CORRESPONDENCE



Check for updates

Abatacept for graft versus host disease prophylaxis in patients 60 years and older receiving mismatched unrelated donor transplantation for hematologic malignancies

© The Author(s) 2023

Bone Marrow Transplantation (2023) 58:1264–1266; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41409-023-02043-y

OPEN

INTRODUCTION

Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant (HCT) remains the best option for a cure for many patients with hematologic malignancies, but is associated with significant morbidity and mortality, particularly for older patients. In the absence of a matched related or unrelated donor, a mismatched unrelated donor (MMUD) increases donor options, particularly for Black and Latinx patients. MMUD HCTs have historically been associated with an increased risk of severe acute graft-versus-host-disease (aGVHD), resulting in increased transplant related mortality (TRM) and decreased overall survival (OS) when compared with matched related or unrelated donor HCTs [1–4].

Abatacept, cytotoxic T-cell lymphocyte-4-immunoglobulin (CTLA4-lg), is a T-cell co-stimulation blockade agent [5] that was granted FDA approval for the prevention of aGVHD in patients receiving unrelated donor HCT in part based on results of the Abatacept 2 trial (ABA2, NCT01743131). ABA2 was a multicenter phase II trial that evaluated abatacept in addition to standard GVHD prophylaxis with a calcineurin inhibitor and methotrexate (CNI/MTX) for GVHD prevention in 8/8 HLA-matched unrelated donor (MUD) HCT and 7/8 MMUD HCT. Patients in the 7/8 MMUD cohort were assigned to a single arm open label stratum compared to a prespecified Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research (CIBMTR) cohort and demonstrated a significant reduction in grade 3-4 aGVHD compared with the CIBMTR cohort receiving CNI/MTX alone. The lower rates of grade 3-4 aGVHD translated into significant improvement in TRM and OS at 2 years for patients receiving abatacept [6].

The ABA2 trial enrolled patients 6–76 years of age in the 7/8 MMUD analysis, but outcomes were not reported separately for patients over 60 years of age. This age group is at increased risk of TRM [7, 8] that is further compounded by a MMUD HCT and the epidemiology of myeloid malignancies. Therefore, the goal of this analysis was to evaluate outcomes in patients 60 years and older undergoing MMUD HCT for hematologic malignancies.

METHODS

We conducted a retrospective analysis of outcomes for patients 60 years and older who received a 7/8 MMUD HCT for hematologic malignancies and received GVHD prophylaxis with abatacept (4 doses, 10 mg/kg per dose, on days -1, +5, +14, and +28) + CNI/ MTX between January 2015 and December 2021. The analysis included 9 patients who were enrolled on the ABA2 trial, and

Received: 20 June 2023 Revised: 2 July 2023 Accepted: 11 July 2023 Published online: 14 August 2023

13 subsequent consecutive "off-study" patients transplanted at Emory University using the addition of abatacept to CNI/MTX as part of standard of care GVHD prophylaxis.

Overall survival, disease-free survival (DFS), and the composite endpoint of "grade III-IV acute GVHD-free, severe chronic GVHDfree, relapse-free survival" (GRFS) are described as Kaplan-Meier survival probability estimates. Grade II-IV aGVHD, grade III-IV aGVHD, moderate to severe cGVHD, TRM and relapse are described as cumulative incidences estimates. These variables were estimated with competing risk analysis in all patients where death or relapse acted as a competing event. Patients contributed person-time until the date of the event, the competing event if applicable, or until their last follow-up, whichever occurred first. All estimates are accompanied by 95% confidence intervals (CI). Acute GVHD is analyzed at 180 days, while all other endpoints are analyzed at 1 year. Group comparisons included Transplant Comorbidity Index (HCT-CI) (<3 versus >=3) and disease risk index (DRI) (low and intermediate DRI vs high and very high DRI) and were conducted with Gray's test for competing risk analyses and with Log-rank tests for Kaplan-Meier analyses. All analyses were conducted with the lifetest procedure and figures were generated with the newsurv macro in SAS 9.4 (Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Twenty-two patients were included in this analysis, 9 who were enrolled in the ABA2 trial and 13 who were "off-study" patients. Median age at HCT was 66 years (range 60-76 years). The most common indication for transplant was MDS followed by AML (68% and 23% respectively). 32% of patients had high or very high DRI and 50% of the patients had >=3 HCT-CI. 90% of patients received reduced intensity conditioning with fludarabine/melphalan and 10% received myeloablative conditioning with busulfan/cyclophosphamide. 86% of patients received peripheral blood stem cell grafts. 68% of donors were younger than 35 years. Median followup for survivors was 2 years.

