

SFXN3 is Associated with Poor Clinical Outcomes and Sensitivity to the Hypomethylating Therapy in Non-M3 Acute Myeloid Leukemia Patients



Yuxuan Dong^{1,#}, Fengbo Jin^{1,2,#}, Jing Wang¹, Qingsheng Li¹, Zhenqi Huang¹, Leiming Xia^{1,2,*} and Mingzhen Yang^{1,2,*}

¹Department of Hematology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Hefei, China; ²Anhui Public Health Clinical Center, Hefei, China

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Bentham Science Publisher. This is an open access article published under CC BY 4.0 https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode

Abstract: *Background*: DNA hypermethylation plays a critical role in the occurrence and progression of acute myeloid leukemia (AML). The mitochondrial serine transporter, SFXN3, is vital for one-carbon metabolism and DNA methylation. However, the impact of SFXN3 on the occurrence and progression of AML has not been reported yet.

Objective: In this study, we hypothesized that SFXN3 indicates a poor prognosis and suggested tailored treatment for AML patients.

Methods: We used GEPIA and TCGA repository data to analyze the expression of SFXN3 and its correlation with survival in AML patients. RT-qPCR was used to detect the SFXN3 level in our enrolled AML patients and volunteers. Additionally, Whole Genome Bisulfite Sequencing (WGBS) was used to detect the genomic methylation level in individuals.

Results: Through the TCGA and GEPIA databases, we found that SFXN3 was enriched in AML patients, predicting shorter survival. Furthermore, we confirmed that SFXN3 was primarily overexpressed in AML patients, especially non-M3 patients, and that high SFXN3 in non-M3 AML patients was found to be associated with poor outcomes and frequent blast cells. Interestingly, non-M3 AML patients with high SFXN3 levels who received hypomethylating therapy showed a higher CR ratio. Finally, we found that SFXN3 could promote DNA methylation at transcription start sites (TSS) in non-M3 AML patients. These sites were found to be clustered in multiple vital cell functions and frequently accompanied by mutations in DNMT3A and NPM1.

Conclusion: In conclusion, SXFN3 plays an important role in the progression and hypermethylation in non-M3 AML patients and could be a potential biomarker for indicating a high CR rate for hypomethylating therapy.

Keywords: Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML), SFXN3 (Sideroflexin3), DNA methylation, epigenetics, hypomethylating therapy, outcome.

1. INTRODUCTION

ARTICLE HISTORY

10.2174/1566523223666230724121515

rossMark

Ð

Received: February 21, 2023 Revised: May 19, 2023

Accepted: May 24, 2023

DOL

Numerous studies have confirmed that DNA methylation is critical in the occurrence and progression of AML [1-4]. Therefore, hypomethylating therapy is wildly accepted in clinical practice and has achieved significant efficacy in AML treatment [5-7]. However, the key molecule that regulates tumor DNA methylation in AML patients is still largely unknown.

SFXN3 is an important mitochondrial serine transporter that is required for generating one-carbon units, which is

These authors contributed equally to this work.

critical in cellular metabolism, including one-carbon metabolism and DNA methylation in both cytosolic and mitochondrial branches [8, 9]. It has been reported that serine is converted into glycine in mitochondria through the mitochondrial serine transporter, which is necessary for the synthesis of S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) [10-14]. In the cytosolic branch, the synthesis of the methionine-SAM cycle occurs in a serine-derived ATP-dependent manner [15]. SAM is the primary methyl donor in DNA and RNA methylation [16, 17]. Thus, SFXN3 could promote one-carbon metabolism and the methionine-SAM cycle by activating serine transport and enhancing SAM synthesis. This indicates that SFXN3 plays an important role in regulating tumor genomic hypermethylation.

However, it has not been reported whether SFXN3 could affect the occurrence and progression of AML. We hypothesized that SFXN3 indicates a poor prognosis and suggested a tailored treatment for AML patients.

^{*}Address correspondence to these authors at the Department of Hematology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Hefei, China; Anhui Public Health Clinical Center, Hefei, China; Tel: +86-551-66331699; E-mails: xialeiming@ahmu.edu.cn; yangmz89@163.com

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Bio-informatics Database

Raw data of SFXN3 expression in AML patients were downloaded from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database, which can be accessed at https://gdc-hub.s3.us-eastl.amazonaws.com/download/TCGA-LAML.htseq_fpkm.tsv. gz. Full metadata is also available. Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis database (GEPIA) was used to obtain SFXN3 expression data for AML patients and compared controls (access the website at http://gepia.cancerpku.cn/detail.php?gene = SFXN3). Integrated data from both GEPIA and TCGA databases were analyzed *via* the GEPIA analysis system and R software (R 4.2.1) to determine the overall survival of SFXN3 high and low AML patients.

