Journey of CAR T‑cells: Emphasising the concepts and advancements in breast cancer (Review)

MOHD ADNAN KAUSAR^{1,2}, SADAF ANWAR^{1,2}, HEMAT EL-SAYED EL-HORANY^{1,3}, FARIDA HABIB KHAN 2,4 , NEETU TYAGI 5 , MOHAMMAD ZEESHAN NAJM 6 , SADAF 7 , ALAA ABDULAZIZ EISA 8 , CHANDRAJEET DHARA 6 and SAUMYATIKA GANTAYAT 6

¹Department of Biochemistry, College of Medicine, University of Ha'il, Ha'il 81411; ²Medical and Diagnostic Research Centre, University of Ha'il, Hail 55473, Saudi Arabia; ³Medical Biochemistry Department, Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University, Egypt; ⁴Department of Community and Family Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Ha'il, Ha'il 81411, Saudi Arabia; ⁵Bone Biology Laboratory, Department of Physiology, School of Medicine, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, 40202, USA; ⁶School of Biosciences, Apeejay Stya University, Sohna, Gurugram 122003, Haryana; ⁷Department of Biotechnology, Jamia Millia Islamia, Okhla, New Delhi 110025, India; ⁸Department of Medical Laboratories Technology, College of Applied Medical Sciences, Taibah University, Medina 30002, Saudi Arabia

Received July 4, 2023; Accepted September 25, 2023

DOI: 10.3892/ijo.2023.5578

Abstract. Cancer is the primary and one of the most prominent causes of the rising global mortality rate, accounting for nearly 10 million deaths annually. Specific methods have been devised to cure cancerous tumours. Effective therapeutic approaches must be developed, both at the cellular and genetic level. Immunotherapy offers promising results by providing sustained remission to patients with refractory malignancies. Genetically modified T‑lymphocytic cells have emerged as a novel therapeutic approach for the treatment of solid tumours, haematological malignancies, and relapsed/refractory B‑lymphocyte malignancies as a result of recent clinical trial findings; the treatment is referred to as chimeric antigen receptor T‑cell therapy (CAR T‑cell therapy). Leukapheresis is used to remove T‑lymphocytes from the leukocytes, and CARs are created through genetic engineering. Without the aid of a major histocompatibility complex, these genetically modified receptors lyse malignant tissues by interacting directly with the carcinogen. Additionally, the outcomes of preclinical and clinical studies reveal that CAR T‑cell therapy has proven to be a potential therapeutic contender against metastatic

Correspondence to: Dr Mohd Adnan Kausar, Department of Biochemistry, College of Medicine, University of Ha'il, Al Khitah Street, PO Box 2440, Ha'il 81411, Saudi Arabia E‑mail: ma.kausar@uoh.edu.sa

Key words: T-cell receptors, chimeric antigen receptor t-cell therapy, breast cancer, relapsed/refractory B-cell malignancies, B‑lymphocyte non‑Hodgkin lymphoma, cytokine release syndrome, neurotoxicity, anaphylaxis, US Food and Drug Administration, clinical trial

breast cancer (BCa), triple‑negative, and HER 2+ve BCa. Nevertheless, unique toxicities, including (cytokine release syndrome, on/off-target tumour recognition, neurotoxicities, anaphylaxis, antigen escape in BCa, and the immunosuppressive tumour microenvironment in solid tumours, negatively impact the mechanism of action of these receptors. In this review, the potential of CAR T‑cell immunotherapy and its method of destroying tumour cells is explored using data from preclinical and clinical trials, as well as providing an update on the approaches used to reduce toxicities, which may improve or broaden the effectiveness of the therapies used in BCa.

Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Requirements for CAR T‑cell development
- 3. Characterisation of the CAR domains
- 4. Generations of CARs
- 5. Mechanism of lysing the tumour cells
- 6. Preclinical experiments on mouse models
- 7. Malignancy‑specific CAR T‑cell clinical trials and BC CAR T‑cell clinical studies
- 8. FDA‑approved therapies
- 9. Contemporary advances in breast carcinoma CAR T cell therapy
- 10. Limitations
- 11. Conclusions and future perspectives

1. Introduction

The complex and diverse group of disorders collectively referred to as malignant cancer is characterised by the dissemination and proliferation of aberrant cells in the body. Physiologically normal cells undergo a systematic process of growth, division and apoptosis, or programmed cell death, to reach senescence. In contrast, cancer cells proliferate aggressively, giving rise to malignant tumours that can invade and destroy adjacent tissues and organs by spreading through the bloodstream or lymphatic system (1). Numerous variables can contribute to the development of malignancies, including genetic alterations, exposure to carcinogens such as tobacco smoke or UV radiation, and dietary and lifestyle choices (2). While various treatment methods, including surgery, radiation therapy, and chemotherapy, are available for different types of malignancies, the primary challenge lies in revolutionising the diagnosis and prognosis of cancer at both the cellular and genetic levels (3). In the late 19th century, surgeon William Coley observed that certain cancer patients suffering from bacterial infections experienced spontaneous cancer remission. This observation led Coley to inject heat‑killed *Streptococcus pyogenes* and *S. marcescens* into cancer patients to boost their immune systems. Although the results were mixed, his work laid the foundation for modern immunotherapy (4). In the 1890s, Paul Ehrlich delved deeper into the concept that the immune system is capable of detecting and eliminating malignant cells. He laid the groundwork for active and passive immunity, a concept he later referred to as 'cancer immunity' (5). Research at the National Cancer Institute by Rosenberg (6) explored the efficacy of interleukin-2 (IL-2) in stimulating cell growth and combating cancerous cells. The development and advances of cancer immunotherapy gained notable momentum following the development of the first monoclonal antibody (mAb), rituximab, for treating non‑Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) in 1997. Monoclonal antibodies are one of the three adoptive cell transfer (ACT) therapies used for diagnosing malignancies and for prognostic evaluation (7,8). For example, subcutaneous FBL3 lymphomas were lysed by infusing IL‑2 intravenously. Studies also investigated the use of genetically altered T‑lymphocytes to target tumour antigens (6,9). After a decade of studies and trials, Eshhar *et al* (10) developed a genetically engineered therapy using chimeric proteins that could recognize and target specific malignant antigens expressed on T‑cells. This therapy is known as chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapy. The process involves leukapheresis, a procedure used to isolate T-lymphocytes from a patient's leukocytes, followed by the infusion of genetically altered CARs into the patient's circulatory system. Over a decade of successful innovations, CARs have evolved, incorporating different domains and co‑stimulatory, elements that enhance their ability to bind to cancerous cells and tissues, facilitating the lysis of cancerous cells. This evolution has led to CAR T-cell therapy becoming a novel therapeutic option for conditions such as multiple myeloma (MM), B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (B‑ALL), and other aggressive tumours (11,12). Recent achievements in CAR T-cell therapy, driven by molecular and immunological insights, provide the foundation for its advancement as a more efficient and precise therapeutic approach. These advances are further discussed in the following sections.

2. Requirements for CAR T‑cell development

The demand for T-cell receptors (TCRs) in curing metastatic melanoma, was unparalleled due to their remarkable efficacy. However, their success as a therapeutic option was accompanied by a series of adverse side effects including off-target toxicity, cardiac toxicity, neurological toxicities, and various other life-threatening complications, which led to the exploration of neoantigens as a potentially safer approach (13,14). The introduction of CAR T‑cell therapy ushered in cutting-edge technologies across various disciplines, including immunogenetics, molecular genetics, and oncogenetics. This approach involves the use of protein receptors known as chimeric T‑lymphocytic receptors, which have evolved to enable T-cells to precisely target specific antigens. Their ability to combine components for T‑cell activation and antigen binding justifies the term 'chimeric' being applied to them (15,16). The basic structural composition of CAR includes the extracellular domain (also referred to as the target and spacer motif), the transmembrane motif, and a signalling motif. Each of these domains significantly influences anti-carcinogenic effectiveness and CAR T-cell production (15).

3. Characterisation of the CAR domains

Ligand‑binding domain. The targeting domain, also known as the ligand-binding domain, primarily consists of a fragment called single-chain variable fragment (ScFv) (Fig. 1), produced from non‑human sequences found in mABs capable of eliciting immunogenic responses. Theoretically, ScFv can recognise a wide range of surface antigens expressed on target cells (such as HER2, PSMA, and CD19) (15,17). In addition, other domains incorporate nanobodies and receptor‑cognate ligands, such as NKG2D, IL‑2R, IL‑7R, T1E, and PD‑1, to target multiple ligands (18,19). Certain cases have reported potent anti-tumour activity with ScFv, which, however, can lead to neurotoxicity and could potentially be resolved through the optimisation of ligand-binding affinities (20).

Spacer domain. To provide flexibility to the recognition sites of CAR T-cells, the spacer domain connects ScFv to the trans– membrane domain. This function of the spacer domain is to determine the impact based on its length (15). For larger tumour sizes, the binding of epitopes with a spacer domain of a specific length becomes necessary. However, off-target binding can compromise the safety and effectiveness of a therapy (21,22). For example, the interaction of FcRs with the IgG1 Fc spacer domain on rodent macrophages can lead to CAR‑induced cell death. To address this issue, Hudecek *et al* (22) proposed the deletion of the Fc spacer CH2 domain, a critical component of chimeric Fc‑FcR interactions. Based on the results of clinical studies, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved certain non‑IgG‑based spacer domains, such as CD8 and CD28, which are widely used in therapy. Spacers also play a role in quantifying and purifying CAR‑positive subsets after engineering.

Transmembrane domain. The transmembrane domain serves as a linker, acting as a pivot point for transmitting ligands and recognition signals to the signalling domain. The TCR-CD3 complex's domains play a crucial part in organising the assembly. Cysteine residues in the transmembrane domain of CD3 are made possible by the dimerization of CD3ζ in the first generation of chimeric antigen T‑lymphocytes. This

Figure 1. Chimeric antigen receptors are composed of a signalling motif, a transmembrane motif, a spacer motif, and a ligand‑binding motif.

domain is exposed to hydrophobic conditions containing non‑polar amino acids as it is an integral part of the basic structure of the genetically modified T‑lymphocyte receptor. In the current context, designs of this domain are borrowed from CD4 and CD28, which are relatively independent of the TCR complex and ensure the binding of the independent TCR to the target. According to a review study by Cheng Zhang *et al* (23) and Sterner *et al* (24), the most widely accepted and used transmembrane domain is CD28 or CD8α, which is chosen to optimise receptor expression and stability.

Signalling domain. The CAR T‑cell therapy can only be partially explained without discussing the signalling domain. The signalling or co-stimulatory domains were engineered in the 1990s and include a CD3ζ domain and a tyrosine-based immunoreceptor (ITAM). ITAMs are present on the TCR-CD3 complex and serve as crucial phosphorylation sites to recruit ZAP70, which plays a critical role in signalling cascades (17). The importance of signalling domains has been discussed in the previous section, with *CD28* and *4‑1BB* as recognised co‑stimulatory domains for various B‑cell malignancies in the second generation of CARs; this is further elaborated elsewhere (24).

