvae; P: pupa; F: female; M: male. The internal reference genes were RPS18, and the relative expression levels are expressed as the mean ± SEM of 3 biological replicates. Multiple comparisons were performed using the LSD method, and different letters on the bars indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$).

and Plus-C OBPs, and that OBP evolution has resulted in more disulphide bonds and more complex structures over time.

However, it should be noted that the number of OBP genes identified in this study may not represent the complete set of *A. hygrophila* OBPs gene families. Some genes may have been missed during the RNA-seq process due to low expression levels or lack of expression in the tested transcriptome. Additionally, some olfactory genes with significant differences from known query gene sequences may not have been detected in the transcriptome analyses using homology search methods ([Li et al. 2022\)](#page-7-21).

Recent studies have shown that OBPs are not only expressed in chemoreceptive tissues such as antennae, but also in the nonchemoreceptive tissues such as pheromone glands, where they play a role in pheromone release [\(Jacquin-Joly et al. 2001](#page-7-22), [Li et al.](#page-7-23) [2008](#page-7-23), [Dani et al. 2011,](#page-7-24) [Gu et al. 2013\)](#page-7-25). For example, MvicOBP3 and MvicOBP8 are highly expressed in cornicles and cauda of the hemipteran insect *Megoura viciae* [\(Bruno et al., 2018\)](#page-7-18). In the present study, OBP genes were identified during the larval stage, and they may have a putative role in development [\(Maleszka et al. 2007](#page-7-26), [Marinotti et](#page-7-27) [al. 2014\)](#page-7-27).

Based on the analysis of differential genes combined with FPKM values, we identified 5 OBPs that were up-regulated at the larval stage. It is hypothesized that these 5 OBPs may be involved in the olfactory recognition function of the larval stage. We further validated the spatio-temporal expression patterns of these genes using qPCR, which showed that they were not only expressed at low levels in the egg stage but also largely absent in the pupal stage.

AhygOBP11 and *AhygOBP35* were mainly expressed in the adult stage, with increasing expression in the larval stage, suggesting their role in olfactory function. However, the expression patterns of *AhygOBP3*, *AhygOBP19*, and *AhygOBP36* did not follow the above pattern, indicating that they may have functions other than olfaction.

Our findings provide a foundation for clarifying the roles of *A. hygrophila* OBP genes, and the highly expressed OBP genes in the larval stage should be further explored for their unique functions.

Acknowledgments

We sincerely thank Mr Huo (Huo Yile) and Mr Li (Li Zaiyuan) for their scientific support and various assistance with this study.

Funding

The work in this paper was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2021YFC2600100 & 2021YFC2600101), the Shenzhen Science and Technology Program (Grant No. KQTD20180411143628272) and the Agricultural Science and Technology Innovation Program.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Author Contributions

Changhong Dong (Data curation [Lead], Formal analysis [Lead], Methodology [Equal], Visualization [Lead], Writing—original draft [Lead]), Huang Cong (Data curation [Equal], Methodology [Lead], Project administration [Equal], Writing—review & editing [Lead]), Xiaoyu Ning (Formal analysis [Equal], Methodology [Equal]), Bo Liu (Funding acquisition [Equal], Project administration [Equal], Writing—review & editing [Equal]), Xi Qiao (Funding acquisition [Equal], Project administration [Equal]), Wanqiang Qian (Funding acquisition [Equal], Project administration [Equal]), Daohong Zhu (Methodology [Equal], Project administration [Equal], Writing—review & editing [Equal]), and Fang-Hao Wan (Project administration [Equal], Writing—review & editing [Equal])

