### **RESEARCH Open Access**

# Oncogene SCARNA12 as a potential diagnostic biomarker for colorectal cancer



Hong Zhang<sup>1,2†</sup>, Xin Liu<sup>2†</sup>, Wencheng Zhang<sup>2</sup>, Jiarong Deng<sup>1,2</sup>, Chuxian Lin<sup>2</sup>, Zhenhua Qi<sup>2</sup>, Yaqiong Li<sup>2</sup>, Yongqing Gu<sup>2</sup>, Qi Wang<sup>2\*</sup>, Liping Shen<sup>2\*</sup> and Zhidong Wang<sup>1,2\*</sup>

#### **Abstract**

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common malignant tumors of the digestive system, and represents a severe threat to the life and health of individuals. Increasing evidence supports the role of small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs) as critical regulatory gene in cancer development. Small Cajal body-specifc RNAs (scaRNAs), a subtype of snoRNAs, are named for their subcellular localization within Cajal bodies. SCARNA12, which located at the intronic region of PHB2 in chromosome 12p13.31 with 270 nucleotides (nt) in length. It has been reported function as a diagnostic marker for cervical cancer. However, its biological functions and molecular mechanisms in CRC have yet to be elucidated. In this study, bioinformatics analysis revealed that SCARNA12 was highly expressed in CRC and positively correlated with poor prognosis in CRC patients. Additionally, SCARNA12 showed upregulated expression in CRC cell lines and clinical CRC tissue samples. Moreover, SCARNA12 overexpression in SW620 cells accelerated cell proliferation, suppressed the apoptosis rate, and enhanced tumorigenesis in vivo. The knockdown of SCARNA12 expression in HCT116 and HT29 cells resulted in contrasting efects. The functioning of SCARNA12 is mechanically independent of its host gene PHB2. Notably, the overexpression of SCARNA12 activated PI3K/AKT pathway in SW620 cells, and the malignancy degree of CRC cells was attenuated after treatment with MK2206 (a specifc AKT inhibitor). Our fndings demonstrated that SCARNA12 plays an oncogenic role in CRC progression and can be used as a potential diagnostic biomarker for CRC.

**Keywords** CRC, SCARNA12, Oncogene, PHB2, PI3K/AKT pathway

† Hong Zhang and Xin Liu contributed equally to this study and share the frst authorship.

\*Correspondence: Qi Wang wqi619@126.com Liping Shen shen\_2011yan@sina.com Zhidong Wang wangzdlab@126.com; wangzhidong1977@126.com

<sup>1</sup> Graduate Collaborative Training Base of Academy of Military Sciences, Hengyang Medical School, University of South China, Hengyang 421001, Hunan, China

<sup>2</sup> Department of Radiobiology, Beijing Key Laboratory for Radiobiology, Beijing Institute of Radiation Medicine, Beijing 100039, China

#### **Introduction**

Colorectal cancer (CRC) refers to a malignancy of the digestive system. It ranks third among cancers in the global cancer statistics and is the second leading cause of cancer-related death  $\begin{bmatrix} 1, 2 \end{bmatrix}$  $\begin{bmatrix} 1, 2 \end{bmatrix}$  $\begin{bmatrix} 1, 2 \end{bmatrix}$ . In 2021, approximately 149,500 individuals in the United States were diagnosed with CRC and 54,4[1](#page-12-0)0 died of this disease [1]. Patient outcomes can be improved with early detection and regular screening via stool testing or structural exams. Data show that 20% of patients diagnosed with CRC exhibit metastasis  $[3]$  $[3]$ . The 5-year survival rate is estimated to be as high as 91% for patients with early-stage CRC, compared to only 15% for patients with metastatic CRC [\[4](#page-13-2)]. At present, a combination of surgery with chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy is the most common treatment for CRC. Despite the improvements in CRC diagnosis (e.g.,



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

molecular biomarkers) and therapies (e.g., immunotherapy and targeted therapy), many CRC patients receive an unsatisfactory prognosis as the disease was detected at a late stage, and cannot receive personalized treatment. Hence, it is necessary to identify novel biomarkers for the clinical evaluation and predictive analysis of CRC patients.

The carcinogenesis of CRC starts from the appearance of abnormal crypts, gradually evolves into carcinoma precursor lesions (a polyp) and eventually progresses to CRC over a period of 10–15 years. Overall, 70%-90% of CRCs originate from colorectal adenoma, whereas 10%- 20% develop from serrated neoplasia [\[5](#page-13-3)]. Although the mechanism of CRC carcinogenesis has been studied for a long time, it still remains unclear. To the best of our knowledge, the mutations and/or dysregulation of oncogenes (e.g., KRAS, BRAF, and PIK3CA) [[6\]](#page-13-4) or antioncogenes (e.g., APC and PETN) [[7\]](#page-13-5) play an important role in the pathological process of CRC by activating carcinogenic signaling pathways, among which the PI3K/AKT pathway is an important one [\[8](#page-13-6)]. Aberrant activation of the PI3K/AKT pathway is a major feature in the process of driving CRC carcinogenesis  $[9]$  $[9]$ . The PI3K/AKT pathway participates in multiple biological processes including cell proliferation, survival, metastasis, and metabolism  $[10]$  $[10]$ . The targeting of the PI3K/AKT pathway is regarded as a viable method for treating CRC and other cancers [[11\]](#page-13-9).

Small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs) are non-coding RNAs generally distributed in the nucleolus. Small Cajal body-specifc RNAs (scaRNAs) are a subtype of snoR-NAs first identified in 1984  $[12]$  $[12]$ . They share conserved structural domains, and H/ACA and C/D boxes, and have similar biological functions  $[13, 14]$  $[13, 14]$  $[13, 14]$  $[13, 14]$ . The typical role of snoRNAs (including scaRNAs) is to guide pseudouridylation and 2′-O-methylation of targeted RNAs (mainly small nuclear RNAs and ribosomal RNAs) through H/ACA box and C/D box [[15–](#page-13-13)[17\]](#page-13-14). Despite the similarities, some diferences exist. SnoRNAs generally located in the nucleolus contain only one type of box, that is, either H/ACA box or C/D box, while scaRNAs usually include two H/ACA box or C/D box or one of each [[18\]](#page-13-15). Additionally, scaRNAs also contain a Cajal body box (CAB box) that directs them to the specifc Cajal body, where scaRNAs are assembled and perform their function [[19](#page-13-16), [20](#page-13-17)]. In the past two decades, an increasing number of studies have revealed that snoRNAs regulate carcinogenesis at multiple levels and have attractive prospects in the clinical management of cancer, which has become an area of interest in tumor biology research. Till date, several snoRNAs are dysregulated in CRC and function as oncogenes or cancer-suppressor genes (e.g., SNORD12C [[21](#page-13-18)], SNORA21 [\[22](#page-13-19)], SNORA24 [[23](#page-13-20)], SNORA42 [[24](#page-13-21)], SNORD44 [[25\]](#page-13-22), SNORD57 [\[26](#page-13-23)], and SNORD78 [[21\]](#page-13-18)). Additionally, researchers have found that the dysregulation of scaRNAs was involved in the progression of a few cancers, including CRC  $[27-30]$  $[27-30]$ . Thus, they could act as candidate biomarkers or therapeutic targets in cancer. However, only a limited number of studies have focused on the function of scaRNAs in carcinogenesis.

