Archival Report

Social Health Is Associated With Tract-Specific Brain White Matter Microstructure in Community-Dwelling Older Adults

Andrea Costanzo, Isabelle F. van der Velpen, M. Arfan Ikram, Myrra J.F. Vernooij-Dassen, Wiro J. Niessen, Meike W. Vernooij, and Martien J. Kas

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Poor social health has been linked to a risk of neuropsychiatric disorders. Neuroimaging studies have shown associations between social health and global white matter microstructural integrity. We aimed to identify which white matter tracts are involved in these associations.

METHODS: Social health markers (loneliness, perceived social support, and partnership status) and white matter microstructural integrity of 15 white matter tracts (identified with probabilistic tractography after diffusion magnetic resonance imaging) were collected for 3352 participants (mean age 58.4 years, 54.9% female) from 2002 to 2008 in the Rotterdam Study. Cross-sectional associations were studied using multivariable linear regression.

RESULTS: Loneliness was associated with higher mean diffusivity (MD) in the superior thalamic radiation and the parahippocampal part of the cingulum (standardized mean difference for both tracts: 0.21, 95% Cl, 0.09 to 0.34). Better perceived social support was associated with lower MD in the forceps minor (standardized mean difference per point increase in social support: -0.06, 95% Cl, -0.09 to -0.03), inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, and uncinate fasciculus. In male participants, better perceived social support was associated with lower fractional anisotropy in the forceps minor. Loneliness was associated with higher MD in the superior thalamic radiation in female participants only.

CONCLUSIONS: Social health was associated with tract-specific white matter microstructure. Loneliness was associated with lower integrity of limbic and sensorimotor tracts, whereas better perceived social support was associated with higher integrity of association and commissural tracts, indicating that social health domains involve distinct neural pathways of the brain.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsgos.2022.08.009

Social health, which entails how the interaction between the direct social environment and the individual affects the individual's perception of social life as a domain of general wellbeing (1–4), is fundamental for human survival and heavily dependent on complex neurocognitive systems (5). Accordingly, social dysfunction is one of the first and most common signs of major neuropsychiatric disorders (6). Importantly, poor social health is also associated with higher mortality and morbidity risk, including risk of dementia (7–13).

To understand the complex relations between social health and the brain in the risk of neuropsychiatric disorders, numerous neuroimaging studies have been performed, focusing recently especially on white matter (14–16). A recent study showed that social health is associated with global white matter microstructure in a general population of older adults (17). Nevertheless, the relationship between social health and specific white matter tracts remains unclear.

Research in clinical populations of patients with conditions characterized by symptoms in the social domain have shown that schizophrenia (18–20), autism spectrum disorder (14,21), social anxiety (22), and social cognition deficits (23) are associated with poor microstructure of specific white matter tracts such as the frontal and temporal thalamic projections (14), corpus callosum (23,24), uncinate fasciculus (14,19–21,23), superior and inferior longitudinal fasciculus (22,23), and inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus (19). In addition, some studies have focused on particular aspects of the social life of individuals, such as social network size (25) and diversity (26), indicating that there may be differentiated relationships between different social domain components and specific neural circuits and that these relationships may show sex differences (27).

Based on these findings, social health may be associated with the integrity of specific white matter tracts in the general population. Knowledge of this association is instrumental to better understand the neurobiological mechanisms that underlie neuropsychiatric disorders such as dementia and schizophrenia (6). More specifically, suboptimal social health may contribute to the risk of neuropsychiatric disorders through changes in specific white matter tracts. Therefore, the aim of this study was to identify associations between different aspects of social health and tract-specific white matter microstructure in community-dwelling older adults. We hypothesized that worse social health would be associated with worse integrity of white matter microstructure in tracts that are important for cognitive functioning.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Design and Population

This study was embedded in the Rotterdam Study, a prospective, population-based cohort study based in Rotterdam, the Netherlands. It started in 1990 and is ongoing (28). Persons 40 years of age or older living in the Ommoord neighborhood were invited to participate and were followed every 3 to 4 years. The Rotterdam Study has been approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Erasmus MC (registration number MEC 02.1015) and by the Dutch Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport (Population Screening Act WBO, license number 1071272-159521-PG). The Rotterdam Study Personal Registration Data collection is filed with the Erasmus MC Data Protection Officer under registration number EMC1712001. The Rotterdam Study has been entered into the Netherlands National Trial Register (NTR) (http://www.trialregister.nl) and the World Health Organization's International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (https://apps.who.int/trialsearch/) under shared catalog number NL6645/NTR6831. All participants provided written informed consent to participate in the study and to have their information obtained from treating physicians.

Social health markers were collected from January 2002 to November 2008. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) data were collected from March 2006 to March 2010. The median time difference between assessment of social health markers and MRI scan was 90 days (interquartile range 57–195 days). Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) processing was complete for 3526 participants, of whom 3488 participants had complete information on social health markers. After exclusion of participants with prevalent dementia (n = 53) and cortical brain infarcts (n = 83), 3352 participants were included in the final study sample.

Social Health Markers

Social health markers collected during the home interview in the Rotterdam Study are loneliness, perceived social support, and partnership status. Loneliness and perceived social support are subjective measures of the perception of social life, while partnership status is an objective measure that can influence an individual's perception of social life and thus social health. Loneliness, defined as "the subjective experience of an unpleasant lack of (quality of) social relationships," was assessed with a single-item question from the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression scale (CES-D) (29). We dichotomized the responses into lonely (feelings of loneliness \geq 1 day/week) and not lonely (feelings of loneliness < 1 day/ week). Perceived social support was assessed with a 5-item questionnaire modified from the Health and Lifestyle Survey. The questions were "I know people, among my family and friends, 1) who do things that make me happy; 2) whom I can

always count on; 3) who would make sure that I would get help if I would need it; 4) who give me the feeling that I am important in their lives; and 5) who accept me for who I am." Participants had the following options for each question: agree, somewhat agree, or disagree. The sum scores ranged from 0 to 10, with a higher value corresponding to better perceived social support. Scores were weighted to account for responses with 1 missing item. Scores with fewer than 4 responses were excluded. Cronbach's alpha for the social support questionnaire was 0.74 (95% CI, 0.73 to 0.74). The answer options for the partnership status question were "married/has a partner," "widowed/divorced," and "never married," which were subsequently dichotomized into "has a current partner" and "does not have a current partner."

