

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *Vaccine*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 October 25.

Published in final edited form as: *Vaccine*. 2019 November 28; 37(50): 7336–7345. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.03.074.

## Modelling efforts needed to advance herpes simplex virus (HSV) vaccine development: Key findings from the World Health Organization Consultation on HSV Vaccine Impact Modelling

Sami L. Gottlieb<sup>a</sup>, Birgitte Giersing<sup>a</sup>, Marie-Claude Boily<sup>b</sup>, Harrell Chesson<sup>c</sup>, Katharine J. Looker<sup>d</sup>, Joshua Schiffer<sup>e</sup>, Ian Spicknall<sup>c</sup>, Raymond Hutubessy<sup>a</sup>, Nathalie Broutet<sup>a</sup>, WHO HSV Vaccine Impact Modelling Meeting Working Group<sup>\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>World Health Organization (WHO), Geneva, Switzerland;

<sup>b</sup>Imperial College, London, UK;

<sup>c</sup>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Atlanta, GA, USA;

<sup>d</sup>University of Bristol, UK;

eUniversity of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

## Abstract

Development of a vaccine against herpes simplex virus (HSV) is an important goal for global sexual and reproductive health. In order to more precisely define the health and economic burden of HSV infection and the theoretical impact and cost-effectiveness of an HSV vaccine, in 2015 the World Health Organization convened an expert consultation meeting on HSV vaccine impact modelling. The experts reviewed existing model-based estimates and dynamic models of HSV infection to outline critical future modelling needs to inform development of a comprehensive business case and preferred product characteristics for an HSV vaccine. This article summarizes key findings and discussions from the meeting on modelling needs related to HSV burden, costs, and vaccine impact, essential data needs to carry out those models, and important model components and parameters.

Correspondence: Dr. Sami Gottlieb, Department of Reproductive Health and Research, WHO, Avenue Appia 20, CH-1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland; telephone: +41 22 791 34 24; gottliebs@who.int.

<sup>\*</sup>WHO HSV Vaccine Impact Modelling Meeting Working Group: Carolyn Deal (National Institutes of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Bethesda, MD, USA). Thomas Gift (CDC, Atlanta, GA, USA). Vittal Mogasale (International Vaccine Institute, Seoul, South Korea). Vasee Moorthy (WHO, Geneva, Switzerland). Francis Ndowa (Skin and GU Medicine Clinic, Harare, Zimbabwe). Yot Teerawattananon (Health Intervention and Technology Assessment Program, Ministry of Public Health, Bangkok, Thailand). Peter Vickerman (University of Bristol, UK). Brian Williams (South African Centre for Epidemiological Modelling and Analysis, Stellenbosch, South Africa and Wits Reproductive Health Institute, University of Witswatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa).

Disclaimers

Drs. Gottlieb, Giersing, Moorthy, and Broutet are staff members of the World Health Organization. The authors alone are responsible for the views expressed in this article and they do not necessarily represent the decisions or policies of the World Health Organization. The findings and conclusions of this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

## Introduction

In 2014, the World Health Organization (WHO), the National Institutes of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), and global technical partners outlined a comprehensive roadmap for the development of effective new vaccines against sexually transmitted infections (STIs).<sup>1</sup> The roadmap highlighted the urgent need for a vaccine against herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2), because of the large numbers of HSV-2 infections globally, with more than 400 million infections estimated in 2012,<sup>2</sup> the fact that HSV-2 fuels the HIV epidemic by increasing the risk of HIV acquisition and transmission several-fold,<sup>3</sup> and the limited population impact of current HSV prevention measures.<sup>4</sup> An HSV vaccine could have an impact not only on suffering due to genital ulcer disease (GUD), of which HSV-2 is the most common cause worldwide,<sup>5</sup> but also on neonatal herpes, an uncommon but devastating condition, and potentially on the spread of HIV infection. Such a vaccine might also protect against HSV type 1 (HSV-1), which typically causes orolabial infection but has been increasingly implicated as a cause of genital herpes.<sup>6,7</sup>

WHO and global partners are undertaking several activities to advance the global roadmap for STI vaccine development, and in particular, the critical need for an HSV vaccine.<sup>8</sup> Preparations are underway to develop a global business case for HSV vaccines, to clearly articulate the public health need, potential vaccine impact, and anticipated pathway and costs for developing and implementing an HSV vaccine, as well as the predicted costeffectiveness and return on investment. The business case starts with a value proposition outlining the expected outcomes, benefits, and value of the vaccine, and is intended to support strategic planning and catalyse engagement and investment in HSV vaccine development for use in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) as well as high-income countries (HICs). It can be used not only by innovators, vaccine manufacturers, and funders, but also by regulators, national decision-makers, and other key stakeholders across the public health spectrum. A complementary roadmap activity is generation of preferred product characteristics (PPCs) for HSV vaccines. PPCs reflect a formal WHO process to define and reach consensus on the desired characteristics of vaccines that would address priority public health and programmatic needs, particularly for LMICs.<sup>9</sup> PPCs are intended to provide early guidance to vaccine developers so that emerging candidates are more likely to be suitable for use in LMICs and address key WHO policy considerations for recommendation, enabling rapid vaccine implementation following approval.

Mathematical modelling can inform several critical areas of the business case for HSV vaccine and PPC development (Figure 1). The first step in generating a business case is to build a comprehensive picture of the public health burden of HSV infection, including the economic burden. Model-based estimates of the global and regional burden of HSV disease and its costs to society are necessary since global surveillance for these outcomes does not exist.<sup>2</sup> The next step is to model the theoretical population-level impact of an HSV vaccine on the disease and cost burden, in the context of other available inventions (e.g., anti-viral therapy). These models incorporate assumptions that are defined within PPCs, such as efficacy requirements, target population, and duration of protection. In turn, modelling can help clarify the range of vaccine characteristics that can maximize the vaccine's public health impact and cost-effectiveness,<sup>10</sup> to refine the ideal attributes defining PPCs and the

target product profile used by vaccine developers (Fig 1). Target product profiles incorporate similar characteristics as the PPCs but may differ in that they typically also consider HIC markets. Once the product profile is established, the vaccine development and licensing pathway, its associated risks and costs, and predicted revenue are considered in building a robust case for investment.

In March 2015, WHO convened a two-day meeting of experts in HSV modelling, epidemiology, and health economics to outline critical modelling efforts needed to inform development of an HSV vaccine business case and PPCs: the WHO Consultation on HSV Vaccine Impact Modelling. The objectives of the meeting were 1) to review existing models and identify modelling needs related to: a) HSV infection and disease burden at global and regional levels, b) the costs of HSV infection and its sequelae, and c) the theoretical impact of an HSV vaccine; and 2) to outline key modelling activities to address the gaps, including prioritization of needed models on HSV burden, costs, and vaccine impact, determination of essential data needs to carry out those models, and guidance on important model components and parameters. This paper summarizes the main findings and discussions from the meeting.

