TRAF6 promotes chemoresistance to paclitaxel of triple negative breast cancer via regulating PKM2-mediated glycolysis

Han Xu^{[1](#page-0-0)} | Longzhi Li¹ | Bing Dong¹ | Ji Lu¹ | Kun Zhou¹ | Xiaoxing Yin¹ | Huizhen Sun[2](#page-0-1)

1 Department of General Surgery, Jing'an District Center Hospital of Shanghai, Shanghai, China

2 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Xinhua Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

Correspondence

Xiaoxing Yin, Department of General Surgery, Jing'an District Center Hospital of Shanghai, Shanghai 200040, China. Email: alex9601136@163.com

Huizhen Sun, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Xinhua Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200092, China. Email: sunhuizhen@xinhuamed.com.cn

Funding information

National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant/Award Number: 82002804; Shanghai Scientific Sailing Program, Grant/Award Number: 19YF1442300

Abstract

Ample evidence reveals that glycolysis is crucial to tumor progression; however, the underlying mechanism of its drug resistance is still worth being further explored. TRAF6, an E3 ubiquitin ligase, is well recognized to overexpress in various types of cancer, which predicts a poor prognosis. In our study, we discovered that TRAF6 was expressed more significantly in the case of triple‐negative breast cancer (TNBC) than in other of breast cancers, promoting chemoresistance to paclitaxel; that inhibited TRAF6 expression in the chemoresistant TNBC (TNBC‐CR) cells enhanced the sensitivity by decreasing glucose uptake and lactate production; that TRAF6 regulated glycolysis and facilitated chemoresistance via binding directly to PKM2; and that overexpressing PKM2 in the TNBC‐CR cells with TRAF6 knocked down regained significantly TRAF6‐dependent drug resistance and glycolysis. Additionally, we verified that TRAF6 could facilitate PKM2‐mediated glycolysis and chemoresistance in animal models and clinical tumor tissues. Thus, we identified the novel function of TRAF6 to promote glycolysis and drug resistance in TNBC with the regulation of PKM2, which could provide a potential molecular target for TNBC treatment.

KEYWORDS

breast cancer, chemoresistance, glycolysis, PKM2, TRAF6

1 | **INTRODUCTION**

In breast cancer, which is the most frequent ma-lignancy in women,^{[1](#page-12-0)} triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC), detected as negative expression of estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and epidermal growth factor receptor 2 receptor (HER2) by

immunohistochemistry, is easy to relapse and metas-tasize.^{[2](#page-12-1)} Given a lack of effective endocrinotherapy and molecular targeted therapy, the main treatment option is chemotherapy for TNBC. $3,4$ However, TNBC patients usually develop chemotherapy resistance, resulting in a poor prognosis.[2,5](#page-12-1) Paclitaxel, routinely applied to breast cancer, was reported to cause mitosis to cease and cell

© 2023 The Authors. *Cancer Medicine* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

death by stabilizing microtubules and inhibiting their decomposition.^{[6](#page-12-3)} Despite the therapeutic benefits paclitaxel possesses, half of TNBC patients could become resistant to the chemotherapy after 0.5–1 year of finishing treatment.^{[7](#page-12-4)} Hence, it is imperative that the mechanism of drug resistance in TNBC be investigated as the key approach to improving the patient's prognosis.

Tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor 6 (TRAF6), as an E3 ubiquitin ligase,^{[8](#page-12-5)} has a significant function in cancer biology processes by triggering cell signaling pathways, including, the NF-κB signal-ing pathway,^{[9](#page-12-6)} the MAPK signaling pathway,^{[10](#page-12-7)} and the Wnt/ β -catenin signaling pathway.^{[11](#page-12-8)} TRAF6 has been confirmed recently to be a prognostic biomarker that is likely to overexpress in such cancers as pancreatic cancer,^{[9](#page-12-6)} renal cell carcinoma,^{[12](#page-12-9)} and colorectal cancer.¹³ TRAF6 was reported to facilitate the occurrence and progression of tumors involved in proliferation, apoptosis, and invasion. 14 All this indicates that since TRAF6 regulation could facilitate the modulation of tumor progression of various types, much importance should be attached to the exploration of its exact impact on TNBC chemoresistance.

Cancer cells are inclined to uptake more glucose than normal cells to support aerobic glycolysis, even in a welloxygenated environment, as the Warburg effect.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Accumulating evidence suggests that elevated aerobic glycolysis means a growth advantage to tumor cells, promoting resistance to chemotherapeutics by providing energy and metabolic intermediates.^{[18–20](#page-12-13)} When upregulated widely in tumor cells, pyruvate kinase M2 (PKM2) is involved in cancer metabolism and tumor growth. $21,22$ The breast cancer cells that express PKM2 tend to show glycolysis adaptation, which facilitates their ability to maintain metastasis capacity.^{[23](#page-13-1)} Additionally, previous studies have indicated that inhibiting PKM2 could increase sensitivity to chemotherapeutics in multiple tumors, such as colorectal cancers, 24 bladder cancers, 25 and multiple myeloma cells. 26 26 26 We thus hypothesized that PKM2 could regulate paclitaxel resistance in TNBC by altering glycolysis.

In our study, we discovered that TRAF6, which was overexpressed in TNBC patient-derived specimens, was related to chemoresistance; that glycolysis activity was measured to be promoted in chemoresistant TNBC cells; that the inhibited expression of TRAF6 enhanced the sensitivity of TNBC to paclitaxel; and that TRAF6 was capable of binding to PKM2 directly to promote TNBC cells glycolysis, which increased resistance to paclitaxel in vitro and vivo. From our research, therefore, a potential prognosis molecule could be pursued as a treatment target for TNBC.