Small Cajal body-specifc RNA12 (SCARNA12) is processed from the intron of its host gene prohibitin2 (PHB2). It contains a H/ACA box and a C/D box and guides the pseudouridylation of residue U46 in the U5 small nuclear RNA. Previous studies have shown that SCARNA12, in combination with other non-coding RNAs, could serve as a biomarker for cervical cancer screening [\[31\]](#page-13-26). Nevertheless, the function and clinical value of SCARNA12 in CRC are still unclear. The expression levels and biological efects of SCARNA12 in CRC were analyzed in this study. Our data indicated that SCARNA12 expression was upregulated in CRC; meanwhile, there was a notable negative correlation between the SCARNA12 level and the prognosis of CRC patients. It was found that SCARNA12 regulates CRC cell proliferation, survival, and xenograft growth by activating the PI3K/AKT pathway. Briefy, our fndings provide insight into how SCARNA12, a novel oncogene, may serve as a diagnostic biomarker in CRC.

#### **Results**

#### **SCARNA12 was highly expressed in CRC**

To explore the role of SCARNA12 in CRC, we analyzed the expression level of SCARNA12 in CRC and adjacent normal tissue samples from the cancer genome atlas (TCGA) database (*P* < 0.001, Fig. [1](#page-2-0)a), gene expression omnibus (GEO) database, GSE87211 (*P* < 0.001, Fig. [1](#page-2-0)b), GSE89076 (*P*=0.0163, Fig. [1c](#page-2-0)), UALCAN database (*P* < 0.001, Fig. [1](#page-2-0)d) and gene expression profiling interactive analysis (GEPIA) database (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)e). The results indicated that SCARNA12 was notably upregulated in CRC tissue samples, as compared to adjacent normal tissues. Furthermore, the GEPIA database showed that SCARNA12 was highly expressed in various tumors (Fig. [S1](#page-12-1)). To confirm the above results further, qRT-PCR was performed to analyze the expression level of SCARNA12 in 60 pairs of clinical CRC samples. The results showed that the SCARNA12 expression level was notably increased in 88% (53/60) of CRC tumor tissues (Fig. [1f](#page-2-0), g). Furthermore, CRC tissues could be distinguished from normal mucosal tissues based on the expression level of SCARNA12 via receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1** High SCARNA12 expression in CRC tissues and CRC cell lines. **a-e** Relative expression of SCARNA12 in CRC tissues and normal tissues from TCGA database (*n*=225, each group) (**a**)**,** GEO GSE87211 dataset (*N*=160; T=203) (**b**)**,** GEO GSE89076 dataset (*n*=39, each group) (**c**)**,** UALCAN database (COAD: *N*=41, T=286; READ: *N*=10, T=166) (**d**)**,** GEPIA database (COAD: *N*=41, T=275; READ: *N*=10, T=92) (**e**). **f-g** The expression levels of SCARNA12 in 60 pairs of CRC tissues and matched para-cancer tissues were detected by qRT-PCR (**f**). Red columns indicate upregulated and the blue represent downregulated in **g**. **h** The ROC curve of SCARNA12 for distinguishing patients with CRC and normal controls (*n*=60, each group). **i** QRT-PCR was used to detect the relative expression of SCARNA12 in FHC, HIEC-6, SW620, HT29, HCT116. The results are presented as the mean±SD (*n*=3). N, normal; T, tumor; \*\* *P*<0.01; \*\*\* *P*<0.001

analysis (AUC=0.8597, 95% CI: 0.79–0.93, *P* < 0.0001), which suggests that SCARNA12 could be used for CRC diagnosis (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)h). In addition, the SCARNA12 expression level was higher in CRC cell lines (SW620, HCT116, and HT29) than in human normal colon epithelial cells (FHC) and human small intestinal epithelial cells (HIEC-6) (Fig. [1i](#page-2-0)). These data revealed that SCARNA12 may act as an oncogene in CRC.

#### **High expression levels of SCARNA12 were a predictor of poor prognosis in CRC patients**

We hypothesized that the expression of SCARNA12 was correlated with clinicopathological parameters or prognosis of patients with CRC. To address this issue, CRC patient cohorts from the TCGA database were analyzed using the  $\chi^2$  test. The results revealed that SCARNA12 overexpression was highly correlated with a history of

colonic polyps  $(P<0.001)$  $(P<0.001)$  $(P<0.001)$  (Table 1). In addition, CRC patients with low SCARNA12 expression levels were identifed to have longer overall survival (OS) (*P*=0.019) and higher disease-free survival (DFS) (*P*=0.005) than patients with high SCARNA12 expression levels using Kaplan–Meier and log-rank test (Fig. [2a](#page-4-0), b).

Furthermore, the prognostic factors for OS and DFS of CRC patients were assessed by univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards models. As shown in Fig. [2](#page-4-0)c, d, the expression level of SCARNA12 was an independent prognostic factor for OS (HR=3.158, 95%  $CI = 1.379 - 7.229$ ,  $P = 0.007$ ) and DFS (HR = 0.351, 95%) CI=0.159–0.776,  $P=0.010$ ). The aforementioned results revealed that SCARNA12 had potential prognostic value

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 1** Correlations between SCARNA12 expression and clinicopathological parameters of CRC patients



Cutoff threshold of SCARNA12 expression is median value in all patients in this cohort

Abbreviations: *AJCC* American Joint Committee on cancer

and could be employed as a prognostic biomarker in CRC patients.

#### **SCARNA12 promoted CRC cell proliferation and survival**

To investigate the potential function of SCARNA12, we predicted its secondary structure using the RNAfold web server and performed homology analysis using the UCSC Genome Browser (Fig. [S2](#page-12-1)a, b). Meanwhile, HIEC-6 and SW620 cells with low endogenous SCARNA12 expression levels were infected with lentivirus overexpressing SCARNA12. HCT116 and HT29 cells were transfected with SCARNA12-targeting antisense oligonucleotide (ASO) because of high levels of SCARNA12 in the two cell lines. The SCARNA12 expression level was signifcantly upregulated in the LV-SCARNA12 groups of HIEC-6 and SW620 cells (Fig. [S3](#page-12-1)a and Fig. [3](#page-4-1)a); meanwhile, it was remarkably downregulated in the ASO-SCARNA12 groups of HCT116 and HT29 cells (Fig. [3b](#page-4-1)). Furthermore, lentivirus infection and ASO transfection were performed to manipulate SCARNA12 expression in breast cancer cells (MCF7) and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cells (NCI-H1299; Figs. S[4a](#page-12-1) and S[5a](#page-12-1)).

SCARNA12 overexpression had no signifcant impact on cell proliferation and colony formation in HIEC-6 cells (Fig. S[3b](#page-12-1), c). However, SCARNA12 overexpression in SW620 cells accelerated cell proliferation and colony formation. Conversely, SCARNA12 knockdown in HCT116 and HT29 cells yielded contrasting results (Fig. [3c](#page-4-1), d). Likewise, similar outcomes were observed in MCF7 and NCI-H1299 cells (Figs. S[4b](#page-12-1), c and S[5b](#page-12-1), c).