MRI Acquisition and Processing

Brain MRI was performed for all participants on a single 1.5T MRI scanner (GE Signa Excite) with an 8-channel head coil and without any major hardware or software upgrades during the time of the study. A detailed protocol of MRI in the Rotterdam Study including quality control has been extensively described previously (30). In brief, structural imaging included a T1weighted sequence, a proton density-weighted sequence, a T2-weighted fluid-attenuated inversion recovery sequence, and a 3-dimensional T2*-weighted gradient-recalled echo scan. Diffusion-weighted imaging is described below. Due to a technical issue, a subset of 1312 participants was scanned with phase and frequency encoding directions switched for the DTI sequence between February 2007 and May 2008. This introduced a mild ghosting artifact in the phase encoding direction, which was adjusted for in statistical analysis (see Statistical Analysis). Brain volumetric measures (gray matter, white matter, and cerebrospinal fluid) were quantified using a k-nearest neighbor algorithm (31). White matter hyperintensities were quantified with an automated postprocessing step based on the tissue segmentation and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery image (32). All tissue segmentations were inspected for quality by trained raters and manually corrected when necessary. Presence of cortical brain infarcts was visually assessed by trained raters.

Diffusion-MRI Processing and Tractography

To obtain DTI data, we performed a single shot, diffusionweighted spin-echo echo-planar imaging sequence. The maximum b value was 1000 s/mm² in 25 noncollinear directions; 3 volumes were acquired without diffusion weighting (b = 0 s/mm²). All diffusion data were preprocessed using a standardized pipeline (33). In short, eddy current and head motion corrections were performed on the diffusion-weighted volumes. Diffusion tensors were estimated using a nonlinear Levenberg Marguardt estimator available in ExploreDTI (34). Tensors were fit using the resampled data, which allowed computation of global mean fractional anisotropy (FA) and mean diffusivity (MD) in the normal-appearing white matter in combination with the tissue segmentation. Next, diffusion data were used to segment white matter tracts using a probabilistic tractography approach, which has been described in detail previously (35). In brief, tractography was performed using PROBTRACKX (36), which is a probabilistic Bayesian

framework for white matter tractography and is available in FSL (version 4.1.4) (37). For 15 different white matter tracts (12 of which were segmented bilaterally), tract-specific white matter microstructural diffusion-MRI parameters (median FA and MD) were obtained with subsequent averaging of left and right measures. Average reproducibility (R²) of tract-specific measurements was 0.87 (35). Tracts were categorized, based on anatomy or presumed function, into association tracts (anterior thalamic radiation, inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, inferior longitudinal fasciculus, posterior thalamic radiation, superior longitudinal fasciculus, and uncinate fasciculus), commissural tracts (forceps major and forceps minor), limbic system tracts (cingulate gyrus part of the cingulum, parahippocampal part of cingulum, and fornix), and sensorimotor tracts (corticospinal tract, middle cerebellar peduncle, medial lemniscus, and superior thalamic radiation) (Figure 1). Tract segmentations were used to obtain tract-specific white matter volumes and tract-specific white matter hyperintensity

volumes by combining the tissue and tract segmentation. The cerebellum could not be fully incorporated in the field of view of the diffusion-MRI scan, resulting in partial coverage of the medial lemniscus at the lower border of the scan. Alternative seed masks for tractography were selected until reasonable coverage was achieved to overcome this problem (35). This correction was treated as a potential confounder in all models that included the medial lemniscus. Further information on quality control for DTI and white matter tract segmentation can be found in Supplemental Methods.

A better microstructure is characterized by high FA and low MD (38). FA values were computed based on the ratio between the longest and shortest axes of diffusion, giving values between 0 and 1, with 0 indicating isotropic diffusion (equal in all directions) and an absence of organized fiber tracts to constrain directionality. A value closer to 1 means that diffusion occurs more strongly along one direction, suggesting increased fiber organization and white matter integrity.

CGH



FA

MD

UNC

Negative association, significant after multiple testing correction
Negative association, significant before multiple testing correction
Positive association, significant after multiple testing correction

-M

Positive association, significant before multiple testing correction

Figure 1. Tract specific white matter microstructure and social health. Standardized mean differences of model 3, for fractional anisotropy (FA) (top row) and mean diffusivity (MD) (bottom row), for all 15 white matter tracts presented in a single-subject anatomy for visualization. Significant associations after multiple testing correction (p < .0039, Šidák correction) in darker color, significant associations before multiple testing correction (p < .0039, Šidák correction) in darker color, significant associations before multiple testing correction (p < .0039, Šidák correction) in darker color, significant associations before multiple testing correction (p < .0039, Šidák correction) in darker color, significant associations before multiple testing correction (p < .05) in lighter color; see key. Nonsignificant associations are displayed in white. CGH, parahippocampal part of cingulum; FMI, forceps minor; IFO, inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus; ref, reference; STR, superior thalamic radiation; UNC, uncinate fasciculus.

