Endogenous NO₃⁻ in the Root as a Source of Substrate for Reduction in the Light¹

Received for publication February 9, 1987 and in revised form April 27, 1987

THOMAS W. RUFTY, JR.*, RICHARD J. VOLK, AND CHARLES T. MACKOWN United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, and Departments of Crop Science, Botany, and Soil Science, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina 27695 (T.W.R., R.J.V.), and Department of Agronomy, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky 40546 (C.T.M.)

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted to investigate the reduction of endogenous NO₃⁻, which had been taken up by plants in darkness, during the course of the subsequent light period. Vegetative, nonnodulated soybean plants (Glycine max [L]. Merrill, 'Ransom') were exposed to 1.0 millimolar ¹⁵NO₃⁻ for 12 hours in darkness and then returned to a solution containing 1.0 millimolar ¹⁴NO₃⁻ for the 12 hours 'chase' period in the light. Another set of plants was exposed to ¹⁵NO₃⁻ during the light period to allow a direct comparison of contributions of substrate from the endogenous and exogenous sources. At the end of the ${\rm ^{15}NO_3^-}$ exposure in the dark, 70% of the absorbed ¹⁵NO₃⁻ remained unreduced, and 83% of this unreduced NO3⁻ was retained in roots. The pool of endogenous ¹⁵NO₃⁻ in roots was depleted at a steady rate during the initial 9 hours of light and was utilized almost exclusively in the formation of insoluble reduced-N in leaves. Unlabeled endogenous NO₃⁻, which had accumulated in the root prior to the previous dark period, also was depleted in the light. When exogenous ¹⁵NO₃⁻ was supplied during the light period, the rate of assimilation progressively increased, reflecting an increased rate of uptake and decreased accumulation of NO₃⁻ in the root tissue. The dark-absorbed endogenous NO₃⁻ in the root was the primary source of substrate for whole-plant NO₃⁻ reduction in the first 6 hours of the light period, and exogenous NO₃⁻ was the primary source of substrate thereafter. It is concluded that retention of NO₃⁻ in roots in darkness and its release in the following light period is an important whole-plant regulatory mechanism which serves to coordinate delivery of substrate with the maximal potential for NO_3^- assimilation in photosynthetic tissues.

Available experimental evidence indicates that during the light phase of the diurnal cycle the rate of NO_3^- reduction in plant leaves is regulated to a large extent by the flux of NO_3^- from the xylem. When delivery of NO_3^- to leaves was restricted by decreasing transpiration, exposing roots to low temperature, or limiting the exogenous NO_3^- supply, a rapid decline in leaf nitrate reductase activity was observed (30–32). The decline occurred even though substantial amounts of NO_3^- were present in the leaf tissue, indicating that most of the NO_3^- was sequestered in 'storage' pools and relatively unavailable for reduction (30). It is evident, therefore, that provision of NO_3^- by the root system for transport in the xylem is an important determinant of the rate of NO_3^- reduction in illuminated leaves.

In attempting to evaluate processes in the root which influence NO_3^- flux to the xylem in the light, it is necessary to identify the sources from which NO_3^- originates. Nitrate being acquired from the external medium clearly would be important. A close relationship between concurrent absorption and xylem transport has been demonstrated (11, 15, 29). In addition, there is evidence that NO_3^- originating from endogenous pools in the root may contribute significantly to the flux in the xylem. A recent experiment with soybean revealed that a large portion of the NO_3^- taken-up from the external medium in the dark was retained in the root and then assimilated into reduced-N in leaves in the following light period (28). This nitrate accounted for about 45% of the total NO_3^- reduced in the plant during the light period.

The purpose of this experiment was to characterize, in greater detail, assimilation of dark-absorbed NO_3^- during the course of a subsequent light period using ¹⁵NO₃⁻. Assimilation of NO_3^- being absorbed in the light also was examined to allow assessment of the relative contributions of substrate from endogenous and exogenous sources to leaf and whole-plant NO_3^- reduction.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Culture. Seeds of soybean (Glycine max [L.] Merrill, 'Ransom') were germinated in moistened paper in a dark germination chamber at 25°C and 98% RH. The germination paper and seeds were kept moist by capillary action from a 0.1 mm CaSO₄ solution. On day 4, 48 seedlings with radical lengths between 8 and 12 cm were selected and placed into two 115-L continuous flow, hydroponic culture systems. The culture systems were located in a controlled-environment growth room programmed for 28/22°C during the 12/12 h light/dark cycle. A photosynthetic photon flux density of $1000 \pm 50 \ \mu E \ m^{-2}s^{-1}$ (at canopy height) between wavelengths of 400 and 700 nm was provided during the 12-h light period from a combination of high pressure sodium and metal halide lamps. The environmental conditions used were sufficient to sustain net photosynthetic rates which exceed those of soybean plants grown in the greenhouse or field.

