

Association of Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals With the Metabolic Syndrome Among Women in the Multiethnic Cohort Study

Ugonna Ihenacho,¹ Cherie Guillermo,² Lynne R. Wilkens,² Adrian A. Franke,³ Chiuchen Tseng,¹ Yuqing Li,⁴ Meera Sangaramoorthy,⁴ Mindy C. Derouen,⁴ Christopher A. Haiman,^{1,5} Daniel O. Stram,¹ Loïc Le Marchand,² Iona Cheng,⁴ and Anna H. Wu¹

¹Department of Population and Public Health Sciences, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA

²Population Sciences in the Pacific Program, University of Hawaii Cancer Center, Honolulu, HI 96813, USA

³Cancer Biology Program, University of Hawaii Cancer Center, Honolulu, HI 96813, USA

⁴Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA

⁵Center for Genetic Epidemiology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA

Correspondence: Anna H. Wu, PhD, Department of Population and Public Health Sciences, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, 1441 Eastlake Avenue, Rm 4443, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA. Email: annawu@usc.edu.

Abstract

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is associated with a high risk of cardiovascular disease, a leading cause of death among women. MetS is a diagnosis of at least 3 of the following: high blood pressure, high fasting glucose, high triglycerides, high waist circumference, and low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. Epidemiological studies suggest that endocrine disrupting chemical (EDC) exposure is positively associated with individual components of MetS, but evidence of an association between EDCs and MetS remains inconsistent. In a cross-sectional analysis within the Multiethnic Cohort Study, we evaluated the association between 4 classes of urinary EDCs (bisphenol A [BPA], triclosan, parabens, and phthalates) and MetS among 1728 women. Multivariable logistic regression was used to estimate odds ratios and 95% CI for the association between tertiles of each EDC and MetS adjusting for age, body mass index (BMI), racial and ethnic group, and breast cancer status. Stratified analyses by race and ethnicity and BMI were conducted. MetS was identified in 519 (30.0%) women. We did not detect statistically significant associations of MetS with BPA, triclosan, or phthalate metabolite excretion. MetS among Latino and African American women, and women with BMI < 30 kg/m², there was no statistically significant heterogeneity in associations by race and ethnicity or BMI. These findings suggest an inverse association between parabens and MetS in larger multiethnic studies. Prospective analyses to investigate suggested differences in associations by race, ethnicity, and BMI are warranted.

Key Words: metabolic syndrome, bisphenol A, triclosan, parabens, phthalate, multiethnic

Abbreviations: DEHP, sum of all major DEHP metabolites; DHWP, sum of high molecular weight phthalates; DLMHPA, sum of all 10 phthalate metabolites and phthalic acid; DLMWP, sum of low molecular weight phthalates; DMEP, sum of methyl-, ethyl- and propylparaben; BMI, body mass index; BPA, bisphenol A; EDC, endocrine disrupting chemical; ELEMENT, ENvironmental Toxicants Study; DEHP, di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LLOD, lower limit of detection; MBP, mono-n-butyl phthalate; MBzP, mono-benzyl phthalate; MCHP, mono 2-carboxy-hexyl phthalate; MEC, Multiethnic Cohort Study; MECPP, mono(2-ethyl-5-carboxypentyl) phthalate; MEHPP, mono(2-ethyl-5-hydroxyhexyl) phthalate; MEHP, mono-2-ethylhexyl-phthalate; MEOHP, mono(2-ethyl-5-oxohexyl) phthalate; MEP, mono-methyl phthalate; MetS, metabolic syndrome; MiBP, mono-isobutyl phthalate; MMP, mono-methyl phthalate; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Study; OR, odds ratio.

Ubiquitous exposure to endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) is an ongoing public health concern. EDCs are substances that alter hormone function [1]. Bisphenol A (BPA), triclosan, parabens, and phthalates are classes of EDCs commonly found in cosmetics, cleaning, personal care, and other consumer products, resulting in absorbed, ingested, or inhaled exposure to these compounds [2, 3]. Persistent exposure to EDCs may increase the risk of the individual components of metabolic syndrome (MetS) [4-11]. MetS is characterized by having 3 or more of the following conditions: high blood pressure, high fasting glucose, high triglycerides, high waist circumference, and low high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol levels [12]. It is estimated that MetS affects about 35% of adults in the United States with a high prevalence among adults \geq 60 years of age and an increasing prevalence among women, Latino, and Asian individuals [13].

