3: 678-686 (2023)

The Impact of Being Underweight on the Prognosis of Older Patients With Early Breast Cancer

YUMIKO ISHIZUKA¹, YOSHIYA HORIMOTO¹, MIDORI MORITA^{2,3}, YUKINO KAWAMURA⁴, KATSUTOSHI SEKINE⁵, SAYAKA OBAYASHI⁶, YUKI KOJIMA⁷, EMI TOKUDA⁸, TORU HIGUCHI⁹ and AKIHIKO SHIMOMURA⁴

¹Department of Breast Oncology, Juntendo University Faculty of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan;

²Division of Endocrine and Breast Surgery, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan;

³Department of Surgery, Saiseikai Shiga Hospital, Shiga, Japan;

⁴Department of Breast and Medical Oncology, National Center for Global Health and Medicine, Tokyo, Japan;

⁵*Medical Oncology Center, Saitama City Hospital, Saitama, Japan;*

⁶Department of General Surgical Science, Gunma University, Gunma, Japan;

⁷Department of Medical Oncology, National Cancer Center Hospital, Tokyo, Japan;

⁸Department of Medical Oncology, Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima, Japan;

⁹Breast Surgery Unit, Japanese Red Cross Saitama Hospital, Saitama, Japan

Abstract. Background/Aim: The number of older patients with breast cancer has been increasing and a major challenge is to develop optimal treatment strategies for these patients, who often have comorbidities. Obesity is reportedly a poor prognostic factor in breast cancer, however there is limited research on underweight patients. Clarifying the relationship between physique and prognosis may contribute to the establishment of optimal treatment strategies for older patients with breast cancer. Patients and Methods: This retrospective study examined clinicopathological data from a multicenter collaborative database on 1,076 patients aged 70 years or older who had undergone curative surgery. According to the body mass index (BMI), patient physique was defined as underweight (<18.5 kg/m²), normal (18.5-24.9 kg/m²) or obese (\geq 25 kg/m²). In this study, we explored

Correspondence to: Yoshiya Horimoto, Department of Breast Oncology, Juntendo University Faculty of Medicine, 2-1-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan. E mail: horimoto@juntendo.ac.jp

Key Words: Breast neoplasms, prognosis, underweight, body mass index, physique.

©2023 International Institute of Anticancer Research www.iiar-anticancer.org



This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY-NC-ND) 4.0 international license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0).

the relationship between the physique of patients with breast cancer and outcomes. Results: Underweight patients had a significantly lower rate of chemotherapy administration (p=0.017) and a higher rate of presence of other cancer (p=0.022). During the observation period (median of 75.2) months), 133 patients (12%) developed recurrent disease and 131 patients (12%) died. Age, BMI, tumor size, progesterone receptor and the presence of other cancer were independent factors relating to overall survival (p < 0.001, p = 0.027, p=0.002, p=0.008 and p=0.005, respectively). Patients with a low BMI had a significantly shorter overall survival, but there was no association with disease-free survival in this subset of patients. Conclusion: Overall survival was shorter in underweight older patients with breast cancer. Our data indicate that being underweight should be considered both in treatment decisions and in future studies of outcomes for older patients with breast cancer.

The number of older people is increasing worldwide. There were 727 million people aged over 65 years in 2020, and it is generally accepted that this number will have doubled by 2050 (1). For instance, in Japan, patients over 75 years of age accounted for 45.4% of all patients with cancer in 2019 (2). The same applies to breast cancer (3, 4). A major challenge is to develop optimal treatment strategies for older patients, who often have comorbidities. For example, the efficacy of chemotherapy in the elderly remains controversial (5, 6), mainly due to the exclusion of older patients from many prospective clinical trials. We recently established a database of older patients with breast cancer aged 70 years

