Involvement of Plant Growth Substances in the Alteration of Leaf Gas Exchange of Flooded Tomato Plants'

Received for publication March 21, 1983 and in revised form June 16, 1983

KENT J. BRADFORD2

Department of Environmental Biology, Research School of Biological Sciences, Australian National University, Canberra City, ACT 2601 Australia

ABSTRACT

Ethylene, abscisic acid, and cytokinins were tested for their ability to either induce or prevent the changes which occur in gas exchange characteristics of tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill. cv. Rheinlands Ruhm) leaves during short-term soil flooding. Ethylene, which increases in the shoots of flooded plants, had no effect on stomatal conductance or photosynthetic capacity of drained plants. Abscisic acid, which also accumulates in the shoots of flooded plants, could reproduce the stomatal behavior of flooded plants when sprayed on the leaves of drained plants. However, photosynthetic capacity of drained plants was unaffected by abscisic acid sprays. Cytokinin export from the roots to the shoots declines in flooded plants. Spray applications of benzyladenine increased stomatal conductance in both flooded and drained plants. In addition, the decline in photosynthetic capacity during flooding was largely prevented by supplementary cytokinin applications. The possible involvement of these growth substances in modifying leaf gas exchange during flooding is discussed.

Both stomatal behavior and photosynthetic capacity of tomato plants were rapidly altered following soil flooding (4). Stomatal conductance decreased, and the sensitivity of the stomata to changes in $CO₂$ concentration increased. Assimilation rates were reduced due to (a) the lower stomatal conductance and (b) a fall in photosynthetic capacity of the mesophyll. Evidence has been presented which suggests that the stressed roots influence leaf gas exchange by a mechanism not involving decreases in leaf water potential (4, 7). Flooding promotes the synthesis of the ethylene precursor, ACC3, in the roots and its transport in the transpiration stream to the shoot, where it is converted into ethylene (10). Despite earlier reports to the contrary (24), recent studies have described both stomatal (25) and nonstomatal (16) inhibition of photosynthesis by ethylene. It is therefore possible that ethylene is involved in altering leaf gas exchange of flooded tomato plants. ABA also accumulates in the leaves of flooded plants (17, 29), and ABA applications can cause stomatal closure and increase stomatal sensitivity to $CO₂$ (8, 13, 28). On the other hand, the export of cytokinins from the roots of flooded plants is reduced by soil flooding (1 1). As cytokinins are known to delay senescence and maintain photosynthetic capacity (1), a decline in cytokinin supply to the shoots during flooding might also influence assimilation rates. These plant growth substances were therefore tested for their ability to either induce (ethylene and ABA) or prevent (cytokinin) the characteristic changes which occur in stomatal behavior and carbon assimilation of flooded tomato plants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Culture of the tomato plants (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill. cv Rheinlands Ruhm), gas exchange techniques, and measurement protocol have been described previously (4).

For ethylene treatment, plants were enclosed overnight (16 h) in a 0.1 m³ plexiglass box and exposed to 4 μ l l⁻¹ ethylene in air at a flow rate of 5 ¹ min-'. Leaf gas exchange was measured before and after this treatment with a portable system, the cuvette of which could be placed inside the plexiglass box (8). Ethylene $(5 \mu l)^{-1}$ was present in both the ambient and the measuring gas streams during the second measurement. ABA was dissolved in a small quantity of ethanol and diluted to the desired concentration with water. BA, BA-riboside, or kinetin were dissolved in ¹ N KOH and neutralized with HC1 after dilution to give 100 μ M solutions. Hormone sprays contained 0.01% Triton X-100, and control plants received identical sprays without the active substance. Gas exchange measurements were made on day 0, and the plants were sprayed at 12:00, 15:00, and 18:00 on day 1, and again at 6:00 on day 2. Gas exchange was then remeasured on day 2. This protocol was adopted to match the time course of stress in plants flooded at 8:00 on day 1. Root hypoxia would begin as $O₂$ became depleted from the soil water, which would take several hours to reach stressful levels (19). Thus, the earliest that the shoots might experience the effects of root stress would be noon of day 1. By the morning of day 2, changes in stomatal behavior and photosynthesis were evident (4, 7). If the growth substances are involved in these changes, they would have to be effective within the same time scale.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Increased ethylene synthesis in the shoots of flooded plants has been well documented as a cause of petiole epinasty in tomato (9). However, ethylene has generally been thought to have no effect on stomata and photosynthesis (24). Recently, Pallas and Kays (25) reported that a 2.5-h exposure to ethylene $(1 \mu l)^{-1}$ inhibited photosynthesis by 30% in peanut (*Arachis* hypogaea L.) leaves, apparently due to stomatal closure. The net photosynthetic rate of potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) leaves was also reduced by 18% after a 3-h exposure to 1 μ l I⁻¹ ethylene (16). Erkan and Bangerth (14) found that ethephon sprays increased stomatal resistance and decreased carbon uptake in tomato and pepper (Capsicum annuum L.) leaves. It was therefore logical to test whether ethylene might be responsible for the

^{&#}x27;Supported by a Postdoctoral Research Fellowship awarded by the Australian National University, where this work was performed.

