



PKR-mediated stress response enhances dengue and Zika virus replication

Taissa Ricciardi-Jorge,^{1,2} Edroaldo Lummertz da Rocha,¹ Edgar Gonzalez-Kozlova,^{1,3} Gabriela Flavia Rodrigues-Luiz,¹ Brian J. Ferguson,⁴ Trevor Sweeney,² Nerea Irigoyen,⁴ Daniel Santos Mansur¹

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS See affiliation list on p. 15.

ABSTRACT The mechanisms by which flaviviruses use non-canonical translation to support their replication in host cells are largely unknown. Here, we investigated how the integrated stress response (ISR), which promotes translational arrest by eIF2a phosphorylation (p-eIF2a), regulates flavivirus replication. During dengue virus (DENV) and Zika virus (ZIKV) infection, eIF2a phosphorylation peaked at 24 hours post-infection and was dependent on protein kinase RNA-activated (PKR) but not type I interferon. The ISR is activated downstream of p-eIF2a during infection with either virus, but translation arrest only occurred following DENV4 infection. Despite this difference, both DENV4 and ZIKV replications were impaired in cells lacking PKR, independent of type I interferon/NF-kB signaling or cell viability. By using a ZIKV 5'-untranslated region (UTR) reporter system as a model, we found that this region of the genome is sufficient to promote an enhancement of viral mRNA translation in the presence of an active ISR. Together, we provide evidence that flaviviruses escape ISR translational arrest and co-opt this response to increase viral replication.

IMPORTANCE One of the fundamental features that make viruses intracellular parasites is the necessity to use cellular translational machinery. Hence, this is a crucial checkpoint for controlling infections. Here, we show that dengue and Zika viruses, responsible for nearly 400 million infections every year worldwide, explore such control for optimal replication. Using immunocompetent cells, we demonstrate that arrest of protein translations happens after sensing of dsRNA and that the information required to avoid this blocking is contained in viral 5'-UTR. Our work, therefore, suggests that the non-canonical translation described for these viruses is engaged when the intracellular stress response is activated.

KEYWORDS dengue virus, Zika virus, innate immunity, protein translation, PKR

The *Flavivirus* genus is part of the *Flaviviridae* family and contains the causative agents of several human diseases of high global impact, such as dengue virus (DENV), Zika virus (ZIKV), Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV), yellow fever virus, West Nile virus (WNV), and others. Flaviviruses are enveloped ssRNA (+) viruses of about 50 nm in diameter with 10- to 11-kb genome containing a single open reading frame (ORF) flanked by two non-coding segments (UTRs) 5' (capped) and 3' (non-polyadenylated) (1). Flavivirus replication is cytoplasmic in strong association with endoplasmic reticulum (ER), forming viral replication complexes by convolution of ER membrane (2).

Despite the presence of a 5'-cap on its genome, DENV can also use a cap-independent mechanism for protein synthesis under the suppression of the cap-binding protein, eIF4E (3). Using DENV and ZIKV, studies have shown this mechanism to be mediated by an internal ribosomal entry site (IRES) localized in viral 5'-UTR (4). A recent report has confirmed the existence of the IRES element (5), indicating, along with previous

Invited Editor Ronald C. Wek, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA

Editor Kellie Jurado, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

Address correspondence to Taissa Ricciardi-Jorge, t.ricciardi.jorge@gmail.com, or Daniel Santos Mansur, daniel.mansur@ufsc.br.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

See the funding table on p. 15.

Received 22 May 2023 Accepted 1 August 2023 Published 21 September 2023

Copyright © 2023 Ricciardi-Jorge et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.



suggestions (3, 6, 7), that a cap-dependent translation would be used for viral polyprotein synthesis until the suppression of canonical translation initiation when a switch to cap-independent translation would take place. This alternative cap-independent mechanism, including cell conditions to promote the switch in translation mode, remains to be fully characterized.

A well-known cellular control over the translation machinery is coordinated by four kinases of the integrated stress response (ISR) that act as sensors of different stressors. They are (i) PKR, activated by double-stranded RNA (dsRNA); (ii) heme-regulated eIF2a kinase (HRI), activated by oxidative stress and/or heme deficiency; (iii) protein kinase R-like ER kinase (PERK), activated by endoplasmic reticulum stress; and (iv) GCN2, activated by deprivation of amino acids (8–10). Therefore, ISR is capable of sensing viral infections directly by detecting replication intermediates (dsRNA) or indirectly via structural or metabolic stresses. A shared target of these four kinases is the subunit alpha of the translation factor eIF2 (eIF2a), which is part of the ternary complex (TC, eIF2-GTP-tRNA_i^{Met}), necessary for translation initiation. eIF2a phosphorylation (p-eIF2a) prevents the exchange of the associated inactive GDP for the active GTP, blocking its recycling for participation in the formation of new 43S complexes, thus inhibiting general protein synthesis (11, 12).

In the present work, we show that PKR is the main kinase leading to eIF2 α phosphorylation and cellular protein translation arrest during DENV4 and ZIKV infection. EIF2 α phosphorylation, however, is linked to the establishment of an optimal cellular environment for virus replication. Using ZIKV as a model, we demonstrate that the viral 5'-UTR is required to promote enhanced viral translation when eIF2 α is phosphorylated. These results suggest that ISR may provide the necessary conditions for viral alternative translation boosting viral replication.

RESULTS

DENV4 and ZIKV induce ISR activation during infection

DENV4 and ZIKV infections were carried out in human lung A549 cells. These cells support viral replication and respond to type I interferon (IFN-I) (4, 13–17). Interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) are central for flavivirus restriction. We evaluated eIF2 α phosphorylation during DENV4 and ZIKV infection as an ISR activation marker. By immunoblot analysis, we showed that both viruses induce p-eIF2 α by 24 hours post infection (h.p.i.) (Fig. 1A). Furthermore, this time point coincides with the detection of p-PKR (Thr451), the activated form of the protein, suggesting the participation of this kinase in ISR activation during infection. Quantification by flow cytometry enabled us to determine that eIF2 α phosphorylation happened after 12 h.p.i. and peaking at 24 h.p.i. (Fig. 1B). This time frame is surprisingly late, considering that from at least 12 h.p.i. infectious virus particles can already be detected in cell supernatants under these experimental conditions (Fig. S1).

To further investigate the context of elF2 α phosphorylation, cells were co-stained with a monoclonal antibody directed against the flavivirus envelope protein (Fig. 1C and D). At 24 h.p.i., DENV4-infected cells exhibited a significant double-positive population, with about 90% of p-elF2 α + cells being infected. However, during ZIKV infection, there is a lower proportion of double-positive cells, with about 40% of envelope positive cells in the p-elF2 α + population. These cells may be infected with ZIKV, but they do not yet express enough envelope protein to allow detectable staining. The same profile of co-staining quantified by cytometry could also be visualized by immunofluorescence (Fig. 1D).

In summary, although both infections led to different profiles of anti-p-elF2 α and anti-flavivirus co-staining, both viruses promoted stress responses within the same time frame.

September/October 2023 Volume 14 Issue 5



FIG 1 DENV4 and ZIKV promote phosphorylation of elF2a in A549 cells. A549 cells were infected with DENV4 multiplicity of infection (MOI 2) or ZIKV (MOI 3) and harvested at the indicated times for analysis. (A) Immunoblot analysis of cell extracts resolved in denaturing SDS-PAGE. (B) Bar graph representative of flow cytometry analysis for quantification of cells expressing p-elF2a within the total population (C) Dot plot and Venn diagram of representative results from co-staining with anti-flavivirus E protein and anti-p-elF2a at 24 h.p.i. analyzed by flow cytometry and (D) immunofluorescence of infections under the same conditions, representative images of three independent experiments. In the column chart, the bars represent the means \pm standard error of the mean from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by paired *t* test comparing infected samples to the respective uninfected control. ***P* \leq 0.01, ****P* \leq 0.001. h.p.i., hours post-infection.