#### HSV natural history and vaccine development efforts

HSV-1 and HSV-2 cause lifelong, incurable infections. After infecting skin or mucosa in the oral (HSV-1) or genital (HSV-1 or HSV-2) area, HSV establishes persistent infection in neural ganglia. HSV can then intermittently travel back down nerve axons to cause either symptomatic genital or oral ulcers (often called a "recurrence") or asymptomatic viral shedding.<sup>5</sup> This process can occur repeatedly and frequently over a person's lifespan. The majority of HSV infections are unrecognized or asymptomatic. Asymptomatic viral shedding occurs frequently, and most HSV transmission occurs in the absence of symptoms.<sup>11</sup>

HSV-2 infection, which is sexually transmitted, is the leading cause of GUD globally. Regardless of whether an HSV-2 infection is symptomatic or asymptomatic, evidence suggests that it increases the risk of acquiring HIV infection by approximately 3-fold<sup>3</sup> and can be transmitted to a neonate to cause neonatal herpes. Although neonatal herpes is rare, occurring in approximately 1 in 3000 to 1 in 12,000 live births,<sup>12–14</sup> about 60% of infections are fatal if left untreated, and surviving infants may have long-term disabilities.<sup>15</sup> HSV-2 is the most common cause of recurrent meningoencephalitis, and can also cause severe hepatitis and disseminated infection in immunocompromised people.<sup>5</sup>

HSV-1 and HSV-2 share >80% genetic homology of their protein-coding regions but have different clinical and epidemiologic features.<sup>16</sup> HSV-1 typically causes orolabial infection, with clinical manifestations ranging from herpes labialis ("cold sores") to gingivostomatitis and pharyngitis. Less common complications include sporadic encephalitis and keratitis.<sup>17</sup> However, HSV-1 is now the leading cause of symptomatic first-episode genital herpes in some HIC settings, where infection in childhood has been decreasing.<sup>7</sup> Genital HSV-1 infection has a milder natural history and is less likely to recur than HSV-2 infection.<sup>18</sup> The virus types also have complex immunological interactions. For example, although HSV-1

infection does not appear to prevent acquisition of HSV-2, it does reduce the likelihood that acquired HSV-2 infection will be symptomatic.<sup>19</sup>

Antiviral therapies for genital HSV infection can reduce the number of days with symptoms during recurrences and can be taken daily to reduce the frequency of recurrences. Within discordant relationships, use of antiviral therapy by the HSV-2 seropositive partner can decrease transmission by up to 50%.<sup>20</sup> Condom use also partially decreases both HSV-2 acquisition and transmission.<sup>21</sup> However, neither of these approaches is used extensively enough to limit incidence or prevalence at the population level, and vaccines are likely the best option for effective HSV control.

No licensed HSV vaccines currently exist, but a number of vaccine candidates are in various stages of preclinical and clinical development.<sup>22</sup> These vaccines are primarily designed to prevent acquisition of HSV-2 infection before exposure (prophylactic) and/or to reduce symptoms and viral shedding among those with existing genital HSV-2 infection (therapeutic). The most advanced candidates to date have been recombinant glycoprotein subunit prophylactic vaccines, which have been studied in clinical trials in more than 20,000 people.<sup>6,23,24</sup> In a trial in the late 1990s, an HSV glycoprotein D-2 (gD2) vaccine reduced HSV-2 infection (by 40%) and disease (by 70%) among HSV-1 seronegative women, but not among men or HSV-1 seropositive women.<sup>24</sup> However, in a follow-up trial among over 8000 HSV-1/HSV-2 seronegative women, the gD2 vaccine failed to prevent HSV-2 infection or disease.<sup>6</sup> Interestingly, the vaccine did result in a significant decrease in HSV-1 infection (35% efficacy) and HSV-1-related genital disease (58% efficacy).

In recent years, considerable focus has been placed on development of therapeutic vaccines.<sup>22</sup> A recent Phase II trial showed a 65% decrease in both genital HSV-2 shedding frequency and days with genital lesions after the therapeutic vaccine series.<sup>25</sup> All previous HSV vaccine trials, for both prophylactic and therapeutic vaccines, have been conducted in HICs. A prophylactic vaccine is most needed in LMIC settings such as sub-Saharan Africa, where the prevalence and incidence of both HSV-2 and HIV are highest and where HSV-2 is thought to increase the risk of HIV infection, even in the absence of symptoms.<sup>2,3</sup> The effect of a therapeutic vaccine on the risk of HIV acquisition among HSV-2-infected people remains unknown and could vary according to its immunologic mechanism and whether it may increase or decrease the presence of activated CD4+ T cells in the genital tract that are targets for HIV infection.<sup>26</sup>

## Model-based estimates of the burden of HSV infection and sequelae

Meeting participants reviewed existing estimates of HSV infection and disease burden and identified critical gaps, needs and considerations. Key findings are summarized in Table 1. WHO estimated that 417 million people aged 15–49 years had HSV-2 infection globally in 2012.<sup>2</sup> The Global Burden of Disease (GBD) Study 2013 estimates were higher at over 1 billion HSV-2 infections worldwide, primarily because all ages were considered.<sup>27</sup> Data used in both estimates were sparse in some regions. However, meeting participants generally agreed that current estimates were sufficient to inform the business case, but suggested comparison of WHO and GBD models, incorporation of data from high-risk populations,

and exploration of additional data sources. The first global and regional estimates of HSV-1 infection were finalized after the meeting, estimating that 1.7 billion people aged 0–49 years have HSV-1 infection and 140–280 million 15–49 year-olds have genital HSV-1 infection.<sup>28</sup> Prevalence estimates used to generate these projections were even sparser than for HSV-2, and meeting participants recommended evaluation of newer HSV-1 serological data where feasible and better data on the proportion of HSV-1 infections that are genital, especially in LMICs.

Meeting participants identified more gaps related to HSV disease outcomes, such as GUD, neonatal herpes, and HSV-associated HIV infection. No detailed models estimating HSVrelated GUD were identified. Meeting participants encouraged such a model, incorporating HSV-2 and HSV-1 natural history and available GUD surveillance data. The first global estimates of neonatal herpes were published after the meeting, and estimated that roughly 14,000 cases occurred annually during 2010–2015 (10 cases per 100,000 livebirths).<sup>14</sup> The estimates were based on HSV-1 and HSV-2 prevalence and incidence estimates, pregnancy rates by region and age, and published risks of mother-to-child transmission by HSV type and stage from the United States.<sup>12</sup> While these estimates are a good starting point to inform the business case, better primary data are needed on mother-to-child HSV transmission risks and neonatal herpes incidence, especially in LMICs, to reduce uncertainty and refine future estimates. Several studies have evaluated the population attributable fraction of HIV due to HSV-2 infection in different settings.<sup>29–31</sup> However, meeting participants agreed that updated models, considering the degree to which incident HIV infections are attributable to HSV-2 and incorporating newer data on the HSV-HIV interaction, would be important to understand the potential impact of an HSV vaccine on HIV incidence under different epidemiologic scenarios, as outlined in Table 1. Additional reviews of HSV-1-related oral disease and other HSV-related outcomes, such as encephalitis, keratitis, and the psychosocial impact of HSV infection, would provide a more complete picture of the burden of HSV infection and the potential benefits of an HSV vaccine.

