

# In Response to “Clinical Characteristics Associated With Early Phase Psychosis and Comorbid Substance Use”: Methodological Concerns—Authors’ Reply

Jacob Cookey, MD<sup>1,3</sup>, Jacob McGavin, HBSc<sup>2</sup>, Candice E. Crocker, PhD<sup>1,3,4</sup>, Sherry H. Stewart, PhD<sup>1,5</sup> and Philip G. Tibbo, MD<sup>1,3</sup>

## Keywords

early psychosis, alcohol, cannabis, substance use disorder, clinical measures, social, occupational functioning

We thank Philippe Hwang and his colleagues for their comments and feedback<sup>1</sup> regarding our article.<sup>2</sup> We agree that patients in early phase psychosis (EPP) can be complex with multiple comorbidities, including comorbid substance use. Our aim was to provide updated information about comorbid substance use in those with EPP with a wider lens that included alcohol use given the relative dearth of literature about alcohol use in this population.

Regarding the comments Hwang et al. made about our results given our use of lowered threshold cutoff scores on the Alcohol, Smoking and Substance Involvement Screening Test (ASSIST); we based these changes on research specific to EPP populations. The lower cutoffs identify a pattern of substance use found to be clinically significant (and potentially harmful) specifically within this vulnerable population. Hwang et al.’s reference to DSM-5 criteria may be helpful for diagnostic purposes, but this is not in line with what our intention was in using these lower cutoffs. Our study examined clinically meaningful effects of substance use in this unique population, rather than to try and capture diagnostic entities. Also, as mentioned in the Hides et al.<sup>3</sup> paper from which we derived these lower cutoffs, this population may be uniquely sensitive to substances of abuse. This would support our approach of employing a lower threshold at which to consider substance use as potentially clinically significant. Furthermore, we completed a sensitivity analysis comparing the lower cutoff scores with the original ASSIST cutoff scores. These revealed that our age, gender, and trait-anxiety results persisted when using the original ASSIST scores, further confirming our findings.

With respect to the comments regarding the statistical analysis, we would point out that the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure assumes that the tests are independent,<sup>4</sup> whereas this was not the case with our study. The testing we

completed was on different aspects of the same population, which is one of the reasons for using the Tukey–Kramer adjustments. Although we agree that there are always risks of type I and II errors, we feel the procedures we employed were appropriate for our analysis.

Thank you for highlighting the 2009 review,<sup>5</sup> which we also referenced in our study and is now 14 years old. Of note in this review, the authors did not focus on alcohol use, and they only mention the age differences as an exploratory finding. Furthermore, the authors stated that they did not focus on examining specific characteristics associated with cannabis use and, in fact, they specifically noted the lack of original data examining clinical characteristics related to substance use in this population. This highlights the importance of continuing this area of research with more contemporaneous studies such as the one that we have published.

In summary, we agree that ongoing work in exploring the impact of comorbid cannabis and alcohol use in EPP is of utmost importance to better understanding and treating this

<sup>1</sup> Department of Psychiatry, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

<sup>3</sup> Nova Scotia Early Psychosis Program, Nova Scotia Health Authority (Central Zone), Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada

<sup>4</sup> Department of Diagnostic Radiology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada

<sup>5</sup> Department of Psychology and Neuroscience, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada

## Corresponding author:

Jacob Cookey, Department of Psychiatry, Dalhousie University, 5909 Veterans’ Memorial Lane, Abbie J. Lane Memorial Building, 8th Floor, Halifax, NS, Canada B3H 2E2.  
Email: [jacob.Cookey@dal.ca](mailto:jacob.Cookey@dal.ca)

The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry /  
La Revue Canadienne de Psychiatrie  
2023, Vol. 68(12) 949-950  
© The Author(s) 2023  
Article reuse guidelines:  
[sagepub.com/journals-permissions](http://sagepub.com/journals-permissions)  
DOI: 10.1177/07067437231173211  
TheCJP.ca | LaRCP.ca



patient population. We are confident that the novel data from our study can continue to support this work.

### **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

### **Funding**

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

### **References**

1. Hwang, AP, Hechtman, L, & Carboni-Jiménez, A, et al. In response to "Clinical characteristics associated with early phase psychosis and comorbid substance use": methodological concerns. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2020;66(2):183-184.
2. Cooke J, McGavin J, Crocker CE, et al. A retrospective study of the clinical characteristics associated with alcohol and cannabis use in early phase psychosis. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2020;65(6):426-435.
3. Hides L, Cotton SM, Berger G, et al. The reliability and validity of the alcohol, smoking and substance involvement screening test (ASSIST) in first-episode psychosis. *Addict Behav*. 2009; 34(10):821-825.
4. McDonald, JH. Multiple comparisons. In: *Handbook of biological statistics*. Baltimore, MA: Sparky House Publishing; 2009. pp. 260-261.
5. Koskinen J, Lööhönen J, Koponen H, et al. Prevalence of alcohol use disorders in schizophrenia—a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2009;120(2):85-96.