# The Nitrogen Use Efficiency of  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  Plants

II. LEAF NITROGEN EFFECTS ON THE GAS EXCHANGE CHARACTERISTICS OF CHENOPODIUM ALBUM (L.) AND AMARANTHUS RETROFLEXUS (L.)

Received for publication December 29, 1986 and in revised form April 7, 1987

ROWAN F SAGE'\* AND ROBERT W. PEARCY Department of Botany, University of California, Davis, California 95616

### **ABSTRACT**

The effect of leaf nitrogen (N) on the photosynthetic capacity and the light and temperature response of photosynthesis was studied in the ecologically similar annuals Chenopodium album  $(C_3)$  and Amaranthus retroflexus ( $C_4$ ). Photosynthesis was linearly dependent on leaf N per unit area  $(N_a)$  in both species. A. retroflexus exhibited a greater dependence of photosynthesis on  $N_a$ , than C. album and at any given  $N_a$ , it had a greater light saturated photosynthesis rate than C. album. The difference between the species became larger as  $N_a$  increased. These results demonstrate a greater photosynthetic  $N$  use efficiency in  $A$ . retroflexus than  $C$ . album. However, at a given applied  $N$  level,  $C$ . album allocated more N to <sup>a</sup> unit of leaf area so that photosynthetic rates were similar in the two species. Leaf conductance to water vapor increased linearly with  $N_a$  in both species, but at a given photosynthetic rate, leaf conductance was higher in C. album. Thus, A. retroflexus had a greater water use efficiency than C. album. Water use efficiency was independent of leaf N in C. album, but declined with decreasing N in  $\Lambda$ , retroflexus.

The photosynthetic rate per unit of  $N^2$  is usually higher in  $C_4$ than  $C_3$  plants (4, 18). This is believed to result from the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ concentrating mechanism of  $C_4$  plants leading to  $CO_2$  saturation of rubisco. Consequently, less of this enzyme is required for high rates of photosynthesis in  $C_4$  than  $C_3$  plants (18, 24).  $C_4$  grasses generally have greater photosynthetic rates per unit of  $N$  than  $C_3$ grasses and dicots  $(3, 5, 24, 29)$  as well as greater growth and leaf expansion rates per unit N (22, 27, 28). However, exceptions have been noted (5) and the NUE differences of  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  dicots have not been directly compared.

The relationship between PNUE and photosynthetic capacity is unclear. On the one hand, plants with <sup>a</sup> greater PNUE may have similar  $N_a$  and therefore greater photosynthetic capacities than less efficient plants. However, as photosynthetic capacity increases, sink capacity and external environmental constraints may lead to <sup>a</sup> reduction of carbon fixation per unit of N investment (14, 17). Alternatively, more efficient plants may invest less N per unit area, and proportionally more N to the production

of new leaf area. Leaf area production is often a better predictor of growth than photosynthetic capacity per unit area or net assimilation rate (20). As N is required for both production of new leaf area and for increasing photosynthetic capacity, the enhancement of one under limiting N could come at the expense of the other.

In this research, we have compared the N response of photosynthetic nitrogen use efficiency of the ecologically similar weeds C. album  $(C_3)$  and A. retroflexus  $(C_4)$ . Leaf N effects on the light and temperature dependence of photosynthesis, leaf conductance, and water use efficiency of the two species were also compared. In an accompanying report (22). we show that when grown over <sup>a</sup> range of N nutrition, A. retroflexus had <sup>a</sup> lower maximum and minimum leaf N content per unit area than C. album, yet an equivalent or higher growth rate and leaf area partitioning coefficient. The research reported here was designed to examine in more detail the physiological basis of the differences in the response to N.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Growth Conditions. C. album and A. retroflexus plants were grown in a growth chamber at  $27/23^{\circ}\text{C}$  day/night temperatures and a PFD of 600  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Plants were grown in equal volumes of sand, vermiculite and perlite. Plants with different leaf N contents were obtained by watering the species with <sup>a</sup> 0.5 or 0.75 mm N Johnson-Hoagland's solution modified to contain 12, 8, 6, 4, 3, 2, 1.5, 0.5, or 0.15 mm N in a 7:1  $NO_3$ .  $NH_4$ <sup>+</sup> ratio. The concentration of K, P, Ca, and Mg, and the micronutrients were identical in all treatment solutions. In the N deficient solutions,  $SO_4^{-2}$  and  $Cl^-$  were used to replace  $NO_3^-$ .