Factor	Subgroup	Underweight N=103	Normal N=639	Obese N=334	<i>p</i> -Value
Age, years	Median (range)	75.0 (70-93)	75.0 (70-92)	74.5 (70-93)	0.234
Tumor size, mm	Median (range)	17.0 (0.07-60)	18.0 (0.2-100)	19.0 (0.2-120)	0.062
pN, n (%)	Positive	27 (26.2)	187 (29.2)	87 (26.0)	0.791
	Negative	73 (70.8)	435 (68.1)	198 (59.3)	
	Unknown	3 (3.0)	43 (6.7)	49 (14.7)	
pStage, n (%)	0 (pCR)	0 (0)	6 (1.0)	2 (0.6)	0.058
	Ι	63 (61.2)	317 (50.0)	144 (43.1)	
	II	33 (32.0)	252 (39.7)	154 (46.1)	
	III	7 (6.8)	59 (9.3)	34 (10.2)	
Tumor grade, n (%)	High	72 (69.9)	453 (70.9)	252 (75.4)	0.180
	Low/intermediate	28 (27.2)	161 (25.2)	68 (20.4)	
	Unknown	3 (2.9)	32 (3.9)	14 (4.2)	
ER, n (%)	Positive	70 (68.0)	423 (66.2)	235 (70.4)	0.350
	Negative	14 (13.6)	104 (16.3)	44 (13.2)	
	Unknown	19 (18.4)	112 (17.5)	55 (16.4)	
PgR, n (%)	Positive	56 (54.4)	344 (53.8)	201 (60.2)	0.152
	Negative	28 (27.2)	182 (28.5)	78 (23.4)	
	Unknown	19 (18.4)	113 (17.7)	55 (16.4)	
HER2, n (%)	Positive	10 (9.7)	59 (9.2)	35 (10.5)	0.839
	Negative	74 (71.9)	465 (72.8)	241 (72.2)	
	Unknown	19 (18.4)	115 (18.0)	58 (17.3)	
Subtype, n (%)	Luminal-HER2-	74 (71.8)	470 (73.6)	259 (77.5)	0.246
	Luminal-HER2+	8 (7.8)	45 (7.0)	19 (5.7)	
	HER2 ⁺	8 (7.8)	32 (5.0)	23 (6.9)	
	TNBC	11 (10.7)	88 (13.8)	30 (9.0)	
	Unknown	2 (1.9)	4 (0.6)	3 (0.9)	
Ki67 LI, n (%)	≤30%	24 (23.3)	164 (25.7)	81 (24.3)	0.932
	>30%	10 (9.7)	69 (10.8)	31 (9.3)	
	Unknown	69 (67.0)	406 (63.5)	222 (66.5)	
Systemic therapy, n (%)	Chemotherapy	9/102 (8.8)	124/635 (19.5)	65/333 (19.5)	0.017
• • • • •	Hormone therapy	70/103 (67.9)	476/639 (74.5)	260/333 (78.1)	0.111
Comorbidity, n (%)	Diabetes	9/103 (8.7)	90/639 (14.1)	64/334 (19.2)	0.016
• • • •	Cardiovascular events	2/103 (1.9)	13/639 (2.0)	9/334 (2.7)	0.786
Presence of	Yes	19 (18.4)	69 (10.8)	28 (8.4)	0.022
other cancer, n (%)	No	83 (80.6)	570 (89.2)	306 (91.6)	
	Unknown	1 (1.0)	0	0	

Table I.	Clinicopathological	features of	f invasive b	reast carcinoma	in elderly	patients	according	to bod	y mass index.
						P			/

BMI: Body mass index; ER: estrogen receptor; HER2: human epidermal growth factor receptor type 2; Ki67 LI: Ki67 labelling index; pCR: pathological complete response; PgR: progesterone receptor; TNBC: triple-negative breast cancer. Statistically significant *p*-values are shown in bold.

or more and revealed that adjuvant chemotherapy did not improve their survival (7). While it is impossible to draw general conclusions about the efficacy of chemotherapy due to inter-study variability in treatment details and target patients, there is a need for research into what treatment has true benefit in older patients with breast cancer.

In the current study, we focused on the physique of patients. Obesity is a risk factor for breast cancer in postmenopausal women (8, 9) and the recurrence rate in obese patients with breast cancer is reportedly higher (10, 11). While many studies have investigated the relationship between obesity and the prognosis of breast cancer (10-15), only a few have examined the characteristics of underweight patients with breast cancer (13, 16, 17). In other diseases, it is reported that underweight patients have poorer outcomes (18-20). However, the prognosis of underweight older patients with breast cancer remains unclear. We posited that a better understanding of the relationship between physique and prognosis might help in the development of future treatment strategies. As such, in this study, we explored the relationship between patient physique and outcomes, along with other clinicopathological factors, using retrospective data from older patients with breast cancer.