2 | **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

2.1 | **Patients and clinical tissue specimens**

A total of 185 invasive ductal cancer tissue samples from TNBC patients were obtained from Jing'an District Central Hospital of Shanghai with approval from the ethics committee and informed consent from the patients for the experiment. As indicated in Table [S1](#page-13-5), a summary was made of the detailed clinicopathological features.

2.2 | **Cell culture, lentivirus preparation, and cell transfection**

From Fudan University Cancer Institute were obtained MDA-MB-231HM cells (originated from MDA-MB-231 cells with high lung metastasis, simplified as 231HM cells), and from ATCC were obtained MDA-MB-231 cells, simplified as 231 cells, which all were cultured with Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM), added with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 100 U/ mL penicillin, in a temperature of 37°C and humidified environment of 5% $CO₂$. The plasmids, which carried TRAF6 shRNA1 (5′-GAGAACACCCAGTCACACA-3′), TRAF6 shRNA2 (5′-GCCACGGGAAATATGTAATAT-3′), and PKM2 full-length cDNA, were transfected into 293T cells, respectively, before being cultured with fresh medium after 8 h of transfection. When the supernatant with lentivirus was harvested 48 h later, the cell debris was removed with a 0.45 μm filter. These supernatant viruses were used to infect the corresponding cells to generate TRAF6 knockdown or PKM2 overexpression cells. The cells were then screened with 5 μg/mL puromycin for a period of 7–10 days; consequently, the expressions of TRAF6 and PKM2 were examined by western blotting.

2.3 | **Plate colony formation, cell viability, and cell apoptosis assay**

In six-well plates, 700 cells were seeded per well. Twentyfour hours later, the cells were cultured with 15μg/mL paclitaxel in complete medium (DMEM containing 10% FBS) for 2weeks. Subsequently, cells were washed gently with PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20min at room temperature (E672002; Sangon Biotech). Finally, cells were stained with crystal violet (C0121; Beyotime). Under the microscope, the number of colonies

containing 50 cells was calculated, as was plate colony formation efficiency based on the formula: plate colony formation efficiency=(number of colonies/number of cells inoculated) \times 100%.

The CCK-8 kit (Dojindo Laboratory) was used to detect cell viability. In each well of 96-well cell culture plates were inoculated 5000 cells. When adhered, the cells were then cultured in various concentrations of paclitaxel diluted with complete medium. The paclitaxel solution was sucked up 48h later, with the dead cells cleaned with PBS, followed by an addition of 100μL of 5% CCK-8 to each well, which was prepared in the medium of 10% FBS, before being incubated in the incubator for 2h. In the 96-well plate was absorbed 95μL liquid, so that the OD value was calculated at 450nm. According to different OD values of different drug concentrations, the fitting curves were drawn: cell viability (% of control)={OD value (experimental group)−OD value (blank group)}/{OD value (control group)−OD value (blank group)}. IC50 was presented on the software Graphpad Prism 9.0 via cell viability.

Paclitaxel-induced cell apoptosis was investigated via flow cytometry (Beckman Coulter) after the staining of propidium iodide (PI) and Annexin V. 1×10^6 cells were seeded in each 60mm dish to be kept for 24h, followed by the treatment of paclitaxel $(40 \mu g/mL)$ for 24h, before being trypsinized and washed twice in phosphatebuffered saline (PBS). In 100 mL of binding buffer, 1×10^5 cells were resuspended and added to 5mL of 2mg/mL Annexin V and 5mL of 50mg/mL PI. The cells were examined by flow cytometry after being incubated in the dark for 15min.

2.4 | **qRT-PCR array**

When total RNA was extracted from the cells by the FastPure Cell/Tissue Total RNA Isolation Kit (Vazyme) in accordance with the operating instructions, the reverse transcription of mRNA was performed with PrimeScriptTM RT Master Mix (Takara). The RNA level was investigated by qRT-PCR with SYBR Premix Ex Taq (Takara), and 18S RNA was taken as the endogenous control for mRNA. Fold changes were calculated by relative quantification (2−ΔΔ*Ct*).

2.5 | **Western blotting**

RIPA lysis was employed to extract total protein, whose quantification was performed with the BCA protein assay kit (Beyotime) and separation with SDS-PAGE gel. The protein was transferred to PVDF membrane, which was blocked with quick blocking buffer (Beyotime), followed

by incubation with specific rabbit antibodies (1:1000; Cell Signaling Technology) at 4°C overnight. Goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody, which was conjugated to the horseradish peroxidase (1:1000; Cell Signaling Technology), was incubated at room temperature for 1h. Consequently, the protein was shown with a chemiluminescent horseradish peroxidase substrate (Beyotime) for imaging with the E-Gel Imager (Bio-Rad).

2.6 | **Immunohistochemistry assay**

In xylene, the tissue sections were deparaffinized, and in a graded series of ethanol, they were rehydrated before being boiled in 0.01mol/L sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.0) in a water bath kettle at 95°C for 10min to undergo antigen retrieval. The tissue sections were incubated with an antibody at 4°C overnight in a humid chamber, when the endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with 0.3% hydrogen peroxide and the nonspecific protein was bound with 1.5% normal goat serum. After that, the antibodies were localized on the tissue sections, which had been incubated with biotinylated goat anti-mouse or goat antirabbit IgG for 30min, followed by an analysis on the LSAB system (Dako).

2.7 | **RNA-sequencing**

When extracted from the breast cancer cells, total RNA was treated with mRNA Capture Beads (Vazyme) so that polyA RNA was enriched. Based on the VAHTS mRNAseq v2 Library Prep Kit for Illumina (Equitech-Bio), an RNA library was prepared, and the paired-end sequencing was done by RiboBio Co., Ltd. with Illumina HiSeq 3000. To perform the analysis of the RNA-sequencing data, sequencing reads were compared on the spliced-reads comparator of HISAT2, based on the human genome collection as a reference genome. The gene expression level of each transcript was set as reads per million exon model per kilobase. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) was applied to the annotation of gene function. When the gene expression multiple changes were >2 with a *p* value <0.05 through Cufflinks calculation, the genes were considered to be expressed differentially and significantly.