The culture solution temperature was $24 \pm 1.0^{\circ}$ C, and the solution pH was maintained at 5.8 ± 0.2 by additions of 0.2 N H₂SO₄. Nutrient concentrations in solution were 1.0 mM NO₃⁻, 0.1 mM H₂PO₄⁻, 1.1 mM K⁺, 1.0 mM Ca²⁺, 1.0 mM Mg²⁺, 1.0 mM SO₄²⁻, 17 μ M B, 3 μ M Mn, 0.3 μ M Zn, 0.1 μ M Cu, 0.04 μ M Mo, and 18 μ M Fe as ferric diethylenetriamine pentaacetate (FeDPTA, CIBA-Geigy Corp., Greensboro, NC).² The solutions

¹Cooperative investigations of the United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Oxford, NC 27565, and Lexington, KY 40546, and the North Carolina Agricultural Research Service, Raleigh, NC 27695. Paper No. 10845 in the Journal Series of the North Carolina Agricultural Research Service, Raleigh, NC 27695-7601.

² Mention of a trademark or proprietary product does not constitute a guarantee or warranty of the product by the United States Department of Agriculture and does not imply its approval to the exclusion of other products which also may be suitable.

were changed every 2 d to avoid depletion effects.

Experimental Conditions. Two separate groups of plants were exposed to ¹⁵NO₃⁻, one during a dark period and the other during the following light period. Plants from both groups were sampled during that light period. The experiment began on d 17 after germination, when the second trifoliolate leaf had just reached full expansion. At the beginning of the dark period, 24 plants were removed from the culture solution in which they were growing and placed into a separate solution containing an identical nutrient composition except with 1.0 mm $^{15}NO_3^{-}$ (99) atom % ¹⁵N) substituted for 1.0 mM ¹⁴NO₃⁻. The plants remained in the ¹⁵NO₃⁻ solution for the 12-h dark period (in the same growth room as before). At the end of the dark period, shoots of four plants were excised just below the cotyledonary node with a razor blade. Leaves and stems (including petioles) were separated and frozen promptly. The stem remaining with the root system was blotted just after excision, then the exuding sap was collected with capillary pipets for 20 min. At the end of that time, the exudate from the four plants was combined and frozen, and the roots were removed from the solution, rinsed in a cold 2.0 mm CaSO₄ solution, and immediately frozen. While the initial four plants were being sampled, the remaining 20 plants were removed from the ¹⁵NO₃⁻ solution, roots were dipped into 2.0 mm CaSO₄ (24°C), and the plants were transferred into the culture solution containing ¹⁴NO₃⁻. During the following 12 h, in light, four plants were sampled and exudate collected at 2 or 3 h intervals as designated in "Results."

At the end of the dark period, also on d 17, the second group of 24 plants, which had been growing with $^{14}NO_3^-$, was placed into an identical solution but with $^{15}NO_3^-$. The plants remained in the $^{15}NO_3^-$ solution throughout the subsequent 12 h sample period which was in the light. Plants were sampled coincident with those from the plant group which had been exposed to $^{15}NO_3^-$ in the dark. The sampling protocol was the same as stated previously.

No nodules were found on plant roots during the experiment; thus, plants acquired nitrogen solely as NO_3^- from the nutrient solution. Since the concentration of NO_3^- in solution was never depleted more than 5% (including the ¹⁵NO₃⁻ exposure periods), plants were in steady-state with respect to solution NO_3^- availability throughout.