² Present address to which reprint requests should be sent: Department of Vegetable Crops, University of California, Davis, CA 95616.

³ Abbreviations: ACC, I-aminocyclopropane-l-carboxylic acid; A, assimilation rate; C_i , intercellular CO_2 partial pressure; g_e , leaf epidermal conductance to water vapor; RuBP, ribulose bisphosphate.

FIG. 1. Epidermal conductance to water vapor (g_e) as a function of intercellular CO₂ partial pressure (C_i) before (\bullet, \blacksquare) and after (O, \square) overnight exposure to 5 μ l l⁻¹ ethylene. Ethylene was present in both the ambient and the measuring gas streams during the second measurement. Data for two replicate plants $(\bullet, \circ, \blacksquare, \square)$ are shown.

FIG. 2. Assimilation rate (A) as a function of C_i before and after overnight exposure to 5 μ l l⁻¹ ethylene. Same plants as in Figure 1.

stomatal closure and reduced photosynthetic capacity of flooded tomato plants. Previous experiments involving feeding ACC to excised shoots and monitoring g_e with a diffusion porometer had detected no effect of ethylene on the first day of feeding (7). On the second day, g_e fell due to the effects of root excision. In the present experiments, intact plants were exposed to 5 μ l l⁻¹ ethylene overnight. The plants exhibited extreme epinasty, but there was no effect on g_e and no change in stomatal sensitivity to $CO₂$ (Fig. 1). Assimilation rates as a function of C_i were also insensitive to ethylene (Fig. 2). The ability of ethylene to influence g_e and A is apparently species-specific, as shown by Pallas and Kays (25). In tomato, the compound is ineffective in altering the gas exchange characteristics of drained plants. It is unlikely, therefore, that the high levels of ethylene in the shoots of flooded plants are responsible for the reduction in g_e and A.

FIG. 3. Influence of ABA sprays on g_e of unflooded tomato plants. Conductance was measured on day 0 (control), and the plants were sprayed three times in the afternoon of day 1 and again on the morning of day 2. Measurements were then repeated. Data for two replicates at each ABA concentration are shown. Data for 10 μ M ABA are omitted as they did not differ significantly from the control.

FIG. 4. A as a function of C_i and ABA treatment. Same plants as in Figure 3.

A plant growth substance known to influence stomatal behavior is ABA (28). The ABA content of the leaves of tomato seedlings increased almost 8-fold after 4 d of soil flooding, even though wilting did not occur (17). When ABA was sprayed on the leaves of drained plants, g_e was reduced at all C_i (Fig. 3). At a concentration of 100 μ M, ABA sprays reproduced the pattern

FIG. 5. Influence of BA sprays on g_e of flooded tomato plants. Plants were measured on day 0 (control) and flooded on day 1. One plant received three sprays of 100 μ m BA that afternoon and once again the next morning before being remeasured.

FIG. 6. Influence of BA sprays on A of flooded tomato plants. Same plants as in Figure 5.

of stomatal closure observed in flooded plants $(cf. Figs. 3$ and 5; see also Refs. 4, 5). Despite the marked effect on g_e , ABA had no effect on the relationship between A and C_i (Fig. 4), in agreement with previous short-term (13) and long-term (8) experiments. Raschke, on the other hand, has reported that ABA caused varying degrees of nonstomatal inhibition of photosynthesis in 13 different species (28). As in the case of ethylene, the effects of ABA on assimilative capacity appear to be speciesspecific. ABA synthesis and degradation is affected by leaf turgor pressure (26), but stomatal closure during flooding can occur without changes in leaf turgor (7). Measurements of elevated ABA levels in waterlogged plants have not included simultaneous

estimates of turgor pressure (17, 29), so the stimulus for ABA accumulation remains uncertain. Further investigations of ABA metabolism in flooded plants with careful attention to time course, water relations, and transport are needed to establish whether endogenous ABA is indeed responsible for causing stomatal closure.

