# Effect of Acetylene on Root Respiration and Acetylene Reducing Activity in Nodulated Soya Bean

# Alain Gerbaud

Laboratoire d'Etude des Symbiotes des Racines, INRA, Place Viala, 34060 Montpellier, France and Laboratoire d'Agrophysiologie en Conditions Contr6lees, Service de Radioagronomie, CEA Cadarache, 13115 Saint Paul Lez Durance, France

# ABSTRACT

Acetylene decreased root and nodule respiration, as measured by CO<sub>2</sub> evolution of nodulated or non-nodulated Glycine max. An inhibition of 25 to 35% in 15 to 30 minutes occurred when 13%  $C_2H_2$  was introduced in the gas flux which aerated the root nutrient solution. When the light intensity was doubled to 800 microeinsteins per square meter per second, the inhibition increased to 50% and nodule acetylene reduction activity was inhibited 50%.

ARA' is a frequently used method for determining the nitrogenase activity in  $N_2$  fixing plants. The method has been extensively discussed (4), but as <sup>I</sup> began to use it in my experimentation, <sup>I</sup> noticed that acetylene had effects on root respiration, about which little information is available. Only <sup>a</sup> few authors have measured RR simultaneously with ARA. Berlier and Lespinat (2) observed no decrease of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  evolution rate by pea and soybean nodulated roots after  $C_2H_2$ introduction. Minchin et al. (7) found a considerable decrease (50%) of ARA and RR in Trifolium and other species occurring within 10 to 30 min of contact with  $C_2H_2$ . This decrease was dependent on plant cultivar, age, and light intensity, and has not always been confirmed (3). Drevon (personal communication) found no inhibition of RR by  $C_2H_2$ . Winship and Tjepkema (10) found a 10% constant and reversible decrease in the respiration of isolated Frankia nodules, possibly due to lowered  $O_2$  partial pressure during the measurements. McDowall and Kristjansson (6) observed a 30% increase in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  evolution in a long-term experiment, while after 90 min the effect varied from a 2% stimulation to a 19% inhibition.

In my experiments, <sup>I</sup> studied the short-term (1 h) effects of contact with  $C_2H_2$  on the ARA and respiration of nodulated roots of soybean and on the photosynthesis of the shoot.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

## Plant Material

Seeds of Glycine max (L.) Merr. cv Kingsoy were sterilized and germinated in perlite. Plantlets were inoculated with Bradyrhizobium japonicum strain PJ 17 and grown in aerated

nutrient solution (5). The solution was supplemented with <sup>1</sup> mm urea during the first <sup>20</sup> <sup>d</sup> of cultivation. Plants were grown at first in a greenhouse, then in a controlled climate chamber at  $25^{\circ}$ C, 70 to 80% RH, and a continuous light intensity of about 400  $\mu$ E·m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.

Continuous light eliminated daily rhythms of root respiration and ARA, which facilitates the interpretation of results. The plants grew normally in these conditions and their respiratory capacity, when put in the dark, was the same as that of control plants.

# Measurement of Root Respiration and ARA

Prior to measurements, the plants were transferred to a measuring chamber illustrated in Figure 1. Roots were aerated by compressed air containing about 380 ppm  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  at a flow rate of usually 20 L  $h^{-1}$ . Root respiration was calculated from



Figure 1. System for measuring root respiration. FM, flowmeter; IRGA, infrared CO<sub>2</sub> analyzer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Abbreviations: ARA, acetylene reducing activity; RR, root respiration expressed in ml  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  per hour per plant.



Figure 2.  $C_2H_2$  effect on the respiration of nodulated  $\Box$ ) or nonnodulated (O) soybean roots. C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> (13%) was introduced in the gas  $\begin{bmatrix} R & R \end{bmatrix}$ flow aerating the roots at the time shown by the arrow. The respiration rates of the nonnodulated plant were divided by 1.63 for a better

the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  enrichment of outlet air measured with a Maihak Finor  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  analyser.

For ARA measurements,  $3 L h^{-1}$  of  $C_2H_2$  were added to the compressed air flux, giving a concentration of around  $13\%$  $C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>$ . The roots remained submerged in the nutrient solution. Gas samples were taken at the root outlet with a syringe or  $\theta$   $\overline{\theta}$  is the definition of  $\theta$ introduced directly in the gas chromatograph (Delsi DI 200) 0 5 10 15 by a pneumatic 6-way valve. Acetylene used (grade N26 from  $\boldsymbol{\mathrm{x}}$  C2H2 Air Liquide France) contained around <sup>6</sup> ppm ethylene. The gas was washed in water before entering the root chamber. Figure 3.  $C_2H_2$  concentration effect on ARA and respiration of no-

# Measurement of Net Photosynthesis and Shoot Respiration

The shoot was enclosed in an air-tight glass vessel (Fig. 1).  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration was kept at 340 ppm by pulsed injections monitored by a computer (1). Net photosynthesis was calcu-  $4 +$ lated from the record of CO2 injection pulses. For the occa- 15 C sional measurement of dark respiration the CO<sub>2</sub> regulation curve rate of increase of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration in the chamber. method ensured a good evaluation of transitory rates.

