# Utilization of Previously Accumulated and Concurrently Absorbed Nitrogen during Reproductive Growth in Maize<sup>1</sup>

INFLUENCE OF PROLIFICACY AND NITROGEN SOURCE

Received for publication November 4, 1986 and in revised form March 3, 1986

WILLIAM L. PAN\*, JAMES J. CAMBERATO, WILLIAM A. JACKSON, AND ROBERT H. MOLL Department of Agronomy and Soils, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington 99164-6420 (W.L.P.), and Departments of Soil Science (J.J.C., W.A.J.) and Genetics (R.H.M.), North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina 27695-7619

## ABSTRACT

A prolific maize (Zea mays L.) genotype was grown to physiological maturity under greenhouse conditions to examine the effects of reproductive sink demand on (a) the remobilization of N accumulated during vegetative growth, and (b) the partitioning of N accumulated concurrent with ear development. One- and two-eared plants were treated with either a NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> or NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> source of <sup>15</sup>N-labeled N during reproductive growth. Plants with two ears enhanced grain production, N remobilization from the stalk and roots, and N translocation to the grain from concurrently assimilated N. But, remobilization of leaf-N was unaffected by ear number. In addition, N uptake and total dry matter accumulation during the reproductive period were also unaffected, although P uptake was greater in the two-eared plants. Less than 15% of the total K<sup>+</sup> uptake was accumulated after silking while during this time more than 40% of the total N and more than 50% of the total P were absorbed. The data also indicate that with NO3<sup>-</sup> nutrition, internal recirculation of K<sup>+</sup> between shoots and roots may play a prominent role in the transport of nitrogenous solutes during grain development. N source had no effect on dry matter production and N uptake of both one- and two-eared plants. However, slightly greater partitioning of labeled-N from the NH4<sup>+</sup> source to the grain was observed in the two-eared plants.

The increased sink capacity associated with development of a second ear in prolific maize hybrids tends to increase both total dry matter production and total grain weight (1, 15). Similarly, total N uptake and total grain-N were greater in two-eared plants than one-eared plants (1). During reproductive growth, greater net loss of dry matter and N occurred from the stalks of two-eared plants than one-eared plants (1, 19).

The relative amounts and ratios of  $NO_3^-$  and  $NH_4^+$  in the root zone during reproductive development influenced total N uptake and N partitioning in maize (13, 19). Field studies indicated that two-eared genotypes produced more grain and accumulated more grain-N when  $NH_4^+$  rather than  $NO_3^-$  was the predominant N form available (19). With  $NH_4^+$  nutrition, a greater proportion of the accumulated N was translocated to the grain. In contrast, one-eared genotypes performed as well or better with the  $NO_3^$ source.

In this investigation, comparisons were made of the effects of

one versus two ears per plant on accumulation and partitioning of dry matter and N during reproductive growth of a prolific maize hybrid. This experimental hybrid produces two comparably sized ears under nonstressed conditions. One-eared plants of this genotype were produced by preventing pollination of the lower earshoot. Controlling ear number in a single genotype by this method avoids the potentially confounding factors encountered in other studies of (a) differences other than ear number between one-eared and two-eared genotypes (15, 19) and (b) differences in microenvironmental conditions that may exist between naturally occurring one- and two-eared plants (1, 15). In contrast to partitioning studies using one-eared genotypes (5, 6) in which reduction of sink size involved complete elimination of grain formation, sink size can be reduced in two-eared genotypes while maintaining, at a reduced rate, the processes involved in grain formation. Utilization of  ${}^{15}N$ -labeled urea (together with a nitrification inhibitor) or  ${}^{15}N$ -labeled Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> applied at the initiation of ear development allowed an assessment of the effects of the second ear and of the two forms of N on remobilization of N accumulated previous to silk emergence and the partitioning of N accumulated after silk emergence. The differential consequences of these treatments on acquisition and distribution of P and K<sup>+</sup> during reproductive growth were also assessed.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Culture. An experimental prolific genotype of maize (Zea mays L.) was selected for this study. In previous studies, this genotype exhibited increased grain production when NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> rather than NO3<sup>-</sup> was supplied during reproductive growth (genotype D) (19). This single-cross hybrid was made from unselected inbred lines derived from 'Jarvis Golden Prolific' and 'Indian Chief' cultivars. Plants were grown to physiological maturity under greenhouse conditions. Maize seeds were planted on February 23, 1984, in each of 29 pots. Each pot contained 45 kg of sandy loam soil. Plants were thinned to one per pot 5 d after germination. Plants were harvested June 4 (103 DAP<sup>2</sup>) after the black layer formed in the grain. Maximum daytime temperatures were maintained below 39°C by evaporative cooling. Plants were watered before visible water stress, but not excessive enough to cause leaching of nutrients from the pots. The soil used was composed of the surface horizons from several soil series belonging to the Paleudult soil order. Prior to amendment the soil had a pH of 5.0, and contained 0.50 meq  $Al^{3+}$  100 g<sup>-1</sup> soil, 0.14 meq  $Mg^{2+}$  100 g<sup>-1</sup> soil, 0.44 meq Ca<sup>2+</sup> 100 g<sup>-1</sup> soil, 0.09 meq K<sup>+</sup> 100  $g^{-1}$  soil, and 13  $\mu g$  available P  $g^{-1}$  soil. Effective cation exchange

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Paper No. 10108 of the Journal Series of the North Carolina Agricultural Research Service at Raleigh.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Abbreviation: DAP, days after planting.

capacity was 1.17 meq 100 g soil<sup>-1</sup> and organic matter content was 1.1%. In accord with soil analysis 0.4 g  $KH_2PO_4$  kg<sup>-1</sup> soil and 0.73 g dolomitic lime (21% Ca, 12% Mg) kg<sup>-1</sup> soil were thoroughly mixed into the soil prior to planting. Soil acidity at planting was pH 6.2.

At time of planting 0.66 g N plant<sup>-1</sup> was surface-applied as  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  in solution. Ammonium is completely nitrified within 14 d under these soil conditions (4). Additional N was supplied during vegetative growth as Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> at 2.47 g N plant<sup>-1</sup> application<sup>-1</sup> at 26 and 52 DAP.

At silk emergence (70 DAP), 5 plants were sampled for determination of dry matter and nutrient composition in the leaves, stalks, roots, and earshoots. The remaining plants were divided into two groups (12 plants each) that were fertilized at 70 and 90 DAP with either of two N sources. At each time interval, 1.64 g N were applied to each pot as solutions of either Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> or urea + nitrapyrin. Both sources were enriched with 5 atom % <sup>15</sup>N. Nitrapyrin, a nitrification inhibitor, was supplied at a rate of 52 mg plant<sup>-1</sup> application<sup>-1</sup> in solution with the dissolved urea. All N treatments were surface applied as solutions during daily watering (~1.5 L plant<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>). Two applications of N and nitrapyrin were made during ear development to maintain the efficacy of nitrapyrin (19).