Gas Exchange Measurements.  $A$ ,  $g$ , and  $C_i$  were determined on fully expanded leaves with no visible signs of senescence on the main shoot of 2 to 4 week old plants.

The gas-exchange apparatus used in these measurements has been previously described (9) and was modified as follows. Air of known water vapor and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  partial pressures was obtained by mixing air containing  $4\%$  CO<sub>2</sub> with CO<sub>2</sub>-free air using two Wostoff precision mixing pumps (models M201 and G-27, Bochum, FRG) connected in series. Water vapor pressure entering the leaf chamber was controlled by first humidifying the air and then partially dehumidifying it in a glass condensing column whose temperature was controlled by circulating water from a thermostated water bath. The leaf chamber was based on the design of Pearcy and Calkin (19), but enlarged to <sup>10</sup> cm by 20 cm. Air within the chamber was circulated by two Micronel fans (Micronel, Vista, CA). Chamber temperature was controlled by circulating water from a second thermostated bath through a heat exchange block mounted on the chamber.

In all gas exchange measurements, the leafto air vapor pressure difference and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  partial pressure were maintained at 5 to 11 mbar and 325 to 345  $\mu$ bar, respectively. Measurements were

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Present address: Biological Sciences Center, Desert Research Institute, P.O. Box 60220, Reno, NV <sup>89506</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Abbreviations: N, nitrogen; A, net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate; C<sub>2</sub>, ambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  partial pressure;  $C<sub>i</sub>$ , intercellular  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  partial pressure;  $g<sub>i</sub>$  leaf conductance to water vapor; N<sub>a</sub>, organic nitrogen per unit area; NUE, nitrogen use efficiency; PFD, photon flux density; PNUE, photosynthetic nitrogen use efficiency; rubisco, RuBP carboxylase/oxygenase (EC 4.1.1.39); VPD, leaf-to-air vapor pressure deficit; WUE, water use efficiency.

made of (1) the light saturated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  assimilation rate at 20, 27, and 34°C (any given leaf was measured at only one of these temperatures), (b) the light response of photosynthesis, and (c) the temperature response of photosynthesis. To begin each experiment, single leaves were inserted in the chamber and exposed to about 750  $\mu$  mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. After 10 to 15 min, the PFD was increased to a level which saturated photosynthesis (typically 1200 to 2000  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, depending upon N<sub>a</sub>) and after a 30 minute equilibration period, light saturated  $A$  was recorded. The light response of photosynthesis was determined by decreasing the PFD in steps to darkness. The temperature response was determined by beginning the measurements at 20°C and saturating PFD. Temperatures were first decreased to the lowest values, and then increased in steps to about 40°C.

Following the gas exchange measurements, the leaves were dried at 70 $^{\circ}$ C and weighed. Total N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> were then determined using a micro-Kjeldahl procedure and high-pressure liquid chromotography, respectively (22). The difference between total leaf N and leaf  $NO_3$ <sup>-</sup> was taken as organic N.

All gas exchange parameters were calculated using the equations presented in Von Caemmerer and Farquhar (26).

#### RESULTS

Assimilation Rate versus Nitrogen Content. Light saturated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  assimilation increased linearly with N<sub>a</sub> in both C. album and A. retroflexus (Fig. 1). Regressions for both species had similar x-intercepts which generally ranged from 46 to 56 mmol  $m^{-2}$ , but A increased with increasing N<sub>a</sub> more strongly in A. retroflexus than C. album at all three measurement temperatures (Table I). Consequently, at equal  $N_a$ , A. retroflexus generally had a greater  $A$  than  $C$ . album (Fig. 2) and this difference increased as A and N<sub>a</sub> increased. However, C. album achieved a higher N<sub>a</sub> at a given applied N and therefore had a similar  $A$  as that of  $A$ . retroflexus at 27°C, the growth temperature.

