Effect of Temperature on Gibberellin (GA) Responsiveness and on Endogenous GA_1 Content of Tall and Dwarf Wheat Genotypes

Moshe J. Pinthus*, Michael D. Gale, Nigel E. J. Appleford, and John R. Lenton

The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Faculty of Agriculture, Rehovot 76100, Israel (M.J.P.), AFRC Institute of Plant Science Research, Cambridge CB2 2LQ, England (M.D.G.), and University of Bristol, Department of Agricultural Sciences, AFRC Institute of Arable Crops Research, Long Ashton Research Station, Long Ashton, Bristol BS18 9AF, England (N.E.J.A., J.R.L.)

ABSTRACT

Near-isogenic wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) lines differing in height-reducing (Rht) alleles were used to investigate the effects of temperature on endogenous gibberellin (GA) levels and seedling growth response to applied GA₃. Sheath and lamina lengths of the first leaf were measured in GA treated and control seedlings, grown at 11, 18, and 25°C, of six Rht genotypes in each of two varietal backgrounds, cv Maris Huntsman and cv April Bearded. Endogenous GA, levels in the leaf extension zone of untreated seedlings were determined by gas chromatographymass spectrometry with a deuterated intemal standard in the six Maris Huntsman Rht lines grown at 10 and 25°C. Higher temperature increased leaf length considerably in the tall genotype, less so in the Rht1 and Rht2 genotypes, and had no consistent effect on the Rhtl+2, Rht3 and Rht2+3 genotypes. In all genotypes, endogenous GA₁ was higher at 25°C than at 10°C. At 10°C the endogenous GA₁ was at a similar level in all the genotypes $(except Rht2+3)$. At 25° C it increased 1.6-fold in the tall genotype, 3-fold in Rhtl and Rht2, 6-fold in Rht3, and 9-fold in Rhtl+2. Likewise, the genotypic differences in leaf length were very conspicuous at 25°C, but were only slight and often unsignificant at 11°C. The response of leaf length to applied $GA₃$ in the Rht1, Rht2, and Rhtl+2 genotypes increased significantly with lowering of temperature. These results suggest the possibility that the temperature effect on leaf elongation is mediated through its effect on the level of endogenous GA, and that leaf elongation response to endogenous or applied GAs is restricted by the upper limits set by the different Rht alleles.

Most modem high-yielding wheat cultivars have short straw and are referred to as 'dwarf' or 'semi-dwarf.' The majority of these cultivars owe their short stature to the presence of one or both of the Norin 10-derived, height-reducing genes, RhtJ and Rht2 (5). Almost no commercial cultivars carry the more potent height-reducing 'Tom Thumb' allele, Rht3, and the combination of $Rht2 + Rht3$ alleles has been generated only recently in experimental lines. The *Rht1* and *Rht2* alleles are carried on chromosomes $4BS¹$ and $4DS$, respectively, the $Rht3$ is an allele at the $Rht1$ locus on chromosome 4BS (5).

Culm and leaf elongation of tall (rht) cultivars that do not carry any of these Rht alleles are promoted by application of $GA₃$, whereas genotypes carrying these *Rht* alleles are relatively insensitive to applied $GA_3(4, 12)$. In near-isogenic lines in the genetic background of cv Maris Huntsman the presence of the Rht1 allele has been shown to reduce the responsiveness of the second leaf sheath to applied GA₃, whereas the line containing the Rht3 allele was totally unresponsive compared with the normal tall rht line (9). This information regarding responses to GA₃ application was obtained at temperatures of about 20°C.

Work with GA-deficient mutants of maize and pea has shown that $GA₁$ is essential for shoot elongation (10). The concentration of biologically active GAs in wheat cultivars containing Rht1, Rht2, Rht1+2, and Rht3 alleles, however, is much greater than that of *rht*, tall cultivars (12, 18). In addition, in Maris Huntsman near-isogenic lines, the level of GA, has been shown to be 4.5-fold and 25-fold greater (compared with the tall line) in expanding stem internodes containing Rht1 and Rht3 alleles, respectively. Thus, GA_1 accumulates in proportion to the potency of the dwarfing gene (9). Stoddart (18) has shown that when corrections are made for differences in endogenous pool sizes of GA_1 in rht and *Rht3* lines, the rate of metabolism of GA_1 in shoots of the dwarf was 66% that of the tall line. The increase in $GA₁$ in the dwarf lines has been explained in terms of reduced metabolism in nonexpanding tissue (6).