In 2015, the Parma consensus statement on metabolic disruptors acknowledged the growing incidence of metabolic

© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Endocrine Society.

Received: 19 July 2023. Editorial Decision: 31 October 2023. Corrected and Typeset: 23 November 2023

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

diseases globally, and also highlighted that the increase cannot be accounted for by classical genetic factors alone but is likely due to environmental factors as well, and research is needed to understand associations and mechanisms of EDC exposure with MetS [14]. Prior studies of EDC exposures and MetS have been inconclusive [9, 15-22], but differences in associations by race and ethnicity [18], sex [18, 19], and age [16, 19] have been reported. Because of the high and growing burden of MetS among older women, we evaluated associations of BPA, triclosan, paraben, and phthalate exposure with MetS among an older and racially and ethnically diverse population of women participating in the Multiethnic Cohort Study (MEC).

Materials and Methods

Study Population

The MEC is a prospective cohort study that has been described previously [23]. Briefly, men and women aged 45-75 years from 5 racial and ethnic groups (African American, Japanese American, Latino, Native Hawaiian, and non-Hispanic White) and living in Hawai'i and California were enrolled from 1993 through 1996. Baseline questionnaires captured demographics, diet, lifestyle, and anthropometric measures. Women were asked about their menstrual history, reproductive history, and hormone therapy use. In 2001-2006, a prospective biorepository was established among 67 594 participants who provided blood and urine specimens [24, 25]. Updated information on weight, hormone therapy use, and medications was recorded at sample collection. This cross-sectional analysis leveraged a nested casecontrol study population assembled for a prior breast cancer study [24, 25] that included 1728 women with blood and urine biospecimens. Blood samples were processed within 4 hours of collection and frozen at -80 °C [26]. Fasting blood samples (≥ 8 hours since last meal) were obtained for 95% of the biorepository participants. Urine samples were either overnight (12-hour collection) or first morning urine sample collections. Institutional Review Boards at the University of Hawai'i Cancer Center and the University of Southern California approved the study protocol and all participants signed the study's informed consent form.

Metabolic Syndrome Definition

An individual was classified as having MetS if they reported at least 3 of the following conditions: (1) high waist circumference, >35 inches; (2) high triglycerides, \geq 150 mg/dL or medication for high triglycerides; (3) low HDL cholesterol, <50 mg/dL or medication for low HDL cholesterol; (4) high blood glucose, \geq 100 mg/dL or medication for high blood glucose; and (5) high blood pressure, \geq 130/85 mmHg or medication for high blood pressure. Waist circumference measures were selfreported on a follow-up questionnaire (2003-2008). History of high blood pressure or hypertension medication use was based on self-report before blood draw. Triglyceride, HDL cholesterol, and glucose levels were assessed from blood samples.

Biomarker Analysis

All serum and urine measurements were conducted at the University of Hawai'i Cancer Center Analytical Biochemistry Shared Resource under the supervision of Dr. Adrian Franke. Blood samples were analyzed for triglycerides, HDL cholesterol, and glucose using a Cobas MiraPlus chemistry analyzer (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) [27, 28].

BPA, triclosan, 5 parabens (methylparaben, ethylparaben, propylparaben, butylparaben, benzylparaben), phthalic acid, and 10 phthalate metabolites (mono-methyl phthalate [MMP], monoethyl phthalate [MEP], mono-n-butyl phthalate [MBP], monoisobutyl phthalate [MiBP], mono-benzyl phthalate [MBzP], mono 2-carboxy-hexyl phthalate [MCHP], mono-2-ethylhexylphthalate [MEHP], mono(2-ethyl-5-hydroxyhexyl) phthalate [MEHHP], mono(2-ethyl-5-oxohexyl) phthalate [MEOHP], mono(2-ethyl-5-carboxypentyl) phthalate [MECPP]) were measured. The analytes were measured using sensitive isotopedilution orbitrap-based high-resolution accurate mass liquid chromatography mass spectrometry [29, 30]. Laboratory personnel were blinded to MetS status. Blind replicate samples of pooled urine (5%) were included for quality control measures and coefficients of variation were calculated. The % coefficients of variation (SD/mean concentration \times 100) within batch was 21.9% for BPA, 20.6% for triclosan, 21.5% for the parabens, and 23.0% for phthalates. For all urine assays, about 0.5 mL of urine was used and creatinine excretion was measured using a Roche-Cobas MiraPlus clinical chemistry auto analyzer (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN) with a kit from Randox Laboratories (Crumlin, UK) based on the Jaffe reaction. Analytes were adjusted for urinary creatinine excretion by dividing the analyte concentration by the creatinine concentration to account for differences in urine volume. The units for analyte excretion are given in creatinine-adjusted nanograms/milligrams (ng/mg creatinine). Analytes below the lower limit of detection (LLOD) were assigned a value half of the LLOD for the analysis. The percent of samples with values below the LLOD was low for BPA (2%), triclosan (3%), methylparaben (2%), propylparaben (1%), and 8 of the 10 phthalate metabolites and phthalic acid (<8% for each), intermediate for ethylparaben (12%) and MCHP (11%), and high for MMP (20%), butylparaben (29%), and benzylparaben (82%).