There was one death prior to day 30, but all other patients achieved neutrophil engraftment by day 30 and platelet engraftment by day 100. The cumulative incidence of grade II–IV aGVHD by day 180 was 18% (95% confidence interval, CI, 6–37%, Fig. 1a) and the cumulative incidence of grade III–IV aGVHD by day 180 was 5% (95% CI 0.3–19%, Fig. 1b). One patient developed grade II late aGVHD at day +264. The cumulative incidence of moderate-severe chronic GVHD (cGVHD) at 1 year was 62% (95% CI 37–80%). The cumulative incidence of TRM and relapse at 1 year was 23% (95% CI 8–42%, Fig. 1c) and 5% (95% CI 0.3–20%) respectively, resulting in DFS of 73% (95% CI 49–87%), and OS of 77% (95% CI 54–90%). GRFS at 1 year was 59% (95% CI 36–76%, Fig. 1D). With respect to HCT-CI of <3 vs >=3, there were no statistically



Fig. 1 Cumulative incidence of GVHD and Kaplan-Meier plots of survival outcomes. a Cumulative incidence of Grade 2–4 aGVHD. **b** Cumulative incidence of Grade 3–4 aGVHD. **c** Cumulative incidence of Transplant Related Mortality. **d** Kaplan-Meier plot of Grade III–IV acute GVHD-free, severe chronic GVHD-free, elapse-free survival (e). Kaplan-Meier plot of Disease-Free Survival based on Transplant Comorbidity Index (HCT-CI) of <3 vs >=3. **f** Kaplan-Meier plot of Disease-Free Survival based on Disease Risk Index (DRI), low and intermediate DRI vs high and very high DRI.

significant differences in TRM (18% vs 27%, *p* value = 0.62), OS (82% vs 73%, *p* = 0.62) and DFS (82% vs 64%, *p* = 0.35, Fig. 1e) between the two groups. There were also no statistically significant differences in TRM (20% vs 29%, *p* = 0.67), OS (80% vs 71%, *p* = 0.67) and DFS (80% vs 57%, *p* = 0.26%, Fig. 1f) between low and intermediate DRI vs high and very high DRI respectively.

CONCLUSIONS

This study is the first to specifically report outcomes for patients 60 years and older receiving 7/8 MMUD transplants for hematologic malignancies and employing an abatacept-containing GVHD prophylaxis regimen. The results of this analysis reveal low rates of grade III–IV aGVHD and relapse and

1266

encouraging DFS in this population. These results are promising, particularly given the older age group and the fact that 32% of patients had high or very high DRI and 50% of the patients had >=3 HCT-CI. These results also confirm morbidity and mortality outcomes comparable to those described in the HLA-matched setting, while preserving the antitumor effect afforded by administration of relatively intensive conditioning [7, 8]. Similar to results from the ABA2 trial, cGVHD rates did not appear to be impacted with the 4 dose abatacept regimen, and an on-going study is evaluating extended dosing of abatacept to further improve cGVHD (ABA3, NCT04380740). There are some limitations of this analysis including its retrospective nature, the small sample size and the inclusion of 9 patients treated on the ABA2 trial. Larger and multi-center real-world studies will be needed to validate results from our analysis.