Exudate and Tissue Analysis. The xylem exudate samples were analyzed for NO_3^- and reduced nitrogen. Nitrate was determined using a manual modification of the method of Lowe and Hamilton (14). The atom % ¹⁵N of the NO_3^- fraction was determined by mass spectrometry using a nitric oxide procedure (34). The analysis of reduced N involved an initial removal of NO_3^- (20), followed by Kjeldahl digestion (17) and colorimetric determination of NH_4^+ (2). The NH_4^+ in the remaining digest was recovered by diffusion and the atom % ¹⁵N determined mass spectrometrically using a freeze-layer procedure (33).

The tissue samples were analyzed for NO₃⁻ and soluble and insoluble reduced nitrogen. After being freeze-dried, weighed and ground, the tissue was extracted with methanol:chloroform:water (13:4:3). Following separation of the chloroform from the methanol:water fraction, the chloroform was added back to the tissue residue, with this constituting the insoluble reduced N fraction. Total nitrogen and ¹⁵N in the insoluble reduced N fraction were determined as described for exudate reduced N, omitting the NO₃⁻ removal procedure. The methanol:water fraction was analyzed for NO₃⁻ and soluble reduced N. After the methanol was evaporated, an aliquot was taken and NO₃⁻ and its ¹⁵N enrichment were determined as in the exudate analysis. Nitrate in the remainder of the sample was removed and the soluble reduced N and atom % ¹⁵N determined as in the analysis of exudate reduced N. All tissue ¹⁵N data were calculated as atom $\% \times N$ content and represent means of four replicates \pm se.

For reasons of clarity, data in tables and graphs are presented as μ mol N plant⁻¹. The mean dry weights of leaves, stems, and roots of all plants sampled during the 12 h sampling period were 868 ± 22 , 263 ± 6 , and 398 ± 10 mg plant⁻¹, respectively. The mean area of the leaf canopies was 830 ± 12 cm² plant⁻¹.

RESULTS

Total uptake and assimilation of ${}^{15}NO_3^{-}$ by plants in the dark and light exposure periods are summarized in Table I. The summaries are presented to emphasize adjustments which occur in darkness. Two specific effects were apparent: (a) total uptake was 38% less in the dark (236 versus 380 μ mol plant⁻¹), and (b) a smaller percentage of the NO₃⁻ taken up in darkness was reduced (30% versus 75%). As was noted in a previous experiment (28), most of the ${}^{15}NO_3^{-}$ present in the plant at the end of the dark period (83%) was located in the root system.

A large portion of the ${}^{15}NO_3^-$ remaining in the plant at the end of the dark period was reduced during the subsequent light period, with most of the ${}^{15}N$ being assimilated into the insoluble reduced N fraction (Fig. 1A). This was associated primarily with depletion of the root ${}^{15}NO_3^-$ pool (Fig. 1B) and the incorporation of ${}^{15}N$ into insoluble reduced N in leaves (Fig. 1D) and, to a lesser extent, in stems (Fig. 1C). Analysis of xylem sap collected at each sample interval (Table II) indicates that, during the period of rapid depletion of ${}^{15}NO_3^-$ from the root (0–6 h), about 82% of the ${}^{15}N$ in xylem exudate was ${}^{15}NO_3^-$ and 18% was reduced ${}^{15}N$. Little of the endogenous ${}^{15}NO_3^-$ in the root was reduced and retained there, as the soluble and insoluble reduced ${}^{15}N$ fractions were relatively stable throughout the light 'chase' period (Fig. 1B).

Coincident with the assimilation of the dark absorbed endogenous NO₃⁻, plants also were taking up and assimilating NO₃⁻ from the nutrient solution. Exogenously supplied ¹⁵NO₃⁻ was taken up at an increasing rate during the 12h sampling period (Fig. 2A). The rate of uptake increased from about 24 to 29 μ mol plant⁻¹ h⁻¹ during h 4 to 9, and then to 37 μ mol plant⁻¹ h⁻¹ during h 9 to 12 (data calculated from Fig. 2A). An alteration also was apparent in the efficiency of ¹⁵NO₃⁻ reduction in the plant tissue. During the initial 6 h of light, a significant portion of the absorbed $^{15}NO_3^-$ was accumulated in the root (Fig. 2B); but, thereafter, net accumulation in the root ceased coincident with (a) increased incorporation of ¹⁵N into insoluble reduced N in the root (Fig. 2B), and (b) increased translocation of ¹⁵N to the shoot, with the ¹⁵N being assimilated predominantly into insoluble reduced N in leaves (Fig. 2D). The relative content of ¹⁵NO₃⁻ and reduced ¹⁵N in the xylem sap indicates that between 14 and 19% of the ¹⁵N translocated to the leaves was reduced N (Table II).