2.8 | **Immunoprecipitation and mass spectrometry analysis**

RIPA lysis was used to extract total protein. Immunoprecipitated magnetic beads were co-incubated with TRAF6/ IgG antibody for 30min, and TRAF6/IgG antibody **19810 WILEY-Cancer Medicine CONSERVING CONSERVANCE ACCORDING TO A RULET AL.**

combined with magnetic beads was co-incubated in the medium for 1h at room temperature for the antigen precipitation reaction. In the cells, consequently, TRAF6 protein was enriched, and the complex was washed on the magnetic rack. Finally, the protein complexes were eluted from the magnetic beads by heating them for 8min in a metal bath at 95°C. SDS-PAGE was applied to separate protein complexes, which were analyzed via liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC/MS) and western blotting. The mass spectrometry was performed at Servicebio Company.

2.9 | **Glycolysis assays: glucose uptake, ATP production, lactate release, and extracellular acidification rate**

The glucose uptake rate was determined with the Glucose Assay Kit (BioVision); the ATP levels were detected with the ATP Assay Kit (Promega); and the extracellular lactate production was measured with the Lactate Assay Kit (Bio-Vision). All the operations were performed in accordance with the instruction manual. The calculated values were normalized to the protein concentration. The extracellular acidification rate (ECAR) was examined by the Seahorse XF Glycolysis Stress Test Kit (Agilent Technologies) in accordance with the operating instructions in the form of sequential addition to each well with glucose (10mM), oligomycin (2mM), and 2-deoxyglucose (100mM). The ECAR values were calculated after a standardized cell count and plotted as an average \pm SD.

2.10 | **Tissue immunofluorescence technique**

In xylene, the paraffin sections were deparaffinized, and in a graded series of ethanol, they were rehydrated before being washed thrice each for 5 min with 0.01 M PBST (PBS containing 0.2% Tween-20). Followingly, the antigen retrieval of the tissue sections was accomplished in 0.01 mol/L sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.0) within a water bath kettle at 95°C for 15 min. Sealed with 5% donkey serum prepared by PBS for 30 min and cleaned with PBS, the sections were added to $100 \mu L$ of primary antibody (all the primary antibodies were administered at 1:1000 dilutions by PBS) to be incubated at 4°C overnight. Afterwards, the sections were washed thrice with PBST before being added with 100 μL fluorescent secondary antibody (all the secondary antibodies at 1:250 dilutions by PBS) for a 1-h incubation at room temperature and away from light. After that, the sections were cleaned with PBST thrice before being sealed, as in

the same case of fluorescence in situ hybridization. The protein co-localization was observed under confocal laser microscopy.

2.11 | **Tumor xenograft assay**

The female nude mice were provided by the SLRC Laboratory Animal Center. The cells of 231, 231-CR, 231- CR/TRAF6i, and 231-CR/TRAF6i/PKM2 were suspended in PBS, from which a 100-μL cell suspension of a total of 1×10^7 cells were injected subcutaneously into each nude mouse. In the mice, the tumor size was measured, and the body weight was weighed weekly. Three weeks later, paclitaxel (10mg/kg) was administered through a tail intravenous injection weekly. The mice were reared for 7weeks after injection until euthanized, and their tumors were removed to be measured in size and weight. The tumor samples were treated according to experimental needs.

2.12 | **Statistical analysis**

The results were presented as the group $Mean \pm SEM$ on GraphPad Prism 8 software. For two-group comparisons, a student *t*-test was applied to analyze the data, with the significant difference statistically considered as $p < 0.05$. Each experiment was repeated thrice.

3 | **RESULTS**

3.1 | **Overexpressed TRAF6 to be related with prognosis in TNBC**

As manifested in the immunohistochemistry, TRAF6 was detected to present overexpression in breast cancer (Figure [1A](#page-4-0)). Based on qRT-PCR, the TRAF6 mRNA level was verified to be higher in breast cancer than in benign tumors (Figure [1B\)](#page-4-0). From the analysis of TRAF6 expressions in various molecular subtypes of breast cancer tissues, moreover, TRAF6 protein and mRNA levels were both found to be higher in TNBC than in human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 overexpressed (HER2+) and hormone receptor positive (HR+) breast cancers by qRT-PCR and immunohistochemistry, respectively (Figure [1C,D](#page-4-0)). Since TNBC is known to possess a high rate of invasiveness and recurrence, we hypothesized that TRAF6 could have the potential to promote breast cancer progression.

In the immunohistochemical staining, which was conducted in a tissue microarray comprising 185 TNBC

FIGURE 1 Upregulated TRAF6 in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) to be correlated with poor prognosis. (A) TRAF6 expression detected by immunohistochemistry in breast benign tumor and breast cancer, respectively; (B) TRAF6 mRNA level detected by qRT-PCR in breast benign tumors and breast cancers, respectively; (C) TRAF6 expression detected by immunohistochemistry in HR+, HER2+ and TNBC, respectively; (D) TRAF6 mRNA level detected by qRT-PCR in HR+, HER2+ and TNBC, respectively; (E) Kaplan–Meier analysis showing low TRAF6 expression to predict favorable disease-free survival (DFS) in TNBC patients; (F) Kaplan–Meier analysis showing low TRAF6 expression to predict favorable overall survival (OS) in breast cancer patients among TNBC patients; **p*<0.05, ***p*<0.01.

specimens to reveal the correlation between TRAF6 expression and TNBC patients prognosis, the analysis of Kaplan–Meier curves indicated that the high expression levels of TRAF6 predicted a lower disease-free survival rate (Figure [1E\)](#page-4-0) and a slower overall survival rate (Figure [1F\)](#page-4-0).

