



CJC Pediatric and Congenital Heart Disease 1 (2022) 119-128

**Original Article** 

## Prospective Assessment of Coronary Artery Flows Before and After Cardiopulmonary Bypass in Children With a Spectrum of Congenital Heart Disease

Lynne E. Nield, MD,<sup>a</sup> Conall T. Morgan, MD,<sup>a</sup> Simone Diab, MD,<sup>a</sup> Maria Angeles Vera, MD,<sup>a</sup>

Kyle Runeckles, MSc,<sup>a</sup> Mark K. Friedberg, MD,<sup>a</sup> Andreea Dragulescu, MD, PhD,<sup>a</sup>

Osami Honjo, MD, PhD,<sup>b</sup> Katherine Taylor, MD,<sup>c</sup> Michael-Alice Moga, MD, MSc, MBA,<sup>d</sup>

Cedric Manlhiot, PhD,<sup>a</sup> Steven E.S. Miner, MD,<sup>e</sup> and Luc Mertens, MD, PhD<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Labatt Family Heart Centre, Division of Cardiology, the Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Ontario, Canada <sup>b</sup> Division of Cardiovascular Surgery, the Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Ontario, Canada <sup>c</sup> Department of Anaesthesia and Pain Medicine, the Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Ontario, Canada <sup>d</sup> Division of Cardiac Intensive Care, the Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Ontario, Canada <sup>e</sup> Department of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Normative data for the effect of cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) on coronary artery Doppler velocities by transesophageal echocardiography in paediatric patients with congenital heart disease (CHD) are lacking. The objective of the study was to prospectively examine the effects of CPB on coronary artery flow patterns by transesophageal echocardiography before and after CPB in children with CHD.

**Methods:** All cases undergoing CHD surgery at the Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, were eligible. The excluded cases included Norwood

# Transthoracic coronary artery assessment by 2D, colour, and pulse wave Doppler is frequently used to define coronary artery anatomy and physiology in congenital cardiac lesions (such as transposition of the great arteries [TGA], anomalous coronary artery from pulmonary artery, and coronary fistulae) and in acquired cardiac lesions (eg, Kawasaki's disease).<sup>1-5</sup> Normal coronary flow is defined as biphasic, low velocity with a diastolic peak, and only antegrade flow. "Normal" baseline flow velocities in the left coronary artery are generally accepted between 30 and 60 cm/s depending on the age of the patient, either invasively, or by echocardiography.<sup>6-10</sup> Studies

E-mail: lynne.nield@sickkids.ca

### RÉSUMÉ

**Contexte :** On ne dispose pas de données normatives sur les effets de la dérivation cardiopulmonaire (DCP) sur le débit coronarien mesuré au moyen d'une échocardiographie transœsophagienne Doppler chez des enfants présentant une cardiopathie congénitale. L'objectif de l'étude était d'examiner de manière prospective les effets de la DCP sur le débit coronarien avant et après l'intervention chez des enfants présentant une cardiopathie congénitale.

Méthodologie : Tous les enfants ayant subi une intervention chirurgicale pour une cardiopathie congénitale à l'Hospital for Sick

have shown that coronary artery velocities in children decrease with age and heart rate<sup>11</sup> and that coronary flow velocities in infants and children are higher than those in adults.<sup>12</sup> Interestingly, in neonates, coronary velocities show a linear increase with age and left ventricular (LV) mass.<sup>13</sup>

The impact of congenital heart surgery on intraoperative coronary blood flow has not been well studied. Cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) and intracoronary injection of cardioplegia influence coronary physiology and result in coronary vasodilatation.<sup>14</sup> After congenital heart surgery, flow in the left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD) increases immediately after CPB and is dependent on cardioplegia dosage.<sup>15</sup> In addition, cardioplegia is known to alter vasomotor function, both in the microvasculature and in the epicardial coronary bed, which can lead to myocardial dysfunction.<sup>16</sup> In children undergoing cardiac surgery requiring coronary artery manipulation, alterations in coronary flow patterns can identify important coronary artery stenoses. Our group previously demonstrated that, in patients undergoing the arterial

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjcpc.2022.04.001

Received for publication January 11, 2022. Accepted April 1, 2022.

Corresponding author: Dr Lynne E. Nield, Division of Cardiology, the Hospital for Sick Children, 555 University Ave, Toronto, Ontario M5G 1X8, Canada. Tel.: +1-416-813-6140; fax: +1-416-813-7547.

<sup>2772-8129/© 2022</sup> The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Canadian Cardiovascular Society. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

operation, heart transplantation, or weight <2.5 kg. Coronary Dopplers and coronary flow reserve (CFR) for the right coronary artery (RCA) and left anterior descending (LAD) were obtained. Multivariable analyses using linear regression models were performed, adjusted for age and cross-clamp time.

**Results:** From May 2017 to June 2018, 69 children (median age at surgery: 0.7 years, interquartile range [IQR]: 0.4-3.7 years; median weight: 7.4 kg, IQR: 5.8-13.3 kg) were included. They were grouped into shunt lesions (N = 26), obstructive lesions (N = 26), transposition of the great arteries (N = 5), and single ventricle (N = 12). N = 39 (57%) were primary repairs, and 56 (81%) had 1 CPB run. For RCA and LAD peak velocities, there was an increase from pre- to post-CPB in RCA peak 39 cm/s (IQR: 30-54 cm/s) to 65 cm/s (IQR: 47-81 cm/s), P < 0.001, mean CFR 1.52 (IQR: 1.25-1.81), and LAD peak 49 cm/s (IQR: 39-60 cm/s) to 70 cm/s (IQR: 52-90 cm/s), P < 0.001, mean CFR 1.48 (IQR: 1.14-1.77).

**Conclusions:** Coronary flow velocities increase from pre- to post-CPB in congenital heart lesions. CFR is consistent across all lesions but is relatively low compared with the adult population.

switch operation for TGA, increased coronary peak systolic velocity was associated with a need for surgical revision, and flow reversal in the left coronary artery was associated with major adverse outcomes.<sup>17,18</sup>

The primary objective of this study was to examine the effects of CPB on right and left coronary artery flow patterns and coronary velocities, and coronary flow reserve (CFR) before and after CPB in patients with a spectrum of congenital heart lesions, measured by transesophageal echocardiography (TEE). A secondary aim was to evaluate coronary flow abnormalities associated with adverse postoperative cardiac outcomes.

### **Materials and Methods**

### Study design and patient population

This prospective study was carried out at the Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada. Patients who underwent CPB for surgical correction of congenital heart lesions between May 8, 2017, and June 26, 2018, were included after parental informed consent. We excluded patients who underwent stage 1 palliation for hypoplastic left heart syndrome with either a Norwood procedure or a hybrid procedure, patients who underwent heart transplantation, patients with anomalous pulmonary or aortic origin of a coronary artery, patients where a TEE was contraindicated (less than 2.5 kg, recent upper gastrointestinal surgery) and operations where TEE was not routinely performed (patent arterial duct ligation, aortic coarctation, and vascular ring), or if informed consent was not obtained. Cases were excluded if the TEE coronary Dopplers were not obtained either before or after CPB or if the tracings were inadequate for analysis. In all cases, standard del Nido cardioplegia was used.<sup>1</sup>

Children de Toronto étaient admissibles à l'étude, à l'exception de ceux ayant subi une intervention de Norwood ou une transplantation cardiaque, de même que les enfants pesant moins de 2,5 kg. Les résultats du test Doppler et la réserve coronarienne pour l'artère coronaire droite (ACD) et la branche antérieure de l'artère coronaire gauche (ACG) ont été obtenus. Des analyses multivariées ont été réalisées au moyen de modèles de régression linéaire, avec correction en fonction de l'âge et du temps de clampage total.

Résultats : Entre mai 2017 et juin 2018, 69 enfants (âge médian au moment de la chirurgie : 0,7 an, intervalle interquartile (IIQ) : 0,4-3,7 ans; poids médian : 7,4 kg, IIQ : 5,8-13,3 kg) ont été inclus dans l'étude. Les sujets ont été répartis en quatre groupes : shunts (n = 26), lésions obstructives (n = 26), permutation des gros vaisseaux (n = 5) et ventricule unique (n = 12). Chez 39 sujets (57 %), il s'agissait d'une réparation primitive, et 56 enfants (81 %) avaient déjà subi une DCP. Les vitesses maximales dans l'ACD et dans la branche antérieure de l'ACG ont augmenté après la DCP, passant de 39 cm/s (IIQ : 30-54 cm/s) à 65 cm/s (IIQ : 47-81 cm/s), p < 0,001; réserve coronarienne moyenne : 1,52 (IIQ : 1,25-1,81) pour l'ACD, et de 49 cm/s (IIQ : 39-60 cm/s) à 70 cm/s (IIQ : 52-90 cm/s), p < 0,001; réserve coronarienne moyenne : 1,48 (IIQ : 1,14-1,77) pour la branche antérieure de l'ACG. Conclusions : Le débit coronarien augmente après une DCP dans les cas de lésions cardiaques congénitales. La réserve coronarienne est constante dans tous les types de lésions, mais elle est relativement faible comparativement à celle de la population adulte.

Patients were divided into the following 4 subcategories: (1) shunt lesions (atrial or ventricular septal defects [VSDs], atrioventricular septal defect, and total anomalous pulmonary venous drainage); (2) left or right outflow tract obstruction; (3) TGA; and (4) single ventricle circulations (patients with hypoplastic right or left ventricles who were on the single ventricle palliative route after stage 1 palliation). Patient demographics were obtained from the patient's chart. Adverse outcome was defined as death, or a composite of ST changes or ventricular tachycardia/fibrillation, or use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) postoperatively to the time of hospital discharge.

The study was approved by the hospital's research ethics board.

### Demographic data

Clinical and operative data were obtained for each case up until hospital discharge. Relevant variables are represented in Table 1.

