# $A_{2A}R$  eGFP reporter mouse enables elucidation of  $A_{2A}R$  expression dynamics during anti-tumor immune responses

Received: 11 April 2023

Accepted: 20 October 2023

Published online: 01 November 2023

**Check for updates** 

Kirsten L. To[d](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3903-166X)d  $\mathbf{D}^{1,2,7} \boxtimes$  $\mathbf{D}^{1,2,7} \boxtimes$  $\mathbf{D}^{1,2,7} \boxtimes$ , Junyun Lai  $\mathbf{D}^{1,2,7}$ , Kevin Sek  $\mathbf{D}^{1,2}$ , Yu-Kuan Huan[g](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2262-7069)  $\mathbf{D}^{1,2}$ , Dane M. Newman<sup>2,3</sup>, Emily B. Derric[k](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7191-3948) <sup>® [1](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7191-3948),2</sup>, Hui-Fern Koa[y](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3236-9609) ® <sup>[4](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3236-9609),5</sup>, Dat Nguyen<sup>1,2</sup>, Thang X. Hoang<sup>[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6510-5696),2</sup>, Emma V. Petley<sup>1,2</sup>, Cheok Weng Chan<sup>1,2</sup>, Isabelle Munoz <sup>®1,2</sup>, Imran G. House<sup>1,2</sup>, Joel N. Lee<sup>1,2</sup>, Joelle S. Kim<sup>1,2</sup>, Jasmine Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Junming Tong<sup>1,2</sup>, Ma[r](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3731-6204)ia N. de Menezes<sup>1,2</sup>, Christina M. Scheffler  $\mathbf{D}^{1,2}$ , Kah Min Yap<sup>1,2</sup>, Amanda X. Y. C[h](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3528-478X)en<sup>1,2</sup>, Phoebe A. Dunbar<sup>1,2</sup>, Brandon Haugen<sup>1,2</sup>, Ian A. Parish  $\mathbf{D}^{1,2}$ , Rick[y](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5303-9561) W. John[s](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2116-013X)tone  $\mathbf{D}^{2,3}$  $\mathbf{D}^{2,3}$  $\mathbf{D}^{2,3}$ , Phillip K. Darcy  $\mathbf{D}^{1,2,6}$  $\mathbf{D}^{1,2,6}$  $\mathbf{D}^{1,2,6}$  & Paul A. Beavis  $\mathbf{D}^{1,2} \boxtimes$ 

There is significant clinical interest in targeting adenosine-mediated immunosuppression, with several small molecule inhibitors having been developed for targeting the  $A_{2A}R$  receptor. Understanding of the mechanism by which  $A_{2A}R$  is regulated has been hindered by difficulty in identifying the cell types that express  $A_{2A}R$  due to a lack of robust antibodies for these receptors. To overcome this limitation, here an  $A_{2A}R$  eGFP reporter mouse is developed, enabling the expression of  $A_{2A}R$  during ongoing anti-tumor immune responses to be assessed. This reveals that  $A_{2A}R$  is highly expressed on all tumorinfiltrating lymphocyte subsets including Natural Killer (NK) cells, NKT cells, γδ T cells, conventional CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T lymphocytes and on a MHCII<sup>hi</sup>CD86<sup>hi</sup> subset of type 2 conventional dendritic cells. In response to PD-L1 blockade, the emergence of PD-1<sup>+</sup> $A_{2A}R$ <sup>-</sup> cells correlates with successful therapeutic responses, whilst IL-18 is identified as a cytokine that potently upregulates  $A_{2A}R$  and synergizes with  $A_{2A}R$  deficiency to improve anti-tumor immunity. These studies provide insight into the biology of  $A_{2A}R$  in the context of antitumor immunity and reveals potential combination immunotherapy approaches.

Adenosine is an immunosuppressive metabolite that modulates antitumor immunity and is produced from the degradation of adenine nucleotides by the ecto-enzymes CD73 and CD39 on tumor cells, stroma and fibroblasts or through direct export from the intracellular compartment of cells undergoing hypoxia and/or stress<sup>[1](#page-12-0)-[7](#page-12-0)</sup>. Adenosine

binds to four known G-coupled receptors,  $A_1R$ ,  $A_{2A}R$ ,  $A_{2B}R$ , and  $A_3R$  on immune cells with the majority of immunosuppressive effects thought to be mediated through  $A_{2A}R$  and  $A_{2B}R$ .  $A_{2A}R$  and  $A_{2B}R$  elicit immunosuppressive effects on tumor-infiltrating immune cells due to activation of adenylate cyclase, which results in increased intracellular

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Cancer Immunology Program, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne 3000 VIC, Australia. <sup>2</sup>Sir Peter MacCallum Department of Oncology, The University of Melbourne, Parkville 3010, Australia. <sup>3</sup>Translational Hematology Program, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, Australia. <sup>4</sup>Department of Microbiology & Immunology, Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia<sup>. 5</sup>Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence in Advanced Molecular Imaging, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia. <sup>6</sup>Department of Immunology, Monash University, Clayton, Australia. <sup>7</sup>These authors contributed equally: Kirsten L. Todd, Junyun Lai.  $\boxtimes$ e-mail: [Kirsten.Todd@petermac.org](mailto:Kirsten.Todd@petermac.org); [paul.beavis@petermac.org](mailto:paul.beavis@petermac.org)

cAMP. Pioneering studies by Sitkovsky and colleagues have demonstrated that extracellular adenosine potently modulates immune responses and antitumor immunity $2,3$ .

Accordingly, enhanced anti-tumor responses are observed in  $A_{2A}$ R deficient mice<sup>3,5,[8,9](#page-13-0)</sup>, an observation that led to the development of small molecule antagonists, which elicit anti-tumor immunity against solid tumors in mice<sup>3,5,[8](#page-13-0),[10](#page-13-0)-[12](#page-13-0)</sup>. A<sub>2A</sub>R antagonists have been evaluated in clinical trials against a range of solid tumors including renal cell carcinoma, non-small cell lung carcinoma, prostate, breast, and head and neck cancers<sup>[13](#page-13-0)</sup>. Moreover, preclinical investigations have highlighted that targeting the  $A_{2A}R$  either genetically or pharmacologically enhances the efficacy of immune checkpoint blockade<sup>5,[8](#page-13-0),[14](#page-13-0)</sup> or adoptive cellular therapy<sup>3[,12,15](#page-13-0)-21</sup>. Despite the intense interest in targeting this pathway, the mechanism of action is not completely understood. While  $A_{2A}R$  is known to be expressed on a range of immune cell types including CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells<sup>22</sup>, Tregs<sup>23</sup>, NK cells<sup>24,25</sup>, NKT cells<sup>26</sup>, and myeloid cells $^{27}$ , this has predominantly been determined from mRNA analyses due to a lack of an effective antibody recognizing this receptor that is suitable for flow cytometry. Therefore, a detailed analysis of the immune cell subpopulations expressing  $A_{2A}R$  have not been determined and, in addition, the factors driving  $A_{2A}R$  expression both within tumors at baseline and in response to therapy remain largely unknown. To overcome this, we describe a transgenic mouse model enabling the quantification of  $A_{2A}R$  through the expression of a GFP reporter gene. Using this model enables the expression of  $A_{2A}R$  on immune cells within solid tumors, draining lymph nodes (DLN) and the spleen to be assessed, allowing for analyses of  $A_{2A}R$  expression at baseline and in response to immunotherapies (anti-PD-L1, anti-CTLA-4 and IL-18) or chemotherapy (carboplatin).

This reveals that  $A_{2A}R$  is highly expressed in NK, CD8<sup>+</sup>, CD4<sup>+</sup>, NKT, and γδ T cells within tumors, with NK cells and conventional T cells constituting more than 50% of all  $A_{2A}R$  positive cells. Relatively limited  $A_{2A}R$  expression is observed on myeloid cells within the tumor, apart from cDC2s, and expression is absent from tumor-infiltrating B cells. When comparing the expression of  $A_{2A}R$  in the tumor and DLNs, T cells in the tumor uniquely express significantly more  $A_{2A}R$  than their counterparts in the DLN. We observe that whilst  $A_{2A}R$  expression is elevated in activated tumor antigen-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in the DLN,  $A_{2A}R$  expression is fairly ubiquitous amongst CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell subpopulations within the tumor, regardless of their antigen specificity or differentiation status. Treatment of tumor-bearing mice with anti-PD-L1 alone or combined anti-PD-L1 and anti-CTLA-4 leads to the emergence of a population of PD-1<sup>+</sup>A<sub>2A</sub>R<sup>-</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells that correlate with therapeutic outcome. Transcriptomic analysis of  $A_{2A}R^+$  cells reveals that the most apparent difference between  $A_{2A}R^+$  and  $A_{2A}R^-$ CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells is reduced expression of STAT5 target genes in  $A_{2A}R^+$  cells, a phenotype that is restored following anti-PD-L1 treatment. Lastly, these studies reveal that IL-18 is a potent inducer of  $A_{2A}R$  expression and that the therapeutic activity of IL-18 is accentuated in the context of  $A_{2A}R$ -deficient mice. In summary, we report the development of a mouse model that provides insight into the biology of  $A_{2A}R$  expression in the context of anti-tumor immunity and reveals potential mechanisms of action and combinatorial approaches for  $A_{2A}R$  blocking therapies.