Phosphorylation of eIF2 α during DENV4/ZIKV infection is PKR dependent and IFN-1 independent

To investigate which ISR kinase promotes $eIF2\alpha$ phosphorylation in our model, gene deletion by CRISPR-Cas9 was used to produce A549 PKR and IFNAR knockout (KO) cell lines (Fig. 2A and B). In PKR^{-/-} cells infected with DENV4 or ZIKV, p-eIF2 α was abrogated,



FIG 2 Phosphorylation of eIF2a during DENV4 or ZIKV infections is PKR dependent and IFN independent. (A) Characterization of A549 PKR^{-/-} by immunoblot of unstimulated and stimulated cells with 100 IU/mL of IFN-a2a for 12 hours demonstrating successful PKR deletion. (B) Characterization of A549 IFNAR^{-/-} /PKR^{-/-} after stimulation with 100 IU/mL of IFN-a2a for 12 hours demonstrating the deletion of PKR on the parental IFNAR^{-/-} cells. (C) Flow cytometry analysis for quantification of cells expressing p-eIF2a within the total population of the indicated lineages of A549 cells infected with DENV4 (MOI 2) at 24 h.p.i. and (D) same experiment using ZIKV (MOI 3). (E) Flow cytometry analysis for quantification of cells expressing p-eIF2a within the total population of cells expressing p-eIF2a within the total population of cells expressing p-eIF2a within the total population A549 WT and PKR^{-/-} cells infected with DENV4 (MOI 2) or ZIKV (MOI 3) or treated with thapsigargin 2 μ M for 45 minutes in the presence or absence of the PERK inhibitor GSK2656157 (5 μ M) for 24 hours and (F) immunoblot analysis of cell extracts from the same experiment resolved in denaturing SDS-PAGE. Representative image of two independent experiments. In column charts, bars represent the mean ± standard error of the mean from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by one-way analysis of variance, followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. ** ≤ 0.05, *** ≤ 0.01, **** ≤ 0.001. DKO, double IFNAR//PKR/- knockout; IFN, interferon; ns/no markup, no statistical difference.

indicating that ISR activation promoted by both viruses is mostly dependent on this kinase (Fig. 2C and D). Since PKR is an ISG, and considering the late ISR activation, the participation of IFN-I signaling in eIF2 α phosphorylation was assessed. However, there was no change in the level of p-eIF2 α in infected IFNAR^{-/-} A549s compared to wild-type (WT) cells (Fig. 2C and D). Likewise, experiments using a PKR/IFNAR double-knockout (Fig. 2B) lineage confirmed the requirement for constitutive PKR expression to drive eIF2 α phosphorylation.

The persistence of a small population $p-elF2\alpha+$ in the PKR^{-/-} cells infected with ZIKV indicates the activation of other ISR kinase by this virus. Therefore, we decided to investigate PERK activity using the selective inhibitor, GSK2656157 (18). GSK2656157 (PERKi) treatment did not alter the induction of p-elF2 α in DENV4-infected cells but

promoted a small decrease in p-eIF2 α population in ZIKV-infected cells (Fig. 2E and F). This demonstrated a minor participation of PERK in the ISR activation in the ZIKV model.

In summary, the phosphorylation of eIF2 α during DENV4 or ZIKV infection in this model was mainly PKR dependent and IFN-I independent.

PKR is not blocked by DENV4 or ZIKV but shows delayed activation

The late PKR activation during infection with both viruses is not explained by the need of type I IFN signaling to boost PKR levels. Therefore, we tested whether PKR activity is blocked by infection at earlier time points. To test this, the dsRNA analog, polyino-sinic:polycytidylic acid [poly(I:C)], was used to stimulate PKR. Poly(I:C) transfection was performed at 3 h.p.i., and cells were analyzed at 9 h.p.i., that is, before virus-promoted eIF2 α phosphorylation (Fig. 3A). The comparable levels of p-eIF2 α between mock and infected cells stimulated with poly(I:C) suggest that PKR is not blocked by DENV4 or ZIKV in the early stages of infection (Fig. 3A). The absence of p-eIF2 α in PKR^{-/-} cells



FIG 3 PKR is not blocked by DENV4 or ZIKV but shows delayed activation. (A) Cells were infected with DENV4 (MOI 2) and ZIKV (MOI 3) and incubated for 1 hour 30 minutes before the virus inoculum was removed. Then, cells were stimulated with poly(I:C) at 3 h.p.i. with 10 µg/mL for 6 hours. Analysis for quantification of the cell population expressing p-eIF2 α after stimulation with poly(I:C) was performed by flow cytometry. Data from three independent experiments. (B) Immunofluorescence of A549 WT cells infected with DENV4 for 48 h.p.i. in semi-solid medium. Cells fixed, permeabilized, and co-stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), anti-dsRNA and anti-p-eIF2 α . Representative image of three independent experiments. In the column chart, bars represent the means ± standard error of the mean. Statistical analysis was performed by one-way analysis of variance, followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. ** $P \le 0.01$. ns, no statistical difference.

demonstrates that $elF2\alpha$ phosphorylation induced by poly(l:C) is exclusively dependent on this kinase.

If PKR is not blocked in the early stages of infection by DENV4 or ZIKV, the late ISR activation could be due to the amount of dsRNA in infected cells not reaching the threshold required for PKR activation. To test this hypothesis, infected cells were incubated in a semi-solid medium so viral spread happens from cell-to-cell by juxtaposition, allowing temporal inferences of events based on cell location from the center of the viral foci. Immunofluorescence of DENV4-infected cells shows that cells at the edge of the viral foci do not phosphorylate eIF2α despite the presence of large amounts of dsRNA (Fig. 3B). This delayed activation of PKR may be explained by the ability of flaviviruses to hide their dsRNA inside viral replication complexes, as suggested for other RNA sensors (19–21). Another possibility is PKR being activated not by viral dsRNA but by mitochondrial RNA as a consequence of viral suppression of mitophagy (22). In either case, these results indicate that at this stage of the infection, ISR activation by PKR is delayed, occurring in infected cells after the establishment of viral replication complexes. Further studies will be necessary to determine the nature of this delay.

DENV4, but not ZIKV, induces translation arrest in infected cells

To investigate the consequences of eIF2 α phosphorylation on cellular and viral protein synthesis, viral infections were performed in semi-solid medium, and a puromycin labeling protocol was used to assess general translation activity (23). By immunofluorescence analysis, it was possible to observe that p-eIF2 α in WT lineage promotes cellular translation arrest during DENV4 infection, evidenced by the absence of puromycin incorporation in the center of viral plaques (Fig. 4A). At the edges of the plaque, however, anti-puromycin and anti-flavivirus simultaneous staining reinforces data presented previously (Fig. 3B) that translation arrest happens relatively late during DENV4 infection. In PKR^{-/-} cells, however, co-staining is present throughout the viral plaque, suggesting cell translation downregulation during DENV4 infection is caused by ISR activation via PKR.

Surprisingly for ZIKV, there is a different profile, with more intense incorporation of puromycin by infected WT cells than bystander cells (Fig. 4B). Bystander cells are here defined as cells that were in the same environment of infected cells (i.e., same well), but viral protein was not detected. Anti-puromycin signal is stronger in the perinuclear region, which coincides with viral replication sites (Fig. 4C). The phenotype of increased translation activity in infected cells is lost in PKR^{-/-} cell lines, suggesting that PKR activation allows increased viral translation.

PKR is required for expression of eIF2 α -downstream genes and proteins during DENV4 and ZIKV infections

Phosphorylation of elF2 α as indicated above results in a general shutdown of protein synthesis; however, translation of the activation transcription factor 4 (ATF4) is increased in this situation (24, 25), leading to the induction of its target genes, *DDIT3 (CHOP)* and *GADD34*, involved in cellular stress resolution pathways (26, 27). GADD34 is responsible for elF2 α de-phosphorylation, hence, resuming global translation. Thus, to evaluate the impact of PKR deficiency in events downstream of elF2 α phosphorylation, the expression of *DDIT3* (CHOP) and *GADD34* was analyzed by quantitative PCR (qPCR). As observed in Fig. 5A, both *DDIT3* and *GADD34* were less expressed in PKR^{-/-} cells than in WT cells during DENV4 and ZIKV infection. This downregulation is subtle, possibly due to control of these genes by other pathways such as IRF3/IRF7 (28, 29) and STAT3-NFkB or NF-YA (30, 31). Consistent with its regulation by elF2:p-elF2 levels (32, 33), when GADD34 protein is analyzed by immunoblotting (Fig. 5B) or flow cytometry (Fig. 5C), this protein shows a pattern of expression similar to that of p-elF2 α in WT and PKR^{-/-} cells (Fig. 2C and D), demonstrating the transcriptional and post-transcriptional cellular control over elF2 α -downstream signaling.





50 µm

FIG 4 DENV4, but not ZIKV, induces PKR-dependent translation arrest in infected cells. Immunofluorescence of A549 WT or PKR^{-/-} cells infected with DENV4 (A) or ZIKV (B) at 20 PFU of FFU/well for 48 h.p.i. in semi-solid medium. Cells labeled with puromycin before fixation and permeabilization. Co-staining with DAPI, anti-puromycin, anti-flavivirus envelope (E) and secondary antibodies. (C) Zoom on WT cells infected with ZIKV for a better appreciation of the immunostaining pattern. Representative images of three independent experiments. FFU, focus forming unit.