# Transesophageal echocardiography assessment before and after CPB

All pre- and post-CPB TEEs were performed by a staff echocardiographer (LEN, LM, AD, MKF) along with an advanced echocardiography fellow (CTM, SD, MAV, Xiaoling Zhang). Patients underwent a pre-CPB TEE in the operating room after induction of anaesthesia, before sternal opening. Standard 4, 3, and 2 chamber and transgastric views were obtained to qualitatively assess ventricular function. The heart rate and rhythm were recorded at the time of the TEE, in order to define systole and diastole. Both atrioventricular and semilunar valves were interrogated with colour Doppler. A qualitative assessment of ventricular function was performed and graded as normal, mild, or moderately to severely reduced. Atrioventricular and semilunar valve regurgitation was qualitatively assessed as none, mild, or moderate to severe. Coronary artery imaging was obtained from the short axis for the right coronary artery (RCA), left main coronary artery, LAD, and circumflex arteries as per our previously published protocols.<sup>8</sup> For all coronaries, a 2D image of the vessel was obtained, and for the RCA and left main coronary artery, the connection to the aortic sinus was obtained. Colour and pulse wave Dopplers were obtained for each coronary artery when possible, with at least 3 consecutive heart beats and optimal image quality. Peak and mean velocities were recorded as well as the presence of flow reversal. A second TEE was performed after CPB to assess the results of the surgical repair as per our hospital protocol. In addition to the usual TEE variables, coronary arteries were reassessed by 2D, colour, and pulse wave Doppler. Similarly, a qualitative assessment of ventricular and valvar function was recorded.

The timing of coronary artery pulse wave Doppler was measured according to the electrocardiogram tracing, with systolic flow defined as the time interval from the onset of the QRS to the end of the T-wave and diastole defined as the end of the T-wave to the onset of the QRS. Analysis of coronary artery imaging was performed offline (Syngo Dynamics V10; Siemens Medical Solutions, Malvern, PA) by a single reviewer (CM, blinded to clinical outcomes, but aware of cardiac diagnosis, coronary anatomy, and type of surgical repair). In cases of diagnostic uncertainty, the case was reviewed by a second investigator (LEN).

CFR was calculated from both LAD and RCA peak and mean velocities by determining the ratio of post-CPB velocity to pre-CPB velocity, as previously validated by both trans-thoracic and TEE studies.<sup>20,21</sup>

### Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were summarized in terms of medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs), with between-group differences assessed using Wilcoxon rank-sum tests. Dichotomous and polytomous variables were summarized in terms of the number and proportion of patients in each stratum, with between-group differences assessed using Fisher's exact tests. Changes in pre-to-post coronary velocities were assessed using Wilcoxon signed-rank tests. Multivariable analyses of factors associated with coronary flow velocity and gradients were conducted using linear regression models and adjusted for age and cross-clamp time, which were selected for inclusion a priori to account for operative complexity.

### Results

Two hundred and seventy-five families were approached in the preoperative clinic between May 8, 2017, and June 26, 2018. Of those, a total of 117 (43%) patients consented to the study. Two operative cases were cancelled after the pre-CPB TEE findings, after discussion with the surgeon. The first was a case with Noonan's syndrome and pulmonary stenosis, where the outflow gradient was not severe by TEE under general anaesthetic, and the second case was a congenitally corrected TGA for double switch, where the LV function was severely reduced, which contrasted with the preoperative transthoracic echocardiogram.

Of the initial 117 patients, 36 patients were excluded because of insufficient data. A further 12 patients did not fit into the 4 diagnostic categories (Fig. 1).

Therefore, a total of 69 patients were included in the final analysis (Table 1). There were 26 patients (38%) in the shunt group, 26 patients (38%) in the obstruction group, 5 patients (7%) in the TGA group, and 12 patients (17%) in the single ventricle group.

The coronary arteries were surgically manipulated in 7 cases: 5 arterial switch operations and 2 Ross procedures. Two patients underwent postoperative cardiac catheterization for suspected coronary stenosis. One patient had undergone an arterial switch operation for TGA. During this child's arterial switch operation, the RCA button was revised twice, with noted increased velocity and electrocardiogram changes. The child returned to the operating room at day 12 for a revision of the RCA button. The other patient had a mechanical aortic valve, Konno, and mitral valve replacement with a left internal mammary artery coronary bypass graft to the RCA after accidental transection during the procedure (no revision was required). Two of the 5 cases of TGA required coronary revision during the hospital admission. One case was previously described. The second case had a Taussig-Bing anomaly with 1L2RCx anatomy. On postoperative day 3, a coronary angiogram demonstrated occlusion at the RCA/circumflex artery junction, and a thrombus was surgically removed. Coronary revision was not required in any of the other groups.

The median age at surgery was 0.7 years (range: 0.1-17.8 years, IQR: 0.4-3.7 years). The median weight at surgery was 7.4 kg (IQR: 5.8-13.3 kg). As expected, CPB time differed significantly between the groups, with the shortest bypass time in the shunt group and the longest bypass time in the TGA group. The median cross-clamp time was longest in the TGA patients (127 minutes, IQR: 107-128 minutes) followed by obstruction (75 minutes, IQR: 59-105 minutes) and shunt patients (62 minutes, IQR: 47-106 minutes), with the shortest time among the single ventricle patients (59 minutes, IQR: 0-79 minutes), although there was no statistically significant difference between the groups (P = 0.13). Nine cases (13%) required a secondary CPB run due to a residual lesion requiring surgical intervention. Preoperatively, no cases were on milrinone or norepinephrine, and 6 (9%) cases were on epinephrine, compared with 64 (93%) cases on milrinone, 18 (26%) on norepinephrine, and 56 (81%) cases on epinephrine after CPB. Delayed sternal closure occurred in 9% patients (n = 6). Ventricular fibrillation occurred in the operating room in 1 patient, and ST segment changes, either elevation or depression, were noted in 6% (n = 4). No patients required ECMO, and there were no deaths at the last follow-up.

There were statistically significant differences in intensive care stay (overall 2.0 days, IQR: 1-3 days, P = 0.01 between groups) and in total hospital stay (6 days, IQR: 4-9 days, P = 0.006 between groups) with longer stay in the TGA and single ventricle groups.

Table 1.	Demographic a	and clinical	data of a	II cases,	and the 4	subgroups
----------	---------------	--------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------

Demographics and clinical										
characteristics	Ν	Total	Ν	Shunt	Ν	Obstruction	Ν	TGA	Ν	Single ventricle
Male	69	34 (49)	26	9 (35)	26	10 (38)	5	5 (100)	12	10 (83)
Gestational age at birth (wk)	21	$38 \pm 3$	8	$38 \pm 3$	5	$39 \pm 3$	3	$39 \pm 2$	5	$38 \pm 3$
Age at surgery (y)	69	0.7 (0.4-3.7)	26	0.7 (0.4-2.3)	26	1.1 (0.5-9.1)	5	0.1 (0.1-0.1)	12	2.8 (0.7-3.4)
Weight at surgery (kg)	69	7.4 (5.8-13.3)	26	6.3 (5.2-11.8)	26	10.2 (6.6-21.7)	5	4.1 (3.9-4.1)	12	12.9 (6.8-13.6)
BSA at the time of surgery (m <sup>2</sup> )	66	0.4 (0.3-0.6)	26	0.3 (0.3-0.5)	24	0.5 (0.3-0.8)	5	0.2 (0.2-0.2)	11	0.6 (0.3-0.7)
Type of repair	69		26		26		5		12	
Primary repair		39 (57)		23 (88)		11 (42)		5 (100)		0 (0)
Reoperation		30 (43)		3 (12)		15 (58)		0 (0)		12 (100)
Coronaries were manipulated on first operation	21	2 (10)	3	0 (0)	10	1 (10)	0	0 (0)	8	1 (13)
Coronaries manipulated intraoperatively	69	8 (12)	26	0 (0)	26	2 (8)	5	5 (100)	12	1 (8)
X clamp time (min)	59	79 (48-110)	25	62 (47-106)	26	75 (59-105)	5	127 (107-128)	3	59 (0-79)
Bypass time (min)	67	102 (65-136)	25	82 (70-123)	26	110 (75-167)	5	154 (138-183)	11	84 (49-122)
Circulatory arrest	68	5 (7)	26	2 (8)	26	1 (4)	5	1 (20)	11	1 (9)
Circulatory arrest time (min)	5	24 (15-32)	2	15 (15-33)	1	4 (4-4)	1	24 (24-24)	1	32 (32-32)
Number of bypass runs	69		26		26		5		12	
1		56 (81)		22 (85)		22 (85)		2 (40)		10 (83)
2		9 (13)		2 (8)		4 (15)		2 (40)		1 (8)
Missing		4 (6)		2 (8)		0 (0)		1 (20)		1 (8)
VT/VF noted in OR	65	1 (2)	25	0 (0)	26	1 (4)	3	0 (0)	11	0 (0)
ST segment changes noted in OR	65	4 (6)	25	3 (12)	26	0 (0)	3	1 (33)	11	0 (0)
Chest left open	68	6 (9)	26	0 (0)	26	2 (8)	5	4 (80)	11	0 (0)
Duration of ICU stay (d)	63	2.0 (1.0-3.0)	25	2.0 (1.0-2.0)	22	2.0 (1.0-5.0)	5	4.0 (4.0-9.0)	11	2.0 (1.0-3.0)
Duration of hospital stay (d)	66	6.0 (4.0-9.0)	26	5.0 (4.0-6.0)	24	5.0 (4.0-11.0)	5	24.0 (8.0-25.0)	11	9.0 (6.0-11.0)

Data are presented as n (%) unless otherwise indicated.

BSA, body surface area; ICU, intensive care unit; OR, operating room; TGA, transposition of the great arteries; VF, ventricular fibrillation; VT, ventricular tachycardia.

### Pre- and post-CPB TEE coronary artery results

There were no complications related to either the pre- or post-CPB TEEs. Table 2 depicts the results for all coronary artery variables. The circumflex artery was the least frequently visualized (in 19 [28%] patients preoperatively and 22 [32%] intraoperatively), followed by the left main coronary artery (in 27 [39%] of patients pre- and intraoperatively).

The LAD was adequately imaged in 93% (n = 64) of patients. Flow reversal was noted in 6% (n = 4) preoperatively. Figures 2 and 3 demonstrate peak LAD and RCA



Figure 1. Coronary TEE study patient inclusion/exclusion. BCPC, bidirectional Glenn; LVOTO, left outflow tract obstruction; RVOTO, right outflow tract obstruction; TEE, transesophageal echocardiography; TGA, transposition of the great arteries.