3.2 | **TRAF6-promoted paclitaxel resistance in TNBC**

In the research on the association of TRAF6 expression with TNBC chemoresistance, we detected the expression in the needle biopsy specimens of TNBC patients who

19812 WILEY-Cancer Medicine

had received neoadjuvant chemotherapy. The data indicated that the expressional level of TRAF6 protein was significantly higher in the TNBC resistant to chemotherapy (TNBC-CR) than in those sensitive to chemotherapy (TNBC-CS) by immunohistochemistry (Figure [2A,B](#page-5-0)). Since paclitaxel is one of the prime chemotherapeutics for TNBC, in the calculation of paclitaxel IC50 to investigate the association of TRAF6 with paclitaxel resistance of TNBC in 231 parental cells, 231 chemoresistant cells (MDA-MB-231-CR or 231-CR), 231HM parental cells, and 231HM chemoresistant cells (MDA-MB-231HM-CR or 231HM-CR), respectively (Figure [2C\)](#page-5-0), the flow cytometry analysis showed that the apoptosis ratio was significantly decreased in the chemoresistant cells when compared to the parental ones (Figure [2D\)](#page-5-0). As shown by western blotting, TRAF6 expression was detected to be significantly higher in the chemoresistant cells than in the parental ones (Figure [2E](#page-5-0)).

According to the investigation of the biological function of TRAF6, in which two shRNAs were constructed

FIGURE 2 TRAF6-promoted drug resistance of triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) cells. (A) TRAF6 expressions detected by immunohistochemistry, respectively, in TNBC which were sensitive (TNBC-CS) or resistant (TNBC-CR) to neoadjuvant chemotherapy; (B) quantitative analysis of TRAF6 expression levels in TNBC-CS and TNBC-CR tissues; (C) IC50 values of paclitaxel calculated in TNBC parental cells and chemoresistant cells by CCK-8; (D) cell apoptosis rate examined by flow cytometry in TNBC parental and chemoresistant cells; (E) western blotting conducted to detect the expression of TRAF6 in TNBC parental and chemoresistant cells; (F) two types of shRNAs introduced to suppress TRAF6 expression, which was confirmed by western blotting; (G) IC50 values of paclitaxel measured in TNBC-CR cells by CCK-8 with TRAF6 downregulated; (H) paclitaxel-induced cell apoptosis ratio analyzed by flow cytometry in TNBC-CR cells with TRAF6 expression inhibited; ***p*<0.01.

to silence TRAF6 expression, the effect of the shRNAs was confirmed by western blotting (Figure [2F](#page-5-0)). When TRAF6 level was downregulated, cell proliferation was significantly inhibited by the plate cloning experiment (Figure [S1A](#page-13-6),B), and CCK-8 assays showed that cell viability was significantly inhibited (Figure [S1C](#page-13-6)). The IC50 of paclitaxel was also significantly decreased in the chemoresistant cells after the drug treatment (Figure [2G](#page-5-0)). As indicated by the flow cytometry analysis, TRAF6 expression, when inhibited, significantly increased the ratio of apoptosis cells under the treatment of paclitaxel (Figure [2H;](#page-5-0) Figure [S1D](#page-13-6)). All this indicated that TRAF6 promoted the chemoresistance of TNBC cells to paclitaxel.

3.3 | **TRAF6-promoted paclitaxel resistance in TNBC cells via glycolysis enhanced**

To further explore the mechanism of chemoresistance in TNBC, an analysis was made of the gene expression differences between 231 cells and 231-CR cells based on RNAsequencing; the GSEA showed that the glycolysis metabolic pathway was overactivated in 231-CR cells compared with 231 cells (Figure [3A](#page-7-0)). When 2-Deoxy-D-glucose (2-DG) was added to inhibit glycolysis, the IC50 of paclitaxel was significantly decreased in TNBC-CR cells (Figure [3B\)](#page-7-0), and the cell apoptosis rate was significantly elevated with paclitaxel treatment (Figure [3C](#page-7-0)). These findings demonstrated that the chemoresistance of TNBC was positively correlated with the activity of glycolysis.

With the changes in glucose metabolic activity in 231- CR cells after TRAF6 knockdown by RNA-sequencing to verify whether TRAF6-induced drug resistance was correlated with glycolysis, GSEA showed that the inhibited TRAF6 expression suppressed the glycolysis pathway (Figure [3D](#page-7-0)), which indicated that the downregulated TRAF6 levels reduced glucose uptake (Figure [3E](#page-7-0)), ATP production (Figure [3F\)](#page-7-0), and lactate generation (Figure [3G\)](#page-7-0). Additionally, the ECAR assay illustrated that the silenced TRAF6 expression restrained the activity of glycolysis in TNBC-CR cells (Figure [3H,I](#page-7-0)). These findings revealed that TRAF6 functions to promote the glycolysis-mediated chemoresistance of TNBC cells.

3.4 | **Glycolysis-mediated chemoresistance promoted by TRAF6 binding to PKM2**

In the further exploration of the molecular mechanism of TRAF6 regulating glycolysis, qRT-PCR was performed to examine mRNA expression levels of the key

 | XU et al. **19813**

glycolysis-related enzymes such as ALDOA, ALDOB, GLUT1, PGK1, PKM1, PKM2, ENO1, G6P (glucose-6-phosphate), SIRT1, and SIRT4, the results of which revealed that PKM2 was the most significantly upregulated (Figure [4A\)](#page-8-0). Then the immunoprecipitation technique was employed to determine the interacting proteins (Figure [4B\)](#page-8-0), before TRAF6 complex was analyzed by LC/MS, in which 21 binding proteins were identified, one of them being PKM2 (Figure [S2](#page-13-5)A,B). Co-immunoprecipitation and western blotting further confirmed the interaction between TRAF6 and PKM2 (Figure [4C\)](#page-8-0). These findings indicated that TRAF6 regulated the glycolysis pathway by binding directly to PKM2.

