


# Arthritis Foundation/HSS Workshop on Hip Osteoarthritis, Part I: Epidemiology, Early Development, and Cohorts From Around the World

HSS Journal®: The Musculoskeletal Journal of Hospital for Special Surgery  
2023, Vol. 19(4) 395–401  
© The Author(s) 2023  
Article reuse guidelines:  
sagepub.com/journals-permissions  
DOI: 10.1177/15563316231189748  
journals.sagepub.com/home/hss



Jason S. Kim, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Rintje Agricola, MD/PhD<sup>2</sup>, Young-jo Kim, MD/PhD<sup>3</sup>, Nancy E. Lane, MD<sup>4</sup>, Michael B. Millis, MD<sup>3</sup>, Amanda E. Nelson, MD<sup>5</sup> , Jos Runhaar, PhD<sup>6</sup>, Sandra J. Shefelbine, PhD<sup>7</sup>, and Mathias P. Bostrom, MD<sup>8</sup>

## Abstract

Far more publications are available for osteoarthritis of the knee than of the hip. Recognizing this research gap, the Arthritis Foundation, in partnership with the Hospital for Special Surgery, convened an in-person meeting of thought leaders to review the state of the science of and clinical approaches to hip osteoarthritis. This article summarizes the recommendations and clinical research gaps gleaned from 5 presentations given in the “how hip osteoarthritis begins” session of the 2023 Hip Osteoarthritis Clinical Studies Conference, which took place on February 17 and 18, 2023, in New York City.

## Keywords

osteoarthritis, arthritis, hip

Received May 30, 2023. Accepted June 2, 2023.

## Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a major health burden that affects over 500 million adults or 15% of adults across the globe [22,31]. Hip OA has been found to be epidemiologically distinguishable from OA affecting other joints, such as the knee and hand [17]. In the United States, hip OA accounts for most of total hip arthroplasty (THA) procedures, which are projected to increase by 284% between 2014 and 2040 [66].

Yet far more publications are available for OA of the knee than of the hip. Recognizing this research gap, the Arthritis Foundation, in partnership with the Hospital for Special Surgery, convened an in-person meeting of thought leaders to review the state of the science of and clinical approaches to hip OA. This article summarizes the recommendations gleaned from 5 presentations given in the “how hip osteoarthritis begins” session of the 2023 Hip Osteoarthritis Clinical Studies Conference, which took place on February 17 and 18, 2023, in New York City.

## Epidemiology of Hip OA and the Johnston County Osteoarthritis Project

*Presented by Amanda E. Nelson, MD*

Estimates suggest a prevalence of around 25% for radiographic hip OA, and 5% to 10% for symptomatic hip OA in the U.S. adult population [36,38,61]. The prevalence of hip OA among demographic subgroups is not well characterized [69]. Although previous studies suggested a lower prevalence of hip OA in African Americans [1,67], the Johnston County Osteoarthritis (JoCoOA) Project found that hip OA is at least as common among Black and White Americans, with a similar burden in Hispanics.

The JoCoOA Project is a longitudinal community-based study that followed 4000 unique participants from 1991 to 2018, performing measurements at baseline and 4 main follow-ups including an extensive questionnaire, imaging, clinical data, and biospecimens [35,53]. The population-based

study design allows generalizable estimates of prevalence and incidence relevant to the broader U.S. population [36,48,54]. The burden of symptomatic hip OA was emphasized to be substantial, with 1 in 4 people developing this condition by age 85 years. This was higher among those who are women, identify as White, are obese, or have prior hip injury [49]. Black and White Americans showed differences in progression patterns. For example, Black Americans reported progressive pain and disability, while White Americans had more radiographic hip OA progression [23]. Diabetes was associated with symptom development, and diabetes and cardiovascular disease made symptoms more persistent. Despite evidence that obesity predicts increased risk of hip OA and THA, an association between body mass index and lifetime risk of hip OA was not found. Racial disparities in THA could not be attributed to differences in disease occurrence.

