



OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY
Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE

Rachel Colla
✉ rachel.colla@unimelb.edu.au

RECEIVED 12 September 2023
ACCEPTED 13 September 2023
PUBLISHED 06 October 2023

CITATION

Colla R, Williams P, Oades LG and
Camacho-Morles J (2023) Corrigendum: “A
new hope” for positive psychology: a dynamic
systems reconceptualization of hope theory.
Front. Psychol. 14:1292756.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1292756

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Colla, Williams, Oades and
Camacho-Morles. This is an open-access
article distributed under the terms of the
[Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#).
The use, distribution or reproduction in other
forums is permitted, provided the original
author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are
credited and that the original publication in this
journal is cited, in accordance with accepted
academic practice. No use, distribution or
reproduction is permitted which does not
comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: “A new hope” for positive psychology: a dynamic systems reconceptualization of hope theory

Rachel Colla*, Paige Williams, Lindsay G. Oades and
Jesus Camacho-Morles

Centre for Wellbeing Science, Melbourne Graduate School of Education, University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, Australia

KEYWORDS

systems dynamics, interdisciplinary, hope theory, methodology, meta-theoretical

A corrigendum on

“A new hope” for positive psychology: a dynamic systems reconceptualization of hope theory

by Colla, R., Williams, P., Oades, L. G., and Camacho-Morles, J. (2022). *Front. Psychol.* 13:809053. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.809053

In the published article, there was an error in [Figures 1, 3](#). These figures were published in the wrong order. That is, [Figure 1](#), correctly captioned, showed the image of [Figure 3](#) and vice versa. The corrected [Figures 1, 3](#) appear below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.




FIGURE 1
Growth in number of peer-reviewed publications in hope theory by year (1991–2021).




FIGURE 3
Analysis of the type of research method (quantitative, mixed-methods, qualitative) utilized by year.