Within each N treatment, 6 plants were allowed to fully pollinate both top and bottom earshoots, while pollination of the bottom earshoot of 6 plants was prevented by covering the shoot with a paper bag prior to silk emergence. The 6 replicates of each of 4 N source-ear number combinations were arranged in a randomized complete block design.

**Plant Analyses.** Leaves, stalks, roots, grain, and cob + husk were separated, oven dried at 70°C, weighed, and analyzed for nutrient composition. Nitrogen values reported herein include NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N reduced with salicyclic acid/sulfuric acid prior to Kjeldahl digestion (16). Ammonium in the digest was determined spectrophotometrically (3). Ammonium was then recovered from the digest by diffusion and analyzed for <sup>15</sup>N enrichment by mass spectrometry (22). Nitrogen accumulation in each plant part derived from the <sup>15</sup>N-labeled fertilizer was determined by multiplying A% excess <sup>15</sup>N by the total N in each plant part and dividing by the A% excess <sup>15</sup>N of the applied fertilizer. Nitrate in stalk tissue was extracted with hot water (97°C) for 1 h and determined by a manual modification of the procedure of Lowe and Hamilton (12). Potassium was determined by atomic absorption spectrometry.

#### RESULTS

**Dry Matter Production and Partitioning.** Fifty percent of the total dry matter at maturity was accumulated after silking (Table I). Ear number altered dry matter partitioning, although total dry matter accumulation was unaffected. Two-eared plants produced 63% more grain plant<sup>-1</sup> than one-eared plants. Net increase in stalk weight between silk emergence and maturity were less than 13% for the two-eared plants, but greater than 65% for the one-eared plants. Root weight of two-eared plants was greater at silk emergence than at maturity. In contrast, one-eared plants exhibited similar root weights at silking and maturity. Changes in leaf dry matter between silk emergence and maturity were relatively small and not altered by differences in ear number. The form of N had no effect on total dry matter accumulation or yield of grain for either one- or two-eared plants.

Uptake and Partitioning of N Absorbed after Silk Emergence. For the four treatments, the <sup>15</sup>N-labeled sources provided from 73 to 78% of the total N accumulated by the plants between silk emergence and maturity (Table II). The remainder of the N that accumulated during this period was derived from mineralization of soil organic matter and/or unlabeled-N that was applied prior to silk emergence and that remained in the soil by silk emergence.

Although 41 to 43% of the total N accumulation occurred after silk emergence, N uptake was unaffected by ear number or N source (Table II). However, significant N source  $\times$  ear number interaction occurred for labeled -N partitioning to the top ear (Table III). Thus, two-eared plants partitioned to the grain 76 and 69% of total N absorbed from <sup>15</sup>N-labeled sources when grown on NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, respectively (Table III). In contrast, one-eared plants partitioned only 51% to the grain, regardless of N source, while accumulating a greater proportion in the stalk and roots. Only 6% of the total N absorbed from <sup>15</sup>N-labeled sources was retained in the leaves, and neither ear number nor N source affected leaf accumulation.

**Redistribution of N Accumulated Prior to Silk Emergence.** During reproductive growth leaves, stalks, and roots lost previously accumulated N (Table IV). Whereas the amount lost from the leaves was not affected by ear number or N source, the development of a second ear stimulated greater remobilization of N from the stalk and roots. This resulted in greater overall remobilization of N from vegetative tissues in two- *versus* one-eared plants, 2.52 *versus* 1.84 g plant<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

Accumulation of N in the stalk and roots of one-eared plants after silking was more than sufficient to replace the previously accumulated N remobilized to the grain, resulting in a net gain of N in these tissues (Table II). In comparison, the loss of 0.91 g of previously accumulated N from the leaves (Table IV) far outweighed the 0.19 g N that accumulated during ear development, which resulted in a net loss of 0.72 g from the leaves (Table II). A similar balance of leaf-N was exhibited by twoeared plants. However, the stalk and roots of the two-eared plants showed a net loss of N during ear development due to both greater remobilization of N accumulated prior to silking (Table IV) and lower deposition of concurrently accumulated N (Table III).

**Origin of Grain-N**. Second ear development stimulated a 46 and 39% increase of total grain-N accumulation of  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  exposed plants, respectively (Table V). Nitrogen accumulated prior to silking contributed 1.62 g N plant<sup>-1</sup> to the grain of one-eared plants, which represented 53% of the total grain-N. Increased grain-N accumulation in two-eared plants resulted from greater contributions from both N accumulated prior to silking and N absorbed concurrent with grain fill. Consequently, the proportion of grain-N derived from N absorbed during the two developmental stages were similar in both one- and two-eared plants. There was no difference between the two N sources in the contribution of previously accumulated N to the grain (2.52 g N plant<sup>-1</sup>) whereas 2.65 and 2.18 g N plant<sup>-1</sup> in the grain was derived from concurrently absorbed N for the  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  sources, respectively.

Although N source did not affect the amount of grain produced (Table I), the  $NH_4^+$  source resulted in slightly greater grain-N concentration than the  $NO_3^-$  sources, 2.35% N versus 2.27% N, respectively for one-eared plants and 2.11% N versus 1.93% N, respectively for two-eared plants (data not shown). Hence for each N source, N concentration as well as total N (Table V) of the top ear was decreased slightly by the formation of a second ear. Total grain-N showed a tendency to be greater when  $NH_4^+$  was the N source, 3.52 g N plant<sup>-1</sup> versus 3.39 g N plant<sup>-1</sup> for one-eared plants (Table V). The differences due to N treatment were significant at the 0.07 level of probability.