The total amount of $NO_3^{-}({}^{14}NO_3^{-} + {}^{15}NO_3^{-})$ in roots declined during the 12 h light period. The mean content in roots of plants from both treatment groups is shown in Figure 3. This decline was accompanied by a parallel decrease in root NO₃⁻ concentration from 601 to 294 μ mol g⁻¹ dry weight (data not shown). In addition, using data from the two ¹⁵NO₃⁻ treatments, it was possible to estimate the amount of NO_3^- in the tissue at each sample time which had been taken up and retained in the root prior to the ¹⁵NO₃⁻ exposure periods. This 'older' pool of endogenous NO₃⁻ was depleted similarly to the NO₃⁻ accumulated in the previous dark period, although the depletion rate was lower. 8.3 versus 12.2 μ mol plant⁻¹ h⁻¹ (cf. Fig. 1B) during the first 9 h of light. The origin of the 'old' endogenous NO_3^- cannot be determined with certainty, but much of this NO_3^- pool may have been that remaining in the root at the end of the previous light period (e.g. cf. Fig. 2B). Due to the large variability associated with reduced-14N fractions in the plant, it was not possible to quantify utilization of the older endogenous NO₃⁻ as was done using the ¹⁵N label (Figs. 1 and 2).

SOURCES OF NO3⁻ FOR REDUCTION

Exposure Period	Tissue Analyzed	Nitrogen Fractions				
		NO ₃ -	Soluble reduced	Insoluble reduced	Total	
		µmol ¹⁵ N plant ⁻¹				
Dark (12 h)	Leaves	6.9 ± 0.3	4.7 ± 0.3	25.3 ± 3.4	36.9 ± 3.3	
	Stems	21.0 ± 1.3	5.9 ± 1.0	8.3 ± 1.1	35.2 ± 3.2	
	Shoot to-	27.9	10.6	33.6	72.1	
	tal					
	Roots	136.8 ± 13.4	4.7 ± 0.9	22.7 ± 2.3	164.2 ± 14.5	
	Plant total	164.7	15.3	56.3	236.0	
Light (12 h)	Leaves	16.7 ± 1.4	29.5 ± 3.8	161.6 ± 11.7	207.7 ± 14.5	
	Stems	17.6 ± 1.9	16.0 ± 0.8	21.6 ± 0.8	55.2 ± 2.5	
	Shoot to-	34.3	45.5	183.2	262.9	
	tal					
	Roots	60.3 ± 2.9	12.2 ± 0.9	45.0 ± 2.1	117.5 ± 2.7	
	Plant total	94.5	57.6	228.2	380.4	

Table I. Accumulation of ¹⁵N in Nitrogen Fractions of Different Tissues of Plants which Had Been Exposed to ¹⁵NO₃⁻ Solutions for 12 h during the Dark or Light Phase of the Photoperiod



FIG. 1. Distribution of ¹⁵N among nitrogen fractions in whole soybean plants and in each plant part following exposure to $1.0 \text{ mm} {}^{15}\text{NO}_3^-$ for 12 h in the dark. Plants were exposed to ${}^{14}\text{NO}_3^-$ during the 12 h sample period which was in the light.

Nitrate is reduced and assimilated into soluble reduced N (primarily amino acids) prior to the formation of insoluble reduced N (primarily proteins and nucleic acids). In this experiment, however, ¹⁵N in the soluble reduced-N fraction in the two treatment groups always was low (Figs. 1 and 2), indicating that the ¹⁵N was readily assimilated into insoluble macromolecules following ¹⁵NO₃⁻ reduction. In evaluating the relative contribution of the two NO₃⁻ sources to insoluble reduced N formation in the whole plant, it is apparent that NO₃⁻ which had accumulated in the previous dark period was the primary source of N

Table II. NO₃⁻ and Reduced N in Xylem Exudate of Plants Exposed to ¹⁵NO₃⁻ Solutions during the Previous 12 h Dark Period or during the 12 h Sample Period in the Light

Data are expressed as μ mol plant⁻¹ h⁻¹ atom % enrichment of the total N (¹⁴N + ¹⁵N) present in each fraction is given in parentheses.