# RESULTS

The kinetics of root respiration (including the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  evolution by nodules) was followed before and after  $C_2H_2$  introduction in the root compartment (Fig. 2).  $C_2H_4$  evolution was sampled at intervals. RR decreased shortly after  $C_2H_2$  introduction and stabilized by 20 min. The level of inhibition,  $0 \overline{1} + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 = 0$ 35% here, ranged from 15 to 35% in other experiments.  $C_2H_4$ evolution increased to a plateau in about 15 min. A small  $\frac{1}{2}$  10  $\frac{1}{2}$  20 30 30 decrease followed in the case presented but was not always  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{x}}$  02 present. There was no effect on photosynthesis (data not Figure 4. Oxygen concentration effect on ARA and respiration of shown). **nodulated roots (measured at 13% C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>). however**  $\sim$  **nodulated roots (measured at 13% C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>).** 

 $\begin{array}{ccc}\n\hline\n\text{1} & \text{1} \\
\text{1} & \text{1} & \text{1} & \text{1} & \text{1} & \text{1}\n\end{array}$  The same experiment was done with soybean plants that can nutrient solution supplemented with <sup>4</sup> mm sodium nitrate (Fig. 2). The response was very similar, although slower, to

> Subsequentially saturate near 5% C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>. This implies that although most of the experiments were done at 13% C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, the results may similar concentration dependence (Fig. 3). The responses  $\frac{4}{5}$  probably be extended to C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> concentrations between 5 and<br> $\frac{4}{5}$  10% which are used in most ARA assays

> > Another question was whether the inhibition of RR observed was due to the dilution of  $O_2$  following  $C_2H_2$  addition in the gas stream. I measured the RR and ARA at various  $O<sub>2</sub>$



dulated roots.



concentrations from 0.2 to 36% (Fig. 4). RR and ARA were similarly dependent on  $O_2$ , except above 21%  $O_2$  where RR saturated faster than ARA. The introduction of  $13\%$  C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> would lower the  $O_2$  concentration to 17.9%. The decrease of RR due to this dilution would be around 7%; hence, the dilution of  $O<sub>2</sub>$  during the ARA test can explain only a small part of the observed inhibition of RR. The corresponding decrease of ARA is more (15%) because it saturates only at higher  $O<sub>2</sub>$  concentration.

The influence of light intensity was also considered. In a first type of experiment, I doubled the light intensity after having applied  $C_2H_2$  for some time, until the level of RR was stabilized. The doubling of the light intensity increased from 16% to 47% the inhibition due to the presence of  $C_2H_2$  (Fig. 5). Inhibitions of RR at 800  $\mu$ E·m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> were consistently between 40 and 50%; that is, in the mean, twice the inhibition at 400  $\mu$ E·m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.

In a second type of experiment,  $C_2H_2$  was introduced a few hours after the doubling of the light intensity (Fig. 6). In this case the 41% inhibition of RR was accompanied by a 52% inhibition of ARA after 90 min. The maximal value of ARA was reached between 15 and 30 min, as at normal light.

For comparison,  $C_2H_2$  effect on leaf respiration in the dark was also tested. A stimulation of about 40% of leaf respiration was observed a few minutes after  $C_2H_2$  introduction (Fig. 7).

## **DISCUSSION**

Inhibition of RR and ARA by  $C_2H_2$  was first reported by Minchin et al. (7); however, only in conjunction with a simultaneous inhibition of ARA. They mentioned a large variability in the degree of inhibition observed. My results



Figure 5. Interaction between  $C_2H_2$  and high light effects on the respiration of nodulated roots. C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> was introduced to the roots at time 0. When root respiration reached a steady state in about 30 min, light intensity in the shoot compartment was doubled (arrow) from 400 to 800  $\mu$ E $\cdot$ m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, bringing a new steady state with a higher inhibition of the respiration rate.



Figure 6. C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> effect on ARA and respiration of nodulated roots at 800  $\mu$ E · m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> light intensity. Other conditions as in Figure 2.

show the importance of the light intensity used, as the inhibition of ARA is totally suppressed, and that of RR reduced by 50%, by halving the light intensity. This agrees with unpublished data of Drevon reporting inhibition of ARA at high light intensity. Also, contrarily to Minchin et al. (7), I found that the inhibition also occurs in nonnodulated soybean plants. This needs confirmation; it suggests that the response of roots to acetylene differs slightly from the response of nodules. The response of nodulated roots probably reflects mainly the nodule response, as the respiration of nodules is known to be very active, and there was a large mass of nodules in the experimental plants. The variability of the effect with species, age, light, and other conditions might be the cause of the discrepancy of results between the various authors.