Uptake and Partitioning of Phosphorus. Fifty-one to 63% of the total P uptake occurred between silk emergence and maturity (Table VI). Development of a second ear influenced both uptake and partitioning of P. With both N sources, total uptake of P of two-eared plants during reproductive growth was greater than that of one-eared plants (Table VI), in spite of the decrease in

## N UPTAKE AND REDISTRIBUTION IN PROLIFIC MAIZE

|                           |                          |                 |       |       | Тор              | Ear                  | Botton | n Ear            |      |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-------|-------|------------------|----------------------|--------|------------------|------|
|                           |                          | Leaves          | Stalk | Roots | Grain            | Cob<br>+<br>husk     | Grain  | Cob<br>+<br>husk | Tota |
| N Source                  | Ears Plant <sup>-1</sup> |                 |       |       | W,               | ;                    |        |                  |      |
|                           |                          |                 |       |       | g dry wt         | part <sup>-1</sup>   |        |                  |      |
|                           |                          | 61              | 136   | 105   |                  | 12ª                  |        |                  | 314  |
|                           |                          |                 |       |       | ΔИ               | <i>V</i> ,           |        |                  |      |
|                           |                          |                 |       |       | $\Delta g dry w$ | t part <sup>-1</sup> |        |                  |      |
| Ammonium                  | 1                        | +9              | +93   | +12   | +150             | +53                  |        |                  | +317 |
|                           | 2                        | +9              | +17   | -31   | +152             | +40                  | +92    | +33              | +312 |
| Nitrate                   | 1                        | +7              | +89   | -2    | +149             | +48                  |        |                  | +291 |
|                           | 2                        | +17             | +9    | -25   | +141             | +37                  | +103   | +31              | +313 |
| N source                  |                          | NS <sup>b</sup> | NS    | NS    | NS               | NS                   | NS     | NS               | NS   |
| Ear No.                   |                          | NS              | c     | _     | NS               | _                    |        |                  | NS   |
| N source $\times$ ear No. |                          | NS              | NS    | NS    | NS               | NS                   |        |                  | NS   |

Table I. Plant Part Weights at Silk Emergence and Change in Total Plant Part Weight ( $\Delta W_t$ ) between Silk Emergence ( $W_s$ ) and Physiological Maturity ( $W_m$ ), where  $\Delta W_t = W_m - W_s$ 

<sup>a</sup> Sample comprises entire earshoot, husk, and silks. <sup>b</sup> Not significant. <sup>c</sup> — = Significant F test at the 0.05 level.

total root mass during this time (Table I). The development of a second ear resulted in the net loss of  $0.10 \text{ g P plant}^{-1}$  from the stalk between silk emergence and maturity. In the roots the P content of one-eared plants increased while the P content of two-eared plants decreased. The P content of leaves decreased by only 0.05 g P plant<sup>-1</sup> between silk emergence and maturity, and there were no differential effects of N source or ear number on this movement.

Nitrogen source affected the total uptake of P during reproductive growth. Uptake by plants receiving  $NO_3^-$  averaged 0.65 g P plant<sup>-1</sup> whereas plants receiving  $NH_4^+$  averaged 0.80 g P plant<sup>-1</sup> (Table VI). In plants receiving  $NH_4^+$  the concentration of P was greater in the leaves, stalks, and grain than in plants receiving  $NO_3^-$  (data not shown).

Uptake of Potassium. Uptake of  $K^+$  during ear development was relatively small, although it was increased significantly by presence of the second ear (Table VII). Only 5 and 14% of the total  $K^+$  content was absorbed after silk emergence for one- and two-eared plants, respectively. No significant changes in  $K^+$ content of leaves or stalks occurred in any of the four treatment combinations. In roots, one-eared plants increased slightly in  $K^+$ content, whereas a slight decrease occurred in two-eared plants.

Cation and Anion Uptake. Exposure to the two N sources during ear development altered appreciably the relative proportion of cation and anion uptake during this period (Table VIII). Estimates of the extent of this difference can be made on the following assumptions: (a) N uptake prior to silk emergence was entirely as NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. Nitrification of initially applied (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> is sufficiently rapid; it was 100% complete in the period 7 to 14 d after application (4), so that little  $\hat{NH}_4^+$  remained shortly after germination (5 DAP). In addition uptake of NH4<sup>+</sup> shortly after germination is minor when compared to total N uptake between germination and silking; (b) N uptake after silk emergence in the  $NO_3^-$  treatment was entirely as  $NO_3^-$ ; (c) N uptake after silking of soil-N in the urea (+ nitrapyrin) treatment was as  $NO_3^{-}$ ; (d) N uptake after silking of the applied <sup>15</sup>N-labeled urea (+ nitropyrin) treatment was as NH4<sup>+</sup>. Urea hydrolysis is complete after 2 d in soils of this type, but nitrification is effectively inhibited by the rates of nitrapyrin used (4) so that the  $NH_4^+$  produced by the rapid hydrolysis of urea remained in the  $NH_4^+$  form; and (e) the quantities of ions (e.g. Na<sup>+</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>-2</sup>) taken up other than

those measured were not sufficiently large to alter appreciably the cation/anion uptake ratio established by the measured ions.

During vegetative growth cation uptake was significantly less than anion uptake primarily due to the large uptake of  $NO_3^-$ (Table VIII). When  $NO_3^-$  fertilization was continued through grain formation, this tendency became even more pronounced as relatively little K<sup>+</sup> was absorbed and  $NO_3^-$  uptake remained substantial. When urea was supplied after silking, significant  $NH_4^+$  uptake resulted in cation uptake substantially exceeding anion uptake, although the effect may have been slightly less in two-eared plants.

#### DISCUSSION

The reproductive sink size of this prolific maize genotype was decreased by preventing the pollination of the lower earshoot. This alteration had no effect on total dry matter production, although the partitioning of dry matter among leaves, stalks, roots, and grain changed dramatically (Table I). In contrast, other studies of maize have indicated that net photosynthesis can be affected by changes in source-sink relationships between leaves and developing grain. Earshoot removal from nonprolific maize has resulted in decreased total dry matter production (5, 6) and decreased leaf carbon exchange rates (2, 14, 21), while enhancing the storage of stalk carbohydrates (5, 6) and the flux of photosynthate to plant roots (18). Similarly, comparisons of prolific and nonprolific maize genotypes have revealed positive relationships between reproductive sink size and total dry matter production (15). In addition, a study comparing naturally occurring one- and two-eared plants of two semiprolific genotypes suggested an increase in reproductive sink demand may enhance net photosynthesis (1). The present experiment did not demonstrate an effect of ear number on dry matter accumulation between artificially manipulated one- and two-eared plants (Table I). Similar results have also been obtained under field conditions (WL Pan, unpublished data). In both instances an increase in sink demand only changed the proportional partitioning of dry matter among plant tissues, with two-eared plants exhibiting greater dry matter translocation to the grain at the expense of dry matter accumulation in the stalk and roots (Table I).