According to the investigation into the biological function of PKM2, in which shRNA was constructed to silence PKM2 expression in the TNBC-CR cells, the effect was confirmed by western blotting (Figure [S3](#page-13-5)A). When PKM2 level was downregulated, cell viability was significantly inhibited in TNBC-CR cells after the drug treatment by CCK-8 (Figure [S3](#page-13-5)B). Additionally, as indicated by the flow cytometry analysis, when PKM2 expression was inhibited, the ratio of apoptosis cells was significantly increased under the treatment of paclitaxel (Figure [S3C](#page-13-5),D), while the IC50 of paclitaxel decreased significantly in the TNBC-CR cells after the drug treatment (Figure [S3](#page-13-5)E). In the rescue assays to introduce PKM2 cDNA into TRAF6-downregulated 231-CR and 231HM-CR cells, which were performed to verify our hypothesis that TRAF6 could promote chemoresistance through PKM2, we found that the upregulated PKM2 levels significantly increased the IC50 of paclitaxel (Figure [S3F](#page-13-5)) and decreased the cell apoptosis rate (Figure [S3G](#page-13-5)). Of note were the glucose uptake (Figure [S3](#page-13-5)H), lactate production (Figure [S3](#page-13-5)I), and ECAR (Figure [S3](#page-13-5)J,K), which were significantly elevated after the introduction of PKM2, respectively.

Furthermore, the analysis of western blotting showed that the inhibited of TRAF6 expression in TNBC-CR cells lowered the levels of PKM2 and anti-apoptosis proteins of Bcl-2 and Xiap, respectively, but increased the expression of pro-apoptosis proteins of Bad and Bak (Figure [4D\)](#page-8-0). However, when overexpressed PKM2 in TRAF6 downregulated 231-CR and 231HM-CR cells, the level of apoptosisrelated proteins was reversed (Figure [4D](#page-8-0)). Furthermore, a significant decrease was observed in the level of phosphorylated STAT3 when TRAF6 expression was inhibited, while there was a significant increase when PKM2 was overexpressed (Figure [4D](#page-8-0)). Nevertheless, the total STAT3 expression was not significantly altered (Figure [4D\)](#page-8-0). This indicated that TRAF6 promoted the drug resistance of glycolysis-mediated TNBC cells by binding to PKM2 and phosphorylating STAT3.

FIGURE 3 TRAF6-enhanced glycolysis to facilitate triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) chemoresistance. (A) RNA-sequencing and gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) showing that glycolysis pathway was activated in TNBC-CR cells; (B) IC50 values of paclitaxel calculated by CCK-8 in TNBC-CR cells with glycolysis inhibited with 2-DG; (C) paclitaxel-induced cell apoptosis ratio examined by flow cytometry in TNBC-CR cells with glycolysis inhibited with 2-DG; (D) RNA-sequencing and GSEA indicating that glycolysis pathway was suppressed with TRAF6 expression downregulated; (E–G) glucose uptake, ATP production, and lactate production measured in TNBC-CR cells with TRAF6 downregulated; (H, I) extra cellular acidification rate (ECAR) monitored in TNBC-CR cells with TRAF6 expression inhibited; ***p*<0.01.

3.5 | **TRAF6-facilitated chemoresistance through PKM2 in vivo**

With the establishment of the xenograft models of 231, 231-CR, 231-CR/TRAF6i, and 231-CR/TRAF6i/ PKM2 cells to confirm the effect of TRAF6 on TNBC

chemoresistance in vivo, the mice were treated with paclitaxel once a week for 3 weeks after inoculation (Figure [5A\)](#page-10-0). The results revealed a marked decrease in tumor volume (Figure [5A,B\)](#page-10-0) and weight (Figure [5C](#page-10-0)) following the treatment of paclitaxel when TRAF6 expression was suppressed, but a significant increase in tumor

FIGURE 4 The interaction of TRAF6 with PKM2 in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) cells. (A) qRT-PCR-based heatmap showing significant difference in the expression of glycolysis-related genes between 231 and 231-CR cells; (B) the binding proteins of TRAF6 identified by immunoprecipitation and silver nitrate staining; (C) Co-IP and western blotting used to testify the interaction between TRAF6 with PKM2; (D) the expression of the related proteins in TNBC-CR cells detected by western blotting with TRAF6 downregulated or PKM2 overexpressed.

volume (Figure [5A,B](#page-10-0)) and weight (Figure [5C](#page-10-0)) after PKM2 expression was upregulated. This showed that the inhibited TRAF6 expression enhanced the sensibility of TNBC to paclitaxel in vivo, while the upregulated expression of PKM2 facilitated the regaining of the drug resistance to paclitaxel. Therefore, TRAF6 harbored the ability to promote chemoresistance through PKM2 in TNBC (Figure [5A–C](#page-10-0)).

From the application of the qRT-PCR technique, it was found that the expression of PKM2, GLUT1, and Bcl-2 mRNA significantly increased and that the mRNA expression of Bad significantly decreased in 231-CR group when compared with the control group (Figure [5D\)](#page-10-0). However, the inhibited TRAF6 expression lowered the levels of PKM2, GLUT1, and Bcl-2 mRNA and elevated those of Bad mRNA in the TNBC animals, while the upregulated PKM2 expression showed a reversed alteration (Figure [5D](#page-10-0)). Immunohistochemical assays also revealed that the alterations in the protein level were consistent with those in the mRNA level in all groups (Figure [5E\)](#page-10-0). All this indicated that TRAF6 had the ability to promote TNBC chemoresistance through PKM2 in vivo.