Nield et al.				
Coronary Artery Flows	in	Congenital	Heart	Disease

Table 2.	Preoperative ar	nd intraoperative	TEE findings a	t each time	point and for e	ach diagnostic category
----------	-----------------	-------------------	----------------	-------------	-----------------	-------------------------

Properative LMCA         19         4         5         3         7         0         0           Unable to visualize         12 (63)         4 (100)         1 (26)         6 (10)         7         0	TEE findings	Ν	Total	Ν	Shunt	Ν	Obstruction	Ν	TGA	Ν	Single ventricle	P value
Wanked         7 (37)         0 (0)         1 (20)         3 (100)         3 (43)           Unable to visable         12 (63)         4 (100)         4 (100)         4 (100)         4 (100)         4 (100)         1 (10)	Preoperative LMCA	19		4		5		3		7		0.05
Unable to visualize         12 (63)         4 (100)         4 (80)         0 (0)         4 (57)         0.00           Visualized         7 (32)         1 (17)         0 (0)         4 (100)         2 (40)         3 (40)           Unable to visualize         15 (66)         5 (83)         6 (90)         2 (90)         4 (80)         1 (20)         1 (0 (9))         0.01           Unable to visualize         5 (7)         2 (8)         0 (0)         4 (80)         8 (7)         0.00           Unable to visualize         5 (7)         2 (8)         0 (0)         4 (80)         1 (12)         0.00           Unable to visualize         5 (7)         2 (8)         2 (14)         5 (100)         1 (12)         0.00           Unable to visualize         5 (7)         2 (8)         2 (14)         5 (100)         1 (12)         0.00           Unable to visualize         1 4 (20)         1 9 (7)         2 (18)         4 (80)         1 (10)         0.00         1 (10)           Unable to visualize         1 4 (20)         9 (70)         3 (100)         1 (10)         0.00         1 (10)           Unable to visualize         1 4 (29)         0 (10)         1 (10)         0 (10)         1 (10)         0.00	Visualized		7 (37)		0 (0)		1 (20)		3 (100)		3 (43)	
Introsperative LMCA         22         Color of a base	Unable to visualize		12 (63)		4 (100)		4 (80)		0 (0)		4 (57)	
	Intraoperative LMCA	22	(	6		7		4		5		0.003
Unable to visable         15 (68)         5 (83)         7 (100)         0 (00)         3 (60)         4 (80)         10 (91)           Visable of visable         3 (4)         0 (0)         1 (20)	Visualized		7 (32)		1 (17)	,	0 (0)		4 (100)	-	2 (40)	
Pengerapier IAD 67 0.500 25 0.500 25 0.500 25 0.500 10 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	Unable to visualize		15 (68)		5 (83)		7(100)		0(0)		3 (60)	
$\begin{aligned} \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Preoperative I AD	67	1) (00)	25	) (0))	26	, (100)	5	0 (0)	11	5 (00)	0.1/
	Visualized	0/	64 (96)	2)	25 (100)	20	25 (96)	)	4 (80)	11	10 (91)	0.11
$\begin{array}{                                    $	Unable to visualize		3 (4)		2)(100)		$\frac{2}{1}(50)$		$\frac{1}{1}(20)$		10(91) 1(9)	
$\begin{array}{                                    $		(7	5 (4)	20	0 (0)	26	1 (4)	6	1 (20)	11	1 (9)	0.04
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Visualizad	0/	(2, (02))	20	2/(02)	20	2((100))	4	6 (100)	11	0 (72)	0.04
	visualized		62 (95)		24 (92)		26 (100)		4 (100)		δ (/ 5) 2 (27)	
	Unable to visualize	(7	5 (/)	25	2 (8)	25	0 (0)	~	0 (0)	10	5 (27)	0.16
	Preoperative RCA	6/	50 (70)	25	16161	25	<b>at</b> (a))	>	5 (100)	12	11 (00)	0.16
	Visualized		53 (79)		16 (64)		21 (84)		5 (100)		11 (92)	
$ \begin{array}{cccc}                                   $	Unable to visualize		14 (21)		9 (36)		4 (16)	_	0 (0)		1 (8)	
Vanialized         54 (79)         19 (76)         21 (81)         4 (80)         10 (83)           Unable to visualize         14 (21)         6 (24)         5 (19)         1 (20)         2 (17)           Preoperative circumflex         26 (96)         9 (100)         8 (89)         3 (100)         6 (100)           Unable to visualize         26 (96)         9 (100)         9 (100)         2 (67)         6 (86)           Transportative circumflex         27         8 (100)         9 (100)         2 (67)         6 (86)           Transportative circumflex         27         0 (0)         2 (13)         26 (5 (15)         4 (12)         26 (2)         9 (16)         2 (17)         0 (0)         0 (11)         0 (10)         1 (11)         0 (7)         2 (12)         3 (10)         5 (12)         3 (11)         2 (12)         1 (12)         8 (10)         0 (11)         0 (10)         1 (10)         0 (10)         1 (10)         0 (10)         2 (12)         3 (12)         2 (12)         3 (12)         2 (12)         3 (12)         2 (12)         3 (12)         2 (12)         3 (12)         2 (12)         3 (12)         2 (12)         3 (12)         2 (12)         3 (12)         2 (12)         3 (12)         2 (12)         3 (12)	Intraoperative RCA	68		25		26		5		12		0.97
	Visualized		54 (79)		19 (76)		21 (81)		4 (80)		10 (83)	
Propensive incumflex 27 9 9 9 3 6 100 000 000 1 (11) 0 (0) 0 (0) 101 000 000 1 101 000 000 000 1 (11) 0 (0) 0 (0) 0 000 000 000 000 000 000	Unable to visualize		14 (21)		6 (24)		5 (19)		1 (20)		2 (17)	
Valuable to visualize         1 (4)         0 (0)         1 (1)         0 (0)         6 (0)           Intraopeative circumflex         27         8         9         3 (100)         6 (10)         1 (14)           Visualize         25 (23)         8 (100)         9 (100)         2 (67)         6 (86)           Properative LAD: flow reversal         62         9 (15)         24         3 (13)         26         5 (19)         4         1 (25)         8         0 (0)         0.22           Intraopeative LAD: flow reversal         64         70 (52-90)         26         71 (52.81)         26         70 (55-93)         4         51 (27-95)         8         49 (35.82)           Intraopeative LAD: mean vs diastolic         64         37 (30-49)         26         36 (31-48)         26         38 (32-52)         4         17 (14-24)         10         22 (19-39)         0.52           (m/s)         Freoperative RA: flow reversal         53         5 (9)         16         3 (19)         21         2 (10-32)         4         17 (14-24)         10         22 (19-39)         0.52           Properative RA: flow reversal         53         5 (9)         16         3 (19)         21         2 (10-32)         4	Preoperative circumflex	27		9		9		3		6		1.00
	Visualized		1 (4)		0 (0)		1 (11)		0 (0)		0 (0)	
Intraperative circumiles         27         8         9         3         7         0.13           Visualize         27         0         0         0         0         1         13         1         14           Unable to visualize         25         93         8         000         9         100         1         0.01         0         100         0         100         0.01         0.00         0.052           Presportive LAD: relow reversal         6.2         9         152         24         3         13         26         5         10         3         20         0.00         0.052         10         35         0.755         0.45           Intraoperative LAD: relow reversal         6.4         70         52-00         26         71         52.3         20         17         10         0         20         0.93         8         62         21.93         0.55           Intraoperative LAD: mean va diastolic         64         37         30-90         21         2         10         1         0.00         0         0.00         0         0.00         0         0.00         0         0.00         0         0.00         0.00         <	Unable to visualize		26 (96)		9 (100)		8 (89)		3 (100)		6 (100)	