2.2. Patients' Characteristics and Bone Marrow Obtained from our Home Hospital

The detailed diagnostic and classified criteria for the involved AML patients were according to the 2016 WHO guideline, and the patients were stratified using the 2017 European Leukemia Network (ELN) prognostic classification. We included a total of 31 newly diagnosed AML patients (Supplementary Table 1) treated in our center from January, 2022, to June, 2022. Additionally, we enrolled 23 healthy volunteers in the control group (Supplementary Table 2). The bone marrow and necessary clinical information were collected and recorded. All patients understood and signed the informed consent forms approved by the Ethics Review Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University.

2.3. The Expression of SFXN3 was Detected by RTqPCR

Total RNA was extracted from the bone marrow of patients and volunteers using the RNAprep Pure Hi-Blood Kit from TIANGEN, and nucleic acid quantification equipment (Eppendorf, BioPhotometer D30, DEU) was used to detect the purity and concentration of RNA. Then, the total RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA by a reverse transcription kit (Plus All-One 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Super Mix, Novoprotein), and qPCR (Agilent, Mx3000P, USA) was used to detect the SFXN3 expression. RNA primer was synthesized by Sangon Biotech (Supplementary Table **3**) and SYBR qPCR kit was purchased from Novoprotein (SYBR qPCR Super Mix Plus, Novoprotein). The relative expression of the gene is represented by 2^{-ΔΔCT}.

2.4. Detection of Genomic Methylation Level by Whole Genome Bisulfite Sequencing (WGBS)

2.4.1. WGBS Experimental Method

Genomic DNA was extracted from bone marrow using the TIANamp Blood DNA Kit (TIANGEN). DNA concentration and integrity were assessed by a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and agarose gel electrophoresis. Then, the genomic DNA samples were added with a certain proportion of negative control (lambda DNA) and randomly interrupted at 200–300 bp. The interrupted DNA fragments were repaired at the end; an A-tail was added and ligated to the sequencing adaptor with all cytosines methylated. After bisulfite treatment by EZ DNA Methylation Gold Kits (Zy-mo Research, Irvine, CA, USA), the unmethylated C turned into U, while the methylated C remained unchanged. Finally, after PCR amplification and product purification, the final libraries were sequenced on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA), and 150 bp paired-end reads were generated. The sequencing and analysis were conducted by OE Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

2.4.2. WGBS Analysis Process

The raw reads were subjected to a quality check and then filtered by Fastp [18]. Clean reads were aligned to the human genome (GRCh38.p13) using Bismark [19]. The alignment result was next assessed by MethylKit [20] to determine the methylation level of each C site (from 0% to 100%). To ensure the accuracy of the results, the C sites with coverage of <10x were filtered out. The sequence feature near the methylated C was analyzed using the R package ggseqlogo [21]. Differentially methylated regions (DMRs) were subsequently analyzed using MethylKit, and the parameter was set as "myobj, win.size = 1000, step.size = 1000." The significant DMRs were identified by the threshold of a *p*-value ≤ 0.05 as well as the absolute delta cutoff between the two groups $\geq 10\%$. The promoter region was defined as 4000 bp upstream of the TSS of a gene. The overall methylation level of the promoter region for every gene was calculated, and the P-value between the two groups was calculated using the t-test. GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses of DMRs related genes were performed using R based on the hypergeometric distribution.

2.5. Statistical Methods

Quantitative variables were presented in mean±SD and analyzed using SPSS 26.0. The means between the two groups, which corresponded to normal distribution and homogeneous variance were compared using the t-test (T-test), otherwise, using the Mann-Whitney U test (Mann-Whitney U test). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the means among three or more groups corresponding to the normal distribution and homogeneous variance, otherwise, using the Kruskal-Wallis test (K-W test). Pearson Chi-square test and Fisher's exact test were used to compare the proportions between two or more independent groups. p< 0.05 means the difference is statistically significant.

3. RESULTS

3.1. SFXN3 is Significantly Highly Expressed in Non-M3 AML Patients and is Associated with Poor Clinical Outcomes

To evaluate whether SFXN3 expression is related to the occurrence and progression of AML, we investigated the relationship through online databases. We found that the expression of SFXN3 was significantly higher in 173 AML patients than that in 70 healthy individuals (p < 0.01) (Fig. **1a**), according to data from GEPIA. Furthermore, we studied the overall survival (OS) of AML patients with high and



Fig. (1). SFXN3 is enriched in AML patients and indicates a poor OS. (a) The expression of SFXN3 was significantly higher in AML patients (n = 173) than that in healthy individuals (n = 70) (p < 0.01). (Data was obtained from the GEPIA database). (b and c) AML patients with high SFXN3 expression had significantly short overall survival. (Data was obtained and analyzed from GEPIA (p = 0.019) and TCGA databases (p < 0.01), respectively). (*A higher resolution / colour version of this figure is available in the electronic copy of the article*).

low SFXN3 expressions, separated according to the median SFXN3 expression in the GEPIA and TCGA databases, respectively. Data from 106 AML cases in GEPIA (p = 0.019) and 132 cases in TCGA (p < 0.01) showed that AML patients with high SFXN3 expression had significantly shorter OS than those of patients with low SFXN3 (Figs. **1b** and **c**). The results indicated that high SFXN3 expression was significantly detected in AML patients, and that high SXFN3 expression in AML patients was associated with poor OS.