3.6 | **TRAF6-promoted TNBC chemoresistance through PKM2-mediated glycolysis in the clinical tumor tissues**

Based on the immunohistochemical analysis of 61 chemoresistant (recurrence/metastasis within three post-operational years) and 124 chemosensitive specimens (non-recurrence/ metastasis within three post-operational years) from the TNBC patients to examine the clinical correlation of TRAF6 with chemosensitivity, the results revealed that the

XU ET AL.

FIGURE 5 Downregulated TRAF6 to enhance the efficacy of paclitaxel by inhibiting glycolysis in vivo. (A) Tumor growth of the animals injected with 231(MDA-MB-231), 231-CR, 231-CR/TRAF6i, and 231-CR/TRAF6i/PKM2 cells to be treated with paclitaxel 3weeks later; (B) representative images of the tumors dissected; (C) the weight of the tumors analyzed in all groups; (D) relative mRNA levels of glycolysis and apoptosis detected by qRT-PCR in the related genes; (E) the expression of glycolysis and apoptosis analyzed by immunohistochemistry in the related proteins of the tumor tissues; $\frac{*p}{0.05}$, $\frac{*p}{0.01}$.

expression of TRAF6 was significantly higher in the chemoresistant than in the chemosensitive TNBC tissues, which demonstrated a positive correlation of TRAF6 expression with PKM2, GLUT1 and Bcl-2 expression, and a negative correlation of TRAF6 expression with Bad expression (Figure [6A,B](#page-12-14)), as shown by the statistical analysis which involved all tumor tissues (Figure [6C\)](#page-12-14). Tissue immunofluorescence assay also confirmed that TRAF6 was correlated with the expressions of PKM2 and Bcl-2 in chemoresistant TNBC patients, which shared the same location in TNBC tumor tissues (Figure [6D,E](#page-12-14)). These findings indicated that TRAF6 promoted drug resistance by regulating PKM2 in TNBC patients.

4 | **DISCUSSION**

Previously reported studies have shown that TRAF6 is overexpressed in breast cancer, 2^7 especially in TNBC patients who produce higher expression than those who carry $HR+$ and $HER2+$ breast cancer.^{[28](#page-13-8)} Moreover, TRAF6 has been shown to facilitate tumor proliferation, invasion, and metastasis in multiple types of cancer.^{27,29,30} All this suggests that TRAF6 can function to facilitate the development and progression of TNBC. Although TRAF6 is involved in various axes of regulation to promote tumor chemoresistance, $31-33$ there has been a dearth of literature on its role in TNBC chemoresistance. In the current study, we confirmed that TRAF6 was upregulated in TNBC and discovered the downregulation of TRAF6, which could increase the sensitivity to paclitaxel of TNBC cells.

A previously reported study revealed that TRAF6 regulated the PI3K/AKT signal pathway, resulting in the phosphorylation and ubiquitination of AKT and promoting tumor cell growth and proliferation.³⁴ MAPKs were also reported to be classical signaling pathways mediated by TRAF6 in tumor progression, ultimately leading to the activation of NF- κ B and AP- $1^{10,35}$; however, whether TRAF6 performs some other regulatory mechanisms that can contribute to chemoresistance is still worth being explored. Evidence showed that elevated glycolysis was conducted in chemoresistant cancer cells to maintain a higher energy requirement as a result of mitochondrial defects.³⁶ Thus, we hypothesized that TRAF6-promoted

drug resistance could be correlated with enhanced glycolysis activation. In the current research, we discovered that the glycolysis pathway was activated in TNBC-CR cells, that 2-DG-inhibited glycolysis increased drug sensitivity, and that downregulated TRAF6 expression significantly facilitated chemotherapeutic effects as the level of glycolysis decreased. Therefore, we concluded that TRAF6 could promote the chemoresistance of TNBC via regulating glycolysis.

Furthermore, we elucidated the specific mechanism by which TRAF6 regulates glycolysis. As a pivotal enzyme in glucose metabolism, PKM2 catalyzes the final rate-limiting step of glycolysis, involving the conversion of phosphoenolpyruvate and ADP to pyruvate and ATP.^{[37](#page-13-12)} Mounting evidence indicates that inhibiting PKM2 expression could delay tumor progression^{22,38,39} and also increase sensitivity to chemotherapy. $40-42$ In our study, we verified that TRAF6 contributed to chemoresistance in TNBC by binding directly to PKM2; that targeting TRAF6 with shRNA downregulated the level of PKM2, enhancing the sensitivity of TNBC to chemotherapeutics in vitro and vivo; and that PKM2 overexpression rescued drug resistance and glycolysis suppressed by TRAF6 depletion in TNBC cells. These findings suggested that PKM2 could act as a key molecule in TRAF6 to promote TNBC resistance to chemotherapy.

Additionally, we proved that inhibited TRAF6 expression lowered the expression of anti-apoptosis proteins, promoting the expression of pro-apoptosis proteins, with the phosphorylated level of STAT3 decreased, and that upregulated PKM2 expression significantly increased the level of phosphorylated STAT3 and anti-apoptosis proteins but reduced the expression of pro-apoptosis proteins, as manifested by the multiple mechanism research that revealed that PKM2 could translocate into the nuclear to activate STAT3.^{43,44} In view of this, we concluded that TRAF6 could be capable of promoting TNBC chemoresistance by activating PKM2 to activate the STAT3 signal pathway. When TARF6 interacts with PKM2, however, the mechanism by which PKM2 is translocated into the nucleus remains unclear.