#### Results

#### GFP expression accurately maps  $A_{2A}R$  expression in  $A_{2A}R$  eGFP reporter mice

To characterize the  $A_{2A}R$  eGFP reporter mice and ensure that GFP was a bona fide readout of  $A_{2A}R$  expression we first examined the expression of GFP within the spleens of mice. Immunofluorescent analysis indicated detectable expression of GFP in the spleens of  $A_{2A}R$  eGFP reporter mice, particularly in the T cell-rich region of the arterioles (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)A). Flow cytometry analyses of splenocytes revealed that GFP expression was highest in NK cells that are known to express high

levels of  $A_{2A}R$  (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)B)<sup>24,[28](#page-13-0)</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup>CD44<sup>+</sup> T cells, which is also con-sistent with a previous report<sup>29</sup> (Fig. [1C](#page-2-0)). FACS sorting of splenocytes from  $A_{2A}R$  eGFP reporter mice indicated that GFP<sup>+</sup> splenocytes expressed significantly higher levels of  $A_{2A}R$  mRNA (Fig. [1D](#page-2-0)), confirming that GFP expression correlated with increased expression of the  $A_{2A}R$ . Consistent with previous reports indicating that activation of T cells through the TCR led to increased  $A_{2A}R$  mRNA expression<sup>30</sup>, stimulation of splenocytes with anti-CD3/anti-CD28 led to a significant increase in GFP expression by both  $CD8<sup>+</sup>$  and  $CD4<sup>+</sup>$  T cells (Fig. [1E](#page-2-0)). Moreover, elevated GFP expression was associated with increased expression of PD-1 and CD44, highlighting that  $A_{2A}R$  expression was associated with an activated T cell phenotype in vitro (Fig. [1F](#page-2-0)). To confirm that  $A_{2A}R$  expression in reporter mice was functional we evaluated their response to adenosine receptor stimulation. Suppression of TNF by NECA, a pan adenosine receptor agonist, and reversal of this phenotype by SCH58261, an  $A_{2A}R$  antagonist, was observed following activation of splenocytes from  $A_{2A}R$  eGFP reporter mice or wildtype controls (Fig. [1G](#page-2-0)). To assess the impact of tumor antigen recognition on  $A_{2A}R$  expression, we generated anti-Her2 CAR T cells from A2AR eGFP reporter splenocytes in line with our previous work using anti-Her2 CAR T cells<sup>16</sup>. Generation of CAR T cells led to increased expression of GFP relative to naïve T cells (Fig. [1H](#page-2-0)) and coculture of anti-Her2 CAR T cells with AT-3-, E0771-, or MC38-Her2 expressing tumor cells led to a further and significant induction of GFP in both CD8<sup>+</sup> and CD4<sup>+</sup> CAR T cells (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)l).

#### $A_{2A}R$  is expressed in a broad range of immune cells within the tumor microenvironment

A2AR expression has been reported on a broad range of immune cells including conventional αβ T lymphocytes and NK cells but the expression of this receptor in the context of the tumor microenvironment has not been extensively investigated, and much less in a comparative analysis between subsets. Therefore, we examined the expression of GFP  $(A_{24}R)$  within the tumor microenvironment. Having confirmed an important role for  $A_{2A}R$  $A_{2A}R$  $A_{2A}R$  in the control of AT3ova tumors (Fig. 2A), consistent with our previous observations<sup>8</sup>, GFP expression was determined in the tumors and draining lymph nodes of mice bearing AT3ova tumors (representative flow cytometry Fig. [2B](#page-4-0), gating strategy; Supplementary Fig. 1A). This analysis revealed that, within the draining lymph nodes,  $A_{2A}R$  expression was most abundantly expressed on NK cells on a per cell basis (mean 74% positive), followed by γδ T cells (49.3% positive) and type I NK T cells (33.7% positive) (Fig. [2C](#page-4-0)). Expression of  $A_{2A}R$  was lower on CD8<sup>+</sup> and CD4<sup>+</sup> lymphocytes (4.7% and 5.4% positive respectively) and virtually absent on B lymphocytes (1.9% positive). However, over 50% of the  $A_{2A}R$  positive cells within draining lymph nodes were either CD4<sup>+</sup> or CD8<sup>+</sup> lymphocytes because of their higher overall frequency relative to innate lymphocyte subsets (Fig. [2D](#page-4-0)). We next investigated the expression of  $A_{2A}R$  within tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes. Notably,  $A_{2A}R$  expression was highly expressed in all subsets except for B lymphocytes (Fig. [2E](#page-4-0)), with NK cells, CD4<sup>+</sup> lymphocytes and CD8+ lymphocytes together constituting over 50% of  $A_{2A}R^+$  $A_{2A}R^+$  $A_{2A}R^+$  cells within the tumor (Fig. 2F). Comparison of the expression of  $A_{2A}R$  in these lymphocyte subsets indicated that  $A_{2A}R$  was significantly upregulated in tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes relative to their counterparts in the tumor-draining lymph node, but this was more apparent with conventional CD8<sup>+</sup> and CD4<sup>+</sup> lymphocytes (5 fold increased expression in tumor relative to draining lymph node) than with NK, NKT and γδ T cells (all ~1.5 fold increased expression in the tumor) (Fig. [2B](#page-4-0), G). Within the tumors, NK cells expressed a significantly higher level of  $A_{2A}R$  than all other immune subsets analyzed on a per cell basis (Fig. [2H](#page-4-0)). A similar pattern of  $A_{2A}R$  expression was observed in MC38 and E0771 tumors, that is to say  $A_{2A}R$  was highly expressed on innatelike cells (NK cells, NKT cells, γδ T cells) isolated from either DLNs or tumors and was significantly increased on conventional T lymphocytes isolated from tumors relative to counterparts isolated from draining

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Fig. 1 | Validation of  $A_{2A}R$  eGFP reporter mice. A Immunofluorescence staining of sectioned spleens obtained from C57BL/6  $A_{2A}R$  eGFP reporter or C57BL/6 WT mice  $(n = 1$  experiment of 2 individual mice). Image shows  $A_{2A}R$  expression (GFP), CD45 (Red) or CD8 (Red) and DAPI (Blue) at 20x magnification (Left) or 10x magnification (Right). Section on right depicts GFP  $(A_{2A}R)$  expression on cells located within the arterioles. **B** A<sub>2A</sub>R (GFP) expression on indicated splenic immune subsets from  $A_{2A}R$ eGFP or C57BL/6 WT mice as determined by flow cytometry. Representative experiment of  $n = 3$  C Percentage ( $\pm$  SEM) of indicated CD8<sup>+</sup> subsets expressing  $A_{2A}R$  in spleens of  $n = 5$  naïve mice. **D**  $A_{2A}R$  mRNA expression in FACS sorted GFP<sup>+</sup> and GFP cells isolated from A<sub>2A</sub>R eGFP<sup>+</sup> reporter mouse spleens. Data represent the mean  $\pm$  SD of 3 samples obtained from independent mice.  $A_{2A}R$  expression is plotted relative to L32. Statistical significance determined using unpaired two-sided t test. \*\*\*\*p < 0.0001 **E**, **F**. Expression of GFP ( $A_{2A}R$ ), PD-1 and CD44 in CD8<sup>+</sup> and

CD4+ lymphocytes activated for 24 h with anti-CD3 (0.5 μg/ml) and anti-CD28  $(0.5 \mu g/ml)$ . Data represent the mean  $±$  SD of triplicate samples from a representative experiment of  $n = 3$ . G Splenocytes from WT or  $A_{2A}R$  eGFP reporter mice were stimulated for 72 h with anti-CD3 (0.5 μg/ml) and anti-CD28 (0.5 μg/ml) in the presence or absence of NECA ( $1 \mu$ M) or SCH58261 ( $1 \mu$ M). Data represent the mean ± SD of triplicate samples. H, I. Anti-Her2 CAR T cells were generated from A2AR eGFP mouse splenocytes and cocultured with indicated tumor cells. GFPMean Fluorescence Intensity (MFI) of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells (bottom) was measured by flow cytometry following 16 h co-culture with indicated Her2 expressing tumor lines, AT3 Her2, E0771 Her2 and MC38 Her2. I Data represent the mean ± SD of triplicate samples from a representative experiment of  $n = 3$ . Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



lymph nodes (Supplementary Fig. 1B-F, Supplementary Fig. 2A, B). To investigate the relationship between  $A_{2A}R$  and tumor progression we assessed the expression of GFP on each of these subsets within AT3ova tumors at days 10, 17, 21 and 28 post tumor inoculation. Interestingly,  $A_{2A}R$  expression was at its highest point within total CD45<sup>+</sup> cells at day 10 post-tumor inoculation and gradually decreased over time (Fig. [2I](#page-4-0)). This effect was largely caused by reduced  $A_{2A}R$  expression within CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, whilst the expression of  $A_{2A}R$  in other subsets remained relatively stable. A similar reduction of  $A_{2A}R$  expression in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells over time was observed in E0771 tumors, albeit that the time course was shorter than in the AT3ova model due to the more aggressive growth of this tumor line (Supplementary Fig. 2C). These data suggest that early intervention with  $A_{2A}R$  antagonists may be critical for achieving maximum impact on CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell mediated anti-tumor immunity. Given previous reports indicating a role for  $A_{2A}R$  expression on myeloid cells, we next assessed the expression of  $A_{2A}R$  on myeloid subsets isolated from either AT3ova or E0771 tumors using a panel including antibodies for CD11b, CD11c, F4/80, Ly6C, CD103, XCR1, CD64, and MHCII. These analyses revealed that, amongst all myeloid subsets,  $A_{2A}R$  expression was significantly higher on CD11b<sup>+</sup> cDC2 cells (defined as

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Fig. 2 |  $A_{2A}R$  expression is elevated on tumor-infiltrating T cells and cDC2s. A WT,  $A_{2A}R^{\prime\prime}$  or  $A_{2A}R$  eGFP reporter mice were inoculated sub-cutaneously with  $5 \times 10^5$  AT-3 ova tumors. A. Tumor size was measured over time (mm<sup>2</sup>). Data represent the mean  $\pm$  SEM of 6 (WT) or 7 (A<sub>2A</sub>R<sup>-/-</sup>) mice per group. **B**-L Immune cells from draining lymph nodes and tumors were analyzed by flow cytometry at day 21 post-tumor inoculation unless otherwise stated. B Representative flow cytometry plots from concatenated samples. C, E Percentage of indicated cell types expressing GFP ( $A_{2A}R$ ) within DLNs (C) or tumors (E). **D**, **F** Proportion of all GFP<sup>+</sup> cells that are of indicated lineage within DLNs (D) or tumors (F). C, D Data represent the mean ± SEM of 10 mice per group from 2 pooled experiments. E, F Data represent the mean  $\pm$  SEM of 28 mice per group from 5 pooled experiments. G Relative expression of GFP ( $A_{2A}R$ ) for indicated cell types in the DLN and tumor. MFI was normalized such that expression in the DLN was equal to 1. Data represent the mean  $\pm$  SEM of 10 mice per group from 2 pooled experiments. \* $p$  < 0.05, \*\* $p$  < 0.01,

Ly6c<sup>−</sup>CD64<sup>−</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup>MHCII<sup>+</sup>CD103<sup>−</sup>XCR1<sup>−</sup>F4/80<sup>low/dim</sup>), relative to all other myeloid cell subsets (Fig. 2J, Supplementary Fig. 2D). Interestingly the  $GFP<sup>+</sup>$  subset of cDC2s exhibited significantly higher expression of MHCII, CD80 and CD86 (Fig. 2K, L, Supplementary Fig. 2D), indicating that this population may represent a more mature subset of cDC2 cells with enhanced capacity to prime T cell responses.