FIG 5 PKR is required for expression of elF2 α -downstream genes and proteins during DENV4/ZIKV infections. A549 WT or PKR^{-/-} cells infected with DENV4 (MOI 2) or ZIKV (MOI 3) and harvested at 24 h.p.i. for analysis. (A) Quantification by RT-qPCR of DDIT3 and GADD34 gene expression. Relative expression calculated by 2^{- $\Delta\Delta$ Ct} methods using mock cells as reference. (B) Immunoblot analysis of GADD34 protein expression. Representative image of two independent experiments. (C) Flow cytometry analysis for quantification of cells expressing GADD34 within the total population. In the column charts, bars represent the means ± standard error of the mean from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by paired *t* test comparing the two cell lineages under the same conditions. **P* ≤ 0.05, ***P* ≤ 0.01, ****P* ≤ 0.001. ns/no markup, no statistical difference.

Together, these results show that during infection by DENV4 and ZIKV, the activation of the stress response is not restricted to phosphorylation of PKR and eIF2 α and reinforces that the activation of this pathway is abolished in the PKR^{-/-} cells.

Disruption of the PKR-eIF2a pathway impairs DENV4 and ZIKV replication

Considering the efficacy of p-eIF2α in blocking cellular translation and the dependency of PKR for this phosphorylation, we evaluated the impact of PKR deletion on virus replication. Surprisingly, replication of both viruses was impaired in PKR^{-/-} cells, shown by lower virus titers (Fig. 6A), smaller plaque sizes and number of cells/plaque (Fig. 6B and C), and a lower percentage of infected cells (Fig. S2). Viral genome replication, assessed by qPCR, does not show a statistically significant difference, but a trend of lower replication in PKR^{-/-} cells can be seen and may reflect impairment of other viral replication steps (Fig. 6D). The lower virus titers and diminished plaque sizes in PKR^{-/-} cells are particularly counterintuitive since this cell line is defective in activating the whole anti-viral pathway coordinated by PKR.

To confirm and complement results found with the knockout cell lines, A549 cells were infected with ZIKV and treated with ISRIB, an ISR inhibitor, for 24 hours. The analysis was performed by flow cytometry (Fig. 6E) and viral titration (Fig. 6F) and showed a negative impact of the drug on virus replication, in consonance with results obtained with KO cell lines. It is worth noting, however, that ISRIB targets eIF2B, inhibiting the ISR downstream to eIF2 α phosphorylation (34, 35), and it has been shown to inhibit ISR only partially in some cases (36, 37).

Altogether, these findings indicate the possibility that the PKR deletion has a negative impact on other anti-viral pathways, and/or these viruses could have co-opted the ISR to promote viral replication.

PKR deletion does not affect the innate immune response or cell viability

The phenotype of reduced viral replication in PKR^{-/-} lineage could be explained by changes in the cellular innate immune response, as several studies report the role of PKR in sustaining NF- κ B and IFN signaling (38–43) and promoting IFN- γ and tumor necrosis factor alpha expression (44, 45). To investigate the possibility of alterations in innate immune pathways as a result of PKR deletion, the gene and protein expression of elements of this cellular response were evaluated.

Genes activated by IRF3/7, IFN-I or NF-κB did not show any significant difference in transcription between WT and PKR^{-/-} cells during virus infections (Fig. 7A). Immunoblot-ting corroborated this transcriptional analysis, as no differences were observed in STAT1



FIG 6 Disruption of the PKR-elF2a pathway impairs DENV4 and ZIKV replication. (A) Viral titration from A549 WT or PKR^{-/-} cells infected with DENV4 (MOI 2) or ZIKV (MOI 3) and harvested at 24 h.p.i. for analysis (three independent experiments). Plaque size comparison of virus in A549 WT or PKR^{-/-} cells infected with DENV4 and incubated for 8 days or infected with ZIKV and incubated for 5 days: measurements of (B) plaque areas and (C) number of cells per plaque from immunofluorescence. (D) Quantification by RT-qPCR of DENV4 or ZIKV viral RNA from A549 WT or PKR^{-/-} cells infected with DENV4 (MOI 2) or ZIKV (MOI 3) and harvested at 24 h.p.i. for analysis (three independent experiments). Relative expression calculated by $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method using mock cells as a reference for cell genes and WT cells as reference for viral genome. Statistical analysis was performed by paired *t* test comparing the two cell lineages under the same conditions. A549 cells infected with ZIKV, treated with the indicated concentration of integrated stress response inhibitor (ISRIB) ordimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), and harvested at 24 h.p.i. (two independent experiments). (E) Quantification by flow cytometry of infected population labeled with anti-E protein. (F) Viral titration from experiment supernatant in VERO cells. Statistical analysis was performed by one-way analysis of variance with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test. In all charts, bars represent the means ± standard error of the mean. Statistical analysis was performed by paired *t* test comparing the two cell lineages under the same conditions. **P* ≤ 0.05, ***P* ≤ 0.01, *****P* ≤ 0.001. FFU, focus forming unit; ns/no markup, no statistical difference.

activation, or IFIT1 and ISG15 expression levels between the two cell lines, thus demonstrating that virus-induced IFN-I signaling was not affected by PKR deletion (Fig. 7B).

As PKR could also play a role in cell survival during viral infection (46), cell viability was analyzed at 24 h.p.i. by flow cytometry. Also in this assay, no difference between WT and $PKR^{-/-}$ cells was observed during virus infections (Fig. 7C).

These results allow us to conclude that PKR deletion does not significantly affect the innate immune response or cell survival during DENV4 or ZIKV infection. Therefore, alterations in these pathways do not explain the lower viral replication seen in the PKR^{-/-} cells.

ZIKV co-opts PKR-elF2 α pathway to increase viral translation through its 5'-UTR

ISR control over cell translation can promote the expression of certain mRNAs as a consequence of their 5'-UTR sequence and/or structure (33, 47). Therefore, we next sought to investigate whether viral 5'-UTR could subvert the ISR to promote replication. Hence, a translation activity assay was performed based on the ZIKV genome using a luciferase reporter readout. For this assay, a reporter plasmid was designed with the first 194 nucleotides of ZIKV; this includes the 5'-UTR followed by the first 87 nt from the viral



FIG 7 PKR deletion does not affect the innate immune response or cell viability. A549 WT or PKR^{-/-} cells infected with DENV4 (MOI 2) or ZIKV (MOI 3) and harvested at 24 h.p.i. for analysis. (A) Quantification by RT-qPCR of *IFNβ*, *IFNλ*, *ISG15*, and *TNF-a* gene expression. Relative expression calculated by $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ methods using mock cells as a reference. (B) Immunoblot analysis of cell extracts resolved in denaturing SDS-PAGE. Representative image of two independent experiments. (C) Flow cytometry analysis for quantification of living cells by staining with Zombie NIR viability dye. Mock WT cells set as 100% reference. In the column charts, bars represent the means ± standard error of the mean from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by paired *t* test comparing the two cell lineages under the same conditions. **P* ≤ 0.05. ns/no markup, no statistical difference.

polyprotein fused in frame with the firefly luciferase gene (Fig. 8A). A control plasmid expressing *Renilla* luciferase with the same backbone that the firefly luciferase reporter was co-transfected for data normalization. Results are presented in relative translation activity (RTA), given in percentage, using values obtained with WT mock cells as reference (100%).

Cells were infected with ZIKV (or mock treated) for 12 hours before plasmid transfection and then analyzed at 36 h.p.i. to maximize the time of luciferase expression under the context of ISR activation. The comparison between mock and infected conditions for each cell line reveals that virus infection promotes a twofold increase in translation activity by WT and IFNAR^{-/-} cells (Fig. 8B). In contrast, in PKR^{-/-} and double knockout cells, this effect is suppressed, and the relative translation activity in these cells is similar or lower than that in WT uninfected cells. These results suggest that the ZIKV 5'-UTR is sufficient to promote a relative advantage of mRNA translation in the presence of p-elF2 α .

DISCUSSION

Flaviviruses efficiently translate their genome via a cap-dependent translation mechanism. However, following suppression of canonical translation initiation that takes place during infection, it has been proposed that a switch to cap-independent translation occurs (3, 5, 48). Cell conditions to promote the switch in translation mode and the required auxiliary factors (e.g., elFs) for alternative translation remain to be fully characterized.