	Intraoperative circumflex	27		8		9		3		7		0.13
	Visualized		2 (7)		0 (0)		0 (0)		1 (33)		1 (14)	
Preoperative LAD: flow reversal 63 4 (6) 25 1 (4) 24 2 (8) 4 (00) 10 1 (10) 0.74 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 1 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 1 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 1 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 10 10 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 10 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 10 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 (10) 0.75 (10) 10 (10) 0.75 (10)	Unable to visualize		25 (93)		8 (100)		9 (100)		2 (67)		6 (86)	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Preoperative LAD: flow reversal	63	4 (6)	25	1 (4)	24	2 (8)	4	0 (0)	10	1 (10)	0.74
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Freeperative LAD: peak v diastolic} & 65 & 49 (39-60) & 26 & 49 (43-62) & 25 & 49 (36-62) & 4 & 44 (27-55) & 10 & 35 (27-55) & 0.45 \\ (m/s) \\ (m$	Intraoperative LAD: flow reversal	62	9 (15)	24	3 (13)	26	5 (19)	4	1 (25)	8	0(0)	0.52
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{(cm/s)} \ $	Preoperative I AD: peak vs diastolic	65	49 (39-60)	26	49 (43-62)	25	49 (36-62)	4	44 (27-55)	10	35 (27-55)	0.45
Intraperative IAD: peak v diastolic of 4 70 (52-90) 26 71 (52-81) 26 70 (55-93) 4 53 (27-95) 8 49 (33-87) 0.63 (cm/s) 0.59 (cm/s) 0.5 (cm/s) 0.5 (cm/s) 0.5 (cm/s) 0.5 (cm/s) 0.5 (cm/s) 0.5 (cm/s)	(cm/s)	0)	1) (3) 00)	20	19 (19 02)	2)	1) (30 02)		11 (27 99)	10	55 (27 55)	0.19
	Intraoperative LAD: peak vs diastolic	64	70 (52-90)	26	71 (52-81)	26	70 (55-93)	4	53 (27-95)	8	49 (33-87)	0.63
Preoperative LAD: mean vs diastolic 65 24 (19-32) 26 24 (22-33) 25 23 (20-32) 4 17 (14-24) 10 22 (19-39) 0.59 (m/s) 11 0 (0) 0.45 (m/s) 27 (m/s) 25 (0) 16 3 (19) 21 2 (10) 5 0 (0) 11 0 (0) 0.45 (m/s) 25 (m/s) 11 2 (22) 19 2 (11) 21 5 (24) 4 1 (25) 10 4 (40) 0.28 (m/s) 11 (143 (16) (143 (16) (143 (16) (143 (16) (16) (143 (16) (16) (16) (16) (16) (16) (16) (16)	(cm/s)											
	Preoperative LAD: mean vs diastolic (cm/s)	65	24 (19-32)	26	24 (22-33)	25	23 (20-32)	4	17 (14-24)	10	22 (19-39)	0.59
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Intraoperative LAD: mean vs diastolic (cm/s)	64	37 (30-49)	26	36 (31-48)	26	38 (32-52)	4	29 (19-30)	8	36 (22-52)	0.56
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Preoperative RCA: flow reversal	53	5 (9)	16	3 (19)	21	2 (10)	5	0 (0)	11	0 (0)	0.45
$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Preoperative RCA: peak vs diastolic} & 53 & 39 (30-54) & 17 & 39 (30-52) & 21 & 41 (32-54) & 5 & 24 (23-30) & 10 & 41 (34-60) & 0.32 \\ (cm/s) & \mbox{Intraoperative RCA: peak vs diastolic} & 55 & 65 (47-81) & 20 & 74 (54-90) & 21 & 56 (43-63) & 4 & 58 (24-74) & 10 & 72 (64-89) & 0.03 \\ (cm/s) & \mbox{(cm/s)} & \mbox{Preoperative RCA: mean vs diastolic} & 53 & 22 (16-29) & 17 & 25 (15-27) & 21 & 22 (19-29) & 5 & 16 (16-17) & 10 & 17 (15-33) & 0.49 \\ (cm/s) & \mbox{(cm/s)} & \mb$	Intraoperative RCA: flow reversal	54	12 (22)	19	2(11)	21	5 (24)	4	1 (25)	10	4 (40)	0.28
$\begin{array}{c} (cm/s) \\ (cm/s) \\ (cm/s) \\ Preoperative RCA: mean vs diastolic 55 65 (47-81) 20 74 (54-90) 21 56 (43-63) 4 58 (24-74) 10 72 (64-89) 0.03 \\ (cm/s) \\ Intraoperative RCA: mean vs diastolic 53 22 (16-29) 17 25 (15-27) 21 22 (19-29) 5 16 (16-17) 10 17 (15-33) 0.49 \\ (cm/s) \\ Intraoperative RCA: mean vs diastolic 55 34 (26-42) 20 34 (29-43) 21 28 (23-37) 4 31 (17-44) 10 36 (33-42) 0.22 \\ (cm/s) \\ Preoperative rhythm 69 26 26 5 12 1000 00 0 0 0 \\ Missing 1 (1) 0 (0) 1 (4) 0 (0) 0 0 0 0 \\ Intraoperative RVA: mean vs diastolic 57 (83) 24 (92) 19 (73) 4 (80) 10 (83) \\ Paced 4 (6) 2 (8) 1 (4) 1 (20) 0 (0) 2 (17) \\ Other 8 (12) 0 (0) 6 (23) 0 (00) 2 (17) \\ Preoperative LV function 69 26 26 5 12 \\ Normal 60 (87) 22 (40) 26 (100) 26 (100) 5 (100) 12 (100) \\ Intraoperative LV function 69 26 26 5 12 \\ Normal 60 (87) 22 (85) 24 (92) 19 (73) 4 (80) 10 (83) \\ Paced 5 (100) - 2 (100) - 2 (100) \\ Other 1 (10) 0 (0) 2 (2 (100) 26 (100) 5 (100) 12 (100) \\ Preoperative LV function 69 26 26 5 12 \\ Normal 60 (87) 22 (85) 24 (92) 2 (40) 10 (0) \\ Normal 60 (87) 22 (85) 24 (92) 2 (40) 0 (0) \\ Preoperative RV function 69 26 26 5 (100) 5 (100) 12 (100) \\ Midly reduced 7 (10) 3 (12) 2 (14) 0 (0) 1 (20) 0 (0) \\ Preoperative RV function 63 26 26 5 6 (100) 5 (100) 10 \\ Preoperative RV function 63 26 26 5 6 (100) 0 (0) \\ Intraoperative RV function 63 26 26 5 6 (100) 0 (0) \\ Normal 56 (89) 23 (88) 25 (96) 2 (400) 6 (100) \\ Midly reduced 7 (11) 3 (12) 1 (4) 0 (0) 0 (0) \\ Other 7 (11) 3 (12) 1 (4) 0 (0) 0 (0) \\ Preoperative RV function 69 102 22 2 (108 22 (26 96 23 5 122 ± 15 12 97 ± 14 0.04 \\ Intraoperative RV function 69 102 22 26 (108 \pm 22 6 96 ± 23 5 122 \pm 15 12 97 \pm 11 0.03 \\ (mm H_2) \\ Preoperative systolic blood pressure 68 74 \pm 12 26 73 \pm 12 26 77 \pm 12 5 60 \pm 9 11 79 \pm 11 0.03 \\ (mm H_2) \\ (mm H_$	Preoperative RCA: peak vs diastolic	53	39 (30-54)	17	39 (30-52)	21	41 (32-54)	5	24 (23-30)	10	41 (34-60)	0.32
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	(cm/s)											
$ \begin{array}{ccccc} \mbox{Preoperative RCA: mean vs diastolic} & 53 & 22 (16-29) & 17 & 25 (15-27) & 21 & 22 (19-29) & 5 & 16 (16-17) & 10 & 17 (15-33) & 0.49 \\ (cm/s) & \mbox{Intraoperative RCA: mean vs diastolic} & 55 & 34 (26-42) & 20 & 34 (29-43) & 21 & 28 (23-37) & 4 & 31 (17-44) & 10 & 36 (33-42) & 0.22 \\ (cm/s) & \mbox{Sinus} & 68 (99) & 26 & 26 & 5 & 12 & 1.00 \\ \mbox{Sinus} & 68 (99) & 26 & 26 & 5 & 12 & 0.06 \\ \mbox{Sinus} & 68 (99) & 26 & 26 & 5 & 12 & 0.06 \\ \mbox{Sinus} & 57 (83) & 24 (92) & 19 (73) & 4 (80) & 0 (0) & 2 (17) \\ \mbox{Preoperative rhythm} & 69 & 26 & 26 & 5 & 12 & 0.06 \\ \mbox{Sinus} & 57 (83) & 24 (92) & 19 (73) & 4 (80) & 0 (0) & 2 (17) \\ \mbox{Preoperative LV function} & 69 & 26 & 26 & 5 & 12 & - \\ \mbox{Normal} & 69 (100) & 26 (100) & 26 (100) & 5 (100) & 12 (100) & - \\ \mbox{Normal} & 69 (100) & 26 (100) & 26 (100) & 5 (100) & 12 (100) & - \\ \mbox{Normal} & 69 (100) & 26 (28) & 24 (92) & 2 (40) & 0 (0) & - \\ \mbox{Normal} & 69 (100) & 26 (100) & 26 (100) & 5 (100) & 12 (100) & - \\ \mbox{Normal} & 69 (100) & 26 (100) & 26 (100) & 5 (100) & 0 (0) & - \\ \mbox{Normal} & 69 (100) & 26 (28) & 24 (92) & 2 (40) & 0 (0) & - \\ \mbox{Normal} & 62 (98) & 23 (88) & 25 (96) & 2 (40) & 0 (0) & - \\ \mbox{Normal} & 62 (28) & 25 (96) & 26 (100) & 5 (100) & 6 (100) & - \\ \mbox{Normal} & 62 (28) & 25 (96) & 26 (100) & 5 (100) & 6 (100) & - \\ \mbox{Normal} & 63 & 26 & 26 & 5 & 6 & 0.02 \\ \mbox{Normal} & 63 & 26 & 26 & 5 & 6 & 0.02 \\ \mbox{Normal} & 63 & 26 & 26 & 5 & 6 & 0.02 \\ \mbox{Normal} & 56 (89) & 23 (88) & 25 (96) & 2 (40) & 6 (100) & - \\ \mbox{Normal} & 56 (89) & 23 (88) & 25 (96) & 2 (40) & 6 (100) & - \\ \mbox{Normal} & 56 (89) & 23 2 (88) & 25 (96) & 2 (40) & 6 (100) & - \\ \mbox{Normal} & 56 (89) & 23 (28) & 25 (96) & 2 (40) & 6 (100) & - \\ \mbox{Normal} & 69 & 102 \pm 22 & 26 & 108 \pm 22 & 26 & 96 \pm 23 & 512 \pm 21 & 5 & 137 \pm 11 & 12 & 113 \pm 24 & 0.31 \\ \mbox{Preoperative heart rate} (beats/min) & 69 & 122 \pm 24 & 26 & 123 \pm 24 & 26 & 122 \pm 26 & 5 & 137 \pm 11 & 12 & 113 \pm 24 & 0.31 \\ Preoperative$	Intraoperative RCA: peak vs diastolic (cm/s)	55	65 (47-81)	20	74 (54-90)	21	56 (43-63)	4	58 (24-74)	10	72 (64-89)	0.03
$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{transperative RCA: mean vs diastolic} & 55 & 34 (26-42) & 20 & 34 (29-43) & 21 & 28 (23-37) & 4 & 31 (17-44) & 10 & 36 (33-42) & 0.22 \\ \mbox{(cm/s)} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Preoperative RCA: mean vs diastolic	53	22 (16-29)	17	25 (15-27)	21	22 (19-29)	5	16 (16-17)	10	17 (15-33)	0.49
