

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Calcimimetics for secondary hyperparathyroidism in chronic kidney disease patients (Review)

Ballinger AE, Palmer SC, Nistor I, Craig JC, Strippoli GFM

Ballinger AE, Palmer SC, Nistor I, Craig JC, Strippoli GFM. Calcimimetics for secondary hyperparathyroidism in chronic kidney disease patients. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014, Issue 12. Art. No.: CD006254. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006254.pub2.

www.cochranelibrary.com



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	1
PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY	2
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	3
BACKGROUND	5
OBJECTIVES	5
METHODS	5
RESULTS	7
Figure 1	8
Figure 2	10
Figure 3	11
DISCUSSION	13
AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS	14
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	15
REFERENCES	16
CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES	24
DATA AND ANALYSES	50
Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 1 All-cause mortality.	52
Analysis 1.2. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 2 Cardiovascular mortality	53
Analysis 1.3. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 3 Parathyroidectomy.	53
Analysis 1.4. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 4 Fractures	54
Analysis 1.5. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 5 Hypocalcaemia.	54
Analysis 1.6. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 6 Hypercalcaemia.	55
Analysis 1.7. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 7 Nausea.	55
Analysis 1.8. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 8 Vomiting.	56
Analysis 1.9. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 9 Diarrhoea	56
Analysis 1.10. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 10 Abdominal pain	57
Analysis 1.11. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 11 Upper respiratory tract infection	57
Analysis 1.12. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 12 Asthenia, muscle weakness or g paraesthesia.	58
Analysis 1.13. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 13 Dyspnoea.	58
Analysis 1.14. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 14 Headache.	59
Analysis 1.15. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 15 Achievement of PTH target	59
Analysis 1.16. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 16 PTH.	59
Analysis 1.17. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 17 Serum calcium.	60
Analysis 1.18. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 18 Serum phosphorous.	60
Analysis 1.19. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 19 Calcium x phosphorous	61
ADDITIONAL TABLES	61
APPENDICES	63
WHAT'S NEW	66
HISTORY	66
CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS	66
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	67
SOURCES OF SUPPORT	67
DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW	67
NOTES	67
INDEX TERMS	67

[Intervention Review]

Calcimimetics for secondary hyperparathyroidism in chronic kidney disease patients

Angela E Ballinger¹, Suetonia C Palmer¹, Ionut Nistor^{2,3}, Jonathan C Craig^{4,5}, Giovanni FM Strippoli^{4,5,6,7,8,9}

¹Department of Medicine, University of Otago Christchurch, Christchurch, New Zealand. ²Nephrology Department, "Gr. T. Popa" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Iasi, Romania. ³European Renal Best Practice Methods Support Team, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium. ⁴Sydney School of Public Health, The University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia. ⁵Cochrane Renal Group, Centre for Kidney Research, The Children's Hospital at Westmead, Westmead, Australia. ⁶Department of Emergency and Organ Transplantation, University of Bari, Bari, Italy. ⁷Department of Clinical Pharmacology and Epidemiology, Mario Negri Sud Consortium, Santa Maria Imbaro, Italy. ⁸Medical-Scientific Office, Diaverum, Lund, Sweden. ⁹Division of Nephrology and Transplantation, Department of Translational Medicine, Amedeo Avogadro University of Eastern Piedmont, Novara, Italy

Contact: Giovanni FM Strippoli, Cochrane Renal Group, Centre for Kidney Research, The Children's Hospital at Westmead, Westmead, NSW, 2145, Australia. strippoli@negrisud.it, gfmstrippoli@gmail.com.

Editorial group: Cochrane Kidney and Transplant Group. **Publication status and date:** New search for studies and content updated (conclusions changed), published in Issue 12, 2014.

Citation: Ballinger AE, Palmer SC, Nistor I, Craig JC, Strippoli GFM. Calcimimetics for secondary hyperparathyroidism in chronic kidney disease patients. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014, Issue 12. Art. No.: CD006254. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006254.pub2.

Copyright © 2014 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

ABSTRACT

Background

Calcimimetic agents lower abnormal serum parathyroid hormone (PTH) levels in people who have chronic kidney disease (CKD), but the benefits and harms on patient-level outcomes are uncertain. Since this review was first published in 2006 showing that evidence for calcimimetics was largely restricted to biochemical outcomes, additional studies have been conducted. This is an update of a review first published in 2006.

Objectives

To evaluate the benefits and harms of cinacalcet on patient-level outcomes in adults with CKD.

Search methods

MEDLINE, EMBASE, CENTRAL and conference proceedings were searched for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) evaluating any calcimimetic against placebo or another agent in adults with CKD (persistent albuminuria > 30 mg/g with or without reduced glomerular filtration rate (GFR) (below 60 mL/min/1.73 m²)). We updated searches to 7 February 2013 including the Cochrane Renal Group's Specialised Register to complete this update.

Selection criteria

We included all RCTs of a calcimimetic administered to patients with CKD for the treatment of elevated serum PTH levels.

Data collection and analysis

Data were extracted on all relevant patient-centred and surrogate outcomes. We summarised treatment estimates using random effects and expressed treatment effects as a risk ratio (RR) or mean difference (MD) with 95% confidence intervals (CI).



Main results

Eighteen studies (7446 participants) compared cinacalcet in addition to standard therapy with no treatment or placebo plus standard therapy. In adults with GFR category G5 (GFR below 15 mL/min/1.73 m²) treated with dialysis, routine cinacalcet treatment had little or no effect on all-cause mortality (RR 0.97, 95% CI 0.89 to 1.05), imprecise effects on cardiovascular mortality (RR 0.67, 95% CI 0.16 to 2.87), and prevented surgical parathyroidectomy (RR 0.49, 95% CI 0.40 to 0.59) and hypercalcaemia (RR 0.23, 95% CI 0.05 to 0.97), but increased hypocalcaemia (RR 6.98, 95% CI 5.10 to 9.53), nausea (RR 2.02, 95% CI 1.45 to 2.81) and vomiting (RR 1.97, 95% CI 9.5% CI 0.73 to 2.24). Cinacalcet decreased serum PTH (MD -281.39 pg/mL, 95% CI -325.84 to -234.94) and calcium (MD -0.87 mg/dL, 95% CI -0.96 to -0.77) levels, but had little or no effect on serum phosphorous levels (MD -0.23 mg/dL, 95% CI -0.58 to 0.12).

Data were sparse for adults with GFR categories G3a to G4 (GFR 15 to 60 mL/min/1.73 m²) and kidney transplant recipients.

Overall, based on GRADE criteria, evidence for cinacalcet in adults with GFR category G5 treated with dialysis (mortality, parathyroidectomy, hypocalcaemia, and nausea) is of high or moderate quality. High quality evidence suggests "further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of treatment effect" and moderate quality evidence is "further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate". Information for adults with less severe CKD GFR category G3a to G4 is of low or very low quality. This means that further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and so that further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and so that further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and so that further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate

Authors' conclusions

Routine cinacalcet therapy reduced the need for parathyroidectomy in adults treated with dialysis and elevated PTH levels but does not improve all-cause or cardiovascular mortality. Cinacalcet increases risks of nausea, vomiting and hypocalcaemia, suggesting harms may outweigh benefits in this population.

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Calcimimetics for secondary hyperparathyroidism in chronic kidney disease patients

Abnormal calcium and phosphorous levels in the blood and tissues occur in chronic kidney disease. These changes are linked to shorter survival and hardening of the arteries leading to heart disease. Standard therapy for abnormal calcium and other mineral levels includes dietary restrictions, phosphorous binders and vitamin D compounds. A newer treatment called cinacalcet showed promise for improving abnormal mineral levels but the effects of this drug on patient outcomes (the way patients feel function and survive) were unclear from early studies. We have updated an earlier review dated 2006 to include studies that assessed the effects of cinacalcet in about 7500 people with chronic kidney disease. While cinacalcet improves some blood abnormalities, it does not improve risk of death or heart disease in people treated with dialysis. In addition, people who take cinacalcet may experience increased nausea, vomiting and the need for blood tests to check blood calcium levels. The current research is high-quality and means that additional new studies are unlikely to change our confidence in these results. Information for the use of cinacalcet in people with milder forms of kidney disease and those with a kidney transplant is insufficient to guide decision making.

Calcimimetics for secondary hyperparathyroidism in chronic kidney disease patients (Review) Copyright © 2014 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Summary of findings for the main comparison. Summary of findings for dialysis patients

Cinacalcet plus standard therapy versus placebo or standard therapy or both for patients with CKD and elevated PTH levels

Patient or population: adults with CKD					
Outcomes (median treatment duration)	*Best estimate of control group risk	Relative effect (95% Cl)	No of participants (studies)	Absolute effect per one year of treat- ment for 1000 treated (95%CI)	Quality of the evi- dence (GRADE)
GFR category G5 treated with d	ialysis				
All-cause mortality	200 per 1000	RR 0.97 (0.89 to 95)	6893 (14)	6 fewer (22 fewer to 10 more)	⊕⊕⊕⊕
(8 months)					nign
Parathyroidectomy	7 per 1000	RR 0.49 (0.40 to 0.59)	4893 (5)	3 fewer (4 fewer to 3 fewer)	⊕⊕⊕⊕
(9 months)					nign
Hypocalcaemia	10 per 1000	RR 6.98 (5.10 to 9.53)	6415 (12)	60 more (41 more to 85 more)	⊕⊕⊕⊕
(7 months)					nıgn
Nausea	150 per 1000	RR 2.02 (1.45 to 2.81)	6450 (12)	153 more (68 more to 272 more)	⊕⊕⊕ modorato
(7 months)					mouerate
GFR category G3a-G4					
All-cause mortality	25 per 1000	RR 0.29 (0.06 to 1.48)	458 (2)	18 fewer (23 fewer to 12 more)	⊕⊕ I
(8 months)					low
Parathyroidectomy	7 per 1000	RR not estimable	0 (0)	Not estimable	nil
(9 months)					
Hypocalcaemia	10 per 1000	RR 31.9 (5.28 to 192.6)	449 (2)	310 more (43 more to 1910 more)	
(7 months)					very low
Nausea	100 per 1000	RR 2.26 (1.29 to 3.95)	449 (2)	126 more (29 more to 295 more)	⊕⊕

*The basis for the **assumed risk** (e.g. the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The **corresponding risk** (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the **relative effect** of the intervention (and its 95% CI). **CI:** Confidence interval; **RR:** Risk Ratio;

Approximate absolute event rates of outcomes per year are derived from previously published cohort studies and registry data for the outcomes of all-cause mortality (Weiner 2006) and parathyroidectomy (Kestenbaum 2004) or event rates in the control arm of contributing studies for outcomes of hypocalcaemia and nausea. Absolute numbers of people who had chronic kidney disease with mortality or parathyroidectomy events avoided or nausea or hypocalcaemia events caused per 1000 treated were calculated from the risk estimate for the outcome (and associated 95% confidence interval) obtained from meta-analysis of placebo-controlled studies together with the absolute population risk estimates.

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate. **Low quality:** Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. **Very low quality:** We are very uncertain about the estimate.

CKD - chronic kidney disease; GFR - glomerular filtration rate; PTH- parathyroid hormone

4



BACKGROUND

Description of the condition

Abnormal calcium and phosphorous metabolism occurs with kidney failure and is associated with bone and vascular disease. In addition to causing reduced quality of life, these complications of chronic kidney disease (CKD) are associated with increased mortality and major cardiovascular events (Block 2004b; Ganesh 2001; Malluche 2004b; Marco 2003; Martin 2004; Stehman-Breen 2004). Standard management of patients with CKD, particularly those with glomerular filtration rate (GFR) category G5 (KDIGO CKD 2013) includes treatment to control serum levels of calcium, phosphorous and parathyroid hormone (PTH) to prevent bone and soft-tissue complications. Based on a number of association studies (Block 2004b; Ganesh 2001; Kestenbaum 2005; Marco 2003; Stevens 2004), including studies of bone histomorphometry (Hutchison 1993; Qi 1995; Wang 1995; Ziolkowska 2000), optimal ranges for serum phosphorous, calcium, the calcium by phosphorous product and PTH have been suggested (KHA-CARI 2014; NKF 2003).

How the intervention might work

Specific management of elevated serum PTH levels in people with GFR categories G3a to G5 (estimated GFR below 60 mL/ min/1.73 m²) may be accomplished by restriction of dietary phosphorous, calcium supplementation, or the use of vitamin D compounds or both (Albaaj 2003; Courant 1993). A novel class of drugs called calcimimetic agents have been developed to reduce PTH secretion and parathyroid cell proliferation, while decreasing levels of serum calcium, phosphorous and the calcium by phosphorous product (Mentaverri 2006; Mizobuchi 2007). Cinacalcet, a calcimimetic agent, was first approved in the United States in 2004 to lower elevated serum PTH levels in dialysis patients (FDA 2004). Cinacalcet mimics the action of calcium on calcium-sensing receptors in the parathyroid gland to suppress PTH secretion. In an earlier version of this review that included eight studies (1,429 participants) published in late 2005 or earlier, cinacalcet markedly reduced serum levels of PTH (290 pg/mL), calcium (-0.85 mg/dL) and phosphorous (-0.29 mg/dL), that have all been shown to be associated with poorer outcomes in adults with CKD (Block 2004b)

Why it is important to do this review

However, while cinacalcet reduces biochemical parameters (serum PTH, calcium, and phosphorous), our earlier meta-analysis found insufficient evidence for benefit on clinical outcomes. Despite this lack of evidence for patient-level outcomes, cinacalcet has become the largest single drug cost for dialysis patients in the United States with annual prescribing costs of at least USD 260 million (USRDS 2012). A pooled analysis of four placebo-controlled randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of cinacalcet in 2005 showed a large reduction in cardiovascular-related hospitalisation which may have led to uncertainty for clinicians about the therapy benefits of cinacalcet treatment (Cunningham 2005a).

This systematic review now updates an earlier review that was performed at an early phase of calcimimetic usage, but one year after licensing by the FDA (FDA 2004). In the light of recent studies of cinacalcet, high prescribing costs in some regions and insufficient existing evidence for cinacalcet on patient-level outcomes in an earlier review, we have updated the evidence summary for the benefits and harms of cinacalcet therapy in people with CKD to early 2013.

OBJECTIVES

To evaluate the benefits and harms of cinacalcet on patient-level outcomes in adults with CKD.

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies

We included all RCTs of any calcimimetic agent (cinacalcet HCl (AMG-073, Sensipar[®]), NPS R-467 or NPS R-568) administered to patients with CKD and elevated serum PTH levels.

Types of participants

Patients with CKD of any severity and elevated serum parathyroid levels.

Types of interventions

Any calcimimetic agent (e.g. cinacalcet HCl (AMG-073, Sensipar®), NPS R-467 or NPS R-568).

Types of outcome measures

Primary outcomes

- All-cause mortality
- Cardiovascular mortality
- Parathyroidectomy
- Fractures
- Adverse events (hypocalcaemia, hypercalcaemia, nausea, vomiting, upper respiratory tract infection, dyspnoea, muscle weakness, headache, paraesthesia, abdominal pain, diarrhoea)

Secondary outcomes

- At least 30% decrease in serum PTH level
- Fractures
- Mixed uraemic osteodystrophy
- · Bone histomorphometry
- End of treatment PTH levels (any measure)
- End of treatment serum calcium concentrations (mg/dL)
- End of treatment serum phosphorous concentrations (mg/dL)
- End of treatment calcium x phosphorous product (mg²/dL²)

Search methods for identification of studies

For this review update we searched EMBASE and the Cochrane Renal Group's Specialised Register (to 7 February 2013) through contact with the Trials' Search Co-ordinator using search terms relevant to this review. The Cochrane Renal Group's Specialised Register contains studies identified from the following sources.

- 1. Monthly searches of the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL)
- 2. Weekly searches of MEDLINE OVID SP
- 3. Handsearching of renal-related journals & the proceedings of major renal conferences
- 4. Searching of the current year of EMBASE OVID SP



- 5. Weekly current awareness alerts for selected renal-journals
- 6. Searches of the International Clinical Trials Register (ICTRP) Search Portal & ClinicalTrials.gov.

Studies contained in the Specialised Register were identified through search strategies for CENTRAL, MEDLINE, and EMBASE based on the scope of the Cochrane Renal Group. Details of these strategies as well as a list of handsearched journals, conference proceedings and current awareness alerts are available in the 'Specialised Register' section of information about the Cochrane Renal Group.

See Appendix 1 for search terms used in strategies for this review.

Previous search strategies can be found in Strippoli 2006a.

Data collection and analysis

Selection of studies

The search strategy described was used to obtain titles and abstracts of studies that might have been relevant to the review. The titles and abstracts were screened independently by two or more authors, who discarded studies that were not applicable; however studies and reviews that might have included relevant data or information on studies were retained initially. Two authors independently assessed retrieved abstracts and, if necessary the full text, of these studies to determine which studies satisfied the inclusion criteria.

Data extraction and management

Data extraction for population characteristics, interventions, nonrandomised co-interventions, and risk of bias was carried out independently by two or more authors using standard data extraction forms in a purpose-built database. Each author doublechecked data extraction and data entry independently and any discrepancies between authors were resolved through discussion.

The following data were extracted.

- Population: category of CKD, mean age, proportion of men, baseline serum PTH level
- Intervention: drug name, dosing strategy, target serum PTH level used, randomised and non-randomised co-interventions
- Comparison: placebo or no treatment, randomised and nonrandomised co-interventions
- Outcomes: all-cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality, parathyroidectomy, fracture, and treatment related adverse events
- Study design: inclusion criteria; exclusion criteria, primary endpoint; duration of treatment, duration of follow-up, number of participants, date of publication, number of centres, source of funding; study registration (for studies published after 2005); publication (full text publication, abstract publication, unpublished data); period of collection of clinical outcomes (total duration of follow-up, specific phase(s) of follow-up)
- Risk of bias: sequence generation; allocation concealment; blinding of participants and investigators, blinding of outcome assessment, attrition, selective outcome reporting, other sources of bias (reporting only in conference proceedings, early termination of study, marked imbalance in baseline

characteristics, sponsor on authorship or involved with data handling and analysis)

Data were cross checked between authors and discussed. Studies reported in non-English language journals were translated before assessment. Where more than one publication of one study existed, reports were grouped together and the publication with the most complete data was used in the analyses. Where relevant outcomes were only published in earlier versions, these data were used. Any discrepancy between published versions was highlighted. Any disagreements in data extraction were discussed with a third author.

Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

The following items were independently assessed by two authors using the risk of bias assessment tool (Higgins 2011). The specific attributes of each risk of bias considered in the adjudication process are described in Appendix 2.

- Was there adequate sequence generation (selection bias)?
- Was allocation adequately concealed (selection bias)?
- Was knowledge of the allocated interventions adequately prevented during the study (detection bias)?
 - Participants and personnel
 - Outcome assessors
- Were incomplete outcome data adequately addressed (attrition bias)?
- Are reports of the study free of suggestion of selective outcome reporting (reporting bias)?
- Was the study apparently free of other problems that could put it at a risk of bias?

Measures of treatment effect

The estimate of effect of an experimental versus a control intervention on categorical outcomes (e.g. all-cause mortality, one or more fractures, parathyroidectomy, one or more episodes of nausea) was analysed using the risk ratio (RR) measure and its 95% confidence interval (CI) for each study. Where proportions of participants experiencing an event were provided in the study reports only (instead of raw event data), we estimated the number of participants experiencing one or more events by multiplying the proportion affected by the sample size and contacted the authors or sponsors for additional information.

For continuous variables (end of treatment serum PTH, calcium, phosphorous, calcium x phosphorous), the mean difference (MD), and its 95% CI were calculated using the end of treatment values of the variable in the treatment and control groups.

Assessment of heterogeneity

We assessed for heterogeneity in summary effect estimates using the Cochran Q test and the I² test (Higgins 2003). We considered a P value < 0.10 to indicate significant heterogeneity.

Data synthesis

When appropriate and feasible, treatment effects were summarised using random effects meta-analysis and results were expressed as a RR or MD and the 95% confidence interval.



We summarised the quality of the evidence together with absolute treatment effects based on estimated baseline risks by using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation guidelines (Guyatt 2008). To estimate the absolute number of people with CKD who avoided death or parathyroidectomy and incurred hypocalcaemia or nausea with calcimimetic therapy, the risk estimate and 95% CI were obtained from the corresponding meta-analyses, together with the absolute population risk for people with each category of CKD derived from cohort studies and registry data for all-cause mortality and parathyroidectomy (Kestenbaum 2004; USRDS 2012; Weiner 2006) and event rates in the control arm of meta-analyses for hypocalcaemia and nausea.

Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity

We analysed data for all-cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality, parathyroidectomy, hypocalcaemia, and nausea and vomiting within stratified analyses comprising adults with GFR category G5 treated with dialysis and GFR categories G33-G4 (using the KDIGO nomenclature - see Table 1 for more details) (KDIGO CKD 2013).

Sensitivity analysis

We conducted additional analyses excluding studies in which randomised co-interventions strategies (vitamin D compounds) were not comparable between treatment arms.

RESULTS

Description of studies

Results of the search

Initial search to November 2005

Our search for RCTs of calcimimetic interventions identified 186 records (see Figure 1 - Study flow diagram). Of these, 148 were excluded after title and abstract review because they were clearly ineligible (non-RCTs, RCTs of interventions not relevant to treatment of elevated PTH levels, not calcimimetic interventions, duplicate articles of the same study, or review articles). Of the remaining 38 potentially eligible studies (either full-text or abstract publications), 30 were excluded because we could not confirm from the full-text analysis or from contacting authors that they were RCTs, or that they were not a duplicate publication. Two attempts were made to contact all authors of the studies for clarifications of study designs and request supplemental data but we were not able to obtain some of the data nor to ascertain if some reports (presented in abstract form at the American Society of Nephrology (ASN) and the European Renal Association-European Dialysis and Transplantation Association (ERA-EDTA) meetings of years 2003 and 2004)) were subsets of other publications which had subsequently appeared as full-text articles in scientific journals or were unique unpublished studies. These studies could therefore not be included and were listed under Characteristics of studies awaiting classification. We have subsequently reviewed these studies and ascertained all but Coburn 2003 are clearly duplicate reports of primary studies and these have been moved to the relevant studies.



Figure 1. Study flow diagram.



Search update to February 2013

The updated search to February 7, 2013 is detailed in Figure 1.

We identified 78 records from the Cochrane Renal Group Specialised Register and 167 from EMBASE. After title and abstract review we excluded 134 reports (duplicate reports, not randomised, wrong population or intervention).

We screened 111 full-text records and excluded 66 reports as they were not RCTs, did not investigate relevant interventions, or did not include the relevant population. Two ongoing studies from the original review could now be excluded as they are not randomised studies (CONTROL Study 2006; TARGET Study 2008) and three studies are awaiting classification.

1. The URL describing the report is no longer linked to the article and the authors could not be contacted to obtain additional information (UPen 2004a) 2. Two studies state they are subgroup analyses of three studies; however we were unable to determine which three studies (Drueke 2001a; Fournier 2004a).

Included studies

Initial review including search to November 2005

In the initial review publication we included eight studies (in eight publications) enrolling 1429 patients (Block 2004b; Goodman 2000; Goodman 2002; Harris 2004; Lindberg 2003; Lindberg 2005; Malluche 2008 (included as Malluche 2004 in original review); Quarles 2003a). These studies compared cinacalcet HCl (845 patients) to placebo (584 patients). Three of these studies reported cinacalcet HCl as AMG-073. One study reported on the first-generation calcimimetic R-568. This drug has been withdrawn from clinical use because of poor bioavailability, variable serum concentrations and potential drug interactions caused by cytochrome P-450 activity (Goodman 2000; Urena 2003).



In addition to these randomised interventions, patients received vitamin D for suppression of PTH and phosphate binders for management of hyperphosphataemia as co-interventions in all studies in a non-randomised fashion. There were no significant differences in the proportions of patients who were prescribed calcitriol, vitamin D analogues and phosphate binders as cointerventions between the calcimimetic and placebo groups of the studies. Entry to some studies was restricted when patients had severely elevated PTH levels (e.g. iPTH > 800 pg/mL) while other studies stratified patients according to the severity of hyperparathyroidism. The mean age of patients enrolled in the studies ranged from 47 to 55 years. All patients had elevated PTH levels. On average, a higher proportion of males were enrolled in the studies (388 males compared to 220 females in the six studies that reported gender distribution). Follow-up of the studies ranged from three to 26 weeks. All studies were supported by Amgen Inc., Thousand Oaks, CA, which holds the cinacalcet HCl patent. Of note, the largest report published (Goodman 2002) was based on the pooled results of two separate studies.