In the present work, we investigated how the ISR, known to promote translation arrest through $elF2\alpha$ phosphorylation, would play a role in this elusive non-canonical



FIG 8 ZIKV 5'-UTR is sufficient to increase relative reporter translation in PKR-elF2 α competent cells. (A) Diagram of reporter construct used for the assay, containing the first 87 nt of viral capsid protein. (B) Relative translation of ZIKV reporter in A549 (WT/PKR^{-/-}/IFNAR^{-/-}/DKO) cells infected with ZIKV (MOI 3), transfected at 12 h.p.i. and analyzed at 36 h.p.i. In the column chart, the bars represent the means \pm standard error of the mean from three independent experiments analyzed by two-way analysis of variance and Šidák's multiple comparison test. * $P \le 0.01$, ** $P \le 0.001$, *** $P \le 0.0001$. ns, no statistical difference.

translation mechanism employed by flaviviruses. Using A549 cells, we verified that PKR promotes p-eIF2 α during DENV4 and ZIKV infections. In accordance, previous reports have also detected p-eIF2 α in A549 cells during DENV (6, 49), ZIKV (50), and JEV (51) infections, as well as studies using other cell lines (52, 53). Further characterization of p-eIF2 α was performed by flow cytometry to allow quantification and co-staining for other markers. Although DENV4 and ZIKV promote eIF2 α phosphorylation within the same time frame, these viruses displayed different profiles of anti-p-eIF2 α and anti-flavivirus envelope protein co-staining, indicating distinct dynamics between viral replication and activation of stress response for each virus. Therefore, cytometry is here proposed as a complementary method to investigate ISR activation, in addition to immunoblotting and immunofluorescence, as it provides more detailed information.

It is worth noting that a few studies observe the activation of the PERK pathway of the unfolded protein response during DENV and ZIKV infections without excluding the participation of other ISR kinases especially regarding eIF2 α phosphorylation (54–58). In the present model, PERK plays a minor role in ZIKV-induced p-eIF2 α . Here, PKR is clearly accountable for the majority of ISR activation during infection with both DENV4 and ZIKV. The ISR was shown to be activated by PKR to downstream genes via eIF2 α phosphorylation in WT cells but abolished in PKR^{-/-} cells. However, other flaviviruses such as JEV and WNV seem to phosphorylate eIF2 α at least partially dependent on PERK (54–58). For JEV, this could be due to PKR blocking promoted by viral NS2 α (51).

An increased expression of p-eIF2a downstream genes was also found in Huh7 cells infected with DENV (59). In this study, single-cell RNA-seq analysis showed upregulation of *DDIT3* and *GADD34* (aka *PPP1R15A*) mRNAs, as well as of other ATF4-downstream

genes (ASNS, CTH, HERPUD1, SOD2, and TRIB3) (60–64) within the infected population (Fig. S3A and S3B). A second study using the same approach in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) (65) revealed an upregulation of *GADD34* mRNA in DENV-infected monocytes and T cells (Fig. S3C and S3D). The upregulation of these mRNAs suggests ISR activation after DENV infection in these cell models. However, as shown here, the expression of these genes is also regulated by post-transcriptional mechanisms dependent on the presence of p-eIF2α.

In our model, a PKR-dependent translation arrest was confirmed in DENV-infected cells as expected but curiously not in ZIKV-infected cells. For the latter, increased puromycin incorporation in perinuclear areas indicates a possible viral escape mechanism from ISR translation control. This difference might be related to the distinct dynamics between viral replication and activation of stress response for each virus seen in the co-staining assay. Therefore, it calls for further investigation using methods such as live-cell imaging to track down the sequence of events during infection.

Roth et al. reported a general translation arrest after infection of Huh7 cells with several *Flavivirus* species and strains despite the absence of p-elF2 α (7). This conflicting result could be due to the different cells used. Although we do not exclude the possibility that other translation suppression mechanisms, such as RIDD (66), RNase L (67), and 4E-BP dephosphorylation (68, 69), can also take place in our model in later times after infection.

Despite the differences in translational activity under activated ISR, both DENV4 and ZIKV presented an approximated 50% less viral replication in PKR^{-/-} cells. This decrease suggests that ISR activation is not essential for viral replication but rather exploited by both viruses to counter a major cellular anti-viral pathway for their own benefit. Indeed, after discarding the hypothesis of PKR deletion disturbing common anti-viral pathways in this model (e.g., type I IFN expression, NFkB activation, and apoptosis), our translation activity assay has shown that the ZIKV 5'-UTR promotes a relative advantage of mRNA translation in the presence of p-eIF2 α . This finding reveals that ZIKV not only escapes ISR translation arrest but also uses this response to increase viral replication. We hypothesize that this could be achieved by the presence of multiple upstream open reading frames (uORFs) in the 5' region of the ZIKV genome (70). The presence of p-eIF2 α (24, 25); therefore, a similar strategy could be used by ZIKV to translate the viral polyprotein during ISR activation.

However, we cannot rule out that viral alternative translation that does not require eIF2/TC for initiation is also used by DENV4 and ZIKV. The independence of eIF2 for translation initiation under stress conditions has been previously reported within *Flaviviridae* family since HCV (*Hepacivirus* genus) and *Pestivirus* C (formerly CSFV, *Pestivirus* genus) IRES present similar functioning through IRES-dependent mechanisms (71–73).

Here, we demonstrate that DENV4 and ZIKV induce $eIF2\alpha$ phosphorylation in a PKR-dependent manner and that its absence is detrimental for optimal virus replication. Using ZIKV as a model, we showed that this effect maps to the 5'-UTR of the viral genome. Altogether these results suggest that the activation of the PKR/p-eIF2 α axis may be necessary for the engagement of the non-canonical translation employed by flaviviruses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and viruses

VERO-E6 (ATCC CRL-1586) A549 (ATCC CCL-185) and derived cell lines were grown at $37^{\circ}C/5\%$ CO₂ in DMEM-F12 medium supplemented with 1-U/mL penicillin/streptomycin and 5% fetal bovine serum. C6/36 cells (ATCC CRL-1660) were grown at 28°C in Leibovitz's L-15 medium supplemented with 1-U/mL penicillin/streptomycin and 5% fetal bovine serum. IFNAR1 knockout cell line has been previously described (74, 75).

PKR^{-/-} and DKO (IFNAR^{-/-}/PKR^{-/-}) were produced with a pair of sgRNA guides (PKR1-FWD: CACCGATTATGAACAGTGTGCATCG, PKR1-REV: AAACCGATGCACACTGTTCATAATC, PKR2-FWD:CACCGAAACAGTTCTTCGTTGCTTA, and PKR2-REV: AAACTAAGCAACGAAGAA-CTGTTTC) cloned into pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro (PX459) vector (Addgene) according to the developer's protocol (76). Clonal populations of new cell lines were isolated and tested to confirm gene deletion (Fig. 2). Experiments were carried out with the 1B6 clone of PKR^{-/-} cells and the 2B6 clone of DKO cells.

DENV-4 virus, TVP-360 strain (77), and ZIKV, strain BR 2015/15261 (78), were kindly provided by Dr. Claudia N. Duarte dos Santos (Fiocruz-PR, Brazil). Viral stocks were produced in C6/36 cells, and all viral titrations were performed in VERO cells by PFU or focus-forming unit.

Poly(I:C) transfection

Cell stimulation with "polyinosinic:polycytidylic acid" was performed with Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's instructions for A459 cells at the proportion of 1 μ g of poly(I:C) in 1 mL of medium in a 12-well plate.

Western blots

Samples were lysed on ice with radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8; 1% NP40; 0.1% SDS; 0.5% sodium deoxycholate) supplemented with cOmplete and PhosSTOP inhibitors (Roche) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Protein extracts were heat-denatured in the loading buffer and resolved in SDS-PAGE. Antibodies used for immunoblot reactions were PKR antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc; sc-707, dilution 1:100); phospho-PKR (Thr451) polyclonal antibody (Invitrogen; 44-668G, dilution 1:100); phospho-elF2a (Ser51) antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.; #9721, dilution 1:1,000); GADD34 antibody (Proteintech; 10449-1-AP, dilution 1:500); a-tubulin (DM1A) mAb (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.; #3873, dilution 1:1,000); STAT1 antibody (AbCam; ab3987, dilution 1:1,000); phospho-STAT1(Y701) M135 (Abcam; ab29045, dilution 1:1,000); IFIT1 polyclonal antibody (Thermo/Pierce; PA3-848, dilution 1:1,000); ISG15 mouse mAb (R&D System; MAB4845, dilution 1:1,000); anti-mouse IgG, HRP-linked antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.; #7076, dilution 1:5,000); and anti-rabbit IgG, HRP-linked antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.; #7074, dilution 1:5000). The imaging of blots was carried out on Chemidoc MP (Bio-Rad).