Flow cytometry analyses revealed that SCARNA12 overexpression suppressed apoptosis in SW620 cells, while SCARNA12 knockdown signifcantly induced apoptosis in HCT116 and HT29 cells (Fig. [3e](#page-4-1)). Western blot results indicated that SCARNA12 overexpression enhanced Bcl-2 expression and decreased cleaved-PARP levels. Conversely, SCARNA12 knockdown showed a contrasting trend (Fig. [3](#page-4-1)f). In MCF7 cells, SCARNA12 overexpression had almost no impact on cell apoptosis, while it only slightly suppressed apoptosis in NCI-H1299 cells. In both cell types, SCARNA12 overexpression did not signifcantly afect the expression of Bcl-2 and cleaved-PARP (Fig. [S4](#page-12-1)d, e). However, SCARNA12 knockdown in MCF7 and NCI-H1299 cells signifcantly induced apoptosis, aligning with the observed phenotype in CRC (Fig. [S5](#page-12-1)d, e).

#### **SCARNA12 had no efect on host gene PHB2**

In mammals, snoRNA exists in the intron region of the host gene without independent promoter and relies on transcription and splicing of its host gene for expression. Therefore, the host gene can regulate the abundance of snoRNA expression [[32](#page-13-27)]. Conversely, snoRNA can also



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 2** High Expression of SCARNA12 Predicted Poor Prognosis in CRC Patients. **a-b** Kaplan–Meier analysis with log-rank test assessed the impact of SCARNA12 expression levels on OS (**a**) and DFS (**b**) in CRC patients from the TCGA database. (*n*=274, each group). **c-d** Univariate and multivariate analyses of OS (**c**) and DFS (**d**) in CRC patients (*n*=162, each group). OS, Overall survival; DFS, Disease-Free Survival

alter the fnal output fate of host gene by regulating alternative splicing, which in turn afects its expression [[33\]](#page-13-28). In view of the bidirectional relationship between snoRNA and the host, we speculated that SCARNA12 exerts its function by infuencing host PHB2 expression.

GEPIA analysis was performed for validation, and the results revealed that SCARNA12 was positively correlated with PHB2 (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)a). Furthermore, TCGA and GEO databases (GSE89076) were employed to analyze the expression level of PHB2 in CRC. As shown in Fig. [4b](#page-6-0), c,

(See figure on next page.)

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Fig. 3** The efects of SCARNA12 on cell proliferation, colony formation, and apoptosis in CRC cells. **a-b** The levels of SCARNA12 in CRC cells infected with lentiviruses LV-SCARNA12 (**a**) or transfected with ASO-SCARNA12 (**B**). **c-d** CRC cells' proliferative capacity assessed by CCK-8 assays (**c**) and colony formation assays (**d**). **e** The apoptotic rates of CRC cells assessed by fow cytometry experiments. **f** The expression levels of Bcl-2 and cleaved PARP proteins in CRC cells detected by Western blot. The results are presented as the mean±SD (n≥3). \* *P*<0.05, \*\* *P*<0.01, \*\*\* *P*<0.001





<span id="page-6-0"></span>website. **b-c** Relative expression of PHB2 in CRC tissues and normal tissues from TCGA database (normal=195, tumor=200) (**b**)**,** GEO GSE89076 dataset (*n*=39, each group) (**c**). **d-e** The expression levels of PHB2 in 60 pairs of CRC tissues and matched para-cancer tissues were detected by qRT-PCR (**d**). Red columns indicate upregulated and the blue represent downregulated in **e**. **f-g** Kaplan–Meier analysis with log-rank test assessed the impact of PHB2 expression levels on OS (**f**) and DFS (**g**) in CRC patients from the TCGA database (PHB2 low expression=223, PHB2 high expression=222). **h-i** Efect of SCARNA12 on the expression of PHB2 mRNA **(h)** and protein **(i)**. The results are presented as the mean±SD (*n*=3). ns, no signifcance; \* *P*<0.05, \*\* *P*<0.01

PHB2 was signifcantly upregulated in CRC tissues compared with adjacent normal tissues. However, PHB2 was highly expressed in only 57% of the CRC clinical samples (Fig. [4d](#page-6-0), e). Meanwhile, the PHB2 expression level did not afect OS (*P*=0.8437, Fig. [4](#page-6-0)f) or DFS (*P*=0.9859, Fig. [4](#page-6-0)g), which was inconsistent with the role of SCARNA12. In addition, we found that after the overexpression or knockdown of SCARNA12, the mRNA and protein expression levels of PHB2 did not change (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)h, i), which further proved that PHB2 did not mediate the regulatory efect of SCARNA12 on the biological efects of CRC cells.

#### **SCARNA12 promoted CRC cell proliferation and survival by activating the PI3K/AKT pathway**

To elucidate the potential mechanism of SCARNA12 in CRC, RNA-sequencing was performed on HCT116 cells transfected with ASO-NC and ASO-SCARNA12, respectively. The knockdown efficiency of SCARNA12 was detected by qRT-PCR (Fig. S[6a](#page-12-1)). Using thresholds of log2 fold change  $(FC) > 1$  or  $<-1$ , along with a p-value of<0.05, we identifed 1515 diferentially expressed genes. Among them, 450 genes showed downregulated expression and 1065 genes showed signifcantly upregulated expression (Fig.  $S6b$  $S6b$ ). The top 10 items of gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis revealed the efects of SCARNA12 alteration in CRC on biological processes, cell compositions, and molecular functions (Fig. S[6c](#page-12-1)-e). Additionally, we performed enrichment analysis of the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) signaling pathway and found that the PI3K/AKT pathway, which is closely linked with tumor progression, was markedly enriched (Fig.  $5a$ ). These findings suggested that SCARNA12 may be a critical regulator of the PI3K/AKT pathway. In order to ensure data accuracy, several diferentially expressed genes involved in PI3K/ AKT pathway were heat mapped (Fig. [S6](#page-12-1)f) and verified by qRT-PCR. SCARNA12 knockdown notably reduced the basal expression levels of EGFR, CCND1, FGF9, and PIK3R3 in HCT116 cells (Fig. [S6](#page-12-1)g).

The PI3K/AKT pathway is abnormally activated in tumors owing to phosphorylation at specifc sites, resulting in uncontrolled cell proliferation and survival. In order to analyze whether this pathway is afected by SCARNA12 levels, western blot assay was used for further verifcation. First, SCARNA12 overexpression in HIEC-6 cells had no efect on the PI3K/AKT pathway, which may be the reason why SCARNA12 did not promote the proliferation of HIEC-6 cells (Fig. S[7\)](#page-12-1). Second, the overexpression of SCARNA12 in SW620 cells enhanced the phosphorylation levels of PI3K and AKT at Tyr458 and Ser473, respectively; and the knockdown of SCARNA12 in HCT116 and HT29 cells reduced their phosphorylation levels. Notably, the expression level of SCARNA12 did not afect the overall levels of PI3K and AKT (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)b). Lastly, we checked whether the inhibition of p-AKT expression afects the functions of SCARNA12 in CRC cells. The data showed that the AKT inhibitor (MK-2206) signifcantly inhibited the expression of p-AKT and reversed the proliferative and colony formation promotion efect of SCARNA12 on SW620 cells (Fig. [5c](#page-8-0)-e). Our results revealed that SCARNA12 regulated the malignant biological behaviors of CRC cells, attributable to activating the PI3K/AKT pathway, at least in part.