While hip OA and knee OA differ, many OA management guidelines focus on knee OA and extrapolate this information to hip OA [3,39]. In fact, hip OA is more difficult to diagnose. The American College of Rheumatology criteria for the classification of hip OA requires hip pain most days of the prior month in combination with (1) erythrocyte sedimentation rate  $\leq 20$  mm/h, (2) femoral and/or acetabular osteophytes, and (3) joint space narrowing [2]. The prevalence of radiographic hip OA is approximately 10% of the population [30,36].

## Challenging Kellgren and Lawrence: Epidemiology of Hip OA in White Women and Men

*Presented by Nancy E. Lane, MD*

Initial study of hip OA was slow due to a lack of a good radiographic definition of the disease. In its early stages, radiographic changes in hip OA include both joint space narrowing and femoral head osteophytes [37]. This differs from knee OA, in which radiographic changes are initially focused on osteophytes; joint space narrowing is only considered much later in the disease. Lane and colleagues at the University of California San Francisco developed a novel

scoring method for the hip that included an equal weighting of femoral osteophytes and joint space narrowing, the modified Croft Score, and used that to evaluate the epidemiology of prevalent, incident, and progression hip OA [16,40,57]. In addition, they determined that mild changes in the femoral head or acetabulum could increase the risk of incident hip OA, and they pioneered active shape modeling to provide a more comprehensive assessment of hip shape, ultimately defining the femoral head shapes that increased the risk of hip OA [41,46,55,56]. After defining radiographic hip OA, Lane and colleagues identified a number of risk factors—including higher total hip bone mineral density, height, weight, and polymorphisms of the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling pathway—that were significant predictors of radiographic hip OA in elderly White women. In elderly men, radiographic hip OA was associated with higher total hip bone mineral density [11,43,57]. Recently, it was found that radiographic hip OA was a strong risk factor for all-cause mortality and cardiovascular disease mortality in both elderly women and men [4,42].

## Development of Hip OA Through a Pediatric Orthopedics Perspective

*Presented by Young-jo Kim, MD, PhD*

Developmental hip abnormalities (dysplasia or femoral head deformities such as pistol grip, femoral head tilt, or cam deformity) may cause 20% to 40% of hip OA [24,50,51,68]. Of the roughly 1% of infants born with hip instability [20], 10% will not have their hip instability spontaneously resolved during infancy [5]. In young patients, hip OA potentially caused by acetabular dysplasia, Legg-Calve-Perthes disease, slipped capital femoral epiphysis (SCFE), or femoroacetabular impingement (FAI) is a major cause (48%) of premature hip failure and subsequent THA [13]. Acetabular dysplasia is an insufficiency of coverage by the acetabulum of the femoral head that may result from developmental dysplasia of the hip (DDH) [28]. In babies and children with DDH, the hip has not developed properly and causes instability, dislocation, or subluxation in the joint [19].

<sup>1</sup>The Arthritis Foundation, Atlanta, GA, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

<sup>3</sup>Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Medicine and Rheumatology, University of California, Davis Medical Center, Sacramento, CA, USA

<sup>5</sup>Division of Rheumatology, Allergy, and Immunology, Thurston Arthritis Research Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

<sup>6</sup>Department of General Practice, Erasmus University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

<sup>7</sup>Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, Northeastern University, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>8</sup>Hospital for Special Surgery, New York City, NY, USA

### Corresponding Author:

Jason S. Kim, PhD, The Arthritis Foundation, 1355 Peachtree St NE, Suite 600, Atlanta, GA 30309, USA.  
Email: oacs\_info@arthritis.org

Early diagnosis and brace treatment can lead to normal hip development and prevent hip OA in adulthood [72]. Selective ultrasound screening of infants for DDH offers improved diagnostic accuracy over physical examination [32,59,65]. The Pavlik harness, potentially with supplemental bracing techniques, is first-line treatment for reducing a dislocated or subluxated hip and encouraging proper acetabular development [52,60]. Surgical techniques to alter and possibly normalize hip joint structure are available and valuable, although controversial [8,12,27,62]. In patients receiving surgical treatment for DDH, 31% required THA 45 years after the procedure [70]. Arthroplasty is very effective in young adults, but there is often a time in young adulthood when joint damage is minor but the affected hip is very symptomatic [18].