Statistical Analysis

The distributions of sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of participants with MetS status were reported as frequencies and percentages or means and SDs with statistical comparisons by chi-square tests for categorical variables and independent sample t-tests for continuous variables. Multivariable logistic regression was used to estimate the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CIs for associations between EDCs and MetS, overall and by racial and ethnic group. EDC levels were modeled as tertiles based on the distribution among controls in the nested case-control study population [24, 25]. We examined MetS risk in relation to the following summary paraben and phthalate metabolite variables: the sum of methyl-, ethyl-, and propylparaben (Σ MEP parabens); total parabens; MEHP%; the ratios of MEHP to secondary di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate (DEHP) metabolites: MEHHP, MEOHP, MECPP; sum of all major DEHP metabolites (DEHP: MEHP, MEHHP, MEOHP, MECPP); low molecular weight phthalates (ELMWP: MMP, MEP, MBP, MiBP); high molecular weight phthalates (SHMWP: MBzP, MCHP, $\Sigma DEHP$); and total phthalate ($\Sigma LMHMPA$: sum of all 10 phthalate metabolites and phthalic acid). We found no evidence of a nonlinear relationship on the log odds scale between each EDC and MetS using fractional polynomials (data not shown). Models were adjusted for breast cancer status and age (categorical; <65 years, \geq 65-74 years, \geq 75 years), which were matching criteria for sample selection in the nested case-control study, as well as body mass index (BMI) at biospecimen collection (<25 kg/m², normal/underweight; \geq 25-30 kg/m², overweight; and $\geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$, obese) as a potential confounder of the association. Analyses among the total population were additionally adjusted for racial and ethnic group. Log-transformed continuous EDC measures were used to evaluate dose-response relationships with a test for trend by the Wald test. We evaluated heterogeneity in the associations by racial and ethnic group and BMI using the Wald test of the cross-product interaction terms. All hypotheses tested were 2-sided. To account for multiple hypothesis testing, we applied a Bonferroni correction for the number of individual EDCs measured (n = 18) and set a statistical significance threshold at P < .003. Analyses were conducted using Stata version 15.1 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX).

Results

Thirty percent (n = 519) of women had MetS (Table 1). MetS status did not differ by age or breast cancer status (P > .05 for both) but differed significantly by race and ethnicity (P < .001). MetS was almost twice as high among Latino (42.8%), Native Hawaiian (41.8%) and African American (40.0%) women compared with Japanese American (26.2%) and non-Hispanic White women (22.2%).

We did not observe associations for BPA or triclosan with MetS. Σ MEP and total paraben were inversely associated with MetS ($P_{trend} = .002$ for each, Table 2). In analyses by race and ethnicity, we also observed these inverse associations among Latino women ($P_{trend} = .01$ for each), but they were not statistically significant and, overall, there was no heterogeneity in the associations by race and ethnicity ($P_{heterogeneity} = .79$ and .77, respectively).

For phthalates, an inverse association with MetS was suggested for the highest tertile of MEHP% (>11.08% vs \leq 5.49%: odds ratio [OR] 0.75; 95% CI 0.56-0.99, $P_{\text{trend}} =$ 0.09, Table 2) and MEHP/(MECPP + MEHHP) (>16.86% vs \leq 7.87%: OR 0.73; 95% CI 0.55-0.97, $P_{\text{trend}} =$.05) compared with the lowest tertile. Inverse associations for MEHP/ (MEOHP + MEHHP) and MEHP/(MECPP + MEHHP) with MetS were suggested among African American women ($P_{\text{trend}} =$.04 for each) but were not statistically significant. No heterogeneity in the associations of phthalates and MetS was detected by race and ethnicity ($P_{\text{heterogeneity}} >$.13 for each).