 $A/N_a$  increased curvilinearly with N<sub>a</sub> (Fig. 2) since transformation of the linear equation

$$
A = dA/dN_a(N_a) + b \tag{1}
$$

into the form

$$
A/N_a = dA/dN_a + b/N_a \tag{2}
$$

gives a curvilinear function when the x-intercept is positive and b, the v-intercept, is negative. At high N<sub>a</sub>, the value of  $A/N_a$ approaches that of  $dA/dN_a$ . In general,  $A/N_a$  was greater in A. retroflexus than C. album, with the differences increasing as temperature increased. However, at 20°C measurement temperature and in leaves with low  $N_a$ , there was little difference in  $A/$ Na between the species (Fig. 2).

Light and Temperature Dependence of Photosynthesis. In both species, the light response curves measured at 27°C were essentially identical in leaves with equivalent photosynthetic capacities (Fig. 3). However, C. album required about 40% more  $N_a$  in order to accomplish this. The light required for saturation increased with increasing  $N_a$  in both species. At a given  $N_a$ , A. retroflexus had a higher light saturation point than C. album.

When measured at 540  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, which was equivalent to the PFD in the growth chamber, A still increased linearly with increasing  $N_a$  in both species (Fig. 4). However, the slope was much lower than at light saturation. Linearity of  $A$  on  $N_a$  was maintained because the decrease in photosynthesis from light saturation to 540  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-2</sup> was proportional to N<sub>a</sub>.

The temperature dependence of photosynthesis in both species was more pronounced in high as compared to low N plants (Fig. 5). The optimum temperature of photosynthesis was higher in A. retroflexus than in C. album but was little affected by  $N_a$ . Because of the differences in the temperature dependence of assimilation in the two species,  $A/N_a$  changed only slightly with temperature in C. album while it increased substantially with increased temperature in A. retroflexus (Fig. 2). The maximum A/N values at the respective temperature optima for each species were 0.21  $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> s<sup>-1</sup> mmol<sup>-1</sup> N in C. album and 0.40  $\mu$ mol  $s^{-1}$  mmol<sup>-1</sup> in A. retroflexus. However, at measurement temperatures below 20°C,  $A/N_a$  was greater in C. album than A. retroflexus.

Leaf Conductance. Leaf conductance to water vapor (g) was positively correlated with  $A$  in both species (Fig. 6). Temperature had little effect on the slope of this relationship, especially in A. retroflexus. At the highest  $N_a$ , g was 2 to 2.5 times greater in C. album than A. retroflexus while at the lowest  $N_a$ , g was similar in the two species. Because the relationship between  $A$  and  $N_a$ and g and A were linear, g versus  $N_a$  was also linear in both species (data not shown).

The ratio of intracellular to ambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  partial pressure  $(C<sub>i</sub>/C<sub>i</sub>)$  $C_a$ ) was independent of N<sub>a</sub> in C. album, but inversely related to  $N_a$  in A. retroflexus (Fig. 7). Consequently, at low  $N_a$  both species had similar  $C_i/C_a$  values. Since at a given VPD, the WUE of a leaf is inversely related to  $C_i/C_a$  (13), WUE of A. retroflexus was dependent on N<sub>a</sub>, ranging from a high of about 12  $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> mmol<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O at high N<sub>a</sub> to a low of about 3  $\mu$ mol mmol<sup>-1</sup> at low  $N_a$  (Fig. 8). In contrast, WUE was independent of  $N_a$  in C. album, averaging 4.3  $\mu$ mol mmol<sup>-1</sup>.

#### DISCUSSION

At identical  $N_a$ , the photosynthetic capacity of A. retroflexus was greater than that of C. album. However, for a given applied N level, C. album had a greater  $N_a$  than A. retroflexus so that the actual light saturated assimilation capacities were similar in the two species. A comparison of  $N_a$  and photosynthesis of A. retroflexus and C. album in fields near Davis, California yielded similar results (21). These results show that  $C_4$  photosynthesis does not automatically enable  $C_4$  plants to have a greater photosynthetic capacity than found in  $C_3$  plants, because differences in the amount of N allocated to leaves can offset advantages



FIG. 1. The relationship between light saturated photosynthetic rate of single leaves and organic leaf nitrogen  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$   $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}$  of  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in *C. album* (dashed line,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ) and *A.*<br>retroflexus (solid line,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ). See Table I  $retroflexus$  (solid line,  $\blacktriangle$ ). See Table I<br>for the regression equations. All responses are significant at  $P = 0.01$ .