Cytokinin activity in xylem exudate of sunflower plants declined following flooding (11). To test whether a deficiency of cytokinins might be responsible for the fall in g_e and A, plants were flooded in the morning, then sprayed with 100 μ M BA, BAriboside, or kinetin during that afternoon and again the next morning. Gas exchange measurements were made before and after this treatment. Plants sprayed with BA or BA-riboside did not have lower conductances as usually occurs following flooding; instead, g_e was actually greater than in the control (Fig. 5). Conductance of drained plants was also increased by BA, with a $CO₂$ response curve similar to that for flooded plants (5). Inasmuch as the measurement protocol went from low to high $CO₂$, the major effect of BA was to reduce stomatal closure once opening had occurred in low $CO₂$. The initial g_e under standard conditions was often not markedly affected by BA relative to that in control plants. In a single experiment with kinetin, no change in stomatal behavior occurred. Stimulation of transpiration and stomatal opening by cytokinins is well-known in grasses, but the compounds are often ineffective on dicotyledonous species (21, 23). In the present case, the major effect of BA was to inhibit stomatal closure, rather than to promote opening, and previous results with kinetin (2, 3, 22) and with BA plus GA (18) can be interpreted similarly. Since ABA seems to be ^a primary signal for stomatal closure, particularly in response to water stress (8), one can envisage an antagonism between ABA and cytokinins in regulating stomatal aperture, as has often been suggested (see Ref. 6). The interaction between ABA and cytokinins can also be shifted toward stomatal closure by nitrogen deficiency (27), which may also occur in flooded plants (12).

The cytokinin sprays largely prevented the fall in A which usually follows flooding (Fig. 6). In three experiments with BA, one with BA-riboside, and two with kinetin, the average inhibition of A at $C_i = 400$ μ bar was 18% in flooded plants without supplementary cytokinins, but only 6% with cytokinin treatment. In the same experiment, A increased over the ² d by 6% in unflooded plants and by 9% in unflooded plants sprayed with cytokinin. (Main effects of flooding and cytokinin treatment were significant at $P < 0.001$ and $P < 0.025$, respectively. The interaction was not significant.) Although cytokinin supplementation did not completely ameliorate the effect of flooding on A, it did considerably offset the inhibition, particularly at high $CO₂$ levels. Since the photosynthetic rate at saturating $CO₂$ is limited by RuBP regeneration (30), supplementary cytokinins prevented the apparent decline in RuBP regeneration capacity which occurred during flooding (4). At least partial alleviation of some flooding stress symptoms by cytokinin or cytokinin plus gibberelin sprays has been reported previously (9, 12, 18). Furthermore, cytokinins can promote the synthesis of photosynthetic enzymes and electron transport components in expanding or greening leaves (15). The ability of applied cytokinins to delay senescence, accompanied by maintenance of photosynthetic rates (1), is well known, and a decrease in the capacity for noncyclic electron transport is an early indication of senescence (20). These and other data (4) suggest that the capacity for RuBP regeneration may be particularly sensitive to stresses, and that cytokinins, may be involved in the endogenous regulation of photosynthetic capacity.

In conclusion, ethylene is apparently not responsible for the partial stomatal closure and decline in photosynthetic capacity in flooded tomato plants. It may have indirect effects on transpiration and assimilation by promoting epinasty and altering light interception. ABA treatment did result in stomatal behavior identical to that in flooded plants. If endogenous ABA is responsible for the flooding effect, the question of the mechanism regulating ABA accumulation or redistribution remains, as the plants did not experience a leaf water deficit. Supplementary cytokinins prevented stomatal closure and considerably ameliorated the effect of flooding on assimilative capacity. The results provide additional evidence for the contrasting influences of ABA and cytokinins on stomatal behavior, and suggest that cytokinins may also be involved in the maintenance of photosynthetic capacity.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. ADEDIPE NO, LA HUNT, RA FLETCHER ¹⁹⁷¹ Effect of benzyladenine on photosynthesis, growth and senescence of the bean plant. Physiol Plant 25: 15 1-153
- 2. AHARONI N, A BLUMENFELD, AE RICHMOND ¹⁹⁷⁷ Hormonal activity in detached lettuce leaves as affected by leaf water content. Plant Physiol 59: 1169-1173
- 3. BENGSTON C, SO FALK, S LARSON 1979 Effects of kinetin on transpiration rate and abscisic acid content of water stressed young wheat plants. Physiol Plant 45: 183-188
- 4. BRADFORD KJ 1983 Effects of soil flooding on leaf gas exchange of tomato plants. Plant Physiol 73: 475-479
- 5. BRADFORD KJ 1982 Regulation of shoot responses to root stress by ethylene, abscisic acid, and cytokinin. In PF Wareing, ed, Plant Growth Substances 1982. Academic Press, London, pp 599-608
- 6. BRADFORD KJ, TC HSIAO 1982 Physiological responses to moderate water stress. In OL Lange, PS Nobel, CB Osmond, H Ziegler, eds, Physiological Plant Ecology II, Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology, New Series, Vol 12B. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 263-324
- 7. BRADFORD KJ, TC HSIAO 1982 Stomatal behavior and water relations of waterlogged tomato plants. Plant Physiol 70: 1508-1513
- 8. BRADFORD KJ, TD SHARKEY, GD FARQUHAR ¹⁹⁸³ Gas exchange, stomatal behavior and $\delta^{13}C$ values of the *flacca* tomato mutant in relation to abscisic acid. Plant Physiol 72: 245-250
- 9. BRADFORD KJ, SF YANG 1981 Physiological responses of plants to waterlogging. HortScience 16: 25-30
- 10. BRADFORD KJ, SF YANG 1980 Xylem transport of l-aminocyclopropane-lcarboxylic acid, an ethylene precursor, in waterlogged tomato plants. Plant Physiol 65: 322-326
- 11. BURROWS WJ, DJ CARR 1969 Effects of flooding the root system of sunflower plants on cytokinin content in the xylem sap. Physiol Plant 22: 1105-1112
- 12. DREW MC, EJ SISWORO, LR SAKER 1979 Alleviation of waterlogging damage to young barley plants by application of nitrate and a synthetic cytokinin, and comparison between the effects of waterlogging, nitrogen deficiency and