Updated review including search to February 2013

We included 10 additional studies in this review update (ACHIEVE Study 2008; ADVANCE Study 2010; Akiba 2008; Charytan 2005; Chonchol 2009; El Shafey 2011; EVOLVE study 2007; Fukagawa 2008; IMPACT SHPT Study 2012; OPTIMA Study 2008). One study from the original review (known as Malluche 2004) was updated using data from a newer publication report (Malluche 2008). Overall, the updated review included 18 studies comprising 7446 adults with CKD comparing a calcimimetic plus conventional therapy with placebo or no treatment with conventional therapy. We could include 17 studies in 7424 participants in the metaanalyses. The characteristics of the included studies are described in Characteristics of included studies.

All included studies evaluated cinacalcet hydrochloride (referred to as R-568 or AMG-073 in the four earliest studies) (Goodman 2000; Goodman 2002; Quarles 2003a; Lindberg 2003). Cinacalcet in additional to conventional therapy (vitamin D compounds and phosphorous binding agents) was compared to placebo or conventional therapy or both in all studies. In three studies, the strategy for vitamin D therapy differed between treatment groups (ACHIEVE Study 2008; ADVANCE Study 2010; IMPACT SHPT Study 2012). The two earliest studies were short-term evaluations of cinacalcet therapy (eight days (Goodman 2002)

and 15 days (Goodman 2000)) in adults with GFR category G5 (treated with dialysis). Following these earliest studies of safety and biochemical efficacy, the first larger-scale study of cinacalcet therapy was reported in 2004 in 741 adults with GFR category G5 (treated with dialysis) and measured treatment efficacy based on PTH concentrations (Block 2004a). Between 2004 and 2012, 11 additional studies were reported (ACHIEVE Study 2008; ADVANCE Study 2010; Akiba 2008; Charytan 2005; Chonchol 2009; El Shafey 2011; Fukagawa 2008; IMPACT SHPT Study 2012; Lindberg 2005; Malluche 2008; OPTIMA Study 2008) although none was powered to evaluate treatment effects on mortality. In late 2012, the Evaluation of Cinacalcet Therapy to Lower Cardiovascular Events (EVOLVE study 2007) in 3883 participants with GFR category G5 treated with dialysis was the first study specifically designed to evaluate calcimimetic therapy on a primary composite outcome of all-cause mortality or non-fatal cardiovascular event.

Cinacalcet therapy was given in the included studies generally at increasing doses (usually 30 to 180 mg/d) targeted to serum PTH concentrations. In one study, the cinacalcet dose prescribed was unclear (IMPACT SHPT Study 2012). Overall, 16 studies comprised 6988 participants with GFR category G5 treatment with dialysis (ACHIEVE Study 2008; ADVANCE Study 2010; Akiba 2008; Block 2004a; El Shafey 2011; EVOLVE study 2007; Fukagawa 2008; Goodman 2000; Goodman 2002; Harris 2004; IMPACT SHPT Study 2012; Lindberg 2003; Lindberg 2005; Malluche 2008; OPTIMA Study 2008; Quarles 2003a) and two studies comprised 458 participants with GFR category G3a to G5 (Charytan 2005; Chonchol 2009). Of studies in dialysis, 15 enrolled haemodialysis patients and one enrolled patients treated with either haemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis. Follow-up duration was between eight days and 21.2 months (median: 6.5 months)

Excluded studies

We excluded studies as they were not evaluating a calcimimetic with or without standard therapy versus placebo or standard therapy or both, did not provide data for relevant outcomes, were not RCTs, or were not in the CKD population. The details of reasons for exclusions are provided in the table for Characteristics of excluded studies.

Risk of bias in included studies

Risk of bias in the included studies is summarised in Figure 2 (individual studies) and Figure 3 (overall summary).



Figure 2. Risk of bias summary: review authors' judgements about each risk of bias item for each included study.



Figure 3. Risk of bias graph: review authors' judgements about each risk of bias item presented as percentages across all included studies.



Allocation

Four studies reported adequate sequence generation (22%) (Akiba 2008; Fukagawa 2008; Lindberg 2005; Malluche 2008) and reporting on this item was unclear in the remainder. Allocation was adequately concealed in seven studies (39%) (Charytan 2005; Chonchol 2009; EVOLVE study 2007; IMPACT SHPT Study 2012; Lindberg 2005; Malluche 2008; Quarles 2003a) and unclear in the remainder.

Trusted evidence. Informed decisions.

Better health.

ibrarv

Blinding

Participants and investigators were adequately blinded to treatment assignment in 13 studies (72%) (Akiba 2008; Block 2004a; Charytan 2005; Chonchol 2009; EVOLVE study 2007; Fukagawa 2008; Goodman 2000; Goodman 2002; Harris 2004; Lindberg 2003; Lindberg 2005; Malluche 2008; Quarles 2003a), and not blinded in five studies (ACHIEVE Study 2008; ADVANCE Study 2010; El Shafey 2011; IMPACT SHPT Study 2012; OPTIMA Study 2008). Outcome assessment was blinded in two studies (11%) (Akiba 2008; EVOLVE study 2007), not blinded in one study (ACHIEVE Study 2008), and unclear in the remainder.

Incomplete outcome data

Six studies were at low risk of attrition bias (33%) (Chonchol 2009; El Shafey 2011; EVOLVE study 2007; Fukagawa 2008; Goodman 2002; Quarles 2003a) and the remainder were considered at high risk.

Selective reporting

Eleven studies reported all expected outcomes (61%) including mortality, hypocalcaemia, and two or more of nausea, vomiting or diarrhoea (ACHIEVE Study 2008; Akiba 2008; Block 2004a; Charytan 2005; Chonchol 2009; El Shafey 2011; EVOLVE study 2007; Fukagawa 2008; Goodman 2002; IMPACT SHPT Study 2012; OPTIMA Study 2008).

Other potential sources of bias

One study described uneven treatment comparisons at baseline (El Shafey 2011). The study sponsor was on the authorship and/

or involved in data collection, analysis and/or interpretation in 15 studies (ACHIEVE Study 2008; ADVANCE Study 2010; Block 2004a; Charytan 2005; Chonchol 2009; EVOLVE study 2007; Goodman 2000; Goodman 2002; Harris 2004; IMPACT SHPT Study 2012; Lindberg 2003; Lindberg 2005; Malluche 2008; OPTIMA Study 2008; Quarles 2003a). Of 10 studies reported since 2005, five (50%) reported evidence of study registration within a studies registry before publication (ADVANCE Study 2010; Chonchol 2009; EVOLVE study 2007; Fukagawa 2008; IMPACT SHPT Study 2012).

Effects of interventions

See: Summary of findings for the main comparison Summary of findings for dialysis patients

Clinical outcomes

All-cause and cardiovascular mortality

Compared to placebo or no treatment, cinacalcet had little or no effect on all-cause mortality (Analysis 1.1.1 (14 studies, 6893 participants): RR 0.97, 95% CI 0.89 to 1.05; $I^2 = 0\%$) in adults with GFR category G5 treated with dialysis and imprecise effects on allcause mortality in adults with GFR categories G3a to G4 (Analysis 1.1.2 (2 studies, 458 participants): RR 0.29, 95% CI 0.06 to 1.48; $I^2 =$ 0%). There was no heterogeneity in treatment effects across studies in either subgroup and no statistical difference in treatment effects in the different stages of CKD.

Cinacalcet had uncertain effects on cardiovascular mortality for participants with GFR category G5 treated with dialysis (Analysis 1.2.1 (7 studies, 4542 participants): RR 0.67, 95% CI 0.16 to 2.87; $I^2 = 37\%$) and GFR category G3a-G4 (Analysis 1.2.2 (2 studies, 458 participants): RR 0.29, 95% CI 0.06 to 1.48; $I^2 = 0\%$). There was no significant heterogeneity in treatment effects across studies in either subgroup and no statistical difference in treatment effects in the different stages of CKD.

Parathyroidectomy

Cinacalcet reduced the risk of parathyroidectomy (Analysis 1.3 (5 studies, 4893 participants): RR 0.49, 95% CI 0.40 to 0.59; $I^2 = 0\%$) without evidence of heterogeneity in treatment estimates.

Fractures

The risk of one of more fractures was reported in extractable format in two studies. Cinacalcet had uncertain effects on risk of one or more fractures (Analysis 1.4 (2 studies, 3965 participants): RR 0.52, 95% Cl 0.12 to 2.27) with significant heterogeneity in the treatment effect estimates of contributing studies (P = 0.05, I²; I² = 73%).

Hypocalcaemia and hypercalcaemia

Definitions of hypocalcaemia and hypercalcaemia in the included studies are provided in Table 2. The cut-off for the definition of hypocalcaemia generally ranged between 7.1 and 8.4 mg/dL and that of hypercalcaemia was 10.2 to 10.5 mg/dL. Cinacalcet increased hypocalcaemia in both adults with GFR category G5 treated with dialysis (Analysis 1.5.1 (12 studies, 6415 participants): RR 6.98, 95% CI 5.10 to 9.53; $I^2 = 0\%$) and those with GFR category G3 to G4 (Analysis 1.5.2 (2 studies, 449 participants): RR 31.90, 95% CI 5.28 to 192.60; $I^2 = 16\%$) without significant heterogeneity in treatment estimates between studies.

Cinacalcet reduced risks of one or more episodes of hypercalcaemia in adults with GFR category G5 treated with dialysis (Analysis 1.6 (4 studies, 4662 participants): RR 0.23, 95% CI 0.05 to 0.97) although there was significant heterogeneity in treatment estimates in the available studies (P = 0.005, $I^2 = 77\%$).

Nausea and vomiting

Cinacalcet increased nausea in participants with GFR category G5 treated with dialysis (Analysis 1.7.1 (12 studies, 6450 participants): RR 2.02, 95% CI 1.45 to 2.81; I² = 66%) and those with GFR category G3 to G4 (Analysis 1.7.2 (2 studies, 449 participants): RR 2.26, 95% CI 1.29 to 3.95; I² = 6%). There was no statistical evidence that treatment effects were different in the different categories of CKD but there was significant heterogeneity in treatment estimates in studies including dialysis patients (P < 0.0006; I² = 66%).

Cinacalcet also increased vomiting in participants with GFR category G5 treated with dialysis (Analysis 1.8.1 (9 studies, 6323 participants): RR 1.97, 95% CI 1.73 to 2.24; $I^2 = 3\%$) and those with GFR category G3 to G4 (Analysis 1.8.2 (1 study, 395 participants): RR 1.77, 95% CI 0.90 to 3.48). There was no statistical evidence that treatment effects were different in the different categories of CKD or significant heterogeneity in treatment estimates between studies.

Other adverse events

- Cinacalcet consistently increased diarrhoea in the available studies (Analysis 1.9 (8 studies, 5639 participants): RR 1.15, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.29; I² = 0%).
- Cinacalcet had uncertain effects on abdominal pain (Analysis 1.10 (4 studies, 831 participants): RR 1.62, 95% CI 0.55 to 4.82) with significant heterogeneity in the treatment effect estimates of contributing studies (P = 0.02, $I^2 = 70\%$)
- Cinacalcet had uncertain effects on the risk of upper respiratory tract infection (Analysis 1.11 (4 studies, 1856 participants): RR 0.95, 95% CI 0.39 to 2.33) with statistically significant

heterogeneity in estimated treatment effects between studies (P = 0.002, I² = 80%)

- Cinacalcet had uncertain effects on asthenia (Analysis 1.12.1 (2 studies, 790 participants): RR 1.54, 95% CI 0.26 to 8.98) with statistically significant heterogeneity in the estimated treatment effects in available studies (P = 0.04, $l^2 = 77\%$)
- Cinacalcet increased muscle weakness (Analysis 1.12.2 (4 studies, 589 participants): RR 1.78, 95% CI 1.00 to 3.14; $I^2 = 0\%$) without heterogeneity in treatment effects.
- Cinacalcet had uncertain effects on dyspnoea (Analysis 1.13 (2 studies, 250 participants): RR 1.02, 95% CI 0.49 to 2.12; $I^2 = 0\%$) without heterogeneity in treatment effects.
- Cinacalcet had uncertain effects on headache (Analysis 1.14 (3 studies, 1115 participants): RR 1.11, 95% CI 0.65 to 1.91; I² = 25%) without significant heterogeneity in treatment effects.

Biochemical parameters

Achieved serum PTH value target

Target serum PTH values are described in Table 2. Most PTH values targeted by cinacalcet therapy were a reduction of 30% or more from baseline or a reduction to below 250 pg/mL, 279 pg/mL or 300 pg/mL or a target value between 150 to 300 pg/mL. Cinacalcet increased the likelihood that serum PTH values were reduced to a target value (Analysis 1.15 (11 studies, 2853 participants): RR 3.06, 95% CI 1.89 to 4.98), although there was marked heterogeneity in the treatment estimates between studies (P < 0.00001, $I^2 = 92\%$).

End of treatment serum PTH

Cinacalcet lowered serum PTH levels (Analysis 1.16 (7 studies, 1935 participants): MD -280.39 pg/mL, 95% CI -326.84 to -235.94) with moderate heterogeneity in the analysis (P = 0.16, $I^2 = 34\%$).

End of treatment serum calcium

Cinacalcet lowered end of treatment serum calcium levels (Analysis 1.17 (7 study, 1556 participants): MD -0.87 mg/dL, 95% CI -0.96 to -0.77; $I^2 = 18\%$) without significant heterogeneity in the analysis.

End of treatment serum phosphorous

Cinacalcet had little or no effect on end of treatment serum phosphorous levels (Analysis 1.18 (8 studies, 2300 participants): MD -0.23 mg/dL, 95% CI -0.58 to 0.12) with marked heterogeneity in treatment effects between studies (P < 0.00001, I² = 88%).

End of treatment serum calcium by phosphorous product

Cinacalcet significantly lowered the serum calcium by phosphorous product (Analysis 1.19 (8 studies, 2395 participants): MD -5.25 mg²/dL², 95% CI -9.16 to -1.34) with marked heterogeneity in treatment effects between studies (P < 0.00001, I² = 91%).

Bone outcomes

Effects of calcimimetic therapy on bone structure and function was not consistently reported in available studies (Analysis 1.20; Analysis 1.21).

Sensitivity analyses

When we excluded the three studies in which randomised co-intervention strategies for vitamin D compounds were not comparable between treatment arms, we observed similar

Cochrane Library

Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.

treatment estimates in dialysis patients (all-cause mortality: RR, 0.97, 95% CI 0.89 to 1.05; cardiovascular mortality: RR 0.95, 95% CI 0.84 to 1.08; hypocalcaemia: RR 6.72, 95% CI 4.88 to 9.25; nausea: RR 1.89, 95% CI 1.38 to 2.60; vomiting: RR 1.98 95% CI 1.71 to 2.30), although risks of hypercalcaemia became less certain (RR 0.88, 95% CI 0.55 to 1.41).

Absolute treatment effects

Estimated absolute treatment effects of cinacalcet (taking into consideration baseline event rates) combined with an overall grading of evidence quality are summarised in the Summary of findings for the main comparison. Overall, treating 1000 patients with GFR category G5 (treated with dialysis) with cinacalcet might be expected to prevent three experiencing parathyroidectomy, and 60 and 150 more to experience hypocalcaemia and nausea respectively, without altering mortality. In adults with less severe kidney disease (GFR category G3a to G5), treating 1000 patients for one year would result in approximately 300 and 120 experiencing hypocalcaemia and nausea respectively, without evidence for benefits on mortality or parathyroidectomy.

DISCUSSION

Summary of main results

High- to moderate-quality evidence for cinacalcet treatment in adults with GFR category G5 treated with dialysis and elevated PTH levels is available in 16 RCTs (6,988 participants). Routine cinacalcet therapy in dialysis patients at doses between 30 and 180 mg/d decreases serum PTH levels (281 pg/mL) and infrequently reduces surgical parathyroidectomy, but has little or no effect on total mortality, uncertain effects on cardiovascular-related death, and is commonly associated with adverse events including nausea, vomiting, hypocalcaemia and diarrhoea. Evidence for adults with GFR category G3a to G4 is scant and generally low or very low quality. Because of lower absolute risks of parathyroidectomy in earlier stages of CKD, the benefits of cinacalcet identified by studies in dialysis populations are likely to be smaller if generalised to people who have less severe CKD. Data for adults with a functioning kidney transplant and those treated with peritoneal dialysis were largely absent. It should be noted that adverse treatment effects including nausea, vomiting and hypocalcaemia may have been transient and additional information about patient experiences of these outcomes would inform clinical decision-making.

Although it is possible that routine cinacalcet prescribing has a beneficial effect on all-cause mortality, consistent treatment effects across all available studies suggest that, at best, any benefit for mortality is likely to be small. Given that lag censoring analyses for outcomes (where data were censored six months after patients stopped using the study drug) were reported as prespecified secondary analyses in the EVOLVE study 2007 and suggested a potential benefit for cinacalcet on total mortality (hazard ratio 0.83, 95% CI 0.73 to 0.96), it might be argued that additional studies of cinacalcet are now needed or that cinacalcet lowers mortality. However, we suggest that these lag-censoring approaches were secondary analyses only, and that existing evidence for all-cause mortality is high-quality according to GRADE criteria (Guyatt 2008). This means that additional studies are unlikely to change the treatment estimates we observed or our confidence in these estimates.

By contrast, evidence for GFR categories G3a to G4 (estimated GFR 15 to 60 mL/min/1.73 m²) was low or very low quality indicating that further data for this specific group of patients would be informative.

Overall completeness and applicability of evidence

Despite widespread adoption into clinical practice and approval by the FDA, the efficacy and tolerability of cinacalcet in available studies is now better understood and suggests routine cinacalcet therapy has little or no benefit for dialysis patients. Notably, all available studies of cinacalcet investigating cinacalcet used it as "routine" or "first-line" therapy in patients with elevated serum PTH levels. The findings in these studies therefore do not assess the possibility that cinacalcet may improve patient outcomes when used as treatment of elevated PTH levels resistant to other therapy including vitamin D compounds and phosphorous binders. The National Health Service National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) Clinical practice guidelines have suggested that cinacalcet should be used when serum PTH levels are very high, other treatments have been ineffective and when surgical parathyroidectomy is contraindicated (NICE 2007). However, we advise caution in this clinical setting, as available studies for this approach to cinacalcet therapy are not available and, in particular, outcomes and adverse events comparing parathyroidectomy versus cinacalcet treatment are not available.

This updated review has provided additional evidence for patientlevel outcomes, beyond surrogate outcomes of efficacy that dominated earlier studies and our earlier review (Strippoli 2006a). A surrogate is a measurable outcome such as a laboratory or imaging test, which is responsive to the effect of an intervention (e.g. reduction of total cholesterol with statins) and is also causally associated with a clinically important outcome (e.g. reduction in all-cause or cardiovascular mortality with statins). A valid surrogate end-point therefore captures the full effect of an intervention but earlier in the causal chain of events (Bucher 1999; Psaty 1999; Temple 1999). Surrogate end points are used in preference to hard end points in RCTs because cost and sample size can be reduced and feasibility increased substantially. Compared with hard endpoints, surrogates allow for shorter study duration, and either occur more commonly or are continuous measures and so more sensitive to differences in treatment. In kidney disease, surrogates are commonly used in studies, and include dialysis adequacy, haemoglobin levels, left ventricular hypertrophy, and episodes of acute rejection, which have been the basis for the regulatory approval and clinical use of various drugs (Besarab 1998; Borrows 2004; Churchill 1997; McMahon 2004). However, not all surrogates are valid proxies of clinically important patient-entered outcomes. In order for a surrogate to be valid, two criteria must be met. First, there must be a strong, independent and consistent association between the surrogate and the clinically important outcome, which comes from observational studies. For calcium, phosphorous and PTH this criterion has been met from a number of large-scale cohort and cross sectional studies (Avram 1996; Ganesh 2001; Kestenbaum 2005; Stevens 2004). Second, and more importantly, for a surrogate to be valid there must also be evidence that using an intervention changes a surrogate (e.g. reduction of PTH with a calcimimetic) and results in an expected change in the patient-based outcome distal to the surrogate in the same causal pathway for the disease in question (e.g. reduction of deaths with a calcimimetic). This criterion requires a RCT, which measures both the surrogate and the hard endpoint. In the available studies

Calcimimetics for secondary hyperparathyroidism in chronic kidney disease patients (Review) Copyright © 2014 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



for cinacalcet, we have shown that despite large and clinicallyrelevant improvements in serum PTH and serum calcium levels, mortality is not reduced and effects on cardiovascular mortality remain uncertain, suggesting that serum PTH and calcium are not sufficiently proven to be valid proxies of hard patient endpoints in studies of novel agents in CKD.

To date, cinacalcet has uncertain effects on fracture in CKD. The treatment effect we observed (RR 0.53) was similar in magnitude to, but less certain than the risk estimate measured in a pooled analysis of 4 similarly designed, RCTs of cinacalcet comprising 1184 participants with GFR category G5 treated with dialysis and serum PTH levels of 300 pg/mL or greater, in which the reported risk of fracture was 0.46 (95% CI 0.22 to 0.95) (Cunningham 2005a). It was unclear in that publication which studies were included in the analysis, and which included data for extended treatment in two studies that included only about half of the initially randomised participants. While it is possible that cinacalcet has beneficial effects on fracture, at present available evidence provides uncertain estimates of effect.

At this stage, data for cinacalcet in adults with earlier stages of CKD, peritoneal dialysis patients and those with a functioning kidney transplant are scarce or absent. There is currently no strong evidence to support the use of cinacalcet in these clinical settings.

Quality of the evidence

Overall, based on GRADE criteria considering risks of bias in individual studies consistency of the evidence between studies, directness of the evidence to clinical populations, precision of estimates and publication bias, (Summary of findings for the main comparison) evidence for cinacalcet in adults with GFR category G5 treated with dialysis (mortality, parathyroidectomy, hypocalcaemia, and nausea) is of high or moderate quality. High quality evidence suggests "further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of treatment effect" and moderate quality evidence is "further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate".

Information for adults with less severe CKD GFR category G3a to G4 is of low or very low quality. This means that further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. Very low quality evidence suggests any estimate of effect is very uncertain.

Potential biases in the review process

First, to illustrate the importance of prospective registration of studies recently adopted by all major biomedical journals and kidney journals, in order to ensure that all studies evaluating an intervention can be known and linked with publications to avoid publication bias (Simes 1986) and duplication bias (Egger 1998), we found it very difficult to link publications with specific studies. This was an issue with the first publication of this review in 2006 and continues with this update. While we believe we have eighteen unequivocally separate studies with unique data, we found numerous reports for which we believe data were duplicates of that already available in full-text published reports. Duplicate reporting is known to be associated with an overestimation of true treatment effects and spurious precision if the studies are incorporated in meta-analysis (Egger 1998).

Prospective registration with a unique identification number for each study would avoid this; despite the recommendation for study registration, only 50% of the 10 included studies published after 2005 (when this requirement commenced) had clearly reported studies registration in the primary published report.

Second, sponsor involvement in authorship, data management and statistical analysis may influence study reporting and lead to a greater likelihood of positive results (Lexchin 2003). The sponsor played a role in the authorship or analysis of over 80% of available studies for cinacalcet suggesting a potential for over-estimation of treatment benefits.

Third, the investigators of one large study (Block 2004a) combined the results of two separate but similar studies, a method used by the CLASS investigators that has been widely criticized (Juni 2003). When the cinacalcet group of one study is compared both with the placebo group of the same study (random allocation) but also the placebo group of the other study (non-random allocation), outcome differences between the cinacalcet and placebo groups may be due to differences in study populations or co-interventions; which are unknown and therefore cannot be adjusted for. Having identical study designs does not prevent these effects. Such study results would be better reported separately. Data could then be combined using meta-analysis to provide a summary weighted estimate of the effects shown in the individual studies.