4. Generations of CARs

First generation. The CD3ζ chain serves as the primary stimulatory domain in the initial CAR T‑cell therapy paradigm from the 1990s. These engineered receptors were widely accepted due to the presence of CD3ζ and ITAMs (Fig. 2) (25,26). Specific drugs for this generation entered clinical trials for the management of leukaemia, ovarian cancer, and neuroblastoma (NB) following successful preclinical results. B‑cell lymphoma (BCL) patients received infusions of *CD20‑CD3ζ* CAR T‑cells, and several neuroblastoma patients received treatment with *ScFv-CD3*ζ CAR T-cells. The genetically altered signalling receptors, now known as CARs, were initially referred to as the 'T body approach' model (27,28).

Second generation. The success of the first generation of studies paved the way for a second‑generation therapy. The two co‑stimulatory domains that have received FDA approval are CD28 and 4‑1BB, showing substantial therapeutic benefits in several cancers including chronic lymphoblastic leukaemia (CLL), B‑ALL, and multiple myeloma (Fig. 2) (29). Furthermore, a phosphoproteomic mass spectroscopy study demonstrated that CARs with CD28 domains phosphorylate more rapidly and intensely than those with 4‑1BB domains. In summary, CD28-based chimeric receptors enhance effector T‑cell proliferation responses, whereas 4‑1BB‑based CARs promote T‑cell accumulation (28,29).

Third generation. Enhanced anti-carcinogenic efficacy is achieved by incorporating two signalling domains. Third‑generation therapies, such as *CD3‑CD28‑OX40* and CD3-CD28-4-1BB, boost cytokine production and activation signals to promote prolonged proliferation (Fig. 2) (30). Preclinical results for anti-PSMA and anti-mesothelin *CD28‑4‑1BB‑CD3ζ* CARs have shown increased tumour eradication and persistence abilities compared with the second-generation therapies. These two motifs have been evaluated against several targets including *CD19*, *PSMA*, *GD2*, and *mesothelin* (31‑34).

The superiority of third-generation therapies over second‑generation therapies remain a subject of debate. For example, CD28‑4‑1BB‑based CARs demonstrate better preclinical results in mouse xenografts of pancreatic cancer in the third generation. However, second-generation therapies have still outperformed the subsequent generations in terms of their anti-carcinogenic potency. Third-generation therapies exhibit improved *in vitro* secretion of cytokines such as IL2 and TNFα with anti-GD2 CARs consisting of the CD28‑OX40‑CD3ζ domain. This domain also shows enhanced proliferation and expansion compared with the second- and first-generation therapies (35,36).

Fourth generation. All previous generations of CAR T‑cell therapies exhibit a lack of anti-carcinogenic activity against solid tumours due to the inhospitable microenvironment of solid tumours resulting in heterogeneity and deterioration. The fourth-generation CAR T-cell therapy is also known as T‑cells redirected for universal cytokine killing (TRUCK) or 'armoured CARs' (37,38). These armoured chimeric receptors can express cytokines and chemokine receptors such as *IL12* to enhance T-cell penetration and protect T-lymphocytes from the oxidative stress microenvironment to enhance infiltration (Fig. 2) (39). An illustrative example involves using antigen-negative cancer cell regulators as a target for antagonistic antibodies such as *CTLA‑4* and *PD‑1* demonstrating that blocking *PD‑1* improves the regulation of *HER‑2* redirected CAR T-cells leading to an enhanced immune response in *HER-2* competent transgenic mice (40).

Fifth generation. Recently, membrane‑based receptors have been developed, incorporating an IL2Rβ domain inserted between the co‑stimulatory domains CD247 and CD28 to trigger cytokine signalling (Fig. 2). The presence of the YXXQ STAT3 binding motif in the IL2Rβ domain facilitates the induction of CAR T‑cells and may activate the JAK‑STAT pathway to promote cell proliferation. This generation of CART‑cells has shown better persistence in leukaemia (41,42).

Figure 2. There are five generations of CAR T-cells. CAR T-cell products made by Kymriah® and Yescarta®, which were approved by the FDA in 2017 are the most prevalent examples of CAR T-based therapies. (A) An intracellular CD3 ITAM, a transmembrane domain, and ScFv are all present in first-generation CARs. (B) Second‑generation CARs include auxiliary coordinating domains such as *CD28*, *CD137*, and *4‑1BB*. (C) Third‑generation CARs incorporate the addition of two coordinating domains, such as *CD3ζ‑CD28‑OX40* and *CD3ζ‑CD28‑4‑1BB*, resulting in an increased cytotoxic effect on carcinogenic cells. (D) In fourth‑generation CARs, TRUCKs are inserted as the co‑stimulatory domain to enhance *IL12* production. (E) In fifth‑generation CARs, within *CD28* and ITAMs, the *IL2Rβ*-derived JAK-STAT activation domain is present. ITAM, immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motif; ScFv, single-chain variable fragment; CAR, chimeric antigen receptor; TRUCK, T‑cells redirected for universal cytokine killing; FDA, Federal Drug Administration.

5. Mechanism of lysing the tumour cells

CARs serve as a membrane bridge with their receptors spanning both the cell's intracellular and extracellular matrix. The portion protruding from the cell surface typically consists of synthetic antibodies acting as the basic antigen recognition motif. The choice of domains used determines the receptor's ability to detect or bind to tumour cell antigens. Each CAR's internal region, which includes the T‑lymphocyte trigger unit and 'co‑stimulatory' domains, plays a crucial signalling role. These domains are responsible for transmitting signals within the cell following the interaction between the receptor and antigens. The specific domains used can impact the overall function of the cells. Unlike endogenous TCRs, CARs can recognise unprocessed antigens, regardless of how major histocompatibility antigens are presented. CARs can bind to various targets, including protein‑protein peptides, sugars, highly glycosylated proteins, and gangliosides, thus broadening the range of potential targets. While ScFv derived from antibodies are commonly used in the interaction between a CAR and its target, Fab fragments (Fab) acquired from libraries and natural ligands (also known as first‑generation CARs) have also been used (43,44).

Leukapheresis, a procedure used to isolate T‑cells from leukocytes, is the first step in the mechanism of action. In leukapheresis, leukocytes, T‑cells, and other components are separated, following which, *in vitro* cloning is performed using viruses to develop a modified gene capable of encoding the chimeric receptors (44). The designed CARs recognise and bind to specific antigens or proteins found on the surface of malignant cells (45). The *in vitro*-engineered T-cells are then expanded to produce tens of thousands of copies. This process may take several weeks and involves the use of cytokines and other growth factors to stimulate T‑cell proliferation.

Figure 3. CAR T-cell mode of action for identifying and binding with tumour antigens and releasing cytokines (perforins and granzymes) resulting in the lysis of carcinogenic cells/tissues.

Following this, the engineered receptors are introduced into the patient's bloodstream.

Once in the circulatory and lymphatic systems, CAR T-cells come into contact with antigen-presenting cancerous cells. When a CAR encounters the cancer cell expressing the target antigen, it initiates intracellular signalling processes that activate CAR T‑cells, leading to an increase in cytokine production. This activation allows CAR T‑cells to directly attack the tumour cells through cytotoxicity. CAR T-cells release cytotoxic chemicals, such as perforin and granzymes, which induce apoptosis (programmed cell death) in the cancer cells (Fig. 3) (45). Additionally, CAR T‑cells can stimulate other immune cells, such as natural killer (NK) cells and tissue macrophages, which contribute to immune responses aimed at destroying cancer cells or tissues. In certain rare cases, these augmented T‑cells can persist in the patient's immune system providing ongoing protection against cancer recurrence (46).

6. Preclinical experiments on mouse models

Preclinical trials involving xenograft humanised mouse models to investigate tumour progression have enabled researchers to study the tumour microenvironment (TME), which includes components such as blood vessels, lymphocytes, fibroblasts, and the extracellular matrix (ECM). This research has led to the development of humanised patient‑derived xenograft (hu‑PDX) mouse models designed to preserve genetic profiles and drug responses. The hu‑PDX mouse model closely replicates the TME found in humans, with cytokines and chemokines playing a critical role in regulating angiogenesis, metastasis, and immune responses (47,48). Humanised mouse models have been employed in ACT T‑lymphocytic therapy to enhance the recognition and elimination of cancer cells. For example, the maturation of transgenic Wilm's tumour (WT‑1‑specific TCRs in HLA‑I transgenic NSG mice transplanted with haematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) enhances cell proliferation capacity and triggers cytokine immune responses, which results in the amplification of anti-carcinogenic effects(49). The success of ACT T‑lymphocytic therapies in preclinical and clinical settings paved the way for CAR T-cell therapy in cancer treatment. The necessity to simulate human‑originated tumours within an intact immune system has been recognized with the co-evolution of anti-tumour T-cells and the use of CAR T-receptors on humanised (HM) and genetically modified (GEMM) mouse models (50,51). In HM mouse models, experiments involving humanised NRG mice treated with gp350 CAR T-cells showed a reduction in Epstein‑Barr virus (EBV) levels. This research has stimulated further investigation into the correlation between the spread of a virus and the incidence of cancer (52). Currently, CAR T‑cell therapy has demonstrated significant efficacy in treating various haematological and B‑cell malignancies without the restriction of major histocompatibility complex. Chimeric receptors have been engineered to target *CD19* in the hu‑BLT (bone marrow, liver, and thymus) mouse model, with positive responses observed against primary acute B‑ALL (53). As therapies have advanced, the introduction of co‑stimulatory motifs such as *4‑1BB* (*CD28* and *CD137*) has shown promise in enhancing anti-neoplastic responses and cancer cell elimination in hu‑SRC (SCID repopulating cell) mice (54). This review emphasises the efficacy of CAR T‑cells in BCa treatment and sheds light on various preclinical studies aimed at better understanding their potential. The human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (*HER2* also known as *ERBB2* belongs to the receptor tyrosine-protein kinase family (55). When triggered, downstream signalling pathways lead to gene overexpression and initiate tumour metastases. In BCa, 20‑30% of the patient population exhibit *HER2* amplification, highlighting its value as a target in BCa therapy. In preclinical studies, HER2 CAR T‑cells resulted in the eradication of tumour cells, even in

trastuzumab‑resistant JIMT‑1 xenografts, leading to improved survival rates in xenograft mice (56). Preclinical studies have targeted various BCa antigens such as *FRα,* epidermal growth factor receptor *(EGFR)*, *AXL*, *MUC1*, *c‑Met*, *TEM8*, and *NKG2D*, to optimise the efficacy of CAR T-cell therapy in both preclinical and clinical settings. The third generation of CAR T‑cell therapy, which includes anti‑*EGFR* antibodies in the ScFv region, has exhibited anti-carcinogenic responses in xenograft mouse models of triple-negative BCa (TNBC) cell lines (57). TNBC offers several potential cell targets, including *MUC1*, *c‑Met*, *AXL*, *NKG2D*, *integrin αvβ3*, and *ROR1,* all of which have shown promising preclinical results in various *in vitro* and *in vivo* models of engineered CAR T cell therapy (58). For example, CAR T-cells based on the receptor tyrosine kinase *AXL* have demonstrated significant efficacy in regulating *in vitro* cytotoxicity in MDA‑MB‑231 xenograft mice for TNBC (59). Another surface receptor, integrin αvβ3, which plays a role in cell adhesion between epithelial cells and their microenvironment has been targeted using a second generation of chimeric T‑lymphocytes in preclinical stages, leading to the release of cytokines such as *IL2* and *IFNγ* (60). The tyrosine kinase receptor *c*-Met is also being targeted with engineered CAR T‑receptors to induce cytolysis in TNBC cells and reduce tumour progression in TNBC xenografts with intact immune metabolism (61). *NKG2D*‑CAR T‑cell therapy has been used to target xenograft mouse models by inducing pro‑inflammatory responses. In second‑generation therapies, these engineered receptors, incorporating co‑stimulatory motifs such as 4 *-1BB*, have been shown to regulate anti-carcinogenic activity *in vivo* (62). Transmembrane proteins such as *MUC1* are often overexpressed in TNBC cells in glycosylated form. Therefore, *tMUC1*-CAR T-cell receptors have been engineered to stimulate cytokines and chemokines to act on mutant alleles in xenograft mice *in vitro* (63). Aberrations in breast tissues contributing to TNBC can potentially be treated with tyrosine kinase-like orphan receptor 1 (*ROR1*)-CAR T‑cells, which stimulate anti‑carcinogenic responses in different *in vitro* models (64). Numerous other potential cellular targets for TNBC have been explored in preclinical studies, as demonstrated below (Table I).