The rate of leaf extension was faster with increasing temperature (up to 30°C) and greater for the rht cultivar Capelle Desprez than for an Rht3 dwarf line, particularly above 15° C (19). Applied GA_3 increased the growth rate of the tall cultivar, and it was proportionally more effective at temperatures lower than 15 $^{\circ}$ C. Although there was no effect of applied GA₃ on the extension rate of $Rht3$ dwarf seedlings above 20 $^{\circ}$ C, there was some indication of a growth stimulation by GA_3 at lower temperatures (19). However, Stoddart and Lloyd (19) "have not found any changes in GA_3 responsiveness of three-leaf seedlings after pretreatment at 5°C for up to 10 d." By contrast, aleurone layers of mature grains of wheat cultivars carrying, Rht1, Rht2, or Rht3 alleles do become responsive to applied GA_3 after preincubation at 5°C for 20 h (16, 17). Effects of temperature on leaf elongation and on its response to GA application of wheat genotypes other than rht and Rht3

^{&#}x27;At the 7th International Wheat Genetics Symposium in 1988 a decision was taken to reverse the designations of chromosomes 4A and 4B. This new nomenclature is used in this paper.

have not yet been reported. Decreasing effects of low temperature on the content of endogenous GA-like substances have been found in maize (15) and in wheat (13, 14).

The objective of the present study was to examine the effect of temperature on leaf elongation, responsiveness to GA_3 , and endogenous GA, content in the expansion zone of nearisogenic wheat lines carrying all possible homozygous combinations of the rht, Rht1, Rht2, and Rht3 alleles.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) seedlings were grown in controlled environments at 85% RH and under ¹² ^h photoperiod, 214 μ Em⁻² s⁻¹ PAR at plant height. Three temperature regimes, constant 11, 18, and 25°C, were used. Grains of similar size for all genotypes, obtained by selecting only those retained on a 2.5 mm sieve, were sown in $32 \times 24 \times 5$ cm trays filled with vermiculite that had been previously saturated with 1.2 L of 7.2 \times 10⁻⁵ M (25 ppm) aqueous GA₃ solution or 1.2 L H_2O for the control treatment. After sowing, the trays were kept for 3 d at 3 to 4°C to ensure uniform germination before being moved to controlled environment cabinets, where they were watered with tap water as needed.

Growth Measurements

Six near-isogenic genotypes, (rht, Rht1, Rht2, Rht3, $Rht1+2$, $Rht2+3$) in each of two varietal backgrounds (the winter cv Maris Huntsman and the spring cv April Bearded) were grown. Each tray contained six rows of 12 to 15 seedlings, one row of each of the six genotypes. Five replicate trays were sown of each varietal background for each of the six treatments (GA and control at 11, 18, and 25°C). Seedlings were harvested at the time of emergence of the tip of the third leaf, which occurred at the age of 29 , 18, and 14 d for 11, 18, and 25°C, respectively. The lengths of the sheath and lamina of the first leaf were recorded.

GA₁ Determinations

The Maris Huntsman series of six near-isogenic lines were grown to the same stage (emergence of the tip of the third leaf). Three trays of each genotype, 160 to 170 seedlings per tray, were grown at 10 and 25°C and watered with tap water. Analyses of endogenous GA, were performed on two replicates each of about 150 seedlings per genotype.

Lower segments, cut from the point of emergence from the caryopsis to the ligule of the first leaf, were frozen in liquid N_2 , crushed in a mortar, and freeze dried. These segments included the coleoptile, the first leaf sheath, the shoot apex, and parts of young leaves enclosed by the sheath.