Similarly, the formation of a second ear did not enhance total N uptake, but did alter the partitioning of N within the plant

| The net change in total N content ( $\Delta N$ ) between silk emergence (S) and physiological maturity (M), where $\Delta N = M - S$ . Total N in each plant part derived from the <sup>15</sup> N-labeled N source <sup>4</sup> is given in parentheses. The latter represent absolute values measured in plants harvested at physiological maturity as the <sup>15</sup> N-labeled N sources were first applied at silk emergence.   | content (<br>ter repres                          | (ΔN) betw<br>ent absolu                           | een silk e<br>tte values  | emergence<br>s measure            | Table I<br>e (S) and<br>ed in plan | I. Total N<br>physiolog<br>ts harvest   | <i>in Each</i> in <i>Each</i> is the formation of | Table II. Total N in Each Plant Part at Silk Emergence $(N_s)$ (S) and physiological maturity (M), where $\Delta N = M - S$ . Tot in plants harvested at physiological maturity as the <sup>15</sup> N-labe | <i>at Silk E</i><br>vhere ΔN<br>maturity <i>i</i>                           | mergence $= M - S$ .   | (N <sub>s</sub> )<br>Total N i<br>labeled N      | n each pla<br>sources v   | ant part d<br>vere first a                    | erived fro<br>applied at | m the <sup>15</sup> N<br>silk emer | l-labeled l<br>gence.    | V source <sup>a</sup> is  |
|--|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|--|---------------------------|---|--------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
|  |  | _   |   | C401                              | i                                  | Ğ   |   |   | Top   | Top Ear  |  |                           | Bottom Ear                                    | n Ear                    |                                    | Totol                    |   |
|  |  | Leaves  | S   | SIC                               | ¥                                  | KOOLS   | 210   | Grain   | ain   | Cob + husk   | husk   | Grain                     | tin   | Cob + husk               | husk                               | 1 0141                   |   |
| N Source Ears  | Ears Plant <sup>-1</sup>                         |   |   |                                   |                                    |   |   |   |   | Z,   |  |                           |   |                          |                                    |                          |   |
|  |  | 1.65  |   | 1.85                              |                                    | 0.77  |   |   | g N p   | <i>g N part<sup>-1</sup></i><br>0.28 <sup>b</sup>                    |  |                           |   |                          |                                    | 4.55                     |   |
|  |  |   |   |                                   |                                    |   |   |   | •   | ۸N   |  |                           |   |                          |                                    |                          |   |
|  |  |   |   |                                   |                                    |   |   | $\Delta g N p c$  | m <sup>-1</sup> (g 15   | $\Delta$ g N part <sup>-1</sup> (g 15-labeled N part <sup>-1</sup> ) | { part <sup>-1</sup> )                           |                           |   | -<br>-<br>-              |                                    |                          |   |
| Ammonium   | - 7  | -0.72<br>-0.71                                    | (0.14)<br>(0.16)  | +0.05<br>-0.95                    | (0.56)<br>(0.18)                   | +0.36<br>-0.16  | (0.38)<br>(0.15)  | +3.52<br>+3.23  | (1.20)<br>(1.24)  | +0.03<br>+0.00   | (0.08)<br>(0.07)                                 | +1.92                     | (0.69)  | +0.15                    | (0.04)                             | +3.24<br>+3.48           | (2.36)<br>(2.53)  |
| Nitrate  | - ~  |   | (0.14)<br>(0.13)  | +0.21                             | (0.62)                             | +0.22<br>-0.08  | (0.34)  | +3.39<br>+2.77  | (1.23)  | +0.01  | (0.08)<br>(0.04)                                 | +1.95                     | (0.71)  | +0.13                    | (0.03)                             | +3.10<br>+3.14           | (2.38)  |
| N source   | 4  |   | (SN)  | P                                 | (SN)                               | SN  | (NS)  | SN  | (sv)  | SN   | (SN)   | SN                        | (NS)  | SN                       | (sv)                               | SN                       | (NS)  |
| Ear No.  |  | SN  | (NS)  | I                                 | Ĵ                                  | I   | Ĵ   | ļ   | (SN)  | NS   | (NS)   |                           |   |                          |                                    | NS                       | (SN)  |
| N source × Ear No.   |  | NS  | (NS)  | SN                                | (SN)                               | NS  | (NS)  | NS  | Ĵ   | SN   | (NS)   |                           |   |                          |                                    | SN                       | (NS)  |
| <sup>a</sup> Labeled N = N <sub>1</sub> (A% <sup>15</sup> N <sub>1</sub> – 0.358)/(A% <sup>15</sup> N <sub>1</sub> – 0.358), where N <sub>1</sub> = total N in each plant part; A% <sup>15</sup> N <sub>1</sub> and A% <sup>15</sup> N <sub>1</sub> = the atom percent <sup>15</sup> N measured in N <sub>1</sub> and the original labeled N sources applied during ear development, respectively. The atom percent <sup>15</sup> N measured in plant material not exposed to <sup>15</sup> N-labeled fertilizer, <i>i.e.</i> plants harvested at silking, was 0.358 % <sup>15</sup> N. <sup>b</sup> Sample compromise entire earshoot, husk, and silks. <sup>c</sup> Not significant. <sup>d</sup> — = Significant <i>F</i> test at the 0.05 level. | V <sub>t</sub> – 0.35<br>Jevelopm<br>se entire ( | 8)/(A% <sup>15</sup><br>ent, respe<br>earshoot, b | <sup>5</sup> N <sub>r</sub> – 0.3<br>xtively. <sup>7</sup><br>husk, and | 58), wher<br>The atom<br>1 silks. |                                    | $N_{t} = \text{total N in}$<br>percent <sup>15</sup> N meas<br>° Not significant. | each plan<br>sured in p<br>d — =  | ch plant part; $A\%^{15}N_{t}$ and $A\%^{15}N_{r}$ = the<br>ed in plant material not exposed to <sup>15</sup> N-1<br><sup>d</sup> — = Significant <i>F</i> test at the 0.05 level.                          | <sup>8</sup> <sup>15</sup> N <sub>t</sub> and<br>srial not e<br>nt F test a | d A% <sup>15</sup> N <sub>i</sub><br>exposed to<br>at the 0.05       | r = the atc<br><sup>15</sup> N-label<br>i level. | om percer<br>led fertilis | ıt <sup>15</sup> N me<br>zer, <i>i.e</i> . pl | asured in<br>lants harv  | N, and th<br>ested at s            | ne origina<br>silking, w | $^{\circ}$ N <sub>t</sub> = total N in each plant part; A% <sup>15</sup> N <sub>t</sub> and A% <sup>15</sup> N <sub>t</sub> = the atom percent <sup>15</sup> N measured in N <sub>t</sub> and the original labeled N percent <sup>15</sup> N measured in plant material not exposed to <sup>15</sup> N-labeled fertilizer, <i>i.e.</i> plants harvested at silking, was 0.358 % <sup>o</sup> Not significant. <sup>d</sup> — = Significant <i>F</i> test at the 0.05 level. |