Inverse associations with MetS were suggested for Σ MEP and total parabens among women with a normal/underweight BMI ($P_{trend} = .01$ for each, Table 3) and among women with an overweight BMI ($P_{trend} = .01$ for each), but the findings were not statistically significant. There were no statistically significant differences in the associations of Σ MEP or total paraben with MetS by BMI ($P_{heterogeneity} = .33$ and .44, respectively). We did not observe any statistically significant associations of phthalate metabolites and MetS by BMI or any significant heterogeneity in the associations of EDCs with MetS by BMI.

Discussion

In this cross-sectional study of older, mostly postmenopausal women in the MEC, we observed an inverse association for Σ MEP and total parabens with MetS. There were no statistically significant differences in the association between any

EDCs and MetS by race or BMI, and inverse associations with parabens (Σ MEP, total parabens) were suggested among women with normal and overweight BMIs.

Our null findings for BPA and triclosan are similar to another study among a population of women, the Early Life Exposure in Mexico to ENvironmental Toxicants (ELEMENT) Study, which reported no significant association between MetS and BPA, triclosan, or phthalate exposure [17]. But unlike our study, the ELEMENT study did not observe statistically significant associations between paraben measures and MetS [17]. The conflicting findings with the ELEMENT study may be explained, in part, by the age of study participants as the mean (SD) age of women in the ELEMENT study was 46.6 (6.3) years and 66.3 (7.9) years in our study. Differences in associations between MetS and EDCs by age and menopausal status have been reported. The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) study (2001-2010) reported a statistically significant increased risk of MetS with exposure to SDEHP among women <50 years of age, but no association among women ≥ 50 years [16]. Differences by age have also been observed for EDCs and the components of MetS. In the Nurses' Health Study, the association between BPA and type 2 diabetes differed by menopausal status ($P_{\text{interaction}} = .03$), displaying a positive association among premenopausal women $(P_{\text{trend}} = .01)$ but not among postmenopausal women [6]. The association between EDCs and risk of MetS among older women remains unclear and additional studies of the mechanisms of paraben involvement in adipogenesis among older women are warranted to confirm the inverse association we observed with parabens and MetS among our mostly older, postmenopausal study population.

While some studies have found positive associations between individual classes of EDCs and MetS [15, 22], these studies were conducted in populations of men and women. In agreement with our findings, some prior studies among women have reported inverse associations of parabens with metabolic syndrome [9] and conditions that signify metabolic disorder such as type 2 diabetes [11] and BMI [9]. Parabens can accumulate in adipocytes and bind to estrogen receptors, therefore stimulating estrogenic activation and disrupting metabolic processes [31]. Parabens also dysregulate adipocyte differentiation via activation of the glucocorticoid receptor and peroxisome proliferator–activated receptor gamma [32]. Assessments of EDC exposures and adiposity, including body fat distribution, may aid in the understanding of the mechanisms by which parabens are associated with MetS.

Body fat distribution is an important anthropometric component of metabolic risk. With older age and menopausal onset, women often experience reduced circulating estrogen levels and a greater accumulation of visceral fat, which is associated with adverse metabolic outcomes [33, 34]. High EDC exposure also contributes to low testosterone levels, low sex hormone–binding globulin levels [20, 35], and increased insulin resistance [36], which may contribute to unfavorable body fat distribution profiles and increased adipogenesis that increases the risk of MetS [37, 38]. Since overall body size and fat distribution differ by demographic characteristics such as sex, age, and race and ethnicity [39], future studies should assess how differences in body fat distribution and visceral adiposity, contributes to differences in the association between EDCs and MetS by these factors.

The association between urinary phthalate measures and MetS was evaluated by race and ethnicity among

Table 1. Summary of demographic and clinical characteristics of the population, overall and by metabolic syndrome status among women in	n
the multiethnic cohort study	