GA Extraction and Analysis

Qualitative Analysis

Lower leaf segments (150, 12 g fresh weight) cut from Rht3 wheat seedlings grown at 20°C for 14 d were extracted twice with 80% MeOH² at 4°C and 833 Bq $[1,2^{-3}H_2]GA_1(1.21 T)$

Bq $mmol^{-1}$, Amersham plc) added to the combined methanolic extracts. After removal of the MeOH under reduced pressure at 35°C, the aqueous phase was adjusted to pH 3.0 (2 N HCl) and partitioned against ethyl acetate $(4 \times \frac{1}{2})$ volume). The combined organic phases were partitioned against 0.1 M sodium bicarbonate ($3 \times \frac{1}{5}$ volume), acidified to pH 3.0, and reextracted into ethyl acetate $(4 \times \frac{1}{2})$ volume). The ethyl acetate was reduced to dryness in vacuo at $\leq 35^{\circ}C$, dissolved in water (pH 5-6), adjusted to pH 7.5 (1 M KOH), and loaded onto ^a QAE Sephadex A-25 (Pharmacia) anion exchange column (5 mL bed volume) that had been preequilibrated with 0.5 M sodium formate then washed with 1% formic acid and water (pH 8). After loading, the column was washed with three volumes of water (pH 8) and GAs were eluted with four volumes of 0.2 M formic acid. The formic acid solution was applied directly to a preequilibrated C_{18} Sep-Pak cartridge (Waters Assoc.) which was washed with 2 mm acetic acid (pH 4); GAs were eluted with 80% MeOH and taken to dryness in vacuo. GAs were resolved by reversephase HPLC (LDC/Milton Roy Gradient system 3, Stone, Staffs) using a 4.9 mm i.d. \times 250 mm column containing Partisil ⁵ ODS ³ (Whatman) and ^a linear gradient of increasing MeOH in ² mm acetic acid (28% MeOH to 100% MeOH over 40 min) at a flow rate of 1 mL min^{-1} . Samples were dissolved in 100 μ L MeOH, then 400 μ L 2 mm acetic acid was added, and the solution was injected onto the column (Hichrom Ltd, Reading) using a Rheodyne 7125 valve fitted with a 500 μ L loop. Forty 1-mL fractions were collected and aliquots ($\frac{1}{20}$) removed for scintillation counting to locate GA_1 (usually fractions 15, 16). The dried fractions containing GA_1 were methylated with ethereal diazomethane, transferred to glass ampoules and trimethylsilylated with MSTFA $(5 \mu L)$ at 90°C for 30 min. Derivatized samples were analyzed using a Kratos MS80 RFA GC-MS system. Samples $(1 \mu L)$ were coinjected with Parafilm (to determine Kovats retention indices) into a fused silica wall-coated open tubular (WCOT) BP-1 capillary column (SGE) (0.32 mm \times 25 m \times 0.33 μ m film thickness) at an oven temperature of 50°C with the injector split valve closed. After 0.5 min the split (50:1) was opened and after ¹ min the oven temperature was increased at 15° C min⁻¹ to 240° C and then at 4° C to 300° C. The He inlet pressure was 2.94×10^4 Pa and the injector and interface temperature was 250°C. After 12 min, positive ion electron impact mass spectra were acquired, scanning from 700 to 50 atomic mass units at ¹ ^s per mass decade. The electron energy was 70 electron volts and the source temperature 200°C.

Quantitative Analysis

Freeze-dried samples were extracted as above and, in addition to the tritiated GA_1 , $[1,2^{-2}H_2]$ - GA_1 (gift from Prof. J. MacMillan, University of Bristol) was added as an internal standard. The deuterium isotope enrichment, determined by mass spectrometry (P. Gaskin, Univ. of Bristol) was no deuterium atoms 9.1%, ¹ deuterium atom 29.0%, 2 deuterium atoms 59.2%, and 3 deuterium atoms 2.5% (2). The amounts of deuterated GA, added to extracts varied between ³ and 80 ng depending on the weight of tissue (3-9 g), genotype and growing temperature. After purification, as above, samples were analyzed using a Hewlett-Packard 5890 gas chromato-

² Abbreviations: MeOH, methanol; MSTFA, N-methyl-O-trimethylsilyltrifluoroacetamide; GC-SIM, gas chromatography mass spectrometry/selected ion monitoring.