(Table II). In comparison to one-eared plants, two-eared plants partitioned more concurrently absorbed N to the grain, 73 versus 51%, and less N to the stalk, 9 versus 25% (Table III). The remobilization of root- and stalk-N accumulated prior to silk emergence was also increased by the formation of a second ear. Two-eared plants remobilized 0.41 g N plant<sup>-1</sup> from the roots, whereas one-eared plants only remobilized 0.19 g N  $pl^{-1}$  (Table IV). In addition 1.17 g N pl<sup>-1</sup> were remobilized from the stalks of two-eared plants, nearly double the amount (0.66 g N plant<sup>-1</sup>) remobilized from the stalks of one-eared plants. Unlike the roots and stalks, remobilization from the leaves of the N accumulated prior to silk emergence was not stimulated by the presence of a second ear; both one-eared and two-eared plants remobilized a large amount of leaf-N, 0.92 g N plant<sup>-1</sup> (Table IV).

Analyses of the stalks for  $NO_3^-$  as well as total N permits estimates of the removal during reproductive growth of both  $NO_3^-$  and reduced-N which had accumulated by silk emergence. Because <sup>15</sup>N enrichment in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> was not determined, precise values cannot be obtained. However, calculations based on either of two boundary conditions permit the definition of a range of values for the removal of the two N fractions. The range of values is sufficiently narrow that the numbers can be meaningfully interpreted. The boundary conditions are (a) all of the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N accumulated prior to silking was removed from the stalk, and accumulation of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N after silking accounted for the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N present in the stalk at maturity. The accumulation of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N after silking may have originated from NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> previously stored in the roots or from concurrently absorbed NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. For the opposing extreme possibility, it is assumed in boundary condition (b) that  $NO_3^{-}$ -N in the stalk at harvest originated from NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N accumulated in the stalk prior to silking, *i.e.* no NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N translocated to the stalk during ear development remained in the stalk at maturity.

The data for the one-eared plants with the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> source (Table IX) may be used to illustrate the calculations, all in g N  $plant^{-1}$ . For the total N (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N + reduced-N), the labeling data show an accumulation of 0.77 g N after silking (Na, as described in Table IV) and a loss of 0.72 g of previously accumulated N ( $\Delta N_v$ , Table IV) for a net total gain of 0.05 g N. Concomitantly, there was a net loss of 0.58 g as NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N which can be accounted for either by a loss of all NO<sub>3</sub>-N present at silking, 0.67 g, and a gain of 0.09 g NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N after silking (boundary condition a), or by a loss of 0.58 g previously accumulated  $NO_3^--N$  with no accumulation of  $NO_3^--N$  after silking (boundary condition b). Therefore between 87 to 100% of the previously accumulated NO<sub>3</sub>-N was removed from the stalks during reproductive growth. In addition, a net gain in total N of 0.05 g and a net loss of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N of 0.58 g indicates there must have been a net accumulation of 0.63 g of reduced-N. Again, upper and lower boundaries may be calculated for the extent to which N accumulation after silking and loss of N accumulated prior to silking contributed to the net change in reduced-N. For boundary condition (a), 0.05 g of previously accumulated reduced-N was remobilized and 0.68 g of reduced-N was accumulated after silking. For boundary condition (b) the two values are 0.14 and 0.77 g. Hence from 4 to 12% of the reduced-N accumulated prior to silking was removed from the stalks of the one-eared plants during reproductive growth when treated with urea (+ nitrapyrin). In spite of only being able to calculate a range of values for the remobilization of NO3-N and reduced-N from the stalk, it is clear that NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N was removed considerably more effectively than reduced-N under these experimental conditions.

Presence of the second ear had no significant effect on removal of previously accumulated NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N from the stalks but increased appreciably the removal of reduced-N accumulated prior to silking (Table IX). In addition, substantially less reduced-N was accumulated during reproductive growth in the stalks of the two-

## N UPTAKE AND REDISTRIBUTION IN PROLIFIC MAIZE

|                           |                          |                 | <b>C</b> , 11 | <b>D</b> ( | 1     | op Ear     | Bo    | ttom Ear   | THE         |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|-------|------------|-------|------------|-------------|
| N Source                  | Ears Plant <sup>-1</sup> | Leaves          | Stalk         | Roots      | Grain | Cob + husk | Grain | Cob + husk | Total Grain |
|                           |                          |                 |               |            |       | %          |       |            |             |
| Ammonium                  | 1                        | 6               | 24            | 16         | 51    | 3          |       |            | 51          |
|                           | 2                        | 6               | 7             | 6          | 49    | 3          | 27    | 2          | 76          |
| Nitrate                   | 1                        | 6               | 26            | 14         | 51    | 3          |       |            | 51          |
|                           | 2                        | 5               | 11            | 12         | 39    | 2          | 30    | 1          | 69          |
| N source                  |                          | NS <sup>a</sup> | NS            | NS         | NS    | NS         | NS    | NS         | NS          |
| Ear No.                   |                          | NS              | _ь            | _          | _     | NS         |       |            | *           |
| N source $\times$ ear No. |                          | NS              | NS            | NS         |       | NS         |       |            | NS          |

Table III. Proportion of Total N Absorbed from <sup>15</sup>N-Labeled N Sources Contained in Each Plant Part at Maturity

<sup>a</sup> Not significant. <sup>b</sup> — = Significant F test at the 0.05 level.

## Table IV. Mobilization between Silk Emergence and Physiological Maturity of N Accumulated Prior to Silk Emergence $(\Delta N_w)$

These calculations are based on the assumption that all N absorbed after silk emergence was distributed among the plant parts in the same proportion as the <sup>15</sup>N accumulated from the labeled sources. *Example calculation*: Values given in Table II for one-eared plants exposed to the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> source (all values in g N plant<sup>-1</sup>): $\Delta$ N (stalk) = +0.05, <sup>15</sup>N-labeled N (stalk) = 0.56,  $\Delta$ N (whole plant) = 3.24, and <sup>15</sup>N-labeled N (whole plant) = 2.36. Based on this assumption, N in the stalk at maturity that was derived from N absorbed after silking (N<sub>a</sub>) is calculated as N<sub>a</sub> = 0.56 (3.24/2.36) = 0.77. Then  $\Delta$ N<sub>v</sub> =  $\Delta$ N (stalk) -  $\Delta$ N<sub>a</sub> = 0.05 - 0.77 = -0.72 g N.