Agreements and disagreements with other studies or reviews

This review disagrees with the findings of a pooled analyses of four studies of cinacalcet reported in 2005 (Cunningham 2005a) comprising 1184 dialysis patients with an elevated serum PTH level. That study combined four studies without meta-analysis and evaluated parathyroidectomy, fracture, hospitalisations and mortality to find marked reductions in the risk of parathyroidectomy (RR 0.07, 95% CI 0.01 to 0.55), fracture (RR 0.46, 95% CI 0.22 to 0.95) and cardiovascular hospitalisation (RR 0.61, 95% CI 0.43 to 0.86). These findings were in contrast to our meta-analysis in 2006 which found no significant effects on patient-based endpoints (Strippoli 2006a). Our current update which includes all available studies, indicates a similar but more uncertain effect on fracture, a smaller effect on parathyroidectomy, and could not provide estimates for hospitalisation.

AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS

Implications for practice

Evidence for benefits of routine cinacalcet treatment in adults with CKD and elevated PTH levels are limited to small absolute reductions in parathyroidectomy. Routine cinacalcet therapy in people with CKD does not appear warranted and benefits may be limited to preventing parathyroidectomy in the small number of patients for whom surgery is contraindicated. Nausea, vomiting and hypocalcaemia are commonly experienced by patients treated with cinacalcet.

Implications for research

• Additional studies of cinacalcet in adults with earlier stages of CKD, kidney transplant recipients and peritoneal dialysis patients would inform practice. Until then, widespread prescribing in these populations is not warranted.

- Further studies in haemodialysis patients is unlikely to change the estimates of effect or our confidence in the current evidence
- Studies comparing cinacalcet with surgical parathyroidectomy might be informative for patients who have elevated PTH levels resistant to standard therapies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge the editorial and administrative support of Narelle Willis and Sandra Puckeridge. Ruth Mitchell, Linda Heslop and Gail Higgins, Trial Search Co-ordinators of the Cochrane Renal Group, provided search strategies for this review.

We also wish to acknowledge Drs Allison Tong and Grahame Elder who were authors on previous versions of this review.

REFERENCES

References to studies included in this review

ACHIEVE Study 2008 {published data only}

Charytan C, Corry D, Roppolo M, Ling X, Droge J, Fishbane S. Assessing the use of the calcimimetic cinacalcet with low dose vitamin D versus escalating doses of vitamin D alone in the treatment of secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) - The ACHIEVE Study [abstract no: 39]. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* 2007;**49**(4):A34. [CENTRAL: CN-00615830]

Charytan C, Wetmore J, Moustafa M, Martinez C, Stewart T, Ling X, et al. Efficacy of treatments targeting the calciumsensing receptor (CaR) and vitamin D receptor (VDR) in controlling parathyroid hormone (PTH) in hemodialysis (HD) patients: cinacalcet HCI plus low-dose vitamin D vs flexible vitamin D alone [abstract no: SU-PO812]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2007;**18**(Abstracts Issue):764A.

Fishbane S, Shapiro W, Corry D, Rappaport K, Vicks S, Ling X, et al. A comparison of cinacalcet HCI and low-dose vitamin D vs escalating doses of vitamin D alone - the ACHIEVE study [abstract no: PUB354]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2006;**17**(Abstracts):891A. [CENTRAL: CN-00615831]

* Fishbane S, Shapiro WB, Corry DB, Vicks SL, Roppolo M, Rappaport K, et al. Cinacalcet HCl and concurrent low-dose vitamin D improves treatment of secondary hyperparathyroidism in dialysis patients compared with vitamin D alone: the ACHIEVE study results. *Clinical Journal of The American Society of Nephrology: CJASN* 2008;**3**(6):1718-25. [MEDLINE: 18945995]

Fishbane S, Vicks S, Ling X, Turner S, Charytan C. Cinacalcet with low dose vitamin D provides improved control of parathyroid hormone, calcium, and calcium-phosphorus product in hemodialysis patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism compared with vitamin D alone [abstract no: SaP383]. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2007;**22**(Suppl 6):vi363. [CENTRAL: CN-00671779]

Fishbane S, Wetmore J, Moustafa M, Martinez C, Ling X, Turner S, et al. Treatment with cinacalcet HC1 and concurrent low-dose vitamin D improved management of secondary hyperparathyroidism (SHPT) compared with vitamin D alone [abstract no: SU-FC100]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2007;**18**(Abstracts):89A.

Shireman TI, Almehmi A, Wetmore JB, Lu J, Pregenzer M, Quarles LD. Economic analysis of cinacalcet in combination with low-dose vitamin D versus flexible-dose vitamin D in treating secondary hyperparathyroidism in hemodialysis patients. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* 2010;**56**(6):1108-16. [MEDLINE: 20951487]

Wetmore JB, Liu S, Krebill R, Menard R, Quarles D. Treatment with cinacalcet plus vitamin D results in lower FGF23 levels than vitamin D alone [abstract no: SA-PO2779]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2008;**19**(Abstracts Issue):739A.

Wetmore JB, Liu S, Krebill R, Menard R, Quarles LD. Effects of cinacalcet and concurrent low-dose vitamin D on FGF23 levels

in ESRD. *Clinical Journal of The American Society of Nephrology: CJASN* 2010;**5**(1):110-6. [MEDLINE: 19965548]

ADVANCE Study 2010 {published data only}

Floege J, Raggi P, Block GA, Torres PU, Csiky B, Naso A, et al. Study design and subject baseline characteristics in the ADVANCE Study: effects of cinacalcet on vascular calcification in haemodialysis patients. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2010;**25**(6):1916-23. [MEDLINE: 20110249]

Floege J, Sprague S, Droge J, Banos A, Chertow G. ADVANCE: the effect of cinacalcet + low-dose vitamin D on vascular calcification in hemodialysis patients - methods [abstract no: 60]. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* 2007;**49**(4):A39.

* Raggi P, Chertow GM, Torres PU, Csiky B, Naso A, Nossuli K, et al. The ADVANCE study: a randomized study to evaluate the effects of cinacalcet plus low-dose vitamin D on vascular calcification in patients on hemodialysis. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2011;**26**(4):1327-39. [MEDLINE: 21148030]

Urena PA, Kopyt NP, Rodriguez M, Bridges IM, Dehmel B, Cooper K, et al. Efficacy of cinacalcet combined with low dose vitamin D in incident hemodialysis subjects with secondary hyperparathyroidism [abstract no: LB-PO3145]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2011;**22**(Abstracts):3B.

Akiba 2008 {published data only}

* Akiba T, Akizawa T, Tsukamoto Y, Uchida E, Iwasaki M, Koshikawa S, et al. Dose determination of cinacalcet hydrochloride in Japanese hemodialysis patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism. *Therapeutic Apheresis & Dialysis* 2008;**12**(2):117-25. [18387159]

Akiba T, Akizawa T, Uchida E, Tsukamoto Y, Koshikawa S, KRN1493 Study Group. Randomized, double blind, placebocontrolled, dose-finding parallel study for KRN1493 (cinacalcet HC1) in Japanese hemodialysis patients [abstract no: F-PO764]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2005;**16**:503A.

Akizawa T, Koshikawa S. Clinical study of cinacalcet in Japan. *Therapeutic Apheresis & Dialysis* 2008;**12 Suppl 1**:S13-5. [MEDLINE: 19032520]

Block 2004a {published data only}

Block GA, Martin KJ, Turner SA, Avram MM, Hercz G, Abu-Alfa AK, et al. Phase 3 study results demonstrate efficacy and safety of the calcimimetic cinacalcet HCI in hemodialysis patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) [abstract no: SA-PO743]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2003;**14**(Nov):461A. [CENTRAL: CN-00644280]

* Block GA, Martin KJ, de Francisco AL, Turner SA, Avram MM, Suranyi MG, et al. Cinacalcet for secondary hyperparathyroidism in patients receiving hemodialysis. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2004;**350**(15):1516-25. [MEDLINE: 15071126]

Coyne DW, Stegman MH, Azad H, Joy MS, Mischel SF, Pokroy N, et al. Cinacalcet HCI controls secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) regardless of gender, race, age, and geography in patients



with chronic kidney disease (CKD) receiving dialysis. [abstract no: SA-PO754]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2003;**14**(Nov):464A.

Cunningham J, Chertow G, Goodman W, Danese M, Olson K, Klassen P, et al. The effect of cinacalcet HCl on parathyroidectomy, fracture, hospitalisation, and mortality in dialysis subjects with secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) [abstract]. 41st ERA-EDTA Congress; 2004; May 15-18; Lisbon (Portugal). 2004:219. [CN-00509144]

Cunningham J, Danese M, Olson K, Klassen P, Chertow GM. Effects of the calcimimetic cinacalcet HCl on cardiovascular disease, fracture, and health-related quality of life in secondary hyperparathyroidism. *Kidney international* 2005;**68**(4):1793-800. [MEDLINE: 16164656]

Frazao JM, Holzer H, Stummvoll HK, Bahner U, Wilkie M, Zani V, et al. Cinacalcet (Mimpara©/Sensipar©) maintains achievement of NKF-K/DOQI treatment targets for secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) in patients on dialysis [abstract no: SP209]. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2005;**20**(Suppl 5):v89.

Frazao JM, Messa P, Cunningham J, Evenepoel P, Shahapuni I, Urena P, et al. Early use of cinacalcet (Mimpara©/Sensipar©) in dialysis patients enables greatest achievement of NKF-KDOQITM treatment targets for bone metabolism [abstract no: SO016]. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2006;**21**(Suppl 4):iv8.

Frazao JM, Nicolini M, Torresgrossa JV, Kerr P, Jaeger PH, Sprague SM, et al. Cinacalcet HCl effectively reduces intact parathyroid hormone (iPTH) and Ca x P irrespective of the severity of secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) [abstract]. 41st ERA-EDTA Congress; 2004; May 15-18; Lisbon (Portugal). 2004:219.

Goodman WG, Fadda GZ, Finkelstein FO Mittman N, Lien YH, LeBlanc M, et al. Cinacalcet HCl is an effective primary therapy for the management of secondary hyperparathyroidism [abstract]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2003;**14**(Program & Abstracts):460A.

Goodman WG, de Francisco AL, Mittman N, Messa P, Lien YH, Leblanc M, et al. Cinacalcet HCI (SensiparTM) effectively manages secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) in new to dialysis patients [abstract no: PUB477]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2004;**15**(Oct):863A. [CENTRAL: CN-00644149]

Goodman WG, de Francisco AL, Moe SM, Cunningham J, Martin KJ, McCary LC, et al. Cinacalcet HCI (SensiparTM) is an effective treatment for secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) in CKD patients on dialysis [abstract no: F-PO984]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2004;**15**(Oct):280A. [CENTRAL: CN-00644151]

Lien YH, Silva AL, Whittman D. Effects of cinacalcet on bone mineral density in patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2005;**20**(6):1232-7. [MEDLINE: 15840675] Lien YH, Silva AL, Whittman D. Effects of cinacalcet on bone mineral density in patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism [abstract no: W-PO40084]. *Nephrology* 2005;**10**(Suppl 1):A301.

Martin KJ, Sherrard DJ, Nassar G, Campbell P, Curzi M, McCary KJ, et al. Control of bio-intact parathyroid hormone (PTH) levels with the calcimimetic Cinacalcet HCl in hemodialysis patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) [abstract no: SA-PO747]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2003;**14**(Nov):462A. [CENTRAL: CN-00583599]

Mittman N, de Francisco ALM, Drueke T, Fadda GZ, Arruda JA, Abu-Alfa AK, et al. Phase 3 studies demonstrate effective control of PTH and Ca x P in patients treated with cinacalcet HCI [abstract no: 73]. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* 2004;**43**(4):A33.

Moe SM, Chertow GM, Coburn JW, Quarles LD, Goodman WG, Block GA, et al. Achieving NKF-K/DOQI bone metabolism and disease treatment goals with cinacalcet HCl. *Kidney international* 2005;**67**(2):760-71. [CENTRAL: 15673327]

Sterrett JF, Strom J, Stummvoll HK, Bahner U, Disney A Soroka SD, et al. Long-term treatment of secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) with cinacalcet (SensiparTM) in patients receiving dialysis [abstract]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2004;**15**(Abstracts):275A. [CENTRAL: CN-00583604]

Sterrett JR, Strom J, Stummvoll HK, Bahner U, Disney A, Soroka SD, et al. Cinacalcet HCI (Sensipar/Mimpara) is an effective chronic therapy for hemodialysis patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism. *Clinical Nephrology* 2007;**68**(1):10-7. [MEDLINE: 17703830]

de Francisco A, Suranyi M, Cunningham J, Messa P, Locatelli F, Evenepoel P, et al. Greater achievement of NKF-K/DOQI bone metabolism and disease targets with oral cinacalcet HCL in an EU/Australian phase 3 study in haemodialysis subjects [abstract]. 41st ERA-EDTA Congress; 2004; May 15-18; Lisbon (Portugal). 2004:319.

de Francisco AL, Suranyi M, Cunningham J, Messa P, Locatelli F, Evenepoel P, et al. Oral cinacalcet HCI (AMG 073) for the treatment of hemodialysis patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT): results of a European/Australian phase 3 study. [abstract no: SA-PO742]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2003;**14**(Nov):461A. [CENTRAL: CN-00583675]

Charytan 2005 {published data only}

* Charytan C, Coburn JW, Chonchol M, Herman J, Lien YH, Liu W, et al. Cinacalcet hydrochloride is an effective treatment for secondary hyperparathyroidism in patients with CKD not receiving dialysis. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* 2005;**46**(1):58-67. [MEDLINE: 15983958]

Coburn JW, Charytan C, Chonchol M, Herman J, Lien YH, Liu W, et al. Cinacalcet HCl is an effective treatment for secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) not yet receiving dialysis [abstract]. *Journal*



of the American Society of Nephrology 2003;**14**(Program & Abstracts):460A.

Chonchol 2009 {published data only}

Chonchol M, Kopyt N, Herman J, Charytan C, Pischette V, Olson KA, et al. Cinacalcet HCI reduces parathyroid hormone (PTH) in patients with stage 3 and 4 chronic kidney disease (CKD) [abstract no: 18]. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* 2004;**43**(4):A19. [CENTRAL: CN-00602122]

Chonchol M, Locatelli F, Abboud H, Charytan C, De Francisco A, Jolly S, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of cinacalcet in CKD not on dialysis [abstract no: SA-PO867]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2007;**18**(Abstracts):533A. [CENTRAL: CN-00583853]

* Chonchol M, Locatelli F, Abboud HE, Charytan C, de Francisco AL, Jolly S, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study to assess the efficacy and safety of cinacalcet HCl in participants with CKD not receiving dialysis. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* 2009;**53**(2):197-207. [MEDLINE: 19110359]

El Shafey 2011 {published data only}

* El-Shafey EM, Alsahow AE, Alsaran K, Sabry AA, Atia M. Cinacalcet hydrochloride therapy for secondary hyperparathyroidism in hemodialysis patients. *Therapeutic Apheresis & Dialysis* 2011;**15**(6):547-55. [MEDLINE: 22107691]

EVOLVE study 2007 {published data only}

Chertow G, Block G, Correa-Rotter R, Drueke T, Floege J, Goodman W, et al. Evaluation of cinacalcet HCI therapy to lower cardiovascular events (EVOLVE) trial [abstract no: PUB359]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2006;**17**(Abstracts):893A. [CENTRAL: CN-00601998]

Chertow GM, Correa-Rotter R, Block GA, Drueke TB, Floege J, Goodman WG, et al. Baseline characteristics of subjects enrolled in the Evaluation of Cinacalcet HCl Therapy to Lower Cardiovascular Events (EVOLVE) trial. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2012;**27**(7):2872-9. [MEDLINE: 22529163]

Chertow GM, Pupim LB, Block GA, Correa-Rotter R, Drueke TB, Floege J, et al. Evaluation of Cinacalcet Therapy to Lower Cardiovascular Events (EVOLVE): rationale and design overview. *Clinical Journal of The American Society of Nephrology: CJASN* 2007;**2**(5):898-905. [MEDLINE: 17702710]

* Evolve Trial Investigators, Chertow GM, Block GA, Correa-Rotter R, Drueke TB, Floege J, et al. Effect of cinacalcet on cardiovascular disease in patients undergoing dialysis. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2012;**367**(26):2482-94. [MEDLINE: 23121374]

Fukagawa 2008 {published data only}

Akizawa T, Koshikawa S. Clinical study of cinacalcet in Japan. *Therapeutic Apheresis & Dialysis* 2008;**12 Suppl 1**:S13-5. [MEDLINE: 19032520]

Fukagawa M, Yumita S, Akizawa T, Tsukamoto Y, Uchida E, Iwasaki M, et al. Randomized, placebo-controlled trial of cinacalcet (KRN1493) in Japanese dialysis patients with more severe hyperparathyroidism and longer dialysis vintage [abstract no: FP453]. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2007;**22**(Suppl 6):vi171.

* Fukagawa M, Yumita S, Akizawa T, Uchida E, Tsukamoto Y, Iwasaki M, et al. Cinacalcet (KRN1493) effectively decreases the serum intact PTH level with favorable control of the serum phosphorus and calcium levels in Japanese dialysis patients. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2008;**23**(1):328-35. [MEDLINE: 17717030]

Yumita S, Akizawa T, Uchida E, Tsukamoto Y, Koshikawa S, KRN1493 Study Group. A randomized, double blind, placebocontrolled, 14 week study to assess the efficacy and safety of cinacalcet HCI in Japanese hemodialysis patients [abstract no: PUB348]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2006;**17**(Abstracts):889A.

Goodman 2000 {published data only}

Goodman WG, Frazao JM, Goodkin DA, Turner S, Liu W, Coburn JW. The calcimimetic, R-568, lowers plasma parathyroid hormone levels in hemodialysis patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism [abstract]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 1999;**10**(Program & Abstracts):619A-20A. [CENTRAL: CN-00626084]

* Goodman WG, Frazao JM, Goodkin DA, Turner SA, Liu W, Coburn JW. A calcimimetic agent lowers plasma parathyroid hormone levels in patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism. *Kidney International* 2000;**58**(1):436-45. [MEDLINE: 10886592]

Goodman 2002 {published data only}

Goodman WG, Hladik GA, Turner SA, Blaisdell PW, Goodkin DA, Liu W, et al. Multiple doses of the calcimimetic AMG 073 reduce plasma parathyroid hormone in hemodialysis patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism (SHPT) [abstract]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2000;**11**(Sept):576A.

* Goodman WG, Hladik GA, Turner SA, Blaisdell PW, Goodkin DA, Liu W, et al. The calcimimetic agent AMG 073 lowers plasma parathyroid hormone levels in hemodialysis patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2002;**13**(4):1017-24. [MEDLINE: 11912261]

Harris 2004 {published data only}

* Harris RZ, Padhi D, Marbury TC, Novelck RJ, Salfi M, Sullivan JT. Pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics and safety of cinacalcet hydrochloride in hemodialysis patients at doses up to 200 mg once daily. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* 2004;**44**(6):1070-6. [MEDLINE: 15558528]

IMPACT SHPT Study 2012 {*published data only*}

Ketteler M, Martin KJ, Cozzolino M, Goldsmith D, Sharma A, Khan S, et al. Paricalcitol versus cinacalcet plus low-dose vitamin D for the treatment of secondary hyperparathyroidism in patients receiving haemodialysis: study design and baseline characteristics of the IMPACT SHPT study. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2012;**27**(5):1942-9. [MEDLINE: 21931122]

* Ketteler M, Martin KJ, Wolf M, Amdahl M, Cozzolino M, Goldsmith D, et al. Paricalcitol versus cinacalcet plus lowdose vitamin D therapy for the treatment of secondary



hyperparathyroidism in patients receiving haemodialysis: results of the IMPACT SHPT study. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2012;**27**(8):3270-8. [MEDLINE: 22387567]

Lindberg 2003 {published data only}

* Lindberg JS, Moe SM, Goodman WG, Coburn JW, Sprague SM, Liu W, et al. The calcimimetic AMG 073 reduces parathyroid hormone and calcium x phosphorus in secondary hyperparathyroidism. *Kidney International* 2003;**63**(1):248-54. [MEDLINE: 12472790]

Lindberg JS, Moe SM, Goodman WG, Coburn JW, Turner SA, Blaisdell PW, et al. The calcimimetic AMG073 reduces parathyroid hormone (PTH), phosphorus (P), and calcium x phosphorus product (Ca x P) in patients with ESRD and secondary hyperparathyroidism (SHPT) [abstract]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2000;**11**(Sept):578A. [CENTRAL: CN-00626121]

Lindberg 2005 {published data only}

Coyne DW, Stegman MH, Azad H, Joy MS, Mischel SF, Pokroy N, et al. Cinacalcet HCI controls secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) regardless of gender, race, age, and geography in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) receiving dialysis. [abstract no: SA-PO754]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2003;**14**(Nov):464A.

Cunningham J, Chertow G, Goodman W, Danese M, Olson K, Klassen P, et al. The effect of cinacalcet HCl on parathyroidectomy, fracture, hospitalisation, and mortality in dialysis subjects with secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) [abstract]. 41st ERA-EDTA Congress; 2004; May 15-18; Lisbon (Portugal). 2004:219. [CENTRAL: CN-00509144]

Cunningham J, Danese M, Olson K, Klassen P, Chertow GM. Effects of the calcimimetic cinacalcet HCl on cardiovascular disease, fracture, and health-related quality of life in secondary hyperparathyroidism. *Kidney international* 2005;**68**(4):1793-800. [MEDLINE: 16164656]

Frazao JM, Holzer H, Stummvoll HK, Bahner U, Wilkie M, Zani V, et al. Cinacalcet (Mimpara©/Sensipar©) maintains achievement of NKF-K/DOQI treatment targets for secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) in patients on dialysis [abstract no: SP209]. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2005;**20**(Suppl 5):v89.

Frazao JM, Messa P, Cunningham J, Evenepoel P, Shahapuni I, Urena P, et al. Early use of cinacalcet (Mimpara©/Sensipar©) in dialysis patients enables greatest achievement of NKF-KDOQITM treatment targets for bone metabolism [abstract no: S0016]. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2006;**21**(Suppl 4):iv8.

Frazao JM, Nicolini M, Torresgrossa JV, Kerr P, Jaeger PH, Sprague SM, et al. Cinacalcet HCl effectively reduces intact parathyroid hormone (iPTH) and Ca x P irrespective of the severity of secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT). 41st ERA-EDTA Congress; 2004; May 15-18; Lisbon (Portugal). 2004:219.

Goodman WG, Fadda GZ, Finkelstein FO, Mittman N, Lien YH, LeBlanc M, et al. Cinacalcet HCl is an effective primary therapy for the management of secondary hyperparathyroidism [abstract]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2003;**14**(Program & Abstracts):460A.

Goodman WG, de Francisco AL, Mittman N, Messa P, Lien YH, Leblanc M, et al. Cinacalcet HCI (SensiparTM) effectively manages secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) in new to dialysis patients [abstract no: PUB477]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2004;**15**(Oct):863A. [CENTRAL: CN-00644149]

Goodman WG, de Francisco AL, Moe SM, Cunningham J, Martin KJ, McCary LC, et al. Cinacalcet HCI (SensiparTM) is an effective treatment for secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) in CKD patients on dialysis [abstract no: F-PO984]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2004;**15**(Oct):280A. [CENTRAL: CN-00644151]

* Lindberg JS, Culleton B, Wong G, Borah MF, Clark RV, Shapiro WB, et al. Cinacalcet HCl, an oral calcimimetic agent for the treatment of secondary hyperparathyroidism in hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis: a randomized, doubleblind, multicenter study. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2005;**16**(3):800-7. [MEDLINE: 15689407]

Lindberg JS, Culleton B, Wong G, Borah MF, Clark RV, Shapiro WB, et al. Phase 3 experience with cinacalcet HCI in hemodialysis (HD) and peritoneal dialysis (PD) patients with secondary HPT [abstract no: SA-PO752]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2003;**14**(Nov):463A. [CENTRAL: CN-00626029]

Mittman N, Finkelstein F, Culleton B, Charytan C, Agarwal A, Albizem MA, et al. Cinacalcet HCI (SensiparTM) for the management of secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) in patients receiving peritoneal dialysis (PD) [abstract no: F-PO985]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2004;**15**(Oct):280A. [CENTRAL: CN-00626108]

Mittman N, de Francisco AL, Drueke T, Fadda GZ, Arruda JA, Abu-Alfa AK, et al. Phase 3 studies demonstrate effective control of PTH and Ca x P in patients treated with cinacalcet HCI [abstract no: 73]. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* 2004;**43**(4):A33.