Perspective on pediatric hip OA is important due to the significant implications of growth and development in normal hip morphology. Developmental conditions such as infant hip dysplasia and SCFE are model systems that should be further explored to better understand the role of mechanics in hip OA. Adolescent cam deformity differs from SCFE deformity, yet it has similar mechanical effects in the development of joint damage. Surgical treatment of hip deformity has demonstrated efficacy in improving symptoms, but it is still difficult to show disease-modifying effect. Interventions that can be applied prior to cartilage tissue disruption may prevent disease progression and should be further examined.

## **Mechanobiology of Hips Prone to OA Using Computational Models**

*Presented by Sandra Shefelbine, PhD*

Computational models can aid in the understanding of the mechanobiology of growing bone and cartilage in the pediatric population; this helps define the mechanical causes of malformed joints, a strong predictor of hip OA [7,14]. Models simulate the endochondral ossification process that occurs during growth by proposing that hydrostatic stress maintains cartilage and shear stress results in hypertrophy and ossification.

In the prenatal hip, finite element models demonstrate how abnormal forces influence bone morphology and the development of DDH [64]. Dynamic mechanobiological simulation showed that fetal movements affect femoral head sphericity and neck-shaft angle, indicating the manifestation of DDH [26]. Simulations also indicated that early treatment in a Pavlik harness [72] is critical to ensuring proper bone growth and joint shape.

Further, children with altered gait may be affected by deformed bone growth due to abnormal stresses on the developing bones; subsequently, they may be at higher risk

of hip OA [10,15,21]. Specifically, children with cerebral palsy frequently exhibit proximal femoral deformities, such as anteversion and coxa valga [9].

Cam morphology—a bump on the anterosuperior portion of the femur that forms during skeletal growth in elite adolescent athletes in specific sports (ice hockey, basketball, and soccer)—is a strong risk factor for the development of hip OA [58]. In addition, those with cam FAI tend to walk with more anterior pelvic tilt [34,45]. Musculoskeletal modeling was used to determine if pelvic tilt could change muscle and joint forces impacting the loading on the hip and subsequent growth.

Biomechanical loading during growth and development affects hip morphology. Altered loading (pathologic or elite sports) may alter forces sufficiently to create morphologies at high risk for hip OA. A better understanding of the “proper” forces critical for hip development during growth may enable the prevention of some morphological causes of hip OA and inform planning treatment strategies to preserve correct loading on the bone at a young age.

## **First Results From the World Collaboration on OA Prediction for the Hip**

*Presented by Jos Runhaar, PhD*

The current “one size fits all” management approach to OA—in which the needs of hip OA are often co-opted from what we know about knee OA—should be challenged through better understanding of determinants and risk factors. The Worldwide Collaboration on OsteoArthritis prediction for the Hip: World COACH consortium was initiated for this purpose, as well as to develop an informed risk prediction model. The consortium includes all the prospective cohort studies worldwide that have longitudinal (at least 4 years apart) hip imaging data available (Table 1). The studies included information such as physical examination, family history, fractures/falls, comorbidities, medication, lifestyle/diet, and Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) questionnaires, as well as biospecimens and radiographs.