Summary health measures such as quality-adjusted life years (QALYs), disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) and years lived with disability (YLDs) allow comparison of disease burden across multiple diseases and disease states. QALYs measure both life expectancy and the quality of remaining life-years. The relative quality of life of a health state is often called the "QALY weight" or "health utility" (between 0 and 1; 0=death, 1=perfect health), which can be assessed with a range of available tools. YLDs measure the loss of health associated with a condition using a "disability weight," and DALYs reflect YLDs plus years of potential life lost due to premature mortality. Although QALY weight estimates for adult HSV infection are limited, several tools exist to estimate the impact of HSV on quality of life, primarily incorporating the effect of symptoms but also including stigma and impact on relationships.<sup>32</sup> QALY estimates for neonatal herpes are relatively more abundant in existing literature.<sup>33–35</sup> Future needs include clarifying how to derive QALY estimates from existing quality of life scores, and obtaining quality of life data in LMICs. The GBD study estimated that HSV-2 infection resulted in 311,600 YLDs in 2013 from GUD alone, using non-HSV-specific disability weights (e.g., "mild infection" for recurrences).<sup>27</sup> The most severe disease outcomes, neonatal herpes and HSV-associated HIV infection, were

not included. Better data on these outcomes, their inclusion in YLD/DALY estimates, and improved disability weights, would considerably advance understanding of HSV burden.

## Model-based estimates of the economic burden of HSV infection and sequelae

Model and data needs related to estimating the economic costs of HSV infection are summarized in Table 2. A few national estimates of the direct annual costs of diagnosing and treating HSV-2 infection, and of the lifetime cost per case, exist for the United States. For example, in 2008 the annual direct cost of HSV-2 infection was estimated to be \$541 million.<sup>36</sup> One study has also estimated indirect costs of genital herpes (e.g., productivity losses due to absenteeism from work) at \$214 million.<sup>37</sup> These conservative estimates do not include costs of neonatal herpes nor HSV-associated HIV. No such estimates have been found for other countries. Although lifetime cost-per-case estimates are limited, a wide range of itemized cost components are available for specific procedures and conditions in HICs, e.g., costs related to HSV testing, counselling, suppressive therapy, and clinician visits.<sup>38</sup> Meeting participants agreed that models to estimate aggregate annual and lifetime costs per case of HSV infection were needed outside the U.S., especially for LMICs. In addition, cost estimates incorporating neonatal herpes and HSV-associated HIV infection costs and updated data on HSV testing, antiviral use, and other factors would be important in all settings. To do this, it will be important to get better data on HSV care and treatment costs, healthcare seeking and testing practices for HSV disease, and utilization rates of HSV therapy including over-the-counter expenses and traditional medicine therapies in the informal sector, especially for LMICs. Use of administrative and health insurance claims data, and exploration of validated healthcare costs for related conditions in LMICs, were highlighted as potential avenues for gathering new data.

No existing comprehensive cost estimates were found for HSV-1 infection, and meeting participants agreed that such estimates, incorporating current data on utilization of care and treatment for HSV-1-related outcomes in both HICs and LMICs, would be valuable. Better information on HSV-1 disease occurrence and natural history will be useful, as will a review of oral HSV treatment and administration costs as they differ from genital HSV costs, e.g., topical treatment. Evaluation of administrative or claims data on oral HSV-1 evaluations and treatment could provide important information.

Although costs of neonatal herpes and HSV-associated HIV infections have not been systematically included in aggregate cost estimates for HSV infection, estimates of the lifetime cost per case of these outcomes are available, as are itemized cost components. The estimated lifetime cost per case of neonatal herpes has been over \$90,000 in the U.S. on average, but has ranged to much higher estimates depending on how long-term disability costs were included in models.<sup>38</sup> The acute hospitalization cost per case has been estimated to be \$40,044 alone.<sup>39</sup> Several studies of the cost-effectiveness of HSV screening and suppressive therapy in pregnant women (also in the U.S.) highlight the need to consider prevention costs, including Caesarean sections, in addition to the costs of treating neonatal herpes.<sup>40</sup> Estimates of lifetime costs of HIV infection exist, though those costs have not been

applied specifically to HSV-related HIV infection.<sup>41</sup> In addition to inclusion of costs related to neonatal herpes and HSV-associated HIV infection, important needs include lifetime cost-per-case estimates for neonatal herpes in LMICs, and updated estimates using current data on neonatal herpes costs, clinical practice, and long-term disability as well as indirect cost estimates. Better data and estimates related to neonatal herpes incidence in LMICs and the attributable fraction of HIV due to HSV-2 infection will be critical in generating comprehensive HSV-related cost estimates.

## Modelling efforts related to vaccine impact

To determine key modelling needs to predict HSV vaccine impact, meeting participants discussed critical features such models would need to inform a comprehensive business case and PPCs. Dynamic models accounting for HSV transmission allow assessment of the potential impact of a vaccine in a population beyond the direct benefits to vaccinated individuals (i.e., account for herd immunity), and allow exploration of impact across different epidemiologic contexts.<sup>10,42</sup> Such models can incorporate sexual contact patterns. the biology and natural history of the infection, susceptibility, transmissibility, interactions with other infections, and availability and characteristics of competing interventions, which can all vary across populations. Meeting participants discussed that there may be some limited utility for a simple static model, which assumes straightforward reductions in the per-person, age-specific incidence rates of HSV-related outcomes according to vaccine efficacy assumptions, to provide a high-level overview of the potential magnitude of costs and benefits of an HSV vaccine.<sup>43</sup> However, it was emphasized that dynamic models are more appropriate to produce valid predictions of the theoretical impact of a vaccine in altering the natural history of the infection, interrupting HSV transmission, and reducing the population-wide incidence and prevalence of infection and disease.

Critical questions for HSV vaccine impact modelling include:

- Which populations and geographic/epidemiologic settings are considered?
- Which *type of vaccine, prophylactic or therapeutic*, is modelled?
- How is *HSV-1 infection* incorporated in the models?
- Which *disease outcomes* are considered in the models?
- How are vaccine characteristics modelled?
- What are the most critical *sensitivity and uncertainty analyses*?
- Are cost analyses incorporated into vaccine impact models?

In preparation for the meeting, a literature review was conducted on existing mathematical models with relevance for assessing HSV vaccine impact. Thirty articles modelling dynamic HSV transmission were reviewed, including 8 articles directly modelling HSV vaccine impact.<sup>44–51</sup> Overall, the HSV vaccine impact models predicted that even an imperfect HSV vaccine could have population benefits in terms of decreasing HSV prevalence and incidence. For example, a model assessing impact of a prophylactic vaccine with 75% efficacy against infection in sub-Saharan Africa predicted that HSV-2 incidence could be

reduced by more than 50% after 10 years, given 50% vaccination coverage of 14 year-olds and catch-up vaccination through age 29.<sup>52</sup> This model also predicted a reduction in HIV incidence by about 10% after 10 years under the same scenario. However, the published models had varied assumptions and differed with respect to the predicted magnitude and timeframe required to achieve desired benefits. The ability of existing models to inform the HSV vaccine business case and evaluate PPC parameters, as well as identification of key remaining modelling and data gaps, are discussed below in the context of the critical questions outlined above.