| N Source                  | Ears Plant <sup>-1</sup> | Leaves          | Stalk | Cob + Husk             | Roots | Total |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-------|------------------------|-------|-------|
|                           |                          |                 |       | g N part <sup>-1</sup> |       |       |
| Ammonium                  | 1                        | -0.91           | -0.72 | -0.08                  | -0.16 | -1.87 |
|                           | 2                        | -0.93           | -1.20 | 0.00ª                  | -0.37 | -2.50 |
| Nitrate                   | 1                        | -0.91           | -0.59 | -0.09                  | -0.22 | -1.81 |
|                           | 2                        | -0.91           | -1.14 | $-0.04^{a}$            | -0.45 | -2.54 |
| N source                  |                          | NS <sup>b</sup> | NS    | NS                     | NS    | NS    |
| Ear No.                   |                          | NS              | °     | _                      |       |       |
| N source $\times$ ear No. |                          | NS              | NS    | NS                     | NS    | NS    |

<sup>a</sup> Represents summation of top and bottom ears. <sup>b</sup> Not significant. <sup>c</sup> — = Significant F test at the 0.05 level.

| Table V. | Quantities of Grain-N Derived from N Accumulated during Reproductive Growth $(N_a)$ and N |
|----------|---|
|          | Accumulated Prior to Silk Emergence $(N_v)$   |

See Table IV for equations derived for calculating Na and Nv.

| N Source | Ears Plant <sup>-1</sup> | Na   | N <sub>v</sub> | Total N | $\frac{Nv}{Total N} \times 100$ |
|----------|--------------------------|------|----------------|---------|---------------------------------|
|          |                          |      | g N ear(s)     | -1      | %                               |
| Ammonium | 1                        | 1.65 | 1.87           | 3.52    | 53                              |
|          | 2-Tª                     | 1.70 | 1.53           | 3.23    | 47                              |
|          | 2-В <sup>ь</sup>         | 0.95 | 0.97           | 1.92    | 51                              |
|          | 2-total                  | 2.65 | 2.50           | 5.15    | 49                              |
| Nitrate  | 1                        | 1.58 | 1.81           | 3.39    | 53                              |
|          | 2-T                      | 1.24 | 1.53           | 2.77    | 55                              |
|          | 2-В                      | 0.94 | 1.01           | 1.95    | 52                              |
|          | 2-total                  | 2.18 | 2.54           | 4.72    | 54                              |

<sup>a</sup> Top ear. <sup>b</sup> Bottom ear.

eared plants. Thus, for the  $NH_4^+$  treatment, from 45 to 53% of the reduced-N accumulated prior to silking was removed from the stalks of the two-eared plants compared with 4 to 12% for the one-eared plants.

The effects of ear number on remobilization of  $NO_3^-$ -N and reduced-N accumulated prior to silking from the stalks of plants treated with  $NO_3^-$  (Table IX) were less definitive because wider ranges result from the two contrasting assumptions used to provide the estimates. Nevertheless, the patterns were similar; presence of the second ear had little effect on the net removal of  $NO_3^-$  but increased the remobilization of reduced-N accumulated prior to silking and decreased the accumulation of reduced-N during reproductive growth. The data do not indicate any measurable differences between the two N sources in the relative loss of previously accumulated reduced-N from the stalks.

The data clearly emphasize the importance of remobilization of N accumulated prior to silking in providing N to the developing grain (1, 7, 8, 19, 20). The remobilization of stalk reduced-N is thought to involve proteolysis (9, 20), although sufficient stalk protease activity was not detected by Reed *et al.* (20). Although it appears that remobilization of stalk and root reduced-N was stimulated by a factor associated with prolificacy,

|                   |                          | Laguag          | Ceall. | Deste | 1       | Top Ear                                     | Bo      | ttom Ear   | <b>T</b> 1 |
|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------|-------|---------|---|---------|------------|------------|
|                   |                          | Leaves          | Stalk  | Roots | Grain   | Cob + husk                                  | Grain   | Cob + husk | Total      |
| N Source          | Ears Plant <sup>-1</sup> |                 |        |       | Si      | lk emergence                                |         |            |            |
|                   |                          | 0.17            | 0.23   | 0.09  |         | g P part <sup>-1</sup><br>0.04 <sup>a</sup> |         |            | 0.53       |
|                   |                          |                 |        | Δ     | = (matu | rity — silk eme                             | rgence) |            |            |
|                   |                          |                 |        |       |         | $\Delta g P part^{-1}$                      |         |            |            |
| Ammonium          | 1                        | -0.03           | +0.11  | +0.02 | +0.54   | +0.04                                       |         |            | +0.68      |
|                   | 2                        | -0.04           | -0.09  | -0.03 | +0.53   | +0.01                                       | +0.50   | +0.03      | +0.91      |
| Nitrate           | 1                        | -0.06           | +0.08  | +0.01 | +0.51   | +0.02                                       |         |            | +0.56      |
|                   | 2                        | -0.06           | -0.12  | -0.03 | +0.48   | +0.00                                       | +0.44   | +0.02      | +0.73      |
| N source          |                          | NS <sup>b</sup> | NS     | NS    | NS      | NS  | NS      | NS         | _          |
| Ear No.           |                          | NS              | c      |       | NS      | _   |         |            | _          |
| N source $\times$ |                          |                 |        |       |         |   |         |            |            |
| Ear No.           |                          | NS              | NS     | NS    | NS      | NS  |         |            | NS         |

 Table VI. Total P in Each Plant Part at Silk Emergence and Change in P Content between Silk Emergence and Physiological Maturity

<sup>a</sup> Sample comprises entire earshoot, husk, and silks. <sup>b</sup> Not significant.  $^{c}$  — = Significant F test at the 0.05 level.