The World COACH consortium is a comprehensive set of data used to identify risk factors for hip OA and to drive personalized prevention strategies. World COACH is divided in the following work packages: methodology, hip morphology, genetics, clinical measures, and prediction models. Harmonization of study data across cohorts can lead to uniform assessments of hip morphology and high-quality data to study hip OA development. Hip morphological data based on automatized statistical shape modeling and predefined radiological measures were analyzed. The odds of developing radiographic hip OA within 4 to 8 years

**Table 1.** Description of cohorts included in the world COACH consortium.

Cohort	No. of participants	No. of baseline radiographs	Years of age at inclusions	Maximum follow-up (years)
Cohort Hip and Cohort Knee (CHECK) [6,73]	1002	1002	46–65	10
Chingford 1000 Women Study [29]	1003	1003	44–67	19
Johnston County Osteoarthritis (JoCoOA) Projects [35]	4337	3697	35–70	21
Multi-center Osteoarthritis Study (MOST) [63]	3026	3008	50–79	7
Osteoarthritis Initiative (OAI) [44]	4796	4771	45–79	8
Rotterdam Study (RS) [33]	14,926	11,147	45+	25
Tasmanian Older Adult Cohort (TASOAC) [24]	1099	1099	50–80	10
Study of Osteoporotic Fractures (SOF) [47, 71]	10,366	8291	65+	8
Total	40,555	34,018	35–80	7–25

are 1.24 times higher in hips with acetabular dysplasia than in hips without acetabular dysplasia. The odds of developing radiographic hip OA within 4 to 8 years are 1.59 times higher in hips with pincer morphology than in hips without pincer morphology. Study of the prevention of abnormal hip joint morphology or risk factors among individuals with abnormal hip joint morphology is warranted to further the field of hip OA prevention.

## Conclusion

Hip OA must be recognized as a research target with origins, risk factors, and patient populations distinct from those of knee OA. In children, developmental conditions such as DDH and SCFE should be studied for their role in the mechanics of hip OA. Computer modeling offers better understanding of the biomechanical forces critical for proper hip development during growth and may inform the morphological causes of hip OA. Preventing disease progression with early interventions before cartilage tissue is disrupted is a tantalizing goal.

In adults, hip OA is associated with an increased risk of all-cause mortality, and understanding the impact of THA on mortality will be important in guiding public health policy. Racial disparities exist in THA, but insufficient data are available on underrepresented minorities. Other chronic conditions, such as diabetes and cardiovascular disease, are linked to and seem to exacerbate hip OA symptoms. Exploring differences between hip OA and other types of OA could provide insight into the pathophysiology of the whole disease. Since increased bone mineral density is associated with increased rates of radiographic hip OA, further research is needed on the potential role of a high bone turnover phenotype in the development of hip OA. Further research is also needed on the role of bone metabolism variants in hip OA. Overall, expanding research in hip OA could accelerate the development of evidence-based interventions that could be translated into community and clinical settings to prevent hip OA, improve physical function, and lower mortality rates.

## Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared the following potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: Young-jo Kim, MD, PhD, reports a relationship with Cytex. Nancy E. Lane, MD, reports a relationship with Biosplice. Michael B. Millis, MD, reports relationships with the Peabody Foundation, Elsevier, and University of Minnesota. Amanda E. Nelson, MD, reports relationships with the NIH/NIAMS, CDC (National Institutes of Health / National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases K23AR061406, L30AR056604, P60AR064166, and P30AR072580; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention U01DP006266), University of Alabama, and Osteoarthritis Research Society International. Mathias P. Bostrom, MD, reports a relationship with Smith + Nephew. The other authors declared no potential conflicts of interest.

## Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: The 2023 Hip Osteoarthritis Clinical Studies Conference was sponsored by the Arthritis Foundation and the Hospital for Special Surgery. Additional support was provided by Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Smith + Nephew, and Stryker. Nelson has received funding from NIH/NIAMS and the CDC.

## Human/Animal Rights

All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2013.

## Informed Consent

Informed consent was not required for this review article.

## Level of Evidence

Level V: Review Article/Expert Opinion.

## Required Author Forms

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available with the online version of this article as supplemental material.