Patients and Methods

Patients. We recently established a database of 1,095 Japanese women aged over 70 years with invasive breast cancer who underwent curative



Figure 1. Age distribution in relation to physique. distribution of patients' ages according to physique as indicated by body mass index (BMI) are shown for underweight patients (BMI <18.5 kg/m²) (A), normal-weight patients (BMI=18.5-24.9 kg/m²) (B) and obese patients (BMI \ge 30 kg/m²) (C).

surgery between January 2008 and December 2013 at seven institutions (National Cancer Center Hospital, Juntendo University, Gunma University, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, Fukushima Medical University, Japanese Red Cross Saitama Hospital and Saiseikai Shiga Hospital) (7). Patients who were diagnosed with non-invasive breast cancer, who had bilateral breast cancer, or who lacked clinical data were excluded. Clinicopathological data and details of the clinical course were obtained from the patient's medical records. Of these, information about the physique of 1,076 patients was available, and these were the subjects of this study. The median age of these patients was 75 (range=70-93) years. According to the body mass index (BMI), a patient's physique was defined as either underweight (BMI <18.5 kg/m²), normal (18.5-24.9 kg/m²) or obese (\geq 25 kg/m²).

The primary endpoint of the current study was overall survival (OS), defined as the length of time from primary surgery to the death from any cause, and the secondary endpoint was disease-free survival (DFS), defined as the length of time from primary surgery to any recurrence of breast cancer. Pathological examination was carried out based on the fifth edition of the WHO Classification of Tumors of the Breast. Tumor grade was judged based on the modified Bloom–Richardson histological grading system.

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of each hospital (approval number 2019-093). The need for written informed consent was waived due to it being a retrospective study.

We present the findings following the format recommended by the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines.

Statistical assessment. Statistical analyses were performed using JMP Pro 16.0.0 statistical software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Associations between clinicopathological parameters and patient physique were evaluated using Pearson's chi-squared test and Fisher's exact test. For comparisons of mean values among two or more than two groups, two-sided Student's *t*-test or analysis of variance were employed, respectively. To evaluate variables for independent prognostic effects, the Cox proportional hazard model was applied with a 95% confidence interval. Kaplan–Meier curves were drawn and the log-rank test was applied for comparisons of survival between two groups. A value of p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Clinicopathological features of invasive carcinoma in relation to physique. Clinicopathological features of patients in relation to physique are shown in Table I. Samples were stratified by estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PgR) (hormone receptor, HR) and human epidermal growth factor receptor type 2 (HER2) status, as luminal-HER2⁻ (HR⁺/HER2⁻), luminal-HER2⁺ (HR⁺/HER2⁺), HER2⁺ (HR⁻/HER2⁺), and triple-negative (HR⁻/HER2⁻). Of 1,076 patients, 103 (9.6%) were underweight, 639 (59.4%) were normal weight, and 334 (31.0%) were obese. There was no significant difference in age among groups (age distributions are shown in Figure 1). Compared with the normal and obese groups, the underweight group had a significantly lower rate of chemotherapy (p=0.017) and a significantly higher rate of having a presence of other cancer (p=0.022), while diabetes was more frequent in the obese group (p=0.016).

Patient outcomes. We evaluated clinicopathological factors in relation to patient outcome. During the observation period (median=75.2 months, range=0.2-147.9 months), 133 patients had tumor recurrence and 131 patients died, including 47 who died due to breast cancer; of the 1,076 patients, there was no data

	Recurrence, n (%)				Death, n (%)				
Subtype	n	Yes	No	<i>p</i> -Value	n	Yes	No	<i>p</i> -Value	
Luminal-HER2-	801	78 (9.7)	728 (90.3)	< 0.001	803	79 (9.8)	724 (90.2)	<0.001	
Luminal-HER2+	72	6 (8.3)	66 (91.7)		72	8 (11.1)	64 (88.9)		
HER2+	62	10 (16.1)	52 (83.9)		63	13 (20.6)	50 (79.4)		
TNBC	125	39 (31.2)	86 (68.8)		129	31 (24.0)	98 (76.0)		

Table II. Patient outcomes according to subtype of breast cancer.