 Table VII. Total K<sup>+</sup> in Each Plant Part at Silk Emergence and Change in K<sup>+</sup> Content between Silk

 Emergence and Physiological Maturity

|                   |                         | Laguag          | Stall | Deete | 1       | op Ear                     | Bo      | ttom Ear   | Tatal |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------|-------|---------|----------------------------|---------|------------|-------|
|                   |                         | Leaves          | Stalk | Roots | Grain   | Cob + husk                 | Grain   | Cob + husk | Total |
| N Source          | Ears Plant <sup>-</sup> | 1               |       |       | Si      | lk emergence               |         |            |       |
|                   |                         | 1.30            | 2.44  | 0.53  |         | $g K^+ part^{-1}$ $0.21^a$ |         |            | 4.48  |
|                   |                         |                 |       | Δ     | = (matu | rity – silk eme            | rgence) |            |       |
|                   |                         |                 |       |       | 4       | $\Delta g K^+ part^{-1}$   |         |            |       |
| Ammonium          | 1                       | -0.01           | -0.61 | +0.12 | +0.59   | +0.09                      |         |            | +0.18 |
|                   | 2                       | +0.00           | -0.72 | -0.10 | +0.60   | +0.16                      | +0.55   | +0.21      | +0.70 |
| Nitrate           | 1                       | -0.07           | -0.42 | +0.09 | +0.56   | +0.09                      |         |            | +0.25 |
|                   | 2                       | +0.07           | -0.62 | -0.10 | +0.57   | +0.13                      | +0.52   | +0.20      | +0.77 |
| N source          |                         | NS <sup>b</sup> | NS    | NS    |         | NS                         | NS      | NS         | NS    |
| Ear No.           |                         | NS              | NS    | c     | NS      | NS                         |         |            | —     |
| N source $\times$ |                         |                 |       |       |         |                            |         |            |       |
| Ear No.           |                         | NS              | NS    | NS    | NS      | NS                         |         |            | NS    |

<sup>a</sup> Sample comprises entire earshoot, husk, and silks. <sup>b</sup> Not significant.  $^{c} - =$  Significant F test at the 0.05 level.

 Table VIII. Equivalent Uptake Values of Anions and Cations during Vegetative and Reproductive Growth

|           |                         | $NO_3^-$ | Р     | NH₄⁺  | K⁺    | Ca <sup>2+</sup> | Mg <sup>2+</sup> | Anions            | Cations | Ratio<br>Cations/Anions |
|-----------|-------------------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------------|
| N Source  | Ears Plant <sup>-</sup> | 1        |       |       |       | V                | egetativ         | e                 |         |                         |
| IN Source | Ears Flain              |          |       |       |       | equiva           | lents pl         | ant <sup>-1</sup> |         |                         |
|           |                         | 0.32     | 0.019 | 0     | 0.11  | 0.052            | 0.070            | 0.339             | 0.232   | 0.68                    |
|           |                         |          |       |       |       | Rep              | producti         | ve                |         |                         |
| ·         |                         |          |       |       |       | equiv            | alent pla        | $nt^{-1}$         |         |                         |
| Ammoniu   | m 1                     | 0.044    | 0.022 | 0.176 | 0.005 | 0.026            | 0.030            | 0.066             | 0.237   | 3.59                    |
|           | 2                       | 0.067    | 0.029 | 0.183 | 0.019 | 0.066            | 0.038            | 0.096             | 0.306   | 3.19                    |
| Nitrate   | 1                       | 0.22     | 0.018 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.038            | 0.036            | 0.238             | 0.081   | 0.34                    |
|           | 2                       | 0.22     | 0.024 | 0.000 | 0.021 | 0.042            | 0.044            | 0.244             | 0.107   | 0.44                    |

## Table IX. Gains (+) and Losses (-) of Stalk NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N and Reduced-N Fractions and Their Contributions to Grain-N during Reproductive Growth

 $N_a$  refers to N accumulated in the stalk during reproductive growth;  $N_v$  refers to N accumulated prior to silk emergence. At silking stalks containing 0.67, 1.18, and 1.85 g N plant<sup>-1</sup> of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>--</sup>N, reduced-N, and total N, respectively.

| Stalk-N Fractions                               | Amm   | onium        | Nit                | rate  |
|---|-------|--------------|--------------------|-------|
| Stark-IN Fractions                              | 1-Ear | 2-Ear        | 1-Ear              | 2-Ear |
|   |       | $\Delta g N$ | part <sup>-1</sup> |       |
| 1. Δ Total N                                    | +0.05 | -0.95        | +0.21              | -0.81 |
| As Na   | +0.77 | +0.25        | +0.80              | +0.33 |
| As N <sub>v</sub>                               | -0.72 | -1.20        | -0.59              | -1.14 |
| 2. $\Delta NO_3^{-}-N$                          | -0.58 | -0.57        | -0.36              | -0.32 |
| As Na <sup>a</sup>                              | +0.09 | +0.10        | +0.31              | +0.35 |
| As N <sub>v</sub> <sup>a</sup>                  | -0.67 | -0.67        | -0.67              | -0.67 |
| As N <sup>a</sup>                               | 0.0   | 0.0          | 0.0                | 0.0   |
| As N <sub>v</sub> <sup>b</sup>                  | -0.58 | -0.57        | -0.36              | -0.32 |
| 3. $\Delta$ Reduced-N                           | +0.63 | -0.38        | +0.57              | -0.49 |
| As Na <sup>a</sup>                              | +0.68 | +0.15        | +0.49              | -0.02 |
| As N <sub>v</sub> <sup>a</sup>                  | -0.05 | -0.53        | +0.08              | -0.47 |
| As N <sub>a</sub> <sup>b</sup>                  | +0.77 | +0.25        | +0.80              | +0.33 |
| As N <sub>v</sub> <sup>b</sup>                  | -0.14 | -0.63        | -0.23              | -0.82 |
| 4. % Loss of stalk N <sub>v</sub>               |       |              |                    |       |
| As Total-N                                      | 39    | 65           | 32                 | 62    |
| As NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N <sup>a</sup> | 100   | 100          | 100                | 100   |
| As NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N <sup>b</sup> | 87    | 85           | 54                 | 48    |
| As reduced-N <sup>a</sup>                       | 4     | 45           | (+7)               | 40    |
| As reduced-N <sup>b</sup>                       | 12    | 53           | 19                 | 69    |
| 5. Grain-N from N <sub>v</sub>                  | 1.87  | 2.50         | 1.81               | 2.54  |
| % Derived from stalk <sup>a</sup>               |       |              |                    |       |
| $NO_3^{-}-N_v$                                  | 36    | 27           | 37                 | 26    |
| % Derived from stalk <sup>a</sup>               |       |              |                    |       |
| Reduced-N <sub>v</sub>                          | 3     | 21           | (-4)               | 19    |
| % Derived from stalk <sup>b</sup>               |       |              |                    |       |
| $NO_3$ - $N_v$                                  | 31    | 23           | 20                 | 13    |
| % Derived from stalk <sup>b</sup>               |       |              |                    |       |
| Reduced-N <sub>v</sub>                          | 7     | 25           | 13                 | 32    |

<sup>a</sup> Assumes that all NO<sub>3</sub><sup>--</sup>N absorbed prior to silk emergence (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>--</sup>N<sub>\*</sub>) was lost (0.67 g plant<sup>-1</sup>), *i.e.* that NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> present at maturity accumulated during ear development. <sup>b</sup> Assumes that no NO<sub>3</sub><sup>--</sup>N accumulated during reproductive growth (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>--</sup>N<sub>a</sub>), *i.e.* that NO<sub>3</sub><sup>--</sup>N present at maturity was accumulated by silk emergence.

the nature of the signal and mechanism responsible for this stimulation remain unknown.