Next, we collected bone marrow from 31 newly diagnosed AML patients and 23 volunteers from our home hospital and detected the expression of SFXN3. Our findings confirmed that SFXN3 was significantly higher in AML patients (6.89 ± 7.74) than in volunteers (1.08 ± 0.43) (p < 0.01) (Fig. **2a**). Furthermore, we investigated the variant SFXN3 expression in M3 and non-M3 AML patients and found that SFXN3 was preferentially overexpressed in non-M3 AML patients (8.02 ± 8.20) than in M3 patients ($2.17 \pm$ 1.12) (p < 0.05) and volunteers (1.08 ± 0.43) (p < 0.01). However, no significant changes in SFXN3 expression were demonstrated in M3 AML patients (2.17 ± 1.12) and volunteers (1.08 ± 0.43) (p > 0.05) (Fig. **2b**). These data consistently illustrated that SFXN3 was over-expressed in AML patients, especially in non-M3 AML patients.

According to the median SFXN3 expression, 25 newly diagnosed non-M3 AML patients were divided into high SFXN3 expression (SFXN3-H) group (n = 13) and low SFXN3 expression (SFXN3-L) groups (n = 12). We found that the ratio of high-risk patients in the SFXN3-H group was significantly higher than that of the SFXN3-L group (p < 0.05) (Fig. **2c**). The percentage of blast cells in the SFXN3-H group (63.42% ± 21.50%) was significantly higher than that of the SFXN3-L group (p < 0.05) (Fig. **2d**). These findings suggested that the high SFNX3 expression indicates worse risk and tumor burden, further confirming the poor clinical prognosis of non-M3 AML patients.



Fig. (2). SFXN3 is preferentially over-expressed in non-M3 AML patients and is associated with high-risk and frequent blasts. (a) SFXN3 was over-expressed in AML patients compared with volunteers (p < 0.05). (b) SFXN3 was over-expressed in non-M3 AML patients compared with both M3 AML patients (p < 0.05) and volunteers (p < 0.01); however, no significant differences were found between M3 patients and volunteers (p > 0.05). (c) High SFXN3 non-M3 AML patients suffered a poor prognosis of high-risk (p < 0.05). (d) The proportion of leukemia blasts in the SFXN3-H group was significantly higher than that in the SFXN3-L group (*p < 0.05 ****p < 0.01). (*A higher resolution / colour version of this figure is available in the electronic copy of the article*).

3.2. High SFXN3 Expressed Non-M3 AML Patients Potentially Benefit from Hypomethylating Therapy

We further explored the relationship between SFXN3 expression and the efficacy of hypomethylating therapy. In the SFXN3-H group, all 6 patients who received hypomethylating therapy achieved complete remission (CR), while only 2 out of 7 patients who did not receive hypomethylating therapy got CR. A significantly increased CR rate was observed in non-M3 AML patients with high SFXN3 expression who received hypomethylating therapy, compared to those without hypomethylating treatment (p < 0.05). In the SFXN3-L group, no statistical difference was found in the CR rate with or without hypomethylating therapy (p >0.05). Thus, high SFXN3 expression in non-M3 AML patients may indicate potential benefit from hypomethylating therapy (Table 1).

3.3. SFXN3 Promotes DNA Methylation in Non-M3 AML Patients at Transcription Start Sites (TSS), which Clustered in Multiple Vital Cell Functions and Accompanied by Mutations in DNMT3A and NPM1

To our knowledge, SFXN3 is critical in generating onecarbon units and DNA methylation in both cytosolic and mitochondrial branches. Our data indicated that non-M3 AML patients with high SFXN3 expression could benefit from hypomethylating therapy. We hypothesized that SFXN3 might be involved in non-M3 AML progression via promoting aberrant DNA methylation. Thus, we conducted genomic methylation sequencing by whole genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS) in high and low SFXN3-expressed non-M3 AML patients. We found no difference in methylated cytosine ratio between the SFXN3-H (11.13% \pm 1.34%) and SFXN3-L $(13.70\% \pm 1.79\%)$ groups (Figs. **3a** and **b**). Additionally, the ratio of CpG, CHG, and CHH showed no difference in the SFXN3-H (29.40% ± 4.83%; 14.74%± 0.23%; 55.86% ± 5.05%) and SFXN3-L (22.55% ± 4.83%; $14.73\% \pm 0.48\%$; $62.72\% \pm 2.02\%$) groups (Figs. **3c** and **d**). However, we observed that the CpG methylation ratio was considerably higher in the SFXN3-H group than that in the SFXN3-L group (p < 0.05), whereas no significant increase was monitored in CHG and CHH (Fig. 3e). Furthermore, the sequencing data revealed that CpG methylation at transcription start sites (TSS) was up-regulated in the SFXN3-H group (60.87% \pm 4.39%) than in the SFXN3-L group $(43.84\% \pm 7.03\%)$ (Fig. **3f**). TSS is crucial in controlling gene transcription and expression, and aberrant methylation plays a significant pathophysiological role in AML [22-24]. Our findings suggest that SFXN3 might promote a poor prognosis and outcome by inducing aberrant methylation of TSS.