#### **SCARNA12 promoted CRC xenograft tumor growth**

A xenograft tumor model was established to further analyze the biological functions of SCARNA12 in vivo. SW620 cell lines stably infected with LV-NC or LV-SCARNA12 were inoculated subcutaneously into nude mice to develop implant-tumor. Figure [6](#page-9-0)a, b revealed that the size and weight of transplanted tumors in nude mice overexpressing SCARNA12 were signifcantly increased, along with the volume of transplanted tumors (Fig. [6c](#page-9-0)). Moreover, SCARNA12 was highly expressed in xenograft tumors infected with LV-SCARNA12 (Fig. [6](#page-9-0)d). Besides, immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis revealed that the positive rates of Ki67 and p-AKT were notably elevated in xenograft tumor tissues overexpressing SCARNA12 (Fig.  $6e$  $6e$ ). The above results showed that SCARNA12 promoted tumor growth and activated the PI3K/AKT pathway in vivo, which was consistent with our results in vitro.

#### **Discussion**

Since frst identifed, about 30 scaRNAs have been described as guide RNAs responsible for posttranscriptional modifcations of target RNAs, occasionally as telomerase RNA to elongate telomeres [[34\]](#page-13-29). Recent studies led researchers to focus on the function of scaRNAs in carcinogenesis, although most of them are poorly characterized. In this study, SCARNA12 was highly expressed in multiple cancers, including CRC. The expression levels of SCARNA12 were closely related to a history of polyps and poor prognosis in CRC patients. The abnormal expression of SCARNA12 afects the proliferation, survival and apoptosis of CRC cells. Mechanically, SCARNA12 enhances the proliferation and tumorigenicity of CRC cells by activating the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway, rather than regulating the expression of its host gene PHB2.

Growing evidence suggests that snoRNA is involved in tumor development as an oncogene or tumor suppressor gene. For example, SNORA21, SNORA24, and SNORA42 are upregulated in CRC and promote tumor



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 5** Overexpressing of SCARNA12 facilitated CRC cells growth via activating PI3K/AKT pathway. **a** KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of diferentially expressed genes after SCARNA12 knockdown. **b** SCARNA12 activates the PI3K/AKT pathway. **c** Efect of AKT inhibitor MK2206 on p-AKT protein expression level in SW620 cells. **d-e** MK2206 reversed the SCARNA12-induced biological efects in SW620 cells. The results are presented as the mean±SD (*n*≥3). \* *P*<0.05, \*\* *P*<0.01, \*\*\* *P*<0.001



<span id="page-9-0"></span>Fig. 6 Overexpression of SCARNA12 promoted xenograft tumor growth. a Subcutaneous injection of SW620/LV-NC and SW620/LV-SCARNA12 cells into nude mice for xenograft tumor assay (*n*=5, each group). **b-c** Xenograft tumors weights (**b**) and volumes growth curve (**c**) were measured every 4 days. **d** The expression levels of SCARNA12 in xenograft tumors. **e** The xenograft tumor tissue sections were stained with H&E, and the expression levels of Ki67 and p-AKT were assessed by IHC staining to determine the ratio of positive cells. The results are presented as the mean±SD (*n*=5). \* *P*<0.05; \*\*\* *P*<0.001

growth both in *vivo* and in *vitro*; SNORD1C maintains the stemness of CRC cells and resistance to the chemotherapeutic drug 5-FU by activating the Wnt pathway. High expressed levels of these genes all lead to poor prognoses in CRC patients [[22–](#page-13-19)[24](#page-13-21), [35\]](#page-13-30). However, SNORD44 is downregulated in CRC and has an anti-tumor efect [[25\]](#page-13-22). In 2019, Peng‐Fei Zhang et al. found that SCARNA2 increased CRC cell resistance to 5-FU and oxaliplatin and indicated poor outcomes for patients [\[27](#page-13-24)]. It is the only scaRNA gene identifed in the carcinogenesis of CRC. These lines of evidence have encouraged us to explore the role of SCARNA12 in the pathological

process of CRC to understand its value in clinical settings. Bioinformatics analyses have previously shown that SCARNA12 could be a diagnostic biomarker for cervical cancer  $[31]$  $[31]$ . In this study, data showed that overexpression of SCARNA12 promoted the proliferation and tumorigenicity of CRC cells; conversely, the knockdown of SCARNA12 signifcantly inhibited proliferation and colony formation in CRC cells, and induced apoptosis. In addition, the gain or loss of SCARNA12 afected cell proliferation and colony formation in MCF-7 and NCI-H1299 cells, which suggests that SCARNA12 might exert a broad-spectrum proliferation promotion efect in different tumors. The scaRNA family consists of various members, including the RNA component of telomerase (TERC) [[34,](#page-13-29) [36](#page-13-31)], which is believed to play a crucial role in regulating telomerase activity and telomere maintenance [[37,](#page-13-32) [38](#page-13-33)]. Therefore, we have a tempting speculation that the abnormal expression of SCARNA12 may let CRC cells gain the ability of unrestricted proliferation by elongating telomeres. Regrettably, we have not yet investigated the correlation between SCARNA12 and telomere lengthening.

Evidence has shown that snoRNA host genes also have important regulatory efects on tumor biological functions. For example, GAS5 is the host gene of SNORD44, both of which are downregulated in CRC and play a synergistic role in inhibiting cell proliferation and inducing cell apoptosis [\[25](#page-13-22)]. SNHG25, another non-coding SNO-RA50C host gene, is highly expressed in neuroblastomas (NBs), and the knockdown of SNHG25 inhibits the proliferation, migration, and invasion of NB cells [\[32](#page-13-27)]. However, this is not always the case. Although CCN-B1IP1 serves as the host gene for SNORD126, Fang et al. revealed that SNORD126 was not signifcantly correlated with CCNB1IP1 expression levels, and SNORD126 functioned independently of CCNB1IP1 in CRC cells [\[39](#page-13-34)]. To investigate the relationship between SCARNA12 and its host gene PHB2, we examined clinical samples from CRC patients and found that SCARNA12 and PHB2 were highly expressed in 88% and 57% of CRC tissues, respectively. It has been reported that PHB2 enhances the proliferation and tumorigenicity of CRC cells [[40\]](#page-13-35), promotes the migration and invasion of lung cancer cells [\[41\]](#page-14-0), and afects tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer cells [\[42](#page-14-1)], suggesting that PHB2 dysregulation may play multiple functions in tumors. In this study, our data showed that the overexpression or knockdown of SCARNA12 did not afect the mRNA and protein levels of PHB2, indicating that PHB2 was not involved in SCARNA12 promoting the biological efect of CRC cells.

The PI3K/AKT pathway, an important intracellular signaling pathway in mammals, is physiologically activated by insulin, growth factors, and cytokines, and participates in multiple biological processes, including cell proliferation, survival, metastasis, and metabolism [[43\]](#page-14-2). It is abnormally activated in many types of cancers, such as, colorectal  $[44]$  $[44]$ , lung  $[45]$  $[45]$  $[45]$ , prostate  $[46]$  $[46]$ , stomach [[47,](#page-14-6) [48\]](#page-14-7), ovarian [\[49](#page-14-8), [50\]](#page-14-9), and breast [[51,](#page-14-10) [52](#page-14-11)]. In recent years, a few studies have reported on the efect of snoR-NAs on the PI3K/AKT pathway. Fang et al. discovered that SNORD126 activates the PI3K/AKT pathway by upregulating FGFR2 and inducing the phosphorylation of AKT on Ser473 in CRC and human hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) [\[39\]](#page-13-34). Another case is ACA11, proven by Wu et al. [\[53\]](#page-14-12). However, the interaction between scaR-NAs and the PI3K/AKT pathway has not been reported. In this study, we revealed that SCARNA12 targeted the PI3K/AKT pathway and positively regulated the expression of p-PI3K (Tyr458) and p-AKT (Ser473), which generally recognized as hallmarks of PI3K/AKT pathway activation. Moreover, MK-2206 blocking AKT activity greatly attenuated the cancer-promoting efect of SCARNA12 in CRC cells, supporting the theory that SCARNA12 promotes the proliferation of CRC cells by activating the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway.