#### Populations and/or geographic/epidemiologic settings

Of the existing studies, four modelled HSV-2 vaccine impact in North America,<sup>46,47,50,51</sup> two modelled impact in African settings,<sup>44,45</sup> and two did not specify a setting.<sup>48,49</sup> Baseline HSV-1 and HSV-2 prevalence and incidence rates, sexual behaviour and networks, HIV prevalence, and vaccine delivery and healthcare costs can vary greatly across settings and can have a substantial impact on potential vaccine effectiveness and cost-effectiveness. Meeting participants felt it important to undertake dynamic modelling studies in at least three settings based on a combination of income status and HSV/HIV epidemiology: 1) a high income, low HIV prevalence setting (e.g., US, UK); 2) a low-income, high HSV/HIV prevalence setting (e.g., Brazil, China). Availability of good data on sexual behaviour, HSV and HIV prevalence and incidence, and transmission risks across subgroups will be important for all selected settings.

#### Prophylactic versus therapeutic vaccine

The main focus of existing modelling studies has been prophylactic vaccines; only one exclusively considered therapeutic vaccines.<sup>51</sup> Prophylactic vaccines could also have disease-modifying effects for breakthrough infection, and this has been modelled previously.44-46,50 However, much of the recent research and development activity has been focused on therapeutic HSV vaccines. Meeting participants discussed the need for modelling both prophylactic and therapeutic vaccines. Considerations can differ for each, e.g., target population, with prophylactic vaccination targeted primarily before the onset of sexual debut, and therapeutic vaccination being given only to those who have recognized genital herpes infection. As such, the structure and costs of vaccine delivery differ between the vaccine types and also vary by setting. Another important consideration relates to the HSV-HIV association. Because HSV-2 infection increases the risk of HIV acquisition, even with no clinical disease, a prophylactic vaccine may be preferable in settings with high HIV prevalence. Nonetheless, reducing HSV disease and shedding episodes with a therapeutic vaccine might reduce HSV transmission in the population and reduce microscopic ulcerations that are portals of entry for HIV. However, theoretically if a vaccine induces activated CD4+ immune cells in the genital area as a mechanism of action, this might increase the number of target cells for HIV infection. Modelling analysis could vary vaccine-associated benefits and risks to explore the potential impact of a therapeutic HSV vaccine on HIV infection. Data that can shed light on the mechanisms of action of new vaccine candidates would be extremely valuable.

HSV shedding has been a key outcome in therapeutic vaccine trials (in addition to reduction in clinical recurrences). Thus, a better understanding of the association between reduction in shedding and translation to reduction in HSV transmission as well as susceptibility to HIV acquisition, is critical. Within-host models have attempted to predict transmission thresholds for HSV shedding, estimated to be around  $10^4$  DNA copies, but such models are difficult to validate clinically and uncertainty remains.<sup>53</sup>

#### **HSV-1** infection

Two published HSV vaccine impact modelling studies only modelled vaccine delivery for HSV-1 seronegative women, based on early gD2 vaccine trials.<sup>24,46,47</sup> Other models have not explicitly considered HSV-1 infection. Meeting participants agreed that future models need to incorporate HSV-1 infection, in several respects. HSV-1 infection may be a potential target of vaccine action, may modify vaccine efficacy against HSV-2 infection, and may have other interactions with HSV-2. Ideally an HSV vaccine would prevent both HSV types, and the most recent Phase III trial of the gD2 vaccine showed a significant vaccine effect on HSV-1 infection.<sup>6</sup> Including vaccine efficacy against HSV-1 infection would broaden the range of HSV-associated outcomes that could be prevented with a vaccine and thus improve potential cost-effectiveness. It would also influence the target age at vaccination. HSV-2 vaccines have typically been designed with adolescents as the target population. However, the target group for an HSV-1 and -2 vaccine would likely be infants or children, especially in LMICs, where most people acquire HSV-1 infection prior to adolescence.<sup>28</sup> If the vaccine is efficacious against HSV-2 infection only among HSV-1 seronegative people, this also has implications for target age of vaccination and costs of vaccine delivery. Dynamic models can also incorporate effects of prior HSV-1 infection on development of HSV-2 disease.

#### **Disease outcomes**

Most of the currently published dynamic models of potential HSV vaccine impact assessed only population HSV incidence and prevalence as the main disease outcomes, although as discussed above, one model assessed ongoing HIV transmission.<sup>45</sup> Meeting participants agreed it will be important to have a comprehensive assessment of the potential impact of an HSV vaccine on the most important disease outcomes, including in particular neonatal herpes and HSV-associated HIV infections, as well as potential outcomes of HSV-1 infection. Critical to such efforts will be better data on the proportion of HSV infections leading to these outcomes in different settings, and the associated QALYs or DALYs, as discussed above.

#### Vaccine characteristics

Meeting participants discussed several considerations related to how crucial vaccine characteristics are modelled. In terms of vaccine efficacy, the clinical endpoints modelled can affect predicted population outcomes: efficacy against infection, clinical disease, viral shedding, or a combination of these. Vaccine impact models can be useful in determining the most important clinical endpoints to measure in trials and how different endpoints affect efficacy outcomes. Another important consideration is how efficacy is modelled. For example, for a vaccine with presumed partial efficacy, a model can assume that only a fraction of people are fully protected ("take"-type protection) or that all people have

protection against a fraction of exposures ("degree"-type protection), with varying results.<sup>42</sup> Duration of protection provided by a vaccine is another key characteristic, though a firm estimate of duration requires long-term follow-up. Its impact may depend on the age at vaccination and how waning of protection is modelled. Important cost impact considerations include the number of doses and other factors affecting the cost of the vaccine and its delivery.

#### Sensitivity and uncertainty analyses

Sensitivity analysis involves varying key parameters in a model to determine their influence on model predictions. Uncertainty analysis involves using a range of plausible parameter values, which are identified after calibrating the model to selected epidemiological outcomes, to produce estimates that reflect the uncertainty in the input parameters. As no licensed HSV vaccine currently exists, sensitivity and uncertainty analyses can be useful to delineate the range of possible impacts for the business case and to define PPCs under different conditions, determining in which context HSV vaccines can be most and least useful. For example, what level of vaccine efficacy against infection is required to have an acceptable population impact and cost-effectiveness in different settings? Meeting participants agreed it would be important to conduct these types of threshold analyses, which can be refined and narrowed as better data become available for different parameters. In addition to varying vaccine efficacy, sensitivity and uncertainty analyses can explore the impact of varying vaccine uptake, duration of vaccine protection, vaccine costs, and other parameters.