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Intraoperative RCA: mean vs diastolic	55	34 (26-42)	20	34 (29-43)	21	28 (23-37)	4	31 (17-44)	10	36 (33-42)	0.22
Interpretative infution692026262025965101210100SinusSinus10012596510012100100Missing1100114000 </td <td>(CIII/S) Dreeperative rhythm</td> <td>69</td> <td></td> <td>26</td> <td></td> <td>26</td> <td></td> <td>5</td> <td></td> <td>12</td> <td></td> <td>1.00</td>	(CIII/S) Dreeperative rhythm	69		26		26		5		12		1.00
Sinds $66(97)$ $20(10)$ $20(10)$ $12(100)$ $12(100)$ Missing1 (1)0 (0)1 (4)0 (0)0 (0)Intraoperative rhythm69 $26$ $26$ $5$ $12$ $0.06$ Sinus $57(83)$ $24(92)$ $19(73)$ $4(80)$ $10(83)$ Paced $4(6)$ $2(8)$ $1(4)$ $1(20)$ $0(0)$ Other $8(12)$ $0(0)$ $6(23)$ $0(0)$ $2(17)$ Preoperative LV function69 $26$ $26$ $5$ $12$ $-$ Normal $69(100)$ $26(100)$ $26(100)$ $5(100)$ $12(100)$ Intraoperative LV function $69$ $26$ $26$ $5$ $12$ $-$ Normal $60(87)$ $22(85)$ $24(92)$ $2(40)$ $12(100)$ Midly reduced $7(10)$ $3(12)$ $2(8)$ $2(40)$ $0(0)$ Moderately to severely reduced $2(3)$ $1(4)$ $0(0)$ $1(20)$ $0(0)$ Preoperative RV function $63$ $26$ $26$ $5$ $6$ $1.00$ Midly reduced $1(2)$ $1(4)$ $0(0)$ $0(0)$ $0(0)$ $0(0)$ Midly reduced $7(11)$ $3(12)$ $1(4)$ $3(60)$ $0(0)$ Normal $56(89)$ $23(88)$ $25(96)$ $2(40)$ $6(100)$ Midly reduced $7(11)$ $3(12)$ $1(4)$ $3(60)$ $0(0)$ Preoperative heart rate (beats/min) $69$ $102 \pm 22$ $26$ $108 \pm 22$ $26$ $96 \pm 23$ $5$ <	Sinus	09	68 (00)	20	26(100)	20	25(06)	J	5 (100)	12	12(100)	1.00
Initisting1 (1)0 (0)1 (4)0 (0)0 (0)0 (0)Intraoperative rhythm6926265120.06Sinus57 (83)24 (92)19 (73)4 (80)10 (83)Paced4 (6)2 (8)1 (4)1 (20)0 (0)Other8 (12)0 (0)6 (23)0 (0)2 (17)Preoperative LV function692626512-Normal69 (100)26 (100)26 (100)5 (100)12 (100)Intraoperative LV function6926265120.04Normal60 (87)22 (85)24 (92)2 (40)12 (100)Midly reduced7 (10)3 (12)2 (8)2 (40)0 (0)Preoperative RV function632626561.00Normal62 (98)25 (96)26 (100)5 (100)6 (100)Midly reduced1 (2)1 (4)0 (0)0 (0)0 (0)Intraoperative RV function632626560.02Normal56 (89)23 (88)25 (96)2 (40)6 (100)Midly reduced7 (11)3 (12)1 (4)3 (60)0 (0)Preoperative heart rate (beats/min)69102 ± 2226108 ± 222696 ± 235Normal56 (89)23 (88)25 (96)2 (40)6 (100)113 ± 240.31Intraoperative heart rate (beats/min)69102 ± 2226	Missing		1(1)		20 (100)		2) (90)		(100)		12(100)	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Tuture and an effective shorth an	(0	1 (1)	20	0 (0)	26	1 (4)	5	0 (0)	12	0 (0)	0.06
Sinus $57(85)$ $24(92)$ $19(73)$ $4(80)$ $10(83)$ Paced $4(6)$ $2(8)$ $1(4)$ $1(20)$ $0(0)$ Other $8(12)$ $0(0)$ $6(23)$ $0(0)$ $2(17)$ Preoperative LV function $69$ $26$ $26$ $5$ $12$ $-$ Normal $69(100)$ $26(100)$ $26(100)$ $5(100)$ $12(100)$ Intraoperative LV function $69$ $26$ $26$ $5$ $12$ $0.04$ Normal $60(87)$ $22(85)$ $24(92)$ $2(40)$ $12(100)$ Middly reduced $7(10)$ $3(12)$ $2(8)$ $2(40)$ $0(0)$ Moderately to severely reduced $2(3)$ $1(4)$ $0(0)$ $1(20)$ $0(0)$ Preoperative RV function $63$ $26$ $26$ $5$ $6$ $1.00$ Normal $62(98)$ $25(96)$ $26(100)$ $5(100)$ $6(100)$ Middly reduced $1(2)$ $1(4)$ $0(0)$ $0(0)$ $0(0)$ Intraoperative RV function $63$ $26$ $26$ $5$ $6$ $0.02$ Normal $56(89)$ $23(88)$ $25(96)$ $2(40)$ $6(100)$ Middly reduced $7(11)$ $3(12)$ $1(4)$ $3(60)$ $0(0)$ Preoperative heart rate (beats/min) $69$ $122 \pm 24$ $26$ $122 \pm 26$ $5137 \pm 11$ $12$ $97 \pm 14$ $0.04$ Intraoperative heart rate (beats/min) $69$ $122 \pm 24$ $26$ $74 \pm 12$ $5$ $60 \pm 9$ $11$ $79 \pm 11$	intraoperative mythin	09	57 (02)	20	2(02)	20	10 (72)	ر	(00)	12	10 (02)	0.00
Praced4 (6)2 (8)1 (4)1 (20)0 (0)Other8 (12)0 (0)6 (23)0 (0)2 (17)Preoperative LV function692626512 $-$ Normal69 (100)26 (100)26 (100)5 (100)12 (100)Intraoperative LV function692626512 $0.04$ Normal60 (87)22 (85)24 (92)2 (40)12 (100)Middly reduced7 (10)3 (12)2 (8)2 (40)0 (0)Preoperative RV function632626561.00Normal62 (98)25 (96)26 (100)5 (100)6 (100)Middly reduced1 (2)1 (4)0 (0)0 (0)0 (0)Normal62 (98)25 (96)26 (100)5 (100)6 (100)Middly reduced1 (2)1 (4)0 (0)0 (0)0 (0)Normal62 (98)23 (88)25 (96)2 (40)6 (100)Middly reduced7 (11)3 (12)1 (4)3 (60)0 (0)Normal66 (89)23 (88)25 (96)2 (40)6 (100)Middly reduced7 (11)3 (12)1 (4)3 (60)0 (0)Preoperative heart rate (beats/min)69102 $\pm$ 222696 $\pm$ 235122 $\pm$ 151297 $\pm$ 140.03Middly reduced7 (11)3 (12)1 (2)1 (4)3 (60)0 (0)100Middly reduced7 (11)3 (12) <td>Deser</td> <td></td> <td>57 (65)</td> <td></td> <td>24(92)</td> <td></td> <td>19 (/3)</td> <td></td> <td>4 (00)</td> <td></td> <td>10(63)</td> <td></td>	Deser		57 (65)		24(92)		19 (/3)		4 (00)		10(63)	
Other8 (12)0 (0)6 (23)0 (0)2 (17)Preoperative LV function692626512 $-$ Normal69 (100)26 (100)26 (100)5 (100)12 (100)Intraoperative LV function692626512 $0.04$ Normal60 (87)22 (85)24 (92)2 (40)12 (100)Mildly reduced7 (10)3 (12)2 (8)2 (40)0 (0)Preoperative RV function63262656Normal62 (98)25 (96)26 (100)5 (100)6 (100)Mildly reduced1 (2)1 (4)0 (0)0 (0)0 (0)Mildly reduced1 (2)1 (4)0 (0)0 (0)0 (0)Normal62 (98)23 (88)25 (96)2 (40)6 (100)Mildly reduced7 (11)3 (12)1 (4)3 (60)0 (0)Normal56 (89)23 (88)25 (96)2 (40)6 (100)Mildly reduced7 (11)3 (12)1 (4)3 (60)0 (0)Preoperative heart rate (beats/min)69102 ± 2226108 ± 222696 ± 235122 ± 151297 ± 140.03(mm Hg)Intraoperative systolic blood pressure6874 ± 122673 ± 122674 ± 12560 ± 91179 ± 110.03(mm Hg)Intraoperative systolic blood pressure6676 ± 122577 ± 112577 ± 125	Paced		4 (6)		2 (8)		1(4)		1 (20)		0(0)	
Preoperative LV function69262626512 $-$ Normal69 (100)26 (100)26 (100)5 (100)5 (100)12 (100)Intraoperative LV function6926265120.04Normal60 (87)22 (85)24 (92)2 (40)12 (100)Mildly reduced7 (10)3 (12)2 (8)2 (40)0 (0)Preoperative RV function632626561.00Normal62 (98)25 (96)26 (100)5 (100)6 (100)Mildly reduced1 (2)1 (4)0 (0)0 (0)0 (0)Intraoperative RV function632626560.02Normal62 (98)23 (88)25 (96)2 (40)6 (100)Mildly reduced7 (11)3 (12)1 (4)3 (60)0 (0)Preoperative heart rate (beats/min)69102 ± 2226108 ± 222696 ± 235Normal6874 ± 122673 ± 122674 ± 12560 ± 911Preoperative systolic blood pressure6874 ± 122577 ± 112577 ± 12562 ± 31180 ± 140.03(mm Hg)Intraoperative systolic blood pressure6676 ± 122577 ± 112577 ± 12562 ± 31180 ± 140.03	Other	(0)	8 (12)	26	0 (0)	26	6 (23)	~	0 (0)	10	2 (17)	
Normal69 (100)26 (100)26 (100)5 (100)12 (100)Intraoperative LV function6926265120.04Normal60 (87)22 (85)24 (92)2 (40)12 (100)Mildly reduced7 (10)3 (12)2 (8)2 (40)0 (0)Moderately to severely reduced2 (3)1 (4)0 (0)1 (20)0 (0)Preoperative RV function632626561.00Normal62 (98)25 (96)26 (100)5 (100)6 (100)Mildly reduced1 (2)1 (4)0 (0)0 (0)0 (0)Intraoperative RV function632626560.02Normal56 (89)23 (88)25 (96)2 (40)6 (100)Mildly reduced7 (11)3 (12)1 (4)3 (60)0 (0)Preoperative heart rate (beats/min)69102 $\pm$ 2226108 $\pm$ 222696 $\pm$ 235122 $\pm$ 13 $\pm$ 240.31Preoperative systolic blood pressure6874 $\pm$ 122673 $\pm$ 122674 $\pm$ 12560 $\pm$ 91179 $\pm$ 110.03(mm Hg)Intraoperative blood pressure6676 $\pm$ 122577 $\pm$ 112577 $\pm$ 12562 $\pm$ 31180 $\pm$ 140.03	Preoperative LV function	69	(0. (1.0.0)	26	26 (100)	26	26 (100)	>	5 (100)	12	12 (100)	_
Intraoperative LV function692626265120.04Normal60 (87)22 (85)24 (92)2 (40)12 (100)Mildly reduced7 (10)3 (12)2 (8)2 (40)0 (0)Moderately to severely reduced2 (3)1 (4)0 (0)1 (20)0 (0)Preoperative RV function632626561.00Normal62 (98)25 (96)26 (100)5 (100)6 (100)Mildly reduced1 (2)1 (4)0 (0)0 (0)0 (0)Intraoperative RV function632626560.02Normal56 (89)23 (88)25 (96)2 (40)6 (100)Mildly reduced7 (11)3 (12)1 (4)3 (60)0 (0)Preoperative heart rate (beats/min)69102 $\pm$ 2226108 $\pm$ 222696 $\pm$ 235122 $\pm$ 151297 $\pm$ 140.04Intraoperative heart rate (beats/min)69122 $\pm$ 2426123 $\pm$ 2426122 $\pm$ 265137 $\pm$ 1112113 $\pm$ 240.31Preoperative systolic blood pressure6874 $\pm$ 122674 $\pm$ 12560 $\pm$ 91179 $\pm$ 110.03(mm Hg)Intraoperative systolic blood pressure6676 $\pm$ 122577 $\pm$ 112577 $\pm$ 12562 $\pm$ 31180 $\pm$ 140.03	Normal		69 (100)		26 (100)		26 (100)	_	5 (100)		12 (100)	