Moe SM, Chertow GM, Coburn JW, Quarles LD, Goodman WG, Block GA, et al. Achieving NKF-K/DOQI bone metabolism and disease treatment goals with cinacalcet HCl. *Kidney international* 2005;**67**(2):760-71. [MEDLINE: 15673327]

Malluche 2008 {published data only}

Cunningham J, Chertow G, Goodman W, Danese M, Olson K, Klassen P, et al. The effect of cinacalcet HCl on parathyroidectomy, fracture, hospitalisation, and mortality in dialysis subjects with secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) [abstract]. 41st ERA-EDTA Congress; 2004; May 15-18; Lisbon (Portugal). 2004:219. [CENTRAL: CN-00509144]

Cunningham J, Danese M, Olson K, Klassen P, Chertow GM. Effects of the calcimimetic cinacalcet HCl on cardiovascular disease, fracture, and health-related quality of life in secondary hyperparathyroidism. *Kidney international* 2005;**68**(4):1793-800. [MEDLINE: 16164656]

Goodman WG, de Francisco AL, Mittman N, Messa P, Lien YH, Leblanc M, et al. Cinacalcet HCI (SensiparTM) effectively



manages secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) in new to dialysis patients [abstract no: PUB477]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2004;**15**(Oct):863A. [CENTRAL: CN-00644149]

Goodman WG, de Francisco AL, Moe SM, Cunningham J, Martin KJ, McCary LC, et al. Cinacalcet HCI (SensiparTM) is an effective treatment for secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) in CKD patients on dialysis [abstract no: F-PO984]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2004;**15**(Oct):280A. [CENTRAL: CN-00644151]

* Malluche HH, Monier-Faugere MC, Wang G, Fraza OJ, Charytan C, Coburn JW, et al. An assessment of cinacalcet HCl effects on bone histology in dialysis patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism. *Clinical Nephrology* 2008;**69**(4):269-78. [MEDLINE: 18397701]

Malluche HH, Monier-Faugere MC, Wang G, Frazao JM, Charytan C, Coburn JW, et al. Cinacalcet HCl reduces bone turnover and bone marrow fibrosis in hemodialysis patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) [abstract]. 41st ERA-EDTA Congress; 2004; May 15-18; Lisbon (Portugal). 2004:218-9. [CENTRAL: CN-00509338]

OPTIMA Study 2008 {published data only}

Frazao JM, Braun J, Messa P, Dehmel B, Mattin C, Wilkie M. Is serum phosphorus control related to parathyroid hormone control in dialysis patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism?. *BMC Nephrology* 2012;**13**:76. [MEDLINE: 22863242]

Frazao JM, Macario F, Yaqoob M, Bouman K, Braun J, von Albertini B, et al. The Optima Study: earlier intervention of cinacalcet HCL (Sensipar©/Mimpara©) enables greater achievement of KDOQIT secondary hyperparathyroidism (SHPT) targets in dialysis patients [abstract no: 45]. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* 2006;**47**(4):A30. [CENTRAL: CN-00644220]

Gonzalez MT, Hutchison AJ, Girndt M, Stahl-Nilsson A, Zani V, Carter D, et al. Secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) in patients receiving peritoneal dialysis (PD) can be effectively managed with cinacalcet (Mimpara©/Sensipar©) [abstract no: SP359]. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2006;**21**(Suppl 4):iv134.

Locatelli F, Macario F, Brink HS, Dhaene M, Pai P, Holzer H, et al. The OPTIMA study: efficacy of a cinacalcet (Mimpara©/ Sensipar©) treatment algorithm to treat dialysis patients with elevated PTH and calcium-phosphorus product (Ca x P) [abstract no: SP357]. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2006;**21**(Suppl 4):iv133. [CENTRAL: CN-00644212]

* Messa P, Macario F, Yaqoob M, Bouman K, Braun J, von Albertini B, et al. The OPTIMA study: assessing a new cinacalcet (Sensipar/Mimpara) treatment algorithm for secondary hyperparathyroidism. *Clinical Journal of The American Society of Nephrology: CJASN* 2008;**3**(1):36-45. [MEDLINE: 18178780]

Messa P, Motellon JL, OPTIMA Study Group. Optimizing the use of cinacalcet (Mimpara©/Sensipar©) and vitamin D: interim results from the OPTIMA study [abstract no: F-PO758].

Journal of the American Society of Nephrology 2005;**16**:501A-2A. [CENTRAL: CN-00644221]

Messa P, Villa G, Braun J, Maduell F, Cruz J, Martin PY, et al. The OPTIMA study: lower doses of cinacalcet (Mimpara©/ Sensipar©) are required to achieve KDOQITM secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) targets in dialysis patients with less severe disease [abstract no: MP324]. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2006;**21**(Suppl 4):iv407. [CENTRAL: CN-00583842]

Perrault L, Carter D, Molemans B, Maetzel A. Use of cinacalcet HCL (Mimpara©/Sensipar©) to control mineral metabolism in patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) on dialysis complicated by secondary hyperparathyroidism (SHPT): a costconsequence analysis of cinacalcet HCL versus standard of care (SC) [abstract no: SP363]. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2006;**21**(Suppl 4):iv136.

Wilkie M. A multi-centre, randomised, open-label study to compare the efficacy and safety of an oral calcimimetic agent (AMG 073) when two different vitamin D regimens are used in subjects with secondary hyperparathyroidism of end-stage renal disease (ESRD). http://www.nihr.ac.uk/Profile/Pages/ NRRResults.aspx?publication_id=N0059124801 (accessed 1 June 2014).

Wilkie M, Pontoriero G, Macario F, Yaqoob M, Bouman K, Braun J, et al. Impact of vitamin D dose on biochemical parameters in patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism receiving cinacalcet. *Nephron* 2009;**112**(1):c41-50. [MEDLINE: 19365139]

Wilkie M, Salvadori M, De Meester J, Jofre R, Koopman MG, Leidig FM, et al. The OPTIMA study: optimising the dose of vitamin D (vit D) in the presence of cinacalcet (Mimpara©/ Sensipar©) to obtain maximum clinical benefit [abstract no: SP358]. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2006;**21**(Suppl 4):iv134. [CENTRAL: CN-00583844]

Quarles 2003a {published data only}

* Quarles LD, Sherrard DJ, Adler S, Rosansky SJ, McCary LC, Liu W, et al. The calcimimetic AMG 073 as a potential treatment for secondary hyperparathyroidism of end-stage renal disease. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2003;**14**(3):575-83. [MEDLINE: 12595492]

References to studies excluded from this review

Al-Hilali 2011 {published data only}

Al-Hilali N, Hussain N, Kawy YA, Al-Azmi M. A novel dose regimen of cinacalcet in the treatment of severe hyperparathyroidism in hemodialysis patients. *Saudi Journal of Kidney Diseases & Transplantation* 2011;**22**(3):448-55. [MEDLINE: 21566299]

Coburn 2000a {published data only}

Coburn JW, Barri YM, Turner SA, Blaisdell PW, Goodkin DA, Liu W, et al. Single doses of the calcimimetic AMG 073 reduce parathyroid hormone levels in a dose dependent manner in hemodialysis patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism [abstract]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2000;**11**(Sept):573A-4A. [CENTRAL: CN-00550686]

CONTROL Study 2006 {published data only}

Chertow GM, Blumenthal S, Turner S, Roppolo M, Stern L, Chi EM, et al. Cinacalcet hydrochloride (Sensipar) in hemodialysis patients on active vitamin D derivatives with controlled PTH and elevated calcium x phosphate. *Clinical Journal of The American Society of Nephrology: CJASN* 2006;**1**(2):305-12. [MEDLINE: 17699221]

Reed J, Keightley G, Blumenthal S, Bradley C, LaBrecque J, Turner S, et al. The CONTROL STUDY: enhanced achievement of NKF-K/DOQI bone metabolism and disease targets using cinacalcet HCL (Sensipar) [abstract]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2004;**15**(Abstracts):280A.

Cunningham 2003 {published data only}

Cunningham J, Holzer H, Reichel H, Braun J, Hawley C, Urena P, et al. Sustained, long-term reductions in parathyroid hormone (iPTH) after 3 years of treatment with calcimimetic cinacalcet HCl in patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism (SPTH) [abstract no: M479]. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2003;**18**(Suppl 4):150-1. [CENTRAL: CN-00583594]

Cunningham 2005 {published data only}

Cunningham J, Urena P, Reichel H, Holzer H, Drueke T, Zani V, et al. Long term efficacy of cinacalcet in secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) of end stage renal disease (ESRD) [abstract no: SP210]. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2005;**20**(Suppl 5):v89.

de Francisco 2005 {published data only}

De Francisco A, Evenepoel P, Brink HS, Mellotte G, Barata JD, Zani V, et al. Cinacalcet (Mimpara©/Sensipar©) effectively reduces intact parathyroid hormone (iPTH) and serum calcium (CA) regardless of serum calcium level in patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) [abstract no: MO30]. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2005;**20**(Suppl 5):v197.

Harris 2003 {published data only}

Harris R, Padhi D, Salfi M, Yates W, Simiens MA, Sulivan JT. Pharmacokinetics (PK), pharmacodynamics (PD), and safety of daily administration of cinacalcet HCI up to 300 mg in chronic kidney disease (CKD) patients on hemodialysis (HD) [abstract no: SA-PO746]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2003;**14**(Nov):462A. [CENTRAL: CN-00583298]

Kaperonis 2012 {published data only}

Kaperonis N, Kourvelou C, Sgantzos A, Nastou D, Ntatsis G, Ziakka S, et al. Cinacalcet vs. paricalcitol in hemodialysis patients [abstract]. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2012;**27**(Suppl 2):ii497-8.

Moe 2003a {published data only}

Moe SM, Sprague SM, Cunningham J, Drueke T, Adler S, Rosansky SJ, et al. Long-term treatment of secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) with the calcimimetic cinacalcet HCI [abstract no: SA-PO753]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2003;**14**:463A-4A.

Moe 2005 {published data only}

Belozeroff V, Goodman W, Ren L, Kalantar-Zadeh K. Cinacalcet lowers serum alkaline phosphatase (alkPhos) in maintenance hemodialysis (MHD) patients [abstract no: SA-PO698]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2007;**18**(Abstracts Issue):495A-6A.

Bushinsky DA, Avram MM, Abu-Alfa AK, Borah MF, Shapiro WB, Olson KA, et al. Characterization of the effects of cinacalcet HC1 (Sensipar©/Mimpara©) on serum calcium in dialysis patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) [abstract no: F-PO756]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2005;**16**:501A. [CENTRAL: CN-00583862]

Moe SM, Coburn JW, Quarles LD, Goodman WG, Chertow GM, Block GA, et al. Achievement of proposed NKF-K/DOQI bone metabolism and disease targets: treatment with cinacalcet HCl in dialysis patients with uncontrolled secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) [abstract no: SU-FC217]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2003;**14**(Nov):48A.

Moe SM, Goodman WG, Cunningham J, Drueke T, Adler S, Rosansky SJ, et al. Cinacalcet HC1 sustains long-term control of secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) [abstract no: F-PO755]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2005;**16**:501A.

Moe 2005b {published data only}

Moe SM, Cunningham J, Bommer J, Adler S, Rosansky SJ, Urena-Torres P, et al. Long-term treatment of secondary hyperparathyroidism with the calcimimetic cinacalcet HCl. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2005;**20**(10):2186-93. [MEDLINE: 16030053]

Padhi 2003 {published data only}

Padhi D, Harris R, Salfi M, Yates W, Hansen J, Flynn J, et al. Cinacalcet HCI absorption in study subjects is not affected by coadministration of medications commonly prescribed to chronic kidney disease (CKD) patients (pantoprazole, sevelamer HCI, and calcium carbonate). [abstract no: SA-PO744]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2003;**14**:461A.

Pahl 1996 {published data only}

Pahl M, Jara A, Bover J, Rodriguez M, Felsenfeld AJ. The set point of calcium and the reduction of parathyroid hormone in hemodialysis patients. *Kidney international* 1996;**49**(1):226-31. [MEDLINE: 8770972]

Quarles 2003 {published data only}

* Quarles LD, Zeig S, Spiegel DM, Silver MR, Vokes TJ, Torregrosa JV, et al. Cinacalcet HCl controls secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) in dialysis patients regardless of disease severity [abstract]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2003;**14**(Program & Abstracts):463A.

Schaefer 2008 {published data only}

Schaefer RM, Bover J, Dellanna F, Sanz D, Asensio C, Sanchez GM, et al. Efficacy of cinacalcet administered with the first meal after dialysis: the SENSOR Study. *Clinical Nephrology* 2008;**70**(2):126-34. [MEDLINE: 18793528]

Schaefer RM, Bover J, Kleophas W, Sanz D, Asensio C, Alonso JL, et al. The SENSOR study: a study to evaluate the efficacy of administering cinacalcet (Mimpara©/Sensipar©) with the first meal after dialysis [abstract no: MO003]. *Nephrology*



Dialysis Transplantation 2006;**21**(Suppl 4):iv288. [CENTRAL: CN-00671819]

Sezer 2012 {published data only}

Sezer S, Tutal E, Bal Z, Ozelsancak R, Demirci BG, Torun D, et al. Treatment of secondary hyperparathyroidism in maintenance hemodialysis patients: a randomised clinical trial comparing paricalcitol, calcitriol and cinacalcet [abstract]. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2012;**27**(Suppl 2):ii509-10.

TARGET Study 2008 {published data only}

Block GA, Zeig S, Sugihara J, Chertow GM, Chi EM, Turner SA, et al. Combined therapy with cinacalcet and low doses of vitamin D sterols in patients with moderate to severe secondary hyperparathyroidism. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2008;**23**(7):2311-8. [MEDLINE: 18310602]

Chertow GM, Corpier C, Zieg S, Stegman M, Lynn R, Abu-Alfa AK, et al. Preliminary results from TARGET: treatment strategies to achieve recommended K/DOQI goals in ESRD patients on Cinacalcet [abstract]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2004;**15**(Abstracts):863A.

Chertow GM, Lu ZJ, Xu X, Knight TG, Goodman WG, Bushinsky DA, et al. Self-reported symptoms in patients on hemodialysis with moderate to severe secondary hyperparathyroidism receiving combined therapy with cinacalcet and low-dose vitamin D sterols. *Hemodialysis International* 2012;**16**(2):188-97. [MEDLINE: 22118402]

References to studies awaiting assessment

Coburn 2003 {published data only}

Coburn JW, Charytan C, Chonchol M, Herman J, Lien YH, Liu W, et al. Cinacalcet HCl is an effective treatment for secondary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) in patients with chronic kidney diseases (CKD) not receiving dialysis [abstract]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2003;**14**(Program & Abstracts):460A.

Drueke 2001a {published data only}

Drueke T, Cunningham J, Goodman WG, Horl WH, Braun J, Chen MG, et al. Short-term treatment of secondary hyperparathyroidism (SHPT) with the calcimimetic agent AMG 073 [abstract]. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2001;**12**(Program & Abstracts):764A. [CENTRAL: CN-00550690]

Fournier 2004a {published data only}

Fournier A, Pontoiero G, Walker R, Martin-Malo A, Wilkie M, Coyne DW, et al. Cinacalcet HCL reduces parathyroid hormone (PTH) and calcium-phosphate product (Ca x P) regardless of concurrent changes in vitamin D administration [abstract]. 41st ERA-EDTA Congress; 2004; May 15-18; Lisbon (Portugal). 2004:319. [CENTRAL: CN-00509198]

UPen 2004a {published data only}

University of Pennsylvania, Renal Electrolyte, Hypertension Division. 2004. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study to assess the safety and efficacy of a calcimimetic agent (AMG 073) in subjects with secondary hyperparathyroidism of chronic renal insufficiency. http://www.uphs.upenn.edu/renal/ research/clinical_research_chronic_kidney.html (accessed 2 June 2014).

Additional references

Albaaj 2003

Albaaj F, Hutchison A. Hyperphosphataemia in renal failure: causes, consequences and current management. *Drugs* 2003;**63**(6):577-96. [MEDLINE: 12656655]

Avram 1996

Avram MM, Sreedhara R, Avram DK, Muchnick RA, Fein P. Enrollment parathyroid hormone level is a new marker of survival in hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis therapy for uremia. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* 1996;**28**(6):924-30. [MEDLINE: 8957048]

Besarab 1998

Besarab A, Bolton WK, Browne JK, Egrie JC, Nissenson AR, Okamoto DM, et al. The effects of normal, as compared with low, hematocrit values in patients with cardiac disease who are receiving hemodialysis and epoetin. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1998;**339**(9):584-90. [MEDLINE: 9718377]

Block 2004b

Block GA, Klassen PS, Lazarus LM, Ofsthun N, Lowrie EG, Chertow GM. Mineral metabolism, mortality and morbidity in maintenance hemodialysis. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2004;**15**(8):2208-10. [MEDLINE: 15284307]

Borrows 2004

Borrows R, Loucaidou M, Van Tromp J, Cairns T, Griffith M, Hakim N, et al. Steroid sparing with tacrolimus and mycophenolate mofetil in renal transplantation. *American Journal of Transplantation* 2004;**4**(11):1845-51. [MEDLINE: 15476485]

Bucher 1999

Bucher HC, Guyatt GH, Cook DJ, Holbrook A, McAlister FA. Users' guides to the medical literature: XIX. Applying clinical trial results. A. How to use an article measuring the effect of an intervention on surrogate end points. Evidence-Based Medicine Working Group. *JAMA* 1999;**282**(8):771-8. [MEDLINE: 10463714]

Churchill 1997

Churchill DN, Thorpe KE, Vonesh EF, Keshaviah PR. Lower probability of patient survival with continuous peritoneal dialysis in the united states compared with Canada. Canada-USA (CANUSA) Peritoneal Dialysis Study Group. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 1997;**8**(6):965-71. [MEDLINE: 9189865]

Courant 1993

Courant O, Letessier E, Moutel MG, Hamy A, Paineau J, Visset J. Surgical treatment of secondary hyperparathyroidism in chronic kidney failure. Results of total parathyroidectomy with parathyroid autotransplantation. *Journal de Chirurgie* 1993;**130**(8-9):327-34. [MEDLINE: 8253879]



Cunningham 2005a

Cunningham J, Danese M, Olson K, Klassen P, Chertow GM. Effects of the calcimimetic cinacalcet HCl on cardiovascular disease, fracture, and health-related quality of life in secondary hyperparathyroidism. *Kidney international* 2005;**68**(4):1793-800. [MEDLINE: 16164656]

Egger 1998

Egger M, Smith GD. Bias in location and selection of studies. *BMJ* 1998;**316**(7124):61-6. [MEDLINE: 9451274]

FDA 2004

US Food, Drug Administration. Sensipar (cinacalcet HCl) tablets. http://www.fda.gov/safety/medwatch/safetyinformation/ ucm194427.htm (accessed 2 June 2014).

Ganesh 2001

Ganesh SK, Stack AG, Levin NW, Hulbert-Shearon T, Port FK. Association of elevated serum PO(4), Ca x PO(4) product, and parathyroid hormone with cardiac mortality risk in chronic hemodialysis patient. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2001;**12**(10):2131-8. [MEDLINE: 11562412]

Guyatt 2008

Guyatt GH, Oxman A D, Vist GE, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y, Alonso-Coello P, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* 2008;**336**(7650):924-6. [MEDLINE: 18436948]

Higgins 2003

Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ* 2003;**327**(7414):557-60. [MEDLINE: 12958120]

Higgins 2011

Higgins JP, Green S (editors). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Available from www.cochrane-handbook.org.

Hutchison 1993

Hutchison AJ, Whitehouse RW, Boulton HF, Adams JE, Mawer EB, Freemont TJ, et al. Correlation of bone histology with parathyroid hormone, vitamin D3, and radiology in endstage renal disease. *Kidney international* 1995;**26**(5):622-31. [MEDLINE: 8264137]

Juni 2003

Juni P, Sterchi R, Dieppe P. Systematic review of celecoxib for osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis. Problems compromise review's validity. *BMJ* 2003;**326**(7384):334. [MEDLINE: 12574052]

KDIGO CKD 2013

Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) CKD Work Group. KDIGO 2012 clinical practice guideline for the evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease. *Kidney International - Supplement* 2013;**3**:1-150.

Kestenbaum 2004

Kestenbaum B, Seliger SL, Gillen DL, Wasse H, Young B, Sherrard DJ, et al. Parathyroidectomy rates among United States dialysis patients: 1990-1999. *Kidney International* 2004;**65**(1):282-8. [MEDLINE: 14675061]

Kestenbaum 2005

Kestenbaum B, Sampson JN, Rudser KD, Patterson DJ, Seliger SL, Young B, et al. Serum phosphate levels and mortality risk among people with chronic kidney disease. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2005;**16**(2):520-8. [MEDLINE: 15615819]

KHA-CARI 2014

KHA-CARI guidelines. http://www.cari.org.au/ (accessed 2 June 2014).

Lexchin 2003

Lexchin J, Bero LA, Djulbegovic B, Clark O. Pharmaceutical industry sponsorship and research outcome and quality: systematic review. *BMJ* 2003;**326**(7400):1167-70. [MEDLINE: 12775614]

Malluche 2004b

Malluche HH, Mawad H, Monier-Faugere MC. The importance of bone health in end-stage renal disease: out of the frying pan, into the fire?. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 2004;**19 Suppl 1**:i9-13. [MEDLINE: 15126648]

Marco 2003

Marco MP, Craver L, Betriu A, Belart M, Fibla J, Fernandez E. Higher impact of mineral metabolism on cardiovascular mortality in a European hemodialysis population. *Kidney International - Supplement* 2003;**85**:S111-4. [MEDLINE: 12753279]

Martin 2004

Martin KJ, Olgaard K, Coburn JW, Coen GM, Fukagawa M, Langman C, et al. Diagnosis, assessment and treatment of bone turnover abnormalities in renal osteodystrophy. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* 2004;**43**(3):558-65. [MEDLINE: 14981615]

McMahon 2004

McMahon LP, Roger SD, Levin A, SLIMHEART Investigators Group. Development, prevention and potential reversal of left ventricular hypertrophy in chronic kidney disease. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2004;**15**(6):1640-7. [MEDLINE: 15153576]

Mentaverri 2006

Mentaverri R, Yano S, Chattopadhyay N, Petit L, Kifor O, Kamel S, et al. The calcium sensing receptor is directly involved in both osteoclast differentiation and apoptosis. *FASEB Journal* 2006;**20**(14):2562-4. [MEDLINE: 17077282]

Mizobuchi 2007

Mizobuchi M, Ogata H, Hatamura I, Saji F, Koiwa F, Kinugasa E, et al. Activation of calcium-sensing receptor accelerates apoptosis in hyperplastic parathyroid cells. *Biochemical & Biophysical Research Communications* 2007;**362**(1):11-6. [MEDLINE: 17706605]



NICE 2007

NICE: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. TA117 Hyperparathyroidism - cinacalcet guidance (24 January 2007). http://guidance.nice.org.uk/TA117/Guidance/ (accessed 2 June 2014).