#### $A_{2A}R$  expression is indicative of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell activation status within draining lymph nodes but less so within tumors

Given that  $A_{2A}R$  expression was significantly enhanced on tumorinfiltrating CD8<sup>+</sup> and CD4<sup>+</sup> lymphocytes within the tumor microenvironment, we further analyzed the phenotype of  $A_{2A}R^+$  T cells relative to their  $A_{2A}R$  counterparts. This analysis included the expression of markers associated with differentiation status (CD62L, SLAMF6, CD69, CD44), the immune checkpoint PD-1 and CD39, the ectoenzyme responsible for the breakdown of ATP to AMP. Notably, CD39 is also a recognized marker of tumor-reactive terminally differentiated CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and its expression limits anti-tumor immunity $31-33$ . A SIINFEKL-loaded tetramer reagent was also used to identify tumorantigen (Ova) specific CD8+ T cells. We first investigated the expression of  $A_{2A}R$  on tetramer<sup>+</sup> and tetramer<sup>-</sup> subsets. Within tumor-draining lymph nodes  $A_{2A}R$  expression was significantly upregulated on CD44<sup>+</sup>tetramer<sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells relative to other CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell subsets (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)A), consistent with the notion that  $A_{2A}R$  was upregulated following activation of these cells by tumor antigens presented by APCs in the draining lymph nodes. However, within the tumors there was only a modest increase in A<sub>2A</sub>R expression on CD62L tetramer positive cells relative to CD62L tetramer negative counterparts (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)B, Supplementary Fig. 1G). Within tumors,  $CDS<sup>+</sup> T$  cells follow a pathway of differentiation from TCF7+ precursor exhausted cells to TCF7<sup>−</sup> terminally differentiated cells. Given the technical complexities of TCF7 staining in GFP reporter mice due to quenching of GFP following nuclear permeabilization, we employed the gating strategy described by Beltra et al. using the markers CD69 and SLAMF6 whereby T cells transition from CD69<sup>+</sup>SLAMF6<sup>+</sup> > CD69<sup>−</sup>SLAMF6<sup>+</sup> > CD69<sup>-</sup>SLAMF6 >CD69<sup>+</sup>, SLAMF6<sup>-[34](#page-13-0)</sup>. Analysis of these distinct subsets indicated that A2AR was modestly but significantly enriched within the CD69+ SLAMF6+ subset relative to SLAMF6- counterparts (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)C). Similarly, within E0771 tumor cells it was observed that  $A_{2A}R$  expression was significantly higher on SLAMF6<sup>+</sup>CD39<sup>-</sup> or SLAMF6<sup>-</sup>CD39<sup>+</sup> cells relative to SLAMF6<sup>−</sup> CD39<sup>−</sup> counterparts (Supplementary Fig. 2E). We next investigated whether these differences contributed to the decreased expression of  $A_{2A}R$  observed in CD8<sup>+</sup> tumor infiltrating lymphocytes over time (Fig. 2I). We observed that in the course of tumor progression that the proportion of both the antigen specific tetramer positive population and the SLAMF6<sup>+</sup>CD69<sup>+</sup> progenitor population were progressively diminished (Supplementary Fig. 1H). Given that these cell types were the highest expressers of  $A_{2A}R$  this partly accounts for the reduced expression of  $A_{2A}R$  in total CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells over time. Moreover, on a per cell basis these cell populations elicited

\*\*\*p < 0.001, \*\*\*\*p < 0.0001, unpaired two-sided t test. H MFI of GFP (A<sub>2A</sub>R) expression by each cell type isolated from AT3ova tumors. Data represented as mean  $\pm$  SEM of 5 mice per group. \*\*\*\* $p$  < 0.0001, statistics determined by one-way ANOVA I Expression of GFP ( $A_{2A}R$ ) in indicated cell types isolated from tumors at indicated timepoints. Numbers represent the GFP MFI. Data represent concatenated samples from  $n = 6$  mice. J Expression of GFP ( $A_{2A}R$ ) in indicated myeloid populations. ΔGFP expression calculated as GFP MFI minus the background fluorescence for each cell type as observed in WT mice. Data represented as the mean ± SEM from 24 mice per group, pooled from 4 independent experiments. \*\*\*\*p < 0.0001, statistics determined by one way ANOVA. K Expression of MHCII and GFP on cDC2 cells (Gated as CD45<sup>+</sup>TCRβ NK1.1 Ly6C CD64 CD11c<sup>+</sup>MHCII<sup>+</sup>F4/ 80<sup>low</sup>CD103XCR1). L Expression of MHCII, CD80, and CD86 on total cDC2 (Red), GFP cDC2 (Blue) and GFP<sup>+</sup> cDC2 (Green). **K**, **L**. Data concatenated from 6 individual mice. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

significantly higher expression of  $A_{2A}R$  at day 10 than other timepoints but there was no significant difference from day 17 onwards (Supplementary Fig. 1H). Therefore, the reduction in  $A_{2A}R$  expression in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells over time is partly explained by a reduced frequency of the tetramer positive and SLAMF6<sup>+</sup>CD69<sup>+</sup> cells and partly due to reduced expression of  $A_{2A}R$  by these subsets on a per cell basis at later timepoints. To confirm this using a complementary approach we determined the expression of  $A_{2A}R$  following treatment of mice with FTY720. FTY720 acts to block S1PR1 and thus prevent the egress of immune cells from lymph nodes into the tumor site. We reasoned that if  $A_{2A}R$  was expressed more highly on terminally differentiated cells that GFP expression would be increased following FTY720 treatment since this treatment would prevent cells egressing from the draining lymph node and replenishing the SLAMF6<sup>+</sup>CD69<sup>+</sup> population. FTY720 treatment was effective, as demonstrated by significantly reduced numbers of  $CD8<sup>+</sup>$  and  $CD4<sup>+</sup>$  T cells in the peripheral blood (Supplementary Fig. 1I). Within tumors, FTY720 treatment resulted in a reduction in the proportion of CD69<sup>+</sup>SLAMF6<sup>+</sup> (less-differentiated population) CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and  $A_{2A}R$  expression was significantly reduced (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)D), supporting our earlier observations that  $A_{2A}R$ expression was not enhanced on more terminally differentiated CD8+ T cells.

To further investigate this relationship, we determined the expression of  $A_{2A}R$  on PD-1<sup>+</sup> and CD39<sup>+</sup> subsets. Somewhat surprisingly and counter to our observations in vitro,  $A_{2A}R$  was expressed equally between PD-1<sup>+</sup>/ PD-1<sup>-</sup> and CD39<sup>+</sup>/CD39<sup>-</sup> subsets, whereas PD-1 and CD39 were largely co-expressed. Thus,  $A_{2A}R$  was not co-expressed with other known inhibitory checkpoint receptors on CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells suggesting that  $A_{2A}R$  blockade may modulate the function of distinct subsets of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (Fig. [3E](#page-6-0)).

In contrast to CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, both CD39 and PD-1 were expressed to a significantly greater extent on CD4<sup>+</sup>A<sub>2A</sub>R<sup>+</sup> cells relative to their A<sub>2A</sub>R<sup>-</sup> counterparts (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)F). This may reflect an increased expression of  $A_{2A}R$ on Treg cells, which are known to express high levels of CD39 and PD-1 in the tumor microenvironment $35-38$  $35-38$ , particularly given CD4+GFP+CD39+ cells were enriched for CD25<sup>+</sup> cells relative to CD4<sup>+</sup>GFP<sup>+</sup>CD39<sup>−</sup> counterparts (Fig. [3G](#page-6-0)). However, this could not be confirmed with Foxp3 counterstaining due to the issues of GFP degradation upon nuclear permeabilization as aforementioned. Taken together, these results suggest that TCR-mediated activation/ differentiation may not be the major factor driving A<sub>2A</sub>R expression within the tumor microenvironment on CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells.

#### The efficacy of immune checkpoint blockade is correlated with the emergence of PD-1<sup>+</sup>A<sub>2A</sub>R cells following therapy

Given previous studies indicating that  $A_{2A}R$  blockade enhances the efficacy of conventional immune checkpoint blockade or chemotherapy $5,8,14$  $5,8,14$  and the clinical interest in targeting this pathway, we next assessed the effect of anti-PD-L1, anti-CTLA-4, the combination of anti-PD-L1 and anti-CTLA-4 or carboplatin on the expression of the



 $A_{2A}R$  within the tumor microenvironment. As expected, immune checkpoint blockade therapy resulted in significantly reduced tumor weights and an increase in tumor-infiltrating CD8<sup>+</sup> lymphocytes (Supplementary Fig. 3A, B). Immunofluorescent analyses confirmed that combination (anti-PD-L1 and anti-CTLA-4) therapy resulted in increased numbers of CD45<sup>+</sup> cells infiltrating the tumors, and this was associated with an increased abundance of GFP<sup>+</sup> cells (Fig. [4A](#page-8-0)).