References

- **Almagro Armenteros JJ, Tsirigos KD, Sønderby CK, Petersen TN, Winther O, Brunak S, von Heijne G, Nielsen H.** Signalp 5.0 improves signal peptide predictions using deep neural networks. Nat Biotechnol. **2019**:37(4):420– 423. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0036-z>
- **Anders S, Huber W.** Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. Genome Biol. **2010**:11(10):R106. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2010-11-10-r106) [gb-2010-11-10-r106](https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2010-11-10-r106)
- **Bruno D, Grossi G, Salvia R, Scala A, Farina D, Grimaldi A, Zhou J-J, Bufo SA, Vogel H, Grosse-Wilde E,** *et al*. Sensilla morphology and complex expression pattern of odorant binding proteins in the vetch aphid *Megoura viciae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae). Front Physiol. **2018**:9(9):777. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.00777) [org/10.3389/fphys.2018.00777](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.00777)
- **Coulson JR.** Biological control of Alligatorweed, 1959–1972: a review and evaluation. Tech Bull U S Dep Agric. **1977**:1547:98.
- **Dani FR, Michelucci E, Francese S, Mastrobuoni G, Cappellozza S, La Marca G, Niccolini A, Felicioli A, Moneti G, Pelosi P.** Odorant-binding proteins and chemosensory proteins in pheromone detection and release in the Silkmoth *Bombyx mori*. Chem Senses. **2011**:36(4):335–344. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1093/chemse/bjq137) [org/10.1093/chemse/bjq137](https://doi.org/10.1093/chemse/bjq137)
- Field LM, Pickett JA, Wadhams LJ. Molecular studies in insect olfaction. Insect Mol Biol. **2000**:9(6):545–551. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2583.2000.00221.x) [org/10.1046/j.1365-2583.2000.00221.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2583.2000.00221.x)
- **Gu S-H, Wu K-M, Guo Y-Y, Pickett JA, Field LM, Zhou J-J, Zhang Y-J.** Identification of genes expressed in the sex pheromone gland of the black cutworm *Agrotis Ipsilon* with putative roles in sex pheromone biosynthesis and transport. BMC Genomics. **2013**:14:636. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-14-636) [org/10.1186/1471-2164-14-636](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-14-636)
- **Jacquin-Joly E, Vogt RG, François MC, Nagnan-Le Meillour P.** Functional and expression pattern analysis of chemosensory proteins expressed in antennae and pheromonal gland of *Mamestra Brassicae*. Chem Senses. **2001**:26(7):833–844. <https://doi.org/10.1093/chemse/26.7.833>
- **Jia D, Ji ZY, Liu YH, Zhang B, Ma RY.** Screening and validation of internal reference genes in different developmental stages of *Agasicles hygrophila*. J Shanxi Agric Univ (Nat Sci Ed) **2020**:40(4):53–58. doi:[10.13842/j.cnki.](https://doi.org/10.13842/j.cnki.issn1671-8151.202001022) [issn1671-8151.202001022](https://doi.org/10.13842/j.cnki.issn1671-8151.202001022)
- **Li LF, Gao X, Gui HM, Lan MX, Zhu JY, Xie YH,** *et al*. Identification and preliminary characterization of chemosensory-related proteins in the gall fly, *Procecidochares utilis* by transcriptomic analysis. Comp Biochem Physiol D Genomics Proteomics. **2020**:36: [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbd.2020.100724) [cbd.2020.100724](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbd.2020.100724)
- **Li N, Li S, Ge J, Schuman MC, Wei JN, Ma RY.** Manipulating two olfactory cues causes a biological control beetle to shift to nontarget plant species. J Ecol. **2017**:105(6):1534–1546. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12778) [org/10.1111/1365-2745.12778](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12778)
- **Li S, Picimbon J-F, Ji S, Kan Y, Chuanling Q, Zhou J-J, Pelosi P.** Multiple functions of an odorant-binding protein in the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*.