Although we are the frst to demonstrate that SCARNA12 promotes CRC progression by activating the PI3K/AKT pathway, several limitations are still associated with this study: (1) the detailed mechanism of phosphorylating PI3K/AKT by which SCARNA12 needs to be explored further; (2) more clinical data and experimental studies are required to elucidate the specifc function of SCARNA12 in CRC.

In summary, this study confrms that SCARNA12 is upregulated in various cancers, including CRC. It was also proven that SCARNA12 can function as a novel oncogene during the pathological process of CRC and promote CRC progression by activating the PI3K/AKT pathway. Our study illustrated the underlying clinical value of SCARNA12 as a risk diagnostic biomarker in patients with CRC.

#### **Materials and methods**

#### **Bioinformatics analysis**

SCARNA12 expression in CRC patient samples was analyzed using the TCGA ([https://tcgadata.nci.nih.](https://tcgadata.nci.nih.gov/tcga) [gov/tcga\)](https://tcgadata.nci.nih.gov/tcga), GEO [\(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds)), UALCAN (<https://ualcan.path.uab.edu>), and GEPIA  $(http://gepia2.cancer-pku.cn)$  $(http://gepia2.cancer-pku.cn)$  databases. The relevance between SCARNA12 or PHB2 expression and OS and DFS was analyzed using data downloaded from TCGA for CRC patients. Additionally, the correlation between SCARNA12 expression and various clinicopathological parameters was evaluated using the data as well. The secondary structure of SCARNA12 was predicted using the RNAfold web server [\(http://rna.tbi.univie.ac.](http://rna.tbi.univie.ac.at//cgi-bin/RNAWebSuite/RNAfold.cgi)

[at//cgi-bin/RNAWebSuite/RNAfold.cgi\)](http://rna.tbi.univie.ac.at//cgi-bin/RNAWebSuite/RNAfold.cgi). SCARNA12 homology analysis was performed using the UCSC Genome Browser [\(http://genome.ucsc.edu\)](http://genome.ucsc.edu). The Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID, <https://david.ncifcrf.gov/home.jsp>) was used to perform GO and KEGG analyses, to predict the function of SCARNA12.

#### **Patients and samples**

Sixty pairs of CRC tissue and adjacent normal mucosal tissue specimens were collected from Liaoning Cancer Hospital. The Ethics Committee on Human Investigation of Liaoning Cancer Hospital (20,190,970) approved the use of the specimens, and each patient provided informed consent for this study.

#### **Cell culture**

FHC was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, Virginia), HIEC-6 was preserved by our laboratory for years, and CRC cell lines (SW620, HT29, and HCT116) were purchased from GeneChem (Shanghai, China). MCF7 and NCI-H1299 were purchased from the Chinese National Infrastructure of Cell Line Resource (NICR; Beijing, China). The culture conditions of all the cells were the same as those described in previously published study [[23](#page-13-20), [54,](#page-14-13) [55](#page-14-14)].

#### **RNA extraction and quantitative real time-PCR (qRT-PCR)**

TRIzol reagent (Sigma, USA) was used to extract total RNA from tissue samples or cells. The PrimeScript<sup>™</sup> RT reagent Kit (Takara, Shiga, Japan) was used to reversetranscribe RNA into cDNA. In a Bio-Rad CFX96 system, qRT-PCR was carried out using the iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix (BioRad, USA) and specifc primers (Table S[1\)](#page-12-1). Finally, GAPDH or U6 was used as an internal control to determine gene expression levels based on the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  method.

#### **Cell transfection**

SW620 cells were infected with lentivirus overexpressing SCARNA12 and negative control lentivirus (Gene-Chem, Shanghai, China). Then, 72 h after the lentivirus infection, puromycin  $(2 \mu g/mL)$  was used to screen the cells. RiboBio (Guangzhou, China) designed and synthesized the ASO for targeting SCARNA12. The oligonucleotide sequence of ASO-SCARNA12 was 5´- CTAGTT CCTGGCAGCAGCCT -3´. ASO was used to transfect HCT116 and HT29 cells in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

#### **Cell proliferation assay**

The ability of cells to undergo proliferation was measured using Cell Counting Kit-8 (Dojindo, Kumamoto, Japan) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were seeded in 100 μL of medium containing  $2 \times 10^3$  cells/well on 96-well plates; meanwhile, five replicates were set in each group. Then, 10% CCK-8 reagent was added to each well and incubation was continued at  $37^{\circ}C$  for 2 h. The absorbance was measured using a microplate reader (BIO-RAD, 170–6750) at 450 nm.

#### **Colony formation assay**

Eight hundred cells were seeded into 6-well plates and cultured for 2 weeks, while changing the medium every 4 days. Cells were fxed with methanol for 20 min and then stained using Giemsa for 30 min at room temperature. The total number of colonies in each well was counted in an unbiased manner.

#### **Cell apoptosis analysis**

Cells from diferent groups were stained with the Annexin V-FITC Apoptosis Detection kit (DOJINDO). Flow cytometry (ACEA Bio, San Diego, California) was used to detect the percentages of apoptosis cells. Each experiment was performed in triplicate.

#### **Western blot**

Cells were lysed in RIPA bufer supplemented with phosphatase and protease inhibitors (Roche). The BCA Protein Assay Kit (Beyotime, P0010) was applied for quantifying protein concentrations. Equal amounts of protein (30 μg) were separated by 10% SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Subsequently, 5% fat-free milk powder was used to block the membranes for 2 h, and the membranes were incubated overnight with primary antibodies of PI3K (#4292, CST, 1:1000), p-PI3K (#4228, CST, 1:1000), AKT (#4691, CST, 1:1000), p-AKT (#4060, CST, 1:1000), PARP (#9542, CST, 1:1000), Bcl-2 (#YM3041, ImmunoWay, 1:2000), and β-actin (60,009–1-Ig, Proteintech, 1:5000) at 4℃. Te membranes were washed three times with TBS-Tween 20 (TBS-T), and then incubated for 2 h with secondary antibodies of rabbit IgG (#5220–0336, KPL, 1:5000) or mouse IgG (#5220–0341, KPL, 1:5000). Finally, the protein bands were visualized using the ECL Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientifc).

#### **Nude mice tumor xenograft assay**

Xenograft models were established using 5-weekold male BALB/c nude mice (Beijing Viton Lihua,

China). Subsequently, the nude mice were randomly divided into two groups (LV-NC, LV-SCARNA12, n = 5). SW620 cells (about  $5 \times 10^6$  cells per site in 100 μL PBS) infected with LV-SCARNA12 or LV-NC were subcutaneously injected into mice. The volumes of the xenografts were measured with vernier calipers every 4 days. After 30 days, subcutaneous xenografts of diferent groups were collected, photographed, and weighed. All animal experiments were carried out in accordance with the ethical regulations of the Ethics Committee of the Academy of Military Medical Science (IACUC-DWZX-2022–832, Beijing, China).