7. Malignancy‑specific CAR T‑cell clinical trials and BC CAR T‑cell clinical studies

Numerous clinical trials are currently underway to develop and advance CAR T‑cell immunotherapy (Table II) to assess the effectiveness of this treatment approach for various malignancies. These trials primarily involve patients with B‑NHL, B‑ALL, CLL, and glioblastoma, as well as other solid tumours and relapsed/refractory malignancies. It would be a remarkable achievement if some of these trials meet the regulatory standards set by the US FDA.

Several clinical studies for administering chimeric antigen immunotherapy in BCa patients are presented in Table III.

8. FDA‑approved therapies

Drugs for treating several refractory/relapsed B‑lymphocyte malignancies, including diffuse large cell BCL (DLBCL), have been approved by the FDA in the United States. These approvals stem from promising preclinical studies on mouse models and impressive results from clinical trials. In 2018 FDA granted approval to *CTL019* (tisagenlecleucel; NCT02228096; Fig. 4) for use in paediatric B‑ALL patients who had relapsed or failed previous treatments. A single-arm, open‑label, multi‑central phase II research study is currently ongoing to evaluate *CTL019*'s safety and efficacy in patients with r/r -B-ALL. This treatment has shown a high success rate, with *CTL019* achieving a 3-month full remission rate of 83% and a 6‑month survival rate of 89%. Additionally, the *ZUMA‑1* trial reported a total remission rate of 59% and an overall response rate of 82% (110,111). In 2019, following the completion of a phase II clinical study, *KTE‑C19* (NCT02348216; axicabtagene ciloleucel) received FDA authorisation as an orphan medication for the treatment of adults with *r/r‑*DLBCL. After undergoing Yescarta therapy, the complete remission rate was 51% (112). In 2020, brexucabtagene autoleucel was approved for the treatment of certain patients with Mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) (NCT02601313). Furthermore, in October 2021, brexucabtagene autoleucel (Tecartus) received approval for the treatment of adult patients with *r/r‑*B‑cell precursor ALL. These trials were conducted under *ZUMA‑3* phase I/II (NCT02614066), evaluating CD19‑targeted CAR T‑cell therapy for adult *r/r*-B-ALL A phase I trial infused lisocabtagene maraleucel (NCT02631044) to assess the drug's safety and efficacy levels for patients with *r/r‑*B‑cell NHL. According to a 23‑month trial, lisocabtagene maraleucel achieved an overall response rate (ORR) of 73% (113). In 2021, idecabtagene vicleucel was authorised by the US FDA for use in treating adult patients with *r/r‑*multiple myeloma. An ongoing phase II trial [NCT03361748] for this drug showed ORR and CR rates of 72 and 28%, respectively with $~65\%$ of patients remaining in CR for a full year. Finally, ciltacabtagene autoleucel was licensed for adult patients with *r/r‑*multiple myeloma in February 2022 based on the findings of the phase II clinical study [NCT03548207]. The clinical study reported an ORR of 97.9%, a response time of 21.8 months, and a follow‑up time of ~18 months. To identify the most precise medications for specific types of malignancies and further enhance treatment efficacy several clinical trials are currently underway, with the potential for future FDA approvals (Table II).

9. Contemporary advances in breast carcinoma CAR T cell therapy

In accordance with the intensity of their expression, tumour antigens are categorised into three groups: Cancer germline antigens, tumour-specific antigens (TSAs), and tumour-associated antigens (TAAs) (114). Cancer cells display TSAs on their surface with malignant cells being rich in TAAs such as *HER2* and *CD19* (115,116). Targeting TSAs can lead to side effects such as on-target/off-target toxicity as chimeric T‑cells attack them (117). Inhibitors such as *PARP*, *CDK4/6*, *AKT*, and *HER2*, that affect various carcinogenesis pathways, including the cell cycle, metastasis, and angiogenesis, have been investigated as potential therapeutic targets for impeding BCa proliferation. To date, four *PARP* antagonists (olaparib, talazoparib, rucaparib, and niraparib) have undergone extensive clinical research. Olaparib, the first FDA‑approved *PARP* inhibitor, targets the genetic activity of the *TOPBP1*

Table I. T‑lymphocytic CAR targets for TNBC.

AXL, tyrosine kinase receptor; CAR, chimeric antigen receptor; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; FRα, folate receptor α; GD2, disialoganglioside GD2; ICAM-1, intracellular adhesion molecule-1; c-MET, mesenchymal-epithelial transition factor; MUC1, mucin 1 glycoprotein; NKG2D, natural killer group 2-member D; ROR1, receptor tyrosine kinase-like orphan receptor 1; TEM8, tumour endothelial marker 8; TROP2, trophoblast cell surface antigen 2.

and *WEE1* genes. Olaparib is administered both as combination therapy (alongside chemotherapy) and as a monotherapy, with common side effects including anaemia and neutropenia (NCT02000622, NCT01445418, NCT02734004, NCT02032823, and NCT02789332) (118,119). *CDK4/6* plays a crucial role in facilitating tumour cell progression. FDA‑approved CDK4/6 antagonists include palbociclib, abemaciclib, and ribociclib, primarily targeting the *FOXM1* gene. Palbociclib, the first effective *CDK4/6* inhibitor, benefits both post‑ and pre‑menopausal women with *HER2‑*negative and *HR*‑positive BCa, with neutropenia being a common side effect. In certain combination therapies, pulmonary embolism, back pain, and diarrhoea were also observed (NCT02513394, NCT00141297, NCT01037790, NCT00721409, and NCT01942135) (119,120). In the *AKT/PI3K/mTOR* signalling pathway, *AKT* is a vital transducer affecting genes such as *PI3K*, *FOXO1*, *PIP2*, *PDK1*, *TSC1/2*, and *mTOR*. Activated AKT suppresses apoptotic pathways (Bcl-2-associated death

promoter) while stimulating cell proliferation pathways. Both allosteric and ATP‑competitive inhibitors have been designed, with ATP‑competitive *AKT* inhibitors proving superior. MK-2206, an allosteric inhibitor, used in combination therapy with trastuzumab, anthracycline, and neoadjuvant chemotherapy, was particularly effective for *HER2*‑positive BCa. Capivasertib and ipatasertib have demonstrated better efficacy than other ATP-competitive inhibitors (121). Advances in HER2‑targeted malignancy treatments have led to increased survival rates for *HER2*‑positive BCa patients (122). CAR T‑cell immunotherapy plays a crucial role in addressing the clinical challenge of BCa metastasis. *HER2‑redirected* chimeric T‑cell receptor immunotherapy can trigger a remarkable immunological response in xenograft models (123). This third‑generation CAR T‑cell therapy, featuring the *CD28* or *4‑1BB* co‑stimulatory domain, enhances survival, proliferation, and cancer cell control by CAR T-cells (124). Approximately 20-30%

N/A, not applicable; LUSC, lung squamous cell carcinoma; OS, osteosarcoma; LIHC, liver hepatocellular carcinoma; PAAD, pancreatic adenocarcinoma; STAD, stomach adenocarcinoma; RCC, renal cell carcinoma; CRPC, castrate‑resistant prostate cancer; PCC, peritoneal cell carcinoma; BRCA, breast cancer; LM, leptomeningeal metastases; MPE, malignant pleural effusion; B‑ALL, B‑cell acute lymphoblastic leukaemia; B‑CLL, B‑cell chronic lymphoblastic leukaemia; BCL, B‑cell lymphoma; B‑NHL, B‑cell non‑Hodgkin lymphoma; MPM, malignant pleural mesothelioma; NB, neuroblastoma; MM, multiple myeloma; FL, follicular lymphoma; *r/r‑*, relapsed and refractory; AML, acute myeloid lymphoma; MCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; CMPC, castrate metastatic prostate cancer; CNS, central nervous system.

of patients with *HER2* amplification with adverse prognostic outcomes have been administered *HER2/ERBB2* targeting the tyrosine kinase receptors that are responsible for activating the downstream signalling pathways such as *P13K*, *MEK*, *PKC*, and *JAK/STAT* once triggered, leading to tumour progression (125). The FDA-approved mAB

trastuzumab targets *HER2* receptors and has resulted in clinical improvements for BCa patients (126). The clinical trial [NCT02792114] identified *MSLN* as a prospective therapeutic target for *MSLN*‑specific metastatic BCa. To optimize tumour specificity, the *in vitro* CAR T‑cell therapy has been developed for targeting *MUC1* and *ERBB2* for BCa

CAR, chimeric antigen receptor.

patients, resulting in T‑cell survival within malignant tumour cells (127). Targeting the *env* gene of human endogenous retroviruses (HERV)‑K with HERV‑K‑targeted CAR T‑cell therapy has demonstrated anti‑malignant effects, as the env protein is involved in tumour progression and is expressed in ~70% of BCa cases (128,129). Approximately 15-30% of BCa patients have *HER1* gene amplification; primarily in cases of TNBC (130). TNBC is resistant to conventional (anti‑*HER2* and endocrine) treatments due to the absence of *EGFR*, oestrogen receptors (ERs), and progesterone receptors (PRs) (131). TNBC occurs in 45‑70% of patients (132). Several target antigens for TNBC, such as chondroitin sulphate proteoglycan 4 (*CSPG4*), intracellular adhesion molecule‑1 (*ICAM‑1*), natural killer group 2 member D ligand (*NKG2DL*), *AXL*, tumour endothelial marker 8 (*TEM8*), integrin αVβ3, orphan receptor 1 (*ROR1*), *c‑MET*, folate receptor α (*FRα*), *EGFR*, *mesothelin*, disialoganglio‑ side (*GD2*), mucin 1 (*MUC1*), and trophoblast cell-surface antigen 2 (*TROP2*), have been identified (133) Atezolizumab, an anti‑PD L1‑based immunotherapy, in combination with the chemotherapeutic drug nab‑paclitaxel, is used for TNBC treatment (134). Other promising target antigens are currently in the preclinical stage. Based on the results of nano‑ultra performance liquid chromatography, five antigens have been identified, namely, IL-32, syntenin-1, ribophorin-2, proliferating cell nuclear antigen, and cofilin‑1 (135). Targeting these tumour antigens may replace chemotherapy treatments and

serve as a future approach for reprogramming CAR T-cells for TNBC treatment.