#### Cost analyses

None of the published dynamic models of HSV vaccine impact include HSV disease costs, nor the relative cost-benefit of a vaccine were one available. Meeting participants agreed that in the future, cost-effectiveness analyses will be needed to incentivize product development, alongside estimates of the costs of vaccine research and development. These analyses can also shed light on the time to return on investment for different price scenarios, and can guide strategic decision-making and policy once a vaccine becomes available. In the simplest format, costs per infection and outcome can be applied to predicted number of infections and disease outcomes averted with a vaccine.<sup>43</sup> More detailed cost-effectiveness models can incorporate the role of alternative interventions, not just for HSV treatment (e.g., suppressive therapy) but also for prevention and treatment of HSV-associated outcomes (e.g., antiretroviral therapy for HIV infection), and should include the operational costs of the vaccine and its delivery in different settings.<sup>42</sup>

## Conclusions

Participants in the WHO Consultation on HSV Vaccine Impact Modelling identified several critical modelling and data needs to inform development of a comprehensive business case and PPCs for an HSV vaccine. The business case will be driven by the estimated burden of HSV infection and disease and associated costs. Good global and regional estimates exist for HSV-2 and HSV-1 infection, but more work is needed in estimating disease outcomes of HSV infection. To do this, better primary data are needed on neonatal herpes incidence

and mother-to-child transmission risks in LMICs, and existing models of the fraction of HIV infection attributable to HSV-2 can be updated and adapted to different settings and epidemic stages. Assessment of HSV-1 infection outcomes will help define the full scope of HSV-associated disease. Robust QALY/DALY estimates incorporating the full impact of HSV infection on health and well-being are also needed. Several cost estimates and itemized cost components are available in HICs, but similar estimates for LMICs are essential, as is inclusion of costs related to neonatal herpes and HIV infection. Better data on healthcare and treatment utilization related to HSV and its outcomes will improve cost modelling for all settings. Given wide-ranging data needs, "expected value of information" analyses can assist in strategizing about which data to collect most urgently to inform vaccine impact modelling and the business case.<sup>54,55</sup> These types of modelling analyses help quantify the added value of a decision made with perfect versus imperfect information for different parameters, which can help prioritise the parameters and the studies to refine them.

In order to optimally assess the theoretical population impact of an HSV vaccine, meeting participants concluded that new dynamic modelling efforts would be valuable in varied settings according to HSV and HIV epidemiology and country-income status, for both prophylactic and therapeutic vaccines. Existing dynamic models provide a good foundation for these activities. Comparison of model results can provide insight and help reach consensus on the optimal features of new or updated models to inform the HSV vaccine business case and PPCs.<sup>52</sup> Meeting participants agreed that inclusion of HSV-1 infection in dynamic models, as both an outcome and modifier of HSV-2 infection, was crucial, as was inclusion of a broader range of disease outcomes, especially neonatal herpes and HIV infection. Sensitivity and uncertainty analyses of the vaccine characteristics modelled will be especially important in defining PPCs for HSV vaccines. Finally, meeting participants supported incorporation of cost analyses into vaccine impact models to build a coherent business case. These activities will advance efforts to develop an efficacious and cost-effective HSV vaccine, a critical goal for global public health.

## Participants in the WHO HSV Vaccine Impact Modelling Meeting

Marie-Claude Boily (Imperial College, London, UK). Nathalie Broutet (WHO, Geneva, Switzerland). Harrell Chesson (CDC, Atlanta, GA, USA). Carolyn Deal (NIAID, Bethesda, MD, USA). Geoff Garnett (The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, Seattle, WA, USA). Birgitte Giersing (WHO, Geneva, Switzerland). Thomas Gift (CDC, Atlanta, GA, USA). Sami Gottlieb (WHO, Geneva, Switzerland). Raymond Hutubessy (WHO, Geneva, Switzerland). Nick Kassebaum (Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, Seattle, WA, USA). Katharine Looker (University of Bristol, UK). Vittal Mogasale (International Vaccine Institute, Seoul, South Korea). Vasee Moorthy (WHO, Geneva, Switzerland). Francis Ndowa (Skin and GU Medicine Clinic, Harare, Zimbabwe). Lori Newman (WHO, Geneva, Switzerland). Joshua Schiffer (University of Washington, Seattle, USA). Ian Spicknall (CDC, Atlanta, GA, USA). Yot Teerawattananon (Health Intervention and Technology Assessment Program, Ministry of Public Health, Bangkok, Thailand). Peter Vickerman (University of Bristol, UK). Brian Williams (South African Centre for Epidemiological Modelling and Analysis, Stellenbosch, South Africa, and Wits Reproductive Health Institute, University of Witswatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa).

## **Disclosures/Conflicts of interest**

Drs. Boily, Broutet, Chesson, Deal, Garnett, Giersing, Gift, Gottlieb, Hutubessy, Looker, Mogasale, Moorthy, Ndowa, Newman, Schiffer, Spicknall, Teerawattananon, Vickerman and Williams report no potential conflicts of interest. Dr. Kassebaum was a consultant at a one-day meeting funded by Merck in 2012.

### References

- Broutet N, Fruth U, Deal C, Gottlieb SL, Rees H, participants of the STI Vaccine Technical Consultation. Vaccines against sexually transmitted infections: the way forward. Vaccine 2014;32:1630–7. [PubMed: 24480024]
- Looker KJ, Magaret AS, Turner KM, Vickerman P, Gottlieb SL, Newman LM. Global estimates of prevalent and incident herpes simplex virus type 2 infections in 2012. PLoS One 2015;10:e114989. [PubMed: 25608026]
- Freeman EE, Weiss HA, Glynn JR, Cross PL, Whitworth JA, Hayes RJ. Herpes simplex virus 2 infection increases HIV acquisition in men and women: systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. AIDS 2006;20:73–83. [PubMed: 16327322]
- Gottlieb SL, Low N, Newman LM, Bolan G, Kamb M, Broutet N. Toward global prevention of sexually transmitted infections (STIs): the need for STI vaccines. Vaccine 2014;32:1527–35. [PubMed: 24581979]
- Schiffer JT, Corey L. Herpes simplex virus. In: Bennett JE, Dolin R, Blaser MJ, eds. Mandell, Douglas, and Bennett's Principles and Practice of Infectious Disease 8th ed Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2015:1713–30.
- Belshe RB, Leone PA, Bernstein DI, et al. Efficacy results of a trial of a herpes simplex vaccine. N Engl J Med 2012;366:34–43. [PubMed: 22216840]
- Bernstein DI, Bellamy AR, Hook EW 3rd, et al. Epidemiology, clinical presentation, and antibody response to primary infection with herpes simplex virus type 1 and type 2 in young women. Clin Infect Dis 2013;56:344–51. [PubMed: 23087395]
- Gottlieb SL, Deal CD, Giersing B, et al. The global roadmap for advancing development of vaccines against sexually transmitted infections: Update and next steps. Vaccine 2016;34:2939–47. [PubMed: 27105564]
- WHO Preferred Product Characteristics. 2014. (Accessed January 13, 2016, at http://www.who.int/ immunization/research/vaccine\_preferred\_product\_characteristics/en/)
- Boily MC, Brisson M, Masse B, Anderson RM. The Role of Mathematical Models in Vaccine Development and Public Health Decision Making, in Vaccinology: Principles and Practice. In: Morrow WJW, Sheikh NA, Schmidt CS, Davies DH, eds. Vaccinology: Principles and Practice Oxford, U.K.: Wiley-Blackwell; 2012.
- Mertz GJ, Benedetti J, Ashley R, Selke SA, Corey L. Risk factors for the sexual transmission of genital herpes. Ann Intern Med 1992;116:197–202. [PubMed: 1309413]
- Brown ZA, Wald A, Morrow RA, Selke S, Zeh J, Corey L. Effect of serologic status and cesarean delivery on transmission rates of herpes simplex virus from mother to infant. JAMA 2003;289:203–9. [PubMed: 12517231]
- Flagg EW, Weinstock H. Incidence of neonatal herpes simplex virus infections in the United States, 2006. Pediatrics 2011;127:e1–8. [PubMed: 21149432]
- Looker KJ, Magaret AS, May MT, et al. First estimates of the global and regional incidence of neonatal herpes infection. Lancet Glob Health 2017;5:e300–e9. [PubMed: 28153513]
- James SH, Kimberlin DW. Neonatal herpes simplex virus infection: epidemiology and treatment. Clin Perinatol 2015;42:47–59. [PubMed: 25677996]
- 16. Gupta R, Warren T, Wald A. Genital herpes. Lancet 2007;370:2127-37. [PubMed: 18156035]
- 17. Whitley RJ, Kimberlin DW. Herpes simplex encephalitis: children and adolescents. Semin Pediatr Infect Dis 2005;16:17–23. [PubMed: 15685145]
- Engelberg R, Carrell D, Krantz E, Corey L, Wald A. Natural history of genital herpes simplex virus type 1 infection. Sex Transm Dis 2003;30:174–7. [PubMed: 12567178]