HER2: Human epidermal growth factor receptor type 2; TNBC: triple-negative breast cancer. There were no data about subtype of breast cancer for 9 patients and about recurrence for 7 patients. Statistically significant *p*-values are shown in bold.

for seven patients on whether they developed recurrent disease or not. When the patients were divided into two groups, namely the underweight group (BMI<18.5 kg/m²) and the normal/obese group (\geq 18.5 kg/m²), 11 (10.7%, 11/103) and 122 (12.6%, 122/966) patients had tumor recurrence, respectively, with no statistical difference between these rates (p=0.569). We also compared patient outcomes in relation to tumor subtypes. There were significant differences in recurrence rates among subtypes (Table II; p<0.001), wherein patients with triple-negative tumors had the highest rate of recurrence (31.2%). Differences were also observed in relation to patient death (p<0.001), where patients with HER2⁺ or triple-negative breast cancer had higher rates of death (20.6% and 24.0%, respectively; Table II).

We performed a Kaplan–Meier analysis comparing the underweight and normal/obese groups (Figure 2 and Figure 3). While there was no statistically significant difference in DFS between the underweight and normal/obese groups, with DFS of 91.2% and 88.9% at 60 months, respectively (Figure 2A; p=0.624). OS tended to be shorter in the underweight group, with an OS rate at 60 months of 87.3% compared with 91.7% (Figure 3A; p=0.053). In patients with luminal-HER2⁻ tumors, underweight patients had a shorter OS (Figure 3B; p=0.027).

Next, we investigated clinicopathological factors relating to DFS and OS. In the univariate analysis, older age, larger tumor size, positive lymph node metastasis, high tumor grade, ER⁻, PgR⁻ and receiving chemotherapy were related to poorer DFS (Table III; p=0.040, p<0.001, p=0.004, p<0.001, p < 0.001, p < 0.001 and p = 0.002, respectively). In multivariate analysis, larger tumor size, high tumor grade and HER2 status were independent factors for poor DFS (p=0.001, p=0.031and p=0.038, respectively); patients with HER2⁻ tumors had a shorter DFS than those with HER2⁺ tumors. Regarding OS, in the univariate analysis, older age, larger tumor size, positive lymph node metastasis, high tumor grade, ER⁻, PgR⁻, and the presence of other cancer were associated with OS (Table IV; p<0.001, p<0.001, p=0.001, p<0.001, p=0.001, p<0.001 and p < 0.001, respectively). In multivariate analysis, older age, low BMI, larger tumor size, PgR⁻ and the presence of other cancer were independent factors for poorer OS (p<0.001, p=0.027, p=0.002, p=0.008 and p=0.005, respectively); patients with low BMI had a significantly shorter OS, although no significant difference in DFS was observed.

Discussion

The current study found OS was shorter in underweight older patients with breast cancer who had undergone curative surgery. However, the breast cancer recurrence rate was not high in this population, suggesting that short survival was driven by factors other than breast cancer. Being underweight is reportedly a factor of poor prognosis in patients with cancer (21, 22). A higher recurrence rate and worse mortality have also been reported in underweight patients with breast cancer (14, 23). The definite cause of this remains unknown. Moon et al. suggested that chronic undernutrition may reduce the effectiveness of systemic treatments (23). However, in our study, this was not the case as recurrent disease was not more common in underweight patients compared to the remaining patients. Poorer prognosis in underweight patients has been observed in other diseases apart from cancer. For example, poorer outcomes have been reported in underweight patients with hypertension and cardiovascular diseases (18-20).

Poor prognosis in underweight patients is likely the result of multiple interacting factors. However, increased 'vulnerability' due to sarcopenia and frailty may be a key reason. It is suggested that sarcopenia and frailty should be considered when deciding the treatment plan for older patients with cancer (24-26). Sarcopenia with muscle atrophy leads to a higher risk of falls, fractures, reduced quality of life, and increased risk of infection and mortality (27-30). Frailty is a multidimensional concept that includes physical ability and social and environmental elements, and is known to be associated with an increased risk of death (31, 32). Frailty is composed of various components (33), with sarcopenia considered one such component (34). BMI is also sometimes included as an evaluation factor (35-37). Thus, we suspect this underlying vulnerability might be a cause of why, in our study, underweight patients had shorter OS. It should be



noted however, that sarcopenia is defined by muscle mass and walking speed, so strictly speaking, being underweight does not automatically indicate sarcopenia. Due to lack of detailed data, we were unable to analyze whether being underweight affected patient outcomes by contributing to the presence of sarcopenia and frailty; further studies designed to specifically evaluate these events are warranted.