root excision. New Phytol 82: 315-329

- 13. DUBBE DR, GD FARQUHAR, K RASCHKE ¹⁹⁷⁸ Effect of abscisic acid on the gain of the feedback loop involving carbon dioxide and stomata. Plant Physiol 62: 413-417
- 14. ERKAN Z, F BANGERTH 1980 Untersuchen über den Einfluss von Phytohormonen und Wachstums regulatoren auf den Wasserverbrauch, das stomataverhalten und die Photosynthese von Paprika- und Tomatenpflanzen. Angew Botanik 54: 207-220
- 15. FEIERABEND J, J DE BOER 1978 Comparative analysis of the action of cytokinin and light on the formation of ribulosebisphosphate carboxylase and plastid biogenesis. Planta 142: 75-82
- 16. GOVINDARAJAN AG, BW POOVAIAH ¹⁹⁸² Effect of root zone carbon dioxide enrichment on ethylene inhibition of carbon assimilation in potato plants. Physiol Plant 55: 465-469
- 17. HIRON RW, STC WRIGHT 1973 The role of endogenous abscisic acid in the response of plants to stress. J Exp Bot 24: 769-781
- 18. JACKSON MB, DJ CAMPBELL 1979 Effects of benzyladenine and gibberellic acid on the responses of tomato plants to anaerobic root environments and to ethylene. New Phytol 82: 331-340
- 19. JACKSON MB, DJ CAMPBELL 1976 Waterlogging and petiole epinasty in tomato. The role of ethylene and low oxygen. New Phytol 76: 21-29
- 20. JENKINS GI, HW WOOLHOUSE ¹⁹⁸¹ Photosynthetic electron transport during senescense of the primary leaves of Phaseolus vulgaris L. I. Noncyclic electron transport. J Exp Bot 32: 467-478
- 21. JEWER PC, LD INCOLL ¹⁹⁸⁰ Promotion of stomatal opening in the grass Anthephora pubescens Nees by a range of natural and synthetic cytokinins. Planta 150: 218-221
- 22. KIRKHAM MB, WR GARDNER, GC GERLOFF ¹⁹⁷⁴ Internal water status of kinetin-treated, salt-stressed plants. Plant Physiol 53: 241-243
- 23. LUKE HH, TE FREEMAN 1968 Stimulation of transpiration by cytokinins. Nature 217: 873-874
- 24. PALLAGHY CK, K RASCHKE ¹⁹⁷² No stomatal response to ethylene. Plant Physiol 49: 275-276
- 25. PALLAS JR JE, SJ KAYs 1982 Inhibition of photosynthesis by ethylene-a stomatal effect. Plant Physiol 70: 598-601
- 26. PIERCE M, K RASCHKE ¹⁹⁸¹ Synthesis and metabolism of abscisic acid in detached leaves of Phaseolus vulgaris L. after loss and recovery of turgor. Planta 153: 156-165
- 27. RADIN JW, LL PARKER, G GUINN ¹⁹⁸² Water relations of cotton plants under nitrogen deficiency. V. Environmental control of abscisic acid accumulation and stomatal sensitivity to abscisic acid. Plant Physiol 70: 1066-1070
- 28. RASCHKE K 1982 Involvement of abscisic acid in the regulation of gas exchange: evidence and inconsistencies. In PF Wareing, ed, Plant Growth Substances 1982. Academic Press, London, pp 581-590
- 29. SHAYBANY B, GC MARTIN ¹⁹⁷⁷ Abscisic acid identification and its quantitation in leaves of Juglans seedlings during waterlogging. ^J Am Soc Hortic Sci 102: 300-302
- 30. VON CAEMMERER S, GD FARQUHAR ¹⁹⁸¹ Some relationships between the biochemistry of photosynthesis and the gas exchange of leaves. Planta 153: 376-387