Other Measurements

We selected covariates in our study based on their potential to be a cause of the exposure or the outcome, or both, or as a proxy of unmeasured confounding. Intracranial volume was defined as the sum of the total brain volume and cerebrospinal fluid on MRI. Educational attainment was assessed during the baseline interview and categorized according to United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization classification criteria. Cognitive function was assessed at the research center using the Mini-Mental State Examination. Smoking status was assessed during the interview, and study participants were categorized as former, never, or current smokers. Alcohol consumption was classified into none (no alcoholic beverages), moderate (≤ 1 beverage/day), and heavy (>1 beverage/day) based on grams of alcohol consumed per day calculated from interview data on number and type of alcoholic beverages consumed. Body mass index (kg/m²) was calculated from body height and weight assessed at the research center.

Hypertension was defined as a systolic blood pressure >140 mmHg or a diastolic blood pressure >90 mmHg or taking antihypertensive medication. Blood pressure was measured twice in sitting position using a random-zero sphygmomanometer at the research center. The average of the two measurements was used to define hypertension. Diabetes mellitus was determined based on fasting serum glucose level (>7.0 mmol/L) or, if that was unavailable, on nonfasting serum glucose level (>11.1 mmol/L), or the use of antidiabetic medication. The ascertainment of coronary heart disease, heart failure, and clinical stroke diagnoses was based on medical records and has been described in detail elsewhere (28).

Depressive symptoms were assessed during the home interview using the CES-D. CES-D scores were weighted to account for missing responses if missing values were <25% (29,39). The presence of anxiety disorders was assessed using an adapted version of the Munich Composite International Diagnostic Interview to obtain diagnoses of generalized anxiety disorder, agoraphobia, social phobia, panic disorder, and specific phobias in accordance with DSM-IV (40).

Statistical Analysis

Missing covariate data were imputed with 5-fold multiple imputation. FA and MD were standardized for each tract to facilitate comparison of associations. We used the mean FA and MD values of the left and right hemispheres combined to limit the number of outcomes and the risk of spurious findings. We used multivariable linear regression models to study crosssectional associations between social health markers and tract-specific FA and MD. We performed stepwise adjustment of the models to interpret the change of the effect estimates with each addition of a set of covariates.

In model 1, we adjusted for age, sex, and intracranial volume. We included phase encoding direction of the diffusion scan as a covariate and potential confounder in all models. In model 2, we added smoking status, alcohol consumption, body mass index, hypertension, education level, Mini-Mental State Examination, CES-D score, presence of anxiety disorders, coronary heart disease, diabetes diagnosis, heart failure diagnosis, and clinical stroke diagnosis. In model 3, we additionally adjusted the model for tract volume and tractspecific white matter hyperintensity volume. Next, we stratified all models on sex to assess effect modification by sex by interpreting the associations separately for male and female participants. Additive interaction was studied by adding an interaction term for the product of each social health marker with sex to each model.

We performed permutation testing to determine the number of independent tests, which is important considering that we included 3 determinants and 15 outcomes that are theoretically correlated. For each outcome variable, linear regressions were run with a random variable and repeated 10,000 times. The minimum *p* value for each regression model (permutation) was extracted, and these *p* values were sorted to define the significance threshold, which was based on the 5% quantile (.0037). We then divided .05 by this threshold to obtain the number of independent tests (*n* = 13). We calculated the new significance threshold using Šidák correction, $\alpha_n = 1 - (1 - \alpha)^{(1/n)}$, where *n* is the number of independent tests, resulting in a multiple-testing adjusted *p*-value threshold of .0039 (41).

To investigate whether the social health markers are independent determinants by mutually adjusting them, we performed a sensitivity analysis including all social health markers in the same linear regression model. Correlations between social health markers are presented in Figure S1.

RESULTS

The characteristics of the study sample (N = 3352) are shown in Table 1. Participants' mean age was 58.4 years (SD 11.7), and 54.9% of the participants were female. Eighty-one percent of the participants had a partner, 88% reported not feeling lonely, and the median social support score was 10 (interquartile range 10–10, variance 0.82).

Associations between social health markers and tractspecific white matter integrity are presented in Figure 1 and Table 2. After adjusting for all covariates, loneliness was associated with a higher MD of the parahippocampal part of the cingulum (standardized mean difference: 0.21, 95% CI, 0.09 to 0.34) and the superior thalamic radiation (standardized mean difference: 0.21, 95% CI, 0.09 to 0.34). Associations between loneliness and tract-specific FA were not statistically significant after multiple testing correction.

Better (i.e., higher) perceived social support scores were associated with lower MD of the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus (standardized mean difference of MD per point increase in social support: -0.05, 95% CI, -0.08 to -0.03), the uncinate fasciculus (standardized mean difference: -0.05, 95% CI, -0.08 to -0.02), and the forceps minor (standardized mean difference: -0.06, 95% CI, -0.09 to -0.03) (Table 2) (model 3).

Partnership status was no longer significantly associated with white matter tract integrity after adjusting for all covariates and multiple testing correction. Partnership status was associated with FA and MD only in model 1, in which not having a partner was associated with a lower FA for the inferior frontooccipital fasciculus, inferior longitudinal fasciculus, posterior thalamic radiation, forceps major, and forceps minor after multiple testing correction. Not having a partner was associated with higher MD in the anterior thalamic radiation, inferior

Table 1. (Characteristics	of the	Study \$	Sampl	е
------------	------------------------	--------	----------	-------	---