Practically, I would suggest that the precautionary steps to be taken in measuring nitrogenase activity with  $C_2H_2$  should not necessarily be as drastic as recommended by Minchin et al. (7), as the inhibition of ARA by  $C_2H_2$  occurs mainly at high light intensity, and even then the ARA level remained stable for more than 15 min after the maximum was reached. Long periods of stable ARA measurements have, in fact, been observed in many cases  $(3, 6, 10)$ . The stability of ARA after the first 30 min should be checked if longer measurements are to be made. Care should also be taken of controlling  $C_2H_2$ and  $O_2$  concentrations: in the usual test conditions (10%  $C_2H_2$ ) and no O<sub>2</sub> control), ARA measurements should be corrected by about 10%. On the other hand, all effects were fully reversible when  $C_2H_2$  was applied for no more than a few hours.

There was no effect on photosynthesis in the short-term, but some inhibitory effect was observed after 3 d of repeated contact with  $C_2H_2$  (data not shown). The repeated loss of  $N_2$ fixation may cause a deficiency of nitrogen, that would be expected to alter the photosynthetic rate, but the inhibition of root respiration could as well have brought a deficiency in the nutrition of other elements.

Although it is still too soon to propose explanations for the



Figure 7.  $C_2H_2$  effect on foliar respiration in soybean.  $C_2H_2$  (15%) was introduced in the shoot compartment 30 min after the onset of darkness (time 0).

phenomena observed, <sup>I</sup> envisioned that it might be due to ethylene that is contained as an impurity in the acetylene (around 6 ppm  $C_2H_4$ ). This hypothesis was, however, dismissed by the fact that 50 ppm ethylene in air without acetylene had no action on root respiration (data not shown).

The assay of  $C_2H_2$  action on leaf respiration, intended as a test, showed a reverse action of that on roots: the effect was a 40% stimulation of leaf respiration, similar to the effect usually seen with ethylene (8). Although the effect of acetylene on roots is unexplained, it shows a considerable difference between shoot and root respiratory metabolism.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

<sup>I</sup> thank Dr. J. Thiery for providing the computer program that was used for drawing the figures (9).

### LITERATURE CITED

- 1. André M, Daguenet A, Massimino D, Vivoli J, Richaud C (1979) Le laboratoire C2.3A—Un outil au service de physiologie de la plante entière. I-Les chambres de culture et les systèmes de mesures associés. Ann Agron 30(2): 139-151
- 2. Berlier YM, Lespinat PA (1981) Respiration and nitrogen fixation in modulated roots of soja bean and pea. Plant Soil 61: 135-143
- 3. Drevon JJ, Kalia VP, Heckmann M-O, Pedelahore P (1988) In situ open-flow assay of acetylene reduction activity by soybean root nodules: influence of acetylene and oxygen. Plant Physiol Biochem 26: 73-78
- 4. Hardy RWF, Burns RC, Holstein RD (1973) Application of the acetylene-ethylene assay for measurement of nitrogen fixation. Soil Biol Biochem 5: 47-81
- 5. Kalia VC, Drevon JJ (1985) Variation in nitrogenase activity (C2H2 reduction) during in situ incubation of root nodules of Glycine max (L.) Merr. C.R. Acad Sci Paris 301: Série III, 591-596
- 6. Macdowall FDH, Kristjansson GT (1989) Acetylene-induced decline in acetylene reduction by modulated roots of alfalfa. Can <sup>J</sup> Bot 67: 360-364
- 7. Minchin FR, Witty JF, Sheehy JE, Miller M (1983) A major error in the acetylene reduction assay: decreases in nodular nitrogenase activity under assay conditions. J Exp Bot 34: 641- 649
- 8. Theologis A, and Laties GG (1982) Potentiating effect of pure oxygen on the enhancement of respiration in plant storge organs: a comparative study. Plant Physiol 69: 1031-1035
- 9. Thiery JM (1985) 'VOYONS', programme de simulations conversationnelles en Physico-chimie et en Agronomie. In GM Côme, J Cucloy, E Soulié, JM Thiéry, eds, Logiciels pour la Chimie. Soc Fr Chimie (Paris) et Assoc Nat Logiciel (CNRS, Nancy), pp 156-157
- 10. Winship LJ, Tjepkema JD (1982) Simultaneous measurement of acetylene reduction and respiratory gas exchange of attached root nodules. Plant Physiol 70: 361-365