In terms of T lymphocyte phenotype, whilst the overall frequency of CD8<sup>+</sup>A<sub>2A</sub>R<sup>+</sup> (but not CD4<sup>+</sup>A<sub>2A</sub>R<sup>+</sup>) T cells was increased by therapy due to an increase in the proportion of  $CDS<sup>+</sup> T$  cells as determined by flow cytometry analyses (Fig. [4B](#page-8-0)), the expression of  $A_{2A}R$  on a per cell basis was not significantly modulated by these treatment regimens at day 7 post-therapy (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)C). Moreover, carboplatin treatment also failed to modulate  $A_{2A}R$  expression on  $CD8^+$  or  $CD4^+$  lymphocytes <span id="page-6-0"></span>Fig. 3 |  $A_{2A}R$  expression is elevated on tumor antigen-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells within draining lymph nodes but not tumors.  $A-G A_{2A}R e GFP$  reporter mice were injected subcutaneously with  $5 \times 10^5$  AT-3 ova tumors. Draining lymph nodes (DLN; A) and tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (B–G) were analyzed by flow cytometry at day 21 post-tumor inoculation. Flow Cytometry plots represent data from concatenated samples in one representative experiment. A Expression of GFP ( $A_{2A}R$ ) in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells that are CD44<sup>+</sup>Tetramer<sup>+</sup> or Tetramer. Data represent the mean  $\pm$  SEM of 22 individual mice pooled from 4 experiments. **B**, **C** Expression of GFP ( $A_{2A}R$ ) on indicated subsets of tumor-infiltrating CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. Data represent the mean  $\pm$  SEM of 17 mice pooled from 3 individual experiments (B) or from 28 mice per group

(Supplementary Fig. 3C). To further interrogate the impact of immune checkpoint blockade on  $A_{2A}R$  expression, and to investigate the possibility that  $A_{2A}R$  was transiently upregulated following treatment, further experiments were performed to determine the expression of GFP on CD8<sup>+</sup> tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) at days 2 and days 4 post-treatment with either anti-PD-L1 or anti-PD-L1 and anti-CTLA-4. Consistent with our analyses at day 7, no significant modulation of  $A_{2A}R$  expression was observed (Supplementary Fig. 3D). Although immune checkpoint blockade did not modulate A<sub>2A</sub>R expression on a per cell basis, the expression of PD-1 was increased on CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells as expected (Supplementary Fig. 3E, F) and further analysis of the activated PD-1<sup>+</sup> cells that emerged following therapy revealed that anti-PD-L1 or anti-PD-L1 and anti-CTLA-4 treatment induced a significant increase of PD-1<sup>+</sup>A<sub>2A</sub>R<sup>-</sup> cells (Fig. [4D](#page-8-0)). Similarly, a significant increase in CD8<sup>+</sup>PD-1<sup>+</sup>A<sub>2A</sub>R<sup>-</sup> cells (but not PD-1<sup>+</sup>A<sub>2A</sub>R<sup>+</sup> cells) were observed in MC38 tumors following treatment with anti-PD-L1 (Supplementary Fig. 3G). Interestingly, the emergence of these cells was positively correlated with increased therapeutic efficacy in response to anti-PD-L1 single agent therapy (Fig. [4E](#page-8-0), F). Lastly, the expression of  $A_{2A}R$  in draining lymph nodes was assessed in the context of therapy. In contrast to the lack of modulation of  $A_{2A}R$  expression within the tumor by anti-PD-L1 therapy, a significant increase in the proportion and absolute number of tetramer $+A_{2A}R^+$  CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells within the draining lymph nodes was observed, suggesting that these cells may be more susceptible to adenosine mediated immunosuppression post-treatment (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)G).

Finally, given that we observed the expression of  $A_{2A}R$  on myeloid subsets including cDC2s within the tumor microenvironment (Fig. [2J](#page-4-0)–L), we also assessed the impact of immunotherapy on the expression of  $A_{2A}R$  on myeloid cells. Interestingly, anti-CTLA-4 significantly enhanced the expression of  $A_{2A}R$  on cDC2 and, to a lesser extent, cDC1s, when combined with anti-PD-L1 (Supplementary Fig. 4A, B). CTLA-4 blockade has previously been shown to induce upregulation of CD80 and CD86<sup>39</sup> and thus we hypothesized that  $A_{2A}R$ expression may be indicative of an activated cDC2 population. To investigate this, we generated dendritic cells from bone marrow of  $A_{2A}R$  eGFP reporter mice. Consistent with our observations in tumors, a subset of cDC2 were identified to express  $A_{2A}R$  that also exhibited increased expression of CD80 and CD86 (Supplementary Fig. 4C, D). Furthermore, activation of bone marrow derived dendritic cells with poly IC resulted in cDC2 maturation, as evidenced by increased expression of MHCII, CD80 and CD86, which was concomitant with enhanced  $A_{2A}R$  expression (Supplementary Fig. 4C, D). To determine the consequence of adenosine signaling on bone marrow-derived dendritic cell (BMDC) function, they were cocultured with NECA, a pan adenosine receptor agonist, prior to coculture with naïve T cells in a T cell priming assay. These experiments revealed that adenosine signaling resulted in significantly attenuated ability of BMDCs to induce T cell proliferation (Supplementary Fig. 4E).

#### $A_{2A}R^+$  CD8<sup>+</sup> TILs exhibit reduced STAT5 transcriptional signatures

To further investigate the phenotype of  $A_{2A}R^+$  CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells within tumors, we performed 3'RNA-sequencing on CD8<sup>+</sup>GFP<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup>GFP<sup>-</sup> tumor-infiltrating T cells both at baseline and in the context of anti-PD-

pooled from 5 individual experiments (C). D Mice were treated at days 14, 16, 18, and 20 post-tumor inoculation with 25  $\mu$ g FTY720. Data represent the mean  $\pm$  SEM of 5 (Control) or 6 (FTY720) mice per group. **E**, **F** Coexpression of  $A_{2A}R$ , PD-1 and CD39 on CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (**E**) and CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells (**F**). Data represent the mean  $\pm$  SEM of 28 (E) or 27 (F) mice per group pooled from 5 independent experiments. G Expression of CD25 on indicated CD4<sup>+</sup> subsets. Data are concatenated from 6 individual samples. Where normalized MFI is shown, data were normalized relative to the average MFI of total CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in each experiment.  $p < 0.05$ ,  $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\*p < 0.001, \*\*\*\*p < 0.0001. Statistics determined by one-way ANOVA (B, C) or unpaired two-sided  $t$  test  $(A, D, E, F)$ . Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

L1 treatment. As expected GFP and GFP<sup>+</sup> cells clustered differently as did anti-PD-L1 and non-treated samples (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)A). Analysis of differentially expressed genes between  $GFP<sup>+</sup>$  and  $GFP<sup>-</sup>$  cells confirmed that  $A<sub>2A</sub>R$  was more highly expressed in CD8<sup>+</sup>GFP<sup>+</sup> cells (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)B). Pathway analysis of differentially expressed genes revealed significant negative enrichments for "Interferon alpha response", "Interferon gamma response" and for the expression of STAT5 target genes based on a signature developed by Grange et al.<sup>[40](#page-13-0)</sup> within  $A_{2A}R^+$  cells (Fig. [5C](#page-8-0), D, Supplementary Fig. 5). This gene signature included notable effector genes such as *Ifng, Tnf, Prf1* and *Gzmb*. The association between  $A_{2A}R^+$ status and reduced STAT5 target genes was of interest because of our previous observations that  $A_{2A}R$  agonists negatively regulate JAK-STAT signaling<sup>16</sup> and a previous report from Cekic and colleagues that IL7R signaling protects CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells from adenosine mediated-suppression<sup>[41](#page-13-0)</sup>. Although Cekic et al. attributed the impact of IL7 signaling on adenosine-mediated suppression to the inactivation of FOXO1, the activation of STAT5 signaling by IL7 represents a possible complementary mechanism of action. Interestingly, treatment with anti-PD-L1 significantly enhanced STAT5 target genes in both  $A_{2A}R^+$  and  $A_{2A}R$ <sup>-</sup> cells, such that there was no longer a significant difference in the expression of these genes between the two subsets (Fig. [5E](#page-8-0)). This infers that one consequence of anti-PD-L1 treatment is to overcome reduced STAT5 signaling in  $A_{2A}R^+$  CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells.

#### A2AR is potently upregulated by IL-18 and limits the anti-tumor efficacy of IL-18

We next investigated the capacity of cytokines to upregulate  $A_{2A}R$ expression. Beginning with a broad screen of 23 cytokines on the expression of  $A_{2A}R$  on CD8<sup>+</sup> T lymphocytes derived from splenocytes, we identified that amongst the most potent inducers of  $A_{2A}R$  expression were members of the IL-1 family of cytokines including IL-1β, IL-18 and IL-36 (Fig. [6A](#page-10-0)). We proceeded to investigate the impact of the top hits from this in vitro screen on the expression of  $A_{2A}R$  in tumorinfiltrating lymphocytes ex vivo. These results revealed that IL-12 and IL-18 increased the expression of  $A_{2A}R$  on multiple immune lineages including CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and NK cells (Fig. [6](#page-10-0)B, Supplementary Fig. 6A). Given these data and the clinical interest in IL-18 based therapies<sup>42,[43](#page-13-0)</sup>, we further investigated the relationship between IL-18 and  $A_{2A}R$ . In repeat experiments we confirmed that IL-18 was a potent inducer of  $A_{2A}R$  expression in both murine T cells (Fig. [6](#page-10-0)C) and human NK cells (Fig. [6D](#page-10-0)). To investigate this further we engineered AT3ova and MC38 tumor cells to express IL-18. Despite successful transduction of both cell lines, only MC38 secreted detectable levels of IL-18 (Supplementary Fig. 6B). We therefore evaluated the growth of MC38-IL-18 or MC38 mCherry control tumors and observed a significant reduction in growth of IL-18 expressing tumors (Supplementary Fig. 6C). To investigate the relationship between IL-18 and  $A_{2A}R$  expression in this context we inoculated  $A_{2A}R$  eGFP reporter mice with either IL-18 expressing or control MC38 tumors and assessed the phenotype of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes. This analysis revealed that CD8+ T cells, CD4+ T cells and NK cells derived from IL-18 expressing tumors exhibited significantly increased levels of  $A_{2A}R$  expression, whereas we observed no significant increase in PD-1 expression on CD8+ T cells (Fig. [6](#page-10-0)E, F). To evaluate whether  $A_{2A}R$  expression limited the efficacy of



IL-18 therapy we inoculated control or IL-18 expressing MC38 cells into  $A_{2A}R$  deficient or wild-type mice. Strikingly,  $A_{2A}R$  deficient mice elicited more pronounced anti-tumor responses with significantly reduced tumor growth and increased survival relative to WT counterparts (Fig. [6](#page-10-0)G, H; Supplementary Fig. 6D). Depletion experiments revealed that the anti-tumor efficacy of IL-18 was dependent on both  $CD8<sup>+</sup>$  T cells and NK cells (Fig. [6I](#page-10-0)). Lastly, to evaluate the interplay

between IL-18 and A2AR expression in a more clinically relevant system we determined the impact of recombinant IL-18 treatment on the expression of  $A_{2A}R$  within the context of established MC38 tumors. These experiments revealed that  $A_{2A}R$  expression was significantly increased on CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and NK cells following treatment with IL-18 (Fig. [6J](#page-10-0)). These data highlight the potential of combining IL-18 therapy with A<sub>2A</sub>R blocking therapies such as small molecule antagonists and