Characteristic	Overall, <i>N</i> = 3352
Age, Years, Mean (SD)	58.4 (7.1)
Sex, Female, n (%)	1841 (54.9%)
Loneliness, Lonely, n (%)	396 (11.8%)
Perceived Social Support, Weighted Score, Median (IQR)	10 (10–10)
Perceived Social Support, Weighted Score, Mean (SD)	9.7 (0.9)
Marital Status, n (%)	
Married or has partner	2711 (80.9%)
No current partner	641 (19.1%)
Education, n (%)	
Primary education	273 (8.1%)
Lower/intermediate general education or lower vocational education	1211 (36.1%)
Intermediate vocational education or higher general education	987 (29.4%)
Higher vocational education or university	881 (26.3%)
MMSE Score, Median (IQR)	29.0 (27.0–29.0)
Depressive Symptoms, CES-D Score, Median (IQR)	3.0 (1.0–7.0)
Clinically Relevant Depressive Symptoms, CES-D \geq 16, <i>n</i> (%)	281 (8.4%)
Anxiety Disorder, Present, n (%)	255 (7.6%)
Smoking Status, n (%)	
Never	1014 (30.3%)
Former	1542 (46.0%)
Current	796 (23.7%)
Alcohol Use, n (%)	
None	334 (10.0%)
Moderate, 0-1 units per day	2029 (60.5%)
Heavy, >1 unit per day	989 (29.5%)
Body Mass Index, kg/m ² , Mean (SD)	27.5 (4.1)
Hypertension, n (%)	1765 (52.3%)
History of Diabetes Mellitus Type 2, <i>n</i> (%)	329 (9.8%)
History of Coronary Heart Disease, <i>n</i> (%)	120 (3.6%)
History of Heart Failure, n (%)	24 (0.7%)
History of Clinical Stroke, n (%)	48 (1.4%)

CES-D, Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression scale; IQR, interquartile range; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination.

fronto-occipital fasciculus, posterior thalamic radiation, forceps major, parahippocampal part of cingulum, corticospinal tract, and superior thalamic radiation only in model 1. Not having a partner remained associated with higher MD of the posterior thalamic radiation (standardized mean difference: 0.12, 95% Cl, 0.04 to 0.20) and the forceps major (standardized mean difference: 0.13, 95% Cl, 0.04 to 0.21) after adjusting for lifestyle and multimorbidity, but not after adjusting for tract volume and tract-specific white matter hyperintensities volume. After stratifying model 3 based on sex, only male participants showed an association of social support with MD of the forceps minor (standardized mean difference: -0.07, 95% Cl, -0.11 to -0.03; *p* for interaction = .80) and an association of partnership status with the FA of the forceps minor (standardized mean difference: -0.22, 95% Cl, -0.36 to -0.07; *p* for interaction = .02) as shown in Table 3. Female participants showed an association of loneliness with the MD of the superior thalamic radiation (standardized mean difference: 0.31, 95% Cl, 0.13 to 0.50; *p* for interaction = .05).

The sensitivity analyses did not change the interpretation of our findings (Table S1). In the mutually adjusted model, the association between social support and the uncinate fasciculus was no longer statistically significant after multiple testing correction.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we aimed to identify associations between different aspects of social health and tract-specific brain white matter microstructure in community-dwelling older adults. We found that better perceived social support was associated with lower MD (reflecting higher structural integrity) of the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, uncinate fasciculus, and forceps minor, whereas loneliness was associated with higher MD (reflecting lower integrity) of the parahippocampal part of the cingulum and of the superior thalamic radiation. In addition, we found potential interaction effects of sex on the associations between partner status and loneliness with specifically the integrity of the forceps minor and superior thalamic radiation. We will briefly discuss each of these findings in this section.

Better perceived social support was associated with lower MD of the association and commissural tracts, namely the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, uncinate fasciculus, and forceps minor. According to two recent extensive literature review papers on structural white matter, the inferior frontooccipital fasciculus and uncinate fasciculus may play key roles in socioemotional processing and social cognition (14,15). The inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus runs from the ventral occipital cortex through the temporal cortex and terminates in the orbitofrontal, medial prefrontal, and inferior frontal cortex. As such, it is involved in face processing and perception, mentalizing (theory of mind), and embodied cognition (mirroring) (15). The uncinate fasciculus is a limbic tract that connects medial temporal areas to the medial and lateral orbitofrontal cortex and has been linked to emotion recognition and empathic abilities (15). The forceps minor, representing the anterior commissure of the corpus callosum, connects bilateral anterior frontal regions through the genu. Malformation or disruptions of the anterior corpus callosum have been associated with deficits in cognitive functioning and social communication (42), and microstructural integrity of the forceps minor has more recently been linked to social network size and diversity (25,26). These findings indicate that the perception of social support is associated with white matter structures that are important for the adequate processing of social cues of others and for responding adequately to others' emotions. Potentially, perceiving good social support stimulates healthy brain structures that are needed for the ability to appropriately respond to social cues.

Table 2. Associations between Social Health Markers and Tract-Specific White Matter integri	Table 2	. Associations	Between Socia	al Health Markers	and Tract-Specific	White Matter Integrit
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------	----------------	---------------	-------------------	--------------------	-----------------------