Moreover, another possible reason might be the presence of other malignant diseases. In the present study, underweight patients, especially those who had luminal-HER2⁻ tumors,



frequently had other cancer that may have contributed to their shorter OS. In addition, we were unable to test this hypothesis due to a lack of information on other cancer, such as the primary organ, time of onset, clinical stage and treatment. Whether patients had lost weight due to other cancer or related treatments is also unknown. The cause of death for some of

the patients was also unknown. This lack of some data was a major limitation of this retrospective study.

In conclusion, OS was shorter in underweight elderly patients with breast cancer. As such, being underweight may need to be considered when discussing treatment options and studying patient outcomes in older patients with breast cancer.

			Univariate			Multivariate		
Variable		HR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value	HR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value	
Age		2.60*	1.07-6.30	0.040	2.81*	0.92-8.05	0.062	
BMI	<18.5 <i>vs</i> . ≥18.5 kg/m ²	0.86	0.63-1.59	0.618	0.84	0.38-1.83	0.660	
Tumor size	-	34.27*	13.0-82.1	< 0.001	9.59*	2.46-37.3	0.001	
pN	Positive vs. negative	1.93	1.34-2.78	0.004	1.20	0.76-1.91	0.436	
Tumor grade	High vs. low/moderate	2.41	1.69-3.45	< 0.001	1.69	1.05-2.72	0.031	
ER	Positive vs. negative	0.35	0.24-0.52	< 0.001	0.65	0.35-1.23	0.189	
PgR	Positive vs. negative	0.42	0.29-0.62	< 0.001	0.64	0.36-1.11	0.114	
HER2	Positive vs. negative	1.03	0.58-1.84	0.916	0.47	0.23-0.96	0.038	
Chemotherapy	Yes vs. no	1.82	1.25-2.64	0.002	1.37	0.81-2.31	0.235	
Presence of other cancers	Yes vs. no	0.58	0.30-1.14	0.117	0.60	0.27-1.30	0.193	

Table III.	Clinicopathologica	ıl factors relatin	g to disease-free	e survival in elderly	patients with b	reast cancer.
		<i>V</i>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

BMI: Body mass index; CI: confidence interval; ER: estrogen receptor; HER2: human epidermal growth factor receptor type 2; HR: hazard ratio; PgR: progesterone receptor; pN: pathological N stage. *Across range. Statistically significant *p*-values are shown in bold.

Table IV. Clinicopathological factors relating to overall survival in elderly patients with breast cancer.

			Univariate			Multivariate		
Variable		HR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value	HR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value	
Age		6.55*	2.73-15.1	<0.001	7.85*	2.81-21.9	<0.001	
BMI	<18.5 <i>vs</i> . ≥18.5 kg/m ²	1.61	0.99-2.62	0.056	1.89	1.08-3.32	0.027	
Tumor size	C	21.02*	7.27-54.6	< 0.001	17.80*	3.89-81.8	0.002	
pN	Positive vs. negative	1.89	1.30-2.75	0.001	1.06	0.65-1.72	0.812	
Tumor grade	High vs. low/moderate	2.00	1.39-2.88	< 0.001	1.43	0.86-2.38	0.170	
ER	Positive vs. negative	0.49	0.32-0.74	0.001	1.28	0.68-2.42	0.447	
PgR	Positive vs. negative	0.43	0.30-0.64	< 0.001	0.50	0.30-0.84	0.008	
HER2	Positive vs. negative	1.42	0.83-2.41	0.200	0.60	0.44-1.60	0.602	
Chemotherapy	Yes vs. no	1.45	0.98-2.16	0.063	1.10	0.61-1.97	0.762	
Presence of other cancers	Yes vs. no	2.74	1.84-4.08	<0.001	2.08	1.25-3.46	0.005	

BMI: Body mass index; CI: confidence interval; ER: estrogen receptor; HER2: human epidermal growth factor receptor type 2; HR: hazard ratio; PgR: progesterone receptor; pN: pathological N stage. *Across range. Statistically significant *p*-values are shown in bold.

Conflicts of Interest

AS reports grants and personal fees from Chugai Pharmaceutical, grants and personal fees from AstraZeneca, grants from Daiichi Sankyo, grants from Eisai, grants from Taiho Pharmaceutical, personal fees from Eli-Lilly, outside the submitted work. Other Authors have nothing to disclose.