Flow cytometry

Cells were fixed in BD-Phosflow Lyse/Fix buffer. Primary and secondary antibodies were diluted in permeabilization buffer (0.1% saponin; 1% fetal bovine serum, in phosphatebuffered saline (PBS) for staining. Between each step, samples were washed in blocking buffer (1% fetal bovine serum in PBS). Antibodies and dyes used for flow cytometry were phospho-eIF2α (Ser51) antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.; #3597, dilution 1:300); human monoclonal antibody DV 18.4 (79) (dilution 1:100); GADD34 antibody (Proteintech; 10449-1-AP, dilution 1:250 [IF/FACS]); Zombie NIR fixable viability dye (BioLegend; 423105, dilution 1:750); goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 647 conjugated (Invitrogen; A-21245, dilution 1:500); goat anti-human IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated (Invitrogen; A-11008, dilution 1:500); goat anti-human IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated (Invitrogen; A-11013, dilution 1:500). Data acquisition was performed with FACSVerse (BD) and data analysis with FlowJo software (BD).

Immunofluorescence

Cells were grown and infected on 13-mm cover slips in 24-well plates and were incubated in liquid or semisolid medium according to the experiment design. Fixation in 3% paraformaldehyde was performed for 20 min, and permeabilization was conducted with Triton X-100 0.5% in PBS for 5 min. Primary and secondary antibodies were

diluted in blocking buffer (2% bovine serum albumin in PBS) for staining. Between each step, samples were washed in PBS. Antibodies and dyes used for immunofluorescence were DAPI (Invitrogen); anti-dsRNA MAb J2 (SCICONS; 10010500, dilution 1:200); human monoclonal antibody DV 18.4 (79) (dilution 1:100); anti-puromycin 12D10 (Millipore; MABE343, dilution 1:20,000); phospho-eIF2α (Ser51) antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.; #3597, dilution 1:300); goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 647 conjugated (Invitrogen; A-21245, dilution 1:500); goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated (Invitrogen; A-11008, dilution 1:500); goat anti-human IgG (H L) Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated (Invitrogen; A-11013, dilution 1:500); and donkey anti-mouse IgG H&L Alexa Fluor 647 conjugated (Abcam; ab150107, dilution 1:500). Image acquisition was performed in the confocal microscope Leica DMI6000 B.

RT-qPCR

RNA was extracted and purified with HiYield Total RNA Mini Kit (RBC Real Biotech Corporation, YRB50) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Reverse transcription reaction was performed with Moloney murine leukemia virus (MMLV) "in house" kit from 1 µg of total RNA. Quantitative PCRs were performed with GoTaq qPCR Master mix (Promega) with the following primer sets: h18S (F: 5'-TAGAGGGACAAGTGG-CGTTC-3', R: 5'-CGCTGAGCCAGTCAGTGT-3'); hIFNL1 (F: 5'-TTCCAAGCCCACCACAACTG-3', R: 5'-GAGTGACTCTTCCAAGGCGT-3'); hIFNB1 (F: 5'-AAACTCATGAGCAGTCTGCA-3', R: 5'-AGGAGATCTTCAGTTTCGGAGG-3'); hTNFA (F: 5'-TCTTCTCGAACCCCGAGTGA-3', R: 5'-CCTCTGATGGCACCACCAG-3'); hISG15 (F: 5'-TCCTGGTGAGGAATAACAAGGG-3', R: 5'-TCAGCCAGAACAGGTCGTC-3'); hDDIT3 (F: 5'-GGAGCATCAGTCCCCCACTT-3', R: 5'-TGTGGGATTGAGGGTCACATC-3'); hGADD34 (F: 5'-CTGGCTGGTGGAAGCAGTAA-3', R: 5'-TATGGGGGATTGCCAGAGGA-3'); DENV4 vRNA (F: 5'-TTGTCCTAATGATGCTGGTCG-3', R: 5'-TCCACCTGAGACTCCTTCCA-3'); and ZIKV vRNA (F: 5'-CTGTGGCATGAACCCAATAG-3', R: 5'-ATCCCATAGAGCACCACTCC-3'). Data acquisition with StepOne Plus real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) and relative mRNA expression was calculated by 2^{-ΔΔCT} method.

ZIKV luciferase reporter vectors

The pSGDLuc vector previously described (80) was used as a template to design the *Renilla* luciferase and the ZIKV firefly luciferase reporters. The *Renilla* luciferase was amplified using the following oligonucleotides 5'-TCCGCCCAGTTCCGCCATTCTCCGC-3' and 5'-GCGCTCTAGATTATCTCGAGGTGTAGAAATAC-3' and then cloned back into the pSGDLuc previously digested with *AvrII* and *Xbal*. This will create a plasmid only expressing the *Renilla* luciferase gene under the T7 or SV40 promoter.

The first 194 nucleotides of the ZIKV genome were cloned first in the pSGD plasmid using the set of primers 5'-GCGCCTCGAGATAAGTTGTTGATCTGTGTGAATCAGAC-3' and 5'-GCGCAGATCTGCCCCCAAAGGGGCTCACACGGGCTAC-3' in order to introduce this fragment in frame with the 2A-firefly luciferase gene. Using this intermediate plasmid as a template, the ZIKV-2A-firefly luciferase gene was later amplified with oligonucleotides 5'-TCCGCCCAGTTCCGCCCATTCTCCGC-3' and 5'-GATTCACACAGATCAACAACTccctatagtgagtc to introduce the T7 promoter upstream of the ZIKV coding region; and 5'-GCTTTA-CTGGGGCTACGATCTTTGC-3' and 5'-gactcactatagggAGTTGTTGATCTGTGTGAATC-3' to amplify the ZIKV region. This was cloned back into the pSGD plasmid previously digested with *AvrII* and *BgIII*. This will create a plasmid expressing the ZIKV-2A-firefly luciferase fused gene under the T7 or SV40 promoter.

Luciferase assays

Cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen) with firefly and *Renilla Luciferase* plasmids at the proportion of 98 and 2 ng, respectively, for every 2×10^4 cells in a 96-well plate. For cell lysis and luminescence reaction, Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) was used following the manufacturer's instructions.

Single-cell RNA sequencing analysis

Processed, publicly available single-cell RNA-seq data are available through the GEO accession numbers GSE110496 and GSE116672. We downloaded processed single-cell data and metadata from the supplementary information from the respective publication (59, 65).

Then, we used CellRouter (81) to perform quality control, normalization, and analysis and to visualize the expression of selected genes with log2 fold changes of >0.15.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Comissão de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior Computational Biology programme (23038.010048/2013-27), Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) (1 311406/2017-3 and 407609/2018-0), CNPq/INCTV, and the Academy of Medical Sciences/U.K. (NAF004/1005) to D.S.M.; CNPq (41907/2017-7) to T.R.-J.; BBSRC grant BB/S001336/1 and Wellcome Trust grant 201946/Z/16/Z to B.J.F.; BBSRC grants BB/S007350/2 and BB/X011046/1 and Wellcome Trust and Royal Society Sir Henry Dale Fellowship (202471/Z/16/A) to T.S.; and an Isaac Newton Trust Grant (18.40r), a Royal Society Research Grant (RGS\R1\191137), and an Isaac Newton Trust/Wellcome Trust ISSF/University of Cambridge Joint Research Grant to N.I.

Multi-User Laboratory in Biological Studies and LCME and UFSC.

Conceptualization: T.R.-J. and D.S.M.; methodology: T.R.-J. and D.S.M.; formal analysis and investigation: T.R.-J.; *in silico* analysis: E.L.D.R., E.G.-K., and G.F.R.-L.; resources: D.S.M., B.J.F., N.I., and T.S; writing (original draft): T.R.-J. and D.S.M.; writing (review and editing): T.R.-J., B.J.F., N.I., T.S., and D.S.M.; visualization: T.R.-J. and D.S.M.; supervision: D.S.M.; project administration and funding acquisition: D.S.M.

The authors declare no competing interests.

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

 ¹Departamento de Microbiologia, Imunologia e Parasitologia, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina (UFSC), Florianópolis, Brazil
²The Pirbright Institute, Woking, United Kingdom
³Icahn School of Medicine, New York, USA
⁴Department of Pathology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

AUTHOR ORCIDs

Daniel Santos Mansur ^b http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6773-9334

FUNDING

Funder	Grant(s)	Author(s)
Coordenação de Aperfeiçoa- mento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES)	23038.010048/2013-27	Daniel Santos Mansur
		Edgar Gonzalez- Kozlova
		Taissa Ricciardi-Jorge
		Gabriela Flavia Rodrigues-Luiz
Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq)	311406/2017-3, 407609/2018-0, 41907/2017-7	Daniel Santos Mansur
		Taissa Ricciardi-Jorge
Academy of Medical Sciences (The Academy of Medical Sciences)	NAF004/1005	Daniel Santos Mansur
Wellcome Trust	201946/Z/16/Z	Brian J. Ferguson

Funder	Grant(s)	Author(s)
Royal Society (The Royal Society)	RGS\R1\191137	Nerea Irigoyen
		Trevor Sweeney
UKRI Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC)	BB/S001336/1, BB/S007350/2, BB/XO11046/1	Trevor Sweeney
Wellcome Trust (WT)	202471/Z/16/A	Trevor Sweeney

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Taissa Ricciardi-Jorge, Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing | Edroaldo Lummertz da Rocha, Formal analysis, Software, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing | Edgar Gonzalez-Kozlova, Visualization, Writing – original draft | Gabriela Flavia Rodrigues-Luiz, Visualization, Writing – original draft | Brian J. Ferguson, Data curation, Formal analysis, Resources, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing | Trevor Sweeney, Funding acquisition, Resources, Writing – review and editing | Nerea Irigoyen, Conceptualization, Data curation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing | Daniel Santos Mansur, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing | Daniel Santos Mansur, Conceptualization, Data curation, Writing – original draft, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing | Daniel Santos Mansur, Conceptualization, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – original

ADDITIONAL FILES

The following material is available online.