Sharmila Raghunandan ^{1,2 ⊠}, Muna Qayed^{1,2}, Ben K. Watkins ^{1,2}, Michael Graiser^{2,3}, Lev Gorfinkel^{4,5}, Adrianna Westbrook², Scott Gillespie², Brandi Bratrude ⁵, Aleksandra Petrovic⁶, Yvonne Suessmuth^{1,2}, John Horan^{4,5}, Leslie S. Kean^{4,5} and Amelia A. Langston ^{2,3} ¹Aflac Cancer and Blood Disorders Center, Children's Healthcare of

 ¹Aflac Cancer and Blood Disorders Center, Children's Healthcare of Atlanta, Atlanta, GA, USA. ²Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA.
 ³Winship Cancer Institute, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA. ⁴Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA. ⁵Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA. ⁶Seattle Children's Hospital, Seattle, WA, USA.
 <sup>\begin{aligned} & Beston, Baseline Children's Hospital, Seattle, WA, USA.
 <sup>\begin{aligned} & Beston, Baseline Children's Hospital, Seattle, WA, USA.
 <sup>\begin{aligned} & Beston, Baseline Children's Hospital, Seattle, WA, USA.
 <sup>\begin{aligned} & Beston, Baseline Children's Hospital, Seattle, WA, USA.
 <sup>\begin{aligned} & Beston, Baseline Children's Hospital, Seattle, WA, USA.
</sup></sup></sup></sup></sup>

DATA AVAILABILITY

Data collected for this study will be made available with publication to anyone who wishes to access the data for any purpose; please contact the corresponding author: sraghu2@emory.edu/sharmila.raghunandan@gmail.com.

REFERENCES

- Lee SJ, Klein J, Haagenson M, Baxter-Lowe LA, Confer DL, Eapen M, et al. Highresolution donor-recipient HLA matching contributes to the success of unrelated donor marrow transplantation. Blood J Am Soc Hematol. 2007;110:4576–83.
- Jagasia M, Arora M, Flowers ME, Chao NJ, McCarthy PL, Cutler CS, et al. Risk factors for acute GVHD and survival after hematopoietic cell transplantation. Blood J Am Soc Hematol. 2012;119:296–307.
- Shaw PJ, Kan F, Woo Ahn K, Spellman SR, Aljurf M, Ayas M, et al. Outcomes of pediatric bone marrow transplantation for leukemia and myelodysplasia using matched sibling, mismatched related, or matched unrelated donors. Blood J Am Soc Hematol. 2010;116:4007–15.
- Woolfrey A, Klein JP, Haagenson M, Spellman S, Petersdorf E, Oudshoorn M, et al. HLA-C antigen mismatch is associated with worse outcome in unrelated donor peripheral blood stem cell transplantation. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2011;17:885–92.

- 5. Moreland L, Bate G. Kirkpatrick P. Abatacept. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2006;5:185-6.
- Watkins B, Qayed M, McCracken C, Bratrude B, Betz K, Suessmuth Y, et al. Phase II trial of costimulation blockade with abatacept for prevention of acute GVHD. J Clin Oncol 2021;39:1865–77.
- 7. Weisdorf D. How old is too old for a transplant? Best Pract Res Clin Haematol. 2021;34:101243.
- Lin RJ, Artz AS. Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation for older patients. Hematology. 2021;2021:254–63.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SR, MQ, BW and AL designed the research, analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. SR, MQ, BW, MG, LG, AW, SG, BB, AP, YS, JH, LSK and AL reviewed the data and edited the manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

There is a relevant conflict of interest, described below. MQ reports Honoraria at Vertex and Novartis. LK reports the following - Novartis: Research Funding; Bluebird Bio: Research Funding; Vertex: Consultancy; EMD-Serono: Research Funding; Bristol Myers Squibb: Patents & Royalties: clinical trial, Research Funding; Magenta: Research Funding; Mammoth Biosciences: Current equity holder in private company, Current holder of stock options in a privately-held company; HiFiBio: Consultancy. The remaining authors declare no competing financial interests.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Sharmila Raghunandan.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023