graph coupled to an HP 5970 mass selective detector. Samples were injected into a fused silica WCOT BP-1 capillary column (SGE) (0.2 mm \times 25 m \times 0.3 μ m film thickness) at an oven temperature of 60°C for 1 min, then heated at 20° C min⁻¹ to 240° C and at 4 $^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹ to 300 $^{\circ}$ C. The helium inlet pressure was 8.96×10^4 Pa and the injector, interface, and source temperatures were 220, 270, and 200°C, respectively. Ions at m/z 508, 506, 448, and 376 were monitored with dwell times of 0.1 s and the concentration of GA_1 present in the original extract determined from a previously established calibration curve of the peak area ratio of unlabeled (m/z 506) and deuterated $(m/z 508)$ GA₁ plotted against a varying molar ratio of the two compounds (7). The calibration curve was established using a constant amount of deuterated GA, and varying amounts of unlabeled GA1. The same stock solution of deuterated GA, was used as the internal standard for plant extracts.

RESULTS

Growth Responses

The effects of temperature and applied GA_3 on the elongation of the first leaf of six near-isogenic lines of two wheat cultivars, carrying different Rht alleles, are presented in Table I. The two cultivars responded similarly to the factors tested and there were no differential effects on lamina and sheath lengths.

In the untreated seedlings of the *rht* genotype, higher growth temperature resulted in a statistically significant increase in the length of the first leaf. In the $Rht1$ and $Rht2$ genotypes, the effect of increasing temperature on leaf elongation was in most cases less than half the effect observed in the rht genotype. In the $Rht1+2$, $Rht3$, and $Rht2+3$ genotypes, no consistent effects of temperature on first-leaf elongation were detected. There was an indication that increasing temperature reduced the final lengths of the leaves of the $Rht2+3$ genotype in the April Bearded cultivar.

At 11°C, no great difference in leaf length was observed between the *rht* genotype and the *Rht1*, *Rht2*, and *Rht1+2* genotypes. The first leaves of the $Rht3$ and $Rht2+3$ genotypes were significantly shorter than those of the other four genotypes at all three temperatures tested.

Application of GA_3 to the *rht* (tall) genotype increased sheath length by 110 to 170% and lamina length by 50 to 60%, although the increase in absolute length was similar in both tissues. The absolute response of these tissues to GA_3 application was similar at the three temperatures tested (Fig. 1).

In the Rhtl and Rht2 genotypes, the effect of GA_3 application on leaf elongation was much less than that in the tall genotype and it was temperature dependent. At high temperature (25 $°C$), there was little response to applied GA_3 . However, at lower temperatures leaf growth of these genotypes was responsive to applied GA_3 , and at 11°C it amounted to almost half the response of the tall genotype.

In the $Rht1+2$ genotype, a significant increase in leaf length following GA_3 application was observed only at 11[°]C. In the Rht3 and Rht2+3 genotypes, no statistically significant responses to GA were observed at any of the temperatures tested, but the trend of decreasing response with increasing temperature was maintained (Fig. 1).

Endogenous GA Analyses

 $GA₁$ was identified by full spectrum GC-MS in leaf segments of Maris Huntsman Rht3 dwarf wheat. The endogenous compound had the same mass spectrum and Kovats retention index as the authentic compound run under identical conditions (data not shown). $GA₃$ was also present but at much lower concentration (m/z 506: m/z $504 = 8.2:1$) and there was only a trace of 3 epi-GA $_1$. Most significantly, there were no ions above m/z 506 in the spectrum of GA_1 that would have caused interference when using deuterated internal standard and selected ion monitoring for quantitation.

GA, content was determined in the lower leaf segments of Maris Huntsman seedlings grown at 10 and 25°C and harvested when they had accumulated 300° d (after 30 and 12 d growth at 10 and 25°C, respectively). At this stage, the tip of the third leaf had just appeared in the majority of seedlings. The lengths of the first-leaf sheaths of the different genotypes grown at 10 and 25°C (Table II) were quite similar to those shown in Table ^I for ¹¹ and 25°C. The fresh weights as well as the lengths of the leaf segments from the rht, Rht1, and Rht2 genotypes were much lower at 10°C than at 25°C, whereas there was little effect of temperature on the fresh weight and the length of the segments from the $Rht1+2, Rh3$, and Rht2+3 genotypes (Table II). The six genotypes did not differ in dry matter percentage which was 50% higher in each at 10°C than at 25°C.