<span id="page-8-0"></span>Fig. 4 | PD-L1 blockade results in the emergence of a PD-1<sup>+</sup>A<sub>2A</sub>R<sup>-</sup> subset of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells that correlates with therapeutic outcomes.  $A-G. A_{2A}R$  eGFP reporter mice were injected with  $5 \times 10^5$  AT-3 ova tumors subcutaneously and where indicated treated at days 14 and 18 post tumor inoculation with anti-PD-L1 (200 µg/ mouse) and anti-CTLA-4 (150 µg/ mouse). A Tumors were excised 21 days post-tumor inoculation and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde followed by 30% sucrose overnight. Immunofluorescence staining was performed on tumor slides. Staining for CD45 (red), DAPI (blue) and  $A_{2A}R$  (green) is shown. Slides imaged using  $\times$ 4 and  $\times$ 10 objective lens. Representative image from one mouse is shown. B Percentage of live, CD45.2<sup>+</sup> cells that were  $A_{2A}R^+$  CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (left) and  $A_{2A}R^+$  CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells (right). Data represent the mean ± SEM of individual mice pooled from 3–7 experiments (control and anti-PD-L1  $n = 39$ , 7 experiments, anti-CTLA-4  $n = 23$ , 4 experiments, anti-PD-L1 and anti-CTLA-4  $n = 18.3$  experiments). C Percentage of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (left) or CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells (right) that are  $A_{2A}R^+$  following treatment. Data represent the mean ± SEM of individual mice pooled from 4 to 7 experiments (CD8<sup>+</sup>: control  $n = 39$ , 7 experiments, anti-PD-L1  $n = 40$ , 7 experiments, anti-CTLA-4  $n = 17$ , 3 experiments anti-PD-L1 and anti-CTLA4  $n = 24$ , 4 experiments, CD4<sup>+</sup>: control  $n = 34$ , and anti-PD-L1  $n = 34$ , anti-CTLA-4  $n = 17$ , anti-PD-L1 and anti-CTLA4  $n = 18$ )

**D** Percentage of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells exhibiting a PD-1<sup>+</sup>A<sub>2A</sub>R or PD-1<sup>+</sup>A<sub>2A</sub>R<sup>+</sup> phenotype at day 7 post treatment. Data represent the mean ± SEM of individual mice pooled from 2 to 6 experiments (control  $n = 33$ , 6 experiments, anti-PD-L1  $n = 28$ , 5 experiments anti-CTLA-4  $n = 11$ , 2 experiments, anti-PD-L1 and anti-CTLA4  $n = 12$ , 2 experiments). **E** Correlation of the frequency of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells exhibiting PD-1<sup>+</sup>A<sub>2A</sub>R<sup>+</sup> or PD-1<sup>+</sup>A<sub>2A</sub>R<sup>+</sup> phenotypes with therapeutic efficacy. Therapeutic efficacy calculated as 100- (tumor weight in test sample/ average tumor weight in control group\*100).  $n = 27$ mice. F Representative flow cytometry staining of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells derived from nontreated mice (left) and mice treated with anti-PD-L1 that either elicited a therapeutic response (right) or no response (center). Data points represented as nonresponders and responders are depicted as red in (E). G Proportion of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells isolated from tumor-draining lymph nodes exhibiting an  $A_{2A}R^*$ tetramer<sup>+</sup> phenotype (left) or absolute numbers of these cells (right). Data represent the mean  $\pm$ SEM of 29 (non-treated) or 30 (anti-PD-L1) mice pooled from 5 individual experiments.  $\gamma p < 0.05$ ,  $\gamma p < 0.01$ ,  $\gamma p < 0.001$ ,  $\gamma p < 0.0001$ , unpaired two-sided t test (B, D, G) or Linear regression analysis (E). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



Fig.  $5 | A_{2A}R$  positive CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells exhibit decreased expression of STAT5 target genes prior to treatment with anti-PD-L1.  $A_{2A}R$  eGFP reporter mice were injected with  $5 \times 10^5$  AT-3 ova tumors subcutaneously and were indicated treated at days 14 and 18 post-tumor inoculation with anti-PD-L1. At day 21 post tumor inoculation CD8+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes were analyzed by 3'RNA-sequencing. Biological samples were pooled from  $n = 3$  mice per replicate. Data represented as

mean ± SD. A Principle component analysis based on the top 100 most variable genes. **B** Normalized CPMs for *Adora2a* expression from CD8<sup>+</sup>GFP<sup> $-$ </sup> (n = 3) and CD8<sup>+</sup>GFP<sup>+</sup> ( $n = 2$ ) cells. **C-E** Gene set enrichment analysis or heatmap of gene expression for indicated pathways comparing  $CDS^{\dagger}A_{2A}R^{\dagger}$  and  $CDS^{\dagger}A_{2A}R$  cells. Raw P values are shown. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



more broadly highlight the utility of this model for establishing rationale combination therapies with therapeutics designed to target the adenosine pathway.

#### **Discussion**

Adenosine-mediated immunosuppression is a significant barrier to the formation of effective anti-tumor immune responses. Antibodies

targeting the ectoenzymes responsible for the generation of adenosine, CD73 and CD39, and small molecule antagonists of the adenosine receptors  $A_{2A}R$  and  $A_{2B}R$ , have been developed for clinical application<sup>1[,44,45](#page-13-0)</sup>. A<sub>2A</sub>R can suppress several immune cell types; however, the pattern of expression of  $A_{2A}R$  within the context of an antitumor immune response has remained largely unknown due to a lack of robust flow cytometry antibodies. We therefore sought to develop a

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

transgenic model to investigate the pattern of expression of  $A_{2A}R$  in the context of anti-tumor immunity and following therapeutic intervention with either immunotherapy, chemotherapy, or cytokines.

We initially confirmed the veracity of the  $A_{2A}R$  transgenic model through analysis of A<sub>2A</sub>R mRNA expression in GFP<sup>+</sup> and GFP<sup>-</sup> cells. Moreover, in line with our expectations, analysis of splenocytes from these mice revealed that GFP  $(A_{2A}R)$  was abundantly expressed in cell types known to express high levels of  $A_{2A}R$  such as NK cells<sup>24</sup> and NKT cells<sup>26</sup> but was not expressed in B cells. Furthermore, GFP was strongly upregulated following T cell activation through the TCR or a CAR, in line with previous observations with  $A_{2A}R$  mRNA. We therefore proceeded to investigate the expression of  $A_{2A}R$  in the context of tumor-bearing mice. Consistent with our observations using splenocytes, A2AR was highly expressed on all innate-like subsets evaluated including NK cells, NKT cells and γδ T cells. Tumor-infiltrating  $CD8<sup>+</sup>$ and CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells also expressed high levels of  $A_{2A}R$  and notably this expression was significantly higher than observed with  $CD8<sup>+</sup>$  or  $CD4<sup>+</sup>$ T cells isolated from spleen or draining lymph nodes. We originally hypothesized that this may relate to the activation status of the T cells given that TCR activation is known to drive expression of  $A_{2A}R$  and indeed this is what we observed using the  $A_{2A}R$  eGFP reporter mice in vitro. Whilst antigen (ova)-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells expressed significantly higher levels of  $A_{2A}R$  than non-ova-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in draining lymph nodes, this difference was more modest within tumors. Moreover, within tumors  $A_{2A}R$  expression was similar between PD-1<sup>+</sup> and PD-1<sup>-</sup> subsets and CD39<sup>+</sup> and CD39<sup>-</sup> subsets, suggesting that within tumors the activation status of the CD8+ T cells is not a major predictor of A2AR expression. Therefore, whilst TCR activation appears to be a major driver of  $A_{2A}R$  expression in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells within draining lymph nodes other factors appear to govern the expression of  $A_{2A}R$  within tumors. Characterization of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells by differentiation status revealed that  $A_{2A}R$  expression was modestly but significantly higher within the CD69<sup>+</sup>SLAMF6<sup>+</sup> precursor exhausted subset. This is intriguing given previous studies have indicated that  $A_{2A}R$  signaling is required for the maintenance of naïve cells, partly due to its ability to upregulate IL-7R expression<sup>[16,29](#page-13-0),46,47</sup>. Whether  $A_{2A}$ R signaling is required for the maintenance and/or expansion of CD69<sup>+</sup>SLAMF6<sup>+</sup> precursor exhausted CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells within tumors remains to be determined but is one potential mechanism by which  $A_{2A}R$  signaling may actually promote a favorable differentiation status for responses to immune checkpoint blockade in some contexts. It will be interesting in followup studies to investigate factors that may influence  $A_{2A}R$  expression such as hypoxia and other local immunosuppressive metabolites found at high concentrations within tumors<sup>48</sup>. For example, systemic oxygenation has been shown to decrease expression of CD73,  $A_{2A}R$ and  $A_{2B}R$  and improve anti-tumor immunity although the cell types that reduced  $A_{2A}R$  expression under these conditions could not be determined in these studies as the determination was based upon cells. E, F Expression of PD-1 and  $A_{2A}R$  (GFP) by indicated subsets. Flow cytometry plots of concatenated samples from a representative experiment. F Data represented as the mean ± SEM of 12 (MC38 Ctrl) or 14 (MC38 IL-18) mice per group pooled from 2 experiments. Data were normalized relative to the average MFI of the relevant cell population in control tumors within each experiment. G Tumor growth represented as the mean ± SEM pooled from 2 representative experiments  $(n = 15 \text{ WT} \text{ MC}38, 17 \text{ WT} \text{ MC}38 \text{-IL-18}, 10 \text{ A}_{2A}R \text{ KO} \text{ MC}38, 9 \text{ A}_{2A}R \text{ KO} \text{ MC}38 \text{-IL-18})$ **H** Survival of mice with 100mm<sup>2</sup> tumor size used to designate survival. ( $n = 15$  WT control, 16 WT IL-18, 7 A<sub>2A</sub>R KO control, 8 A<sub>2A</sub>R KO IL-18). I C57BL/6 WT mice or A<sub>2A</sub>R<sup>-/−</sup> mice were injected with  $1.5 \times 10^6$  tumor cells and where indicated treated with anti-CD8 or anti-GM-1,  $n = 5-13$  per group. J At day 12 post-tumor inoculation 1 µg IL-18 was administered intra-tumorally at 17 and 2 h prior to analysis of GFP expression. Data are represented as the mean  $\pm$  SEM of 11 mice per group. \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001 unpaired two-sided t test (F, J), Two-way ANOVA (G) or logrank (H) statistical test. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

mRNA analysis of bulk tumor tissue<sup>6[,49](#page-13-0)</sup>. Amongst CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells,  $A_{2A}R$ expression was highly abundant on CD39<sup>+</sup>PD-1<sup>+</sup> cells, potentially indicative of Treg cells that are known to express these immune checkpoints at high levels within tumors. However, this could not be definitively confirmed due to an inability to counterstain with a Foxp3 directed antibody due to loss of GFP signal upon nuclear permeabilization. Future studies may confirm this by FACS sorting GFP<sup>+</sup> and GFP<sup>-</sup> cells and then performing analysis of transcription factor expression in each population.