Supplemental Material

Figure S1 (mBio00934-23-s0001.tif). Viral titration in VERO cells of supernatant from A549 WT cells infected with DENV4 or ZIKV.

Figure S2 (mBio00934-23-s0002.tif). Flow cytometry analysis for quantification of cells expressing p-eIF2a.

Figure S3 (mBio00934-23-s0003.tif). p-elF2 α -downstream genes are upregulated in DENV-infected Huh7 cells and PBMCs.

Legends (mBio00934-23-s0004.docx). Legends for Fig. S1 to S3.

REFERENCES

- Chambers TJ, Hahn CS, Galler R, Rice CM. 1990. Flavivirus genome organization, expression, and replication. Annu Rev Microbiol 44:649– 688. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.mi.44.100190.003245
- Gillespie LK, Hoenen A, Morgan G, Mackenzie JM. 2010. The endoplasmic reticulum provides the membrane platform for biogenesis of the flavivirus replication complex. J Virol 84:10438–10447. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/JVI.00986-10
- Edgil D, Polacek C, Harris E. 2006. Dengue virus utilizes a novel strategy for translation initiation when cap-dependent translation is inhibited. J Virol 80:2976–2986. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.80.6.2976-2986.2006
- Song Y, Mugavero J, Stauft CB, Wimmer E, Shenk T, Sarnow P, Rice C. 2019. Dengue and Zika virus 5' untranslated regions harbor internal ribosomal entry site functions. mBio 10:e00459-19. https://doi.org/10. 1128/mBio.00459-19
- Fernández-García L, Angulo J, Ramos H, Barrera A, Pino K, Vera-Otarola J, López-Lastra M. 2021. The internal ribosome entry site of the Dengue virus mRNA is active when cap-dependent translation initiation is inhibited. J Virol 95:e01998-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01998-20
- Hou J-N, Chen T-H, Chiang Y-H, Peng J-Y, Yang T-H, Cheng C-C, Sofiyatun E, Chiu C-H, Chiang-Ni C, Chen W-J. 2017. PERK signal-modulated protein translation promotes the survivability of Dengue 2 virus-infected mosquito cells and extends viral replication. Viruses 9:262. https://doi. org/10.3390/v9090262

- Roth H, Magg V, Uch F, Mutz P, Klein P, Haneke K, Lohmann V, Bartenschlager R, Fackler OT, Locker N, Stoecklin G, Ruggieri A, Buchmeier MJ. 2017. Flavivirus infection uncouples translation suppression from cellular stress responses. mBio 8. https://doi.org/10. 1128/mBio.02150-16
- Donnelly N, Gorman AM, Gupta S, Samali A. 2013. The elF2α kinases: their structures and functions. Cell Mol Life Sci 70:3493–3511. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00018-012-1252-6
- Pakos-Zebrucka K, Koryga I, Mnich K, Ljujic M, Samali A, Gorman AM. 2016. The integrated stress response. EMBO Rep 17:1374–1395. https:// doi.org/10.15252/embr.201642195
- Wek RC, Jiang H-Y, Anthony TG. 2006. Coping with stress: elF2 kinases and translational control. Biochem Soc Trans 34:7–11. https://doi.org/10. 1042/BST20060007
- Krishnamoorthy T, Pavitt GD, Zhang F, Dever TE, Hinnebusch AG. 2001. Tight binding of the phosphorylated α subunit of initiation factor 2 (eIF2α) to the regulatory subunits of guanine nucleotide exchange factor eIF2B is required for inhibition of translation initiation. Mol Cell Biol 21:5018–5030. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.21.15.5018-5030.2001
- Jackson RJ, Hellen CUT, Pestova TV. 2010. The mechanism of eukaryotic translation initiation and principles of its regulation. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11:113–127. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2838
- Wang K, Zou C, Wang X, Huang C, Feng T, Pan W, Wu Q, Wang P, Dai J, Best S. 2018. Interferon-stimulated TRIM69 interrupts Dengue virus

replication by ubiquitinating viral nonstructural protein 3. PLoS Pathog 14:e1007287. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007287

- Whelan JN, Li Y, Silverman RH, Weiss SR. 2019. Zika virus production is resistant to RNAse L antiviral activity. J Virol 93:e00313-19. https://doi. org/10.1128/JVI.00313-19
- Gullberg RC, Steel JJ, Pujari V, Rovnak J, Crick DC, Perera R. 2018. Stearoly-CoA desaturase 1 differentiates early and advanced Dengue virus infections and determines virus particle infectivity. PLoS Pathog 14:e1007261. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007261
- Zhang J, Lan Y, Li MY, Lamers MM, Fusade-Boyer M, Klemm E, Thiele C, Ashour J, Sanyal S. 2018. Flaviviruses exploit the lipid droplet protein AUP1 to trigger lipophagy and drive virus production. Cell Host Microbe 23:819–831. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2018.05.005
- Espada CE, da Rocha EL, Ricciardi-Jorge T, dos Santos AA, Soares ZG, Dias GBM, de Oliveira Patricio D, Gonzalez-Kozlova E, dos Santos PF, Bordignon J, Sanford TJ, Fajardo T, Sweeney TR, Báfica A, Mansur DS. 2019. ISG15/USP18/STAT2 is a molecular hub regulating autocrine IFN Imediated control of Dengue and Zika virus replication. Immunology. https://doi.org/10.1101/784678
- Axten JM, Romeril SP, Shu A, Ralph J, Medina JR, Feng Y, Li WHH, Grant SW, Heerding DA, Minthorn E, Mencken T, Gaul N, Goetz A, Stanley T, Hassell AM, Gampe RT, Atkins C, Kumar R. 2013. Discovery of GSK2656157: an optimized PERK inhibitor selected for preclinical development. ACS Med Chem Lett 4:964–968. https://doi.org/10.1021/ ml400228e
- Fredericksen BL, Gale M. 2006. West Nile virus evades activation of interferon regulatory factor 3 through RIG-I-dependent and -independent pathways without antagonizing host defense signaling. J Virol 80:2913–2923. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.80.6.2913-2923.2006
- Espada-Murao LA, Morita K. 2011. Delayed cytosolic exposure of Japanese encephalitis virus double-stranded RNA Impedes interferon activation and enhances viral dissemination in porcine cells. J Virol 85:6736–6749. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00233-11
- Overby AK, Popov VL, Niedrig M, Weber F. 2010. Tick-borne encephalitis virus delays interferon induction and hides its double-stranded RNA in intracellular membrane vesicles. J Virol 84:8470–8483. https://doi.org/10. 1128/JVI.00176-10
- Ponia SS, Robertson SJ, McNally KL, Subramanian G, Sturdevant GL, Lewis M, Jessop F, Kendall C, Gallegos D, Hay A, Schwartz C, Rosenke R, Saturday G, Bosio CM, Martens C, Best SM. 2021. Mitophagy antagonism by ZIKV reveals Ajuba as a regulator of PINK1 signaling, PKR-dependent inflammation, and viral invasion of tissues. Cell Rep 37:109888. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109888
- Schmidt EK, Clavarino G, Ceppi M, Pierre P. 2009. SUnSET, a nonradioactive method to monitor protein synthesis. Nat Methods 6:275–277. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1314
- Lu PD, Harding HP, Ron D. 2004. Translation reinitiation at alternative open reading frames regulates gene expression in an integrated stress response. J Cell Biol 167:27–33. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200408003
- Vattem KM, Wek RC. 2004. Reinitiation involving upstream ORFs regulates ATF4 mRNA translation in mammalian cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:11269–11274. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0400541101
- Harding HP, Novoa I, Zhang Y, Zeng H, Wek R, Schapira M, Ron D. 2000. Regulated translation initiation controls stress-induced gene expression in mammalian cells. Mol Cell 6:1099–1108. https://doi.org/10.1016/ s1097-2765(00)00108-8
- Novoa I, Zeng H, Harding HP, Ron D. 2001. Feedback inhibition of the unfolded protein response by GADD34-mediated dephosphorylation of eIF2a. J Cell Biol 153:1011–1022. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.153.5.1011
- Clavarino G, Cláudio N, Couderc T, Dalet A, Judith D, Camosseto V, Schmidt EK, Wenger T, Lecuit M, Gatti E, Pierre P. 2012. Induction of GADD34 is necessary for dsRNA-dependent interferon-β production and participates in the control of Chikungunya virus infection. PLoS Pathog 8:e1002708. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002708
- Dalet A, Argüello RJ, Combes A, Spinelli L, Jaeger S, Fallet M, Vu Manh T-P, Mendes A, Perego J, Reverendo M, Camosseto V, Dalod M, Weil T, Santos MA, Gatti E, Pierre P. 2017. Protein synthesis inhibition and GADD34 control IFN-β heterogeneous expression in response to dsRNA. EMBO J 36:761–782. https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.201695000
- Huang Y, Chuang AY, Romano RA, Liégeois NJ, Sinha S, Trink B, Ratovitski EA, Sidransky D. 2010. Phospho-DeltaNp63alpha/NF-Y protein complex