10. Limitations

The remarkable success of these engineered chimeric receptors in treating B‑cell and haematological malignancies has made them a considerable and promising therapeutic option for B‑cell tumours and haematological cancers. Despite being one of the most advanced therapies against malignancies, CAR T‑cell therapy has several potential toxicities, including CRS, NT, on/off-target tumour detection, and induction of anaphylaxis (159,160). Additionally, there are certain challenges that arise during the treatment of solid tumours such as BCa and TNBC, including antigen escape (161) and an immunosuppressive tumour microenvironment (162).

CRS. CRS is an unfavourable inflammatory response that can occur during CAR T‑cell therapy and mAB infusions. CRS is triggered by the activation of NK cells, B‑lymphocytes, T-lymphocytes, phagocytic cells, APCs, and certain endothelial tissue matrix cells (163,164). CRS is witnessed following the infusion of mAbs, *IL2*, and certain bispecific CAR T-cell domains such as *CD19‑CD3* antibodies. The severity of CRS depends on the tumour burden in the patient. For instance, a case report by Teachey *et al* (165) noted that patients with relapsed/refractory B‑ALL who received CD19‑specific CAR

Figure 4. FDA‑approved drugs for the CAR‑T‑cell therapy of different malignancies such as B‑ALL, DLBCL, *r/r*‑B‑ALL, B‑cell NHL, and *r/r*‑MM in paediatric and adult patients. FDA, Federal Drug Administration; B‑ALL, B‑cell acute lymphoblastic leukaemia; DLBCL, diffuse large cell B‑cell lymphoma, *r/r*‑, relapsed/refractory; B‑cell NHL, B‑cell non‑Hodgkin lymphoma; MM, multiple myeloma.

T‑cell immunotherapy experienced complications, including high levels of CRS (19-43%).

Corticosteroids play a significant role in reversing CRS symptoms without affecting the drug's anti-malignant effect. However, it is worth noting that prolonged systemic corticosteroid use for >14 days can have adverse effects on the drug's anti-carcinogenic effects. To address this concern, the FDA approved tocilizumab as a rapid reversal drug for CRS (166‑169).

On/off-target carcinogen recognition. On/Off-target toxicity occurs when the intended target carcinogen is primarily present in cancerous cells but also binds to CAR T-cell target antigens in non‑malignant tissues. This toxicity has been observed in gastrointestinal and haematologic organ systems (170). The first instance of on/off-target toxicity was observed in renal cell carcinoma patients who were treated with chimeric antigen‑recognising carbonic anhydrase IX (CAIX) (171).

A study conducted by Morgan *et al* (172) observed toxicity in colorectal cancer patients who received third-generation CAR T‑cell therapy targeting *CRBB2/HER2*. To mitigate the extent of long-term toxicities such as those mentioned above, suicidal genes can be introduced into the vector (172,173).

Patients receiving CAR T-cell therapy for CD19-specific neoplasms may experience focal neurological symptoms, including aphasia, seizures, allodynia, and apraxia (174). Importantly, the severity of neurological sequelae can be partially influenced by the cytokine levels of the patient. For example, 78% of B-cell NHL patients who received axicabtagene ciloleucel experienced NT, and 87% of B‑ALL patients treated with brexucabtagene autoleucel suffered from neurologic toxicities. Studies have shown no clear correlation between the proportion of modified and naturally occurring T‑cells and the presence of EEG abnormalities. It remains unclear whether NT is specific to CD19‑specific malignancies or can occur with other antigens (175).

Anaphylaxis. Anaphylaxis occurs when the body's natural defence system overreacts and triggers an excessive inflammatory response. The primary reason for anaphylaxis in CAR T‑cell therapy is the use of chimeric T‑lymphocytic receptors derived from murine mAbs (175,176). Mesothelin, a tumour-associated antigen, is often overexpressed in malignancies such as malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM), pancreatic cancer, and ovarian cancer. Preclinical models showed that multiple infusions of anti-mesothelin and anti-CD19 RNA CAR T-cells had anti-tumour effects. Based on this, human clinical trials were conducted (NCT01355965) involving meso‑RNA‑CAR T therapy. However, multiple meso‑mRNA CAR T‑cell infusions in a limited time frame resulted in a patient experiencing an anaphylactic shock. To mitigate this toxicity, T-cell infusion was terminated (177-179). The transfer of genetically engineered T‑cells requires careful monitoring, prompt recognition, and immediate management of these side effects to reduce any potential negative outcomes.

Toxicities in BCa and TNBC. One major limitation when targeting BCa antigens is the proper identification of the target antigen due to its low expression levels in vital organs, which could lead to off-target toxic effects (114). Another toxic outcome when targeting these receptors on proliferating cells in breast tissues is intratumor heterogeneity, which causes resis‑ tance of tumour antigens to single target engineered receptors, a phenomenon known as antigen escape. In antigen escape,

engineered CAR T-cells lose their efficacy against carcinogens. To counteract this toxicity, several preclinical experiments have combined dual antigens to target solid tumours, which eventually improves treatment efficacy. For example, several tandem chimeric receptors, including *HER2* and *MUC1* in BCa, have shown enhanced anti-carcinogenic effects in preclinical models. Another significant challenge of CAR T‑cell therapy in solid tumours, particularly in BCa, is associated with an immunosuppressive TME. The TME is comprised of multiple immunosuppressive elements, including carcinogenic cells, regulatory T-lymphocytes (T_{reg}), myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), cancer-associated fibroblasts, and tumour‑associated macrophages (TAMs). Additionally, various cytokines, chemokines, and extracellular matrix components are integral parts of the TME that help in regulating progression, angiogenesis, and metastasis by providing necessary growth regulators, chemokines, interleukins, transforming growth factor‑β (TGF‑β), indoleamine 2,3‑dioxygenase (IDO), and vascular endothelial growth factor. *PD‑1* and *CTLA‑4* are other immunosuppressive checkpoint blockers that affect chimeric-engineered T-receptors, hindering their anti-carcinogenic reactions against solid tumours such as TNBC (180-183). A strategy to combat the immunosuppressive TME involves engineering armoured CARs that release pro‑inflammatory cytokines to favourably reshape anti‑carcinogenic responses. Interleukins such as *IL‑12* and *IL‑18* are released to enhance anti‑carcinogenic reactions by *IFNγ* and Treg inhibition that triggers M1 macrophages (184,185).

11. Conclusions and future perspectives

A significant barrier leading to on/off-target tumour toxicity in solid tumours associated with TAAs is the challenge of specifically targeting tumour cells. New CAR designs are being developed with improved tumour selectivity and reduced off-target effects. This includes the use of synthetic receptors such as synNotch receptors to enhance the specificity of CAR T‑cells. Another hurdle is TME, in which solid tumours release chemotactic cytokines such as *CXCL1*, *CXCL12*, *and CXCL5*, which suppress T‑cell acti‑ vation (186,187). To overcome these challenges, additional proteins such as armoured CAR T‑cells are incorporated into engineered receptors to withstand immunosuppressive responses primarily found in TME to improve the eradication of tumours. The incorporation of 'suicide genes' into CARs also provides an opportunity to mitigate toxicity by deactivating the CAR T‑cells (188,189). TNBCs, which have historically been challenging to treat and often rely on chemotherapy with low survival rates, are now the focus of several combination therapies in preliminary and interventional trials. Examples include *CDK7* with *EGFR* CAR therapy (190) and anti‑PD‑L1 with PARP inhibitory therapy (190). Researchers are continually exploring various methods to overcome these obstacles, such as integrating *CRISPR/Cas9* systems into CAR immunotherapy for genome editing and the development of universal CAR T‑cells (190,191). Advances in multi‑omics have improved the ability to identify unique neoantigens resulting from tumour‑specific polymorphisms, potentially leading to more targeted therapies with fewer adverse effects (192).

The potential developments in CAR T-cell therapy are promising and marked by significant advancements. As technology progresses, the field may witness increased safety measures, innovative target identification, combination therapies, an increased range of tools, and breakthroughs in manufacturing and delivery. These developments are likely to shape the landscape of precision immunotherapy, highlighting novel avenues for more effective and personalised cancer treatments. The unwavering dedication of researchers, healthcare professionals, and industry stakeholders will pave the way for a future where CAR T‑cell immunotherapy proves highly effective in treating sarcomas, ultimately improving patient outcomes (193).

Overall, the potential of CAR T‑cells in treating solid tumours, including BCa and TNBC, has yielded promising results in clinical trials. The label of being 'difficult to treat' for TNBCs may soon be erased through the effective outcomes achievable with these engineered receptors.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding

This research has been funded by Scientific Research Deanship at University of Ha'il‑Saudi Arabia through project number MDR‑22 038.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