Phosphorus uptake, in contrast to N uptake, was increased during reproductive growth by the formation of a second ear, from 0.62 g P plant<sup>-1</sup> for one-eared plants to 0.82 g P plant<sup>-1</sup> for two-eared plants (Table VI). Nevertheless, the increase in uptake was not sufficient to fulfill the 0.47 g P plant<sup>-1</sup> accumulated by the second ear. It is unclear why P uptake was stimulated by second ear formation (in spite of a decrease in root mass, Table I), yet N uptake was not, especially as second ear formation required extensive remobilization of stalk- and root-N. Remobilization of P also increased with the formation of the second ear although not as dramatically as N remobilization.

A small percentage of total  $K^+$  uptake occurred during grain fill (Table VII). By virtue of this low  $K^+$  uptake, the cation/anion ratio for the Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> treatment decreased during reproductive growth compared to that during vegetative growth (Table VIII).

Significantly more net  $OH^-$  efflux (10) during reproductive growth is indicated. It seems likely that  $K^+$  recirculation between

root and shoot (11) must play a role in the co-transport of  $NO_3^-$  to sites of storage and reduction in the stalk and leaves during this period. When N was supplied to the plant as  $NH_4^+$ , the cation/anion uptake ratio increased appreciably and, to maintain charge balance,  $H^+$  would have been released into the rhizosphere. The extent of pH changes occurring in the rhizosphere will depend on the buffering capacity of the soil (17), but the present data suggest the effects would be appreciable. Nevertheless, the metabolic adjustments within the root tissue required to bring about these changes in ion balance during exposure to the two N forms (10) did not have any influence on total dry matter (Table I) or N accumulation (Table II).

Acknowledgments—The authors wish to express their gratitude to Dr. R. J. Volk and P. V. Windsor for their guidance and provision of facilities for <sup>15</sup>N determinations, and to Dr. W. P. Robarge and the Analytical Service Laboratory, Soil Science Department, North Carolina State University, for conducting plant mineral analyses.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- ANDERSON EL, EJ KAMPRATH, RH MOLL, WA JACKSON 1984 Effect of N fertilization on silk synchrony, ear number, and growth of semiprolific maize genotypes. Crop Sci 24: 663–666
- BARNETT KH, RB PEARCE 1983 Source-sink ratio alteration and its effect on physiological parameters in maize. Crop Sci 23: 294–299
- CATALDO DA, LE SCHRADER, VL YOUNGS 1974 Analysis by digestion and colorimetric assay of total nitrogen in plant tissues high in nitrate. Crop Sci 14: 854–856
- CHANCY HF JR 1980 Nitrogen fertilizer management in corn production with urea and nitrapyrin on North Carolina Coastal Plain soils. PhD thesis, North Carolina State University, Raleigh
- CHRISTENSEN LE, FE BELOW, RH HAGEMAN 1981 The effects of ear removal on senescence and metabolism of maize. Plant Physiol 68: 1180–1185
- CRAFTS-BRANDNER SJ, FE BELOW, JE HARPER, RH HAGEMAN 1984 Differential senescence of maize hybrids following ear removal. I. Whole plant. Plant Physiol 74: 360-367
- CRAWFORD TW JR, VV RENDIG, FE BROADBENT 1982 Sources, fluxes, and sinks of nitrogen during early reproductive growth of maize (*Zea mays L.*). Plant Physiol 70: 1654–1660
- FRIEDRICH JW, LE SCHRADER 1979 N deprivation in maize during grainfilling. II. Remobilization of <sup>15</sup>N and <sup>35</sup>S and the relationship between N and S accumulation. Agron J 71: 466-472
- 9. HAY RE, EB EARLEY, EE DETURK 1953 Concentration and translocation of nitrogen compounds in the corn plant (Zea mays) during grain development. Plant Physiol 28: 606-621
- ISRAEL DW, WA JACKSON 1978 The influence of nitrogen nutrition on ion uptake and translocation by leguminous plants. *In* CS Andrew, EJ Kamprath, eds, Mineral Nutrition of Legumes in Tropical and Sub-Tropical Soils. CSIRO Australia, Melbourne, pp 113-129
- KIRKBY EA, MJ ARMSTRONG, JE LEGGETT 1981 Potassium recirculation in tomato plants in relation to potassium supply. J Plant Nutr 3: 955-966
- LOWE RH, JL HAMILTON 1967 Rapid method for determination of nitrate in plant and soil extracts. J Agric Food Chem 15: 359-361
- MILLS HA, WS MCELHANNON 1982 Nitrogen uptake by sweet corn. Hortic Sci 17: 743-744
- 14. Moss DN 1962 Photosynthesis and barrenness. Crop Sci 2: 366-367
- NAKASEKO K, K GOTOH 1976 Physio-ecological studies on prolificacy in maize. I. Differences in dry matter accumulation among one-, two-, and three-eared plants. Proc Crop Sci Soc Jpn 45: 263–269
- NELSON DW, LE SOMMERS 1973 Determination of total nitrogen in plant material. Agron J 65: 109-112
- 17. NYE PH 1981 Changes of pH across the rhizosphere induced by roots. Plant Soil 61: 7-26
- PALMER AFE, GH HEICHEL, RB MUSGRAVE 1973 Patterns of translocation, respiratory loss, and redistribution of <sup>14</sup>C in maize labeled after flowering. Crop Sci 13: 371-376
- PAN WL, EJ KAMPRATH, RH MOLL, WA JACKSON 1984 Prolificacy in corn: its effects on nitrate and ammonium uptake and utilization. Soil Sci Soc Am J 48: 1101-1106
- REED AJ, FE BELOW, RH HAGEMAN 1980 Grain protein accumulation and the relationship between leaf nitrate reductase and protease activities during grain development in maize (*Zea mays L.*). I. Variation between genotypes. Plant Physiol 66: 164–170
- THIAGARAJAH MR, LA HUNT, JD MAHON 1981 Effects of position and age on leaf photosynthesis in corn (Zea mays L.). Can J Bot 59: 28-33
- VOLK RJ, WA JACKSON 1979 Preparation of nitrogen gas for nitrogen-15 analysis. Anal Chem 51: 463