transcriptionally regulates DDIT3 expression in squamous cell carcinoma cells upon cisplatin exposure. Cell Cycle 9:328–338. https://doi.org/10. 4161/cc.9.2.10432

- Canino C, Luo Y, Marcato P, Blandino G, Pass HI, Cioce M. 2015. A STAT3-NFkB/DDIT3/CEBPβ axis modulates ALDH1A3 expression in chemoresistant cell subpopulations. Oncotarget 6:12637–12653. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.3703
- Lee Y-Y, Cevallos RC, Jan E. 2009. An upstream open reading frame regulates translation of GADD34 during cellular stresses that induce eIF2a phosphorylation. J Biol Chem 284:6661–6673. https://doi.org/10. 1074/jbc.M806735200
- Young SK, Willy JA, Wu C, Sachs MS, Wek RC. 2015. Ribosome reinitiation directs gene-specific translation and regulates the integrated stress response. J Biol Chem 290:28257–28271. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc. M115.693184
- Zyryanova AF, Kashiwagi K, Rato C, Harding HP, Crespillo-Casado A, Perera LA, Sakamoto A, Nishimoto M, Yonemochi M, Shirouzu M, Ito T, Ron D. 2021. ISRIB blunts the integrated stress response by allosterically antagonising the inhibitory effect of phosphorylated eIF2 on eIF2B. Mol Cell 81:88–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2020.10.031
- Zyryanova AF, Weis F, Faille A, Alard AA, Crespillo-Casado A, Sekine Y, Harding HP, Allen F, Parts L, Fromont C, Fischer PM, Warren AJ, Ron D. 2018. Binding of ISRIB reveals a regulatory site in the nucleotide exchange factor eIF2B. Science 359:1533–1536. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.aar5129
- Halliday M, Radford H, Sekine Y, Moreno J, Verity N, le Quesne J, Ortori CA, Barrett DA, Fromont C, Fischer PM, Harding HP, Ron D, Mallucci GR. 2015. Partial restoration of protein synthesis rates by the small molecule ISRIB prevents neurodegeneration without pancreatic toxicity. Cell Death Dis 6:e1672. https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2015.49
- Rabouw HH, Langereis MA, Anand AA, Visser LJ, de Groot RJ, Walter P, van Kuppeveld FJM. 2019. Small molecule ISRIB suppresses the integrated stress response within a defined window of activation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 116:2097–2102. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 1815767116
- Gilfoy FD, Mason PW. 2007. West Nile virus-induced interferon production is mediated by the double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase PKR. J Virol 81:11148–11158. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00446-07
- Zamanian-Daryoush M, Mogensen TH, DiDonato JA, Williams BR. 2000. NF-kappaB activation by double-stranded-RNA-activated protein kinase (PKR) is mediated through NF-kappaB-inducing kinase and IkappaB kinase. Mol Cell Biol 20:1278–1290. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.20.4. 1278-1290.2000
- Czerkies M, Korwek Z, Prus W, Kochańczyk M, Jaruszewicz-Błońska J, Tudelska K, Błoński S, Kimmel M, Brasier AR, Lipniacki T. 2018. Cell fate in antiviral response arises in the crosstalk of IRF, NF-kB and JAK/STAT pathways. Nat Commun 9:493. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02640-8
- Deb A, Haque SJ, Mogensen T, Silverman RH, Williams BR. 2001. RNAdependent protein kinase PKR is required for activation of NF-kappa B by IFN-gamma in a STAT1-independent pathway. J Immunol 166:6170– 6180. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.166.10.6170
- Kårehed K, Dimberg A, Dahl S, Nilsson K, Oberg F. 2007. IFN-gammainduced upregulation of Fcgamma-receptor-I during activation of monocytic cells requires the PKR and NFkappaB pathways. Mol Immunol 44:615–624. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2006.01.013
- Li Y, Xie J, Wu S, Xia J, Zhang P, Liu C, Zhang P, Huang X, Meurs EF. 2013. Protein kinase regulated by dsRNA downregulates the interferon production in Dengue virus- and dsRNA-stimulated human lung epithelial cells. PLoS ONE 8:e55108. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0055108
- Kaempfer R, Ilan L, Cohen-Chalamish S, Turgeman O, Namer LS, Osman F. 2019. Control of mRNA splicing by intragenic RNA activators of stress signaling: potential implications for human disease. Front Genet 10:464. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.00464
- 45. Ben-Asouli Y, Banai Y, Pel-Or Y, Shir A, Kaempfer R. 2002. Human interferon-gamma mRNA autoregulates its translation through a pseudoknot that activates the interferon-inducible protein kinase PKR. Cell 108:221–232. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(02)00616-5

- Donzé O, Deng J, Curran J, Sladek R, Picard D, Sonenberg N. 2004. The protein kinase PKR: a molecular clock that sequentially activates survival and death programs. EMBO J 23:564–571. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj. emboj.7600078
- Young SK, Wek RC. 2016. Upstream open reading frames differentially regulate gene-specific translation in the integrated stress response. J Biol Chem 291:16927–16935. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R116.733899
- Sanford TJ, Mears HV, Fajardo T, Locker N, Sweeney TR. 2019. Circularization of flavivirus genomic RNA inhibits *de novo* translation initiation. Nucleic Acids Res 47:9789–9802. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz686
- Umareddy I, Pluquet O, Wang QY, Vasudevan SG, Chevet E, Gu F. 2007. Dengue virus serotype infection specifies the activation of the unfolded protein response. Virol J 4:91. https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-422X-4-91
- Hou S, Kumar A, Xu Z, Airo AM, Stryapunina I, Wong CP, Branton W, Tchesnokov E, Götte M, Power C, Hobman TC, Diamond MS. 2017. Zika virus hijacks stress granule proteins and modulates the host stress response. J Virol 91. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00474-17
- Tu Y-C, Yu C-Y, Liang J-J, Lin E, Liao C-L, Lin Y-L. 2012. Blocking doublestranded RNA-activated protein kinase PKR by Japanese encephalitis virus nonstructural protein 2A. J Virol 86:10347–10358. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/JVI.00525-12
- Peña J, Harris E. 2011. Dengue virus modulates the unfolded protein response in a time-dependent manner. J Biol Chem 286:14226–14236. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.222703
- Tan Z, Zhang W, Sun J, Fu Z, Ke X, Zheng C, Zhang Y, Li P, Liu Y, Hu Q, Wang H, Zheng Z. 2018. ZIKV infection activates the IRE1-XBP1 and ATF6 pathways of unfolded protein response in neural cells. J Neuroinflammation 15:275. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12974-018-1311-5
- Gladwyn-Ng I, Cordón-Barris L, Alfano C, Creppe C, Couderc T, Morelli G, Thelen N, America M, Bessières B, Encha-Razavi F, Bonnière M, Suzuki IK, Flamand M, Vanderhaeghen P, Thiry M, Lecuit M, Nguyen L. 2018. Stressinduced unfolded protein response contributes to Zika virus-associated microcephaly. Nat Neurosci 21:63–71. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-017-0038-4
- Datan E, Roy SG, Germain G, Zali N, McLean JE, Golshan G, Harbajan S, Lockshin RA, Zakeri Z. 2016. Dengue-induced autophagy, virus replication and protection from cell death require ER stress (PERK) pathway activation. Cell Death Dis 7:e2127. https://doi.org/10.1038/ cddis.2015.409
- 56. Wang Q, Xin X, Wang T, Wan J, Ou Y, Yang Z, Yu Q, Zhu L, Guo Y, Wu Y, Ding Z, Zhang Y, Pan Z, Tang Y, Li S, Kong L, López S. 2019. Japanese encephalitis virus induces apoptosis and encephalitis by activating the PERK pathway. J Virol 93. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00887-19
- Sharma M, Bhattacharyya S, Sharma KB, Chauhan S, Asthana S, Abdin MZ, Vrati S, Kalia M. 2017. Japanese encephalitis virus activates autophagy through XBP1 and ATF6 ER stress sensors in neuronal cells. J Gen Virol 98:1027–1039. https://doi.org/10.1099/jgv.0.000792
- Ambrose RL, Mackenzie JM. 2011. West Nile virus differentially modulates the unfolded protein response to facilitate replication and immune evasion. J Virol 85:2723–2732. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI. 02050-10
- Zanini F, Pu S-Y, Bekerman E, Einav S, Quake SR. 2018. Single-cell transcriptional dynamics of flavivirus infection. Elife 7:e32942. https:// doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32942
- Gjymishka A, Su N, Kilberg MS. 2009. Transcriptional induction of the human asparagine synthetase gene during the unfolded protein response does not require the ATF6 and IRE1/XBP1 arms of the pathway. Biochem J 417:695–703. https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20081706
- Dickhout JG, Carlisle RE, Jerome DE, Mohammed-Ali Z, Jiang H, Yang G, Mani S, Garg SK, Banerjee R, Kaufman RJ, Maclean KN, Wang R, Austin RC. 2012. Integrated stress response modulates cellular redox state via induction of cystathionine γ-lyase: cross-talk between integrated stress response and thiol metabolism. J Biol Chem 287:7603–7614. https://doi. org/10.1074/jbc.M111.304576
- 62. Ma Y, Hendershot LM. 2004. Herp is dually regulated by both the endoplasmic reticulum stress-specific branch of the unfolded protein response and a branch that is shared with other cellular stress pathways. J Biol Chem 279:13792–13799. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M313724200
- Fusakio ME, Willy JA, Wang Y, Mirek ET, Al Baghdadi RJT, Adams CM, Anthony TG, Wek RC. 2016. Transcription factor ATF4 directs basal and stress-induced gene expression in the unfolded protein response and