We clustered 163 genes that showed a significant increase in methylation in gene promoters of over 10% in GO and KEGG enrichment analysis. The enrichment of TSS

-	With Hypomethylating Therapy		Without Hypomethylating Therapy		
	CR	Non-CR	CR	Non-CR	<i>p</i> -value
SFXN3-H	6	0	2	5	0.039
SFXN3-L	5	1	4	1	0.887

Table 1. High SFXN3 expressed non-M3 AML patients potentially benefit from hypomethylating therapy.

Note: In the SFXN3-H group, 6/6 patients who were administrated hypomethylating therapy achieved complete remission (CR), but 2/7 patients got CR when treated without hypomethylating therapy. A significantly higher CR rate was observed in non-M3 AML patients with high SFXN3 expression who were treated with hypomethylating therapy than in treatment without hypomethylating therapy (p < 0.05). In the SFXN3-L group, no statistical difference was found in the CR rate with or without hypomethylating therapy (p > 0.05).



Fig. (3). SFXN3 can promote the CpG methylation level at the transcription start sites (TSS) in non-M3 AML patients. (**a**), (**b**) There was no difference in methylated cytosine ratio between the SFXN3-H and SFXN3-L groups (p > 0.05). (**c**) The proportion of three methylation types (CpG, CHG, and CHH) in each sample. (**d**) The CpG methylation ratio in the SFXN3-H group was higher than that in the SFXN3-L group, while the CHG and CHH methylation ratio was found with no significant difference in the two groups (p > 0.05). (**e**) The distribution of three methylation types (CpG, CHG, and CHH) in gene elements of SFXN3-H and SFXN3-L groups. (**f**) The methylation ratio of gene elements in the SFXN3-H and SFXN3-L groups. The methylation level at transcription start sites (TSS) in the SFXN3-H group was significantly higher than that in the SFXN3-L group (p < 0.05). In addition, the methylation ratio of the SFXN3-H group also increased at transcription termination sites (TTS) (p < 0.05). However, there was no significant difference between the two groups in other gene regions (*p < 0.05). (*A higher resolution / colour version of this figure is available in the electronic copy of the article*).

hypermethylation highlighted multiple vital cell functions, including tumor cellular signal transduction, immunological recognition, tumor micro-environment, metabolism of nutriment, *etc.* (Fig. **4**).

Finally, we explored the relationship of SFXN3 with the molecular and cytogenetic characteristics of non-M3 AML patients. By comparing the gene mutation sequencing of the

SFXN3-H and SFXN3-L groups, we discovered that frequently mutated genes with poor prognosis were found in the SFXN3-H group. Specifically, DNMT3A and NPM1 were statistically significant (20.65% \pm 22.64% vs 3.5% \pm 11.61%; 17.15% \pm 16.68% vs 2.03% \pm 6.74%) (p < 0.05) (Fig. **5a**). There was no significant variation in the chromosomal karyotype between the SFXN3-H and SFXN3-L groups (Fig. **5b**).



Fig. (4). (a, b) Multiple vital cell functions are highlighted by the enrichment of TSS hypermethylation, including tumor cellular signal transduction, immunological recognition, tumor micro-environment, metabolism of nutriment, *etc. (A higher resolution / colour version of this figure is available in the electronic copy of the article).*



Fig. (5). High SFXN3 is accompanied by mutations in DNMT3A and NPM1 in non-M3 AML patients. (**a**) By comparing the gene mutation sequencing of the SFXN3-H and SFXN3-L groups, we discovered that gene mutations with poor prognosis were frequently found in SFXN3-H group, and DNMT3A and NPM1 were statistically significant (p < 0.05). (**b**) There was no significant variation in the chromosomal karyotype between the SFXN3-H and SFXN3-L groups. (*A higher resolution / colour version of this figure is available in the electronic copy of the article*).

In conclusion, SFXN3 promoted DNA methylation at transcription start sites (TSS) in non-M3 AML patients. These TSS were clustered in multiple vital cell functions and were accompanied by mutations in DNMT3A and NPM1.