Table I. Regression Coefficients of Response of Light Saturated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ Assimilation Rate to Organic Leaf Nitrogen per Unit Area in C. album and A. retroflexus

<b>Species</b>	Temperature	Slope	$x$ -Intercept	$\mathbb{R}^2$	N
	°C	$(\mu mol s^{-1})/$ (mmol)	$mmol$ m <sup>-2</sup>		
C. album	20	0.23	51	0.87	32
	27	0.28	56	0.81	26
	34	0.24	46	0.82	19
A. retroflexus	20	0.35	53	0.81	22
	27	0.42	49	0.77	31
	34	0.52	37	0.79	23



FIG. 2. The relationship between photosynthesis per unit nitrogen and organic leaf nitrogen in C. album (dotted, dashed lines) and A. retroflexus (solid lines). The relationships were obtained by transforming the linear regression equations of A versus  $N_a$  in Table I into the form  $A/N = dA/dN_a + b/N_a$ .



FIG. 3. The light response of photosynthesis in C. album (open symbols) and A. retroflexus (closed symbols) at 27°C. The values beside each curve represent organic leaf nitrogen contents in mmol  $m^{-2}$ .

resulting from <sup>a</sup> higher PNUE. However, the greater N cost of photosynthesis in  $C_3$  plants may limit allocation of as much N to other plant processes, such as root or leaf production, as could be possible for  $C_4$  plants. As discussed elsewhere (22),  $C_4$  plants may be able to invest more N into new leaf production than  $C_3$ plants and therefore have a greater whole plant carbon gain and growth rate at high N.



FIG. 4. The relationship between single leaf photosynthesis at a PFD of 540  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and organic leaf nitrogen in *C. album* (O) and *A*. retroflexus ( $\triangle$ ) at 27°C and 34°C. The regression equations are:  $y =$  $0.082x + 4.9$  ( $R^2 = 0.81$ ) for C. album at 27°C,  $y = 0.058x + 4.1$  ( $R^2 =$ 0.92) for C. album at 34°C,  $y = 0.151x - 0.23$  ( $R^2 = 0.74$ ) for A. retroflexus at 27°C, and  $v = 0.141x + 1.73$  ( $R<sup>2</sup> = 0.84$ ) for A. retroflexus at 34°C.



FIG. 5. The temperature of photosynthesis in C. album and A. retroflexus at light saturation. The values beside each curve represent organic leaf nitrogen content in mmol  $m^{-2}$ .

Most workers have used  $A/N$  as an index of PNUE (1, 4, 12). With this index, most  $C_4$  plants, including A. retroflexus, generally have a greater PNUE than similar  $C_3$  plants (3-5, 12, 24, 29). However, comparisons of PNUE based on  $A/N$  may be difficult to interpret if the x-intercept of A versus  $N_a$  is unknown. As shown by Eq. 2,  $A/N$  is dependent on  $N_a$ , so that comparisons between species with different  $N_a$  may lead to erroneous assessments of PNUE. This problem can be seen if  $A$ . retroflexus leaves with low  $N_a$  are compared with C. album leaves with high  $N_a$ . In addition,  $A/N$  at equivalent N<sub>a</sub> will vary if the x-intercept of A versus  $N_a$  differs significantly. This intercept can range from near 0 to 60 mmol  $m^{-2}$  for different species  $(8, 10, 15, 16, 30)$ . A different measure of PNUE is the slope of A versus N<sub>a</sub>,  $dA/dN_a$ , which gives the increase in assimilation capacity per unit increase in N investment. Because  $dA/dN_a$  is independent of N<sub>a</sub> when A versus  $N_a$  is linear, PNUE comparisons between species with different  $N_a$  can be facilitated. However, species with different xintercepts can have identical slopes, in which case the plant with the lower x-intercept has a greater  $A/N$ . Because of these problems, it is probably best to utilize both  $A/N_a$  and  $dA/dN_a$  in studies of PNUE differences.