cholesterol metabolism in the liver. Mol Biol Cell 27:1536–1551. https:// doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E16-01-0039

- Ohoka N, Yoshii S, Hattori T, Onozaki K, Hayashi H. 2005. TRB3, a novel ER stress-inducible gene, is induced via ATF4-CHOP pathway and is involved in cell death. EMBO J 24:1243–1255. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj. emboj.7600596
- Zanini F, Robinson ML, Croote D, Sahoo MK, Sanz AM, Ortiz-Lasso E, Albornoz LL, Rosso F, Montoya JG, Goo L, Pinsky BA, Quake SR, Einav S. 2018. Virus-inclusive single-cell RNA sequencing reveals the molecular signature of progression to severe Dengue. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115:E12363–E12369. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1813819115
- Hollien J, Weissman JS. 2006. Decay of endoplasmic reticulum-localized mRNAs during the unfolded protein response. Science 313:104–107. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1129631
- Donovan J, Rath S, Kolet-Mandrikov D, Korennykh A. 2017. Rapid RNase L-driven arrest of protein synthesis in the dsRNA response without degradation of translation machinery. RNA 23:1660–1671. https://doi. org/10.1261/rna.062000.117
- Pause A, Belsham GJ, Gingras AC, Donzé O, Lin TA, Lawrence JC, Sonenberg N. 1994. Insulin-dependent stimulation of protein synthesis by phosphorylation of a regulator of 5'-cap function. Nature 371:762– 767. https://doi.org/10.1038/371762a0
- Poulin F, Gingras AC, Olsen H, Chevalier S, Sonenberg N. 1998. 4E-BP3, a new member of the eukaryotic initiation factor 4E-binding protein family. J Biol Chem 273:14002–14007. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.273. 22.14002
- Lefèvre C, Cook GM, Dinan AM, Torii S, Stewart H, Gibbons G, Nicholson AS, Echavarría-Consuegra L, Meredith LW, Lulla V, Kenyon JC, Goodfellow I, Deane JE, Graham SC, Lakatos A, Lambrechts L, Brierley I, Irigoyen N. 2017. Zika viruses encode multiple upstream open reading frames in the 5' viral region with a role in neurotropism. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/ 10.1101/112904
- Pestova TV, de Breyne S, Pisarev AV, Abaeva IS, Hellen CUT. 2008. elF2dependent and elF2-independent modes of initiation on the CSFV IRES: a common role of domain II. EMBO J 27:1060–1072. https://doi.org/10. 1038/emboj.2008.49
- Robert F, Kapp LD, Khan SN, Acker MG, Kolitz S, Kazemi S, Kaufman RJ, Merrick WC, Koromilas AE, Lorsch JR, Pelletier J. 2006. Initiation of protein synthesis by hepatitis C virus is refractory to reduced elF2.GTP.Met-tRNA(i)(Met) ternary complex availability. Mol Biol Cell 17:4632–4644. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e06-06-0478
- Rivas-Estilla AM, Svitkin Y, Lopez Lastra M, Hatzoglou M, Sherker A, Koromilas AE. 2002. PKR-dependent mechanisms of gene expression from a subgenomic hepatitis C virus clone. J Virol 76:10637–10653. https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.76.21.10637-10653.2002
- 74. Antunes KH, Fachi JL, de Paula R, da Silva EF, Pral LP, dos Santos AÁ, Dias GBM, Vargas JE, Puga R, Mayer FQ, Maito F, Zárate-Bladés CR, Ajami NJ, Sant'Ana MR, Candreva T, Rodrigues HG, Schmiele M, Silva Clerici MTP, Proença-Modena JL, Vieira AT, Mackay CR, Mansur D, Caballero MT, Marzec J, Li J, Wang X, Bell D, Polack FP, Kleeberger SR, Stein RT, Vinolo MAR, de Souza APD. 2019. Microbiota-derived acetate protects against respiratory syncytial virus infection through a GPR43-type 1 interferon response. Nat Commun 10:3273. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11152-6
- Guimarães ES, Gomes MTR, Campos PC, Mansur DS, Dos Santos AA, Harms J, Splitter G, Smith JA, Barber GN, Oliveira SC. 2019. Cyclic dinucleotides trigger STING-dependent unfolded protein response that favors bacterial replication. J Immunol 202:2671–2681. https://doi.org/ 10.4049/jimmunol.1801233
- Ran FA, Hsu PD, Wright J, Agarwala V, Scott DA, Zhang F. 2013. Genome engineering using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. Nat Protoc 8:2281–2308. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2013.143
- 77. Kuczera D, Bavia L, Mosimann ALP, Koishi AC, Mazzarotto G, Aoki MN, Mansano AMF, Tomeleri El, Costa Junior WL, Miranda MM, Lo Sarzi M, Pavanelli WR, Conchon-Costa I, Duarte Dos Santos CN, Bordignon J. 2016. Isolation of Dengue virus serotype 4 genotype II from a patient with high viral load and a mixed Th1/Th17 inflammatory cytokine profile in South Brazil. Virol J 13:93. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12985-016-0548-9
- Strottmann DM, Zanluca C, Mosimann ALP, Koishi AC, Auwerter NC, Faoro H, Cataneo AHD, Kuczera D, Wowk PF, Bordignon J, Duarte Dos Santos CN. 2019. Genetic and biological characterisation of Zika virus

isolates from different Brazilian regions. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 114:e190150. https://doi.org/10.1590/0074-02760190150

- Beltramello M, Williams KL, Simmons CP, Macagno A, Simonelli L, Quyen NTH, Sukupolvi-Petty S, Navarro-Sanchez E, Young PR, de Silva AM, Rey FA, Varani L, Whitehead SS, Diamond MS, Harris E, Lanzavecchia A, Sallusto F. 2010. The human immune response to Dengue virus is dominated by highly cross-reactive antibodies endowed with neutralizing and enhancing activity. Cell Host Microbe 8:271–283. https:/ /doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2010.08.007
- Loughran G, Howard MT, Firth AE, Atkins JF. 2017. Avoidance of reporter assay distortions from fused dual reporters. RNA 23:1285–1289. https:// doi.org/10.1261/rna.061051.117
- Lummertz da Rocha E, Rowe RG, Lundin V, Malleshaiah M, Jha DK, Rambo CR, Li H, North TE, Collins JJ, Daley GQ. 2018. Reconstruction of complex single-cell trajectories using CellRouter. Nat Commun 9:892. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03214-y