## ORCID iD

Amanda E. Nelson  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9344-7877>

## References

1. Ali-Gombe A, Croft PR, Silman AJ. Osteoarthritis of the hip and acetabular dysplasia in Nigerian men. *J Rheumatol*. 1996;23:512–515.
2. Altman R, Alarcón G, Appelrouth D, et al. The American College of Rheumatology criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis of the hip. *Arthr Rheum*. 1991;34:505–514.
3. Bannuru RR, Osani MC, Vaysbrot EE, et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee, hip, and polyarticular osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cart*. 2019;27:1578–1589. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2019.06.011>.
4. Barbour KE, Lui L-Y, Nevitt MC, et al. Hip osteoarthritis and the risk of all-cause and disease-specific mortality in older women: a population-based cohort study. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2015;67:1798–1805. <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.39113>.
5. Barlow TG. Early diagnosis and treatment of congenital dislocation of the hip. *Proc R Soc Med*. 1963;56:804–806.
6. Bastick AN, Verkleij SPJ, Damen J, et al. Defining hip pain trajectories in early symptomatic hip osteoarthritis—5 year results from a nationwide prospective cohort study (CHECK). *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2016;24:768–775. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2015.11.023>.
7. Beaupre GS, Stevens SS, Carter DR. Mechanobiology in the development, maintenance, and degeneration of articular cartilage. *J Rehabil Res Dev*. 2000;37:145–151.
8. Blockey NJ. Derotation osteotomy in the management of congenital dislocation of the hip. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 1984;66:485–490. <https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.66B4.6746678>.
9. Carriero A, Jonkers I, Shefelbine SJ. Mechanobiological prediction of proximal femoral deformities in children with cerebral palsy. *Comput Methods Biomech Biomed Engin*. 2011;14:253–262. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10255841003682505>.
10. Carriero A, Zavatsky A, Stebbins J, et al. Influence of altered gait patterns on the hip joint contact forces. *Comput Methods Biomech Biomed Engin*. 2014;17:352–359. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10255842.2012.683575>.
11. Chaganti RK, Parimi N, Lang T, et al. Bone mineral density and prevalent osteoarthritis of the hip in older men for the Osteoporotic Fractures in Men (MrOS) Study Group. *Osteoporos Int*. 2010;21:1307–1316. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-009-1105-9>.
12. Clohisy JC, Barrett SE, Gordon JE, Delgado ED, Schoenecker PL. Periacetabular osteotomy in the treatment of severe acetabular dysplasia. Surgical Technique. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2006;88(suppl. 1)(pt 1):65–83. <https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.E.00887>.
13. Clohisy JC, Dobson MA, Robison JF, et al. Radiographic structural abnormalities associated with premature, natural hip-joint failure. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2011;93(suppl. 2):3–9. <https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.J.01734>.
14. Comellas E, Shefelbine SJ. The role of computational models in mechanobiology of growing bone. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol*. 2022;10:973788. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2022.973788>.
15. Constantinou M, Barrett R, Brown M, Mills P. Spatial-temporal gait characteristics in individuals with hip osteoarthritis: a systematic literature review and meta-analysis. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2014;44:B291–B297. <https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2014.4634>.
16. Croft P, Cooper C, Wickham C, Coggon D. Defining osteoarthritis of the hip for epidemiologic studies. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1990;132:514–522. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a115687>.
17. Cushnaghan J, Dieppe P. Study of 500 patients with limb joint osteoarthritis. I. analysis by age, sex, and distribution of symptomatic joint sites. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 1991;50:8–13.
18. Daras M, Macaulay W. Total hip arthroplasty in young patients with osteoarthritis. *Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ)*. 2009;38:125–129.
19. Dezateux C, Rosendahl K. Developmental dysplasia of the hip. *Lancet*. 2007;369:1541–1552. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(07\)60710-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60710-7).
20. Engesaeter IO, Lie SA, Lehmann TG, et al. Neonatal hip instability and risk of total hip replacement in young adulthood: follow-up of 2,218,596 newborns from the Medical Birth Registry of Norway in the Norwegian Arthroplasty Register. *Acta Orthop*. 2008;79:321–326. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17453670710015201>.
21. Farkas GJ, Schlink BR, Fogg LF, et al. Gait asymmetries in unilateral symptomatic hip osteoarthritis and their association with radiographic severity and pain. *Hip Int*. 2019;29:209–214. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1120700018773433>.
22. Felson DT. An update on the pathogenesis and epidemiology of osteoarthritis. *Radiol Clin North Am*. 2004;42:1–9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0033-8389\(03\)00161-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0033-8389(03)00161-1).
23. Foley B, Cleveland RJ, Renner JB, Jordan JM, Nelson AE. Racial differences in associations between baseline patterns of radiographic osteoarthritis and multiple definitions of progression of hip osteoarthritis: the Johnston County Osteoarthritis Project. *Arthritis Res Ther*. 2015;17:366. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13075-015-0806-z>.
24. Gala L, Clohisy JC, Beaulé PE. Hip dysplasia in the young adult. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2016;98:63–73. <https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.O.00109>.
25. Gandham A, Gandham A, Zengin A, et al. Associations between socioeconomic status and obesity, sarcopenia, and sarcopenic obesity in community-dwelling older adults: the Tasmanian older adult cohort study. *Exp Gerontol*. 2021;156:111627. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2021.111627>.
26. Giorgi M, Carriero A, Shefelbine SJ, Nowlan NC. Effects of normal and abnormal loading conditions on morphogenesis of the prenatal hip joint: application to hip dysplasia. *J Biomech*. 2015;48:3390–3397. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2015.06.002>.
27. Grudziak JS, Ward W T. Dega osteotomy for the treatment of congenital dysplasia of the hip. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2011;83:845–854. <https://doi.org/10.2106/00004623-200106000-00005>.
28. Harris JD, Lewis BD, Park KJ. Hip dysplasia. *Clin Sports Med*. 2021;40:271–288. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csm.2020.11.004>.
29. Hart DJ, Spector TD. The relationship of obesity, fat distribution and osteoarthritis in women in the general population: the Chingford Study. *J Rheumatol*. 1993;20:331–335.