In all six genotypes, the content of endogenous GA_1 was considerably lower at 10°C than at 25°C, with mean values of 12.5 pg per segment and 50.8 pg per segment, respectively (Table II). At 25°C, the amount of GA_1 was lowest in the *rht* genotype, higher in the Rht1 and Rht2 genotypes and highest in the Rht1+2, Rht3, and Rht2+3 genotypes. At the lower temperature, however, the amount of endogenous GA, was similar in all the genotypes, except $Rht2+3$ in which an increased GA, content was observed.

DISCUSSION

In general, those genotypes that were responsive to increasing temperature were also responsive to applied GA_3 . The responses were greatest in the rht (tall) genotype, moderate in Rht1 and Rht2, only slight in Rht1+2 and negligible in Rht3 and $Rht2+3$ (Fig. 1). There was little difference in leaf growth between Rht1 and Rht2 genotypes, which is also reflected in their final plant heights and yield components (5, 11). The measurements of final leaf length for the *rht* and $Rht3$ nearisogenic lines reported here are in agreement with the highresolution growth measurements on nonisogenic rht and Rht3 cultivars reported by Stoddart and Lloyd (19).

Comparison of the final leaf lengths of the untreated rht, Rht1, Rht2, and Rht1+2 genotypes, growing at different temperatures, suggests that these Rht alleles are expressed more effectively at higher temperatures and/or in potentially faster growing tissues (Table I). In contrast, the more potent Rht3 allele, alone or in combination with $Rht2 (Rht2+3)$, is also markedly expressed at lower temperatures. However, even

Table I. Effects of Temperature, GA₃ Application, and Rht Genotype on the Length of the First Leaf Measured in Two Series of Near-Isogenic Wheat Lines

The data are means of 10 seedlings in each of 5 replicates. Within each treatment values followed by the same letter do not differ significantly according to Duncan's multiple range test. Values for the GA_3 treatments accompanied by an asterisk significantly exceed those of their untreated controls (P \leq 0.01). The significance of the difference between these values was determined separately for each line using the se of the respective difference.

these latter two genotypes have greater sheath lengths at 10°C than at 25° C (Table II), showing that the *Rht3* allele does not suppress the growth potential completely at the lower temperature. Perhaps the most interesting observation from the present results is that while the sensitivity to GA₃ of the *rht* genotype is not affected by temperature, the Rht1, Rht2, and $Rht1+2$ genotypes are more responsive to applied GA_3 at low than at high temperatures, whereas the $Rht3$ and $Rht2+3$ alleles confer GA-insensitivity at all temperatures tested (Fig. 1). The *Rht* allele and the growing temperature can be viewed together, therefore, as setting the 'upper limit' for the extent of growth response to either endogenous or applied GA. Thus, the term 'GA-insensitivity,' which is usually coupled with these *Rht* alleles (5), should not be considered as being absolute but dependent on the nature of the allele under consideration and the growing temperature. Previous work (9) has shown that the responsiveness of the Rht1 near-isogenic Maris Huntsman line saturates at a lower concentration of applied $GA₃$ than the corresponding *rht* line, again suggesting a reduced 'response capacity' (3).

The altered responsiveness to applied GA_3 of the *Rht* genotypes grown at different temperatures might be related to differences in endogenous GA₁ pool sizes. The concentration of biologically active GA₁ was measured, therefore, in lower