One interesting observation from these analyses is that a subset of cDC2 cells was identified that expressed  $A_{2A}R$ . These cells exhibited higher expression of MHCII, CD80 and CD86 relative to GFP counterparts, indicating that they may represent an activated subset of cDC2. Indeed, consistent with this, we observed that activation of cDC2s in vitro with poly IC led to a significant increase in  $A_{2A}R$ expression and in vivo, treatment with immune checkpoint blockade, particularly anti-CTLA-4, led to a significant increase in  $A_{2A}R$  expression on cDC2s. Expression of  $A_{2A}R$  and  $A_{2B}R$  on dendritic cells has been reported previously<sup>50</sup> and blockade of  $A_{2B}R$  or CD73<sup>[9,](#page-13-0)[51](#page-14-0)</sup> or myeloidspecific deletion of  $A_{2A}R$  or  $A_{2B}R$  has been shown to enhance anti-tumor immunity<sup>27[,52](#page-14-0)</sup> in part through modulation of DC function<sup>53</sup>. More recently, it was shown that a component of the mechanism of action for the small molecule  $A_{2A}R$  antagonist AZD4635 was enhancement of cDC1 function<sup>54</sup>. To our knowledge the expression of  $A_{2A}R$  on cDC2 cells has not been previously reported. Interestingly we observed that  $A_{2A}R$  expression was largely restricted to a subset of cDC2 with increased expression of MHCII, CD80 and CD86 that was increased upon treatment with anti-CTLA-4. A<sub>2A</sub>R may therefore represent a negative feedback loop that limits the costimulatory capacity of activated cDC2s. cDC2s have been shown to be critical in priming intratumoral CD4+ T cell responses and so it is interesting to postulate that  $A_{2A}R$  expression on these cells may consequently limit the formation of these protective responses<sup>39</sup>. In this study by Binnewies *et al.* it was shown that Tregs limit the activation and differentiation of cDC2s, which may be linked to the activation and increased expression of  $A_{2A}R$ observed in our study following anti-CTLA-4 blockade given we used the 9H10 clone that is known to induce Treg depletion. Therefore, in future studies, it would be of interest to isolate  $A_{2A}R^+$  and  $A_{2A}R^-$  cDC2s and compare their functional capacity to prime CD8<sup>+</sup> and CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell responses ex vivo.

Although we observed increases in  $A_{2A}R$  expression in cDC2s and, to a lesser extent, cDC1s following immune checkpoint blockade, it was somewhat surprising that we did not observe increases in  $A_{2A}R$ expression within tumor-infiltrating T cells following PD-L1 blockade or treatment with anti-CTLA-4 and anti-PD-L1. Previously, an assessment of A<sub>2A</sub>R mRNA following PD-1 blockade revealed an approximately 2-3 fold increase in  $A_{2A}R$  expression within tumor-infiltrating  $CDS<sup>+</sup>$  T cells<sup>8</sup>. However, we did not observe this in our current study

using the  $A_{2A}R$  eGFP reporter mice. The reasons for these differences are not clear but may be related to differences in kinetics in the two studies, the use of anti-PD-L1 as opposed to anti-PD-1 or a true difference between mRNA and protein. Nevertheless, we did observe an increase in  $A_{2A}R$  expression within CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells isolated from the draining lymph nodes of mice undergoing immune checkpoint blockade, highlighting the potential for  $A_{2A}R$  blockade to enhance T cell priming in tertiary lymphoid structures beyond the tumor microenvironment in the context of immunotherapy. Moreover, it is likely that both immune checkpoint blockade and chemotherapy result in increased levels of extracellular adenosine due to the destruction of tumor cells, which in itself may make targeting the adenosine pathway therapeutically more relevant in this context. Interestingly, in the context of immune checkpoint blockade we observed that therapeutic efficacy of anti-PD-L1 was associated with the emergence of a PD-1<sup>+</sup>  $A_{2A}R$  subset that may represent a biomarker for response.

Assessment of the transcriptional profile of  $A_{2A}R^+$  and  $A_{2A}R^-CD8^+$ T cells revealed that  $A_{2A}R^+$  cells elicited a reduced expression of a defined set of STAT5 target genes $40$ . This is consistent with previous observations we have made with  $CDS<sup>+</sup> T$  cells treated in vitro with the adenosine mimetic NECA<sup>16</sup>. This may be linked to the observation that IL-2 and IL-7, cytokines that signal through STAT5, can overcome adenosine-mediated suppression $41,55$  $41,55$ . Interestingly, we observed that PD-L1 blockade resulted in increased expression of STAT5 target genes within both  $A_{2A}R^+$  and  $A_{2A}R^-$  subsets, suggesting that this may help CD8+ T cells to overcome adenosine-mediated suppression through upregulation of this pathway.

Lastly, to utilize this model to identify rational combination therapies we interrogated the impact of various cytokines on  $A_{2A}R$ expression as there is remarkably little known about the control of A2AR expression in this regard. Our analysis identified the IL1 family of cytokines, and particularly IL-18, as a potent inducer of  $A_{2A}R$  expression on CD8+ T cells, NK cells, γδT cells and NKT cells. Given that IL-18 is known to induce anti-tumor immunity, but based upon these data may also concomitantly increase  $A_{2A}R$  expression, we hypothesized that suppression mediated by  $A_{2A}R$  signaling may limit the overall therapeutic effect of IL-18. We therefore evaluated the potential of combining IL-18 therapy with A<sub>2A</sub>R blockade through engineering tumor cells to secrete IL-18. These experiments confirmed that IL-18 was a strong inducer of  $A_{2A}R$  expression in vivo, and anti-tumor effects were even greater in  $A_{2A}R$  knockout mice, highlighting the potential for combination therapy targeting the  $A_{2A}R$  in conjunction with IL-18 therapy. There has been significant work in developing a form of IL-18 suitable for clinical application, including the development of a form of IL-18 that does not bind to IL-18DR and therefore elicits greater antitumor potency than wild-type IL-18 $42$ . It would therefore be of significant interest to interrogate a possible combination strategy utilizing these such reagents and  $A_{2A}R$  antagonists that are currently under clinical evaluation.

## Methods

This research and all study protocols have been approved and comply with the Peter MacCallum Animal Experimental Ethics Committee (AEEC) ethical regulations regarding the use of animals. Studies utilizing human PBMCs from healthy donors was approved by the Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre Human Research Ethics committee. Informed consent was obtained from the Australian Red Cross.

## Animal models and tumor models

A2AR eGFP reporter mice (Tg(Adora2a-EGFP)EP141Gsat) were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory repository and backcrossed onto a C57BL/6 background. The transgene for these mice is the cDNA of EGFP, followed by a polyadenylation signal, inserted into the mouse genomic bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) RP24-238K3. This insertion is at the start codon of the Adora2a gene so that expression of the reporter mRNA is controlled by the regulatory sequences of Adora2a. C57BL/6 wildtype mice were purchased from Australian Bioresources (Moss Vale, New South Wales) or bred in house at the Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre. C57BL/6 A<sub>2A</sub>R eGFP reporter mice or  $A_{2A}R^{\dagger}$  mice were bred in house at the Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre. Mice used in experiments were between 6 to 16 weeks of age and experiments were approved by the Animal Experimentation Ethics Committee #E672. AT3 tumor cells were obtained from Dr. Trina Stewart and engineered to express chicken ovalbumin as described previously<sup>8</sup>. MC38 tumor cells were obtained from Dr. Nicole Haynes. All studies using the breast cancer cell lines AT3ova or E0771 were performed in female mice. Experiments with MC38 tumors were performed in male mice unless specified in the source data file. AT3-Her2, E0771-Her2 and MC38-Her2 were generated as previously described<sup>16</sup>. Tumor lines were verified to be Mycoplasma negative by PCR analysis and were actively passaged for less than 6 months. Tumor cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS, Glutamax, and penicillin/ streptomycin. For in vivo experiments, the indicated number of cells were resuspended in PBS and injected subcutaneously (100 μL). Tumors were measured using callipers and calculated as mm2 (width\*length). Tumor size did not exceed the maximal size approved by the AEEC ethics committee of 150 mm<sup>2</sup>. To engineer AT3ova and MC38 cells to express IL-18, IL-18 cDNA (ATGAACTTTGGCCGACTTC ACTGTACAACCGCAGTAATACGGAATATAAATGACCAAGTTCTCTTCG TTGACAAAAGACAGCCTGTGTTCGAGGATATGACTGATATTGATCAAA GTGCCAGTGAACCCCAGACCAGACTGATAATATACATGTACAAAGAC AGTGAAGTAAGAGGACTGGCTGTGACCCTCTCTGTGAAGGATAGTAA AATGTCTACCCTCTCCTGTAAGAACAAGATCATTTCCTTTGAGGAAAT GGATCCACCTGAAAATATTGATGATATACAAAGTGATCTCATATTCTT TCAGAAACGTGTTCCAGGACACAACAAGATGGAGTTTGAATCTTCAC TGTATGAAGGACACTTTCTTGCTTGCCAAAAGGAAGATGATGCTTTCA AACTCATTCTGAAAAAAAAGGATGAAAATGGGGATAAATCTGTAATGT TCACTCTCACTAACTTACATCAAAGTTAG) was cloned into the MSCV Cherry vector and retrovirus generated from HEK293gp cells prior to transduction of target cells. mCherry+ cells were FACS sorted prior to functional experiments.

#### Antibodies, pharmacological agents, and cytokines

Isotype control (2A3, catalogue number BE0089), anti-PD-L1 (clone 10 F.9G2, catalogue number BE0101) and anti-CTLA-4 (9H10, catalogue number BE0131) were purchased from BioXcell. Mice were treated every 4 days for up to 2 doses. Where indicated mice were treated at days 14, 16, 18 and 20 post-tumor inoculation with  $25 \mu g$  FTY720 (Sigma). Anti-asialo GM-1 (catalogue number 986-10001, FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation) and anti-CD8α (clone YTS 169.4, catalogue number BE0117, BioXcell) were used for in vivo NK cell and CD8+ T cell depletion, respectively. Anti-CD3 (clone 145-2C11) and anti-CD28 (clone 37.51) used to stimulate murine T cells were obtained from BD Pharmingen. Cytokines used for the stimulation of murine or Human immune cells were purchased from Biolegend.