4. DISCUSSION

Recent studies have shown that gene mutations and disorders play a key role in diagnosing and prognosis of new AML patients [25, 26]. Mono- and combination therapies with the backbone of hypomethylating agents have demonstrated satisfactory efficacy in clinics [5, 7, 27]. Mutations in methylation-related genes, such as TET-2 and IDH1/2, can enhance genomic methylation levels and are associated with poor disease prognosis [25, 28-30]. However, the trigger and pathophysiological processes of DNA methylation are unclear. Our study reported that SFXN3 was overexpressed in non-M3 AML patients and was associated with poor OS, high risk, and increased blast. Next, we observed frequent DNA methylation at TSS and TTS in high SFXN3 AML patients, usually accompanied by DNMT3A and NPM1 mutation. Our data suggested that SFXN3 may be a novel potential biomarker for the non-M3 AML patient prognosis. Previous research has already shown that SFXN3 promotes one-carbon metabolism and determines the prognosis of many other tumors [8, 31, 32]. Our findings confirmed that SFXN3 promotes tumor hypermethylation in multiple cancers.

Numerous studies have established that DNA hypermethylation, especially occurring at TSS, plays a substantial role in AML [22-24] and always indicates poor survival of AML patients [1, 2, 4, 33]. Although hypomethylating treatments have achieved satisfactory efficacy in the clinics, the efficacy has been observed to have significant heterogeneity, with only about 30%-40% of the patients responding to hypomethylating treatment and the OS being significantly reduced in high-risk patients [7, 34, 35]. Thus, key biomarkers are needed to be explored to guide personalized hypomethylating strategies [35, 36]. We detected significantly frequent DNA methylation at TSS and TTS in high SFXN3 non-M3 AML patients and identified that these patients could benefit from hypomethylating therapy. Our data indicated that non-M3 AML patients with high SFXN3 could benefit from hypomethylating therapy due to TSS methylation, which provides a novel and important biomarker for optimizing the option of a hypomethylating strategy. EZH2, DNMT3A, IDH1/2, and TET2 have been associated with DNA methylation and hypomethylating therapy. Among these genes, EZH2 and DNMT3A are related to the activity of DNMT in vivo, while TET2 and IDH1/2 take part in the process of DNA demethylation. Mutations in these genes show a higher remission rate to hypomethylating therapy in AML patients [25, 37-39]. Different from previously reported genes, SFXN3 mainly promotes DNA methylation by activating serine transport and determines whether patients can benefit from hypomethylating therapy, providing a new theoretical basis for hypomethylating therapy.

SFXN3 is a novel and seldom evaluated serine transporter in cancer cell development [9]. Previous studies revealed its effect on mitochondrial serine transport and onecarbon metabolism [8], which indicated that SFXN3 might be regulating hypermethylation in various tumor genomes. However, whether the hypermethylation of AML is partially generated by SFXN3 has not been reported yet. Through our study, we demonstrated that high SXFN3 non-M3 AML patients were detected with frequent DNA methylation, especially enriched in TSS. The WGBS analysis indicated that the TSS genes were clustered in multiple vital cell functions. Genomic hypermethylation was involved in tumor cellular signal transduction, immunological recognition, tumor micro-environment, metabolism of nutriment, *etc.* These findings indicated that the regulation of SFXN3 in tumors is multifaceted. DNA hypermethylation occurs in multiple genes, some of which are associated with G-protein-coupled receptors, appear in many cancers, and affect the efficiency of chemotherapy drugs [40, 41]. Some tumor suppressor genes are affected by hypermethylation and promote tumor genesis and invasion through the ERK signaling pathway [42]. Additionally, DNA hypermethylation can control cellular immune responses [43, 44], change IL-17A genetic polymorphisms [45], result in extracellular instability [46], influence glycose metabolism and cell proliferation [47], and participate in the development of various cancers.

Interestingly, we found that the high SFXN3 was accompanied by mutations in DNMT3A and NPM1. AML patients harboring DNMT3A mutations were found with poor OS and prognosis and satisfied response to hypomethylating therapy [39, 48]. Nevertheless, the global DNA methylation status in the DNMT3A mutant genotype in AML has not been fully clarified and the evidence is controversial [25]. Research revealed that DNMT3A encodes DNA methyltransferases that catalyze the addition of a methyl group to the cytosine residue of CpG dinucleotide [37], and DNMT3A mutation could present in the hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells of primary blasts and mature cells after remission [49-51].

However, the relationship between SFXN3-induced methylation enrichment and DNMT3A mutation needs further exploration. Nucleophosphorin1 (NPM1) is a chaperone protein that functions as a tumor suppressor gene in a number of cellular processes [51]. Its mutation frequently coexists with DNMT3A and FLT3-ITD mutations [28] and affects the activity of TP53 regulatory protein [52]. The association of SFXN3 with these gene mutations further suggests its impact on the prognosis of non-M3 AML patients and their sensitivity to hypomethylating therapy.