30. Haugen IK, Englund M, Aliabadi P, et al. Prevalence, incidence and progression of hand osteoarthritis in the general population: the Framingham Osteoarthritis Study. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2011;70:1581–1586. <https://doi.org/10.1136/ard.2011.150078>.
31. Hawker GA. Osteoarthritis is a serious disease. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 2019;37(suppl. 1):203–206.
32. Holen KJ, Foradi M, Yousef T, et al. Universal or selective screening of the neonatal hip using ultrasound? A prospective, randomised trial of 15,529 newborn infants. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 2002;84:886–890. <https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620x.84b6.12093>.
33. Ikram MA, Brusselle G, Ghanbari M, et al. Objectives, design and main findings until 2020 from the Rotterdam Study. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2020;35:483–517. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-020-00640-5>.
34. Ismail KK, Lewis CL. Effect of simulated changes in pelvic tilt on hip joint forces. *J Biomech*. 2022;135:111048. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2022.111048>.
35. Jordan JM, Helmick CG, Renner JB, et al. Prevalence of hip symptoms and radiographic and symptomatic hip osteoarthritis in African Americans and Caucasians: the Johnston County osteoarthritis project. *J Rheum*. 2009;36:809–815. <https://doi.org/10.3899/jrheum.080677>.
36. Jordan JM, Helmick CG, Renner JB, et al. Prevalence of knee symptoms and radiographic and symptomatic knee osteoarthritis in African Americans and Caucasians: the Johnston County Osteoarthritis Project. *J Rheum*. 2007;34:172–180.
37. Kellgren JH, Lawrence JS. Radiological assessment of osteoarthritis. *Annals Rheum Dis*. 1957;16:494–502. <https://doi.org/10.1136/ard.16.4.494>.
38. Kim C, Linsenmeyer KD, Vlad SC, et al. Prevalence of radiographic and symptomatic hip osteoarthritis in an urban United States community: the Framingham Osteoarthritis Study. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2014;66:3013–3017. <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.38795>.
39. Kolasinski SL, Neogi T, Hochberg MC, et al. 2019 American College of Rheumatology/Arthritis Foundation guideline for the management of osteoarthritis of the hand, hip, and knee. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2020;72:220–233. <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.41142>.
40. Lane NE, Nevitt MC, Genant HK, Hochberg MC. Reliability of new indices of radiographic osteoarthritis of the hand and hip and lumbar disc degeneration. *J Rheumatol*. 1993;20:1911–1918.
41. Lane NE, Nevitt MC, Hochberg MC, Hung YY, Palermo L. Progression of radiographic hip osteoarthritis over eight years in a community sample of elderly white women. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2004;50:1477–1486. <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.20213>.
42. Lane NE, Lin P, Christiansen L, et al. Association of mild acetabular dysplasia with an increased risk of incident hip osteoarthritis in elderly white women: the study of osteoporotic fractures. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2000;43:400–404. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1529-0131\(200002\)43:2<400::AID-ANR21>3.0.CO;2-D](https://doi.org/10.1002/1529-0131(200002)43:2<400::AID-ANR21>3.0.CO;2-D).
43. Lane NE, Lian K, Nevitt MC, et al. Frizzled-related protein variants are risk factors for hip osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2006;54:1246–1254. <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.21673>.