Authors' Contributions

Yumiko Ishizuka, Yoshiya Horimoto, Midori Morita, Emi Tokuda, Toru Higuchi, and Akihiko Shimomura designed this study. Yumiko Ishizuka, Yoshiya Horimoto, Midori Morita, Yukino Kawamura, Katsutoshi Sekine, Sayaka Obayashi, Yuki Kojima, Emi Tokuda, Toru Higuchi, and Akihiko Shimomura collected clinical data. Yumiko Ishizuka and Yoshiya Horimoto conducted data analysis and statistics. Yumiko Ishizuka and Yoshiya Horimoto drafted the original manuscript and Midori Morita, Yukino Kawamura, Yuki Kojima, Emi Tokuda, Toru Higuchi, and Akihiko Shimomura substantively revised it. All Authors revised and approved the final version of the article.

Acknowledgements

The Authors sincerely appreciate Clear Science Pty Ltd for language editing.

References

- World Population Ageing 2020 Highlights. United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. Available at: https://www.un.org/development/desa/pd/sites/ www.un.org.development.desa.pd/files/files/documents/2020/Sep/un _pop_2020_pf_ageing_10_key_messages.pdf [Last accessed on October 10, 2023]
- 2 Cancer Incidence of Japan. Cancer and Disease Control Division, Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Available at:

https://www.mhlw.go.jp/content/10900000/000942181.pdf [Last accessed on October 10, 2023]

- 3 Cancer Statistics in Japan 2022. Institute for Cancer Control, National Cancer Center. Available at: https://ganjoho.jp/ public/qa_links/report/statistics/pdf/cancer_statistics_2022.pdf [Last accessed on October 10, 2023]
- 4 Varghese F, Wong J: Breast cancer in the elderly. Surg Clin North Am 98(4): 819-833, 2018. DOI: 10.1016/j.suc.2018.04.002
- 5 Tamirisa N, Lin H, Shen Y, Shaitelman SF, Sri Karuturi M, Giordano SH, Babiera G, Bedrosian I: Association of chemotherapy with survival in elderly patients with multiple comorbidities and estrogen receptor-positive, node-positive breast cancer. JAMA Oncol 6(10): 1548-1554, 2020. DOI: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2020.2388
- 6 Sawaki M, Taira N, Uemura Y, Saito T, Baba S, Kobayashi K, Kawashima H, Tsuneizumi M, Sagawa N, Bando H, Takahashi M, Yamaguchi M, Takashima T, Nakayama T, Kashiwaba M, Mizuno T, Yamamoto Y, Iwata H, Kawahara T, Ohashi Y, Mukai H, RESPECT study group: Randomized controlled trial of trastuzumab with or without chemotherapy for HER2-positive early breast cancer in older patients. J Clin Oncol 38(32): 3743-3752, 2020. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.20.00184
- 7 Morita M, Shimomura A, Tokuda E, Horimoto Y, Kawamura Y, Ishizuka Y, Sekine K, Obayashi S, Kojima Y, Uemura Y, Higuchi T: Is adjuvant chemotherapy necessary in older patients with breast cancer? Breast Cancer 29(3): 498-506, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s12282-021-01329-7
- 8 Renehan AG, Tyson M, Egger M, Heller RF, Zwahlen M: Bodymass index and incidence of cancer: a systematic review and metaanalysis of prospective observational studies. Lancet 371(9612): 569-578, 2008. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60269-X
- 9 Suzuki R, Iwasaki M, Inoue M, Sasazuki S, Sawada N, Yamaji T, Shimazu T, Tsugane S, Japan Public Health Center-based Prospective Study Group: Body weight at age 20 years, subsequent weight change and breast cancer risk defined by estrogen and progesterone receptor status-the Japan public health center-based prospective study. Int J Cancer 129(5): 1214-1224, 2011. DOI: 10.1002/ijc.25744
- 10 Cecchini RS, Swain SM, Costantino JP, Rastogi P, Jeong JH, Anderson SJ, Tang G, Geyer CE Jr, Lembersky BC, Romond EH, Paterson AH, Wolmark N: Body mass index at diagnosis and breast cancer survival prognosis in clinical trial populations from NRG Oncology/NSABP B-30, B-31, B-34, and B-38. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 25(1): 51-59, 2016. DOI: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-15-0334-T
- 11 Gennari A, Amadori D, Scarpi E, Farolfi A, Paradiso A, Mangia A, Biglia N, Gianni L, Tienghi A, Rocca A, Maltoni R, Antonucci G, Bruzzi P, Nanni O: Impact of body mass index (BMI) on the prognosis of high-risk early breast cancer (EBC) patients treated with adjuvant chemotherapy. Breast Cancer Res Treat 159(1): 79-86, 2016. DOI: 10.1007/s10549-016-3923-8
- 12 Nelson SH, Marinac CR, Patterson RE, Nechuta SJ, Flatt SW, Caan BJ, Kwan ML, Poole EM, Chen WY, Shu XO, Pierce JP: Impact of very low physical activity, BMI, and comorbidities on mortality among breast cancer survivors. Breast Cancer Res Treat 155(3): 551-557, 2016. DOI: 10.1007/s10549-016-3694-2
- 13 Kawai M, Tomotaki A, Miyata H, Iwamoto T, Niikura N, Anan K, Hayashi N, Aogi K, Ishida T, Masuoka H, Iijima K, Masuda S, Tsugawa K, Kinoshita T, Nakamura S, Tokuda Y: Body mass index and survival after diagnosis of invasive breast cancer: a