Normal60 (87)22 (85)24 (92)2 (40)12 (100)Mildly reduced7 (10)3 (12)2 (8)2 (40)0 (0)Moderately to severely reduced2 (3)1 (4)0 (0)1 (20)0 (0)Preoperative RV function632626561.00Normal62 (98)25 (96)26 (100)5 (100)6 (100)Mildly reduced1 (2)1 (4)0 (0)0 (0)0 (0)Intraoperative RV function632626560.02Normal56 (89)23 (88)25 (96)2 (40)6 (100)Mildly reduced7 (11)3 (12)1 (4)3 (60)0 (0)Preoperative heart rate (beats/min)69102 ± 2226108 ± 222696 ± 235122 ± 151297 ± 140.04Intraoperative systolic blood pressure6874 ± 122673 ± 122674 ± 12560 ± 91179 ± 110.03Intraoperative systolic blood pressure6676 ± 122577 ± 112577 ± 12562 ± 31180 ± 140.03	Intraoperative LV function	69	<i></i>	26	()	26		5		12		0.04
Mildly reduced7 (10)3 (12)2 (8)2 (40)0 (0)Moderately to severely reduced2 (3)1 (4)0 (0)1 (20)0 (0)Preoperative RV function632626561.00Normal62 (98)25 (96)26 (100)5 (100)6 (100)Mildly reduced1 (2)1 (4)0 (0)0 (0)0 (0)Intraoperative RV function632626560.02Mildly reduced7 (11)3 (12)1 (4)3 (60)0 (0)0 (0)Preoperative heart rate (beats/min)69102 ± 2226108 ± 222696 ± 235122 ± 151297 ± 140.04Intraoperative systolic blood pressure6874 ± 122673 ± 122674 ± 12560 ± 91179 ± 110.03Intraoperative systolic blood pressure6676 ± 122577 ± 112577 ± 12562 ± 31180 ± 140.03	Normal		60 (87)		22 (85)		24 (92)		2 (40)		12 (100)	
Moderately to severely reduced2 (3)1 (4)0 (0)1 (20)0 (0)Preoperative RV function632626561.00Normal62 (98)25 (96)26 (100)5 (100)6 (100)Mildly reduced1 (2)1 (4)0 (0)0 (0)0 (0)Intraoperative RV function632626560.02Normal56 (89)23 (88)25 (96)2 (40)6 (100)Mildly reduced7 (11)3 (12)1 (4)3 (60)0 (0)Preoperative heart rate (beats/min)69102 $\pm$ 2226108 $\pm$ 222696 $\pm$ 235122 $\pm$ 151297 $\pm$ 140.04Intraoperative heart rate (beats/min)69122 $\pm$ 2426123 $\pm$ 2426122 $\pm$ 265137 $\pm$ 1112113 $\pm$ 240.31Preoperative systolic blood pressure6874 $\pm$ 122673 $\pm$ 122674 $\pm$ 12560 $\pm$ 91179 $\pm$ 110.03(mm Hg)mHg112122577 $\pm$ 112577 $\pm$ 12562 $\pm$ 31180 $\pm$ 140.03	Mildly reduced		7 (10)		3 (12)		2 (8)		2 (40)		0 (0)	
Preoperative RV function632626561.00Normal62 (98)25 (96)26 (100)5 (100)6 (100)Mildly reduced1 (2)1 (4)0 (0)0 (0)0 (0)Intraoperative RV function632626560.02Normal56 (89)23 (88)25 (96)2 (40)6 (100)Mildly reduced7 (11)3 (12)1 (4)3 (60)0 (0)Preoperative heart rate (beats/min)69102 $\pm$ 2226108 $\pm$ 222696 $\pm$ 235122 $\pm$ 151297 $\pm$ 140.04Intraoperative systolic blood pressure6874 $\pm$ 122673 $\pm$ 122674 $\pm$ 12560 $\pm$ 91179 $\pm$ 110.03(mm Hg)mHg)100100100100100100100100100Preoperative systolic blood pressure6676 $\pm$ 122577 $\pm$ 112577 $\pm$ 12562 $\pm$ 31180 $\pm$ 140.03	Moderately to severely reduced		2 (3)		1 (4)		0 (0)		1 (20)		0 (0)	
Normal62 (98)25 (96)26 (100)5 (100)6 (100)Mildly reduced1 (2)1 (4)0 (0)0 (0)0 (0)0 (0)Intraoperative RV function632626560.02Normal56 (89)23 (88)25 (96)2 (40)6 (100)Mildly reduced7 (11)3 (12)1 (4)3 (60)0 (0)Preoperative heart rate (beats/min)69102 $\pm$ 2226108 $\pm$ 222696 $\pm$ 235122 $\pm$ 151297 $\pm$ 140.04Intraoperative systolic blood pressure6874 $\pm$ 122673 $\pm$ 122674 $\pm$ 12560 $\pm$ 91179 $\pm$ 110.03Intraoperative systolic blood pressure6676 $\pm$ 122577 $\pm$ 112577 $\pm$ 12562 $\pm$ 31180 $\pm$ 140.03	Preoperative RV function	63		26		26		5		6		1.00
Mildly reduced1 (2)1 (4)0 (0)0 (0)0 (0)0 (0)Intraoperative RV function632626560.02Normal56 (89)23 (88)25 (96)2 (40)6 (100)Mildly reduced7 (11)3 (12)1 (4)3 (60)0 (0)Preoperative heart rate (beats/min)69102 $\pm$ 2226108 $\pm$ 222696 $\pm$ 235122 $\pm$ 151297 $\pm$ 140.04Intraoperative heart rate (beats/min)69122 $\pm$ 2426123 $\pm$ 2426122 $\pm$ 265137 $\pm$ 1112113 $\pm$ 240.31Preoperative systolic blood pressure6874 $\pm$ 122673 $\pm$ 122674 $\pm$ 12560 $\pm$ 91179 $\pm$ 110.03(mm Hg)mHg911111213 $\pm$ 240.0311 </td <td>Normal</td> <td></td> <td>62 (98)</td> <td></td> <td>25 (96)</td> <td></td> <td>26 (100)</td> <td></td> <td>5 (100)</td> <td></td> <td>6 (100)</td> <td></td>	Normal		62 (98)		25 (96)		26 (100)		5 (100)		6 (100)	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mildly reduced		1 (2)		1 (4)		0 (0)		0 (0)		0 (0)	
Normal56 (89)23 (88)25 (96)2 (40)6 (100)Mildly reduced7 (11)3 (12)1 (4)3 (60)0 (0)Preoperative heart rate (beats/min)69 $102 \pm 22$ 26 $108 \pm 22$ 26 $96 \pm 23$ 5 $122 \pm 15$ $12$ $97 \pm 14$ $0.04$ Intraoperative heart rate (beats/min)69 $122 \pm 24$ 26 $123 \pm 24$ 26 $122 \pm 26$ $5$ $137 \pm 11$ $12$ $113 \pm 24$ $0.31$ Preoperative systolic blood pressure68 $74 \pm 12$ 26 $73 \pm 12$ 26 $74 \pm 12$ $5$ $60 \pm 9$ $11$ $79 \pm 11$ $0.03$ (mm Hg)111 $25$ $77 \pm 12$ $5$ $62 \pm 3$ $11$ $80 \pm 14$ $0.03$	Intraoperative RV function	63		26		26		5		6		0.02
Mildly reduced7 (11)3 (12)1 (4)3 (60)0 (0)Preoperative heart rate (beats/min)69 $102 \pm 22$ 26 $108 \pm 22$ 26 $96 \pm 23$ 5 $122 \pm 15$ $12$ $97 \pm 14$ $0.04$ Intraoperative heart rate (beats/min)69 $122 \pm 24$ 26 $123 \pm 24$ 26 $122 \pm 26$ $5$ $137 \pm 11$ $12$ $113 \pm 24$ $0.31$ Preoperative systolic blood pressure68 $74 \pm 12$ 26 $73 \pm 12$ 26 $74 \pm 12$ $5$ $60 \pm 9$ $11$ $79 \pm 11$ $0.03$ Intraoperative systolic blood pressure66 $76 \pm 12$ $25$ $77 \pm 11$ $25$ $77 \pm 12$ $5$ $62 \pm 3$ $11$ $80 \pm 14$ $0.03$	Normal		56 (89)		23 (88)		25 (96)		2 (40)		6 (100)	
Preoperative heart rate (beats/min)69 $102 \pm 22$ 26 $108 \pm 22$ 26 $96 \pm 23$ 5 $122 \pm 15$ 12 $97 \pm 14$ $0.04$ Intraoperative heart rate (beats/min)69 $122 \pm 24$ 26 $123 \pm 24$ 26 $122 \pm 26$ 5 $137 \pm 11$ 12 $113 \pm 24$ $0.31$ Preoperative systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)68 $74 \pm 12$ 26 $73 \pm 12$ 26 $74 \pm 12$ 5 $60 \pm 9$ 11 $79 \pm 11$ $0.03$ Intraoperative systolic blood pressure66 $76 \pm 12$ 25 $77 \pm 11$ 25 $77 \pm 12$ 5 $62 \pm 3$ 11 $80 \pm 14$ $0.03$	Mildly reduced		7 (11)		3 (12)		1 (4)		3 (60)		0 (0)	
Intraoperative heart rate (beats/min)       69 $122 \pm 24$ 26 $123 \pm 24$ 26 $122 \pm 26$ 5 $137 \pm 11$ 12 $113 \pm 24$ 0.31         Preoperative systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)       68 $74 \pm 12$ 26 $73 \pm 12$ 26 $74 \pm 12$ 5 $60 \pm 9$ 11 $79 \pm 11$ 0.03         Intraoperative systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)       66 $76 \pm 12$ 25 $77 \pm 11$ 25 $77 \pm 12$ 5 $62 \pm 3$ 11 $80 \pm 14$ 0.03	Preoperative heart rate (beats/min)	69	$102\pm22$	26	$108\pm22$	26	$96 \pm 23$	5	$122 \pm 15$	12	$97 \pm 14$	0.04
Preoperative systolic blood pressure       68 $74 \pm 12$ 26 $73 \pm 12$ 26 $74 \pm 12$ 5 $60 \pm 9$ 11 $79 \pm 11$ $0.03$ (mm Hg)       Intraoperative systolic blood pressure       66 $76 \pm 12$ 25 $77 \pm 11$ 25 $77 \pm 12$ 5 $62 \pm 3$ 11 $80 \pm 14$ $0.03$ (mm Hg)       (mm Hg)       (mm Hg)       (mm Hg)       (mm Hg) $80 \pm 14$ $0.03$	Intraoperative heart rate (beats/min)	69	$122 \pm 24$	26	$123 \pm 24$	26	$122 \pm 26$	5	$137 \pm 11$	12	$113 \pm 24$	0.31
Intraoperative systolic blood pressure 66 76 $\pm$ 12 25 77 $\pm$ 11 25 77 $\pm$ 12 5 62 $\pm$ 3 11 80 $\pm$ 14 0.03 (mm Hg)	Preoperative systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)	68	$74\pm12$	26	$73 \pm 12$	26	$74 \pm 12$	5	$60 \pm 9$	11	$79 \pm 11$	0.03
	Intraoperative systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)	66	$76\pm12$	25	$77 \pm 11$	25	$77\pm12$	5	$62\pm3$	11	$80 \pm 14$	0.03