44. Lester G. The osteoarthritis initiative: a NIH public-private partnership. *HSS J*. 2012;8:62–63. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11420-011-9235-y>.
45. Lewis CL, Khuu A, Loverro KL. Gait alterations in femoroacetabular impingement syndrome differ by sex. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2018;48:649–658. <https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2018.7913>.
46. Lynch JA, Parimi N, Chaganti RK, et al. The association of proximal femoral shape and incident radiographic hip OA in elderly women. *Osteoarthritis Cart*. 2009;17:1313–1318. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2009.04.011>.
47. Marshall LM, Litwack-Harrison S, Cawthon PM, et al. A Prospective study of back pain and risk of falls among older community-dwelling women. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2016;71:1177–1183. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glv225>.
48. Moss AS, Murphy LB, Helmick CG, et al. Annual incidence rates of hip symptoms and three hip OA outcomes from a U.S. population-based cohort study: the Johnston County Osteoarthritis Project. *Osteoarthritis Cart*. 2016;24:1518–1527. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2016.04.012>.
49. Murphy LB, Helmick CG, Schwartz TA, et al. One in four people may develop symptomatic hip osteoarthritis in his or her lifetime. *Osteoarthritis Cart*. 2010;18:1372–1379. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2010.08.005>.
50. Murphy SB, Ganz R, Muller ME. The prognosis in untreated dysplasia of the hip. A study of radiographic factors that predict the outcome. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1995;77:985–989. <https://doi.org/10.2106/00004623-199507000-00002>.
51. Murray RO. The aetiology of primary osteoarthritis of the hip. *Br J Radiol*. 1965;38:810–824. <https://doi.org/10.1259/0007-1285-38-455-810>.
52. Nakamura J, Kamegaya M, Saisu T, et al. Treatment for developmental dysplasia of the hip using the Pavlik harness: long-term results. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 2007;89:230–235. <https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.89B2.18057>.
53. Nelson AE, Liu F, Lynch JA, et al. Association of incident symptomatic hip osteoarthritis with differences in hip shape by active shape modeling: the Johnston County Osteoarthritis Project. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2014;66:74–81. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.22094>.
54. Nelson AE, Golightly YM, Renner JB, et al. Brief report: differences in multijoint symptomatic osteoarthritis phenotypes by race and sex: the Johnston County Osteoarthritis Project. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2013;65:373–377. <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.37775>.
55. Nelson AE, Renner JB, Schwartz TA, et al. Differences in multijoint radiographic osteoarthritis phenotypes among African Americans and Caucasians: the Johnston County Osteoarthritis project. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2011;63:3843–3852. <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.30610>.
56. Nelson AE. The importance of hip shape in predicting hip osteoarthritis. *Curr Treatm Opt Rheumatol*. 2018;4:214–222. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40674-018-0096-0>.
57. Nevitt MC, Lane NE, Scott JC, et al. Radiographic osteoarthritis of the hip and bone mineral density. The Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group. *Arthritis Rheum*. 1995;38:907–916. <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.1780380706>.