NKF 2003

National Kidney Foundation. K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines for bone metabolism and disease in chronic kidney disease. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* 2003;**42**(4 Suppl 3):S1-210. [MEDLINE: 14520607]

Psaty 1999

Psaty BM, Weiss NS, Furberg CD, Koepsell TD, Siscovick DS, Rosendaal FR, et al. Surrogate end points, health outcomes, and the drug-approval process for the treatment of risk factors for cardiovascular disease. *JAMA* 1999;**282**(8):786-90. [MEDLINE: 10463718]

Qi 1995

Qi Q, Monier-Faugere MC, Geng Z, Malluche HH. Predictive value of serum parathyroid hormone levels for bone turnover in patients on chronic maintenance dialysis. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* 1995;**26**(4):622-31. [MEDLINE: 7573017]

Simes 1986

Simes RJ. Publication bias: the case for an international registry of clinical trials. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 1986;**4**(10):1529-41. [MEDLINE: 3760920]

Stehman-Breen 2004

Stehman-Breen C. Osteoporosis and chronic kidney disease. Seminars in Nephrology 2004;**24**(1):78-81. [MEDLINE: 14730513]

Stevens 2004

Stevens LA, Djurdjev O, Cardew S, Cameron EC, Levin A. Calcium, phosphate, and parathyroid hormone levels in combination and as a function of dialysis duration predict mortality: evidence for the complexity of the association between mineral metabolism and outcomes. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2004;**15**(3):770-9. [MEDLINE: 14978180]

Temple 1999

Temple R. Are surrogate markers adequate to assess cardiovascular disease drugs?. *JAMA* 1999;**282**(8):790-5. [MEDLINE: 10463719]

Urena 2003

Urena P, Frazao JM. Calcimimetic agents: review and perspectives. *Kidney International - Supplement* 2003;**85**:S91-6. [MEDLINE: 12753275]

CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES

Characteristics of included studies [ordered by study ID]

ACHIEVE Study 2008

Methods • Study design: parallel, open-label RCT • Study duration: NS			
Methods • Study design: parallel, open-label RCT		Study duration: NS	
	Methods	Study design: parallel, open-label RCT	

Calcimimetics for secondary hyperparathyroidism in chronic kidney disease patients (Review) Copyright © 2014 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

USRDS 2012

Collins AJ, Foley RN, Herzog C, Chavers B, Gilbertson D, Herzog C, et al. United States Renal Data System 2012 annual data report: atlas of chronic kidney disease and end-stage renal disease in the United States. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* 2013;**61**(1 Suppl 1):e1-e480.

Wang 1995

Wang M, Hercz G, Sherrard DJ, Maloney NA, Segre GV, Pei Y. Relationship between intact 1-84 parathyroid hormone and bone histomorphometric parameters in dialysis patients without aluminum toxicity. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* 1995;**26**(5):836-44. [MEDLINE: 7485142]

Weiner 2006

Weiner DE, Tabatabai S, Tighiouart H, Elsayed E, Bansal N, Griffith J, et al. Cardiovascular outcomes and all-cause mortality: exploring the interaction between CKD and cardiovascular disease. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* 2006;**48**(3):392-401. [MEDLINE: 16931212]

Ziolkowska 2000

Ziolkowska H, Paniczyk-Tomaszewska M, Debinski A, Polowiec Z, Sawicki A, Sieniawska M. Bone biopsy results and serum bone turnover parameters in uremic children. *Acta Paediatrica* 2000;**89**(6):666-71. [MEDLINE: 10914959]

References to other published versions of this review

Palmer 2013

Palmer SC, Nistor I, Craig JC, Pellegrini F, Messa P, Tonelli M, et al. Cinacalcet in patients with chronic kidney disease: a cumulative meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *PLoS Medicine* 2013;**10**(4):e1001436. [MEDLINE: 23637579]

Strippoli 2006a

Strippoli GF, Tong A, Palmer SC, Elder G, Craig JC. Calcimimetics for secondary hyperparathyroidism in chronic kidney disease patients. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2006, Issue 4. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006254]

Strippoli 2006b

Strippoli GF, Palmer S, Tong A, Elder G, Messa P, Craig JC. Metaanalysis of biochemical and patient-level effects of calcimimetic therapy. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* 2006;**47**(5):715-26. [MEDLINE: 16632010]

* Indicates the major publication for the study



ACHIEVE Study 2008 (Continued)

	• Study follow-up: 27	weeks			
Participants	Country: USA				
	Setting: multicentre	e (42 centres)			
	 Patients ≥ 18 years; age SHPT; historica albumin-corrected s 300 to 800 pg/mL w levels between 150 period during which on at least 2 occasi and (d) area [Sad for a construction] 	received HD for \geq 3 mo; were receiving either paricalcitol or doxercalciferol to man- l plasma PTH values between 150 and 800 pg/mL (confirmed during screening); serum total calcium concentrations \geq 8.4 mg/dL. Patients with PTH levels between vere considered without regard to serum Ca x P levels, whereas those with PTH to 300 pg/mL were considered only if Ca x P > 55 mg ² /dL ² . After a 3-wk washout n vitamin D therapy was withheld, PTH and calcium levels were measured again ons. Patients with mean PTH values > 300 pg/mL and mean calcium levels \geq 8.4			
	Mumber: treatment	study			
	 Mean age + SD (year 	group (87), control group (88) s): treatment group (57.7 + SD 14.9): control group (59 + 12.4)			
	 Mean age ± 5D (yean Sex (M/F): treatment 	t group $(52/35)$: control group $(45/41)$			
	 Exclusion criteria: p involved in any other 	regnant or nursing; undergone a parathyroidectomy within the previous 3 mo; er clinical study within the past 30 d; had received cinacalcet previously			
Interventions	Treatment group				
	Cinacalcet				
	• Low dose vitamin D				
	• Duration: 16 weeks	titration, 11 weeks maintenance			
	Control group				
	Vitamin D				
	Co-interventions: NS				
Outcomes	 Simultaneously ach dL² 	ieved a mean PTH between 150 and 300 pg/mL and a mean Ca x P value < 55 mg $^2\!/$			
	 Achieved KDOQI tar 	gets for PTH, calcium, phosphorous, and Ca x P individually			
	Absolute and percertProportion with 309	ntage change from baseline in values for PTH, calcium, phosphorous, and Ca x P 6 reduction in PTH			
Notes	• ITT: yes				
	• Funding: "The ACHIEVE study (Study ID Number 20050102) and the preparation of this manuscript were funded by Amgen, Inc. The authors wish to thank Nelson Erlick, DPM, MS, on behalf of Amgen Inc. and Jane Mannion, MS, Amgen Inc. for their assistance in the preparation of this manuscript (Ms Mannion is currently employed by Baxter International, Inc.)"				
Risk of bias					
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement			
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk	NS			
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	NS			
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias)	High risk	Not used			

All outcomes

ACHIEVE Study 2008 (Continued)

Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	Not used
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	Lost to follow-up 24% of the patients
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	Data available for all included outcomes
Other bias	High risk	Sponsor on authorship

ADVANCE Study 2010

Methods	Study design: parallel, open-label RCT				
	Study duration: NS				
	Study follow-up: 52 weeks				
Participants	Country: multinational				
	Setting: multicentre (90 centres)				
	 HD ≥ 3 mo; iPTH > 300 pg/mL; serum calcium ≥ 8.4 mg/dL; serum Ca x P > 50 mg²/dL² 				
	 Number: treatment group (180); control group (180) 				
	 Mean age ± SD (years): treatment group (61.2 ± 12.6); control group (61.8 ± 12.8) 				
	 Sex (M/F): treatment group (112/68); control group (95/85) 				
	 Exclusion criteria: previous cinacalcet treatment; calcium-free phosphate binding agents; bisphosphonate therapy; lipid lowering within 30 d; atrial fibrillation; coronary artery bypass grafting or stent; valve replacement; heart transplant; pacemaker; aortic aneurysm; parathyroidectomy within 3 mo or in next 6 mo; scheduled kidney transplant; body weight > 136 kg; inability to absorb oral medications; sensitivity to cinacalcet; unstable medical condition 				
Interventions	Treatment group				
	Cinacalcet				
	Low dose vitamin D				
	Duration: 20-week dose-titration phase, 32-week follow-up phase				
	Control group				
	Vitamin D at the same dose prescribed before randomisation				
	Duration: 52 weeks				
	Co-interventions				
	 Treatment group: vitamin D (75%); phosphate binders (calcium-based (83%); sevelamer (26%); lan- thanum (4%); other (11%)) 				
	 Control group: vitamin D (79%); phosphate binders (calcium-based (84%); sevelamer (26%); lan- thanum (7%); other (8%)) 				
Outcomes	% change in CAC score from baseline to week 52				
	Absolute change in CAC score from baseline to week 52				
	Absolute and percentage change in calcification scores for the thoracic aorta, aortic valve and mitral				
	valve from baseline to week 52				
	 > 15% progression of CAC from baseline to week 52 				

ADVANCE Study 2010 (Continued)

Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.

- Absolute and percentage change in PTH, calcium, phosphorous and Ca × P from baseline to the end of study as assessed during weeks 44 to 52 of follow-up
- Safety of cinacalcet as measured by the type, frequency and severity of adverse events and their reported relationship to treatment
- Absolute and percent changes in mean PTH, calcium, phosphorous and Ca × P values from baseline to end of study as assessed at week 44–52 in the efficacy analysis set

Notes

ITT: yes

Funding: "This study was sponsored by Amgen Inc. J.F. has received speaker and consultant honoraria from Amgen, Genzyme, Shire and has received grant support from Amgen and Fresenius. P.R. has received research grants from Amgen and Genzyme. G.A.B. has received research grants from Amgen, Genzyme, Shire, Novartis, DaVita, and Fresenius; has received fees for expert consultancy and/or advice from Amgen, Genzyme, Shire, Mitsubishi, and Theraclion. P.U.T. has received fees for clinical research, speaking and expert consultancy from Amgen, Shire, Novartis, Roche, Fresenius, Roche, HAS, and Hemotech. G.M.C. has received research funding from Amgen. W.G.G., N.L., G.D. and B.D. are employees and stockholders in Amgen"

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk	NS
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	NS
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Open-label (http://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT00379899)
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	NS
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	Loss to follow-up 22.2 % patients
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	High risk	Not reported systematically (end of treatment calcium, phoshorous, PTH and adverse events)
Other bias	High risk	Sponsor on authorship

Akiba 2008

Methods	 Study design: parallel RCT Study duration: April 2003 to October 2003 Study follow-up: 5 weeks
Participants	 Country: Japan Setting: multicentre (2 centres) Aged 20 to 74 years; serum iPTH ≥ 300 pg/mL, serum calcium 9.0–11.5 mg/dL; treatment with HD for at least 12 weeks before the screening period. Patients receiving vitamin D sterols or phosphate binders

Akiba 2008 (Continued)		
	were required to be and the size of the o enrolment.	e on a stable dose during the screening period. Dialysate calcium concentration dialyzer membrane surface area could not be changed during the 14 days before
	Number: treatment	group (91 randomised, 79 completed); control group (30)
	• Mean age ± SD (year	rs): treatment group (56.7 ± 9.2; 55.8 ± 7.7; 53.2 ± 7.0); control group (51.8 ± 7.5)
	• Sex (M/F): treatmen	t group (54/25); control group (25/5)
	• Exclusion criteria: so uncontrolled DM; m within 24 weeks be tion therapy (PEIT) o	evere hepatic diseases; cirrhosis, severe heart failure; uncontrolled hypertension; halignant neoplasm; serious infectious diseases; undergone a parathyroidectomy fore enrolment; parathyroid intervention therapies (percutaneous ethanol injec- during the screening period; pregnant or lactating females
Interventions	Treatment group	
	• Cinacalcet: 12.5 to 5	50 mg/d
	• Duration: 3 weeks m	naintenance, 2 weeks follow-up
	Control group	
	 Placebo 	
	Co-interventions	
	• Treatment group: vi	itamin D (67%); phosphate binders (96%)
	Control group: vitar	nin D (70%); phosphate binders (100%)
Outcomes	Percentage changes	s from baseline in serum iPTH levels at the end of dosing (week 3)
	 Percentage changes ers (BSAP, osteocald) 	s from baseline in serum calcium, phosphorous, Ca x P and bone metabolism mark- cin, TRACP and NTx) levels at the end of dosing
Notes	• ITT: per-protocol an	alysis was used to analyse efficacy endpoints
	Three treatment gro	oups were combined
	 Funding: "This stud Koshikawa are scier 	y was supported by Kirin Brewery. Drs Akizawa, Tsukamoto, Uchida, Iwasaki and ntific advisors for Kirin Brewery"
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	Central computerised system
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	NS

Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	"Cinacalcet and placebo tablets were identical in appearance in order to main- tain the double-blind status of the study."
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	"All laboratory determinations, except for hematological assessments, were performed at a central laboratory"
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	Per-protocol analysis was used to analyse efficacy endpoints. 14.2% lost to fol- low-up in the active arm. 10.7% lost to follow-up in total

Akiba 2008 (Continued)

Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	All the prespecified outcomes were reported
Other bias	High risk	Authors are scientific advisors for sponsor

Block 2004a

Methods	Study design: paralleStudy duration: Dece	el RCT ember 2001 to January 2003
	• Study follow-up: 26 v	<i>w</i> eeks
Participants	 Country: multination Setting: multicentre Medically stable pati HD for at least three obtained within a 30 the study. Number: treatment g 	nal (125 centres) ents with secondary hyperparathyroidism; ≥ 18 years; treated with thrice-weekly e mo; mean plasma PTH of at least 300 pg/mL; established by 3 measurements -day screening period. Dialysate calcium levels remained unchanged throughout group (371); control group (370)
	Mean age ± SD (years	s): treatment group (54 ± 14) ; control group (55 ± 15)
	 Sex (M/F): treatment Exclusion criteria: ev serum Ca < 8.4 mg/dl tricyclic antidepressa 	group (226/145); control group (229/141) vidence of cancer; active infection; diseases known to cause hypercalcaemia; L corrected for albumin; receiving drugs such as flecainide, thioridazine, and most ants
Interventions	Treatment group	
	• Cinacalcet: 30 to 180	mg/d
	• Duration: 12 weeks t	itration, 14 weeks maintenance
	Control group	
	• Placebo	
	Co-interventions	
	Treatment group: vitControl group: vitam	amin D (66%); phosphate binders (92%) nin D (67%); phosphate binders (93%)
Outcomes	 Mean PTH ≤ 250 pg/r Reduction from base Percent change in th 	nL e line of at least 30% in mean PTH levels e values for PTH, calcium, phosphorous, Ca x P
Notes	 Stop/endpoints: iPTF ITT: no Pooled data from 2 s Funding: "Supported 	H < 250 pg/mL tudies d by Amgen"
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk	NS



Block 2004a (Continued)

Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	NS
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Blinded study
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	NS
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	Loss to follow-up 22%
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	All the prespecified outcomes were reported
Other bias	High risk	Pooled data from 2 studies; statistical analyses and data interpretation by sponsor; data held by sponsor; editorial assistance from sponsor

Charytan 2005	
Methods	 Study design: parallel RCT Study duration: June 2002 and March 2003
	Study follow-up: 18 weeks
Participants	 Country: USA and Canada Setting: multicentre (16 centres) Men and women, ≥ 18 years with CKD and SHPT but were not receiving dialysis; GFR of 15 to 50 mL/min/1.73 m²; one iPTH < 130 pg/mL; serum Ca ≥ 9.0 mg/dL
	 Number: treatment group (27); control group (27) Mean age ± SD (years): treatment group (60.6 ± 15.6); control group (61.9 ± 15.1) Sex (M/F): treatment group (16/11); control group (22/5) Exclusion criteria: any unstable medical condition; pregnant or lactating; undergone parathyroidectomy or experienced MI in the previous 3 months; kidney transplantation at any time; changed vitamin D therapy in the previous 30 days; were likely to begin dialysis therapy or receive a kidney transplant within 18 weeks
Interventions	 Treatment group Cinacalcet: 30 to 180 mg/d Duration: 12 weeks titration 6 weeks maintenance
	 Control group Placebo: 30 to 180 mg/d Duration: 12 weeks titration, 6 weeks maintenance Co-interventions Treatment group: vitamin D (22%); phosphate binders (37%) Control group: vitamin D (33%); phosphate binders (48%)
Outcomes	 ≥ 30% reduction from baseline in mean iPTH



Charytan 2005 (Continued)	 Percentage change Collection of advers	in mean iPTH within each treatment group (efficacy) se events and laboratory parameters (safety)
Notes	 ITT: yes Funding: "Supported in part by a grant from Amgen Inc. WL, PSK, and LCM are employees of Amgen Inc" 	
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk	NS
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Centralised interactive voice-response system
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor-	Low risk	Blinded study

mance bias) All outcomes		
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	NS
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	Loss to follow-up 30% of patients
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	All the prespecified outcomes were reported
Other bias	High risk	Sponsor on authorship

Chonchol 2009

Methods	 Study design: parallel RCT Study duration: December 2004 to August 2006 Study follow-up: 32 weeks
Participants	 Country: multinational Setting: multicentre (73 centres) Adults with an iPTH ≥ 100 pg/mL (CKD stage 3) or ≥ 160 pg/mL (CKD stage 4); eGFR 15 to 59 mL/min/1.73 m²; albumin-corrected serum calcium concentration ≥ 9.0 mg/dL Number: treatment group (302); control group (102) Mean age ± SD (years): treatment group (64.7 ± 13.3); control group (66.2 ± 12.2) Sex (M/F): treatment group (177/125); control group (60/42) Exclusion criteria: kidney transplantation; pregnancy; lactation; laboratory evidence of primary hyperparathyroidism; unstable medical condition; participation in a previous cinacalcet clinical study; likelihood of dialysis or scheduled for kidney transplantation within 28 weeks after day 1; MI within 3 months before day 1; participation in another investigational study; prior treatment with cinacalcet; change in active vitamin D sterol treatment in the previous 30 days
Interventions	Treatment group



Chonchol 2009 (Continued)	 Cinacalcet: 30 to 180 mg/d Duration: 16 weeks titration, 16 weeks maintenance Control group Placebo Co-interventions Treatment group: vitamin D (21%); phosphate binders (19%) Control group: vitamin D (21%); phosphate binders (18%)
Outcomes	 Mean ≥ 30% decrease in iPTH level iPTH ≤ 70 pg/mL (CKD stage 3) or ≤ 110 pg/mL (CKD stage 4) Mean percentages of change in iPTH levels from baseline Adverse events Changes in haematology, clinical chemistry and urine calcium and phosphorous results
Notes	 ITT: no Funding: "The writing of this manuscript was supported by Amgen Inc; see Financial Disclosure for further information." "This trial (20000178) was sponsored by Amgen Inc, which markets cinacalcet. Dr Chonchol is a member of advisory boards for Amgen; Dr Locatelli is a member of advisory boards for Amgen-Dompé, Shire, and Mitsubishi; Dr Charytan receives research and/or consultation support from Amgen; Dr de Francisco is a clinical advisor for Amgen and lectures for Amgen, Roche, Jansen Cilag, and Abbott; Dr Jolly is a stockholder of Amgen; Drs Albizem and Mix and Ms Kubo are employees of and stockholders of Amgen; and Dr Block is an advisor for Amgen and has received research support from Amgen."

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk	NS
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Interactive voice-response system
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Blinded study
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	NS
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Loss to follow-up 3% of patients
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	All the prespecified outcomes were reported
Other bias	High risk	Sponsor on authorship; sponsor involved in writing manuscript



El Shafey 2011			
Methods	• Study design: parall	el RCT	
	Study duration: July	/ 2009 to August 2010	
	• Study follow-up: 36	weeks	
Participants	• Country: Kuwait; Sa	udi Arabia	
	Setting: multicentre	e (2 centres)	
	 Adults ≥ 18 years; ES pmol/L; albumin-co could have iPTH lev 	KD with SHPT; receiving maintenance HD 3 times/week for≥3 months; iPTH≥31.8 rrected serum calcium ≥ 2.1 mmol/L; no more than 20% of the study population els exceeding 84.8 pmol/L	
	Number: treatment	group (55); control group (27)	
	• Mean age ± SD (year	s): treatment group (51.5 ± 12.7); control group (51.8 ± 15)	
	 Sex (M/F): treatmen 	t group (27/28); control group (14/13)	
	• Exclusion criteria: an study day 1; underg associated with imp vitamin D therapy fo day 1; enrolled in ot	be a parathyroidectomy within 6 month of study day 1; gastrointestinal disorder baired absorption of oral medications or an inability to swallow tablets; received for < 21 d; change in prescribed vitamin D brand or dose within 21 d before study her studies; previously enrolled or participated in other cinacalcet clinical studies	
Interventions	Treatment group		
	• Cinacalcet: 30 to 18	0 mg/d	
	Duration: 12 weeks	titration, 24 weeks maintenance	
	Control group		
	Conventional therapy		
	Co-interventions		
	• Treatment group: vi	tamin D (50%); phosphate binders (86%)	
	Control group: vitar	nin D (52%); phosphate binders (89%)	
Outcomes	 Mean iPTH ≤ 31.8 pr 	nol/L	
	• Both mean Ca x P < 4.44 mmol ² /L ² and iPTH \leq 31.8 pmol/L		
	Mean calcium < 2.37	/ mmol/L	
	 Mean Ca x P < 4.44 n 	nmol ² /l ²	
	Adverse events		
	Changes in safety la	boratory parameters (including clinical chemistry and haematology)	
Notes	• ITT: no		
	Funding: NS		
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk	NS	
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	NS	
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias)	High risk	Not blinded	



El Shafey 2011 (Continued) All outcomes

Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	NS
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Loss to follow-up 5% of patients
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	All the prespecified outcomes were reported
Other bias	High risk	Uneven comparisons

EVOLVE study 2007

Methods	Study design: parallel RCT		
	Study duration: 22 August 2006, to 31 January 2008		
	Study follow-up: treatment group (21.2 months); control group (17.5 months)		
Participants	 Country: multinational (USA, Canada, Argentina, Brazil, Mexico, Australia, Austria, Belgium, D mark, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Russia, Spain, Swec Switzerland, UK) 		
	Setting: multicentre (approx. 500 centres)		
	 Men or women ≥18 years of age at screening; treated with maintenance HD 3 times/wk for ≥ 3 months before randomisation; PTH ≥ 300 pg/mL; serum calcium ≥ 8.4 mg/dL; Ca x P ≥45 mg²/dL²; available during the follow-up phase of the study; agree to be followed for study endpoints until the end of study; appropriate written informed consent must be obtained. 		
	Number: treatment group (1948); control group (1935)		
	• Mean age \pm SD: 54 \pm 14 years		
	 Sex (M/F): treatment group (1140/808); control group (1167/768) 		
	• Exclusion criteria: unstable medical condition; parathyroidectomy; severe concomitant disease, in- cluding life-threatening malignancy or acquired immune deficiency syndrome, or any other life- threatening concomitant disease; received therapy with cinacalcet within 3 months of randomisation; hospitalization within 12 weeks of randomisation for any of the following events (MI, unstable angina, heart failure, peripheral vascular disease, stroke; history of seizure within 12 weeks prior to randomi- sation); scheduled date for kidney transplant from a known living donor; anticipated parathyroidec- tomy within 6 months after randomisation; currently enrolled in or has not yet completed at least 30 days since ending other investigational device or drug study(s); receiving other investigational agen- t(s); known sensitivity or intolerance to any of the products to be administered; any kind of disorder that compromises the ability of the subject to give written informed consent and/or to comply with study procedures; pregnant; breast feeding; child-bearing potential and not using adequate contra- ceptive precautions		
Interventions	Treatment group		
	 Cinacalcet: 30 to 180 mg/d Duration: 21.2 months 		
	Control group		
	PlaceboDuration: 17.5 months		
	Co-interventions		

EVOLVE study 2007 (Continued)	 Treatment group: vitamin D (59.3%); phosphate binders (87.8%) Control group: vitamin D (59.6%); phosphate binders (89%) 		
Outcomes	 Composite endpoint of time to death or first nonfatal cardiovascular event (MI, hospitalisation for unstable angina, heart failure, or a peripheral vascular event) Time to individual components of primary composite endpoint Death from cardiovascular causes Stroke Bone fracture Parathyroidectomy 		
Notes	 ITT: yes Funding: "This study was funded by Amgen, Inc and led by an executive committee composed of academic members, two sponsor members (nonvoting), and statisticians. The executive committee oversaw the design, conduct, and all analyses. Data were collected by the sponsor and shared with the executive committee throughout the study and after unblinding. The analysis was performed by the sponsor and confirmed by an independent biostatistician at Stanford University School of Medicine. The sponsor provided the active medication and matching placebo. The lead author wrote the first draft of the manuscript, and the executive committee was responsible for data interpretation and manuscript completion. The sponsor reviewed the manuscript, but decisions about the final manuscript were made by the lead author and academic members of the executive committee only." 		