In summary, in the current investigation on the function of TRAF6 in TNBC chemoresistance and glucose metabolism, we identified a brand-new cancer-driving role of the TRAF6/PKM2/STAT3 axis based on the

 (C)

 (E)

TNBC-CS

TNBC-CR

TRAF₆

19818

100um

EXUET AL. Cancer Medicine $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\n\hline\n\text{Lip}_\text{ML} & \text{Lip}_\text{ML} & \text{Lip}_\text{ML} \\
\hline\n\text{Lip}_\text{ML} & \text{Lip}_\text{ML} & \text{Lip}_\text{ML} & \text{Lip}_\text{ML} \\
\hline\n\text{Lip}_\text{ML} & \text{Lip}_\text{ML} & \text{Lip}_\text{ML} & \text{Lip}_\text{ML} & \text{Lip}_\text{ML} \\
\hline\n\text{Lip}_\text{ML} & \text{$

FIGURE 6 TRAF6-promoted glycolysis and chemoresistance in the clinical tissues of triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC). (A) Immunohistochemistry assays of TRAF6, PKM2, GLUT1, Bcl-2, and Bad expression shown in TNBC-CR and TNBC-CS tumor tissues; (B) statistically expressive ratio of TRAF6, PKM2, GLUT1, Bcl-2, and Bad in TNBC-CR and TNBC-CS tumor tissues; (C) correlation analysis of TRAF6 expression with PKM2, GLUT1, Bcl-2, and Bad expression in TNBC tissues; (D) TRAF6 and PKM2 expression analyzed by immunofluorescence assay in TNBC-CR and TNBC-CS tumor tissues; (E) TRAF6 and Bcl-2 expression analyzed by immunofluorescence assay in TNBC-CR and TNBC-CS tumor tissues; ***p*<0.01.

evidence that TRAF6 interacted with PKM2 to enhance glycolysis and then promote TNBC chemoresistance by activating STAT3 at the level of phosphorylation, and that TRAF6 boosted PKM2-mediated drug resistance of TNBC in the xenograft models and clinical tumor tissues. Therefore, our findings uncovered the underlying mechanism of TRAF6 to facilitate chemoresistance in TNBC, which can provide a potential therapeutic target for cancer treatment.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Han Xu: Project administration (lead); writing – original draft (lead). **Longzhi Li:** Project administration (supporting). **Bing Dong:** Data curation (supporting). **Ji Lu:** Formal analysis (supporting). **Kun Zhou:** Investigation (supporting). **Xiaoxing Yin:** Conceptualization (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Huizhen Sun:** Conceptualization (equal); writing – review and editing (equal).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was jointly sponsored by the grants from Shanghai Scientific Sailing Program (#: 19YF1442300 designated for HAN Xu) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (#: 82002804 for HAN Xu).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no competing interests.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

ORCID

HanXu **D** <https://orcid.org/0009-0004-1212-2352> *Huizhen Sun* <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5034-7967>

REFERENCES

- 1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, Jemal A. Cancer statistics. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2022;72(2022):7-33.
- 2. Kim C, Gao R, Sei E, et al. Chemoresistance evolution in triplenegative breast cancer delineated by single-cell sequencing. *Cell*. 2018;173:879-893.e813.
- 3. Chaudhary LN, Wilkinson KH, Kong A. Triple-negative breast cancer: who should receive neoadjuvant chemotherapy? *Surg Oncol Clin N Am*. 2018;27:141-153.
- 4. Lee A, Djamgoz MBA. Triple negative breast cancer: emerging therapeutic modalities and novel combination therapies. *Cancer Treat Rev*. 2018;62:110-122.
- 5. Mehraj U, Mushtaq U, Mir MA, et al. Chemokines in triplenegative breast cancer heterogeneity: new challenges for clinical implications. *Semin Cancer Biol*. 2022;86:769-783.
- 6. Feizabadi MS, Castillon VJ. The effect of tau and taxol on polymerization of MCF7 microtubules in vitro. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2022;23;677-686.
- 7. Fremd C, Jaeger D, Schneeweiss A. Targeted and immunobiology driven treatment strategies for triple-negative breast cancer: current knowledge and future perspectives. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther*. 2019;19:29-42.
- 8. Yang WL, Wang J, Chan CH, et al. The E3 ligase TRAF6 regulates Akt ubiquitination and activation. *Science*. 2009;325:1134-1138.
- 9. Huang H, Li X, Yu L, et al. Wogonoside inhibits TNF receptorassociated factor 6 (TRAF6) mediated-tumor microenvironment and prognosis of pancreatic cancer. *Ann Transl Med*. 2021;9:1460.
- 10. Kawai T, Akira S. The role of pattern-recognition receptors in innate immunity: update on toll-like receptors. *Nat Immunol*. 2010;11:373-384.
- 11. Nusse R, Clevers H. Wnt/beta-catenin signaling, disease, and emerging therapeutic modalities. *Cell*. 2017;169:985-999.
- 12. Meng G, Li G, Yang X, Xiao N. Inhibition of miR146b-5p suppresses CT-guided renal cell carcinoma by targeting TRAF6. *J Cell Biochem*. 2018;120:2382-2390.
- 13. Guangwei Z, Zhibin C, Qin W, et al. TRAF6 regulates the signaling pathway influencing colorectal cancer function through ubiquitination mechanisms. *Cancer Sci*. 2022;113:1393-1405.
- 14. Zhang X, Wu L, Xiao T, et al. TRAF6 regulates EGF-induced cell transformation and cSCC malignant phenotype through CD147/EGFR. *Oncogenesis*. 2018;7:17.
- 15. Basan M, Hui S, Okano H, et al. Overflow metabolism in Escherichia coli results from efficient proteome allocation. *Nature*. 2015;528:99-104.
- 16. Beger RD. A review of applications of metabolomics in cancer. *Metabolites*. 2013;3:552-574.
- 17. Semenza GL, Artemov D, Bedi A, et al. 'The metabolism of tumours': 70 years later. *Novartis Found Symp*. 2001;240:251-260; discussion 260-254.
- 18. Deberardinis RJ, Sayed N, Ditsworth D, Thompson CB. Brick by brick: metabolism and tumor cell growth. *Curr Opin Genet Dev*. 2008;18:54-61.
- 19. Gatenby RA, Gillies RJ. Why do cancers have high aerobic glycolysis? *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2004;4:891-899.