	Time of Sampling in the Light	Exudate N Fractions		
Exposure Period		¹⁵ NO ₃ ⁻	Reduced- ¹⁵ N	
	h	µmol ¹⁵ N	µmol ¹⁵ N	% of total ¹⁵ N
Dark (12 h)	0	9.9 (78)	2.7 (19)	21
	2	23.1 (49)	3.6 (15)	14
	4	17.1 (32)	3.6 (15)	17
	6	6.3 (19)	1.8 (9)	22
	9	2.7 (10)	0.9 (6)	25
	12	0.9 (5)	1.2 (9)	57
Light (12 h)	0	(0)	(0)	
	2	13.5 (31)	2.1 (11)	14
	4	27.0 (51)	4.5 (17)	14
	6	21.0 (66)	4.8 (24)	19
	9	17.7 (80)	3.9 (30)	18
	12	15.6 (86)	3.6 (25)	19

assimilated during the initial 6 h of the light period (Fig. 4A). The NO₃⁻ being taken up during the light period was the predominant source thereafter. When considered on a rate basis, total incorporation of N from the two sources increased during the first 6 h of light, reflecting an increasing rate of assimilation of the concurrently absorbed NO₃⁻ (Fig. 4B). Total incorporation tended to level-off during the last 6 h as the endogenous NO₃⁻ pool was depleted (*cf.* Fig. 1B), which offset the continuing increase in the assimilation of exogenous NO₃⁻.

DISCUSSION

Utilization of Endogenous NO₃⁻. The results from this experiment with soybean clearly indicate that NO₃⁻ taken up and retained by roots during the dark phase of the diurnal cycle can be an important source of substrate for reduction during most of the subsequent light period. The dark absorbed ¹⁵NO₃⁻ was utilized almost exclusively in the formation of insoluble reduced-N in shoot tissues (Fig. 1). Contributions to insoluble reduced-N in leaves (the primary end-product) exceeded those from concurrently absorbed NO₃⁻ during the initial 6 h of light, and contributions from the two were nearly equal after 9 h (*cf.* Figs. 1D and 2D). This occurred even though NO₃⁻ uptake and the



FIG. 2. Plant uptake of ${}^{15}NO_3^-$ and distribution of ${}^{15}N$ among nitrogen fractions in each plant part during exposure to 1.0 mM ${}^{15}NO_3^-$ for 12 h in the light.



FIG. 3. Alterations in the total NO_3^- ($^{14}NO_3^-$ + $^{15}NO_3^-$) content of the root, and in the content of "old" endogenous NO_3^- accumulated prior to the dark and light $^{15}NO_3^-$ exposure periods. Data for "old" nitrate were calculated from total root NO_3^- ($^{14}NO_3^-$ + $^{15}NO_3^-$) minus dark absorbed $^{15}NO_3^-$ (Fig. 1B) and light absorbed $^{15}NO_3^-$ (Fig. 2B) in roots at each sample time.

associated loading of the endogenous 'pool' in the root were considerably depressed in the dark (Table I). In older, less rapidly growing plants, in which uptake rates were similar in dark and light, the relative contribution of NO_3^- substrate from the endogenous source was even greater (28).

The regulatory mechanism(s) responsible for the restriction of



FIG. 4. Incorporation of ¹⁵N into the insoluble reduced N fraction in whole soybean plants which were exposed to ${}^{15}NO_3^-$ during the previous dark period (**I**) or during the sample period in the light (**I**). Data are expressed as cumulative totals (A) and as rates (B).

NO₃⁻ translocation from the root in darkness is somewhat obscure. Similar restrictions have been noted in experiments with other species (19, 23, 24) and with other nutrient ions (7, 8, 35), suggesting nonspecific control. It can be reasonably assumed that stomatal adjustments and the associated changes in water flux through the root and the vascular system would be intimately involved in the regulation of NO₃⁻ translocation to the shoots. The rapid enhancement of translocation once plants were exposed to light, as indicated by rapid ¹⁵N accumulation in the shoot, and the concurrent release of endogenous NO₃⁻ which had accumulated in the root prior to the previous dark period (Fig. 3), both lend support to a general change occurring in translocation efficiency in response to increased transpiration. In addition, there is evidence indicating that properties of the root directly governing water and nutrient fluxes also are altered in the dark (1, 4, 21, 22). Thus, a complex system of effects is implied, possibly being triggered by changes in turgor which would accompany adjustments in transpiration.