MZN, S, wrote the major parts of the manuscript and prepared the figures and tables. CD and SG revised the manuscript. HEME, FHK, AAE and NT performed the bibliographic research and prepared the table and figures. MAK conceptualized the study and oversaw the process. All authors helped to write the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript. Data authentication is not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Patient consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- 1. National Cancer Institute. What is cancer?, 2021. https://www. cancer.gov/about-cancer/understanding/what-is-cancer.
- 2. Blackadar CB: Historical review of the causes of cancer. World J Clin Oncol 7: 54‑86, 2016.
- 3. Debela DT, Muzazu SG, Heraro KD, Ndalama MT, Mesele BW, Haile DC, Kitui SK and Manyazewal T: New approaches and procedures for cancer treatment: Current perspectives. SAGE Open Med 9: 20503121211034366, 2021.
- 4. Decker WK and Safdar A: Bioimmunoadjuvants for the treatment of neoplastic and infectious disease: Coley's legacy revisited. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 20: 271‑281, 2009.
- 5. Valent P, Groner B, Schumacher U, Superti‑Furga G, Busslinger M, Kralovics R, Zielinski C, Penninger JM, Kerjaschki D, Stingl G, *et al*: Paul Ehrlich (1854‑1915) and his contributions to the foundation and birth of translational medicine. J Innate Immun 8: 111‑120, 2016.
- 6. Rosenberg SA: IL‑2: The first effective immunotherapy for human cancer. J Immunol 192: 5451‑5458, 2014.
- 7. Pierpont TM, Limper CB and Richards KL: Past, present, and future of rituximab-the world's first oncology monoclonal antibody therapy. Front Oncol 8: 163, 163, 2018.
- 8. June CH, O'Connor RS, Kawalekar OU, Ghassemi S and Milone MC: CAR T cell immunotherapy for human cancer. Science 359: 1361‑1365, 2018.
- 9. Rosenberg SA, Restifo NP, Yang JC, Morgan RA and Dudley ME: Adoptive cell transfer: A clinical path to effective cancer immunotherapy. Nat Rev Cancer 8: 299‑308, 2008.
- 10. Gross \tilde{G} , Waks T and Eshhar Z: Expression of immunoglobulin‑T‑cell receptor chimeric molecules as functional receptors with antibody-type specificity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 86: 10024‑10028, 1989.
- 11. Graham C, Hewitson R, Pagliuca A and Benjamin R: Cancer immunotherapy with CAR-T cells-behold the future. Clin Med (Lond) 18: 324‑328, 2018.
- 12. Maus MV: A decade of CAR T cell evolution. Nat Cancer 3: 270‑271, 2022.
- 13. Cameron BJ, Gerry AB, Dukes J, Harper JV, Kannan V, Bianchi FC, Grand F, Brewer JE, Gupta M, Plesa G, *et al*: Identification of a Titin‑derived HLA‑A1‑presented peptide as a cross‑reactive target for engineered MAGE A3‑directed T cells. Sci Transl Med 5: 197ra103, 2013.
- 14. June CH, Riddell SR and Schumacher TN: Adoptive cellular therapy: A race to the finish line. Sci Transl Med 7: 280ps7, 2015.
- 15. Lee YH and Kim CH: Evolution of chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cell therapy: current status and future perspectives. Arch Pharm Res 42: 607‑616, 2019.
- 16. Titov A, Valiullina A, Zmievskaya E, Zaikova E, Petukhov A, Miftakhova R, Bulatov E and Rizvanov A: Advancing CAR T‑cell therapy for solid tumors: Lessons learned from lymphoma treatment. Cancers (Basel) 12: 125, 2020.
- 17. Jayaraman J, Mellody MP, Hou AJ, Desai RP, Fung AW, Pham AHT, Chen YY and Zhao W: CAR-T design: Elements and their synergistic function. EBioMedicine 58: 102931, 2020.
- 18. Xie YJ, Dougan M, Jailkhani N, Ingram J, Fang T, Kummer L, Momin N, Pishesha N, Rickelt S, Hynes RO and Ploegh H: Nanobody-based CAR T cells that target the tumor microenvironment inhibit the growth of solid tumors in immunocompetent mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 116: 7624‑7631, 2019.
- 19. Barber A, Rynda A and Sentman CL: Chimeric NKG2D expressing T cells eliminate immunosuppression and activate immunity within the ovarian tumor microenvironment. J Immunol 183: 6939‑6947, 2009.
- 20. Lynn RC, Feng Y, Schutsky K, Poussin M, Kalota A, Dimitrov DS and Powell DJ Jr: High-affinity FRβ-specific CAR T cells eradicate AML and normal myeloid lineage without HSC toxicity. Leukemia 30: 1355‑1364, 2016.
- 21. Guest RD, Hawkins RE, Kirillova N, Cheadle EJ, Arnold J, O'Neill A, Irlam J, Chester KA, Kemshead JT, Shaw DM, *et al*: The role of extracellular spacer regions in the optimal design of chimeric immune receptors: Evaluation of four different scFvs and antigens. J Immunother 28: 203‑211, 2005.
- 22. Hudecek M, Sommermeyer D, Kosasih PL, Silva‑Benedict A, Liu L, Rader C, Jensen MC and Riddell SR: The nonsignaling extracellular spacer domain of chimeric antigen receptors is decisive for in vivo antitumor activity. Cancer Immunol Res 3: 125‑135, 2015.
- 23. Zhang C, Liu J, Zhong JF and Zhang X: Engineering CAR‑T cells. Biomark Res 5: 22, 2017.
- 24. Sterner RC and Sterner RM: CAR-T cell therapy: Current limitations and potential strategies. Blood Cancer J 11: 69, 2021.
- 25. Mohanty R, Chowdhury CR, Arega S, Sen P, Ganguly P and Ganguly N: CAR T cell therapy: A new era for cancer treatment (Review). Oncol Rep 42: 2183‑2195, 2019.
- 26. Zhang Q, Ping J, Huang Z, Zhang X, Zhou J, Wang G, Liu S and Ma J: CAR-T cell therapy in cancer: Tribulations and road ahead. J Immunol Res 2020: 1924379, 2020.
- 27. Louis CU, Savoldo B, Dotti G, Pule M, Yvon E, Myers GD, Rossig C, Russell HV, Diouf O, Liu E, *et al*: Antitumor activity and long-term fate of chimeric antigen receptor-positive T cells in patients with neuroblastoma. Blood 118: 6050‑6056, 2011.
- 28. Duong CP, Yong CS, Kershaw MH, Slaney CY and Darcy PK: Cancer immunotherapy utilizing gene‑modified T cells: From the bench to the clinic. Mol Immunol 67: 46‑57, 2015.
- 29. Qian L, Li D, Ma L, He T, Qi F, Shen J and Lu XA: The novel anti‑CD19 chimeric antigen receptors with humanized scFv (single‑chain variable fragment) trigger leukemia cell killing. Cell Immunol 304: 49‑54, 2016.
- 30. Lock D, Mockel-Tenbrinck N, Drechsel K, Barth C, Mauer D, Schaser T, Kolbe C, Al Rawashdeh W, Brauner J, Hardt O, et al: Automated manufacturing of potent CD20-directed chimeric antigen receptor t cells for clinical use. Hum Gene Ther 28: 914‑925, 2017.
- 31. Zhao Z, Condomines M, van der Stegen SJC, Perna F, Kloss CC, neered costimulation determines tumor rejection kinetics and persistence of CAR T cells. Cancer Cell 28: 415-428, 2015.
32. Hombach A, Hombach AA and Abken H: Adoptive immu-
- notherapy with genetically engineered T cells: Modification of the IgG1 Fc 'spacer' domain in the extracellular moiety of tended initiation of an innate immune response. Gene Ther 17: 1206‑1213, 2010.
- 33. Zhong XS, Matsushita M, Plotkin J, Riviere I and Sadelain M: Chimeric antigen receptors combining 4‑1BB and CD28 signaling domains augment PI3kinase/AKT/Bcl-XL activation and CD8+ T cell-mediated tumor eradication. Mol Ther 18: 413‑420, 2010.
- 34. Quintarelli C, Orlando D, Boffa I, Guercio M, Polito VA, Petretto A, Lavarello C, Sinibaldi M, Weber G, Del Bufalo F, et al: Choice of costimulatory domains and of cytokines determines CAR T-cell activity in neuroblastoma. Oncoimmunology 7: e1433518, 2018.
- 35. Abate-Daga D, Lagisetty KH, Tran E, Zheng Z, Gattinoni L, Yu Z, Burns WR, Miermont AM, Teper Y, Rudloff U, et al: A novel chimeric antigen receptor against prostate stem cell antigen mediates tumor destruction in a humanized mouse model of pancreatic cancer. Hum Gene Ther 25: 1003‑1012, 2014.
- 36. Pulè MA, Straathof KC, Dotti G, Heslop HE, Rooney CM and Brenner MK: A chimeric T cell antigen receptor that augments cytokine release and supports clonal expansion of primary human T cells. Mol Ther 12: 933-941, 2005.
- 37. Beatty GL and Moon EK: Chimeric antigen receptor T cells are vulnerable to immunosuppressive mechanisms present within the tumor microenvironment. Oncoimmunology 3: e970027, 2014.
- 38. Chmielewski M and Abken H: TRUCKs: The fourth generation of CARs. Expert Opin Biol Ther 15: 1145‑1154, 2015.
- 39. Kueberuwa G, Kalaitsidou M, Cheadle E, Hawkins RE and Gilham DE: CD19 CAR T cells expressing IL‑12 eradicate lymphoma in fully lymphoreplete mice through induction of host immunity. Mol Ther Oncolytics 8: 41‑51, 2017.
- 40. John LB, Devaud C, Duong CP, Yong CS, Beavis PA, Haynes NM, Chow MT, Smyth MJ, Kershaw MH and Darcy PK: Anti-PD-1 antibody therapy potently enhances the eradication of established tumors by gene-modified T cells. Clin Cancer Res 19: 5636‑5646, 2013.
- 41. Kim DW and Cho JY: Recent advances in allogeneic CAR‑T cells. Biomolecules 10: 263, 2020.
- 42. Kagoya Y, Tanaka S, Guo T, Anczurowski M, Wang CH, Saso K, Butler MO, Minden MD and Hirano N: A novel chimeric antigen receptor containing a JAK‑STAT signaling domain mediates superior antitumor effects. Nat Med 24: 352-359, 2018.
- 43. Dai H, Wang Y, Lu X and Han W: Chimeric antigen receptors modified T‑cells for cancer therapy. J Natl Cancer Inst 108: djv439, 2016.
- 44. Li D, Li X, Zhou WL, Huang Y, Liang X, Jiang L, Yang X, Sun J, Li Z, Han WD and Wang W: Genetically engineered T cells for cancer immunotherapy. Signal Transduct Target Ther 4: 35, 2019.
- facturing of CAR T cell therapy. Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev 4: 92‑101, 2016.
- 46. Benmebarek MR, Karches CH, Cadilha BL, Lesch S, Endres S and Kobold S: Killing mechanisms of chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cells. Int J Mol Sci 20: 1283, 2019.
- 47. Guo S and Deng CX: Effect of stromal cells in tumor microenvironment on metastasis initiation. Int J Biol Sci 14: 2083-2093, 2018.
- 48. Morton JJ, Bird G, Keysar SB, Astling DP, Lyons TR, Anderson RT, Glogowska MJ, Estes P, Eagles JR, Le PN, et al: XactMice: Humanizing mouse bone marrow enables microenvironment reconstitution in a patient–derived xenograft model of head and neck cancer. Oncogene 35: 290‑300, 2016.
- 49. Najima Y, Tomizawa‑Murasawa M, Saito Y, Watanabe T, Ono R, Ochi T, Suzuki N, Fujiwara H, Ohara O, Shultz LD, *et al*: Induction of WT1‑specific human CD8+ T cells from human HSCs in HLA class I Tg NOD/SCID/IL2rgKO mice. Blood 127: 722‑734, 2016.
- 50. Yin L, Wang XJ, Chen DX, Liu XN and Wang XJ: Humanized mouse model: A review on preclinical applications for cancer immunotherapy. Am J Cancer Res 10: 4568‑4584, 2020.
- Zitvogel L, Pitt JM, Daillère R, Smyth MJ and Kroemer G: Mouse models in on immunology. Nat Rev Cancer 16: 759‑773, 2016.
- 52. Slabik C, Kalbarczyk M, Danisch S, Zeidler R, Klawonn F, Volk V, Krönke N, Feuerhake F, Ferreira de Figueiredo C, Blasczyk R, *et al*: CAR‑T cells targeting Epstein‑Barr virus gp350 validated in a humanized mouse model of EBV infection and lymphoproliferative disease. Mol Ther Oncolytics 18: 504‑524, 2020.
- 53. Jin CH, Xia J, Rafiq S, Huang X, Hu Z, Zhou X, Brentjens RJ and Yang YG: Modeling anti-CD19 CAR T cell therapy in human-
ized mice with human immunity and autologous leukemia. EBioMedicine 39: 173‑181, 2019.
- 54. Gulati P, Rühl J, Kannan A, Pircher M, Schuberth P, Nytko KJ, Pruschy M, Sulser S, Haefner M, Jensen S, *et al*: Aberrant Lck ality and tumor control by redirected T cells with PD-1 blockade in humanized mice. Clin Cancer Res 24: 3981-3993, 2018.
- 55. Roskoski R Jr: The ErbB/HER family of protein‑tyrosine kinases and cancer. Pharmacol Res 79: 34‑74, 2014.
- 56. Szöőr Á, Tóth G, Zsebik B, Szabó V, Eshhar Z, Abken H and Vereb G: Trastuzumab derived HER2‑specific CARs for the treatment of trastuzumab‑resistant breast cancer: CAR T cells penetrate and eradicate tumors that are not accessible to anti-
bodies. Cancer Lett 484: 1-8, 2020.
- 57. Liu Y, Zhou Y, Huang KH, Li Y, Fang X, An L, Wang F, Chen Q, Zhang Y, Shi A, *et al*: EGFR‑specific CAR‑T cells trigger cell lysis in EGFR-positive TNBC. Aging (Albany NY) 11: 11054‑11072, 2019.
- 58. Corti C, Venetis K, Sajjadi E, Zattoni L, Curigliano G and Fusco N: CAR-T cell therapy for triple-negative breast cancer and other solid tumors: Preclinical and clinical progress. Expert Opin Investig Drugs 31: 593‑605, 2022.
- 59. Wei J, Sun H, Zhang A, Wu X, Li Y, Liu J, Duan Y, Xiao F, Wang H, Lv M, *et al*: A novel AXL chimeric antigen receptor endows T cells with anti-tumor effects against triple negative breast cancers. Cell Immunol 331: 49‑58, 2018.