19820 MILEY-Cancer Medicine Communication and the set of the set o

- 20. Lunt SY, Vander Heiden MG. Aerobic glycolysis: meeting the metabolic requirements of cell proliferation. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol*. 2011;27:441-464.
- 21. Wong N, Ojo D, Yan J, Tang D. PKM2 contributes to cancer metabolism. *Cancer Lett*. 2015;356:184-191.
- 22. Christofk HR, Vander Heiden MG, Harris MH, et al. The M2 splice isoform of pyruvate kinase is important for cancer metabolism and tumour growth. *Nature*. 2008;452:230-233.
- 23. Yao X, Li W, Li L, et al. YTHDF1 upregulation mediates hypoxiadependent breast cancer growth and metastasis through regulating PKM2 to affect glycolysis. *Cell Death Dis*. 2022;13:258.
- 24. Wang X, Zhang H, Yang H, et al. Exosome-delivered circRNA promotes glycolysis to induce chemoresistance through the miR-122-PKM2 axis in colorectal cancer. *Mol Oncol*. 2020;14:539-555.
- 25. Wang X, Zhang F, Wu XR. Inhibition of pyruvate kinase M2 markedly reduces chemoresistance of advanced bladder cancer to cisplatin. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7:45983.
- 26. He Y, Wang Y, Liu H, et al. Pyruvate kinase isoform M2 (PKM2) participates in multiple myeloma cell proliferation, adhesion and chemoresistance. *Leuk Res*. 2015;39:1428-1436.
- 27. Shen H, Li L, Yang S, et al. Regulatory role of tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor 6 in breast cancer by activating the protein kinase B/glycogen synthase kinase 3beta signaling pathway. *Mol Med Rep*. 2017;16:2269-2273.
- 28. Bilir C, Engin H, Can M, et al. Increased serum tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor-6 expression in patients with non-metastatic triple-negative breast cancer. *Oncol Lett*. 2015;9:2819-2824.
- 29. Han F, Zhang L, Qiu W, Yi X. TRAF6 promotes the invasion and metastasis and predicts a poor prognosis in gastric cancer. *Pathol Res Pract*. 2016;212:31-37.
- 30. Sun H, Li X, Fan L, Wu G, Li M, Fang J. TRAF6 is upregulated in colon cancer and promotes proliferation of colon cancer cells. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol*. 2014;53:195-201.
- 31. Meng Q, Liang C, Hua J, et al. A miR-146a-5p/TRAF6/NF-kB p65 axis regulates pancreatic cancer chemoresistance: functional validation and clinical significance. *Theranostics*. 2020;10:3967-3979.
- 32. Qian Z, Zhou S, Zhou Z, et al. miR146b5p suppresses glioblastoma cell resistance to temozolomide through targeting TRAF6. *Oncol Rep*. 2017;38:2941-2950.
- 33. Xie C, Zhang LZ, Chen ZL, et al. A hMTR4-PDIA3P1-miR-125/124-TRAF6 regulatory axis and its function in NF kappa B signaling and chemoresistance. *Hepatology*. 2020;71:1660-1677.
- 34. Wang Z, Liu Y, Huang S, Fang M. TRAF6 interacts with and ubiquitinates PIK3CA to enhance PI3K activation. *FEBS Lett*. 2018;592:1882-1892.
- 35. Wagner EF, Nebreda AR. Signal integration by JNK and p38 MAPK pathways in cancer development. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2009;9:537-549.
- 36. Zhou Y, Tozzi F, Chen J, et al. Intracellular ATP levels are a pivotal determinant of chemoresistance in colon cancer cells. *Cancer Res*. 2012;72:304-314.
- 37. Bayley JP, Devilee P. The Warburg effect in 2012. *Curr Opin Oncol*. 2012;24:62-67.
- 38. Goldberg MS, Sharp PA. Pyruvate kinase M2-specific siRNA induces apoptosis and tumor regression. *J Exp Med*. 2012;209:217-224.
- 39. Spoden GA, Mazurek S, Morandell D, et al. Isotype-specific inhibitors of the glycolytic key regulator pyruvate kinase subtype M2 moderately decelerate tumor cell proliferation. *Int J Cancer*. 2008;123:312-321.
- 40. Li Q, Zhang D, Chen X, et al. Nuclear PKM2 contributes to gefitinib resistance via upregulation of STAT3 activation in colorectal cancer. *Sci Rep*. 2015;5:16082.
- 41. Guo W, Zhang Y, Chen T, et al. Efficacy of RNAi targeting of pyruvate kinase M2 combined with cisplatin in a lung cancer model. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol*. 2011;137:65-72.
- 42. Tian S, Li P, Sheng S, Jin X. Upregulation of pyruvate kinase M2 expression by fatty acid synthase contributes to gemcitabine resistance in pancreatic cancer. *Oncol Lett*. 2018;15:2211-2217.
- 43. Dhanesha N, Patel RB, Doddapattar P, et al. PKM2 promotes neutrophil activation and cerebral thromboinflammation: therapeutic implications for ischemic stroke. *Blood*. 2022;139:1234-1245.
- 44. Damasceno LEA, Prado DS, Veras FP, et al. PKM2 promotes Th17 cell differentiation and autoimmune inflammation by fine-tuning STAT3 activation. *J Exp Med*. 2020;217; e20190613.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Xu H, Li L, Dong B, et al. TRAF6 promotes chemoresistance to paclitaxel of triple negative breast cancer via regulating PKM2 mediated glycolysis. *Cancer Med*. 2023;12:19807- 19820. doi[:10.1002/cam4.6552](https://doi.org/10.1002/cam4.6552)