			,	\eeociati	ion Trac	te		Comm	nissural	Lir	nhie Tra	octe	Sensorimotor Tracto				
Casial Llashth Maukaus	Madal																
Social Health Markers	Iviodei	AIR	IFO	ILF	PIR	SLF	UNC	FIMA	FIVII	CGC	CGH	FX	CSI	MCP	IVIL	SIR	
Fractional Anisotropy																	
Social support, per point increase	1	0.01	0.04 ^a	0.04 ^a	0.05 ^a	0.04 ^a	0.04 ^a	0.04 ^a	0.05 ^b	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	-0.02	0.02	0.03	
Social support, per point increase	2	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.04 ^a	0.04 ^a	0.04ª	0.03	0.05 ^a	0.01	0	0	0.01	-0.02	0.01	0.03	
Social support, per point increase	3	0	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03 ^a	0.02	0.01	0.04 ^a	0	0	0.01	0	-0.02	0.02	0.02	
Partner status, no partner vs. partner	1	-0.06	-0.14 ^b	-0.13 ^b	0.16 ^b	-0.08	-0.06	-0.13 ^b	-0.12 ^b	-0.06	-0.04	0.05	-0.03	0.02	-0.03	-0.05	
Partner status, no partner vs. partner	2	-0.04	-0.12 ^ª	-0.11 ^ª	-0.1 ^b	-0.06	-0.05	-0.1 ^ª	-0.1ª	-0.05	-0.03	0.06	-0.01	0.01	-0.02	-0.02	
Partner status, no partner vs. partner	3	0	-0.09 ^a	-0.08ª	-0.1ª	-0.03	-0.02	-0.03	-0.08ª	-0.06	-0.04	0.08ª	-0.01	0.01	-0.01	-0.01	
Loneliness, lonely vs. not lonely	1	-0.11ª	-0.12ª	-0.1ª	-0.14ª	-0.07	-0.09	-0.1ª	-0.08	-0.1	-0.06	-0.07	-0.08	0.06	-0.03	-0.03	
Loneliness, lonely vs. not lonely	2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.07	-0.09	-0.07	-0.13ª	-0.05	-0.05	-0.1	-0.06	-0.06	-0.04	0.02	-0.00	0.02	
Loneliness, lonely vs. not lonely	3	-0.07	-0.07	-0.06	-0.08	-0.03	-0.07	0	-0.02	-0.08	-0.07	-0.04	-0.01	0	0.01	0.04	
Mean Diffusivity																	
Social support, per point increase	1	-0.04 ^a	-0.06 ^b	-0.05 ^a	-0.04 ^a	-0.05 ^a	-0.06 ^b	-0.03	-0.07 ^b	-0.04 ^a	-0.02	-0.05 ^b	-0.04 ^a	0.01	-0.05ª	-0.02	
Social support, per point increase	2	-0.03ª	-0.06 ^b	-0.05 ^a	-0.04 ^a	-0.04 ^a	-0.06 ^b	-0.03	-0.06 ^b	-0.03	-0.01	-0.04 ^a	-0.04ª	0.01	-0.04ª	-0.02	
Social support, per point increase	3	-0.02ª	-0.05 ^b	-0.04 ^a	-0.02	-0.04 ^a	-0.05 ^b	-0.01	-0.06 ^b	-0.03	-0.01	-0.02	-0.03	0.01	-0.04ª	-0.01	
Partner status, no partner vs. partner	1	0.11 ^b	0.11 ^b	0.08 ^a	0.14 ^b	0.11 ^a	0.09 ^a	0.14 ^b	0.08 ^a	0.1 ^a	0.13 ^b	0.08 ^a	0.13 ^b	0.01	0.05	0.13 ^b	
Partner status, no partner vs. partner	2	0.07	0.09 ^a	0.06	0.12 ^b	0.08 ^a	0.08	0.13 ^b	0.06	0.09 ^ª	0.12 ^ª	0.06	0.11 ^a	0.03	0.03	0.12 ^ª	
Partner status, no partner vs. partner	3	0.02	0.07 ^a	0.04	0.09 ^a	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.09 ^ª	0.11 ^ª	-0.01	0.09 ^a	0.03	0.03	0.1 ^ª	
Loneliness, lonely vs. not lonely	1	0.08	0.13ª	0.12 ^ª	0.11 ^a	0.11 ^a	0.07	0.11 ^ª	0.1 ^a	0.09	0.19 ^b	0.11 ^a	0.17 ^b	-0.03	0.03	0.16 ^b	
Loneliness, lonely vs. not lonely	2	0.03	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.04	0.09	0.22 ^b	0.05	0.19 ^b	0.03	-0.01	0.22 ^b	
Loneliness, lonely vs. not lonely	3	0.01	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.08	0.21 ^b	-0.01	0.16 ^a	0.07	-0.01	0.21 ^b	

Standardized mean differences of models 1, 2, and 3, for fractional anisotropy and mean diffusivity.

ATR, anterior thalamic radiation; CGC, cingulate gyrus part of cingulum; CGH, parahippocampal part of cingulum; CST, corticospinal tract; FMA, forceps major; FMI, forceps minor; FX, fornix; IFO, inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus; ILF, inferior longitudinal fasciculus; MCP, middle cerebellar peduncle; ML, medial lemniscus; PTR, posterior thalamic radiation; SLF, superior longitudinal fasciculus; STR, superior thalamic radiation; UNC, uncinate fasciculus.

^aIndicates the statistically significant (p \leq .05) coefficients without multiple testing correction.

^bIndicates the statistically significant coefficients after multiple testing correction (Šidák correction).

In our study, loneliness was associated with higher MD of limbic and sensorimotor tracts, specifically the parahippocampal part of the cingulum and the superior thalamic radiation. Several previous studies have reported on associations between loneliness and white matter structure, including a recent population-based study that found that loneliness was mainly linked to impaired microstructure of the fornix, but not other structures (27). A study of young adults found that loneliness was associated with reduced white matter density in brain areas that are related to social cognition, empathy, self-