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Generated by Amgen
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Interactive voice-response system
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Double-blinded
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Blinded
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Loss to follow-up 7.9% of patients
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	All the prespecified outcomes were reported
Other bias	High risk	Sponsor on authorship; sponsor involved in writing manuscript; sponsor held data and analysed data

Fukagawa 2008

Methods

- Study design: parallel RCT
- Study duration: April to December 2004



Fukagawa 2008 (Continued) • Study follow-up: 14 weeks Participants Country: Japan • Setting: multicentre (29 centres) Patients > 20 years of age with ESRD and SHPT who were undergoing HD 3 times/wk for at least 16 weeks and were in a medically stable condition; serum iPTH level ≥300 pg/mL both at 1 and 2 weeks prior to the cinacalcet administration; serum calcium level ≥ 9.0 mg/dL at 1 week prior to the cinacalcet administration Number: treatment group (72); control group (71) Mean age \pm SD (years): treatment group (54.7 \pm 11); control group (55.7 \pm 11.7) Sex (M/F): treatment group (40/32); control group (37/34) Exclusion criteria: parathyroidectomy within 24 weeks prior to the treatment; percutaneous ethanol injection therapy into the parathyroid gland during the 4 weeks' screening period; severe impairment of hepatic function; severe hypertension; uncontrolled DM; cancer; severe infection; severe cardiac failure Interventions Treatment group • Cinacalcet: 30 to 180 mg/d Duration: 14 weeks Control group Placebo Co-interventions • Treatment group: vitamin D (87.5%); phosphate binders (93.1%) Control group: vitamin D (88.7%); phosphate binders (95.8%) • Outcomes • Percentage with serum iPTH levels ≤ 250 pg/mL at the end of the dosing Adverse events Laboratory variables • Vital signs Notes ITT: yes Funding: "This study was supported by Kirin Pharma Co., Ltd." **Risk of bias** Bias **Authors' judgement** Support for judgement Random sequence genera-Low risk Centralised computer system tion (selection bias) Allocation concealment Unclear risk NS (selection bias) Double-blinded **Blinding of participants** Low risk and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes

Blinding of outcome as- Unclear risk NS sessment (detection bias) All outcomes

Fukagawa 2008 (Continued)		
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Loss to follow-up 2.8% of patients
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	All the prespecified outcomes were reported

Goodman 2000

Methods	Study design: parallel RCT
	Study duration: NS
	Study follow-up: 24 days
Participants	Country: USA
	Setting: multicentre
	 Medically stable individuals ≥ 18 years undergoing HD 3 times/wk for at least 3 months with biochem- ical evidence of secondary hyperparathyroidism as judged by serum PTH levels between 300 and 1200 pg/mL; calcium levels > 9.0 mg/dL; serum phosphorous levels > 3.0 mg/dL; serum aluminium levels < 40 mg/L; serum CO₂ levels > 15 mEq/L; serum potassium concentrations < 6.2 mEq/L; HCT values >
	30%; serum ferritin levels > 100 ng/mL; transferrin saturation values > 20%; urea reduction rate ≥ 65% and/or measured Kt/V values > 1.2.
	Number: treatment group (16); control group (5 randomised, 4 analysed)
	 Mean age ± SD (years): treatment group (48.6 ± 12.4); control group (54.7 ± 16.8)
	 Sex (M/F): treatment group (13/3); control group (1/3)
	• Exclusion criteria: women of childbearing age unless they were using effective contraceptive mea- sures or had previously been rendered sterile surgically; serum levels of hepatic transaminases or bilirubin were more than twice the upper limit of normal or if they were using medication such as se- lective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, tricyclic antidepressants, or B-adrenergic blocking agents that are metabolized extensively by the P450 pathway; history of seizures; malignancy; hyperthyroidism; granulomatous diseases that could cause hypercalcaemia; MI within the previous 6 months; correct- ed QT interval (QTc) on electrocardiogram that exceeded 450 milliseconds
Interventions	Treatment group
	• R-568: 100 mg/d
	Duration: 15 days maintenance
	Control group
	• Placebo
	Co-interventions
	 Treatment group: vitamin D (38%); phosphate binders (31%) Control group: vitamin D (50%); phosphate binders (50%)
Outcomes	Plasma PTH
	Blood-ionized calcium
	Pharmacokinetic data
	Adverse effects
Notes	
NOLES	• ITT: no



Goodman 2000 (Continued)

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk	NS
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	NS
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Double-blinded
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	NS
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	Loss to follow-up 38% of patients
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	High risk	Not reported systematically (end of treatment calcium, phoshorous, PTH and adverse events)
Other bias	High risk	Sponsor on authorship

Goodman 2002	
Methods	 Study design: parallel RCT Study duration: NS Study follow-up: 16 days
Participants	 Country: USA Setting: multicentre Patients ≥ 18 years; medically stable, and had been treated for at least 3 months with thrice-weekly HD with evidence of secondary hyperparathyroidism as judged by two plasma PTH determinations obtained at least a week apart within 21 d of the initial dose of AMG-073 that were between 250 and 1500 pg/mL; serum total calcium values of ≥ 9.0 mg/dL after correcting for serum albumin concentrations; serum phosphorous levels of ≥ 2.5 mg/dL; serum aluminium levels < 40 ug/L; Hb level ≥ 10 g/ dL or a blood HCT ≥ 30%; chest radiograph within the past 6 months showing no evidence of active parenchymal disease; body mass index between 15 and 40 kg/m² Number: treatment group (23); control group (7) Mean age ± SD: 46 ± 16 years Sex (M/F): NS Exclusion criteria: women of childbearing age unless they had previously been rendered sterile surgically for other medical reasons; serum levels of hepatic transaminases or bilirubin were more than twice the upper limit of normal; history of seizures within the past 12 months; malignancy within the past 5 years; hyperparathyroidism; MI within the previous 6 months a cardiac ventricular rhythm disturbance requiring active treatment; a gastrointestinal disorder that could affect the absorption of drugs given orally; granulomatous diseases that could cause hypercalcaemia
Interventions	Treatment group



Goodman 2002 (Continued)	 AMG-073: 10 to 50 mg/d Duration: 8 days maintenance
	Control group
	• Placebo
	Co-interventions: NS
Outcomes	 Serum PTH Serum calcium Dose response
Notes	 ITT: no Funding: "This work was supported by Amgen Inc. and by USPHS grants DK-52905, DK-60107, and RR-00865"

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk	NS
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	NS
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Double blinded
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	NS
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	No patients lost to follow-up
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	All the prespecified outcomes were reported
Other bias	High risk	Sponsor on authorship

Harris 2004

Methods	 Study design: parallel RCT Study duration: NS Study follow-up: 12 weeks
Participants	 Country: USA Setting: multicentre (2 centres) Medically stable patients with serum calcium levels corrected for albumin concentration ≥ 8.4 mg/dL; serum phosphorous levels ≥ 3.0 mg/dL Number: treatment group (17); control group (5)



Harris 2004 (Continued)	 Mean age ± SD (year Sex (M/F): treatmen Exclusion criteria: N 	rs): treatment group (48.5 ± 10.4); control group (48 ± 13.1) t group (14/3); control group (4/1) IS
Interventions	Treatment group	
	Cinacalcet: 25 to 30Duration: 12 weeks	0 mg/d
	Control group	
	• Placebo	
	Co-interventions	
	Treatment group: vControl group: vitar	itamin D (71%); phosphate binders (100%) nin D (60%); phosphate binders (80%)
Outcomes	 Pharmacodynamic Adverse effects	data at doses > 100 mg
Notes	 ITT: no Funding: "From Phagen Inc, Thousand C ter, New Orleans, LA Not included in met 	armacokinetics and Drug Metabolism, Early Development, and Biostatistics, Am- Daks, CA; Orlando Clinical Research Center, Orlando, FL; and Clinical Research Cen- A" a-analyses
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk	NS
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	NS
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Double-blinded
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	NS
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	Loss to follow-up 59.1% of patients
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	High risk	Not reported systematically (end of treatment calcium, phosphorous, PTH and adverse events)
Other bias	High risk	Sponsor on authorship



IMPACT SHPT Study 2012		
Methods	• Study design: parall	el, open-label RCT
	Study duration: NS	
	• Study follow-up: 28	weeks
Participants	Country: multinatio	nal
	Setting: multicentre	e (89 centres)
	 Eligible patients we least 3 months befor pg/mL; total alkalin or ≤ 70 mg²/dL² for ≤ 6.5 mg/dL 	re aged \ge 8 years with Stage 5 CKD receiving maintenance HD 3 times/wk for at ore screening and were to continue HD during the study; serum iPTH 130 to 700 ie phosphatase \ge 0 U/L; calcium \le 10.0 mg/dL; Ca x P \le 5 mg ² /dL ² for US centres non-US centres; iPTH 300–800 pg/mL; calcium 8.4 to 10.0 mg/dL; phosphorous
	Number: treatment	group (134); control group (134)
	 Mean age ± SD (yea group-IV (61.2 ± 12.7 	rs): treatment group-IV (59.9 ± 12.0); treatment group-oral (65.1 ± 12.5); control 7); control group-oral (65.7 ± 13.5)
	• Sex (M/F): treatmer group-oral (49/23)	nt group-IV (38/26); treatment group-oral (43/27); control group-IV (38/26); oral
	 Exclusion criteria: al ment of > 2.0 g of or orders; clinically sig 3A or of drugs metal 	llergic reaction or significant sensitivity to any study drug; expected daily require- ral elemental calcium; previous parathyroidectomy; chronic gastrointestinal dis- nificant liver disease and use of known inhibitors or inducers of cytochrome P450 polized by cytochrome P450 2D6 within 2 weeks before study drug administration
Interventions	Treatment group	
	Cinacalcet	
	• Low dose vitamin D	
	Duration: 28 weeks	
	Control group	
	• Vitamin D	
	Co-interventions: NS	
Outcomes	Mean iPTH value of a	150 to 300 pg/mL during weeks 21 to 28
	 Achieved ≥ 30% or ≥ Hypocalcaomia (module) 	50% reduction from baseline in IPTH
	Hypocalcaemia (me Hypercalcaemia (me	$an \operatorname{calcium} > 3.4 \operatorname{mg/dL}$ during weeks 21 to 28
	 BSAP 	
	Alkaline phosphatas	Se
Notes	• ITT: yes	
	 Funding: "The IMPA sistance, funded by Colleen Hedge of Sc 	CT SHPT study was funded by Abbott Laboratories Inc. Writing and editorial as- Abbott Laboratories Inc., was provided by Roland Tacke, PhD, Marsha Hall and ientific Connexions, Newtown, PA, USA"
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Generated by Clinical Statistics department of Abbott
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Interactive voice-response system



IMPACT SHPT Study 2012 (Continued)

Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Not blinded
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	NS
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	Loss to follow-up 24.3% of patients
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	All the prespecified outcomes were reported
Other bias	High risk	Sponsor on authorship; sponsor involved in writing manuscript; sponsor held data and analysed data

Lindberg 2003

Methods	 Study design: parallel RCT Study duration: NS Study follow-up: 18 weeks
Participants	 Country: USA, Canada Setting: multicentre (23 centres) Patients ≥ 18 years, treated for at least 3 mo with HD; PTH levels ≥ 300 pg/mL despite receiving standard of care (phosphate binders and/or vitamin D sterols); serum calcium corrected for serum albumin ≥ 8.8 mg/dL and < 11.0 mg/dL; serum phosphorous ≥ 2.5 mg/dL; Ca x P < 70 mg²/dL². Patients receiving vitamin D sterols were required to be on a stable dose for at least 21 days before enrolment; dialysate calcium concentration and calcium supplements/oral phosphate binders dose could not be changed during the 7 days before enrolment Number: treatment group (39); control group (39) Mean age ± SD (years): treatment group (52.7 ± 16.4); control group (48.8 ± 15.6) Sex (M/F): treatment group (24/15); control group (22/17) Exclusion criteria: medically unstable; evidence of an active infectious or malignant process or diseases known to cause hypercalcaemia; Hb concentration < 9.0 g/dL or a HCT < 27%; liver transaminases and bilirubin levels more than twice the upper limit of normal
Interventions	Treatment group
	• AMG-073: 10 to 50 mg/d
	Duration: 12 weeks titration, 6 weeks maintenance
	Control group
	• Placebo
	Co-interventions
	 Treatment group: vitamin D (67%); phosphate binders (87%) Control group: vitamin D (62%); phosphate binders (87%)
Outcomes	 Reduction in PTH ≥ 30% during the maintenance phase



Lindberg 2003 (Continued)	 Mean percent change from baseline for PTH, serum calcium, phosphorous, and Ca x P during the main- tenance phase
	Adverse events Japaraton (variables (bacmatology and biochemistry)
	 Vital signs
Notes	 ITT: no Eunding: "Funding for this study was provided by Amgen Inc"

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk	NS
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	NS
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Double-blinded
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	NS
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	Loss to follow-up 14.1% of patients
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	High risk	Not reported systematically (end of treatment calcium, phosphorous, PTH and adverse events)
Other bias	High risk	Sponsor on authorship

Lindberg 2005

Methods	 Study design: parallel RCT Study duration: May 2002 to March 2003 Study follow-up: 26 weeks
Participants	 Country: multinational Setting: multicentre (60 centres)
	 Age ≥18 years; mean of two plasma iPTH values ≥ 300 pg/mL; mean of two serum calcium values ≥ 8.4 mg/dL during the screening phase; treatment with HD, continuous ambulatory PD, or automated PD for at least 1 mo before beginning study medication; patients who were receiving vitamin D therapy must have been treated with a stable dose for at least 30 d before enrolment
	Number: treatment group (294); control group (101)
	• Mean age \pm SD (years): treatment group (51.8 \pm 14.0); control group (53.5 \pm 13.9)
	 Sex (M/F): treatment group (181/113); control group (64/37)
	 Exclusion criteria: an unstable medical condition; undergone parathyroidectomy; MI within 3 mo be- fore the study began



Interventions	Treatment group	
	Cinacalcet: 30 to 18	0 mg/d
	• Duration: 16 weeks	titration, 10 weeks maintenance
	Control group	
	 Placebo 	
	Co-interventions	
	Treatment group: viControl group: vitar	itamin D (65%); phosphate binders (NS) nin D (69%); phosphate binders (NS)
Outcomes	 Mean iPTH level ≤ 2! Reduction in iPTH o Mean percentage ch Mean iPTH ≤ 300 pg Ca x P < 55 mg²/dL² Mean reduction in C 	50 pg/mL f at least 30% from baseline nanges from baseline for iPTH, serum calcium, phosphorous, and Ca x P /mL or reductions in iPTH of a least 20%, 40%, or 50% from baseline Ca x P of at least 5 or 10 mg²/dL²
Notes	 ITT: yes Funding: "This study was supported by Amgen Inc. Holly Brenza Zoog assisted in the preparation of the manuscript." 	
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	Programmatic algorithm
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias) Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk Low risk	Programmatic algorithm Interactive voice-response system
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias) Allocation concealment (selection bias) Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk Low risk Low risk	Programmatic algorithm Interactive voice-response system Double-blinded
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias) Allocation concealment (selection bias) Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk Low risk Low risk Unclear risk	Programmatic algorithm Interactive voice-response system Double-blinded NS
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias) Allocation concealment (selection bias) Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk Low risk Low risk Unclear risk High risk	Programmatic algorithm Interactive voice-response system Double-blinded NS Loss to follow-up 25.3% of patients
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias) Allocation concealment (selection bias) Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk Low risk Low risk Unclear risk High risk High risk	Programmatic algorithm Interactive voice-response system Double-blinded NS Loss to follow-up 25.3% of patients Not reported systematically (end of treatment calcium, phosphorous, PTH and adverse events)

Malluche 2008

Methods	Study design: pa	rallel RCT

Malluche 2008 (Continued)	Study duration: OctStudy follow-up: 52	ober 2001 to May 2003 weeks
Participants	 Country: multinational Setting: multicentre (17 centres) Medically stable patients ≥ 18 years who had received HD for ≥ 1 month with biochemical evidence of elevated PTH levels; albumin-adjusted serum calcium concentration ≥ 8.4 mg/dL; either Hb level > 9.0 g/dL or a HCT value > 27%; patients receiving vitamin D sterols had to have been on a constant dose for ≥ 30 days before beginning the study Number: treatment group (19); control group (13) Mean age ± SD (years): treatment group (50.3 ± 13.3); control group (51.5 ± 14.1) Sex (M/F): treatment group (12/7); control group (9/4) Exclusion criteria: received bisphosphonate or fluoride during the preceding 90 days 	
Interventions	 Treatment group Cinacalcet: 30 to 180 Duration: 24 weeks Control group Placebo Co-interventions Treatment group: vitant 	0 mg/d titration, 28 weeks maintenance itamin D (47%); phosphate binders (100%) nin D (54%); phosphate binders (77%)
Outcomes	 Interval changes in and osteoclasts/bor face Absolute and percer 	activation frequency, bone formation rate/bone surface, number of osteoblasts ne perimeter, fibrosis surface/bone surface and woven osteoid surface/bone sur- ntage changes from baseline in iPTH, BSAP, NTx and Ca x P
Notes	ITT: noFunding: "This study	y was supported by Amgen Inc (Study 20010141)"
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	Computer generated
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Interactive voice-response system
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Double-blinded
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	NS
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	Loss to follow-up 31.3% of patients

Malluche 2008 (Continued)

Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	High risk	Not reported systematically (end of treatment calcium, posphorous, PTH and adverse events)
Other bias	High risk	Sponsor authorship

OPTIMA Study 2008	
Methods	 Study design: parallel, open-label RCT Study duration: NS Study follow-up: 23 weeks
Participants	 Country: Europe Setting: multicentre (111 centres) Patients ≥ 18 years with ESKD with SHPT and had required maintenance dialysis for ≥ 1 mo; iPTH ≥ 300 pg/mL and < 800 pg/mL; bio-intact PTH ≥150 pg/mL and < 410 pg/mL; albumin-corrected serum calcium ≥ 8.4 mg/dL Number: treatment group (368); control group (184) Mean age ± SD (years): treatment group (58.5 ± 14.5); control group (58.3 ± 14.5) Sex (M/F): treatment group (224/144); control group (117/67) Exclusion criteria: any unstable medical condition; breastfeeding; MI within 3 mo of study day 1; parathyroidectomy within 6 mo of study day 1; gastrointestinal disorder associated with impaired absorption of oral medications or an inability to swallow tablets; patients who received vitamin D therapy for < 21 d or had a change in their prescribed vitamin D brand or dose within 21 d before study day 1; enrolled in other studies; previously enrolled or participated in other cinacalcet clinical studies
Interventions	 Treatment group Cinacalcet: 30 to 180 mg/d Duration: 16 weeks titration, 7 weeks maintenance
	Control group
	Placebo
	Co-interventions
	 Treatment group: vitamin D (68%); phosphate binders (92%) Control group: vitamin D (68%); phosphate binders (90%)
Outcomes	 Mean iPTH ≤ 300 pg/mL Both mean Ca x P < 55 mg²/dL² and iPTH ≤ 300 pg/mL Mean Ca × P < 55 mg²/dL² Man calcium < 9.5 mg/dL Mean phosphorous < 5.5 mg/dL
Notes	ITT: yesFunding: "This study was sponsored by Amgen Inc."
Risk of bias	
Bias	Authors' judgement Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk NS



OPTIMA Study 2008 (Continued)

Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	NS
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Not blinded
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	NS
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	Loss to follow-up 21.9% of patients
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Low risk	All the prespecified outcomes were reported
Other bias	High risk	Sponsor on authorship

Quarles 2003a

Methods	 Study design: parallel RCT Study duration: NS Study follow-up: 18 weeks
Participants	 Country: USA Setting: multicentre (17 centres) Patients ≥ 18 years treated for at least 3 mo with HD and had uncontrolled SHPT (mean PTH ≥ 300 pg/mL, despite availability of standard care (phosphate binders and/or vitamin D sterols)); serum calcium ≥ 8.8 mg/dL and < 11.0 mg/dL; serum phosphorous ≥ 2.5 mg/dL; Ca x P < 70 mg²/dL²; patients receiving vitamin D sterols must have been on a stable dose for at least 21 d before enrolment; dialysis calcium concentration, the dose of any supplements, and the dose of oral phosphate binders must not have been changed during the 7 d before enrolment Number: treatment group (36); control group (35) Mean age ± SD (years): treatment group (49.6 ± 8.5); control group (47.9 ± 14.2) Sex (M/F): treatment group (27/9); control group (17/18) Exclusion criteria: medically unstable; evidence of an active infectious; malignant process; diseases known to cause hypercalcaemia; Hb concentration < 9.0 g/dL or a HCT < 27%; liver transaminases and bilirubin concentrations more than twice the upper limit of normal
Interventions	 Treatment group AMG-073: 25 to 100 mg/d Duration: 12 weeks titration, 6 weeks maintenance Control group Placebo Co-interventions Treatment group: vitamin D (61%); phosphate binders (100%) Control group: vitamin D (69%); phosphate binders (94%)



Quarles 2003a (Continued)

Outcomes	• Mean reduction in PTH of ≥ 30% during the maintenance phase
Notes	 Stop/end point: iPTH reduction = 30% ITT: yes Funding: "Funding for this study was provided by Amgen Inc."