As with  $A/N$ , the value of  $dA/dN_a$  tends to be larger in C<sub>4</sub> plants than similar C<sub>3</sub> plants. Values of  $dA/dN_a$  as large as 0.68  $\mu$ mol s<sup>-1</sup> mmol<sup>-1</sup> have been measured in C<sub>4</sub> plants (21). In C<sub>3</sub> annuals,  $dA/dN_a$  typically ranges from 0.2 to 0.3  $\mu$ mol s<sup>-1</sup>  $mmol^{-1}$  (8, 10, 16, 30). High growth rate annuals show the



FIG. 6. The relationship between leaf conductance to water vapor and photosynthesis in single leaves of  $C$ . album (dashed lines,  $O$ ) and A. retroflexus (solid lines, A). All trends are significant at  $P = 0.01$ .



FIG. 7. The ratio of intercellular to ambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  partial pressure  $(C<sub>i</sub>/C<sub>i</sub>)$  $C<sub>a</sub>$ ) versus organic leaf nitrogen in C. album and A. retroflexus. The regression equation for the significant trend ( $P = 0.01$ ) in A. retroflexus was  $y = -0.00233x + 0.872$  ( $R^2 = 0.39$ ).



FiG. 8. The relationship between water use efficiency and leaf nitrogen per unit area in C. album (open symbols) and A. retroflexus (closed symbols), assuming <sup>a</sup> VPD of <sup>10</sup> mbar. Symbol legends are the same as in Figure 7. The regression equation for the response in  $A$ . retroflexus was  $y = 0.0505x + 2.61$  ( $R^2 = 0.38$ ; significant at P = 0.01).

greatest response of  $A$  to  $N_a$ , deciduous trees and shrubs have an intermediate response, and evergreen species have a low response (12). Similarly, in plants adapted to high nutrient availability,  $A$ responds strongly to increasing N while in plants adapted to low nutrient availability, A does not respond strongly to increasing N (21). Because of this, it is important that comparisons of  $C_3$ and  $C_4$  plants be made using species with similar growth forms and ecological requirements. Low growth capacity  $C_4$  plants may have a lower PNUE than high growth capacity  $C_3$  plants. However, low growth capacity  $C_4$  plants probably have a greater PNUE than similar, low growth capacity  $C_3$  plants.

It has been reported that A versus  $N_a$  is curvilinear when a sufficiently broad range of  $N_a$  is examined (10). While studies have reported curvilinearity between A and  $N_a$  (10, 16), when the measurements are conducted on plants of similar age, growth conditions, and variety, and N storage forms such as  $\overline{NO_3}^-$  and asparagine are accounted for,  $A$  versus  $N_a$  is usually linear across the entire range of  $N_a$  (8, 15, 21, 30). In C.- album and A. retroflexus, failure to account for stored  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  would have resulted in a curvilinear relationship between  $A$  and  $N_a$ . Ultimately, however, at very high N levels, the  $A$  to  $N_a$  relationship should become curvilinear because of other limitations imposed on photosynthesis (11). Evans (10), presents evidence that a 'wall resistance' to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  diffusion may become significant at high N, resulting in curvilinearity between  $A$  and  $N_a$ . In this study, the linear response may result from our accounting for  $NO_3^-$  accumulation as well as a regulation of the maximum  $N_a$  below levels where  $A$  versus  $N_a$  becomes curvilinear.

According to Mooney and Gulmon (17), an optimal N allocation exists when leaf N is modulated so that the resulting photosynthetic rate corresponds to the maximum rate which the most limiting environmental resource can support. By this argument, the leaf N of C. album and A. retroflexus should be allocated so that the corresponding light saturation point occurs at about the growth PFD of 600  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. In *C*. album this would mean an A of 18 to 24  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and a maximum N<sub>a</sub> of 120 to 150 mmol m<sup>-2</sup>. That A is double this suggests that leaf N and photosynthesis capacity is determined by factors other than simply instantaneous or average PFD. Data of Chabot (7) and Bunce (6), indicate leaf development responds more to daily integrated PFD, rather than a high instantaneous PFD. The daily PFD in our chamber was 34 mol  $m^{-2}$  d<sup>-1</sup>, which is about 70% of the typical daily PFD on <sup>a</sup> sunny day (2). This level may be high enough to stimulate leaf development in C. album and A. retroflexus similar to that found in natural, high light environments.

