

CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction: Exoskeleton-based training improves walking independence in incomplete spinal cord injury patients: results from a randomized controlled trial

Ángel Gil-Agudo^{1,2,3*}, Álvaro Megía-García^{1,2,3,4}, José Luis Pons^{5,6,7,8,9}, Isabel Sinovas-Alonso^{1,2,3}, Natalia Comino-Suárez⁴, Vicente Lozano-Berrio^{1,2,3} and Antonio J. del-Ama^{1,2,3,10}

Correction: Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation (2023) 20:36

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-023-01158-z>

In the original version of this article [1], WISCI-II variable values in the “Baseline and Post-intervention” row of Table 3 has been updated and same has been shown below.

The original article has been corrected.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-023-01158-z>.

*Correspondence:

Ángel Gil-Agudo
amgila@sescam.jccm.es

¹ Biomechanics and Technical Aids Department, National Hospital for Paraplegics, SESCAM, Finca la Peraleda s/n, 45071 Toledo, Spain

² Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Department, National Hospital for Paraplegics, SESCAM, Toledo, Spain

³ Neurorehabilitation and Biomechanics Unit (HNP-SESCAM), Associate Unit CSIC, Toledo, Spain

⁴ Toledo Physiotherapy Research Group (GIFTO), Faculty of Physiotherapy and Nursing, Castilla La Mancha University, Toledo, Spain

⁵ Legs and Walking Lab, Shirley Ryan Ability Laboratory (Formerly Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago), Chicago, IL, USA

⁶ Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA

⁷ Department of Biomedical Engineering, McCormick School of Engineering and Applied Science, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA

⁸ Department of Mechanical Engineering, McCormick School of Engineering and Applied Science, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA

⁹ Neural Rehabilitation Group, Cajal Institute, Spanish National Research Council (CSIC), Madrid, Spain

¹⁰ Electronic Technology Area, Rey Juan Carlos University, Mostoles, Spain



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Table 3 Functional outcomes following exoskeleton training or convectional training, and comparison among times and interventions

		Intervention Mean (SD)			Pairwise comparison (p-value, η ²)	
		Baseline	Post-intervention	Change	Baseline vs. Post-intv.	IG vs. CG
IG	10MWT (m/s)	0.34 (0.16)	0.57 (0.41)	0.19 (0.16)	0.03*, η ² =0.39	0.69, η ² =0.04
	TUG (s)	40.50 (19.70)	27.3 (15.57)	- 13.23 (7.71)	0.00*, η ² =0.63	0.99, η ² =0.16
	6MWT (m)	114.67 (71.21)	183.56 (133.10)	68.79 (67.55)	0.00*, η ² =0.43	0.75, η ² =0.03
	WISCI-II (0–20)	8.36 (3.98)	11.91 (4.25)	3.54 (2.65)	0.00*, η ² =0.60	0.00*, η ² =0.32
	SCIM-III (0–100)	73.54 (7.76)	75.72 (10.05)	2.18 (3.37)	0.03*, η ² =0.23	0.90, η ² =0.01
CG	10MWT (m/s)	0.41 (0.22)	0.52 (0.36)	0.12 (0.17)	0.04*, η ² =0.21	
	TUG (s)	37.37 (20.38)	30.42 (20.20)	- 6.9 (7.22)	0.01*, η ² =0.33	
	6MWT (m)	110.95 (72.16)	159.05 (125.50)	48.10 (48.58)	0.02*, η ² =0.27	
	WISCI-II (0–20)	11.7 (3.8)	12.40 (4.45)	0.7 (1.49)	0.28, η ² =0.05	
	SCIM-III (0–100)	73.8 (5.49)	76.3 (6.10)	2.4 (2.7)	0.02*, η ² =0.24	

CG: control group; IG: intervention group; *significant differences (p < 0.05). η²: calculated effect size; 10MWT: 10 Meters Walking Test; TUG: Test Up and Go; 6MWT: 6 Minutes Walking Test; WISCI-II: Walking Index Spinal Cord Injury-II; SCIM-III: Spinal Cord Independence Measurement-III

Accepted: 11 November 2023

Published online: 18 November 2023

Reference

1. Gil-Agudo A, Megía-García A, Pons JL, Sinovas-Alonso I, Comino-Suárez N, Lozano-Berrio V, del-Ama AJ. Exoskeleton-based training improves walking independence in incomplete spinal cord injury patients: results from a randomized controlled trial. *J NeuroEngineering Rehabil.* 2023;20:36. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-023-01158-z>

Publisher’s Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.