#### **Hematoxylin and eosin and immunohistochemistry**

Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and IHC staining were performed as described previously  $[23]$ . The antibodies used were Ki-67 (Cat. No. ab15580, Abcam, 1:2000) and p-AKT (Cat. No. A11016, ABclonal, 1:100). The color development reaction was achieved using 3,3ʹ-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB).

#### **Statistical analyses**

All experiments were performed in triplicate. All data were represented as mean±SD values. Kaplan–Meier method and log-rank test were used for survival analyses. Chi-square  $(\chi^2)$  test was used to evaluate the correlation of SCARNA12 expression with clinicopathological parameters in CRC patients. The independent prognostic factors related to survival were identifed by the Cox proportional hazards regression model. The data of different groups were analyzed by the two-tailed Student's t-test. SPSS 26.0 and GraphPad Prism 9.0 software were used to perform all statistical analyses. *P*<0.05 was considered statistically signifcant.

#### **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s43556-023-00147-x) [org/10.1186/s43556-023-00147-x.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s43556-023-00147-x)

<span id="page-12-1"></span>**Additional fle 1: Fig. S1.** SCARNA12 was highly expressed in a variety of tumors. **Fig. S2.** Secondary structure and homology analysis of SCARNA12. a The secondary structure of SCARNA12 was predicted using RNAfold web server. b The homology analysis of SCARNA12 performed using the UCSC Genome Browser. **Fig. S3.** The efects of SCARNA12 on cell proliferation and colony formation in HIEC-6. a The levels of SCARNA12 in HIEC-6 infected with lentiviruses LV-SCARNA12. b The proliferative capacity of HIEC-6 assessed by CCK-8 assays. c The colony formation assays of HIEC-6. The results are presented as the mean  $\pm$  SD ( $n \geq 3$ ). ns, no significance; \*\*\*  $P < 0.001$ . **Fig. S4.** The effects of overexpressing SCARNA12 on cell proliferation, colony formation, and apoptosis in breast cancer and NSCLC cells. a The levels of SCARNA12 in breast cancer cells (MCF7) and NSCLC cells (NCI-H1299) infected with lentiviruses LV-SCARNA12. b The proliferative capacity of MCF7 and NCI-H1299 assessed by CCK-8 assays. c The colony formation assays of MCF7 and NCI-H129. d The apoptotic rates of MCF7 and NCI-H1299 assessed by flow cytometry experiments. e The expression levels of Bcl-2 and cleaved PARP proteins in MCF7 and NCI-H1299 detected by Western blot. The results are presented as the mean  $\pm$ 

SD (*n* ≥ 3). ns, no signifcance; \* *P* < 0.05, \*\*\* *P* < 0.001. **Fig. S5.** The impact of SCARNA12 knockdown on cell proliferation, colony formation, and apoptosis in breast cancer and NSCLC cells. a The levels of SCARNA12 in MCF7 and NCI-H1299 transfected with ASO-SCARNA12. b The proliferative capacity of MCF7 and NCI-H1299 assessed by CCK-8 assays. c The colony formation assays of MCF7 and NCI-H129. d The apoptotic rates of MCF7 and NCI-H1299 assessed by flow cytometry experiments. e The expression levels of Bcl-2 and cleaved PARP proteins in MCF7 and NCI-H1299 detected by Western blot. The results are presented as the mean ± SD (*n* ≥ 3). \* *P* < 0.05, \*\* *P* < 0.01, \*\*\* *P* < 0.001. **Fig. S6.** Exploring the underlying biological mechanisms involved in SCARNA12. a The knockdown efficiency of SCARNA12 in HCT116. b Volcano plot revealed the diferentially expressed genes obtained from RNA-seq analysis of HCT116/ASO-NC and HCT116/ASO-SCARNA12 groups. c-e Top 10 strikingly enriched GO annotations for cellular component (c), molecular function (d), biological process (e). f The heat map presented representative diferential genes determined by RNA-seq analysis in the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway. g The levels of indicated target genes verifed by qRT-PCR. The results are presented as the mean  $\pm$  SD ( $n = 3$ ). \*\*\*P < 0.001. **Fig. S7.** The proteins expression of PI3K/AKT pathway in HIEC-6. The results are presented as the mean ± SD (*n* = 3). ns, no signifcance. **Table S1.** Primer sequences used in this study

#### **Acknowledgements**

We thank Dr. Qingtong Zhang (Liaoning Cancer Hospital) for kindly providing clinical samples for this study.

#### **Authors' contributions**

Hong Zhang and Xin Liu performed the experiments, analyzed the data, and wrote the original draft. Wencheng Zhang, Jiarong Deng, and Chuxian Lin were involved in experiments. Zhenhua Qi, Yaqiong Li, and Yongqing Gu participated in data collection and analysis. Qi Wang, Liping Shen, and Zhidong Wang designed the study and revised the paper. Zhidong Wang received project funding. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

#### **Funding**

This work was supported by grant from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32071240 to Zhidong Wang).

#### **Availability of data and materials**

The data supporting this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

#### **Declarations**

#### **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Academy of Military Medical Sciences (IACUC-DWZX-2022–832, Beijing, China). The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee on Human Investigation of the Liaoning Cancer Hospital (20190970). Patients signed informed consent regarding publishing their data.

#### **Consent for publication**

All the authors approved publishing this manuscript in this journal.

#### **Competing Interests**

The authors declare no conficts of interest.

## Received: 28 July 2023 Accepted: 10 October 2023

#### **References**

<span id="page-12-0"></span>Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and

Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71(3):209–49. [https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21660.](https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21660)