Continued

Table 2. Continued.

TEE findings	N	Total	N	Shunt	N	Obstruction	N	TGA	N	Single ventricle	P value
Preoperative diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)	68	39 ± 8	26	$38\pm8$	26	$40 \pm 9$	5	33 ± 6	11	$40 \pm 7$	0.29
Intraoperative diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)	66	$42\pm8$	25	$41 \pm 5$	25	$43\pm10$	5	$34 \pm 4$	11	$43\pm7$	0.06
Preoperative O <sub>2</sub> saturation (%)	68	$94\pm8$	26	$97 \pm 5$	26	$95 \pm 9$	5	$94 \pm 3$	11	$84\pm8$	< 0.001
Intraoperative O <sub>2</sub> saturation (%)	66	$98\pm5$	25	99 ± 3	25	99 ± 3	5	$99 \pm 1$	11	$91 \pm 7$	< 0.001

Data are presented as n (%) unless otherwise indicated.

LAD, left anterior descending; LMCA, left main coronary artery; LV, left ventricular; RCA, right coronary artery; RV, left ventricular; TEE, transesophageal echocardiography; TGA, transposition of the great arteries.

velocities by diagnostic category, respectively. Multivariable linear regression adjusted for age and cross-clamp time showed no statistically significant difference in pre-post peak or mean LAD or RCA velocity change between the 4 groups, although the preoperative RCA and LAD velocity was lowest in the TGA group, with the highest change in velocity for the RCA pre- and intraoperatively (Table 3). Figure 4 depicts the overall peak and mean RCA and LAD velocities for the entire cohort. The velocities all increased from pre- to post-CPB assessment. Figure 5 depicts the change in RCA and LAD Dopplers by TEE before and after CPB.

The CFR measurements were similar in all groups, with a mean LAD CFR of 1.48 (IQR: 1.14-1.77) and a mean RCA CFR of 1.52 (IQR: 1.25-1.81) from peak velocities. The small group of TGA cases had a higher CFR in the RCA by both peak measurement (2.16, IQR: 1.05-6.87, P = 0.53) and mean measurement (2.02, IQR: 1.15-6.00 P = 0.29), but neither reached statistical significance (Table 4).

Flow reversal was noted in the RCA in 5 cases preoperatively and in 12 cases after CPB: in the LAD in 4 cases before CPB and in 9 cases after CPB. This was seen most frequently in the RCA in the shunt group preoperatively (N = 3 [19%]) and in the single ventricle group after CPB (N = 4 [40%]). Flow reversal in the LAD was most prevalent in the obstructed patients (N = 2 [8%]) and the TGA group (N = 1 [25%]) after CPB. The composite outcome occurred in 5 of 69 (7%) cases, with 1



**Figure 2.** Linear regression models of peak LAD velocities by diagnostic category (shunt N = 26, obstruction N = 26, TGA N = 5, single ventricle N = 12). LAD, left anterior descending; TEE, transesophageal echocardiography; TGA, transposition of the great arteries.

patient experiencing ventricular tachycardia/fibrillation in the operating room and 4 with ST segment changes (Table 1). Flow reversal was not associated with the composite outcome of ventricular tachycardia/fibrillation, ST changes, or ECMO.

Table 2 depicts differences in the 4 groups in terms of heart rhythm, ventricular function, blood pressure, heart rate, and oxygen saturations pre- and intraoperatively. All cases had normal LV function preoperatively. Mild, moderate, or severely reduced intraoperative LV function was significantly more prevalent in the TGA group at 60% compared with the other groups (16% in the shunt group, 8% in the obstruction group, and 0% in the single ventricle group, overall P < 0.04 between groups). As expected, the single ventricle group had lower oxygen saturations (85%  $\pm$  9%) compared with the other groups, P < 0.001.

### Discussion

In a heterogeneous group of children with congenital heart disease undergoing CPB, the flow in the RCA and LAD by TEE increased after CPB surgery. The RCA and LAD resting velocities were similar for each subgroup and are comparable with published normal values in children, both by TEE and by invasive measurements.<sup>7,13,18</sup>

Overall, the coronary flows were low-velocity, normal biphasic flows, without flow reversal (RCA and LAD both



**Figure 3.** Linear regression models of peak RCA velocities by diagnostic category (shunt N = 26, obstruction N = 26, TGA N = 5, single ventricle N = 12). RCA, right coronary artery; TEE, transesophageal echocardiography; TGA, transposition of the great arteries.

Outcome	Diagnostic group	Estimate (95% CI)	P value
utcome osolute change in RCA peak velocity bsolute change in RCA mean velocity bsolute change in LAD peak velocity bsolute change in LAD mean velocity	Shunt	Reference	
	Obstruction	-17.59 (-40.87 to 5.7)	0.14
	TGA	8.33 (-28.13 to 44.79)	0.65
	Single ventricle	-2.21 (-40.77 to 36.34)	0.91
Absolute change in RCA mean velocity	Shunt	Reference	
	Obstruction	-6.31 (-20.6 to 7.99)	0.39
	TGA	17.31 (-5.07 to 39.7)	0.13
	Single ventricle	3.87 (-19.8 to 27.54)	0.75
Absolute change in LAD peak velocity	Shunt	Reference	
	Obstruction	5.4 (-8.71 to 19.5)	0.45
	TGA	0.3 (-29.63 to 30.23)	0.98
	Single ventricle	1.63 (-33.8 to 37.06)	0.93
Absolute change in LAD mean velocity	Shunt	Reference	
	Obstruction	4.42 (-5.07 to 13.91)	0.36
	TGA	-3.8 ( $-23.93$ to 16.33)	0.71
	Single ventricle	-4.25 (-28.08 to 19.57)	0.73

Table 3. Multivariable regression results of the effect of diagnostic category on absolute change in RCA and LAD branch velocities, after controlling for age at surgery and cross-clamp time

The table can be interpreted as follows: compared with the shunt group, the absolute difference between pre- and intraoperative RCA peak velocity was 17.59

cm/s lower in the obstruction group ([95% CI: 40.87 lower to 5.7 higher], P = 0.14).

CI, confidence interval; LAD, left anterior descending; RCA, right coronary artery; TGA, transposition of the great arteries.

>90%). These findings are similar to our previous intraoperative findings in cases of TGA after arterial switch.<sup>17</sup>

The effect of CPB on children undergoing cardiac surgery is multifactorial and appears to be different from adults. In a study of 18 infants with VSD and 12 with coarctation of the aorta undergoing CPB surgery, basal coronary flow by transthoracic echocardiography was found to be increased at least 5 days after CPB in children after VSD repair, whereas this was not seen after coarctation.<sup>22</sup> It remains unclear whether the main hyperaemic effect is due to endothelial dysfunction or an acute inflammatory reaction.<sup>15,23,24</sup>

Coronary flow reserve in a variety of congenital cardiac lesions (VSD, TGA, tetralogy of Fallot, hypoplastic left heart syndrome) is lower than in adults.<sup>25-29</sup> These findings are similar to ours, where the CFR in all groups was <2.2. The lower CFR may be due to the observed higher basal coronary flow with a more blunted hyperaemic response.<sup>14</sup>

We had originally postulated that the shunt lesions would have a different CFR response to an obstructed lesion, but this was not observed in our patients. We would suggest that this is because we were measuring early, immediate post-CPB CFR, and that the effect of CPB is likely to be the dominant mechanism responsible for the increase in coronary flow related to coronary vasodilatation. It would be useful to further assess coronary flow velocities before hospital discharge in a spectrum of congenital heart lesions when the immediate effect on coronary physiology of CPB is likely less important.

Apart from the effects of CPB, there are many other factors that could contribute to alterations in coronary blood flow. In the immediate post-bypass period, there are multiple physiological processes occurring simultaneously, such as changes in mechanical ventilation settings and use of pharmacological vasotropic agents (ie, inotropes and vasodilators). Our data demonstrate that the observed changes in velocities are not associated with adverse outcomes. This contrasts with earlier studies from our group where we looked at the impact of coronary flow velocities and flow patterns on patients undergoing the arterial switch operation.<sup>17,18</sup> We observed that in this patient group increased flow velocities are related to

problems with the coronary transfer. This has aided in the decision to reintervene, even in the absence of obvious coronary ischemia or regional wall motion abnormalities, to avoid leaving any coronary narrowing or kinking before closing the chest. In our earlier study, coronary flow pattern changes with abnormal flow reversal in the left coronary artery were associated with adverse events in the perioperative period. The mechanism causing flow reversal is not well defined and could be related to microvascular changes in the more distal coronary bed with increased pressure wave reversals related to increased distal resistance. The clinical significance of this phenomenon requires further study based on the outcome data in TGA patients. Unfortunately, the current study likely did not have the necessary power to unveil the effect of flow reversal in the other lesions.

Further studies on myocardial perfusion in patients with different types of congenital heart disease are needed, in



**Figure 4.** Linear regression models of peak and mean LAD and RCA velocities among 69 patients, with available data for N = 62 LAD velocities and N = 42 RCA velocities. LAD, left anterior descending; RCA, right coronary artery; TEE, transesophageal echocardiography.