			Comr						missural							
			A	Associat	ion Trac	ts		Tracts	racts	Limbic	Tracts		Senso	rimotor	^r Tracts	3
Social Health Markers	Sex	ATR	IFO	ILF	PTR	SLF	UNC	FMA	FMI	CGC	CGH	FX	CST	MCP	ML	STR
Fractional Anisotropy																
Social support, per point increase	Male	0.00	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.05 ^a	0.03	0.00	0.06ª	0.01	0.02	-0.02	0.00	-0.02	-0.01	0.03
Social support, per point increase	Female	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	-0.03	0.05 ^a	0.00
Partner status, no partner vs. partner	Male	0.07	-0.12	-0.07	-0.07	-0.04	-0.05	-0.02	-0.22 ^{b,c}	-0.09	-0.04	0.09	0.16 ^{a,c}	0.04	0.12	0.11
Partner status, no partner vs. partner	Female	-0.03	-0.10 ^a	-0.09	-0.10 ^a	-0.03	-0.01	-0.04	-0.03	-0.05	-0.03	0.07	-0.05 ^c	-0.03	-0.03	-0.06
Loneliness, lonely vs. not lonely	Male	-0.08	-0.01	0.03	-0.04	0.01	0.00	0.09	0.02	0.00	-0.06	-0.01	0.01	0.11	-0.11	0.07
Loneliness, lonely vs. not lonely	Female	-0.07	-0.09	-0.09	-0.10	-0.04	-0.09	-0.06	-0.05	-0.12	-0.07	-0.05	-0.01	-0.04	0.04	0.05
Mean Diffusivity																
Social support, per point increase	Male	-0.02	-0.05 ^a	-0.03	0.00	-0.05 ^a	-0.05 ^a	0.01	-0.07 ^{b,c}	-0.04	-0.02	-0.02	-0.03ª	-0.01	-0.02	-0.02
Social support, per point increase	Female	-0.03	-0.05 ^a	-0.05ª	-0.03	-0.03	-0.05 ^a	-0.02	-0.05 ^b	-0.03	0.00	-0.02	-0.02	0.03	-0.07	0.00
Partner status, no partner vs. partner	Male	0.07	0.15 ^a	0.02	0.07	0.07	0.12	0.16 ^ª	0.08	0.12	0.13	0.04	0.07	0.04	0.01	0.03
Partner status, no partner vs. partner	Female	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.09 ^a	0.06	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.10	0.11 ^a	-0.01	0.11	0.04	0.04	0.14 ^ª
Loneliness, lonely vs. not lonely	Male	-0.02	0.04	-0.07 ^c	0.00	0.06	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.00	0.14	-0.01	-0.03	0.16	0.01	-0.01 ^c
Loneliness, lonely vs. not lonely	Female	0.03	0.09	0.11 ^c	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.00	0.12	0.22ª	-0.01	0.24 ^ª	0.02	-0.02	0.31 ^{b,c}

Table 3. Associations Between Social Health Markers and Tract-Specific White Matter Integrity Stratified by Sex

Standardized mean differences of model 3 stratified by sex for fractional anisotropy and mean diffusivity.

ATR, anterior thalamic radiation, CGC, cingulate gyrus part of cingulum; CGH, parahippocampal part of cingulum; CST, corticospinal tract; FMA, forceps major; FMI, forceps minor; FX, fornix; IFO, inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus; ILF, inferior longitudinal fasciculus; MCP, middle cerebellar peduncle; ML, medial lemniscus; PTR, posterior thalamic radiation; SLF, superior longitudinal fasciculus; STR, superior thalamic radiation; UNC, uncinate fasciculus.

^aIndicates the statistically significant ($p \le .05$) coefficients without multiple testing correction.

^bIndicates the statistically significant coefficients after multiple testing correction (Sidák correction).

^cIndicates significant p values for the interaction term of the social health marker with sex.

cognition, and self-efficacy (43). Loneliness has not specifically been linked yet to the cingulum and thalamic radiations in previous research. The parahippocampal part of the cingulum is part of the limbic system and connects the medial prefrontal cortex and anterior cingulate cortex through the precuneus to medial temporal regions proximal to the hippocampus (15). It is involved in functions related to memory and socioemotional processing and has been suggested as part of the brain network related to empathy (15). In contrast, the superior thalamic radiation has (to our knowledge) not been mentioned before in relation to social processes. The superior thalamic radiation connects the thalamus to the parietal lobe, penetrating the posterior limb of the internal capsule, and has mainly been thought to be involved in processing sensory input. Recently, however, findings from the UK Biobank indicated that depressive symptomology and major depressive disorder are associated with altered microstructure of thalamic radiations, including the superior thalamic radiation (44). Thus,

loneliness may be associated with altered processing of sensory information in the brain, with implications for brain structures required for memory and for socioemotional and sensory processing.

Partnership status was not significantly associated with tract-specific white matter integrity after adjusting for tract-white matter volume and tract-white matter hyperintensity volume, indicating that the association between partnership status and white matter integrity may be better explained by white matter macrostructure than by partnership status and covariates alone. Prior to multiple testing correction, partnership status showed an association with the microstructural integrity of several white matter tracts, including association, limbic, and sensorimotor tracts, such that not having a current partner was consistently associated with worse microstructure. Future studies with higher statistical power should investigate these associations further.

Finally, our findings suggest sex-specific differences in the associations between social health and white matter microstructure. We found that not having a partner was associated with lower FA of the forceps minor in males only and that the association between loneliness and higher MD of the superior thalamic radiation was only present in female participants. This is in line with sex differences found in previous studies, where loneliness in men has been associated with greater structural integrity of the fornix (27) and with smaller global white matter volume (17). Importantly, however, these results could be affected by the fact that men tend to report themselves lonely less often than women due to greater stigma associated with loneliness for men (45). More research is required to elucidate the complex associations between sex, gender, social health, and the brain.

The large sample size and the population-based setting are important strengths of this study. Another strength is that we controlled for a large number of potential confounders, including markers of physical and mental health, lifestyle behaviors, and tract volume.

Limitations of this study include the fact that we considered only 15 major white matter tracts, excluding minor tracts from our analysis. For tracts that are located on both right and left hemispheres, we only analyzed averaged values for the entire tracts and did not consider the portion of the tracts in the 2 hemispheres separately. We regarded FA and MD as reflecting microstructural integrity, which is a simplification of the underlying biological processes but is generally accepted as such a representation. Furthermore, the perceived social support questionnaire has not been formally validated, and the direct question on loneliness from the CES-D might have led to underreporting of loneliness in our sample. Finally, due to the cross-sectional nature of our analysis, the causal relationship between social health variables and white matter microstructure cannot be inferred by this study and should be elucidated by future investigations, which should also consider the possibility that negative (early) life experiences such as childhood maltreatment could have influenced both white matter and social health and thus might represent a possible additional mechanism underlying these findings (42, 43).