study based on the Japanese National Clinical Database-Breast Cancer Registry. Cancer Med 5(6): 1328-1340, 2016. DOI: 10.1002/cam4.678

- 14 Chan DSM, Vieira AR, Aune D, Bandera EV, Greenwood DC, McTiernan A, Navarro Rosenblatt D, Thune I, Vieira R, Norat T: Body mass index and survival in women with breast cancersystematic literature review and meta-analysis of 82 follow-up studies. Ann Oncol 25(10): 1901-1914, 2014. DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdu042
- 15 Scholz C, Andergassen U, Hepp P, Schindlbeck C, Friedl TWP, Harbeck N, Kiechle M, Sommer H, Hauner H, Friese K, Rack B, Janni W: Obesity as an independent risk factor for decreased survival in node-positive high-risk breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 151(3): 569-576, 2015. DOI: 10.1007/s10549-015-3422-3
- 16 Iyengar NM, Chen IC, Zhou XK, Giri DD, Falcone DJ, Winston LA, Wang H, Williams S, Lu YS, Hsueh TH, Cheng AL, Hudis CA, Lin CH, Dannenberg AJ: Adiposity, inflammation, and breast cancer pathogenesis in Asian women. Cancer Prev Res (Phila) 11(4): 227-236, 2018. DOI: 10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-17-0283
- 17 Uomori T, Horimoto Y, Arakawa A, Iijima K, Saito M: Breast cancer in lean postmenopausal women might have specific pathological features. In Vivo 33(2): 483-487, 2019. DOI: 10.21873/invivo.11499
- 18 Stamler R, Ford CE, Stamler J: Why do lean hypertensives have higher mortality rates than other hypertensives? Findings of the Hypertension Detection and Follow-up Program. Hypertension 17(4): 553-564, 1991. DOI: 10.1161/01.hyp.17.4.553
- 19 Selmer R, Tverdal A: Body mass index and cardiovascular mortality at different levels of blood pressure: a prospective study of Norwegian men and women. J Epidemiol Community Health 49(3): 265-270, 1995. DOI: 10.1136/jech.49.3.265
- 20 Kwon H, Yun JM, Park JH, Cho BL, Han K, Joh HK, Son KY, Cho SH: Incidence of cardiovascular disease and mortality in underweight individuals. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 12(2): 331-338, 2021. DOI: 10.1002/jcsm.12682
- 21 Takada K, Shimokawa M, Akamine T, Ono Y, Haro A, Osoegawa A, Tagawa T, Mori M: Association of low body mass index with poor clinical outcomes after resection of non-small cell lung cancer. Anticancer Res 39(4): 1987-1996, 2019. DOI: 10.21873/anticanres.13309
- 22 Komatsu S, Kosuga T, Kubota T, Okamoto K, Konishi H, Shiozaki A, Fujiwara H, Otsuji E: Preoperative low weight affects long-term outcomes following curative gastrectomy for gastric cancer. Anticancer Res 38(9): 5331-5337, 2018. DOI: 10.21873/anticanres.12860
- 23 Moon HG, Han W, Noh DY: Underweight and breast cancer recurrence and death: a report from the Korean Breast Cancer Society. J Clin Oncol 27(35): 5899-5905, 2009. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2009.22.4436
- 24 Huisingh-Scheetz M, Walston J: How should older adults with cancer be evaluated for frailty? J Geriatr Oncol 8(1): 8-15, 2017. DOI: 10.1016/j.jgo.2016.06.003
- 25 Minami CA, Cooper Z: The frailty syndrome: A critical issue in geriatric oncology. Crit Care Clin 37(1): 151-174, 2021. DOI: 10.1016/j.ccc.2020.08.007
- 26 Sun Q, Jiang X, Qin R, Yang Y, Gong Y, Wang K, Peng J: Sarcopenia among older patients with cancer: A scoping review of the literature. J Geriatr Oncol 13(7): 924-934, 2022. DOI: 10.1016/j.jgo.2022.03.008