#### Immunofluorescence analysis

 $A_{2A}R$  eGFP mice were subcutaneously engrafted with  $5\times10^{5}$  AT3-ova tumor cells. Mice were treated with anti-PD-L1 (clone B7-H1) and anti-CTLA-4 (clone 9H10) on days 14 and 18 post-tumor inoculation. Tumors and spleens harvested on Day 20 were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 3 hours at 4°C, followed by an overnight incubation in a 30% sucrose PBS solution. Tissues were then embedded in O.C.T compound (Scigen) in cryomolds, stored at -80°C, and serially sectioned at 12μm per tissue slide.

Slides were dried at room temperature and fixed for 5 min in icecold acetone. Tissues were blocked with 0.2% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 5 min and incubated overnight at 4°C with fluorophoreconjugated antibodies prepared at 1:200 dilution in BSA: αCD45.2 AF647 Biolegend, clone: 104, CAT:109818) and αGFP AF488 <span id="page-12-0"></span>(Invitrogen, REF: A21311)]. The following day, slides were washed twice in PBS for 5 minutes each at room temperature and were coverslipped with ProLong Gold Antifade mounting medium.

Images were acquired with an Olympus DP80 camera on an Olympus BX53 microscope using the cellSens Dimension program. Images were analyzed with ImageJ.

#### Flow cytometry analysis of immune cells ex vivo

The tumors and draining lymph nodes of mice were isolated at the indicated timepoint post-tumor inoculation. Tumors were digested with collagenase type IV (Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.02 mg/ml DNAase (Sigma-Aldrich) for 30 minutes at 37 °C. Cells were then passed through a  $70 \mu m$  filter twice. Cells were then incubated in Fc Block (supernatant from 2.4G2 hybridoma) and then stained with indicated flow cytometry antibodies and Fixable Yellow (Thermo Fischer Scientific) as a viability dye. αGal Cer loaded tetramer used for the identification of NKT cells was obtained from Dr. Hui-Fern Koay and Prof. Dale Godfrey. Flow cytometry antibodies used in the study are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

#### Human NK cell purification from PBMCs

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were obtained by performing a Ficoll separation on human donor blood (Source: Australian Red Cross LifeBlood). Red blood cells were lysed with ACK lysis buffer (8.29 g NH2Cl, 1 g KHC3, 0.04 g Na2 EDTA in 1L sterile MqH<sub>2</sub>0 filtered through a 22 μM Stericup vacuum filter (Merck)). NK cells were subsequently washed with PBS and purified using an NK isolation kit (Human NK Cell Isolation Kit, LS Columns, Miltenyi Biotec) as per the manufacturer's instructions. Purified NK cells were washed with PBS and resuspended in culture media (RPMI media + 10% FBS, Sodium pyruvate, NEAA, Glutamax, HEPES, Penicillin/Streptomycin) prior to assay setup.

#### Cytokine stimulation assay

Cytokine stimulation assays were performed in 96 well plates.  $1 \times 10^5$ NKs were plated in RPMI media (as above). Human IL-18 (50 ng/ml) (R&D systems) and 20 IU/ml IL-2 (NIH) were added to NK cells and plates incubated at 37 °C. NK cells were then spun down at 1400 rpm for 4 min and cell pellets frozen in RLT buffer (Qiagen RNEasy kit) + 10 µl/ml 2-Mercaptoethanol (BME) and stored at −80°C.

#### Quantitative real-time PCR analysis

RNA was isolated using an RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen) following which cDNA was generated using m-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega).  $qRT-PCR$  was then performed using murine or Human  $A_{2A}R$  mRNA probes (Taqman; Hs00169123\_m1) as per the manufacturer's instructions with GAPDH (Taqman; Hs02786624\_g1) used as a housekeeping gene. For murine cells, L32 was used as a housekeeping gene as per previous work<sup>8</sup>.

#### Generation of anti-Her2 CAR T cells

Murine splenocytes were activated with anti-CD3/ anti-CD28 before transduction with supernatants derived from a GP + E86 anti-Her2 CAR packaging line as previously described<sup>16</sup>. Briefly, supernatants were added to retronectin (10 µg/ml Takara Bio) coated 6 well plates and spun at 1200 g for 30 minutes. Subsequently 1 ml T cells were added to each well to give a final volume of 10e6 T cells per well. The cells were then spun at the same speed for 90 minutes. This process was repeated 24 h later and after transduction, CAR T cells were maintained in IL-2 and IL-7 prior to coculture with tumor cells at day 6–7 post-activation.

#### Gene expression analysis

Using the Quant-seq 3′ mRNA-seq Library Prep Kit from Illumina (Lexogen), RNA-seq libraries were prepared from RNA extracted from sorted cells. 75 bp Single-end RNA-sequencing was then performed

using NextSeq (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA) and base calling was performed using CASAVA 1.8.2. Random primer bias removal and 3' poly-A-tail trimming was performed using Cutadapt v2.1 to derived raw sequences. Quality control was assessed using FastQC v0.11.6 and RNA-SeQC v1.1.8<sup>56</sup>. Next, sequence alignment against the mouse reference genome mm10 was performed using HISAT2. FeatureCounts (Rsubread v2.10.5) was used to count raw reads and genes were then annotated from the Ensembl releases $57$ . Normalization of gene counts was performed using the using the EdgeR package<sup>[58,59](#page-14-0)</sup> and the TMM (trimmed means of M-values) method into log2 counts per million (CPM). The quasi-likelihood F test statistical test method based on the generalized linear model (glm) framework was used for differential gene expression (DEGs) comparisons. Adjusted p values were computed using the Benjamini-Hochberg method. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed generated based on the topmost variable genes. DEGs were classified as significant based on a false discovery rate (FDR) cutoff of less than 0.05. For heatmaps, the pheatmap R package was used to plot row mean centered and scaled normalized log2(CPM + 0.5) values. Genes, columns or rows were sorted by hierarchical clustering using Euclidean distance and average-linkage.

Unbiased gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) using the fgsea package was performed on fold change ranked DEGs with 1000 permutations (nominal P-value cutoff <0.05). Reference gene sets were obtained from the Hallmarks dataset from the MsigDB library or previously published analyses of a STAT5 signature (GEO:GSE41819).

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with one-way ANOVA, two-way ANOVA or unpaired  $t$  test where appropriate as indicated in the figure legend.  $P < 0.05$  was considered significant. For survival curve analysis, mice that developed ulcerated tumors prior to the 100 mm<sup>2</sup> point were censored from the analysis

#### Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

## Data availability

The RNA-sequencing data used in the study have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus under the accession code [GSE230135](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE230135) available at. The remaining data are available within the Article, Supplementary Information or Source Data file. Source data are provided with this paper.