leaf segments which included the elongation zone, the presumed GA-responsive tissue $(1, 8, 18)$. In the *rht* line growing at 10 and 25°C, the content of $GA₁$ increased in proportion to the length of the leaf sheath (Table II). However, *rht* leaves were equally responsive to applied GA_3 at both 11 and 25^oC (Fig. 1) possibly indicating that the endogenous concentration of GA₁ was suboptimal for maximum growth at these temperatures. It is difficult to determine whether or not endogenous $GA₁$ is regulating leaf growth in the *rht* line under these conditions. The 38% reduction in endogenous GA_1 in *rht* tissue grown at 10°C compared with 25°C (Table II) was associated with a 35% reduction in final leaf length (Table I), which might make it a sensitive regulator of leaf extension growth. However, in previous work with the same genotype growing at 20° C, a 10-fold reduction in GA_1 concentration was associated with a 30%, reduction in final leaf length following treatment with the GA-biosynthesis inhibitor, 2S,3S paclobutrazol (9). It should be emphasized that in the present experiments, the plants were grown under relatively low light intensity and without added nutrients to maximize the responsiveness to applied GA₃. These growth conditions may have caused the lower endogenous GA₁ concentration in rht leaf segments and much smaller differences between the genotypes than in more normally grown plants (JR Lenton, NEJ

Figure 1. Effect of temperature on the response to applied $GA₃$ of six near-isogenic genotypes of cv Maris Huntsman wheat. The columns represent the difference in the final length of the first leaf between GA₃-treated and untreated seedlings. Error bars are ±se of the difference between the treated and untreated seedlings.

Table II. Growth and GA, Content of Lower Leaf Segments of Six Near-isogenic Genotypes of cv Maris Huntsman Wheat Grown at 10° C and 25° C

The plant data are means of three replicates, each of 15 seedlings. The $GA₁$ data are the means of two replicate measurements (except Rht3/10°C where only one was assayed) each of about 150 seedlings. Variability between the two replicates appeared more at the higher GA, levels but no evidence of nonhomogeneity of variance was found.

Genotype	Fresh weight		Dry matter		Length		GA.	
	10°C	25°C	10°C	25° C	10°C	25° C	10°C	25°C
	mg/segment		%		mm		pg/segment	
Tall (rht)	47.8	58.1	19.6	13.0	30.3	50.2	13	21
Rht 1	39.7	46.5	20.6	13.2	29.1	37.7	12	35
Rht2	35.4	48.6	20.6	13.1	26.5	38.1	9	30
Rht1+2	40.7	42.3	18.8	13.1	29.9	28.7	8	75
Rht3	35.6	31.8	20.2	13.6	24.9	21.4	13	82
Rht2+3	30.0	27.7	20.0	13.6	22.7	18.3	20	62
SE	1.98	1.39	0.25	0.39	0.54	0.61	3.99	8.43

Appleford, unpublished results). Such observations emphasize the importance of establishing hormone concentration growth-rate relationships based on measurement of endogenous levels in the responding tissue of plants growing under a range of environmental conditions (9).

In the present experiments, it seems reasonable to assume that in the *Rht* genotypes growing at 10° C (with the possible exception of $Rht2+3$) the GA_1 content was suboptimal for maximum growth, since it was similar to that of rht (Table II). Thus, the potential to respond to applied GA_3 was greater at lower temperatures (Fig. 1), although the magnitude of the response was determined by the nature and degree of expression of the Rht allele. Thus, Rht1 and Rht2 lines were partially responsive, $Rht1+2$ less so, and $Rht3$ and $Rht2+3$ virtually unresponsive to applied GA_3 at 11°C (Fig. 1). In the *Rht1* and $Rht2$ genotypes, the threefold increase in GA_1 content of leaf segments grown at 25° C compared to 10° C (Table II) was associated with an increase in final leaf length and the tissues retained some responsiveness to applied GA_3 (Table I) showing that $GA₁$ is a potential regulator of leaf growth at this temperature under these growing conditions. In contrast, leaf segments of the Rht1+2, Rht3, and Rht2+3 genotypes were somewhat shorter at 25°C than at 10°C, endogenous GA, accumulated (Table II) and there was no response to applied $GA₃$ (Fig. 1).

In conclusion, we suggest that the elongation growth response of these wheat seedlings to both endogenous and applied GAs is restricted by an upper limit set by the Rht alleles. Up to this limit, which is different for each genotype and growing temperature, GA may promote leaf extension whereas beyond this limit any increase in endogenous GA_1 or applied GA will not affect elongation growth. It becomes important, therefore, to determine the upper limit and endogenous GA_1 dose-growth response relationship for the rht genotype growing under different conditions before dismissing changing endogenous hormone concentration as a sensitive regulator of growth rate (20-22).