Conclusions

We investigated associations between social health markers and the microstructure of specific white matter tracts and found that better social health is related to higher microstructural integrity of specific tracts: the superior thalamic radiation, parahippocampal part of the cingulum, uncinate fasciculus, inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, and forceps minor. Different social health markers such as loneliness and perceived social support were found to be associated with the microstructural integrity of different white matter tracts, suggesting that various social health domains influence different neural pathways of the brain. Our findings are of theoretical and practical relevance, giving new insight into the relationship between social health and white matter tracts and contributing to the understanding of the mechanisms that lead to neuropsychiatric diseases, including dementia, in which social dysfunction is a common symptom.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND DISCLOSURES

The Rotterdam Study is funded by the Erasmus Medical Center and Erasmus University, Rotterdam; Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development (ZonMw); the Research Institute for Diseases in the Elderly; the Ministry of Education, Culture and Science; the Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sports; the European Commission (DG XII); and the Municipality of Rotterdam. This work was partly supported by the EU Joint Programme – Neurodegenerative Disease Research project Social Health and Reserve in the Dementia patient journey and financed through projects funded by the Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development (Grant Nos. 733051082 and 733050831).

We thank the study participants, the staff from the Rotterdam Study, and the participating general practitioners and pharmacists. We also thank Henri Vrooman and Marius de Groot for help with the figures.

WJN is the founder, scientific lead, and shareholder of Quantib BV. All other authors report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

From the Groningen Institute for Evolutionary Life Sciences, Faculty of Science and Engineering, University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands (AC, MJK); Department of Epidemiology, Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands (IFvdV, MAI, MWV); Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands (IFvdV, WJN, MWV); and the Department of IQ Healthcare, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands (MJFV-D).

AC and IFvdV contributed equally to this work as joint first authors. MWV and MJK contributed equally to this work as joint last authors. Address correspondence to Martien J. Kas, Ph.D., at m.j.h.kas@rug.nl. Received Jun 13, 2022; revised Jul 19, 2022; accepted Aug 8, 2022. Supplementary material cited in this article is available online at https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsgos.2022.08.009.

REFERENCES

- Huber M, Knottnerus JA, Green L, van der Horst H, Jadad AR, Kromhout D, et al. (2011): How should we define health? BMJ 343:d4163.
- Vernooij-Dassen M, Jeon YH (2016): Social health and dementia: The power of human capabilities. Int Psychogeriatr 28:701–703.
- Dröes RM, Chattat R, Diaz A, Gove D, Graff M, Murphy K, et al. (2017): Social health and dementia: A European consensus on the operationalization of the concept and directions for research and practice. Aging Ment Health 21:4–17.
- Vernooij-Dassen M, Moniz-Cook E, Verhey F, Chattat R, Woods B, Meiland F, et al. (2021): Bridging the divide between biomedical and psychosocial approaches in dementia research: The 2019 INTERDEM manifesto. Aging Ment Health 25:206–212.
- Insel TR, Fernald RD (2004): How the brain processes social information: Searching for the social brain. Annu Rev Neurosci 27:697–722.
- Porcelli S, Van Der Wee N, van der Werff S, Aghajani M, Glennon JC, van Heukelum S, et al. (2019): Social brain, social dysfunction and social withdrawal. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 97:10–33.
- Holt-Lunstad J (2021): The major health implications of social connection. Curr Dir Psychol Sci 30:251–259.
- Holt-Lunstad J, Smith TB, Baker M, Harris T, Stephenson D (2015): Loneliness and social isolation as risk factors for mortality: A metaanalytic review. Perspect Psychol Sci 10:227–237.
- 9. Holt-Lunstad J, Smith TB, Layton JB (2010): Social relationships and mortality risk: A meta-analytic review. PLoS Med 7:e1000316.
- Howick J, Kelly P, Kelly M (2019): Establishing a causal link between social relationships and health using the Bradford Hill Guidelines. SSM Popul Health 8:100402.
- Malcolm M, Frost H, Cowie J (2019): Loneliness and social isolation causal association with health-related lifestyle risk in older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis protocol. Syst Rev 8:48.