- Langenberg AG, Corey L, Ashley RL, Leong WP, Straus SE. A prospective study of new infections with herpes simplex virus type 1 and type 2. Chiron HSV Vaccine Study Group. N Engl J Med 1999;341:1432–8. [PubMed: 10547406]
- 20. Corey L, Ashley R. Prevention of herpes simplex virus type 2 transmission with antiviral therapy. Herpes 2004;11 Suppl 3:170A–4A.
- 21. Wald A, Langenberg AG, Krantz E, et al. The relationship between condom use and herpes simplex virus acquisition. Ann Intern Med 2005;143:707–13. [PubMed: 16287791]
- Johnston C, Gottlieb SL, Wald A. Status of vaccine research and development of vaccines for herpes simplex virus. Vaccine 2016;34:2948–52. [PubMed: 26973067]
- Corey L, Langenberg AG, Ashley R, et al. Recombinant glycoprotein vaccine for the prevention of genital HSV-2 infection: two randomized controlled trials. Chiron HSV Vaccine Study Group. JAMA 1999;282:331–40. [PubMed: 10432030]
- 24. Stanberry LR, Spruance SL, Cunningham AL, et al. Glycoprotein-D-adjuvant vaccine to prevent genital herpes. The New England journal of medicine 2002;347:1652–61. [PubMed: 12444179]
- 25. Press release: Genital herpes immunotherapy GEN-003 shows sustained reduction of viral shedding rate, durable impact on clinical disease 12 months post-dosing. 2016. at http://ir.genocea.com/releasedetail.cfm?releaseid=962865)
- Celum CL. The interaction between herpes simplex virus and human immunodeficiency virus. Herpes 2004;11 Suppl 1:36A–45A.
- Global Burden of Disease Study C. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 301 acute and chronic diseases and injuries in 188 countries, 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet 2015;386:743–800. [PubMed: 26063472]
- Looker KJ, Magaret AS, May MT, et al. Global and regional estimates of prevalent and incident herpes simplex virus type 1 infections in 2012. PLoS One 2015;10:e0140765. [PubMed: 26510007]
- Abu-Raddad LJ, Magaret AS, Celum C, et al. Genital herpes has played a more important role than any other sexually transmitted infection in driving HIV prevalence in Africa. PLoS One 2008;3:e2230. [PubMed: 18493617]
- 30. Freeman EE, Orroth KK, White RG, et al. Proportion of new HIV infections attributable to herpes simplex 2 increases over time: simulations of the changing role of sexually transmitted infections in sub-Saharan African HIV epidemics. Sex TransmInfect 2007;83 Suppl 1:i17–i24.
- Masese L, Baeten JM, Richardson BA, et al. Changes in the contribution of genital tract infections to HIV acquisition among Kenyan high-risk women from 1993 to 2012. AIDS 2015;29:1077–85. [PubMed: 26125141]
- Fisman DN. Health related quality of life in genital herpes: a pilot comparison of measures. Sexually transmitted infections 2005;81:267–70. [PubMed: 15923300]
- Little SE, Caughey AB. Acyclovir prophylaxis for pregnant women with a known history of herpes simplex virus: a cost-effectiveness analysis. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2005;193:1274–9. [PubMed: 16157151]
- 34. Mennemeyer ST, Cyr LP, Whitley RJ. Antiviral therapy for neonatal herpes simplex virus: a cost-effectiveness analysis. Am J Manag Care 1997;3:1551–8. [PubMed: 10178461]
- Tuite AR, McCabe CJ, Ku J, Fisman DN. Projected cost-savings with herpes simplex virus screening in pregnancy: towards a new screening paradigm. Sex Transm Infect 2011;87:141–8. [PubMed: 21097810]
- Owusu-Edusei K Jr., Chesson HW, Gift TL, et al. The estimated direct medical cost of selected sexually transmitted infections in the United States, 2008. Sex TransmDis 2013;40:197–201.
- Szucs TD, Berger K, Fisman DN, Harbarth S. The estimated economic burden of genital herpes in the United States. An analysis using two costing approaches. BMC infectious diseases 2001;1:5. [PubMed: 11472635]
- Fisman DN, Lipsitch M, Hook EW 3rd, Goldie SJ. Projection of the future dimensions and costs of the genital herpes simplex type 2 epidemic in the United States. Sex Transm Dis 2002;29:608–22. [PubMed: 12370529]

- Owusu-Edusei K Jr., Flagg EW, Gift TL. Hospitalization cost per case of neonatal herpes simplex virus infection from claims data. J Pediatr Nurs 2015;30:346–52. [PubMed: 25193688]
- Baker D, Brown Z, Hollier LM, et al. Cost-effectiveness of herpes simplex virus type 2 serologic testing and antiviral therapy in pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2004;191:2074–84. [PubMed: 15592294]
- 41. Nakagawa F, Miners A, Smith CJ, et al. Projected lifetime healthcare costs associated with HIV infection. PloS one 2015;10:e0125018. [PubMed: 25901355]
- 42. Garnett GP. The theoretical impact and cost-effectiveness of vaccines that protect against sexually transmitted infections and disease. Vaccine 2014;32:1536–42. [PubMed: 24606635]
- 43. Institute of Medicine. Vaccines for the 21st Century: A Tool for Decisionmaking. 2000.
- Alsallaq RA, Schiffer JT, Longini IM Jr., Wald A, Corey L, Abu-Raddad LJ. Population level impact of an imperfect prophylactic vaccine for herpes simplex virus-2. Sex Transm Dis 2010;37:290–7. [PubMed: 20351622]
- Freeman EE, White RG, Bakker R, et al. Population-level effect of potential HSV2 prophylactic vaccines on HIV incidence in sub-Saharan Africa. Vaccine 2009;27:940–6. [PubMed: 19071187]
- 46. Garnett GP, Dubin G, Slaoui M, Darcis T. The potential epidemiological impact of a genital herpes vaccine for women. Sex Transm Infect 2004;80:24–9. [PubMed: 14755031]
- 47. Lou Y, Qesmi R, Wang Q, Steben M, Wu J, Heffernan JM. Epidemiological impact of a genital herpes type 2 vaccine for young females. PloS one 2012;7:e46027. [PubMed: 23071536]
- Newton EA, Kuder JM. A model of the transmission and control of genital herpes. Sexually transmitted diseases 2000;27:363–70. [PubMed: 10949427]
- 49. Podder CNG AB Qualitative dynamics of a vaccination model for HSV-2. IMA J Appl Math 2010;75:75–107.
- Schwartz EJ, Blower S. Predicting the potential individual- and population-level effects of imperfect herpes simplex virus type 2 vaccines. J Infect Dis 2005;191:1734–46. [PubMed: 15838802]
- Schwartz EJ, Bodine EN, Blower S. Effectiveness and efficiency of imperfect therapeutic HSV-2 vaccines. Human Vaccines 2007;3:231–8. [PubMed: 17881889]
- 52. Spicknall I, Looker KJ, Boily M-C, Chesson HW, Gift TL, Schiffer JT, Gottlieb SL. Review of mathematical models of herpes simplex virus vaccination. Manuscript in preparation, 2017.
- 53. Schiffer JT, Mayer BT, Fong Y, Swan DA, Wald A. Herpes simplex virus-2 transmission probability estimates based on quantity of viral shedding. Journal of the Royal Society, Interface / the Royal Society 2014;11:20140160.
- 54. Leelahavarong P, Teerawattananon Y, Werayingyong P, et al. Is a HIV vaccine a viable option and at what price? An economic evaluation of adding HIV vaccination into existing prevention programs in Thailand. BMC Public Health 2011;11:534. [PubMed: 21729309]
- 55. Tuffaha HW, Gordon LG, Scuffham PA. Value of information analysis informing adoption and research decisions in a portfolio of health care interventions. MDM Policy and Practice 2016;1:1– 11.