CONCLUSION

Our study showed that the mitochondrial serine transporter SFXN3 is significantly highly expressed in non-M3 AML patients and is associated with poor clinical outcomes. The expression of SFXN3 could indicate whether the patients can benefit from the hypomethylating therapy or not. Furthermore, we confirmed that SFXN3 promotes DNA methylation in non-M3 AML patients at transcription start sites (TSS), which clustered in multiple vital cell functions and accompanied by mutations in DNMT3A and NPM1, indicating a potential biomarker for evaluating tumor methylation enrichment and predicting the prognosis of the disease.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AML	=	Acute Myeloid Leukemia
ANOVA	=	Analysis of Variance
CR	=	Complete Remission
DMRs	=	Differentially Methylated Regions
ELN	=	European Leukemia Network

GEPIA	=	Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis Database
NPM1	=	Nucleophosphorin1
OS	=	Overall Survival
SAM	=	S-adenosylmethionine
TCGA	=	The Cancer Genome Atlas
TSS	=	Transcription Start Sites
TTS	=	Transcription Termination Sites

WGBS = Whole Genome Bisulfite Sequencing

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICI-PATE

This study is approved by the Ethics Review Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University. (Approval No. Quick-PJ 2022-01-30).

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No animals were used in this research. All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of institutional and/or research committee and with the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki, as revised in 2013.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

STANDARDS OF REPORTING

STROBE guidelines were followed.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The data supporting the findings of the article is available in the GEPIA and the TCGA repository at http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/detail.php?gene=SFXN3 and https://gdc-hub.s3.us-east-1.amazonaws.com/download/TCGA-LAML. htseq_fpkm.tsv.gz;Full metadata and https://gdc-hub.s3.us-east-1.amazonaws.com/download/TCGA-LAML.survival.tsv; Full metadata

FUNDING

This work was financially supported by the Key Research and Development Program of Anhui Province (Grant number 202104j07020028) and the General Scientific Research Foundation of the Education Department of Anhui (Grant number KJ2021A0333 and KJ2021A0330).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge OE Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) for providing help in sequencing and data analysis.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary material is available on the publisher's website along with the published article.

REFERENCES

- Giacopelli B, Wang M, Cleary A, et al. DNA methylation epitypes highlight underlying developmental and disease pathways in acute myeloid leukemia. Genome Res 2021; 31(5): 747-61. http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gr.269233.120 PMID: 33707228
- Cai SF, Levine RL. Genetic and epigenetic determinants of AML pathogenesis. Semin Hematol 2019; 56(2): 84-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.seminhematol.2018.08.001 PMID: 30926095
- [3] Wouters BJ, Delwel R. Epigenetics and approaches to targeted epigenetic therapy in acute myeloid leukemia. Blood 2016; 127(1): 42-52.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1182/blood-2015-07-604512 PMID: 26660432

- [4] Yang X, Wong MPM, Ng RK. Aberrant DNA methylation in acute myeloid leukemia and its clinical implications. Int J Mol Sci 2019; 20(18): 4576. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms20184576 PMID: 31527484
- [5] Gardin C, Dombret H. Hypomethylating agents as a therapy for AML. Curr Hematol Malig Rep 2017; 12(1): 1-10.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11899-017-0363-4 PMID: 28286907
 [6] Stahl M, DeVeaux M, Montesinos P, *et al.* Hypomethylating agents in relapsed and refractory AML: Outcomes and their predictors in a large international patient cohort. Blood Adv 2018; 2(8): 923-32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2018016121 PMID: 29685952
- [7] Bocchia M, Candoni A, Borlenghi E, et al. Real-world experience with decitabine as a first-line treatment in 306 elderly acute myeloid leukaemia patients unfit for intensive chemotherapy. Hematol Oncol 2019; 37(4): 447-55.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hon.2663 PMID: 31385337