58. Palmer A, Fernquest S, Gimpel M, et al. Physical activity during adolescence and the development of cam morphology: a cross-sectional cohort study of 210 individuals. *Br J Sports Med.* 2018;52:601–610. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2017-097626>.
59. Paton RW, Hossain S, Eccles K. Eight-year prospective targeted ultrasound screening program for instability and at-risk hip joints in developmental dysplasia of the hip. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 2022;22:338–341.
60. Pavlik A. The functional method of treatment using a harness with stirrups as the primary method of conservative therapy for infants with congenital dislocation of the hip. 1957. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1992;281:4–10.
61. Pereira D, Peleteiro B, Araújo J, et al. The effect of osteoarthritis definition on prevalence and incidence estimates: a systematic review. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage.* 2011;19:1270–1285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2011.08.009>.
62. Rajakulendran K, Strambi F, Buly J, Field RE. A shelf procedure at a follow-up of 75 years. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2011;93:108–110. <https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.93B1.25287>.
63. Segal NA, Torner JC, Felson DT, et al. Knee extensor strength does not protect against incident knee symptoms at 30 months in the multicenter knee osteoarthritis (MOST) cohort. *PM R.* 2009;1:459–465. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmrj.2009.03.005>.
64. Shefelbine SJ, Carter DR. Mechanobiological predictions of growth front morphology in developmental hip dysplasia. *J Orthop Res.* 2004;22:346–352. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orthres.2003.08.004>.
65. Shorter D, Hong T, Osborn DA. Screening programmes for developmental dysplasia of the hip in newborn infants. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2011; 2011:CD004595. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004595.pub2>.
66. Singh JA, Yu S, Chen L, Cleveland JD. Rates of total joint replacement in the United States: future projections to 2020–2040 using the national inpatient sample. *J Rheumatol.* 2019;46:1134–1140. <https://doi.org/10.3899/jrheum.170990>.
67. Solomon L, Beighton P, Lawrence JS. Rheumatic disorders in the South African Negro. Part II. Osteo-arthritis. *S Afr Med J.* 1975;49:1737–1740.
68. Solomon L. Patterns of osteoarthritis of the hip. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1976;58:176–183. <https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.58B2.932079>.
69. Tepper S, Hochberg MC. Factors associated with hip osteoarthritis: data from the First National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES-I). *Am J Epidemiol.* 1993;137:1081–1088. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a116611>.
70. Thomas SR, Wedge JH, Salter RB. Outcome at forty-five years after open reduction and innominate osteotomy for late-presenting developmental dislocation of the hip. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2007;89:2341–2350. <https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.F.00857>.
71. van Buuren MM, Ahedi H, Arbabi V, et al. The worldwide collaboration on osteoarthritis prediction for the hip (WORLD COACH) consortium: design and rationale of a consortium using individual participant data from prospective cohort studies. *Osteoarthr Cartil.* 2022;30:S253–S254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2022.02.345>.
72. Vaquero-Picado A, Gonzalez-Moran G, Garay EG, Moraleta L. Developmental dysplasia of the hip: update of management. *EFORT Open Rev.* 2019;4:548–556. <https://doi.org/10.1302/2058-5241.4.180019>.
73. Wesseling J, Boers M, Viergever MA. Cohort profile: Cohort hip and cohort knee (CHECK) study. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2016;45:36–44. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyu177>.