## References

- 1. Sek, K. et al. Targeting adenosine receptor signaling in cancer immunotherapy. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 19, [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19123837) [ijms19123837](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19123837) (2018).
- 2. Ohta, A. & Sitkovsky, M. Role of G-protein-coupled adenosine receptors in downregulation of inflammation and protection from tissue damage. Nature 414, 916–920 (2001).
- 3. Ohta, A. et al. A2A adenosine receptor protects tumors from antitumor T cells. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 103, 13132–13137 (2006).
- 4. Sitkovsky, M. V. et al. Hostile, hypoxia-A2-adenosinergic tumor biology as the next barrier to overcome for tumor immunologists. Cancer Immunol. Res. 2, 598–605 (2014).
- 5. Waickman, A. T. et al. Enhancement of tumor immunotherapy by deletion of the A2A adenosine receptor. Cancer Immunol. Immunother. 61, 917–926 (2012).
- 6. Hatfield, S. M. et al. Immunological mechanisms of the antitumor effects of supplemental oxygenation. Sci. Transl. Med. 7, 277ra230 (2015).
- 7. Loi, S. et al. CD73 promotes anthracycline resistance and poor prognosis in triple negative breast cancer. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 110, 11091–11096 (2013).
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>8. Beavis, P. A. et al. Adenosine receptor 2A blockade increases the efficacy of anti-PD-1 through enhanced antitumor T-cell responses. Cancer Immunol. Res 3, 506–517 (2015).
- 9. Cekic, C. et al. Adenosine A2B receptor blockade slows growth of bladder and breast tumors. J. Immunol. 188, 198–205 (2012).
- 10. Willingham, S. B. et al. A2AR antagonism with CPI-444 induces antitumor responses and augments efficacy to anti-PD-(L)1 and anti-CTLA-4 in preclinical models. Cancer Immunol. Res 6, 1136–1149 (2018).
- 11. Leone, R. D. & Emens, L. A. Targeting adenosine for cancer immunotherapy. J. Immunother. Cancer 6, 57 (2018).
- 12. Kjaergaard, J., Hatfield, S., Jones, G., Ohta, A. & Sitkovsky, M. A(2A) adenosine receptor gene deletion or synthetic A(2A) antagonist liberate tumor-reactive CD8(+) T cells from tumor-induced immunosuppression. J. Immunol. 201, 782–791 (2018).
- 13. Fong, L. et al. Adenosine 2A receptor blockade as an immunotherapy for treatment-refractory renal cell cancer. Cancer Discov. 10, 40–53 (2020).
- 14. Iannone, R., Miele, L., Maiolino, P., Pinto, A. & Morello, S. Adenosine limits the therapeutic effectiveness of anti-CTLA4 mAb in a mouse melanoma model. Am. J. Cancer Res. 4, 172–181 (2014).
- 15. Beavis, P. A. et al. Targeting the adenosine 2A receptor enhances chimeric antigen receptor T cell efficacy. J. Clin. Invest. 127, 929–941 (2017).
- 16. Giuffrida, L. et al. CRISPR/Cas9 mediated deletion of the adenosine A2A receptor enhances CAR T cell efficacy. Nat. Commun. 12, 3236 (2021).
- 17. Jin, D. et al. CD73 on tumor cells impairs antitumor T-cell responses: a novel mechanism of tumor-induced immune suppression. Cancer Res. 70, 2245–2255 (2010).
- 18. Fallah-Mehrjardi, K. et al. Pharmacological targeting of immune checkpoint A2aR improves function of anti-CD19 CAR T cells in vitro. Immunol. Lett. 223, 44–52 (2020).
- 19. Liu, G. et al. Disruption of adenosine 2A receptor improves the antitumor function of anti-mesothelin CAR T cells both in vitro and in vivo. Exp. Cell Res. 409, 112886 (2021).
- 20. Masoumi, E. et al. Genetic and pharmacological targeting of A2a receptor improves function of anti-mesothelin CAR T cells. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 39, 49 (2020).
- 21. Siriwon, N. et al. CAR-T cells surface-engineered with drugencapsulated nanoparticles can ameliorate intratumoral T-cell hypofunction. Cancer Immunol. Res. 6, 812–824 (2018).
- 22. Huang, S., Apasov, S., Koshiba, M. & Sitkovsky, M. Role of A2a extracellular adenosine receptor-mediated signaling in adenosinemediated inhibition of T-cell activation and expansion. Blood 90, 1600–1610 (1997).
- 23. Wang, L. et al. Critical roles of adenosine A2A receptor in regulating the balance of Treg/Th17 cells in allergic asthma. Clin. Respir. J. 12, 149–157 (2018).
- 24. Young, A. et al. A2AR adenosine signaling suppresses natural killer cell maturation in the tumor microenvironment. Cancer Res 78, 1003–1016 (2018).
- 25. Beavis, P. A. et al. Blockade of A2A receptors potently suppresses the metastasis of CD73+ tumors. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 110, 14711–14716 (2013).
- 26. Nowak, M. et al. The A2aR adenosine receptor controls cytokine production in iNKT cells. Eur. J. Immunol. 40, 682–687 (2010).
- 27. Cekic, C., Day, Y. J., Sag, D. & Linden, J. Myeloid expression of adenosine A2A receptor suppresses T and NK cell responses in the solid tumor microenvironment. Cancer Res. 74, 7250–7259 (2014).
- 28. Raskovalova, T. et al. Gs protein-coupled adenosine receptor signaling and lytic function of activated NK cells. J. Immunol. 175, 4383–4391 (2005).
- 29. Cekic, C., Sag, D., Day, Y. J. & Linden, J. Extracellular adenosine regulates naive T cell development and peripheral maintenance. J. Exp. Med. 210, 2693–2706 (2013).
- 30. Lappas, C. M., Rieger, J. M. & Linden, J. A2A adenosine receptor induction inhibits IFN-gamma production in murine CD4+ T cells. J. Immunol. 174, 1073–1080 (2005).
- 31. Duhen, T. et al. Co-expression of CD39 and CD103 identifies tumorreactive CD8 T cells in human solid tumors. Nat. Commun. 9, 2724 (2018).
- 32. Krishna, S. et al. Stem-like CD8 T cells mediate response of adoptive cell immunotherapy against human cancer. Science 370, 1328–1334 (2020).
- 33. Vignali, P. D. A. et al. Hypoxia drives CD39-dependent suppressor function in exhausted T cells to limit antitumor immunity. Nat. Immunol. 24, 267–279 (2023).
- 34. Beltra, J. C. et al. Developmental relationships of four exhausted CD8(+) T cell subsets reveals underlying transcriptional and epigenetic landscape control mechanisms. Immunity 52, 825–841 e828 (2020).
- 35. Kortekaas, K. E. et al. CD39 identifies the CD4(+) tumor-specific Tcell population in human cancer. Cancer Immunol. Res. 8, 1311–1321 (2020).
- 36. Deaglio, S. et al. Adenosine generation catalyzed by CD39 and CD73 expressed on regulatory T cells mediates immune suppression. J. Exp. Med. 204, 1257–1265 (2007).
- 37. Kumagai, S. et al. The PD-1 expression balance between effector and regulatory T cells predicts the clinical efficacy of PD-1 blockade therapies. Nat. Immunol. 21, 1346–1358 (2020).
- 38. Kamada, T. et al. PD-1(+) regulatory T cells amplified by PD-1 blockade promote hyperprogression of cancer. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 116, 9999-10008 (2019).
- 39. Binnewies, M. et al. Unleashing type-2 dendritic cells to drive protective antitumor CD4(+) T Cell Immunity. Cell 177, 556–571 e516 (2019).
- 40. Grange, M. et al. Active STAT5 regulates T-bet and eomesodermin expression in CD8 T cells and imprints a T-bet-dependent Tc1 program with repressed IL-6/TGF-beta1 signaling. J. Immunol. 191, 3712–3724 (2013).
- 41. Koyas, A. et al. Interleukin-7 protects CD8(+) T cells from adenosinemediated immunosuppression. Sci. Signal 14, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.abb1269) [1126/scisignal.abb1269](https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.abb1269) (2021).
- 42. Zhou, T. et al. IL-18BP is a secreted immune checkpoint and barrier to IL-18 immunotherapy. Nature 583, 609–614 (2020).
- 43. Robertson, M. J. et al. Clinical and biological effects of recombinant human interleukin-18 administered by intravenous infusion to patients with advanced cancer. Clin. Cancer Res. 12, 4265–4273 (2006).
- 44. Sitkovsky, M. V. Lessons from the A2A adenosine receptor antagonistenabled tumor regression and survival in patients with treatmentrefractory renal cell cancer. Cancer Discov. 10, 16–19 (2020).
- 45. Allard, B., Allard, D., Buisseret, L. & Stagg, J. The adenosine pathway in immuno-oncology. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 17, 611–629 (2020).
- 46. Cekic, C. & Linden, J. Adenosine A2A receptors intrinsically regulate CD8+ T cells in the tumor microenvironment. Cancer Res. 74, 7239–7249 (2014).
- 47. Rosemblatt, M. V. et al. Ecto-5'-nucleotidase (CD73) regulates the survival of CD8+ T cells. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 9, 647058 (2021).
- 48. Hatfield, S. M. & Sitkovsky, M. V. Antihypoxic oxygenation agents with respiratory hyperoxia to improve cancer immunotherapy. J. Clin. Invest. 130, 5629–5637 (2020).
- 49. Hatfield, S. M. et al. Systemic oxygenation weakens the hypoxia and hypoxia inducible factor 1alpha-dependent and extracellular adenosine-mediated tumor protection. J. Mol. Med. (Berl.) 92, 1283–1292 (2014).
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>50. Novitskiy, S. V. et al. Adenosine receptors in regulation of dendritic cell differentiation and function. Blood 112, 1822–1831 (2008).
- 51. Wennerberg, E. et al. CD73 Blockade promotes dendritic cell infiltration of irradiated tumors and tumor rejection. Cancer Immunol. Res. 8, 465–478 (2020).
- 52. Chen, S. et al. The expression of adenosine A2B receptor on antigen-presenting cells suppresses CD8(+) T-cell responses and promotes tumor growth. Cancer Immunol. Res. 8, 1064–1074 (2020).
- 53. Cekic, C. Modulation of myeloid cells by adenosine signaling. Curr. Opin. Pharm. 53, 134–145 (2020).
- 54. Borodovsky, A. et al. Small molecule AZD4635 inhibitor of A(2A)R signaling rescues immune cell function including CD103(+) dendritic cells enhancing anti-tumor immunity. J. Immunother. Cancer 8, <https://doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2019-000417> (2020).
- 55. Erdmann, A. A. et al. Activation of Th1 and Tc1 cell adenosine A2A receptors directly inhibits IL-2 secretion in vitro and IL-2-driven expansion in vivo. Blood 105, 4707–4714 (2005).
- 56. DeLuca, D. S. et al. RNA-SeQC: RNA-seq metrics for quality control and process optimization. Bioinformatics 28, 1530–1532 (2012).
- 57. Zerbino, D. R. et al. Ensembl 2018. Nucleic Acids Res. 46, D754–D761 (2018).
- 58. Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J. & Smyth, G. K. edgeR: a bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 26, 139–140 (2010).
- 59. McCarthy, D. J., Chen, Y. & Smyth, G. K. Differential expression analysis of multifactor RNA-Seq experiments with respect to biological variation. Nucleic Acids Res. 40, 4288–4297 (2012).

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge Prof. Dale Godfrey who provide access to the αGal-Cer tetramer used in the study. This work was funded by a Program Grant from the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC; Grant number 1132373). P.A.B. was supported by a National Breast Cancer Foundation Fellowship (ID# ECF-17-005, 2017- 2020) and a Victorian Cancer Agency Mid-Career Fellowship (2021- Current). I.G. House was supported by a Victorian Cancer Agency Early Career Fellowship (ECRF20017). P.K.D. was supported by an NHMRC Senior Research Fellowship (APP1136680). Junyun Lai was a recipient of a US Cancer Research Institute Irvington postdoctoral fellowship (award no. 3530). The authors wish to acknowledge the contributions of Ms Karen Gill, Mr Mike Rear and Mr Graeme Sissing who act as consumer representatives for the laboratory.

# Author contributions

K.L.T. designed and performed the majority of experiments, analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. J.Lai, Y.K.H., D.M.N., E.B.D., D.N., and C.W.C. designed and performed experiments. K.S. analyzed data and generated scripts for RNA-sequencing analysis. H.F.K. provided reagents. K.S., T.X.H., E.V.P., I.M., I.G.H., J.N.L., J.S.K., J.Li, J.T., M.N.D.M., C.M.S., K.M.Y., A.X.Y.C., P.A.D. and B.H. assisted in the generation of data. I.A.P., R.W.J., and P.K.D. supervised aspects of the study. P.A.B. conceptualized and led the study, designed and performed experiments, analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript.

## Competing interests

P.A.B. declares the following conflicts: research funding from AstraZeneca, Bioardis, Bristol-Myers-Squibb and Gilead Sciences. P.K.D. declares the following conflicts: research funding from Myeloid Therapeutics, Prescient Therapeutics, Bristol-Myers-Squibb and Juno Therapeutics. I.A.P. declares the following conflicts: research funding from Bristol-Myers-Squibb and Astrazeneca. The Johnstone Lab receives research support from Roche, BMS, AstraZeneca and MecRx. R.W.J. is a scientific consultant and shareholder in MecRx. The remaining authors declare no competing interests.

# Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-42734-0>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Kirsten L. Todd or Paul A. Beavis.

Peer review information Nature Communications thanks Stephen Hatfield, Caglar Cekic and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. A peer review file is available.

Reprints and permissions information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [licenses/by/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

© The Author(s) 2023