- <span id="page-13-0"></span>2. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, Jemal A. Cancer Statistics, 2021. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71(1):7–33.<https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21654>.
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>3. Kahi CJ, Boland CR, Dominitz JA, Giardiello FM, Johnson DA, Kaltenbach T, et al. Colonoscopy Surveillance After Colorectal Cancer Resection: Recommendations of the US Multi-Society Task Force on Colorectal Cancer. Gastroenterology. 2016;150(3):758-68 e11. [https://doi.org/10.1053/j.](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2016.01.001) [gastro.2016.01.001](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2016.01.001).
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>4. Wang Q, Shen X, Chen G, Du J. Drug Resistance in Colorectal Cancer: From Mechanism to Clinic. Cancers (Basel). 2022;14(12). [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers14122928) [10.3390/cancers14122928.](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers14122928)
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>5. Dekker E, Tanis PJ, Vleugels JLA, Kasi PM, Wallace MB. Colorectal cancer. Lancet (London, England). 2019;394(10207):1467–80. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32319-0) [1016/S0140-6736\(19\)32319-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32319-0).
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>6. Cavagnari MAV, Silva TD, Pereira MAH, Sauer LJ, Shigueoka D, Saad SS, et al. Impact of genetic mutations and nutritional status on the survival of patients with colorectal cancer. BMC Cancer. 2019;19(1):644. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-019-5837-4) [org/10.1186/s12885-019-5837-4.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-019-5837-4)
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>7. Starr TK, Allaei R, Silverstein KA, Staggs RA, Sarver AL, Bergemann TL, et al. A transposon-based genetic screen in mice identifes genes altered in colorectal cancer. Science. 2009;323(5922):1747–50. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1163040) [1126/science.1163040](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1163040).
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>8. Zhu G, Pei L, Xia H, Tang Q, Bi F. Role of oncogenic KRAS in the prognosis, diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer. Mol Cancer. 2021;20(1):143. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-021-01441-4>.
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>9. Papadatos-Pastos D, Rabbie R, Ross P, Sarker D. The role of the PI3K pathway in colorectal cancer. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2015;94(1):18–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.critrevonc.2014.12.006>.
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>10. Kato S, Iida S, Higuchi T, Ishikawa T, Takagi Y, Yasuno M, et al. PIK3CA mutation is predictive of poor survival in patients with colorectal cancer. Int J Cancer. 2007;121(8):1771–8. [https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.22890.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.22890)
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>11. He Y, Sun MM, Zhang GG, Yang J, Chen KS, Xu WW, et al. Targeting PI3K/ Akt signal transduction for cancer therapy. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2021;6(1):425. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-021-00828-5.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-021-00828-5)
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>12. Fakan S, Leser G, Martin TE. Ultrastructural distribution of nuclear ribonucleoproteins as visualized by immunocytochemistry on thin sections. J Cell Biol. 1984;98(1):358–63.<https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.98.1.358>.
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>13. Bohnsack MT, Sloan KE. Modifcations in small nuclear RNAs and their roles in spliceosome assembly and function. Biol Chem. 2018;399(11):1265–76. <https://doi.org/10.1515/hsz-2018-0205>.
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>14. Darzacq X, Jady BE, Verheggen C, Kiss AM, Bertrand E, Kiss T. Cajal body-specifc small nuclear RNAs: a novel class of 2'-O-methylation and pseudouridylation guide RNAs. EMBO J. 2002;21(11):2746–56. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/21.11.2746) [org/10.1093/emboj/21.11.2746](https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/21.11.2746).
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>15. Henras AK, Dez C, Henry Y. RNA structure and function in C/D and H/ACA s(no)RNPs. Curr Opin Struct Biol. 2004;14(3):335–43. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2004.05.006) [1016/j.sbi.2004.05.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2004.05.006).
- 16. Hamma T, Ferre-D'Amare AR. The box H/ACA ribonucleoprotein complex: interplay of RNA and protein structures in post-transcriptional RNA modifcation. J Biol Chem. 2010;285(2):805–9. [https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R109.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R109.076893) [076893.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R109.076893)
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>17. Accardo MC, Giordano E, Riccardo S, Digilio FA, Iazzetti G, Calogero RA, et al. A computational search for box C/D snoRNA genes in the Drosophila melanogaster genome. Bioinformatics. 2004;20(18):3293–301. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bth394.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bth394)
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>18. Kiss AM, Jady BE, Darzacq X, Verheggen C, Bertrand E, Kiss T. A Cajal bodyspecifc pseudouridylation guide RNA is composed of two box H/ACA snoRNA-like domains. Nucleic Acids Res. 2002;30(21):4643–9. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkf592) [org/10.1093/nar/gkf592.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkf592)
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>19. Marnef A, Richard P, Pinzon N, Kiss T. Targeting vertebrate intron-encoded box C/D 2'-O-methylation guide RNAs into the Cajal body. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42(10):6616–29. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku287.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku287)
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>20. Richard P, Darzacq X, Bertrand E, Jady BE, Verheggen C, Kiss T. A common sequence motif determines the Cajal body-specifc localization of box H/ACA scaRNAs. EMBO J. 2003;22(16):4283–93. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/cdg394) [emboj/cdg394](https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/cdg394)
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>21. Wu H, Qin W, Lu S, Wang X, Zhang J, Sun T, et al. Long noncoding RNA ZFAS1 promoting small nucleolar RNA-mediated 2'-O-methylation via NOP58 recruitment in colorectal cancer. Mol Cancer. 2020;19(1):95. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-020-01201-w.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-020-01201-w)
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>22. Yoshida K, Toden S, Weng W, Shigeyasu K, Miyoshi J, Turner J, et al. SNORA21 - An Oncogenic Small Nucleolar RNA, with a Prognostic Biomarker Potential in Human Colorectal Cancer. EBioMedicine. 2017;22:68– 77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2017.07.009>.
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>23. Shen L, Lin C, Lu W, He J, Wang Q, Huang Y, et al. Involvement of the oncogenic small nucleolar RNA SNORA24 in regulation of p53 stability in colorectal cancer. Cell Biol Toxicol. 2023;39(4):1377–94. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10565-022-09765-7) [1007/s10565-022-09765-7.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10565-022-09765-7)
- <span id="page-13-21"></span>24. Okugawa Y, Toiyama Y, Toden S, Mitoma H, Nagasaka T, Tanaka K, et al. Clinical signifcance of SNORA42 as an oncogene and a prognostic biomarker in colorectal cancer. Gut. 2017;66(1):107–17. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309359) [1136/gutjnl-2015-309359.](https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309359)
- <span id="page-13-22"></span>25. Yuan S, Wu Y, Wang Y, Chen J, Chu L. An Oncolytic Adenovirus Expressing SNORD44 and GAS5 Exhibits Antitumor Efect in Colorectal Cancer Cells. Hum Gene Ther. 2017;28(8):690–700. [https://doi.org/10.1089/](https://doi.org/10.1089/hum.2017.041) [hum.2017.041](https://doi.org/10.1089/hum.2017.041).
- <span id="page-13-23"></span>26. Tosar JP, Garcia-Silva MR, Cayota A. Circulating SNORD57 rather than piR-54265 is a promising biomarker for colorectal cancer: common pitfalls in the study of somatic piRNAs in cancer. RNA. 2021;27(4):403–10. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.078444.120) [doi.org/10.1261/rna.078444.120.](https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.078444.120)
- <span id="page-13-24"></span>27. Zhang PF, Wu J, Wu Y, Huang W, Liu M, Dong ZR, et al. The lncRNA SCARNA2 mediates colorectal cancer chemoresistance through a conserved microRNA-342-3p target sequence. J Cell Physiol. 2019;234(7):10157–65. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.27684>.
- 28. Ronchetti D, Todoerti K, Tuana G, Agnelli L, Mosca L, Lionetti M, et al. The expression pattern of small nucleolar and small Cajal body-specifc RNAs characterizes distinct molecular subtypes of multiple myeloma. Blood Cancer J. 2012;2: e96. [https://doi.org/10.1038/bcj.2012.41.](https://doi.org/10.1038/bcj.2012.41)
- 29. Ronchetti D, Mosca L, Cutrona G, Tuana G, Gentile M, Fabris S, et al. Small nucleolar RNAs as new biomarkers in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. BMC Med Genomics. 2013;6:27.<https://doi.org/10.1186/1755-8794-6-27>.
- <span id="page-13-25"></span>30. Zhang S, Ding Y, Sun Z, Ge Y, Li Y, Han X, et al. Identifcation of Expression Pattern and Clinical Signifcance of the Small Cajal Body-specifc RNA SCARNA16 in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. J Clin Transl Hepatol. 2022;10(1):104–11. <https://doi.org/10.14218/JCTH.2021.00016>.
- <span id="page-13-26"></span>31. Cho O, Kim DW, Cheong JY. Screening Plasma Exosomal RNAs as Diagnostic Markers for Cervical Cancer: An Analysis of Patients Who Underwent Primary Chemoradiotherapy. Biomolecules. 2021;11(11). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3390/biom11111691) [org/10.3390/biom11111691.](https://doi.org/10.3390/biom11111691)
- <span id="page-13-27"></span>32. Zeng H, Pan J, Hu C, Yang J, Li J, Tan T, et al. SNHG25 facilitates SNORA50C accumulation to stabilize HDAC1 in neuroblastoma cells. Cell Death Dis. 2022;13(7):597. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-022-05040-z.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-022-05040-z)
- <span id="page-13-28"></span>33. Bergeron D, Faucher-Giguere L, Emmerichs AK, Choquet K, Song KS, Deschamps-Francoeur G, et al. Intronic small nucleolar RNAs regulate host gene splicing through base pairing with their adjacent intronic sequences. Genome Biol. 2023;24(1):160. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-023-03002-y) [s13059-023-03002-y.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-023-03002-y)
- <span id="page-13-29"></span>34. Cao T, Rajasingh S, Samanta S, Dawn B, Bittel DC, Rajasingh J. Biology and clinical relevance of noncoding sno/scaRNAs. Trends Cardiovasc Med. 2018;28(2):81–90. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcm.2017.08.002.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcm.2017.08.002)
- <span id="page-13-30"></span>35. Liu Y, Zhao C, Wang G, Chen J, Ju S, Huang J, et al. SNORD1C maintains stemness and 5-FU resistance by activation of Wnt signaling pathway in colorectal cancer. Cell Death Discov. 2022;8(1):200. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41420-022-00996-5) [1038/s41420-022-00996-5.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41420-022-00996-5)
- <span id="page-13-31"></span>36. Jady BE, Bertrand E, Kiss T. Human telomerase RNA and box H/ACA scaR-NAs share a common Cajal body-specifc localization signal. J Cell Biol. 2004;164(5):647–52. [https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200310138.](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200310138)
- <span id="page-13-32"></span>37. Du HY, Pumbo E, Ivanovich J, An P, Maziarz RT, Reiss UM, et al. TERC and TERT gene mutations in patients with bone marrow failure and the signifcance of telomere length measurements. Blood. 2009;113(2):309–16. [https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2008-07-166421.](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2008-07-166421)
- <span id="page-13-33"></span>38. Vulliamy T, Marrone A, Goldman F, Dearlove A, Bessler M, Mason PJ, et al. The RNA component of telomerase is mutated in autosomal dominant dyskeratosis congenita. Nature. 2001;413(6854):432–5. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/35096585) [1038/35096585](https://doi.org/10.1038/35096585).
- <span id="page-13-34"></span>39. Fang X, Yang D, Luo H, Wu S, Dong W, Xiao J, et al. SNORD126 promotes HCC and CRC cell growth by activating the PI3K-AKT pathway through FGFR2. J Mol Cell Biol. 2017;9(3):243–55. [https://doi.org/10.1093/jmcb/](https://doi.org/10.1093/jmcb/mjw048) [mjw048.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jmcb/mjw048)
- <span id="page-13-35"></span>40. Ren L, Meng L, Gao J, Lu M, Guo C, Li Y, et al. PHB2 promotes colorectal cancer cell proliferation and tumorigenesis through NDUFS1-mediated