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk	NS
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Interactive voice-response system
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Double blinded
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	NS
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Loss to follow-up 8.5% of patients
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	High risk	Not reported systematically (end of treatment calcium, phosphorous, PTH and adverse events)
Other bias	High risk	Sponsor on authorship

BSAP - bone-specific alkaline phosphatase; Ca x P - calcium-phosphorous product; CAC - coronary artery calcification score; DM - diabetes mellitus; ESKD - end-stage kidney disease; GFR - glomerular filtration rate; Hb - haemoglobin; HCT - haematocrit; HD - haemodialysis; iPTH - intact parathyroid hormone; ITT - Intention-to-treat; MI - myocardial infarction; NA - not available; NS - not stated; NTx - cross-linked Ntelopeptides of type I collagen; PTH - parathyroid hormone; RCT - randomised controlled trial; SHPT - secondary hyperparathyroidism; TRACP - tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase

Characteristics of excluded studies [ordered by study ID]

Study	Reason for exclusion
Al-Hilali 2011	Not appropriate intervention
Coburn 2000a	Not appropriate outcome
CONTROL Study 2006	Not RCT
Cunningham 2003	Observational extension study
Cunningham 2005	Observational extension study
de Francisco 2005	Not RCT



Study	Reason for exclusion
Harris 2003	Not appropriate outcome
Kaperonis 2012	Not RCT
Moe 2003a	Not RCT
Moe 2005	Not RCT
Moe 2005b	Not RCT
Padhi 2003	Not CKD population
Pahl 1996	Not intervention
Quarles 2003	Not RCT
Schaefer 2008	Not intervention
Sezer 2012	Not intervention
TARGET Study 2008	Not RCT

CKD - chronic kidney disease; RCT - randomised controlled trial

Characteristics of studies awaiting assessment [ordered by study ID]

Coburn 2003

Methods	Randomised double-blind placebo-controlled study
Participants	Patients with CKD not treated with dialysis (GFR 15 to 50 mL/min) and intact PTH levels > 130 ng/ mL
Interventions	Cinacalcet 30 to 180 mg/d titrated to obtain a \ge 30% reduction in intact PTH levels
Outcomes	Mean change in PTH, per cent with reduced PTH \ge 30%, calcium and phosphorous levels
Notes	Unclear whether an additional report of Charytan 2005

Drueke 2001a	
Methods	Placebo controlled RCTs (3)
Participants	Dialysis patients
	Number: AMG-073 (141); placebo (74)
Interventions	AMG-073 (50 to 100 mg/d)
Outcomes	Ca x P; mean iPTH
Notes	Abstract only; states combined data of the first 12 weeks of 3 studies - unable to determine which 3 studies



Fournier 2004a

Methods	Placebo controlled RCTs (3)
Participants	Dialysis patients with iPTH \geq 300 pg/mL
	Number: 955
Interventions	Cinacalcet 30 to 180 mg/d
Outcomes	Ca x P; mean iPTH
Notes	Abstract only; states combined data of 3 studies - unable to determine which 3 studies

UPen 2004a	
Methods	Randomised double-blinded placebo controlled study
Participants	Participants with CKD and elevated serum parathyroid hormone levels
Interventions	AMG-073
Outcomes	Unclear
Notes	Reported as published by the University of Pennsylvania at http://renal2.med.upenn.edu/ but URL link broken and unable to obtain information about study to ascertain details and eligibility for this review

Ca x P - calcium phosphorous product; CKD - chronic kidney diease; iPTH - intact parathyroid hormone; RCT - randomised controlled trial

DATA AND ANALYSES

Comparison 1. Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment

Outcome or subgroup ti- tle	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 All-cause mortality	16	7351	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.96 [0.89, 1.05]
1.1 GFR category G5 treat- ed with dialysis	14	6893	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.97 [0.89, 1.05]
1.2 GFR category G3a to G4	2	458	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.29 [0.06, 1.48]
2 Cardiovascular mortality	9	5000	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.68 [0.32, 1.45]
2.1 GFR category G5 treat- ed with dialysis	7	4542	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.67 [0.16, 2.87]
2.2 GFR category G3a to G4	2	458	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.29 [0.06, 1.48]



Outcome or subgroup ti- tle	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
3 Parathyroidectomy	5	4893	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.49 [0.40, 0.59]
4 Fractures	2	3965	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.52 [0.12, 2.27]
5 Hypocalcaemia	14	6864	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	7.38 [5.43, 10.03]
5.1 GFR category G5 treat- ed with dialysis	12	6415	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	6.98 [5.10, 9.53]
5.2 GFR category G3a to G4	2	449	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	31.90 [5.28, 192.60]
6 Hypercalcaemia	4	4662	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.23 [0.05, 0.97]
7 Nausea	14	6899	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	2.05 [1.54, 2.75]
7.1 GFR category G5 treat- ed with dialysis	12	6450	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	2.02 [1.45, 2.81]
7.2 GFR category G3a to G4	2	449	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	2.26 [1.29, 3.95]
8 Vomiting	10	6718	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.95 [1.74, 2.18]
8.1 GFR category G5 treat- ed with dialysis	9	6323	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.97 [1.73, 2.24]
8.2 GFR category G3a to G4	1	395	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.77 [0.90, 3.48]
9 Diarrhoea	8	5639	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.15 [1.02, 1.29]
10 Abdominal pain	4	831	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.62 [0.55, 4.82]
11 Upper respiratory tract infection	4	1856	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.95 [0.39, 2.33]
12 Asthenia, muscle weak- ness or paraesthesia	5	1379	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.55 [0.93, 2.58]
12.1 Asthenia	2	790	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.54 [0.26, 8.98]
12.2 Muscle weakness or paraesthesia	4	589	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.78 [1.00, 3.14]
13 Dyspnoea	2	250	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.02 [0.49, 2.12]
14 Headache	3	1115	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.11 [0.65, 1.91]
15 Achievement of PTH target	11	2853	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	3.06 [1.89, 4.98]
16 PTH	7	1935	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-280.39 [-325.84, -234.94]
17 Serum calcium	7	1556	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.87 [-0.96, -0.77]



Outcome or subgroup ti- tle	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
18 Serum phosphorous	8	2300	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.23 [-0.58, 0.12]
19 Calcium x phosphorous	8	2395	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-5.25 [-9.16, -1.34]

Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 1 All-cause mortality.

Study or subgroup	Calcimimetic	Placebo/no treatment	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Random, 95% CI	
1.1.1 GFR category G5 treated with	n dialysis					
Fukagawa 2008	0/72	0/71			Not estimable	
Goodman 2002	0/23	0/7			Not estimable	
Akiba 2008	0/91	0/30			Not estimable	
Lindberg 2003	0/38	0/39			Not estimable	
Goodman 2000	0/16	0/4			Not estimable	
IMPACT SHPT Study 2012	0/134	4/134 -		0.08%	0.11[0.01,2.04]	
El Shafey 2011	1/55	1/27		0.09%	0.49[0.03,7.55]	
Lindberg 2005	3/294	2/101	+	0.21%	0.52[0.09,3.04]	
Malluche 2008	3/32	2/16	+	0.23%	0.75[0.14,4.05]	
ACHIEVE Study 2008	3/87	3/86		0.27%	0.99[0.21,4.76]	
Block 2004a	6/371	7/370	+	0.57%	0.85[0.29,2.52]	
OPTIMA Study 2008	11/368	6/184	+	0.69%	0.92[0.34,2.44]	
ADVANCE Study 2010	12/180	12/180		1.11%	1[0.46,2.17]	
EVOLVE study 2007	703/1948	718/1935	+	96.51%	0.97[0.9,1.06]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	3709	3184	•	99.75%	0.97[0.89,1.05]	
Total events: 742 (Calcimimetic), 755	6 (Placebo/no treatm	ent)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =3.02, df	=8(P=0.93); I ² =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.79(P=0.43))					
1.1.2 GFR category G3a to G4						
Charytan 2005	0/27	2/27	+	0.07%	0.2[0.01,3.98]	
Chonchol 2009	2/302	2/102	+	0.17%	0.34[0.05,2.37]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	329	129		0.25%	0.29[0.06,1.48]	
Total events: 2 (Calcimimetic), 4 (Pla	cebo/no treatment)					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.08, df	=1(P=0.77); I ² =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.49(P=0.14))					
Total (95% CI)	4038	3313	•	100%	0.96[0.89,1.05]	
Total events: 744 (Calcimimetic), 759) (Placebo/no treatm	ent)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =5.2, df=10(P=0.88); l ² =0%						
Test for overall effect: Z=0.86(P=0.39))					
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =2	2.1, df=1 (P=0.15), l ² =5	52.43%				
	Fav	ours calcimimetic 0.	.005 0.1 1 10	200 Favours placebo		

Analysis 1.2. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 2 Cardiovascular mortality.

Study or subgroup	Calcimimetic	Placebo/no treatment	Risk I	Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	IV, Randoi	m, 95% CI		IV, Random, 95% CI
1.2.1 GFR category G5 treated with	dialysis					
Goodman 2002	0/23	0/7				Not estimable
Akiba 2008	0/91	0/30				Not estimable
Fukagawa 2008	0/72	0/71				Not estimable
Goodman 2000	0/16	0/4				Not estimable
Lindberg 2003	0/38	0/39				Not estimable
IMPACT SHPT Study 2012	0/134	3/134	+		6.03%	0.14[0.01,2.74]
EVOLVE study 2007	377/1948	391/1935	+		75.49%	0.96[0.84,1.09]
Subtotal (95% CI)	2322	2220			81.52%	0.67[0.16,2.87]
Total events: 377 (Calcimimetic), 394	(Placebo/no treatm	ent)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.67; Chi ² =1.59,	df=1(P=0.21); I ² =37.1	.6%				
Test for overall effect: Z=0.54(P=0.59))					
1.2.2 GFR category G3a to G4						
Charytan 2005	0/27	2/27	+		5.89%	0.2[0.01,3.98]
Chonchol 2009	2/302	2/102	+		12.59%	0.34[0.05,2.37]
Subtotal (95% CI)	329	129		•	18.48%	0.29[0.06,1.48]
Total events: 2 (Calcimimetic), 4 (Pla	cebo/no treatment)					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.08, df	=1(P=0.77); I ² =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.49(P=0.14))					
Total (95% CI)	2651	2349	-	•	100%	0.68[0.32,1.45]
Total events: 379 (Calcimimetic), 398	l (Placebo/no treatm	ent)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.19; Chi ² =3.72, df=3(P=0.29); l ² =19.38%						
Test for overall effect: Z=0.99(P=0.32))					
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =0	0.57, df=1 (P=0.45), I ² =	=0%				
	Fav	ours calcimimetic	0.005 0.1 1	10 200	Favours placebo	

Analysis 1.3. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 3 Parathyroidectomy.

Study or subgroup	Calcimimetic	Placebo/no treatment	Risk	Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	IV, Rando	om, 95% CI		IV, Random, 95% CI
ACHIEVE Study 2008	0/87	1/86	+-		0.36%	0.33[0.01,7.98]
ADVANCE Study 2010	0/180	2/180		<u> </u>	0.4%	0.2[0.01,4.14]
Lindberg 2005	0/294	2/101		+	0.4%	0.07[0,1.43]
El Shafey 2011	1/55	4/27		-	0.8%	0.12[0.01,1.05]
EVOLVE study 2007	140/1948	278/1935	+		98.05%	0.5[0.41,0.61]
Total (95% CI)	2564	2329	•		100%	0.49[0.4,0.59]
Total events: 141 (Calcimimetic), 28						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =3.65, df	=4(P=0.46); I ² =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=7.35(P<0.00	01)					
	Favo	ours calcimimetic	0.002 0.1	1 10	⁵⁰⁰ Favours placebo	

_

Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.

Analysis 1.4. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 4 Fractures.

Study or subgroup	Calcimimetic	Placebo/no treatment		Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		IV, Ran	dom, 95% C	:1			IV, Random, 95% CI
El Shafey 2011	2/55	5/27	-					36.76%	0.2[0.04,0.95]
EVOLVE study 2007	238/1948	255/1935			+			63.24%	0.93[0.79,1.09]
Total (95% CI)	2003	1962						100%	0.52[0.12,2.27]
Total events: 240 (Calcimimetic), 260									
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.88; Chi ² =3.7, df=1(P=0.05); I ² =72.94%									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.86(P=0.39)					1			
	Favo	ours calcimimetic	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours placebo	

Analysis 1.5. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 5 Hypocalcaemia.

Study or subgroup	Calcimimetic	Placebo/no treatment	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Random, 95% CI
1.5.1 GFR category G5 treated	with dialysis				
Lindberg 2003	3/38	0/39		1.1%	7.18[0.38,134.48]
Fukagawa 2008	4/72	0/71	+	1.12%	8.88[0.49,161.9]
ACHIEVE Study 2008	6/87	0/86	+	1.15%	12.85[0.74,224.68]
Goodman 2002	3/23	0/7		1.15%	2.33[0.13,40.46]
El Shafey 2011	6/55	0/27		1.17%	6.5[0.38,111.3]
ADVANCE Study 2010	12/180	0/180	+	1.18%	25[1.49,419.08]
Akiba 2008	9/90	0/30		1.19%	6.47[0.39,107.99]
IMPACT SHPT Study 2012	27/134	0/134	+	- 1.21%	55[3.39,892.51]
Goodman 2000	7/16	0/4		1.31%	4.41[0.3,64.57]
OPTIMA Study 2008	18/365	2/182	+	4.47%	4.49[1.05,19.13]
Block 2004a	18/365	4/369	— + —	8.16%	4.55[1.55,13.31]
EVOLVE study 2007	240/1938	33/1923		73.2%	7.22[5.04,10.33]
Subtotal (95% CI)	3363	3052	•	96.4%	6.98[5.1,9.53]
Total events: 353 (Calcimimetic)	, 39 (Placebo/no treatme	nt)			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =4.7	8, df=11(P=0.94); l ² =0%				
Test for overall effect: Z=12.19(P	<0.0001)				
1.5.2 GFR category G3a to G4					
Charytan 2005	4/27	0/27	+	1.14%	9[0.51,159.43]
Chonchol 2009	183/295	1/100		2.47%	62.03[8.81,436.97]
Subtotal (95% CI)	322	127		3.6%	31.9[5.28,192.6]
Total events: 187 (Calcimimetic)	, 1 (Placebo/no treatmen	t)			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.29; Chi ² =	1.19, df=1(P=0.28); l ² =15.	57%			
Test for overall effect: Z=3.77(P=	0)				
Total (95% CI)	3685	3179	•	100%	7.38[5.43,10.03]
Total events: 540 (Calcimimetic)	, 40 (Placebo/no treatme	nt)			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =9.4	9, df=13(P=0.73); l ² =0%				
Test for overall effect: Z=12.78(P	<0.0001)				
Test for subgroup differences: C	hi²=2.67, df=1 (P=0.1), I²=	62.48%			
	Fav	ours calcimimetic 0.0	01 0.1 1 10 100	⁰⁰ Favours placebo	



Analysis 1.6. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 6 Hypercalcaemia.

Study or subgroup	Calcimimetic	Placebo/no treatment	Risk	Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	IV, Rando	m, 95% CI		IV, Random, 95% CI
ADVANCE Study 2010	1/180	5/180			19.74%	0.2[0.02,1.69]
IMPACT SHPT Study 2012	1/134	17/134			20.84%	0.06[0.01,0.44]
ACHIEVE Study 2008	2/87	15/86			25.82%	0.13[0.03,0.56]
EVOLVE study 2007	32/1938	36/1923	-	-	33.6%	0.88[0.55,1.41]
Total (95% CI)	2339	2323	-		100%	0.23[0.05,0.97]
Total events: 36 (Calcimimetic), 73 (Placebo/no treatment	t)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =1.55; Chi ² =12.9	94, df=3(P=0); I ² =76.82	%				
Test for overall effect: Z=2(P=0.05)						
	F	avours cinacalcet	0.005 0.1	10	200 Favours placebo	

Analysis 1.7. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 7 Nausea.

Study or subgroup	Calcimimetic	Placebo/no treatment	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Random, 95% CI	
1.7.1 GFR category G5 treated	with dialysis					
Goodman 2002	1/23	0/7	_	0.84%	1[0.05,22.18]	
IMPACT SHPT Study 2012	9/134	0/134		- 1%	19[1.12,323.19]	
Akiba 2008	7/90	0/30		1%	5.11[0.3,86.9]	
ACHIEVE Study 2008	9/87	0/86		1%	18.78[1.11,317.78]	
Goodman 2000	8/16	0/4		1.12%	5[0.35,72.36]	
El Shafey 2011	7/55	1/27		1.84%	3.44[0.45,26.53]	
OPTIMA Study 2008	117/365	5/182	+	7.1%	11.67[4.85,28.05]	
Lindberg 2003	8/38	12/39	-+-	8.27%	0.68[0.32,1.49]	
Fukagawa 2008	26/72	14/71	-+-	11.49%	1.83[1.05,3.21]	
Lindberg 2005	88/294	22/101		14.38%	1.37[0.91,2.07]	
Block 2004a	117/365	70/369	+	17.34%	1.69[1.3,2.19]	
EVOLVE study 2007	563/1938	299/1923		19.38%	1.87[1.65,2.12]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	3477	2973	•	84.77%	2.02[1.45,2.81]	
Total events: 960 (Calcimimetic)), 423 (Placebo/no treatm	ient)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.13; Chi ² =	32.46, df=11(P=0); l ² =66.1	11%				
Test for overall effect: Z=4.17(P<	:0.0001)					
1.7.2 GFR category G3a to G4						
Charytan 2005	9/27	2/27		3.4%	4.5[1.07,18.92]	
Chonchol 2009	77/295	13/100		11.83%	2.01[1.17,3.45]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	322	127	◆	15.23%	2.26[1.29,3.95]	
Total events: 86 (Calcimimetic),	15 (Placebo/no treatmer	nt)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.02; Chi ² =	1.06, df=1(P=0.3); I ² =5.79	%				
Test for overall effect: Z=2.86(P=	:0)					
Total (95% CI)	3799	3100	•	100%	2.05[1.54,2.75]	
Total events: 1046 (Calcimimeti	c), 438 (Placebo/no treati	ment)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.11; Chi ² =	34.05, df=13(P=0); l ² =61.8	32%				
Test for overall effect: Z=4.85(P<	:0.0001)					
	Fav	ours calcimimetic	0.002 0.1 1 10 5	⁰⁰ Favours placebo		



Study or subgroup	Calcimimetic	Placebo/no treatment		R	isk Rati	o		Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		IV, Rar	ndom, 9	5% CI			IV, Random, 95% CI
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =0.11, df=1 (P=0.74), I ² =0%									
	Fav	vours calcimimetic	0.002	0.1	1	10	500	Favours placebo	

Analysis 1.8. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 8 Vomiting.

Study or subgroup	Calcimimetic	Placebo/no treatment	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Random, 95% CI	
1.8.1 GFR category G5 treated with	dialysis					
ACHIEVE Study 2008	5/87	0/86	++	0.15%	10.88[0.61,193.7]	
Akiba 2008	6/90	0/30		0.16%	4.43[0.26,76.36]	
IMPACT SHPT Study 2012	6/134	2/134	+	0.51%	3[0.62,14.6]	
El Shafey 2011	5/55	2/27		0.52%	1.23[0.25,5.92]	
Fukagawa 2008	16/72	4/71	+	1.18%	3.94[1.39,11.22]	
Lindberg 2005	68/294	12/101	_+_	3.95%	1.95[1.1,3.44]	
OPTIMA Study 2008	88/365	13/182	_+_	4.18%	3.38[1.94,5.88]	
Block 2004a	111/365	59/369	+	16.31%	1.9[1.44,2.52]	
EVOLVE study 2007	497/1938	264/1923	-	70.23%	1.87[1.63,2.14]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	3400	2923	•	97.19%	1.97[1.73,2.24]	
Total events: 802 (Calcimimetic), 356	6 (Placebo/no treatm	ent)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =8.23, df	=8(P=0.41); I ² =2.75%					
Test for overall effect: Z=10.29(P<0.00	001)					
1.8.2 GFR category G3a to G4						
Chonchol 2009	47/295	9/100	<u>++-</u>	2.81%	1.77[0.9,3.48]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	295	100	◆	2.81%	1.77[0.9,3.48]	
Total events: 47 (Calcimimetic), 9 (Pl	acebo/no treatment)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Z=1.66(P=0.1)						
Total (95% CI)	3695	3023	•	100%	1.95[1.74,2.18]	
Total events: 849 (Calcimimetic), 365	(Placebo/no treatm	ent)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =8.3, df=9	9(P=0.5); I ² =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=11.51(P<0.0	001)					
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =0	0.09, df=1 (P=0.76), I ²	=0%				
	Fav	ours calcimimetic 0.	.005 0.1 1 10 200	Favours placebo		

Analysis 1.9. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 9 Diarrhoea.

Study or subgroup	Calcimimetic	Placebo/no treatment		Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	I	IV, Random, 9	5% CI			IV, Random, 95% CI
Akiba 2008	1/90	0/30					0.14%	1.02[0.04,24.44]
El Shafey 2011	3/55	1/27					0.28%	1.47[0.16,13.5]
Charytan 2005	6/27	4/27		-++-	_		1.04%	1.5[0.48,4.72]
ACHIEVE Study 2008	7/87	9/86					1.54%	0.77[0.3,1.97]
	Fave	ours calcimimetic	0.01 0.1	1	10	100	Favours placebo	



Study or subgroup	Calcimimetic	Placebo/no treatment		Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		IV, R	andom, 95% (CI			IV, Random, 95% CI
Chonchol 2009	47/295	9/100			++			2.99%	1.77[0.9,3.48]
OPTIMA Study 2008	47/365	13/182			⊢ +−			3.95%	1.8[1,3.25]
Lindberg 2005	71/294	19/101			+-			6.66%	1.28[0.82,2.02]
EVOLVE study 2007	397/1938	360/1935			+			83.41%	1.1[0.97,1.25]
Total (95% CI)	3151	2488			♦			100%	1.15[1.02,1.29]
Total events: 579 (Calcimimetic),	415 (Placebo/no treatme	ent)							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =5.44	, df=7(P=0.61); I ² =0%								
Test for overall effect: Z=2.32(P=0	.02)					1			
	Fav	ours calcimimetic	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours placebo	

Analysis 1.10. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 10 Abdominal pain.

Study or subgroup	Calcimimetic	Placebo/no treatment	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Random, 95% Cl
Akiba 2008	2/90	0/30		10.2%	1.7[0.08,34.52]
ACHIEVE Study 2008	7/87	0/86	+	11.1%	14.83[0.86,255.69]
Fukagawa 2008	18/72	8/71		37.31%	2.22[1.03,4.77]
Lindberg 2005	35/294	18/101	-	41.4%	0.67[0.4,1.13]
Total (95% CI)	543	288	•	100%	1.62[0.55,4.82]
Total events: 62 (Calcimimetic), 2	6 (Placebo/no treatmen	t)			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.68; Chi ² =9	.98, df=3(P=0.02); I ² =69.9	3%			
Test for overall effect: Z=0.87(P=0	.38)				
	Favo	ours calcimimetic	0.002 0.1 1 10 5	500 Favours placebo	

Analysis 1.11. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/ no treatment, Outcome 11 Upper respiratory tract infection.

Study or subgroup	Calcimimetic	Placebo/no treatment		Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		IV, Ran	dom, 95	5% CI			IV, Random, 95% CI
ACHIEVE Study 2008	0/87	6/86		+	-			7.74%	0.08[0,1.33]
OPTIMA Study 2008	26/365	5/182			-	_		26.26%	2.59[1.01,6.64]
Lindberg 2005	53/294	13/101			+ -			32.25%	1.4[0.8,2.46]
Block 2004a	26/371	48/370		-				33.74%	0.54[0.34,0.85]
Total (95% CI)	1117	739		-	\blacklozenge			100%	0.95[0.39,2.33]
Total events: 105 (Calcimimetic), 72	(Placebo/no treatmer	nt)							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.56; Chi ² =14.9	2, df=3(P=0); I ² =79.89	%							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.11(P=0.92	2)								
	Favo	ours calcimimetic	0.002	0.1	1	10	500	Favours placebo	

Analysis 1.12. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 12 Asthenia, muscle weakness or paraesthesia.

Study or subgroup	Calcimimetic	Placebo/no treatment	Risk Ratio	Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Random, 95% CI	
1.12.1 Asthenia						
Lindberg 2005	24/294	2/101	+	12.2%	4.12[0.99,17.13]	
Chonchol 2009	12/295	6/100		25.46%	0.68[0.26,1.76]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	589	201		37.66%	1.54[0.26,8.98]	
Total events: 36 (Calcimimetic), 8 (Pl	acebo/no treatment)					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =1.25; Chi ² =4.26,	df=1(P=0.04); I ² =76.5	2%				
Test for overall effect: Z=0.48(P=0.63))					
1.12.2 Muscle weakness or paraest	hesia					
Akiba 2008	1/90	0/30		2.58%	1.02[0.04,24.44]	
Charytan 2005	1/27	0/27		- 2.6%	3[0.13,70.53]	
Goodman 2000	5/16	0/4	+	3.5%	3.24[0.21,49.01]	
Chonchol 2009	56/295	11/100		53.66%	1.73[0.94,3.16]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	428	161	•	62.34%	1.78[1,3.14]	
Total events: 63 (Calcimimetic), 11 (F	Placebo/no treatmen	t)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.42, df	=3(P=0.94); I ² =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.97(P=0.05)					
Total (95% CI)	1017	362	◆	100%	1.55[0.93,2.58]	
Total events: 99 (Calcimimetic), 19 (F	Placebo/no treatmen	t)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.03; Chi ² =5.34,	df=5(P=0.38); I ² =6.32	%				
Test for overall effect: Z=1.67(P=0.09)					
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =0	0.02, df=1 (P=0.88), I ² =	0%				
	Favo	ours calcimimetic 0	0.01 0.1 1 10	¹⁰⁰ Favours placebo		

Analysis 1.13. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 13 Dyspnoea.

Study or subgroup	Calcimimetic	Placebo/no treatment			Ris	k Rat	io			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N			IV, Rand	dom, 9	95% CI				IV, Random, 95% CI
Lindberg 2003	7/38	5/39				-	<mark> </mark>			48%	1.44[0.5,4.14]
ACHIEVE Study 2008	6/87	8/86		_	+	-				52%	0.74[0.27,2.05]
Total (95% CI)	125	125								100%	1.02[0.49,2.12]
Total events: 13 (Calcimimetic), 13	(Placebo/no treatment)										
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.78,	df=1(P=0.38); I ² =0%										
Test for overall effect: Z=0.05(P=0.9	96)										
	Less w	ith calcimimetic	0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	10	Less with placebo	

Analysis 1.14. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 14 Headache.