Author Manuscript



#### Figure 1. Elements of the comprehensive business case.

Elements which are informed by modelling and discussed in this paper are outlined in red. The characteristics as defined within the TPP may be the same as those within the PPCs if the vaccine is predominantly targeted to low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). However, TPPs typically also consider high-income country (HIC) markets, so characteristics defined within a TPP may differ from those within WHO PPCs.

Burden of	Summary models/est	of existing or planned timates	Major gap needed	s/new modelling efforts	Key new d	ata needs	Important	considerations
HSV-2 infection	•	Overall good global estimates: sparse data for some regions		Comparison of differences between WHO/GBD estimates to		Nationally-representative surveys ideal but not realistic		Clarify methods for using high-risk data to inform general population estimates
	•	Global and regional estimates of HSV-2 infection for 2012 (WHO)	•	uncerscand uncertying methods/assumptions Incorporate HSV-2 data from high-risk groups	•	Explore existing but unpublished HSV-2 serologic data from HIV prevention trials, other	•	Current estimates generally sufficient to inform business case
	•	Global Burden of Disease (GBD) Study 2015 estimates		to strengthen WHO estimates, as in GBD study		studies		
			•	Update models as new/ better data become available				
HSV-1 infection	•	First global and regional HSV-1 estimates (for 2012) published in 2015, for HSV-1	•	Update models as new/ better data become available	•	New serological data to inform estimates, especially in certain LIMCs would be valuable	•	Current estimates generally sufficient to inform business case
	•	intection overall and HSV-1 genital infection Data sparse, and more limited overall than for			•	Better data on the proportion of new HSV-1 infections that are genital, including outside the US		
		HSV-2			•	Better understanding of local immunity and interaction between HSV types with genital HSV-1		
Genital ulcer disease	•	No identified comprehensive modelled estimates	•	Model of global and regional HSV-related GUD needed	•	Analysis of DHS surveys: 75 countries doing GUD surveillance	•	Need to incorporate increases in GUD with HIV infection for high HIV-prevalence
	•	Rough estimate obtained by applying simple proportion ever	•	Incorporate both HSV-2 and HSV-1 and natural history	•	Systematic review of etiologies of GUD in different settings	•	areas Disease estimates built upon infection estimates
		symptomatic to global HSV-2 numbers	•	Triangulate from 2 scenarios: working forward from global	•	New studies of GUD etiology and proportion seeking care for GUD		add uncertainty on top of uncertainty
				HSV infection estimates, and backward from surveillance of GUD and	•	Better data on natural history of genital HSV-1		
				proportion HSV-related in different settings	•	Better data on proportion of genital HSV with symptoms in different		

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Burden of	Summary models/esti	of existing or planned imates	Major gap needed	s/new modelling efforts	Key new da	ıta needs	Important	considerations
						settings and summary review		
Neonatal herpes		First global and regional neonatal herpes estimates published in 2017 GBD study does not currently include neonatal herpes as HSV outcome		Update models as new/ better data become available		Better primary data on neonatal herpes incidence, especially in LMICs Explore surveillance/ research networks evaluating neonatal deaths, infections and other outcomes to include HSV testing Better data on proportion of pregnant women shedding HSV during labor and transmission risks in different settings Better data on proportion of pregnant women acquiring new HSV infections in late pregnancy		Current estimates a good starting point to inform business case, but better data needed Better neonatal herpes numbers critical factor in determining DALYs for HSV Disease estimates built upon infection estimates add uncertainty on top of uncertainty
HSV-related HIV infection		Several modelling studies have evaluated population attributable fraction (PAF) of HSV-2 to HIV; good start Published studies limited to particular regions/settings GBD study does not currently include HSV as risk factor for HIV		More comprehensive, updated models of new HIV infections attributable to HSV-2 infections Global and regional geographical areas with different epidemiologic scenarios Work toward including HSV as risk factor for HIV in GBD study		Updated review and meta- analysis of the association between HSV and HIV infection Better understanding of the risk of HIV associated with genital HSV-1 infection		Epidemiologic scenarios: at least high HSV/high HIV prevalence, high HSV/low HIV prevalence, low HSV/low HIV prevalence Consider early vs mature HIV epidemics and where there are existing data Add in alternative interventions (ARVs, PrEP, etc) to models Published models may not yet be sufficient for business case
HSV-1 related oral ulcer disease		No identified comprehensive model- based estimates		Estimates of global and regional HSV-related oral ulcerative disease needed, even if roughly apply proportions with symptomatic and recurrent disase		Systematic review of the occurrence of oral HSV disease Beview of the natural history of oral HSV-1, including the proportion of oral HSV infections that are ever symptomatic and		Consider dentistry/oral medicine literature and collaborators