oxidative phosphorylation. Cell Death Dis. 2023;14(1):44. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-023-05575-9) [10.1038/s41419-023-05575-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-023-05575-9) .

- <span id="page-14-0"></span>41. Wu B, Chang N, Xi H, Xiong J, Zhou Y, Wu Y, et al. PHB2 promotes tumorigenesis via RACK1 in non-small cell lung cancer. Theranostics. 2021;11(7):3150–66. <https://doi.org/10.7150/thno.52848> .
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>42. Yoshimaru T, Komatsu M, Matsuo T, Chen YA, Murakami Y, Mizuguchi K, et al. Targeting BIG3-PHB2 interaction to overcome tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer cells. Nat Commun. 2013;4:2443. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3443) [ncomms3443](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3443) .
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>43. Hoxhaj G, Manning BD. The PI3K-AKT network at the interface of onco genic signalling and cancer metabolism. Nat Rev Cancer. 2020;20(2):74– 88. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41568-019-0216-7> .
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>44. Duan S, Huang W, Liu X, Liu X, Chen N, Xu Q, et al. IMPDH2 promotes colorectal cancer progression through activation of the PI3K/AKT/ mTOR and PI3K/AKT/FOXO1 signaling pathways. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2018;37(1):304. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-018-0980-3> .
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>45. Chen J, Alduais Y, Zhang K, Zhu X, Chen B. CCAT1/FABP5 promotes tumour progression through mediating fatty acid metabolism and stabi lizing PI3K/AKT/mTOR signalling in lung adenocarcinoma. J Cell Mol Med. 2021;25(19):9199–213. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.16815> .
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>46. Hou Y, Li H, Huo W. THBS4 silencing regulates the cancer stem cell-like properties in prostate cancer via blocking the PI3K/Akt pathway. Prostate. 2020;80(10):753–63. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pros.23989> .
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>47. Wu S, Chen M, Huang J, Zhang F, Lv Z, Jia Y, et al. ORAI2 Promotes Gastric Cancer Tumorigenicity and Metastasis through PI3K/Akt Signal ing and MAPK-Dependent Focal Adhesion Disassembly. Cancer Res. 2021;81(4):986–1000.<https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-20-0049> .
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>48. Huang Y, Zhang J, Hou L, Wang G, Liu H, Zhang R, et al. LncRNA AK023391 promotes tumorigenesis and invasion of gastric cancer through activation of the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2017;36(1):194. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-017-0666-2> .
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>49. Zhou J, Jiang YY, Chen H, Wu YC, Zhang L. Tanshinone I attenuates the malignant biological properties of ovarian cancer by inducing apoptosis and autophagy via the inactivation of PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway. Cell Prolif. 2020;53(2): e12739. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cpr.12739> .
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>50. Ediriweera MK, Tennekoon KH, Samarakoon SR. Role of the PI3K/AKT/ mTOR signaling pathway in ovarian cancer: Biological and therapeutic signifcance. Semin Cancer Biol. 2019;59:147–60. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2019.05.012) [1016/j.semcancer.2019.05.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2019.05.012) .
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>51. Guerrero-Zotano A, Mayer IA, Arteaga CL. PI3K/AKT/mTOR: role in breast cancer progression, drug resistance, and treatment. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2016;35(4):515–24.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10555-016-9637-x> .
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>52. Yan W, Ma X, Zhao X, Zhang S. Baicalein induces apoptosis and autophagy of breast cancer cells via inhibiting PI3K/AKT pathway in vivo and vitro. Drug Des Devel Ther. 2018;12:3961–72. [https://doi.org/10.2147/](https://doi.org/10.2147/DDDT.S181939) [DDDT.S181939](https://doi.org/10.2147/DDDT.S181939) .
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>53. Wu L, Zheng J, Chen P, Liu Q, Yuan Y. Small nucleolar RNA ACA11 pro motes proliferation, migration and invasion in hepatocellular carcinoma by targeting the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway. Biomed Pharmacother. 2017;90:705–12.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2017.04.014> .
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>54. Liu HX, Zhao H, Xi C, Li S, Ma LP, Lu X, et al. CPT1 Mediated Ionizing Radiation-Induced Intestinal Injury Proliferation via Shifting FAO Metabo lism Pathway and Activating the ERK1/2 and JNK Pathway. Radiat Res. 2022;198(5):488–507.<https://doi.org/10.1667/rade-21-00174.1> .
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>55. Jeong SH, Wu HG, Park WY. LIN28B confers radio-resistance through the posttranscriptional control of KRAS. Exp Mol Med. 2009;41(12):912–8. <https://doi.org/10.3858/emm.2009.41.12.097> .

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in pub lished maps and institutional affiliations.