- [8] Kory N, Wyant GA, Prakash G, et al. SFXN1 is a mitochondrial serine transporter required for one-carbon metabolism. Science 2018; 362(6416): eaat9528. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aat9528 PMID: 30442778
- [9] Acoba MG, Alpergin ESS, Renue S, *et al.* The mitochondrial carrier SFXN1 is critical for complex III integrity and cellular metabolism. Cell Rep 2021; 34(11): 108869.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2021.108869 PMID: 33730581
 [10] Ducker GS, Rabinowitz JD. One-carbon metabolism in health and disease. Cell Metab 2017; 25(1): 27-42.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2016.08.009 PMID: 27641100
- [11] Mentch SJ, Locasale JW. One-carbon metabolism and epigenetics: Understanding the specificity. In: Braaten D, Ed. Diet, Sulfur Amino Acids, and Health Span Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 2016; 1363: pp. 91-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/nyas.12956
- [12] Li AM, Ye J. Reprogramming of serine, glycine and one-carbon metabolism in cancer. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis 2020; 1866(10): 165841.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbadis.2020.165841 PMID: 32439610 [13] Yang M, Vousden KH. Serine and one-carbon metabolism in
- cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2016; 16(10): 650-62. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrc.2016.81 PMID: 27634448
- [14] Pan S, Fan M, Liu Z, Li X, Wang H. Serine, glycine and one-carbon metabolism in cancer (Review). Int J Oncol 2020; 58(2): 158-70. http://dx.doi.org/10.3892/ijo.2020.5158 PMID: 33491748
- [15] Maddocks ODK, Labuschagne CF, Adams PD, Vousden KH.
 Serine metabolism supports the methionine cycle and DNA/RNA Methylation through De Novo atp synthesis in cancer cells. Mol Cell 2016; 61(2): 210-21.
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2015.12.014 PMID: 26774282
- [16] Chiang PK, Gordon RK, Tal J, et al. S-adenosylmetliionine and methylation. FASEB J 1996; 10(4): 471-80.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1096/fasebj.10.4.8647346 PMID: 8647346
 [17] Moore LD, Le T, Fan G. DNA methylation and its basic function. Neuropsychopharmacology 2013; 38(1): 23-38. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/npp.2012.112 PMID: 22781841
- [18] Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. fastp: An ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. Bioinformatics 2018; 34(17): i884-90. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560 PMID: 30423086

- [19] Krueger F, Andrews SR. Bismark: A flexible aligner and methylation caller for bisulfite-seq applications. Bioinformatics 2011; 27(11): 1571-2. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr167 PMID: 21493656
- [20] Akalin A, Kormaksson M, Li S, *et al.* methylkit: A comprehensive R package for the analysis of genome-wide DNA methylation profiles. Genome Biol 2012; 13(10): R87.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/gb-2012-13-10-r87 PMID: 23034086
 [21] Wagih O. ggseqlogo: A versatile R package for drawing sequence logos. Bioinformatics 2017; 33(22): 3645-7.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx469 PMID: 29036507
 [22] Kroeger H, Jelinek J, Estécio MRH, *et al.* Aberrant cpg island methylation in acute myeloid leukemia is accentuated at relapse. Blood 2008; 112(4): 1366-73.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1182/blood-2007-11-126227 PMID: 18523155
 [23] Dogan S, Cilic A, Marjanovic D, Kurtovic-Kozaric A. Detection of cytosine and CpG density in proto-oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes in promoter sequences of acute myeloid leukemia. Nucleos Nucleot Nucl Acids 2017; 36(4): 302-16. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15257770.2017.1279738 PMID: 28323522
- [24] Jones PA. Functions of DNA methylation: Islands, start sites, gene bodies and beyond. Nat Rev Genet 2012; 13(7): 484-92. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrg3230 PMID: 22641018
- [25] Prada-Arismendy J, Arroyave JC, Röthlisberger S. Molecular biomarkers in acute myeloid leukemia. Blood Rev 2017; 31(1): 63-76.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.blre.2016.08.005 PMID: 27639498
 [26] Stölzel F, Mohr B, Kramer M, *et al.* Karyotype complexity and prognosis in acute myeloid leukemia. Blood Cancer J 2016; 6(1): e386.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/bcj.2015.114 PMID: 26771812 [27] Sami SA, Darwish NHE, Barile ANM, Mousa SA. Current and future molecular targets for acute myeloid leukemia therapy. Curr Treat Options Oncol 2020; 21(1): 3. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11864-019-0694-6 PMID: 31933183
- [28] Ley TJ, Miller C, Ding L, *et al.* Genomic and epigenomic land-scapes of adult de novo acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med 2013; 368(22): 2059-74.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1301689 PMID: 23634996
 Figueroa ME, Abdel-Wahab O, Lu C, *et al.* Leukemic IDH1 and IDH2 mutations result in a hypermethylation phenotype, disrupt TET2 function, and impair hematopoietic differentiation. Cancer Cell 2010; 18(6): 553-67.
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2010.11.015 PMID: 21130701
- [30] Rasmussen KD, Jia G, Johansen JV, et al. Loss of TET2 in hematopoietic cells leads to DNA hypermethylation of active enhancers and induction of leukemogenesis. Genes Dev 2015; 29(9): 910-22. http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gad.260174.115 PMID: 25886910
- [31] Chen K, Gong S, Fang X, et al. Non-coding RNA-mediated high expression of SFXN3 as a prognostic biomarker associated with paclitaxel resistance and immunosuppressive microenvironment in head and neck cancer. Front Immunol 2022; 13: 920136. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.920136 PMID: 36159813
- [32] Murase R, Abe Y, Takeuchi T, et al. Serum autoantibody to sideroflexin 3 as a novel tumor marker for oral squamous cell carcinoma. Proteomics Clin Appl 2008; 2(4): 517-27. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/prca.200780123 PMID: 21136855
- [33] Lamba JK, Cao X, Raimondi S, *et al.* DNA methylation clusters and their relation to cytogenetic features in pediatric AML. Cancers 2020; 12(10): 3024.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/cancers12103024 PMID: 33080932
 [34] He PF, Zhou JD, Yao DM, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of decitabine in treatment of elderly patients with acute myeloid leukemia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Oncotarget 2017; 8(25): 41498-507.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.17241 PMID: 28489568
 [35] Bohl SR, Bullinger L, Rücker FG. Epigenetic therapy: Azacytidine and decitabine in acute myeloid leukemia. Expert Rev Hematol 2018; 11(5): 361-71.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17474086.2018.1453802 PMID: 29543073