The marked diurnal fluctuation in the root NO_3^- content clearly suggests vacuolar involvement. More than 50% of the endogenous NO3⁻ present in the root at the end of the dark period was depleted during the subsequent 12 h of light (Fig. 3), coinciding with a decline in total NO₃⁻ concentration (endogenous plus currently absorbed), and these changes may well have been paralleled by changes in the content of other inorganic ions. Furthermore, there is an apparent requirement that a relatively stable ionic concentration be maintained in the cytoplasm of root cells (5, 13). It therefore seems likely that retention of NO₃⁻ by the root in darkness and release in the light would reflect regulation of ion transport across tonoplast membranes as well as transport across xylem parenchyma plasmalemmae, which are directly involved in ion deposition into the vascular system (25). Whether or not these transport systems are responsive to changes in turgor remains to be established.

Xylem sap analyses suggest that about 18% of the endogenous NO_3^- in the root was reduced prior to translocation during the first half of the light period (Table II). This result would seem different from that observed with corn roots, where NO_3^- reduction in the root was closely associated with uptake from the external solution, but endogenous NO_3^- was relatively unavailable for reduction (16). The situation with soybean remains somewhat uncertain, however, as our methodology does not allow assessment of the extent to which (a) endogenous $^{15}NO_3^-$ was reduced after efflux and reabsorption (16) or (b) soluble reduced- ^{15}N was cycled rapidly down from the shoot to the root and transferred into the xylem (3, 26).

Exogenous NO₃⁻ became the dominant source of substrate for whole-plant reduction as the light period progressed (Fig. 4B), reflecting an increased rate of assimilation and concurrent depletion of the endogenous NO_3^- pool. Increased assimilation was due, in part, to an increase in the rate of NO_3^- uptake (cf. Fig. 2A). Uptake of $NO_3^- g^{-1}$ root dry weight also increased during the light period (data not shown), which indicates that a true enhancement of transport activity occurred independently of root growth. The increase in NO₃⁻ uptake coincided with a decline in NO_3^- concentration in the root tissue (Fig. 3), and thus could have resulted from alleviation of feedback inhibition (12, 15). Alternatively, uptake could have been stimulated by increased availability of energy in the root system (6, 10). Assimilation of ¹⁵N into insoluble reduced-N in the root, which also is sensitive to the energy status of the root (10), increased coincident with the increase in uptake.

The progressive increase in assimilation of exogenous $NO_3^$ during the 12 h light period also was associated with cessation of NO_3^- accumulation in the root (Fig. 2B). During the initial 6 h, 40% of the absorbed ¹⁵NO₃⁻ had accumulated in the root, but no net accumulation was observed after that time. Since the concentration of NO_3^- in the root was declining during this period, the capacity for NO_3^- is torage was not saturated. The assimilatory pathway for NO_3^- in roots is composed of multiple components, which compete for available NO_3^- (9, 27). The absence of accumulation beyond 6 h thus would suggest that a new equilibrium was attained between transport and reduction functions. From previous arguments, the conclusion that $NO_3^$ reduction and translocation were increased by an increased supply of carbohydrate at the expense of vacuolar deposition seems tenable.

Compartmentation of Endogenous NO₃⁻. This experiment demonstrates that the root can serve an important whole-plant compartmentation function during the dark phase of the diurnal cycle. Increased retention of NO_3^- in the root in darkness and its release during the following light period coordinates delivery of substrate in the xylem with the maximal potential for NO_3^- reduction and reduced-N assimilation into protein in photosynthetic tissues.