- 60. Wallstabe L, Mades A, Frenz S, Einsele H, Rader C and Hudecek M: CAR T cells targeting $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_3$ integrin are effective against advanced cancer in preclinical models. Adv Cell Gene Ther 1: e11, 2018.
- 61. Zhao X, Qu J, Hui Y, Zhang H, Sun Y, Liu X, Zhao X, Zhao Z, Yang Q, Wang F and Zhang S: Clinicopathological and prog-
nostic significance of c‑Met overexpression in breast cancer. Oncotarget 8: 56758‑56767, 2017.
- 62. Han Y, Xie W, Song DG and Powell DJ Jr: Control of triple-negative breast cancer using ex vivo self-enriched, costimulated NKG2D CAR T cells. J Hematol Oncol 11: 92, 2018.
- 63. Zhou R, Yazdanifar M, Roy LD, Whilding LM, Gavrill A, Maher J and Mukherjee P: CAR T cells targeting the tumor MUC1 glycoprotein reduce triple-negative breast cancer growth. Front Immunol 10: 1149, 2019.
- 64. Wallstabe L, Göttlich C, Nelke LC, Kühnemundt J, Schwarz T, Nerreter T, Einsele H, Walles H, Dandekar G, Nietzer SL and Hudecek M: ROR1-CAR T cells are effective against lung and breast cancer in advanced microphysiologic 3D tumor models. JCI Insight 4: e126345, 2019.
- 65. Zhao Z, Li Y, Liu W and Li X: Engineered IL‑7 receptor enhances the therapeutic effect of AXL‑CAR‑T cells on triple‑negative breast cancer. Biomed Res Int 2020: 4795171, 2020.
- 66. Caratelli S, Arriga R, Sconocchia T, Ottaviani A, Lanzilli G, Pastore D, Cenciarelli C, Venditti A, Del Principe MI, Lauro D, *et al*: In vitro elimination of epidermal growth factor receptor-overexpressing cancer cells by CD32A-chimeric receptor T cells in combination with cetuximab or panitumumab. Int J Cancer 146: 236‑247, 2020.
- 67. Song DG, Ye Q, Poussin M, Chacon JA, Figini M and Powell DJ Jr: Effective adoptive immunotherapy of triple‑negative breast cancer by folate receptor-alpha redirected CAR T cells is influenced by surface antigen expression level. J Hematol Oncol 9: 56, 2016.
- 68. Seitz CM, Schroeder S, Knopf P, Krahl AC, Hau J, Schleicher S, Martella M, Quintanilla‑Martinez L, Kneilling M, Pichler B, *et al*: GD2‑targeted chimeric antigen receptor T cells prevent metastasis formation by elimination of breast cancer stem-like cells. Oncoimmunology 9: 1683345, 2019.
- 69. Yang Y, Vedvyas Y, McCloskey JE, Min IM and Jin MM: ICAM‑1 targeting CAR T cell therapy for triple negative breast cancer. Cancer Res 79 (13 Suppl): S2322, 2019.
- 70. Hu W, Zi Z, Jin Y, Li G, Shao K, Cai Q, Ma X and Wei F: CRISPR/Cas9‑mediated PD‑1 disruption enhances human meso‑ thelin-targeted CAR T cell effector functions. Cancer Immunol Immunother 68: 365‑377, 2019.
- 71. Petrovic K, Robinson J, Whitworth K, Jinks E, Shaaban A and Lee SP: TEM8/ANTXR1-specific CAR T cells mediate toxicity in vivo. PLoS One 14: e0224015, 2019.
- 72. Byrd TT, Fousek K, Pignata A, Szot C, Samaha H, Seaman S, Dobrolecki L, Salsman VS, Oo HZ, Bielamowicz K, *et al*: TEM8/ANTXR1‑specific CAR T cells as a targeted therapy for triple-negative breast cancer. Cancer Res 78: 489-500, 2018
- 73. Bedoya DM, King T and Posey AD: Generation of CART cells targeting oncogenic TROP2 for the elimination of epithelial malignancies. Cytotherapy 21 (Suppl): S11‑S12, 2019.
- 74. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Genetically Modified T-cells in Treating Patients With Recurrent or Refractory Malignant Glioma. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT02208362. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2015. https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/ NCT02208362.
75. National Library
- 75. National Library of Medicine (NLM): CART‑EGFRvIII + Pembrolizumab in GBM. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT03726515. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2019. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03726515.
- 76. National Library of Medicine (NLM): GPC3-CAR-T Cells for Immunotherapy of Cancer With GPC3 Expression. ClinicalTrials. gov ID, NCTÔ3198546. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2017. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03198546.
- 77. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Her2 Chimeric Antigen Receptor Expressing T Cells in Advanced Sarcoma. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT00902044. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2010. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00902044.
- 78. National Library of Medicine (NLM): CEA-Expressing Liver Metastases Safety Study of Intrahepatic Infusions of Anti-CEA Designer T Cells (HITM). ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT01373047. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2011. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT01373047.
- 79. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Autologous Redirected RNA Meso CAR T Cells for Pancreatic Cancer. ClinicalTrials. gov ID, NCT01897415. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2013. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01897415.
- 80. National Library of Medicine (NLM): CAR T Cell Immunotherapy for Pancreatic Cancer. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT03323944. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2017. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT03323944.
- 81. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Clinical Study of CAR-CLD18 T Cells in Patients With Advanced Gastric Adenocarcinoma and Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT03159819. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2017. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03159819.
- 82. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Safety and Efficacy of CCT301 CAR-T in Adult Subjects With Recurrent or Refractory Stage IV Renal Cell Carcinoma. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT03393936. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2018. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT03393936.
- 83. National Library of Medicine (NLM): PSCA-CAR T Cells in Treating Patients With PSCA+ Metastatic Castration Resistant Prostate Cancer. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT03873805. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2019. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT03873805.
- 84. National Library of Medicine (NLM): CART-PSMA-TGFβRDN Cells for Castrate-Resistant Prostate Cancer. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT03089203. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2017. https://clinical-
trials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03089203.
85. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Autologous huMNC2-
- CAR44 or huMNC2-CAR22 T Cells for Breast Cancer Targeting Cleaved Form of MUC1 (MUC1*). ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT04020575. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2020. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT04020575.
- 86. National Library of Medicine (NLM): MOv19-BBz CAR T Cells in aFR Expressing Recurrent High Grade Serous Ovarian, Fallopian Tube, or Primary Peritoneal Cancer. ClinicalTrials. gov ID, NCT03585764. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2018. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03585764.
- 87. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Cyclophosphamide Followed by Intravenous and Intraperitoneal Infusion of Autologous T Cells Genetically Engineered to Secrete IL-12 and to Target the MUC16ecto Antigen in Patients With Recurrent MUC16ecto+ Solid Tumors. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT02498912. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2015. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT02498912.
- 88. National Library of Medicine (NLM): T-Cell Therapy for Advanced Breast Cancer. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT02792114. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2016. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT02792114.
- 89. National Library of Medicine (NLM): T Cells Expressing HER2-specific Chimeric Antigen Receptors(CAR) for Patients With HER2-Positive CNS Tumors (iCAR). ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT02442297. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2016. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02442297.
- 90. National Library of Medicine (NLM): HER2-CAR T Cells in Treating Patients With Recurrent Brain or Leptomeningeal Metastases. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT03696030. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2018. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT03696030.
- 91. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Malignant Pleural Disease Treated With Autologous T Cells Genetically Engineered to Target the Cancer-Cell Surface Antigen Mesothelin. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT02414269. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2015. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02414269.
- 92. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Precursor B Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (B-ALL) Treated With Autologous T Cells Genetically Targeted to the B Cell Specific Antigen CD19. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT01044069. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2010. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01044069.
- 93. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Treatment of Relapsed or Chemotherapy Refractory Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia or Indolent B Cell Lymphoma Using Autologous T Cells Genetically Targeted to the B Cell Specific Antigen CD19. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT00466531. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2007. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00466531.
- 94. National Library of Medicine (NLM): CD19 Chimeric Receptor Expressing T Lymphocytes In B-Cell Non Hodgkin's Lymphoma, ALL & CLL (CRETI-NH). ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT00586391. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2009. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT00586391.
- 95. National Library of Medicine (NLM): CD19 Chimeric Receptor Expressing T Lymphocytes In B-Cell Non Hodgkin's Lymphoma, ALL & CLL (CRETI-NH). ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT00608270. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2009. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00608270.
- 96. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Anti-CD22 Chimeric Receptor T Cells in Pediatric and Young Adults With Recurrent or Refractory CD22-expressing B Cell Malignancies. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT02315612. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2014. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02315612.
- 97. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Re-directed T Cells for the Treatment (FAP)-Positive Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT01722149. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2015. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01722149.
- 98. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Engineered Neuroblastoma Cellular Immunotherapy (ENCIT)-01. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT02311621. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2014. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02311621.
- 99. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Study of bb21217 in Multiple Myeloma. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT03274219. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2017. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT03274219.
- 100. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Kappa-CD28 T Lymphocytes, Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia, B-cell Lymphoma or Multiple Myeloma, CHARKALL (CHARKALL). ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT00881920. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2009. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00881920.