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Baroncelli S, Cavallini A, Lercari B, Cionini PG, D'Amato F (1988) Effect of light and gibberellic acid on cell division in the first foliage leaf of durum wheat (Triticum durum Desf.). Planta 173: 257-262
- 2. Beale MH, MacMillan J (1980) Mechanism and stereochemistry of conjugate reduction of enones from gibberellins A_3 and A_7 . ^J Chem Soc Perkin Trans ¹ 1980: 877-884
- 3. Firn RD (1986) Growth substance sensitivity: The need for clearer ideas, precise terms and purposeful experiments. Physiol Plant 67: 267-272
- 4. Gale MD, Marshall GA (1973) Insensitivity to gibberellin in dwarf wheats. Ann Bot 37: 729-735
- 5. Gale MD, Youssefian S (1985) Dwarfing genes in wheat. In GE Russell, ed, Progress in Plant Breeding, Vol. 1. Butterworths & Co, London, pp 1-35
- 6. Graebe JE (1987) Gibberellin biosynthesis and control. Annu Rev Plant Physiol 38: 419-465
- 7. Hedden P (1987) Gibberellins. In L Rivier, A Crozier, eds, The Principles and Practice of Plant Hormone Analysis, Vol 1. Academic Press, London, pp 9-110
- 8. Kemp DR (1980) The location and size of the extension zone of emerging wheat leaves. New Phytol 84: 729-737
- 9. Lenton JR, Hedden P, Gale MD (1987) Gibberellin insensitivity and depletion in wheat-consequences for development. In GV Hoad, JR Lenton, MB Jackson, RK Atkin, eds, Hormone Action in Plant Development-A Critical Appraisal. Butterworths, London, pp 145-160
- 10. Phinney BO (1984) Gibberellin A,, dwarfism and the control of shoot elongation in higher plants. In A Crozier, JR Hillman, eds, The Biosynthesis and Metabolism of Plant Hormones (Society for Experimental Biology Seminar Series 23), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 17-41
- 11. Pinthus MJ (1987) Yield, grain weight and height relationships of early semi-dwarf genotypes of spring wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Plant Breeding 99: 34-40
- 12. Radley ME (1970) Comparison of endogenous gibberellins and response to applied gibberellin of some dwarf and tall wheat cultivars. Planta 92: 292-300
- 13. Radley ME (1976) Effect of variation in ear temperature on gibberellin content of wheat ears. Ann Appl Biol 82: 335-340
- 14. Reid DM, Pharis RP, Roberts DWA (1974) Effects of four temperature regimes on the gibberellin content of winter wheat cv. Kharkov. Physiol Plant 30: 53-57
- 15. Rood SB, Major DJ, Jones MD, Pharis RP (1985) Low temper-

ature eliminates heterosis for growth and gibberellin content in maize. Crop Sci 25: 1063-1068

- 16. Singh SP, Paleg LG (1984) Low temperature induction of hormonal sensitivity in genotypically gibberellic acid-insensitive aleurone tissue. Plant Physiol 74: 437-438
- 17. Sing SP, Paleg LG (1984) Low temperature-induced GA_3 sensitivity of wheat. I. Characterization of the low temperature effect on isolated aleurone of Kite. Plant Physiol 76: 139-142
- 18. Stoddart JL (1984) Growth and gibberellin A_1 metabolism in normal and gibberellin-insensitive (Rht3) wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) seedlings. Planta 161: 432-438
- 19. Stoddart JL, Lloyd EJ (1986) Modification by gibberellin of the

growth-temperature relationship in mutant and normal genotypes of several cereals. Planta 167: 364-368

- 20. Trewavas AJ (1982) Growth substance sensitivity: The limiting factor in plant development. Physiol Plant 55: 60-72
- 21. Trewavas AJ (1986) Understanding the control of plant development and the role of growth substances. Aust J Plant Physiol 13: 447-457
- 22. Trewavas AJ (1986) Resource allocation under poor conditions. A major role for growth substances in development plasticity. In DH Jennings, AJ Trewavas, eds, Plasticity in Plants. SEB Symposium XXXX. Company of Biologists, Cambridge, pp $31 - 76$