[36] Bell CG, Lowe R, Adams PD, et al. DNA methylation aging clocks: Challenges and recommendations. Genome Biol 2019; 20(1): 249.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1824-y PMID: 31767039

- [37] Brunetti L, Gundry MC, Goodell MA. Dnmt3a in leukemia. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2017; 7(2): a030320.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a030320 PMID: 28003281
 [38] Viré E, Brenner C, Deplus R, *et al.* The polycomb group protein EZH2 directly controls DNA methylation. Nature 2006; 439(7078): 871-4.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature04431 PMID: 16357870

- [39] Im AP, Sehgal AR, Carroll MP, et al. DNMT3A and IDH mutations in acute myeloid leukemia and other myeloid malignancies: Associations with prognosis and potential treatment strategies. Leukemia 2014; 28(9): 1774-83. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/leu.2014.124 PMID: 24699305
- [40] Zhang M, Zhao J, Dong H, et al. DNA methylation-specific analysis of G protein-coupled receptor-related genes in pan-cancer. Genes 2022; 13(7): 1213.

http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/genes13071213 PMID: 35885996

- [41] Byun S, Affolter KE, Snow AK, et al. Differential methylation of G-protein coupled receptor signaling genes in gastrointestinal neuroendocrine tumors. Sci Rep 2021; 11(1): 12303. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-91934-5 PMID: 34112938
- [42] Zhang K, Zhai Z, Yu S, Tao Y. DNA methylation mediated downregulation of ANGPTL4 promotes colorectal cancer metastasis by activating the ERK pathway. J Cancer 2021; 12(18): 5473-85. http://dx.doi.org/10.7150/jca.52338 PMID: 34405010
- [43] Schmidl C, Delacher M, Huehn J, Feuerer M. Epigenetic mechanisms regulating T-cell responses. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2018; 142(3): 728-43.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2018.07.014 PMID: 30195378
 [44] Mazzone R, Zwergel C, Artico M, *et al.* The emerging role of epigenetics in human autoimmune disorders. Clin Epigenetics 2019; 11(1): 34.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13148-019-0632-2 PMID: 30808407
 [45] Tahara T, Shibata T, Nakamura M, *et al.* Association between IL-17A, -17F and MIF polymorphisms predispose to CpG island hypermethylation in gastric cancer. Int J Mol Med 2010; 25(3): 471-7. http://dx.doi.org/10.3892/ijmm_00000367 PMID: 20127054
- [46] Veeck J, Chorovicer M, Naami A, et al. The extracellular matrix protein ITIH5 is a novel prognostic marker in invasive nodenegative breast cancer and its aberrant expression is caused by promoter hypermethylation. Oncogene 2008; 27(6): 865-76. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1210669 PMID: 17653090
- [47] Zhang XY, Li M, Sun K, et al. Decreased expression of GRIM-19 by DNA hypermethylation promotes aerobic glycolysis and cell proliferation in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Oncotarget 2015; 6(1): 101-15.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.2684 PMID: 25575809
 [48] Ley TJ, Ding L, Walter MJ, *et al.* DNMT3A mutations in acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med 2010; 363(25): 2424-33. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1005143 PMID: 21067377
- [49] Shlush LI, Zandi S, Mitchell A, *et al.* Identification of preleukaemic haematopoietic stem cells in acute leukaemia. Nature 2014; 506(7488): 328-33. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature13038 PMID: 24522528
- [50] Corces-Zimmerman MR, Hong WJ, Weissman IL, Medeiros BC, Majeti R. Preleukemic mutations in human acute myeloid leukemia affect epigenetic regulators and persist in remission. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2014; 111(7): 2548-53.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1324297111 PMID: 24550281
 [51] Grisendi S, Mecucci C, Falini B, Pandolfi PP. Nucleophosmin and cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2006; 6(7): 493-505. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrc1885 PMID: 16794633
- [52] Dawson MA, Gudgin EJ, Horton SJ, et al. Recurrent mutations, including NPM1c, activate a BRD4-dependent core transcriptional program in acute myeloid leukemia. Leukemia 2014; 28(2): 311-20. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/leu.2013.338 PMID: 24220271