- 101. National Library of Medicine (NLM): KSafety and Efficacy of ALLO-501 Anti-CD19 Allogeneic CAR T Cells in Adults With Relapsed/Refractory Large B Cell or Follicular Lymphoma (ALPHA). ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT03939026. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2019. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT03939026.
- 102. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Dose-escalation, Dose-expansion Study of Safety of PBCAR0191 in Patients With r/r NHL and r/r B-cell ALL. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT03666000. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2019. https://clinical‑ trials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03666000.
- 103. National Library of Medicine (NLM): A Safety and Efficacy Study Evaluating CTX110 in Subjects With Relapsed or Refractory B-Cell Malignancies (CARBON). ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT04035434. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2019. https://clinical‑ trials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04035434.
- 104. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Study Evaluating Safety and Efficacy of UCART123 in Patients With Relapsed/ Refractory Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AMELI-01). ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT03190278. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2017. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT03190278.
- 105. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Safety and Efficacy of ALLO-715 BCMA Allogenic CAR T Cells in in Adults With Relapsed or Refractory Multiple Myeloma (UNIVERSAL) (UNIVERSAL). ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT04093596. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2019. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT04093596.
- 106. National Library of Medicine (NLM): SaalloSHRINK Standard cHemotherapy Regimen and Immunotherapy With Allogeneic NKG2D-based CYAD-101 Chimeric Antigen Receptor T-cells (alloSHRINK). ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT03692429. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2018. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT03692429.
- 107. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Adoptive Transfer of Autologous T Cells Targeted to Prostate Specific Membrane Antigen (PSMA) for the Treatment of Castrate Metastatic Prostate Cancer (CMPC). ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT01140373. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2010. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT01140373.
- 108. National Library of Medicine (NLM): 3rd Generation GD-2 Chimeric Antigen Receptor and iCaspase Suicide Safety Switch, Neuroblastoma, GRAIN (GRAIN). ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT01822652. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2013. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01822652.
- 109. National Library of Medicine (NLM): iC9-GD2-CAR-VZV-CTLs/Refractory or Metastatic GD2-positive Sarcoma and Neuroblastoma (VEGAS). ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT01953900. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2014. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT01953900.
- 110. Almond LM, Charalampakis M, Ford SJ, Gourevitch D and Desai A: Myeloid sarcoma: Presentation, diagnosis, and treatment. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk 17: 263‑267, 2017.
- 111. Locke FL, Neelapu SS, Bartlett NL, Siddiqi T, Chavez JC, Hosing CM, Ghobadi A, Budde LE, Bot A, Rossi JM, *et al*: Phase 1 results of ZUMA-1: A multicenter study of KTE-C19 anti-CD19 CAR T cell therapy in refractory aggressive lymphoma. Mol Ther 25: 285‑295, 2017.
- 112. Jain MD, Bachmeier CA, Phuoc VH and Chavez JC: Axicabtagene ciloleucel (KTE‑C19), an anti‑CD19 CAR T therapy for the treatment of relapsed/refractory aggressive B‑cell non‑Hodgkin's lymphoma. Ther Clin Risk Manag 14: 1007‑1017, 2018.
- 113. Abramson JS, Palomba ML, Gordon LI, Lunning MA, Wang M, Arnason J, Mehta A, Purev E, Maloney DG, Andreadis C, *et al*: Lisocabtagene maraleucel for patients with relapsed or refractory large B‑cell lymphomas (TRANSCEND NHL 001): A multicentre seamless design study. Lancet 396: 839‑852, 2020.
- 114. Abbott RC, Cross RS and Jenkins MR: Finding the keys to the CAR: Identifying novel target antigens for T cell redirection immunotherapies. Int J Mol Sci 21: 515, 2020.
- 115. Posey AD Jr, Schwab RD, Boesteanu AC, Steentoft C, Mandel U, Engels B, Stone JD, Madsen TD, Schreiber K, Haines KM, *et al*: Engineered CAR T cells targeting the cancer‑associated Tn-glycoform of the membrane mucin MUC1 control adenocarcinoma. Immunity 44: 1444‑1454, 2016.
- 116. Li X, Ding Y, Zi M, Sun L, Zhang W, Chen S and Xu Y: CD19, from bench to bedside. Immunol Lett 183: 86‑95, 2017.
- 117. Ahmed N, Brawley VS, Hegde M, Robertson C, Ghazi A, Gerken C, Liu E, Dakhova O, Ashoori A, Corder A, *et al*: Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)‑specific chimeric antigen receptor‑modified T cells for the immunotherapy of HER2‑positive sarcoma. J Clin Oncol 33: 1688, 2015.
- 118. Cortesi L, Rugo HS and Jackisch C: An overview of PARP inhibitors for the treatment of breast cancer. Target Oncol 16: 255‑282, 2021.
- 119. Ye F, Dewanjee S, Li Y, Jha NK, Chen ZS, Kumar A, Vishakha, Behl T, Jha SK and Tang H: Advancements in clinical aspects of targeted therapy and immunotherapy in breast cancer. Mol Cancer 22: 105, 2023.
- 120. Schoninger SF and Blain SW: The ongoing search for biomarkers of CDK4/6 inhibitor responsiveness in breast cancer. Mol Cancer Ther 19: 3‑12, 2020.
- 121. Martorana F, Motta G, Pavone G, Motta L, Stella S, Vitale SR, Manzella L and Vigneri P: AKT inhibitors: New weapons in the fight against breast cancer? Front Pharmacol 12: 662232, 2021.
- 122. Goutsouliak K, Veeraraghavan J, Sethunath V, De Angelis C, Osborne CK, Rimawi MF and Schiff R: Towards personalized treatment for early stage HER2‑positive breast cancer. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 17: 233‑250, 2020.
- 123. Tóth G, Szöllősi J, Abken H, Vereb G and Szöőr Á: A small number of HER2 redirected CAR T cells significantly improves immune response of adoptively transferred mouse lymphocytes against human breast cancer xenografts. Int J Mol Sci 21: 1039, 2020.
- 124. Toulouie S, Johanning G and Shi Y: Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell immunotherapy in breast cancer: Development and challenges. J Cancer 12: 1212-1219, 2021.
- 125. Chaffer CL and Weinberg RA: A perspective on cancer cell metastasis. Science 331: 1559‑1564, 2011.
- 126. Carter P, Presta L, Gorman CM, Ridgway JB, Henner D, Wong WL, Rowland AM, Kotts C, Carver ME and Shepard HM: Humanization of an anti-p185HER2 antibody for human cancer therapy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 89: 4285-4289, 1992.
- 127. Bou‑Dargham MJ, Draughon S, Cantrell V, Khamis ZI and Sang QA: Advancements in human breast cancer targeted therapy and immunotherapy. J Cancer 12: 6949-6963, 2021.
- 128. Rivas SR, Valdez MJM, Govindarajan V, Seetharam D, Doucet-O'Hare TT, Heiss JD and Shah AH: The role of HERV-K in cancer stemness. Viruses 14: 2019, 2022.
- 129. Zhao J, Rycaj K, Geng S, Li M, Plummer JB, Yin B, Liu H, Xu X, Zhang Y, Yan Y, et al: Expression of human endogenous retrovirus type K envelope protein is a novel candidate prognostic marker for human breast cancer. Genes Cancer 2: 914‑922, 2011.
- 130. Pegram M and Slamon D: Biological rationale for HER2/neu (c‑erbB2) as a target for monoclonal antibody therapy. Semin Oncol 27 (Suppl 9): S13‑S19, 200.
- 131. Walsh EM, Keane MM, Wink DA, Callagy G and Glynn SA: Review of triple negative breast cancer and the impact of inducible nitric oxide synthase on tumor biology and patient outcomes. Crit Rev Oncog 21: 333‑351, 2016.
- 132. Tsutsui S, Ohno S, Murakami S, Hachitanda Y and Oda S: Prognostic value of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and its relationship to the estrogen receptor status in 1029 patients with breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 71: 67‑75, 2002.
- 133. Nasiri F, Kazemi M, Mirarefin SMJ, Mahboubi Kancha M, Ahmadi Najafabadi M, Salem F, Dashti Shokoohi S, Evazi Bakhshi S, Safarzadeh Kozani P and Safarzadeh Kozani P: CAR-T cell therapy in triple-negative breast cancer: Hunting the invisible devil. Front Immunol 13: 1018786, 2022.
- 134. Pantelidou C, Sonzogni O, De Oliveria Taveira M, Mehta AK, Kothari A, Wang D, Visal T, Li MK, Pinto J, Castrillon JA, et al: Kothari A, Wang D, Visal T, Li MK, Pinto J, Castrillon JA, *et al*: PARP inhibitor efficacy depends on CD8+ T‑cell recruitment via intratumoral sting pathway activation in BRCA‑deficient models of triple‑negative breast cancer. Cancer Discov 9: 722‑737, 2019.
- 135. Ternette N, Olde Nordkamp MJM, Müller J, Anderson AP, Nicastri A, Hill AVS, Kessler BM and Li D: Immunopeptidomic tifies potential immunotherapy target antigens. Proteomics 18: 1700465, 2018.
- 136. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Clinical Study of Recombinant Anti-HER2 Humanized Monoclonal Antibody (GB221) for Injection. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT04164615. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2019. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT04164615.
- 137. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Concurrent WOKVAC Vaccination, Chemotherapy, and HER2-Targeted Monoclonal Antibody Therapy Before Surgery for the Treatment of Patients With Breast Cancer. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT04329065. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2020. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT04329065.
- 138. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Anti-HER2 Bispecific Antibody ZW25 Activity in Combination With Chemotherapy With/ Without Tislelizumab. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT04276493. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2020. https://clinical‑ trials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04276493.
- 139. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Clinical Study of Recombinant Anti-HER2 Humanized Monoclonal Antibody for Injection. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT04170595. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2019. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04170595.
- 140. National Library of Medicine (NLM): A Study of RC48-ADC Administered Intravenously to Patients With HER2-Positive Metastatic Breast Cancer With or Without Liver Metastases. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT03500380. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2018. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03500380.
- 141. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Monoclonal Antibody Plus Chemotherapy in Treating Patients With Metastatic Breast Cancer That Overexpresses HER2. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT00019812. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2003. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT00019812.
- 142. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Paclitaxel Plus Monoclonal Antibody Therapy in Treating Women With Recurrent or Metastatic Breast Cancer. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT00003539. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2004. https://clinical‑ trials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00003539.
- 143. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Trastuzumab and Interleukin-2 in Treating Patients With Metastatic Breast Cancer. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT00006228. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2003. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT00006228.
- 144. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Chemotherapy Plus Monoclonal Antibody Therapy in Treating Women With Stage II or Stage IIIA Breast Cancer That Overexpresses HER2. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT00003992. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2004. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00003992.