Biochem Biophys Res Commun. **2008**:372(3):464–468. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2008.05.064) [org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2008.05.064](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2008.05.064)

- **Li X, Li JW, Sun WX, Li W, Gao HY, Liu TX, Qu M-J.** Candidate chemosensory genes identified in the adult antennae of *Sympiezomias velatus* and binding property of odorant-binding protein 15. Front Physiol. **2022**:13:<https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2022.907667>
- **Li ZQ, Zhang S, Luo JY, Cui J, Ma Y, Dong SL.** Two Minus-C odorant binding proteins from *Helicoverpa armigera* display higher ligand binding affinity at acidic pH than neutral pH. J Insect Physiol. **2013**:59(3):263–272. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2012.12.004>
- **Maleszka J, Forêt S, Saint R, Maleszka R.** RNAi-induced phenotypes suggest a novel role for a chemosensory protein CSP5 in the development of embryonic integument in the honeybee (*Apis mellifera*). Dev Genes Evol. **2007**:217(3):189–196. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00427-006-0127-y>
- **Marinotti O, Ngo T, Kojin BB, Chou S-P, Nguyen B, Juhn J, Carballar-Lejarazú R, Marinotti PN, Jiang X, Walter MF,** *et al*. Integrated proteomic and transcriptomic analysis of the *Aedes aegypti* eggshell. BMC Dev Biol. **2014**:14:15.<https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-213X-14-15>
- **Ning XY, Huang C, Dong CH, Jin JS, Qiao X, Guo JY,** *et al*. RNAi verifications on olfactory defects of an essential biocontrol agent *Agasicles hygrophila* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) regarding mating and host allocation. Front Ecol Evol. **2023**:11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2023.1104962>
- **Schmittgen TD, Livak KJ.** Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative C (T) method. Nat Protocols. **2008**:3(6):1101–1108. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2008.73) [org/10.1038/nprot.2008.73](https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2008.73)
- **Spinelli S, Lagarde A, Iovinella I, Legrand P, Tegoni M, Pelosi P, Cambillau C.** Crystal structure of *Apis mellifera* OBP14, a C-minus odorant-binding protein, and its complexes with odorant molecules. Insect Mol Biol. **2012**:42(1):41–50.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2011.10.005>
- **Tamura K, Nei M, Kuma S.** Prospects for inferring very large phylogenies by using the neighbor-joining method. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. **2004**:101(30):11030–11035.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0404206101>
- **Vieira FG, Rozas J.** Comparative genomics of the odorant-binding and chemosensory protein gene families across the arthropoda: origin and evolutionary history of the chemosensory system. Genome Biol Evol. **2011**:3:476–490.<https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evr033>
- **Wang YX, Liu YH, Wang XC, Jia D, Hu J, Gao LL, Ma RY**. *Agasicles hygrophila* attack increases nerolidol synthase gene expression in *Alternanthera philoxeroides*, facilitating host finding. Sci Rep. **2020**:10:16994. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-73130-z) doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-73130-z
- Yang H, Cai Y, Zhuo ZH, Yang W, Yang CP, Zhang J, et al. Transcriptome analysis in different developmental stages of *Batocera horsfieldi* (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae) and comparison of candidate olfactory genes. PLoS One. **2018**:13(2):<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192730>
- **Zhang YY, Guo JM, Wei ZQ, Zhang XT, Liu SR, Guo HF, Dong S-L.** Identification and sex expression profiles of olfactory-related genes in *Mythimna loreyi* based on antennal transcriptome analysis. J Asia-Pac Entomol. **2022**:25(3):101934. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aspen.2022.101934) [aspen.2022.101934](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aspen.2022.101934)
- **Zhao MT, Wang Y, Zhou ZS, Wang R, Guo JY, Wan FH.** Effects of periodically repeated heat events on reproduction and ovary development of *Agasicles hygrophila* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). J Econ Entomol. **2016**:109(4):1586–1594. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/tow093>
- **Zhou J, Huang W, Zhang G, Pickett JA, Field LM.** "Plus-c" odorantbinding protein genes in two Drosophila species and the malaria mosquito *Anopheles gambiae*. Genes. **2004**:327(1):117–129. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2003.11.007) [org/10.1016/j.gene.2003.11.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2003.11.007)
- **Zhu XY, Yu QL, Gan XY, Song LW, Zhang KP, Zuo TT,** *et al*. Transcriptome analysis and identification of chemosensory genes in *Baryscapus dioryctriae* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae). Insects. **2022**:13(12):1098. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects13121098>
- Grabherr, M. G. et al. Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. Nat. Biotechnol. **2011**:29, 644–652. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1883) doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1883.