While  $dA/dN_a$  is an index to PNUE, the slope of g versus A is inversely related to leaf water use efficiency  $(25)$ . In C<sub>4</sub> as compared to C<sub>3</sub> plants  $dg/dA$  is smaller while  $dA/dN_a$  is greater. In plants which are not photosynthetically CO<sub>2</sub> saturated, PNUE is inversely related to WUE (13). This is because <sup>a</sup> change in dg/ dA can change  $C_i$  and therefore A without any change in  $N_a$ . However, WUE is not necessarily inversely related to PNUE. If g adjusts proportionally to A, an increase in  $dA/dN_a$  can raise photosynthetic capacity, but  $C_i$  and WUE may be unchanged. This was evident in A. retroflexus, where  $dA/dN_a$  increased substantially with temperature while  $dg/dA$  remained constant.

Thus, leaf temperature at <sup>a</sup> constant VPD had little affect on WUE in A. retroflexus (Fig. 8).

In both C. album and A. retroflexus, biochemical rather than stomatal limitations account for the decline in photosynthesis with leaf N, since  $C_i/C_a$  either increased or was unaffected by  $N_a$ . A similar conclusion regarding the importance of biochemical limitations was reached with studies of other  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  species where A was changed by limiting nitrogen, leaf age, phosphorus, or growth light level (25).

Few studies have addressed the question of how the environment influences  $dA/dN_a$ . In the short term, it is clear that changes in the environment which reduce photosynthetic rate also reduce  $dA/dN_a$ . However, in the long term, where changes in photosynthetic capacity are involved, it is unclear whether changes in the environment can cause a change in  $dA/dN_a$ , or simply alter the  $N_a$  while keeping  $dA/dN_a$  constant. Some evidence indicates the latter possibility (23). Acclimation responses to light, temperature and water stress may involve <sup>a</sup> repartitioning of leaf N among photosynthetic components such that the component most limited by the environment will be proportionally increased relative to less limited components. How these changes in N partitioning with leaf N will affect the A versus N response is unknown.