- 145. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Impact of Pegfilgrastim on Trastuzumab Anti-tumor Effect and ADCC in Operable HER2+ Breast Cancer Breast Cancer (BREASTIMMU02). ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT03571633. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2018. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03571633.
- 146. National Library of Medicine (NLM): A Study of Pertuzumab in Participants With Metastatic Breast Cancer. ClinicalTrials. gov ID, NCT02491892. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2015. https://clini‑ caltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02491892.
- 147. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Trastuzumab and Pertuzumab in Treating Patients With Unresectable Locally Advanced or Metastatic Breast Cancer That Did Not Respond to Previous Trastuzumab. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT00301899. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2006. https://clinical‑ trials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00301899.
- 148. National Library of Medicine (NLM): A Study of MRG002 in the Treatment of Patients With HER2-positive Unresectable Locally Advanced or Metastatic Breast Cancer. ClinicalTrials. gov ID, NCT04924699. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2021. https://clini‑ caltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04924699.
- 149. National Library of Medicine (NLM): ARX788 in HER2positive, Metastatic Breast Cancer Subjects (ACE-Breast-03). ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT04829604. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2021. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04829604.
- 150. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Haplo / Allogeneic NKG2DL-targeting Chimeric Antigen Receptor-grafted γδ T Cells for Relapsed or Refractory Solid Tumour. ClinicalTrials. gov ID, NCT04107142. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2019. https://clini‑ caltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04107142.
- 151. National Library of Medicine (NLM): A Study to Investigate LYL797 in Adults With Solid Tumors. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT05274451. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2022. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT05274451.
- 152. National Library of Medicine (NLM): A Biomarker Screening Protocol for Participants With Solid Tumors (START). ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT05891197. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2023. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT05891197.
- 153. National Library of Medicine (NLM): cMet CAR RNA T Cells Targeting Breast Cancer. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT01837602. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2013. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT01837602.
- 154. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Treatment of Relapsed and/ or Chemotherapy Refractory Advanced Malignancies by CARTmeso. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT02580747. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2015. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02580747.
- 155. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Phase I/II Study of Anti-Mucin1 (MUC1) CAR T Cells for Patients With MUC1+ Advanced Refractory Solid Tumor. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT02587689. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2015. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT02587689.
- 156. National Library of Medicine (NLM): Multi-4SCAR-T Therapy Targeting Breast Cancer. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT04430595. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2020. https://clinical‑ trials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04430595.
- 157. National Library of Medicine (NLM): EpCAM CAR-T for Treatment of Advanced Solid Tumors. ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT02915445. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2016. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT02915445.
- 158. National Library of Medicine (NLM): C7R-GD2.CART Cells for Patients With Relapsed or Refractory Neuroblastoma and Other GD2 Positive Cancers (GAIL-N). ClinicalTrials.gov ID, NCT03635632. NLM, Bethesda, MD, 2018. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT03635632.
- 159. Bonifant CL, Jackson HJ, Brentjens RJ and Curran KJ: Toxicity and management in CAR T-cell therapy. Mol Ther Oncolytics 3: 16011, 2016.
- 160. Almåsbak H, Aarvak T and Vemuri MC: CAR T cell therapy: A game changer in cancer treatment. J Immunol Res 2016: 5474602, 2016.
- 161. Abreu TR, Fonseca NA, Gonçalves N and Moreira JN: Current challenges and emerging opportunities of CAR‑T cell therapies. J Control Release 319: 246‑261, 2020.
- 162. Hege KM, Bergsland EK, Fisher GA, Nemunaitis JJ, Warren RS, McArthur JG, Lin AA, Schlom J, June CH and Sherwin SA: Safety, tumor trafficking and immunogenicity of chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)‑T cells specific for TAG‑72 in colorectal cancer. J Immunother Cancer 5: 22, 2017.
- 163. Chen H, Wang F, Zhang P, Zhang Y, Chen Y, Fan X, Cao X, Liu J, Yang Y, Wang B, *et al*: Management of cytokine release syndrome related to CAR-T cell therapy. Front Med 13: 610-617, 2019
- 164. Lee DW, Gardner R, Porter DL, Louis CU, Ahmed N, Jensen M, Grupp SA and Mackall CL: Current concepts in the diagnosis and management of cytokine release syndrome. Blood 124: 188‑195, 2014.
- 165. Teachey DT, Rheingold SR, Maude SL, Zugmaier G, Barrett DM, Seif AE, Nichols KE, Suppa EK, Kalos M, Berg RA, et al: Seif AE, Nichols KE, Suppa EK, Kalos M, Berg RA, *et al*: Cytokine release syndrome after blinatumomab treatment related to abnormal macrophage activation and ameliorated with cytokine‑directed therapy. Blood 121: 5154‑5157, 2013.
- 166. Lee DW, Kochenderfer JN, Stetler‑Stevenson M, Cui YK, Delbrook C, Feldman SA, Fry TJ, Orentas R, Sabatino M, Shah NN, et al: T cells expressing CD19 chimeric antigen receptors for acute lymphoblastic leukaemia in children and young adults: A phase 1 dose‑escalation trial. Lancet 385: 517‑528, 2015.
- 167. Kochenderfer JN, Dudley ME, Feldman SA, Wilson WH, Spaner DE, Maric I, Stetler-Stevenson M, Phan GQ, Hughes MS, Sherry RM, et al: B-cell depletion and remissions of malignancy along with cytokine-associated toxicity in a clinical trial of anti-CD19 chimeric-antigen-receptor-transduced T cells. Blood 119: 2709‑2720, 2012.
- 168. Brentjens RJ, Davila ML, Riviere I, Park J, Wang X, Cowell LG, Bartido S, Stefanski J, Taylor C, Olszewska M, et al: CD19-targeted T cells rapidly induce molecular remissions in adults with chemotherapy‑refractory acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Sci Transl Med 5: 177ra38, 2013.
- 169. Grupp SA, Kalos M, Barrett D, Aplenc R, Porter DL, Rheingold SR, Teachey DT, Chew A, Hauck B, Wright JF, et al: Chimeric antigen receptor-modified T cells for acute lymphoid leukemia. N Engl J Med 368: 1509‑1518, 2013.
- 170. Curran KJ, Pegram HJ and Brentjens RJ: Chimeric antigen receptors for T cell immunotherapy: Current understanding and future directions. J Gene Med 14: 405-415, 2012.
- 171. Lamers CH, Sleijfer S, Vulto AG, Kruit WH, Kliffen M, Debets R, Gratama JW, Stoter G and Oosterwijk E: Treatment of metastatic renal cell carcinoma with autologous T‑lymphocytes genetically retargeted against carbonic anhydrase IX: First clinical experience. J Clin Oncol 24: e20‑e22, 2006.
- 172. Morgan RA, Yang JC, Kitano M, Dudley ME, Laurencot CM and Rosenberg SA: Case report of a serious adverse event following the administration of T cells transduced with a chimeric antigen receptor recognizing ERBB2. Mol Ther 18: 843‑851, 2010.
- 173. Marin V, Cribioli E, Philip B, Tettamanti S, Pizzitola I, Biondi A, egies for the safety improvement of genetically manipulated T cells. Hum Gene Ther Methods 23: 376‑386, 2012.
- 174. Rubin DB, Danish HH, Ali AB, Li K, LaRose S, Monk AD, Cote DJ, Spendley L, KimAH, Robertson MS, *et al*: Neurological toxicities associated with chimeric antigen receptor T‑cell therapy. Brain 142: 1334‑1348, 2019.
- 175. Miao L, Zhang Z, Ren Z and Li Y: Reactions related to CAR‑T cell therapy. Front Immunol 12: 663201, 2021.
- 176. Maus MV, Haas AR, Beatty GL, Albelda SM, Levine BL, Liu X, Zhao Y, Kalos M and June CH: T cells expressing chimeric antigen receptors can cause anaphylaxis in humans. Cancer Immunol Res 1: 26‑31, 2013.
- 177. Zhao Y, Moon E, Carpenito C, Paulos CM, Liu X, Brennan AL, Chew A, Carroll RG, SchollerJ, Levine BL, *et al*: Multiple injec‑ tions of electroporated autologous T cells expressing a chimeric antigen receptor mediate regression of human disseminated tumor. Cancer Res 70: 9053‑9061, 2010.
- 178. Barrett DM, Zhao Y, Liu X, Jiang S, Carpenito C, Kalos M, Carroll RG, June CH and Grupp SA: Treatment of advanced leukemia in mice with mRNA engineered T cells. Hum Gene Ther 22: 1575‑1586, 2011.
- 179. Chang K and Pastan I: Molecular cloning of mesothelin, a differentiation antigen present on mesothelium, mesotheliomas, and ovarian cancers. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93: 136‑140, 1996.
- 180. Lindau D, Gielen P, Kroesen M, Wesseling P and Adema GJ: The immunosuppressive tumour network: Myeloid‑derived suppressor cells, regulatory T cells and natural killer T cells. Immunology 138: 105‑115, 2013.
- 181. Ko HJ, Lee JM, Kim YJ, Kim YS, Lee KA and Kang CY: Immunosuppressive myeloid‑derived suppressor cells can be converted into immunogenic APCs with the help of activated NKT cells: An alternative cell-based antitumor vaccine. J Immunol 182: 1818‑1828, 2009.
- 182. Davis RJ, Van Waes C and Allen CT: Overcoming barriers to effective immunotherapy: MDSCs, TAMs, and Tregs as media‑ tors of the immunosuppressive microenvironment in head and neck cancer. Oral Oncol 58: 59‑70, 2016.
- 183. Fedorov VD, Themeli M and Sadelain M: PD‑1‑ and CTLA‑4‑based inhibitory chimeric antigen receptors (iCARs) divert off-target immunotherapy responses. Sci Transl Med 5: 215ra172, 2013.
- 184. Chmielewski M, Kopecky C, Hombach AA and Abken H: IL‑12 release by engineered T cells expressing chimeric antigen receptors can effectively Muster an antigen‑independent macrophage response on tumor cells that have shut down tumor antigen expression. Cancer Res 71: 5697‑5706, 2011.
- 185. Pegram HJ, Lee JC, Hayman EG, Imperato GH, Tedder TF, Sadelain M and Brentjens RJ: Tumor-targeted T cells modified to secrete IL‑12 eradicate systemic tumors without need for prior conditioning. Blood 119: 4133‑4141, 2012.
- 186. Patel U, Abernathy J, Savani BN, Oluwole O, Sengsayadeth S and Dholaria B: CAR T cell therapy in solid tumors: A review of current clinical trials. EJHaem 3 (Suppl 1): S24‑S31, 2022.
- 187. Włodarczyk M and Pyrzynska B: CAR-NK as a rapidly developed and efficient immunotherapeutic strategy against cancer. Cancers (Basel) 15: 117: 2022.
- 188. Hawkins ER, D'Souza RR and Klampatsa A: Armored CAR T-cells: The next chapter in T-cell cancer immunotherapy. Biologics 15: 95‑105, 2021.
- 189. Rafiq S, Hackett CS and Brentjens RJ: Engineering strategies to overcome the current roadblocks in CAR T cell therapy. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 17: 147‑167, 2020.
- 190. Xia L, Zheng Z, Liu JY, Chen YJ, Ding J, Hu GS, Hu YH, Liu S, Luo WX, Xia NS and Liu W: Targeting triple‑negative breast cancer with combination therapy of EGFR CAR T cells and CDK7 inhibition. Cancer Immunol Res 9: 707‑722, 2021.
- 191. Zhang H, Qin C, An C, Zheng X, Wen S, Chen W, Liu X, Lv Z, Yang P, Xu W, et al: Application of the CRISPR/Cas9-based gene editing technique in basic research, diagnosis, and therapy of cancer. Mol Cancer 20: 126, 2021.
- 192. Yan T, Zhu L and Chen J: Current advances and challenges in CAR T-Cell therapy for solid tumors: Tumor-associated antigens and the tumor microenvironment. Exp Hematol Oncol 12: 14, 2023.
- 193. Collins DC, Sundar R, Lim JSJ and Yap TA: Towards precision medicine in the clinic: From biomarker discovery to novel therapeutics. Trends Pharmacol Sci 38: 25‑40, 2017.

COOO Copyright © 2023 Kausar et al. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License.