Our data suggest there are multiple endogenous pools of $NO_3^$ in the root, only some of which are involved in the diurnal compartmentation process. The rate of depletion of NO_3^- from the endogenous pools (*cf.* Figs. 1B and 3) diminished noticeably during the latter half of the light period, while considerable amounts of NO_3^- remained in the tissue. There is no obvious reason, physiologically, why release of NO_3^- should have diminished at this time, as stomatal resistance and water flow to the shoot would not have changed appreciably until the end of the light period. The NO_3^- remaining in the root presumably represents a longer-term storage pool. Furthermore, depletion of the dark absorbed NO_3^- occurred at a more rapid rate than depletion of older NO_3^- . The implication is that separate mobilizable endogenous pools exist, each with a differing mobilization efficiency.

As was mentioned previously, the magnitude of the diurnal fluctuation in NO_3^- content in the root suggests the involvement of vacuolar compartmentation. Nitrate would be sequestered in vacuoles in different cellular regions of the root, and it is likely that there is differential sensitivity to the diurnal regulatory stimuli. Tonoplasts at the outer cortex, for example, might be less responsive to turgor adjustments than tonoplasts at the inner

cortex and stele. Alternatively, tonoplast transport activity in older, more basal regions may be less responsive than in younger regions nearer root tips. Regardless of the specific factors responsible for the mobilization responses observed here, it is clear that the NO_3^- content of the root and NO_3^- translocation into the xylem are quite dynamic, and at any specific time during a light period will reflect the collective effects of regulatory factors which influence availability of NO_3^- originating from endogenous as well as exogenous sources.

Acknowledgments—The authors wish to thank William Woodlief and Penelope Windsor for their excellent technical assistance with the nitrogen and ¹⁵N analyses.

LITERATURE CITED

- BARRS HD, B KLEPPER 1968 Cyclic variations in plant properties under constant environmental conditions. Physiol Plant 21: 711-730
- CATALDO DA, LA SCHRADER, VL YOUNGS 1974 Analysis by digestion and colorimetric assay of total nitrogen in plant tissue high in nitrate. Crop Sci 14: 854–856
- COOPER HD, DT CLARKSON, MG JOHNSTON, JN WHITEWAY, BC LONGHMAN 1986 Cycling of amino-nitrogen between shoot and roots in wheat seedlings. Plant Soil 91: 319-322
- FISCUS EL 1986 Diurnal changes in volume and solute transport coefficients of *Phaseolus* roots. Plant Physiol 80: 752–759
- GLASS ADM, MY SIDDIQI 1984 The control of nutrient uptake rates in relation to the inorganic composition of plants. *In* PB Tinker, A Lauchli, eds, Advances in Plant Nutrition, Vol 1. Praeger Publishers, New York, pp 103– 147
- HANISCH TEN CATE CH, H BRETELER 1981 Role of sugars in nitrate utilization by roots of dwarf bean. Physiol Plant 52: 129-135
- HANSON JB, O BIDDULPH 1953 The diurnal variation in the translocation of minerals across bean roots. Plant Physiol 28: 356-370
- HOCKING PJ, JS PATE, CA ATKINS, PJ SHARKEY 1978 Diurnal patterns of transport and accumulation of minerals in fruiting plants of *Lupinus angus*tifolius L. Ann Bot 42: 1277-1290
- JACKSON WA 1978 Nitrate acquisition and assimilation by higher plants: processes in the root system. In DR Nielsen, JG MacDonald, eds, Nitrogen in the Environment, Vol 2, Soil-Plant-Nitrogen Relationships. Academic Press, New York, pp 45-88
- JACKSON WA, RJ VOLK, DW ISRAEL 1980 Energy supply and nitrate assimilation in root systems. In A Tanaka, ed, Carbon-Nitrogen Interaction in Crop Production. Japan Society for Promotion of Science, Tokyo, pp 25-40
- JACKSON WA, RJ VOLK 1981 Nitrate transport processes and compartmentation in root systems. In JM Lyons, ed, Genetic Engineering of Symbiotic Nitrogen Fixation and Conservation of Fixed Nitrogen. Plenum Press, New York, pp 517-532
- LEE RB, KA RUDGE 1986 Effects of nitrogen deficiency on the absorption of nitrate and ammonium by barley plants. Ann Bot 57: 471-486
- LEIGHT RA, RG WYN JONES 1984 A hypothesis relating critical potassium concentrations for growth to the distribution and functions of this ion in the plant cell. New Phytol 97: 1-13
- 14. LOWE RH, JL HAMILTON 1967 Rapid method for determination of nitrate in plant and soil extracts. J Agric Food Chem 14: 359-361
- MACKOWN CT, RJ VOLK, WA JACKSON 1981 Nitrate accumulation, assimilation, and transport by decapitated corn roots. Effects of prior nitrate nutrition. Plant Physiol 68: 133-138
- MACKOWN CT, WA JACKSON, RJ VOLK 1983 Partitioning of previouslyaccumulated nitrate to translocation, reduction, and efflux in corn roots. Planta 157: 8-14
- 17. MCKENZIE HA, HS WALLACE 1954 The Kjeldahl determination of nitrogen: a critical study of digestion conditions—temperature, catalyst, and oxidizing agent. Aust J of Chem 7: 55-70
- MORGAN MA, WA JACKSON, WL PAN, RJ VOLK 1986 Partitioning of reducednitrogen derived from exogenous nitrate in maize roots: Initial priority for protein synthesis. Plant Soil 91: 343-347
- NJAMBI JM, ML CHAMPIGNY, A MARIOTTI, A MOYSE 1980 Assimilation des nitrates et photosynthese d'un Mil. *Pennisetum americanun* 23 DB, an cours d'un nyethemere. C R Acad Sci Paris 291D: 109-112
- PACE GM, CT MACKOWN, RJ VOLK 1982 Minimizing nitrate reduction during Kjeldahl digestion of plant tissue extracts and stem exudates. Plant Physiol 69: 32-36
- PARSONS LR, PJ KRAMER 1974 Diurnal cycling in root resistance to water movement. Physiol Plant 30: 19-23
- PASSIURA JB, R MUNNS 1984 Hydraulic resistance of plants. II. Effects of rooting medium, and time of day, in barley and Lupin. Aust J Plant Physiol 11: 341-350
- 23. PEARSON CJ, BT STEER 1977 Daily changes in nitrate uptake and metabolism in *Capsicum annum*. Planta 137: 107-112
- 24. PEARSON CJ, RJ VOLK, WA JACKSON 1981 Daily changes in nitrate influx, efflux and metabolism in maize and pearl millet. Planta 152: 319-324
- 25. PITMAN MG 1977 Ion transport into the xylem. Annu Rev Plant Physiol 28:

1426

71-88

- 26. ROWLAND AJ 1986 Nitrogen uptake, assimilation and transport in barley in
- the presence of atmospheric nitrogen dioxide. Plant Soil 91: 353-356 27. RUFTY TW JR, WA JACKSON, CD RAPER JR 1981 Nitrate reduction in roots as affected by the presence of potassium and by flux of nitrate through the presence of potassium and by flux of nitrate through the roots. Plant Physiol 68: 605-609
 RUFTY TW JR, DW ISRAEL, RJ VOLK 1984 Assimilation of ¹⁵NO₃⁻ taken up by plants in the light and in the dark. Plant Physiol 76: 769-775
 RUFTY TW JR, RJ VOLK 1986 Alterations in enrichment of NO₃⁻ and reduced-
- N in xylem exudate during and after extended plant exposure to ¹⁵NO₃⁻. Plant Soil 91: 329-332
- 30. SHANER DL, JS BOYER 1976 Nitrate reductase activity in maize leaves. I. Regulation by nitrate flux. Plant Physiol 58: 499-504
- 31. SOUALMI-BOUJEMAA K, A MOYSE, ML CHAMPIGNY 1985 Modulation of nitrate
- reductase in wheat shoot and roots by nitrate. Physiol Veg 23: 869–875
 UDAYAKUMAR M, R DEVENDRA, V SREENIVASA REDDY, KS KRISHNA SASTRY 1981 Nitrate availability under low irradiance and its effect on nitrate reductase activity. New Phytol 88: 289-297
- 33. VOLK RJ, WA JACKSON 1979 Preparing nitrogen gas for nitrogen-15 analysis. Anal Chem 51: 463
- 34. VOLK RJ, CJ PEARSON, WA JACKSON 1979 Reduction of plant tissue nitrate to nitric oxide for mass spectrometric ¹⁵N analysis. Anal Biochem 97: 131-135
- 35. WALLACE A, SM SOUFI, N HAMAIDAN 1966 Day-night differences in the accumulation and translocation of ions by tobacco plants. Plant Physiol 41: 102-104