Acknowledgments-We thank T. M. DeJong and R. C. Huffaker for their helpful comments on this work and the Jastro-Shields foundation for financial assistance.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- 1. BARUCH Z, LUDLOW MM, R DAVIS <sup>1985</sup> Photosynthetic responses of native and introduced C4 grasses from Venezuelan savannas. Oecologia 67: 388- 393
- 2. BJORKMAN, 0 <sup>1981</sup> Responses to different quantum flux densities. In 0 Lange, P Nobel, C Osmond, and H Ziegler, eds. Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology (New Series), Vol 12A. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 57-107
- 3. BOLTON JK, RH BROWN 1980 Photosynthesis of grass species differing in carbon dioxide fixation pathways. V. Response of *Panicum maximum*,<br>Panicum milioides and tall fescue (Festuca arundinacea) to nitrogen nutrition. Plant Physiol 66: 97-100
- 4. BROWN RH 1978 A difference in N use efficiency in  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  plants and its implications in adaptation and evolution. Crop Sci 18: 93-98
- 5. BROWN RH, JR WILSON 1983 Nitrogen response of Panicum species differing in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  fixation pathways. II.  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  exchange characteristics. Crop Sci. 23: 1154-1159
- 6. BUNCE JA 1983 Photosynthesis characteristics of leaves developed at different irradiances and temperatures: an extension of the current hypothesis. Photosyn Res 4: 87-97
- 7. CHABOT B 1979 Influence of instantaneous and integrated light-flux density on leaf anatomy and photosynthesis. Am <sup>J</sup> Bot 66: 940-945
- 8. DEJONG TM 1982 Leaf nitrogen content and CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation capacity in peach. <sup>J</sup> Am Soc Hort Sci 107: 955-959
- 9. DEJONG TM, BG DRAKE, RW PEARCY <sup>1982</sup> Gas exchange characteristics of Chesapeake Bay tidal marsh species under field and laboratory conditions. Oecologia 52: 5-11
- 10. EVANS JR 1983 Nitrogen and photosynthesis in the flag leaf of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Plant Physiol 72: 297-302
- 11. FARQUHAR GD, MUF KIRSCHBAUM <sup>1985</sup> Environmental constraints on carbon assimilation. In A. Hawkins, ed, The Regulation of Sources and Sinks in Crop Plants. British Plant Growth Regulator Group Monograph 12, pp 87-97
- 12. FIELD C, HA MOONEY <sup>1986</sup> The photosynthesis-nitrogen relationship in wild plants. In TA Givinish, ed, On the Economy of Plant Form and Function. Cambridge University Press, London, pp 25-55
- 13. FIELD C, <sup>J</sup> MERINO, HA MOONEY <sup>1983</sup> Compromises between water-use efficiency and nitrogen-use efficiency in five species of California evergreens. Oecologia 60: 384-389
- 14. HEROLD A 1980 Regulation of photosynthesis by sink activity- the missing link. New Phytol 86: 131-144
- 15. Hunt ER, Jr, JA Weber, DM Gates <sup>1985</sup> Effects of nitrate application on Amaranthus Powellii, Wat. III. Optimal allocation of leaf nitrogen for photosynthesis and stomatal conductance. Plant Physiol 79: 619-624
- 16. LUGG DG, TR SINCLAIR <sup>1981</sup> Seasonal changes in photosynthesis of fieldgrown soybean leaflets. 2. Relation to nitrogen content. Photosynthetica 15: 138-144
- 17. Mooney HA, SL Gulmon 1982 Constraints on leaf structure and function in reference to herbivory. Bioscience 32: 198-206
- 18. OSMOND CB, K WINTER, H ZIEGLER <sup>1982</sup> Functional significance of different pathways of CO<sub>2</sub> fixation in photosynthesis. In O Lange, P Nobel, C Osmond, H Ziegler, eds, Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology (New Series), Vol 12B.
- Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 479–547<br>19. PEARCY RW, HW CALKIN 1983 Carbon dioxide exchange of C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> tree species in the understory of a Hawaiian forest. Oecologia 58: 26-32
- 20. POTTER JR, JW JONES <sup>1977</sup> Leaf area partitioning as an important factor in growth. Plant Physiol 59: 10-14
- 21. SAGE RF 1986 Photosynthesis and nitrogen use efficiency of  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  plants. PhD thesis, University of California, Davis
- 22. SAGE RF, RW PEARCY 1987 The nitrogen use efficiency of  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  plants. I. Leaf nitrogen, growth, and biomass partitioning in Chenopodium album (L.) and Amaranthus retroflexus (L.). Plant Physiol 84: 954-958
- 23. SEEMANN JR, TD SHARKEY, <sup>J</sup> WANG, CB OSMOND <sup>1987</sup> Environmental effects on photosynthesis, nitrogen-use-efficiency and metabolite pools in leaves of sun and shade plants. Plant Physiol. 84: 796-802
- 24. ScHMIrr MR, GE EDWARDS <sup>1981</sup> Photosynthetic capacity and nitrogen use efficiency of maize, wheat, and rice: a comparison between  $C_3$  and  $C_4$ photosynthesis. J Exp Bot 32: 459-466
- 25. SCHULZE ED, AE HALL 1982 Stomatal responses, water loss and CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rates of plants in contrasting environments. In 0 Lange, P Nobel, C Osmond, H Ziegler, eds, Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology (New Series), Vol 12B. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 181-230
- 26. VON CAEMMERER S, GD FARQUHAR <sup>1981</sup> Some relationships between the biochemistry of photosynthesis and the gas exchange of leaves. Planta 153: 376-387
- 27. WILSON JR 1975 Comparative response to nitrogen deficiency of a tropical and temperate grass in the interrelation between photosynthesis, growth and the accumulation of non-structural carbohydrate. Neth J Agric Sci 23: 104- 112
- 28. WILSON JR, RH BROWN 1983 Nitrogen response of Panicum species differing in CO<sub>2</sub> fixation pathways. I. Growth analysis and carbohydrate accumulation. Crop Sci 23: 1148-1153
- 29. WONG SC 1979 Elevated atmospheric partial pressure of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and plant growth. I. Interactions of nitrogen nutrition and photosynthetic capacity in  $C_3$  and C4 plants. Oecologia 44: 68-74
- 30. YOSHIDA S, V CORONELL <sup>1976</sup> Nitrogen nutrition, leaf resistance, and leaf photosynthetic rate of the rice plant. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 22: 207-21 <sup>1</sup>