- 27 Ata AM, Kara M, Kaymak B, Özçakar L: Sarcopenia is not "love": You have to look where you lost it! Am J Phys Med Rehabil 99(10): e119-e120, 2020. DOI: 10.1097/PHM.0000 000000001391
- 28 Beaudart C, Zaaria M, Pasleau F, Reginster JY, Bruyère O: Health outcomes of sarcopenia: a systematic review and metaanalysis. PLoS One 12(1): e0169548, 2017. DOI: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0169548
- 29 Argilés JM, Campos N, Lopez-Pedrosa JM, Rueda R, Rodriguez-Mañas L: Skeletal muscle regulates metabolism via interorgan crosstalk: Roles in health and disease. J Am Med Dir Assoc 17(9): 789-796, 2016. DOI: 10.1016/j.jamda.2016.04.019
- 30 Cesari M, Pahor M, Lauretani F, Zamboni V, Bandinelli S, Bernabei R, Guralnik JM, Ferrucci L: Skeletal muscle and mortality results from the InCHIANTI Study. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 64(3): 377-384, 2009. DOI: 10.1093/gerona/gln031
- 31 Watanabe D, Yoshida T, Watanabe Y, Yamada Y, Kimura M, Kyoto-Kameoka Study Group: A U-shaped relationship between the prevalence of frailty and body mass index in communitydwelling Japanese older adults: The Kyoto-Kameoka study. J Clin Med 9(5): 1367, 2020. DOI: 10.3390/jcm9051367
- 32 Handforth C, Clegg A, Young C, Simpkins S, Seymour MT, Selby PJ, Young J: The prevalence and outcomes of frailty in older cancer patients: a systematic review. Ann Oncol 26(6): 1091-1101, 2015. DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdu540

- 33 Dent E, Lien C, Lim WS, Wong WC, Wong CH, Ng TP, Woo J, Dong B, De La Vega S, Hua Poi PJ, Kamaruzzaman SBB, Won C, Chen L, Rockwood K, Arai H, Rodriguez-Mañas L, Cao L, Cesari M, Chan P, Leung E, Landi F, Fried LP, Morley JE, Vellas B, Flicker L: The Asia-Pacific Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of frailty. J Am Med Dir Assoc 18(7): 564-575, 2017. DOI: 10.1016/j.jamda.2017.04.018
- 34 Peterson SJ, Mozer M: Differentiating sarcopenia and cachexia among patients with cancer. Nutr Clin Pract 32(1): 30-39, 2017. DOI: 10.1177/0884533616680354
- 35 Arai H, Satake S: English translation of the Kihon Checklist. Geriatr Gerontol Int 15(4): 518-519, 2015. DOI: 10.1111/ggi.12397
- 36 Pijpers E, Ferreira I, van de Laar RJJ, Stehouwer CDA, Nieuwenhuijzen Kruseman AC: Predicting mortality of psychogeriatric patients: a simple prognostic frailty risk score. Postgrad Med J 85(1007): 464-469, 2009. DOI: 10.1136/pgmj. 2008.073353
- 37 Searle SD, Mitnitski A, Gahbauer EA, Gill TM, Rockwood K: A standard procedure for creating a frailty index. BMC Geriatr 8: 24, 2008. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2318-8-24

Received September 18, 2023 Revised October 8, 2023 Accepted October 12, 2023