Study or subgroup	Calcimimetic	Placebo/no treatment		Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		IV, R	andom, 95%	CI			IV, Random, 95% CI
ACHIEVE Study 2008	6/87	5/86						18.38%	1.19[0.38,3.74]
OPTIMA Study 2008	18/365	4/182			++	-		20.71%	2.24[0.77,6.53]
Lindberg 2005	50/294	20/101						60.9%	0.86[0.54,1.37]
Total (95% CI)	746	369			•			100%	1.11[0.65,1.91]
Total events: 74 (Calcimimetic), 2	9 (Placebo/no treatment	.)							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.07; Chi ² =2.	.68, df=2(P=0.26); l ² =25.3	3%							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.39(P=0.	.7)						1		
	Less v	vith calcimimetic	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Less with placebo	

Analysis 1.15. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 15 Achievement of PTH target.

Study or subgroup	Calcimimetic	Placebo/no treatment	Risk	Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	IV, Rando	m, 95% CI		IV, Random, 95% CI
Fukagawa 2008	37/72	2/71		· · · · ·		18.24[4.57,72.85]
Lindberg 2003	14/38	3/39		+	6.72%	4.79[1.5,15.34]
Charytan 2005	15/27	5/27		— • —	8.14%	3[1.27,7.09]
El Shafey 2011	30/52	5/26		— • —	8.33%	3[1.32,6.82]
Quarles 2003a	19/36	8/35			8.99%	2.31[1.17,4.57]
Lindberg 2005	191/294	13/101			9.74%	5.05[3.02,8.44]
ACHIEVE Study 2008	51/75	23/64			10.3%	1.89[1.32,2.72]
Chonchol 2009	216/293	28/99			10.43%	2.61[1.89,3.59]
IMPACT SHPT Study 2012	39/102	61/109	-+-		10.5%	0.68[0.51,0.92]
Block 2004a	239/371	42/370			10.51%	5.68[4.23,7.62]
OPTIMA Study 2008	261/368	40/184		-+-	10.54%	3.26[2.46,4.32]
Total (95% CI)	1728	1125		•	100%	3.06[1.89,4.98]
Total events: 1112 (Calcimimetic), 2	30 (Placebo/no treatn	nent)				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.56; Chi ² =125.	14, df=10(P<0.0001); I	² =92.01%				
Test for overall effect: Z=4.52(P<0.00	01)					
		Favours placebo	0.01 0.1	1 10	¹⁰⁰ Favours calcimimetic	

Analysis 1.16.	Comparison 1 Calcimim	etics versus placebo/no ti	reatment, Outcome 16 PTH.
----------------	------------------------------	----------------------------	---------------------------

Study or subgroup	Calc	imimetic	Pla tre	cebo/no atment		Mean	Differend	e		Weight	Mean Difference
	N	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Rando	om, 95%	CI			Random, 95% CI
Malluche 2008	19	307 (218)	13	829 (543)						2.05%	-522[-833.02,-210.98]
Quarles 2003a	34	451 (444)	31	552 (444)						4.05%	-101[-317.11,115.11]
Lindberg 2003	38	460 (289.7)	37	701 (437.1)		-+	-			6.34%	-241[-409.29,-72.71]
Lindberg 2005	288	525.5 (510.8)	100	852 (551)		-+				10.63%	-326.5[-449.56,-203.44]
El Shafey 2011	55	334 (189)	27	595 (225)		-+-				14.77%	-261[-359.48,-162.52]
Block 2004a	371	374 (366)	370	693 (373)	1	, -		1		29.01%	-319[-372.21,-265.79]
			Favours	calcimimetic	-1000	-500	0	500	1000	Favours pla	acebo



Study or subgroup	Calc	imimetic	Pla tre	cebo/no eatment	Mean Di	ifference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Random	1, 95% Cl		Random, 95% Cl
OPTIMA Study 2008	368	264 (168)	184	519 (281)	-		33.15%	-255[-299.08,-210.92]
Total ***	1173		762		♦		100%	-280.39[-325.84,-234.94]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =1116.53; Chi ² =9.16, df=6(P=0.16); l ² =34.47%								
Test for overall effect: Z=12.09(P<0.	0001)							
			-		1000 500	0 500	1000 -	

Favours calcimimetic ¹⁰⁰⁰ Favours placebo -1000

Analysis 1.17. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 17 Serum calcium.

Study or subgroup	Calo	cimimetic	Pla tre	cebo/no eatment	Mean Differ	ence Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Random, 95	5% CI	Random, 95% CI
Malluche 2008	19	9.2 (0.8)	13	9.8 (1.1)		1.79%	-0.6[-1.3,0.1]
Quarles 2003a	34	9.2 (0.6)	31	9.9 (0.6)	_ • _	9.95%	-0.7[-0.98,-0.42]
Lindberg 2005	288	9.1 (1.7)	100	10.1 (1)	+	10.05%	-1[-1.28,-0.72]
El Shafey 2011	55	8.9 (0.7)	27	9.6 (0.5)	_ +	11.11%	-0.76[-1.02,-0.5]
Fukagawa 2008	72	9.3 (0.8)	71	10.2 (0.6)	_+ _	12.87%	-0.95[-1.19,-0.71]
Chonchol 2009	214	8.9 (0.8)	80	9.9 (0.6)		22.35%	-1[-1.17,-0.83]
OPTIMA Study 2008	368	9 (0.8)	184	9.8 (0.7)	-	31.88%	-0.8[-0.93,-0.67]
Total ***	1050		506		•	100%	-0.87[-0.96,-0.77]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =7.2	28, df=6(P=0.3); I ² =17.61%					
Test for overall effect: Z=18.02(P<0.0001)						
			F aura 1997		-2 -1 0	1 2 Faura and	

Favours calcimimetic -2

² Favours placebo

Analysis 1.18. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 18 Serum phosphorous.

Study or subgroup	Calc	imimetic	Pla tre	cebo/no atment	Mean Diffe	rence	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Random, 9	5% CI		Random, 95% CI
Malluche 2008	19	5.9 (1.6)	13	6.1 (1.2)	+		7.08%	-0.2[-1.16,0.76]
Quarles 2003a	34	5.8 (1.2)	31	5.7 (1.1)			11.05%	0.1[-0.45,0.65]
Fukagawa 2008	72	5.6 (1.5)	71	6.1 (1.5)	+		11.81%	-0.5[-0.99,-0.01]
El Shafey 2011	55	4.6 (0.9)	27	5.3 (0.7)	+		13.36%	-0.74[-1.08,-0.4]
Block 2004a	371	5.6 (1.9)	370	6 (1.9)	_ +		14.01%	-0.4[-0.68,-0.12]
Lindberg 2005	289	5.5 (1.7)	100	5.8 (1)	+		14.01%	-0.3[-0.58,-0.02]
OPTIMA Study 2008	368	5.1 (1.6)	184	5.4 (1.5)			14.06%	-0.3[-0.57,-0.03]
Chonchol 2009	215	4.5 (1)	81	4 (0.7)		-+	14.62%	0.5[0.3,0.7]
Total ***	1423		877				100%	-0.23[-0.58,0.12]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.2; Chi ² =58.5	6, df=7(P<	0.0001); I ² =88.05	%					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.29(P=0.2	!)						-1	
			Favours	calcimimetic	-2 -1 0	1	² Favours place	ebo

Analysis 1.19. Comparison 1 Calcimimetics versus placebo/no treatment, Outcome 19 Calcium x phosphorous.

Study or subgroup	Calc	imimetic	Pla tre	cebo/no atment	Mean Di	ifference	Weight	Mean Difference
	N	Mean(SD)	N	Mean(SD)	Random	n, 95% Cl		Random, 95% CI
Malluche 2008	19	54.1 (15.3)	13	60.1 (13.6)	+		7.51%	-6[-16.1,4.1]
Quarles 2003a	34	53.1 (10.5)	31	56.6 (12.8)	+	<u> </u>	11.36%	-3.5[-9.23,2.23]
El Shafey 2011	55	53.5 (11.2)	27	52.7 (10.2)		 	12.2%	0.8[-4.05,5.65]
Fukagawa 2008	72	51.6 (14.7)	71	61.8 (14.7)	+		12.22%	-10.21[-15.04,-5.38]
Lindberg 2005	288	50 (15.4)	100	58.1 (13.1)	_ +		13.71%	-8.1[-11.22,-4.98]
OPTIMA Study 2008	368	45.7 (14.9)	184	53 (14.7)	-+		14.07%	-7.3[-9.91,-4.69]
Block 2004a	371	51 (15.4)	370	60 (15.4)	-+		14.32%	-9[-11.22,-6.78]
Chonchol 2009	293	40.1 (8.3)	99	38.9 (6.9)		+-	14.62%	1.2[-0.46,2.86]
Total ***	1500		895		•		100%	-5.25[-9.16,-1.34]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =26.56; Chi ² =80.31, df=7(P<0.0001); I ² =91.28%								
Test for overall effect: Z=2.63(P=0.0	1)				1		1	
			Favours	calcimimetic	-20 -10	0 10	²⁰ Favours	placebo

ADDITIONAL TABLES

Table 1. Current chronic kidney disease nomenclature used by KDIGO nomenclature

Prognosis of C	KD by GFR and	d albuminuria categories: KD	Persistent album	inuria categories			
				Description and	range		
				A1	A2	A3	
				Normal to	Moderately	Severely	
				mildly	increased	increased	
				increased			
				< 30 mg/g	30 to 300 mg/g	> 300 mg/g	
				< 3 mg/mmol	3 to 30 mg/ mmol	> 30 mg/ mmol	
GFR cate-	G1	Normal or high	> 90	Low	Moderate	High	
gories	G2	Mildly decreased	60 to 89				
(int/inii per 1.73 m ²)	G3a	Mild to moderately de-	45 to 59	Moderate	High	Very high	
Description		creased				_	
and range	and range G3b	Moderate to severely de- creased	30 to 44	High	Very high		
	G4	Severely decreased	15 to 29	Very high	_		
	G5	Kidney failure	< 15	-			

Description of the Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) nomenclature for chronic kidney disease used in this review (see the full KDIGO CKD 2013 for additional information).



GFR - glomerular filtration rate

Study	Partici- pants (treat- ment/con- trol)	PTH level trig- gering reduc- tion in cinacal- cet dose	Calcium level triggering reduction in cinacalcet dose	Hypocalcaemia (study endpoint)	Hypercal- caemia (study end- point)
ACHIEVE Study 2008	173 (87/86)	< 150 pg/mL	Symptoms of hypocalcaemia or < 7.5 mg/dL	< 8.4 mg/dL	> 10.2 mg/dL
ADVANCE Study 2010	360 (180/180)			Hypocalcaemia	Hypercal- caemia
Akiba 2008	121 (91/30)			Hypocalcaemia	
Block 2004a	741 (371/370)	< 100 pg/mL	Symptoms of hypocalcaemia or < 7.8 mg/dL	Withdrawal due to hypocalcaemia	
Charytan 2005	54 (27/27)		Dose-related adverse event or < 7.8 mg/dL	< 8.4 mg/dL	
Chonchol 2009	404 (302/102)	PTH < 35 pg/mL for stage 3 and < 70 pg/mL for stage 4	Symptoms of hypocalcaemia or < 7.5 mg/dL	< 7.5 mg/dL	
El Shafey 2011	82 (55/27)	< 92 pg/mL	Dose-related adverse event or < 7.5 mg/dL	Hypocalcaemia	
EVOLVE study 2007	3883 (1948/1935)	< 150 pg/mL	< 7.5 mg/dL and/or symptoms of hypocalcaemia	< 8.0 mg/dL or < 7.5 mg/dL (unclear which threshold report- ed in study)	> 10.5 mg/dL
Fukagawa 2008	145 (72/73)	Investigators' discretion or ex- cessive decrease in PTH level	Investigators' discretion or < 7.5 mg/dL	Hypocalcaemia	
Goodman 2000	21 (16/5)		Symptoms of hypocalcaemia or ionised calcium < 4 mg/dL	lonized calcium < 4 mg/dL	
Goodman 2002	30 (23/7)		8.0 mg/dL	< 8.0 mg/dL	
Harris 2004	23 (17/6)				
IMPACT SHPT Study 2012	264 (134/134)	< 150 pg/mL	< 7.5 mg/dL	<8,.4 mg/dL	> 10.5 mg/dL
Lindberg 2003	78 (39/39)	< 100 pg/mL	Symptoms of hypocalcaemia or < 7.8 mg/dL	< 7.5 mg/dL	
Lindberg 2005	395 (294/101)		Symptoms of hypocalcaemia or < 7.8 mg/dL		

Table 2. Definitions of parathyroid hormone target, and hypercalcaemia and hypocalcaemia endpoints

Malluche 2008	32 (19/13)	< 100 pg/mL	Symptoms of hypocalcaemia or < 7.8 mg/dL		
OPTIMA Study 2008	552 (368/184)	< 150 pg/mL	< 8.0 mg/dL	< 7.5 mg/dL	
Quarles 2003a	71 (36/35)	< 100 pg/mL	< 7.8 mg/dL		

Table 2. Definitions of parathyroid hormone target, and hypercalcaemia and hypocalcaemia endpoints (Continued)

PTH - parathyroid hormone

APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Electronic search strategies

Database	Search terms
CENTRAL	1. KIDNEY DISEASES single term
	2. KIDNEY FAILURE single term
	3. KIDNEY FAILURE CHRONIC single term
	4. RENAL DIALYSIS explode all trees
	5. (hemodialysis or haemodialysis)
	6. dialysis
	7. (capd or ccpd or apd)
	8. predialysis
	9. ((chronic next renal) or (chronic next kidney))
	10.(kidney next disease*)
	11.(kidney next failure)
	12.(#1 or #2 or #3 or #4 or #5 or #6 or #7 or #8 or #9 or #10 or #11)
	13.BONE DISEASES explode all trees
	14.RENAL OSTEODYSTROPHY single term
	15.(bone next disease*)
	16.(bone* and (atroph* or formation or deform* or destruct* or necrosis or resorption or metabol* or turnover or demineral* or decalcif* or density))
	17.(osteo* or hyperparathyroid*)
	18.(#13 or #14 or #15 or #16 or #17)
	19.(#12 and #18)
	20.calcimimetic*
	21.cinacalcet
	22.NAPHTHALENES single term
	23.(#20 or #21 or #22)
	24.(#19 and #23)
MEDLINE	1. Kidney Diseases/
	2. Kidney Failure/
	3. Kidney Failure Chronic/
	4. exp Renal Dialysis/
	5. ((kidney\$ or renal) and (dialysis or failure)).tw.
	6. (hemodialysis or haemodialysis).tw.
	7. (peritoneal dialysis or CAPD or CCPD or APD).tw.

Calcimimetics for secondary hyperparathyroidism in chronic kidney disease patients (Review) Copyright © 2014 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



(Continued)	
	8. or/1-7
	9. exp Bone Diseases/
	10.bone disease\$.tw.
	11.(bone\$ and (atroph\$ or formation or deform\$ or destruct\$ or necrosis or resorption or metabol\$ or turnover or demineral\$ or decalcif\$ or density)).tw.
	12.(osteo\$ or hyperparathyroid\$).tw.
	13.or/9-12
	14.8 and 13
	15.Renal Osteodystrophy/
	16.14 or 15
EMBASE	1. Kidney Disease/
	2. 2. Kidney Failure/
	3. 3. Chronic Kidney Failure/
	4. 4. exp hemodialysis/
	5. 5. (hemodialysis or haemodialysis).tw.
	6. 6. dialysis.tw.
	7. 7. (CAPD or CCPD or APD).tw.
	8. 8. predialysis.tw.
	9. 9. (chronic renal or chronic kidney).tw.
	10.10. or/1-9
	11.11. exp Bone Disease/
	12.12. bone disease\$.tw.
	13.13. (bone\$ and (atroph\$ or formation or deform\$ or destruct\$ or necrosis or resorption or metabol \$ or turnover or demineral\$ or decalcif\$ or density)).tw.
	14.14. (osteo\$ or hyperparathyroid\$).tw.
	15.15. Renal Osteodystrophy/
	16.16. or/11-15
	17.17.10 and 16
	18.18. Calcimimetic Agent/
	19.19. Cinacalcet/
	20.20. naphthalene derivative/ or naphthalene/
	21.21. ("R-568" or "AMG 074" or "AMG 073" or "KRN 1493").tw.
	22.22. calcimimetic\$.tw.
	23.23. cinacalcet.tw.
	24.24. or/18-23
	25.25. and/17,24

Appendix 2. Risk of Bias Assessment Tool

Potential source of bias	Assessment criteria	
Random sequence genera- tion Selection bias (biased alloca- tion to interventions) due to inadequate generation of a randomised sequence	<i>Low risk of bias:</i> Random number table; computer random number generator; coin tossing; shuf- fling cards or envelopes; throwing dice; drawing of lots; minimisation (minimisation may be imple- mented without a random element, and this is considered to be equivalent to being random)	
	<i>High risk of bias:</i> Sequence generated by odd or even date of birth; date (or day) of admission; sequence generated by hospital or clinic record number; allocation by judgement of the clinician; by preference of the participant; based on the results of a laboratory test or a series of tests; by availability of the intervention	



(Continued)	
	Unclear: Insufficient information about the sequence generation process to permit judgement
Allocation concealment Selection bias (biased alloca- tion to interventions) due to inadequate concealment of al- locations prior to assignment	<i>Low risk of bias:</i> Randomisation method described that would not allow investigator/participant to know or influence intervention group before eligible participant entered in the study (e.g. central allocation, including telephone, web-based, and pharmacy-controlled, randomisation; sequentially numbered drug containers of identical appearance; sequentially numbered, opaque, sealed envelopes)
	<i>High risk of bias:</i> Using an open random allocation schedule (e.g. a list of random numbers); as- signment envelopes were used without appropriate safeguards (e.g. if envelopes were unsealed or non-opaque or not sequentially numbered); alternation or rotation; date of birth; case record num- ber; any other explicitly unconcealed procedure
	Unclear: Randomisation stated but no information on method used is available
Blinding of participants and personnel	<i>Low risk of bias</i> : No blinding or incomplete blinding, but the review authors judge that the outcome is not likely to be influenced by lack of blinding; blinding of participants and key study personnel ensured, and unlikely that the blinding could have been broken
knowledge of the allocated interventions by participants and personnel during the study	<i>High risk of bias</i> : No blinding or incomplete blinding, and the outcome is likely to be influenced by lack of blinding; blinding of key study participants and personnel attempted, but likely that the blinding could have been broken, and the outcome is likely to be influenced by lack of blinding
	Unclear: Insufficient information to permit judgement
Blinding of outcome assess- ment Detection bias due to knowl- edge of the allocated interven- tions by outcome assessors.	<i>Low risk of bias:</i> No blinding of outcome assessment, but the review authors judge that the out- come measurement is not likely to be influenced by lack of blinding; blinding of outcome assess- ment ensured, and unlikely that the blinding could have been broken
	<i>High risk of bias:</i> No blinding of outcome assessment, and the outcome measurement is likely to be influenced by lack of blinding; blinding of outcome assessment, but likely that the blinding could have been broken, and the outcome measurement is likely to be influenced by lack of blinding
	Unclear: Insufficient information to permit judgement
Incomplete outcome data Attrition bias due to amount, nature or handling of incom- plete outcome data.	<i>Low risk of bias:</i> No missing outcome data; reasons for missing outcome data unlikely to be related to true outcome (for survival data, censoring unlikely to be introducing bias); missing outcome data balanced in numbers across intervention groups, with similar reasons for missing data across groups; for dichotomous outcome data, the proportion of missing outcomes compared with observed event risk not enough to have a clinically relevant impact on the intervention effect estimate; for continuous outcome data, plausible effect size (difference in means or standardised difference in means) among missing outcomes not enough to have a clinically relevant impact on observed effect size; missing data have been imputed using appropriate methods
	<i>High risk of bias:</i> Reason for missing outcome data likely to be related to true outcome, with either imbalance in numbers or reasons for missing data across intervention groups; for dichotomous outcome data, the proportion of missing outcomes compared with observed event risk enough to induce clinically relevant bias in intervention effect estimate; for continuous outcome data, plausible effect size (difference in means or standardised difference in means) among missing outcomes enough to induce clinically relevant bias in observed effect size; 'as-treated' analysis done with substantial departure of the intervention received from that assigned at randomisation; potentially inappropriate application of simple imputation
	Unclear: Insufficient information to permit judgement
Selective reporting Reporting bias due to selective outcome reporting	<i>Low risk of bias:</i> The study protocol is available and all of the study's pre-specified (primary and secondary) outcomes that are of interest in the review have been reported in the pre-specified way;



(Continued)	the study protocol is not available but it is clear that the published reports include all expected out- comes, including those that were pre-specified (convincing text of this nature may be uncommon)	
	<i>High risk of bias:</i> Not all of the study's pre-specified primary outcomes have been reported; one or more primary outcomes is reported using measurements, analysis methods or subsets of the data (e.g. subscales) that were not pre-specified; one or more reported primary outcomes were not pre-specified (unless clear justification for their reporting is provided, such as an unexpected adverse effect); one or more outcomes of interest in the review are reported incompletely so that they cannot be entered in a meta-analysis; the study report fails to include results for a key outcome that would be expected to have been reported for such a study	
	Unclear: Insufficient information to permit judgement	
Other bias	Low risk of bias: The study appears to be free of other sources of bias	
Bias due to problems not cov- ered elsewhere in the table	<i>High risk of bias:</i> Had a potential source of bias related to the specific study design used; stopped early due to some data-dependent process (including a formal-stopping rule); had extreme base-line imbalance; has been claimed to have been fraudulent; had some other problem	
	<i>Unclear:</i> Insufficient information to assess whether an important risk of bias exists; insufficient rationale or evidence that an identified problem will introduce bias	

WHAT'S NEW

Date	Event	Description
11 June 2014	New search has been performed	Methods updated, authorship change
11 June 2014	New citation required and conclusions have changed	New studies added
7 February 2013	Amended	Search updated

HISTORY

Protocol first published: Issue 4, 2006 Review first published: Issue 4, 2006

Date	Event	Description
13 August 2009	Amended	Contact details updated.
13 May 2009	Amended	Contact details updated.
16 September 2008	Amended	Converted to new review format.

CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

- Angela Ballinger: search screening, data extraction and analysis and input into writing of the review
- Suetonia Palmer: design, conduct, data extraction and analysis, primary drafting and revisions of the review



- Ionut Nistor: search screening, data extraction and analysis and input into writing of the review
- Jonathan Craig: design, data analysis, writing the review
- Giovanni Strippoli: design, conduct, data-extraction and analysis, writing the review.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

- Angela Ballinger received a student stipend for a summer studentship 2012/2013 from the University of Otago to assist with completing this research.
- Suetonia Palmer: none known
- Jonathan Craig: none known
- Ionut Nistor: is a fellow of the Methods Support Team of European Renal Best Practice (ERBP), supported by a grant of the European Renal Association European Dialysis Transplantation Association (ERA-EDTA)
- Giovanni Strippoli: none known.

SOURCES OF SUPPORT

Internal sources

• Cochrane Renal Group, Australia.

External sources

- Suetonia Palmer receives a Fellowship from the Consorzio Mario Negri Sud from an unrestricted grant from Amgen Dompe, Italy.
- Angela Ballinger completed this review as a University of Otago summer studentship project 2012/2013 supported by the Canterbury Medical Research Foundation, New Zealand.
- Ionut Nistor was the recipient of a grant from European Renal Best Practice (ERBP) and the European Renal Association-European Dialysis Transplantation Association (ERA-EDTA), Other.

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW

The outcomes of cardiovascular mortality and one or more episodes of hypercalcaemia have been added to the review in the update to February 2013.

The study included in the review published in 2006 called Malluche 2004 has been updated to include data from a 2008 full text publication and renamed Malluche 2008.

NOTES

A systematic review and meta-analysis that includes sequential meta-analysis and meta-regression of these data has been published in PLoS Medicine (Palmer 2013).

INDEX TERMS

Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)

Calcimimetic Agents [adverse effects] [*therapeutic use]; Calcium [blood]; Cardiovascular Diseases [mortality]; Cause of Death; Cinacalcet; Hyperparathyroidism, Secondary [blood] [*drug therapy] [etiology]; Kidney Failure, Chronic [*complications] [therapy]; Naphthalenes [adverse effects] [*therapeutic use]; Parathyroid Hormone [blood]; Phosphorus [blood]; Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic; Renal Dialysis

MeSH check words

Humans