Figure 5. TEE Doppler tracings before and after CPB for the RCA and LAD coronaries. The **white arrows** in each box depict the peak velocity in the coronary artery. (A) RCA Doppler tracing before CPB in a baby undergoing tetralogy of Fallot repair. (B) RCA Doppler tracing after CPB in a baby after tetralogy of Fallot repair. (C) LAD Doppler tracing before CPB in a baby with Trisomy 21 undergoing VSD closure, (D) LAD Doppler tracing after CPB in a baby with Trisomy 21 after VSD closure. CPB, cardiopulmonary bypass; LAD, left anterior descending; RCA, right coronary artery; TEE, transesophageal echocardiography; VSD, ventricular septal defect.

particular in lesions where coronary anatomy and physiology is abnormal. Novel echocardiographic techniques such as ultrafast Doppler to study myocardial blood volume may help to further understand the impact of coronary perfusion abnormalities in different congenital lesions.<sup>30</sup>

### Limitations

**Heterogeneity of CHD.** At the time of study design, the investigators were aware that the types of CHD would range from simple to complex. After the initial analysis, we elected to subdivide the patients into haemodynamic subgroups, realizing that we would have to exclude certain patients who did not fit into 1 of the 4 categories. We felt that it was important to obtain a wide sample of cases to determine if any particular subgroups would benefit from more careful routine assessment of the coronary arteries, such as single ventricle cases.

**Incomplete data set.** The preoperative TEEs were the most technically challenging. This was in part due to the number of procedures occurring simultaneously in the operating room, such as central line insertion, intubation, urinary catheters, and the urgency to begin the surgical case. We suspect that if there was more allotted time to perform the preoperative TEE, more coronaries would have been imaged with more clarity. The other issue preoperatively, before sternotomy, was the movement of the coronary in and out of the TEE plane, in particular the RCA. This was noted mainly in older children, not in the neonates. Intraoperatively, the heart was more fixed in position and the flows were much easier to obtain. During the intraoperative study, the operator had more time to obtain more accurate images, given that at our institution an intraoperative TEE and/or epicardial echocardiogram is standard of care. We had the most difficulty with the left main coronary ostium and the circumflex coronary arteries. In the case of the left main coronary, it is possible to obtain reasonable Doppler

Table 4. Estimated intraoperative assessment of coronary flow reserve (CFR) using post-CPB/pre-CPB coronary flow velocities

Diagnostic category	Ν	Overall (N = $69$ )	Ν	Shunt $(N = 26)$	Ν	Obstruction $(N = 26)$	Ν	TGA (N = 5)	Ν	SV (N = 12)	P value
CFR LAD peak	62	1.48 (1.14-1.77)	26	1.41 (1.10-1.67)	25	1.55 (1.14-1.86)	3	1.20 (1.00-1.73)	8	1.43 (1.23-1.84)	0.69
CFR LAD mean	62	1.61 (1.15-2.00)	26	1.52 (1.19-1.77)	25	1.67 (1.25-2.16)	3	1.36 (1.11-1.71)	8	1.41 (1.29-1.68)	0.72
CFR RCA peak	42	1.52 (1.25-1.81)	13	1.73 (1.27-2.00)	16	1.30 (0.89-2.00)	4	2.16 (1.05-6.87)	9	1.59 (1.48-1.82)	0.53
CFR RCA mean	42	1.48 (1.05-2.00)	13	1.65 (0.97-2.00)	16	1.27 (0.93-1.62)	4	2.02 (1.15-6.00)	9	1.6 (1.31-2.13)	0.29

Values depicted as median (IQR).

CPB, cardiopulmonary bypass; IQR, interquartile range; LAD, left anterior descending; RCA, right coronary artery; SV, single ventricle; TGA, transposition of the great arteries.

measurements, but this is time consuming, and epicardial echocardiography is superior for the left main coronary artery and the circumflex artery. We calculated CFR using pre- and post-CPB coronary velocities, which is not the standard of using adenosine or other hyperaemic agents. However, we felt that CPB is by definition inducing a hyperaemic response.

### Conclusions

Coronary flow velocities in both the RCA and LAD increase from pre- to post-CPB in a wide range of congenital heart lesions and are consistently less than 60-80 cm/s. The calculated CFR by LAD and RCA are similar and consistent across congenital heart lesions, but lower than in adults. These data will help to identify pathologic changes in coronary flow velocities and patterns in children undergoing cardiac surgery.

### Acknowledgement

We would like to sincerely thank Dr Xiaoling Zhang for her exceptional assistance to this study.

### **Ethics Statement**

The research reported has adhered to the relevant ethical guidelines.

### **Funding Sources**

No funding was received for this study.

### **Disclosures**

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

### References

- 1. Freire G, Miller MS. Echocardiographic evaluation of coronary arteries in congenital heart disease. *Cardiol Young*. 2015;25:1504–1511.
- Schmidt KG, Cooper MJ, Silverman NH, Stanger P. Pulmonary artery origin of the left coronary artery: diagnosis by two-dimensional echocardiography, pulsed Doppler ultrasound and color flow mapping. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1988;11:396–402.
- McCrindle BW, Cifra B. The role of echocardiography in Kawasaki disease. Int J Rheum Dis. 2018;21:50–55.
- Barbosa MM, Katina T, Oliveira HG, Neuenschwander FE, Oliveira EC. Doppler echocardiographic features of coronary artery fistula: report of 8 cases. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 1999;12:149–154.
- Ofili EO, Labovitz AJ, Kern MJ. Coronary flow velocity dynamics in normal and diseased arteries. *Am J Cardiol.* 1993;71:3D–9D.
- Aoki M, Harada K, Takada G. Normal values for left anterior descending coronary artery flow velocity assessed by transthoracic Doppler echocardiography in healthy children. *Tohoku J Exp Med.* 2003;199:211–217.
- Kozakova M, Palombo C, Pratali L, et al. Assessment of coronary reserve by transoesophageal Doppler echocardiography. Direct comparison between different modalities of dipyridamole and adenosine administration. *Eur Heart J.* 1997;18:514–523.
- Yim D, Mertens L, Honjo O, Nield LE. Intraoperative echocardiographic coronary artery imaging in congenital and acquired heart disease. *Cardiol Young*. 2020;30:153–161.

- Kajiya F, Matsuoka S, Ogasawara Y, et al. Velocity profiles and phasic flow patterns in the non-stenotic human left anterior descending coronary artery during cardiac surgery. *Cardiovasc Res.* 1993;27:845–850.
- Sunyecz IL, McCallinhart PE, Patel KU, et al. Defining coronary flow patterns: comprehensive automation of transthoracic Doppler coronary blood flow. *Sci Rep.* 2018;8:17268.
- Yasuoka K, Harada K, Tamura M, Takada G. Left anterior descending coronary artery flow and its relation to age in children. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2002;15:69–75.
- Pesonen E, Liuba P, Aburawi EH. Review findings included diminished coronary flow reserve after surgery in children with congenital heart disease and inflammation. *Acta Paediatr.* 2019;108:218–223.
- Oskarsson G, Pesonen E. Coronary blood flow in healthy neonates: effects of left ventricular function and mass. *Pediatr Cardiol.* 2004;25:11–16.
- Hiratzka LF, Eastham CL, Carter JG, et al. The effects of cardiopulmonary bypass and cold cardioplegia on coronary flow velocity and the reactive hyperemic response in patients and dogs. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1988;45:474–481.
- Davidson H, Punn R, Tacy TA. Cardioplegia dose effect on immediate postoperative alterations in coronary artery flow velocities after congenital cardiac surgery. *Pediatr Cardiol.* 2016;37:364–371.
- Long C, Hu X, Zhang J, Xiu R, Guan Y. Changes of microvascular vasomotion and oxygen metabolism during cooling and rewarming period of cardiopulmonary bypass. *J Extra Corpor Technol.* 2003;35:13– 16.
- Wong D, Golding F, Hess L, et al. Intraoperative coronary artery pulse Doppler patterns in patients with complete transposition of the great arteries undergoing the arterial switch operation. *Am Heart J.* 2008;156: 466–472.
- Nield LE, Dragulescu A, MacColl C, et al. Coronary artery Doppler patterns are associated with clinical outcomes post-arterial switch operation for transposition of the great arteries. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2018;19:461–468.
- Matte GS, del Nido PJ. History and use of del Nido cardioplegia solution at Boston Children's Hospital. J Extra Corpor Technol. 2012;44:98–103.
- Meimoun P, Tribouilloy C. Non-invasive assessment of coronary flow and coronary flow reserve by transthoracic Doppler echocardiography: a magic tool for the real world. *Eur J Echocardiogr.* 2008;9:449–457.
- Saraste M, Koskenvuo J, Knuuti J, et al. Coronary flow reserve: measurement with transthoracic Doppler echocardiography is reproducible and comparable with positron emission tomography. *Clin Physiol.* 2001;21:114–122.
- 22. Aburawi EH, Berg A, Liuba P, Pesonen E. Effects of cardiopulmonary bypass surgery on coronary flow in children assessed with transthoracic Doppler echocardiography. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* 2007;293: H1138–H1143.
- Huk I, Nanobashvili J, Neumayer C, et al. L-Arginine treatment alters the kinetics of nitric oxide and superoxide release and reduces ischemia/ reperfusion injury in skeletal muscle. *Circulation*. 1997;96:667–675.
- Sellke FW, Boyle Jr EM, Verrier ED. Endothelial cell injury in cardiovascular surgery: the pathophysiology of vasomotor dysfunction. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1996;62:1222–1228.
- 25. Donnelly JP, Raffel DM, Shulkin BL, et al. Resting coronary flow and coronary flow reserve in human infants after repair or palliation of congenital heart defects as measured by positron emission tomography. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1998;115:103–110.

- Harada K, Aoki M, Toyono M, Tamura M. Coronary flow velocity and coronary flow velocity reserve in children with ventricular septal defect. *Tohoku J Exp Med.* 2004;202:77–85.
- 27. Hauser M, Bengel FM, Kühn A, et al. Myocardial blood flow and flow reserve after coronary reimplantation in patients after arterial switch and Ross operation. *Circulation*. 2001;103:1875–1880.
- 28. Hauser M, Bengel FM, Hager A, et al. Impaired myocardial blood flow and coronary flow reserve of the anatomical right systemic ventricle in

patients with congenitally corrected transposition of the great arteries. *Heart.* 2003;89:1231–1235.

- **29.** Furuyama H, Odagawa Y, Katoh C, et al. Altered myocardial flow reserve and endothelial function late after Kawasaki disease. *J Pediatr.* 2003;142: 149–154.
- **30.** Villemain O, Baranger J, Friedberg MK, et al. Ultrafast ultrasound imaging in pediatric and adult cardiology: techniques, applications, and perspectives. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2020;13:1771–1791.