- 12. Holt-Lunstad J (2018): Why social relationships are important for physical health: A systems approach to understanding and modifying risk and protection. Annu Rev Psychol 69:437–458.
- Holt-Lunstad J (2017): The potential public health relevance of social isolation and loneliness: Prevalence, epidemiology, and risk factors. Public Policy Aging Rep 27:127–130.
- Ameis SH, Catani M (2015): Altered white matter connectivity as a neural substrate for social impairment in autism spectrum disorder. Cortex 62:158–181.
- Wang Y, Metoki A, Alm KH, Olson IR (2018): White matter pathways and social cognition. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 90:350–370.
- 16. Wang Y, Olson IR (2018): The original social network: White matter and social cognition. Trends Cogn Sci 22:504–516.
- van der Velpen IF, Melis RJF, Perry M, Vernooij-Dassen MJF, Ikram MA, Vernooij MW (2022): Social health is associated with structural brain changes in older adults: The Rotterdam study. Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging 7:659–668.
- Pettersson-Yeo W, Allen P, Benetti S, McGuire P, Mechelli A (2011): Dysconnectivity in schizophrenia: Where are we now? Neurosci Biobehav Rev 35:1110–1124.
- Jalbrzikowski M, Villalon-Reina JE, Karlsgodt KH, Senturk D, Chow C, Thompson PM, Bearden CE (2014): Altered white matter microstructure is associated with social cognition and psychotic symptoms in 22q11.2 microdeletion syndrome. Front Behav Neurosci 8:393.
- Jung S, Kim JH, Sung G, Ko YG, Bang M, Park CI, Lee SH (2020): Uncinate fasciculus white matter connectivity related to impaired social perception and cross-sectional and longitudinal symptoms in patients with schizophrenia spectrum psychosis. Neurosci Lett 737: 135144.
- 21. Li Y, Zhou Z, Chang C, Qian L, Li C, Xiao T, *et al.* (2019): Anomalies in uncinate fasciculus development and social defects in preschoolers with autism spectrum disorder. BMC Psychiatry 19:399.
- 22. Roelofs EF, Bas-Hoogendam JM, van Ewijk H, Ganjgahi H, van der Werff SJA, Barendse MEA, et al. (2020): Investigating microstructure of white matter tracts as candidate endophenotypes of social anxiety disorder – Findings from the Leiden Family Lab study on Social Anxiety Disorder (LFLSAD). NeuroImage Clin 28:102493.
- 23. Filley CM (2020): Social cognition and white matter: Connectivity and cooperation. Cogn Behav Neurol 33:67–75.
- Saito J, Hori M, Nemoto T, Katagiri N, Shimoji K, Ito S, et al. (2017): Longitudinal study examining abnormal white matter integrity using a tract-specific analysis in individuals with a high risk for psychosis. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 71:530–541.
- Noonan MP, Mars RB, Sallet J, Dunbar RIM, Fellows LK (2018): The structural and functional brain networks that support human social networks. Behav Brain Res 355:12–23.
- Molesworth T, Sheu LK, Cohen S, Gianaros PJ, Verstynen TD (2015): Social network diversity and white matter microstructural integrity in humans. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci 10:1169–1176.
- Spreng RN, Dimas E, Mwilambwe-Tshilobo L, Dagher A, Koellinger P, Nave G, et al. (2020): The default network of the human brain is associated with perceived social isolation. Nat Commun 11:6393.

- Ikram MA, Brusselle G, Ghanbari M, Goedegebure A, Ikram MK, Kavousi M, et al. (2020): Objectives, design and main findings until 2020 from the Rotterdam Study. Eur J Epidemiol 35:483–517.
- Radloff LS (1977): The CES-D scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. Appl Psychol Meas 1:385–401.
- Ikram MA, van der Lugt A, Niessen WJ, Koudstaal PJ, Krestin GP, Hofman A, *et al.* (2015): The Rotterdam Scan Study: Design update 2016 and main findings. Eur J Epidemiol 30:1299–1315.
- Vrooman HA, Cocosco CA, van der Lijn F, Stokking R, Ikram MA, Vernooij MW, et al. (2007): Multi-spectral brain tissue segmentation using automatically trained k-nearest-neighbor classification. Neuro-Image 37:71–81.
- de Boer R, Vrooman HA, van der Lijn F, Vernooij MW, Ikram MA, van der Lugt A, et al. (2009): White matter lesion extension to automatic brain tissue segmentation on MRI. NeuroImage 45:1151–1161.
- **33.** Koppelmans V, de Groot M, de Ruiter MB, Boogerd W, Seynaeve C, Vernooij MW, *et al.* (2014): Global and focal white matter integrity in breast cancer survivors 20 years after adjuvant chemotherapy. Hum Brain Mapp 35:889–899.
- Leemans A, Jeurissen B, Sijbers J, Jones DK (2009): ExploreDTI: A graphical toolbox for processing, analyzing, and visualizing diffusion MR data. Proc Int Soc Magn Reson Med 17:3537.
- de Groot M, Ikram MA, Akoudad S, Krestin GP, Hofman A, van der Lugt A, et al. (2015): Tract-specific white matter degeneration in aging: The Rotterdam Study. Alzheimers Dement 11:321–330.
- Behrens TE, Berg HJ, Jbabdi S, Rushworth MF, Woolrich MW (2007): Probabilistic diffusion tractography with multiple fibre orientations: What can we gain? Neuroimage 34:144–155.
- Jenkinson M, Beckmann CF, Behrens TE, Woolrich MW, Smith SM (2012): FSL. Neuroimage 62:782–790.
- Thomason ME, Thompson PM (2011): Diffusion imaging, white matter, and psychopathology. Annu Rev Clin Psychol 7:63–85.
- Beekman AT, Deeg DJ, Van Limbeek J, Braam AW, De Vries MZ, Van Tilburg W (1997): Criterion validity of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression scale (CES-D): Results from a community-based sample of older subjects in the Netherlands. Psychol Med 27:231–235.
- Wittchen HU, Lachner G, Wunderlich U, Pfister H (1998): Test–retest reliability of the computerized DSM-IV version of the Munich-Composite International Diagnostic Interview (M-CIDI). Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 33:568–578.
- Šidák Z (1967): Rectangular confidence regions for the means of multivariate normal distributions. J Am Stat Assoc 62:626–633.
- 42. Buyanova IS, Arsalidou M (2021): Cerebral white matter myelination and relations to age, gender, and cognition: A selective review. Front Hum Neurosci 15:662031.
- **43.** Nakagawa S, Takeuchi H, Taki Y, Nouchi R, Sekiguchi A, Kotozaki Y, *et al.* (2015): White matter structures associated with loneliness in young adults. Sci Rep 5:17001.
- 44. Shen X, Reus LM, Cox SR, Adams MJ, Liewald DC, Bastin ME, et al. (2017): Subcortical volume and white matter integrity abnormalities in major depressive disorder: Findings from UK Biobank imaging data. Sci Rep 7:5547.
- 45. Borys S, Perlman D (1985): Gender differences in loneliness. Pers Soc Psychol Bull 11:63–74.