recurrent

Burden of

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Other HSV. No identified S   related outcomes: no identified S   Encephalitis, keratitis, include range of HSV. S   mingoencephalitis, keratitis, include range of HSV. S   disseminated disease, based stimates that S   disseminated disease, Psychosocial impact, S   etc. Psychosocial impact, No identified cost-   Psychosocial linpact, No identified cost- S   quality of life years on relationships) hard to No   adjusted life years No identified cost- S   adjusted life years No identified cost- No   adjusted life years Several good tools exist Q   ALTNS) No quality of life No   adjusted life years Several estimates of M   assess for HSV Several statiates of M   assess for HSV Several Bood tools exist M	of Br	lummary c nodels/estin	of existing or planned mates	Major gap: needed	s/new modelling efforts	Key new di	ata needs	Important o	considerations
Other HSV. No identified Stated outcomes:   Encephalitis, keratitis, examprehensive model- Stated outcomes:   Encephalitis, keratitis, include range of HSV- Stated outcomes   disseminated disease, include range of HSV- Stated outcomes   Psychosocial impact, • Psychosocial impact Stated outcomes   Psychosocial impact, • Psychosocial impact Stated outcomes   adjusted life years • No identified cost- Stated outcomes Stated outcomes   adjusted life years • No identified cost- Stated outcomes Stated outcomes   adjusted life • No identified cost- Stated outcomes Stated outcomes   adjusted with • • Stated o							Evaluation of administrative or claims data on oral HSV-1 evaluations or treatment		
Psychosocial impact, quality of life, quality- adjusted life years • Psychosocial impact (including stigma, effect • N   adjusted life years • On relationships) hard to assess for HSV • In   (QALYs) • No identified cost- assess for HSV • O   • No identified cost- effectiveness studies using QALYs, but • U   • No identified cost- effectiveness studies • U   • Several estimate impact of impact of neonatal in HICs • U   • Several estimates of impact of neonatal in HICs • N   • YLDs/DALYs for HSV • M   • disability vTDs) and disability-adjusted life include neonatal herpes • M   • do not currently infection • Moreher idantified • G	vV- utcomes: ittis, keratitis, encephalitis, ated disease,	•	No identified comprehensive model- based estimates that include range of HSV- related outcomes	•	Summary of best estimates of the occurrence of each of the more rare outcomes, especially encephalitis and keratitis		Literature reviews focusing on the individual HSV- related outcomes	•	Consider the importance of immunocompromised populations
Years lived with • YLDs/DALYs for HSV • W   disability (YLDs) and • YLDs/DALYs for HSV • W   disability-adjusted life included in GBD 2015; H H   disability-adjusted life however, YLD estimates in   years (DALYs) include neonatal herpes c   and HSV-related HIV G inflection   . No other idmitified .	cial impact, f life, quality- life years		Psychosocial impact (including stigma, effect on relationships) hard to assess for HSV No identified cost- effectiveness studies using QALYs, but several good tools exist to estimate impact of HSV on quality of life Several estimates of impact of neonatal herpes on quality of life in HICs	• •	Need estimates of quality of life impact of HSV in adults, including in LMICs, e.g., lifetime QALY loss per case of adult HSV Updated estimates of quality of life impact of neonatal herpes, including LMICs where possible		Expand on pilot studies showing that commonly used quality of life metrics yield results consistent with the Recurrent Genital Herpes Quality of Life (RGHQoL) scale (RGHQoL) scale Clarify and get consensus on definitions to derive QALY estimates using existing tools Get quality of life data using RGHQOL and other tools in LMICs		Most tools focus on symptoms and daily functioning: more difficult to capture effects of stigma, effect on current and future relationships, etc.
DALYS as outcomes	ed with (YLDS) and -adjusted life ALYs)	· ·	YLDs/DALYs for HSV included in GBD 2015; however, YLD estimates do not currently include neonatal herpes and HSV-related HIV infection No other identified models include YLDs/ DALYs as outcomes	•	Work toward adding HSV-related HIV infection and neonatal herpes in YLD/DALY calculations for HSV in GBD		Add genital herpes to GBD Disability Weights Survey Cross-sectional surveys of those with inital/recurrent infection with SF-12 or WHODAS-2 another option to quantify health loss Clarify methods to determine DALYs across groups	•	Better neonatal herpes numbers critical factor in determining DALYs for HSV

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Costs	Summary estimates	of existing or planned models/	Major gap. needed	s/new modelling efforts	Key new da	ata needs	Important	considerations
HSV-2 infection	.	A few national estimates of direct annual costs of diagnosing and treating HSV-2 exist for US	.	Estimates of costs, both aggregate annual and lifetime cost-per-case, outside the ITS_expectially		Review of HSV care and treatment costs, especially in LMICs		Use of multiple data sources, including administrative or claims data, where possible
	•••	Lifetime cost-per-case estimate in US (for diagnosis/treatment) One estimate of indirect costs	•	LMICs Cost estimates that incorporate neonatal herpes	•	Review of care-seeking, health care utilization, testing practices in different settings for HSV disease, especially in	• •	Explore validated healthcare costs in LMICs for similar conditions
	•	Main estimates do not include costs of neonatal herpes nor HSV-associated HIV and use older cost data (2000 or before)	•	and HSV-associated HIV infection Updated cost estimates, using current data on HSV	•	LMICs Utilization rates of episodic and chronic suppressive therapy for HSV in HICs and	• •	Constoer time frame, nonzon of analysis For LMICs, consider costs that would be incurred if
	•	No aggregate annual cost nor lifetime cost-per-case estimates found for other countries		testing, antiviral use, other measures		LMICs		national recommendations followed; treatment may not be used because too expensive, but vaccine may
	•	Many itemized cost components available in HICs, e.g., for HSV testing, counseling, suppressive therapy, clinician visits						have relatively lower costs to provide standard of care
HSV-1 infection	•	No identified comprehensive cost estimates	•	Estimates of costs, aggregate annual and lifetime cost-per-case, in	•	Better information on HSV-1 disease occurrence and natural history (see Table 1 above)	•	Consider dentistry/oral medicine literature and collaborators
			•	both HICS and LMICS Incorporation of current data on utilization of care	•	Evaluation of administrative or claims data on oral HSV-1 evaluations and treatment		
				and treatment for HSV-1- related outcomes	•	Review oral HSV treatment and administration costs (if different than genital HSV costs above, e.g., topical treatment or over the counter medications)		
Neonatal herpes	•	Estimates of lifetime cost per case of neonatal herpes available for US, but not other countries	•	Aggregate annual and lifetime HSV cost-per-case estimates that include neonatal herpes costs	•	Better data on risks of neonatal HSV transmission and neonatal herpes incidence in LMICs (see Table 1)	•	Consider costs of neonatal herpes prevention, including serological testing, suppressive therapy, and
		Estimates vary substantiarly based on whether long-term disability costs included Neonatal herpes costs not	•	Lifetime cost-per-case estimates for neonatal herpes outside the US, especially LMICs	•	Neonatal HSV testing, treatment methods and rates, and other healthcare costs in different settings	•	Caesarean sections Use of multiple data sources, including administrative or claims data, where possible
		systematically included in US aggregate annual cost estimates	•	Update neonatal herpes cost estimates using		)		

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Costs	Summary ( estimates	of existing or planned models/	Major gaps/new modellin <sub>t</sub> needed	g efforts	Key new da	ta needs	Important co	onsiderations
	•	Several studies of cost- effectiveness of HSV screening and suppressive therapy in pregnant women	current data ( clinical pract term disabilit indirect costs	on costs, ice, and long- y, as well as	•	Better data on long-term outcomes and associated disability costs in all settings		
	•	Many itemized cost components available in HICs, including acute neonatal herpes hospitalization costs						
HSV- related HIV infection	•	No specific models of costs pertaining to HSV-related HIV infection, but several models of estimated HIV costs	Aggregate an lifetime HSV estimates that HSV-related 1	nnual and ' cost-per-case t include HIV infection	•	Updated models of the attributable fraction of HIV due to HSV infection (see Table 1)	•	Consider effect of HSV- HIV co-infection on the natural histories of each, and associated costs (e.g.,
			costs		•	Review of existing cost estimates for HIV infection		worsening genital ulcer disease, clinical progression of HIV etc.)