No Yes N(%) ⁴ 1208 (100) 1209 (70.0) 519 (30.0) Age at blood draw, years (mean \pm SD) 66.3 \pm 7.9 67.5 816 (47.2) 570 (47.1) 246 (47.4) 65.74 640 (37.0) 444 (36.7) 129 (57.8) 27.5 27.2 (15.7) 125 (15.1) 77 (14.8) Invasive breast cancer status at urine collection		Total population	Metabolic syndi	come	P value
N (%)" 1228 (100) 1209 (70.0) \$19 (30.0) Age at blood draw, years (No	Yes	
Age at blood draw, years (mean \pm SD) 66.3 \pm 7.9 66.3 \pm 7.0 .78 c65 816 (47.2) 570 (47.1) 246 (47.4) 65.74 640 (37.0) 444 (36.7) 196 (37.8) \geq 75 272 (15.7) 195 (16.1) 77 (14.8) Invasive brass cancer status at urine collection .58 .56 Control 933 (54.0) 661 (54.7) 272 (52.4) Case 670 (38.8) 466 (38.5) 204 (39.3) Missing 123 (72.) 82 (68.8) 43 (8.3) Race and ethnicity .58 .601 .69,1 African American 828 (47.9) 611 (50.5) 217 (41.8) Latino 145 (84.1) 83 (6.9) 62 (11.9) Native Hawaiian 287 (16.6) 167 (13.8) 120 (23.1) Non-Hispanic White 378 (21.9) 294 (24.3) 84 (16.2) Menopausal status at urine collection .601 .601 .601 Nulliparots 122 (12.3) 159 (13.2) 33 (0.0,1 Virity at baseline .60.2) .610 .610 Nulliparots 122 (12.3) 1	$N(\%)^a$	1728 (100)	1209 (70.0)	519 (30.0)	
Age at blood draw, years	Age at blood draw, years (mean \pm SD)	66.3 ± 7.9	66.3 ± 8.0	66.3 ± 7.6	.99
<65	Age at blood draw, years				.78
6.574 640 (37.0) 444 (36.7) 195 (16.1) 77 (14.8) Parasive breast cancer status at urine collection 933 (54.0) 661 (54.7) 272 (52.4) Control 933 (54.0) 661 (54.7) 272 (52.4) 2.6 Case 700 (38.8) 466 (38.5) 2.04 (39.3) 4.6 Missing 125 (52.2) 82 (6.8) 43 (8.3) .001 Japanese American 90 (52.1) 54 (4.5) 2.07 (74.8) Japanese American 257 (16.6) 167 (13.8) 120 (23.1) Native Hawaiian 275 (16.6) 167 (13.8) 120 (23.1) Non-Hispanic White 378 (21.9) 294 (24.3) 84 (16.2) Permenopausal status at urine collection	<65	816 (47.2)	570 (47.1)	246 (47.4)	
275 272 (15.7) 195 (16.1) 77 (14.8) Invasive breast cancer status at urine collection	65-74	640 (37.0)	444 (36.7)	196 (37.8)	
Invaive breast cancer status at urine collection 933 (40,0) 616 (54,7) 272 (52,4) Case 670 (38,8) 466 (38,5) 204 (39,3) Missing 125 (7,2) 82 (64,3) 30 (8.3) Arican American 90 (5,2) 87 (4,5) 36 (6.9) Japanese American 287 (47,9) 611 (50,5) 217 (41.8) Latino 145 (8,4) 83 (6.9) 62 (11.9) Native Hawaiian 287 (12.6) 167 (13.8) 120 (2.1) Non-Hispanic White 378 (21.9) 294 (24.3) 84 (16.2) Permenopausal status at urine collection 77 (14.8) 30 (8.0) Permenopausal 1440 (81.4) 968 (80.1) 439 (84.6) Missing 70 (0.4) 40.0 30 (8.0) Parity at baseline	≥75	272 (15.7)	195 (16.1)	77 (14.8)	
Control 933 (34.0) 661 (34.7) 272 (32.4) Case 670 (38.8) 466 (38.7) 204 (39.3) Missing 125 (7.2) 82 (6.8) 43 (8.3) Race and ethnicity	Invasive breast cancer status at urine collection				.58
Case 670 (38.8) 466 (38.5) 204 (39.3) Missing 125 (7.2) 82 (6.8) 33 (8.3) Race and ethnicity	Control	933 (54.0)	661 (54.7)	272 (52.4)	
Missing 125 (7.2) 82 (6.8) 43 (8.3) Race and ethnicity < 0.01 Arican American 90 (5.2) 54 (4.5) 56 (6.9) Japanese American 828 (47.9) 611 (50.5) 217 (41.8) Latino 145 (8.4) 83 (6.9) 62 (11.9) Native Hawaiian 287 (16.6) 167 (13.8) 120 (23.1) Non-Hispanic White 378 (21.9) 294 (24.3) 84 (16.2) Premenopausal status at urine collection 77 (14.8) 77 (14.8) 79 (71 (4.8) Postmenopausal 1407 (81.4) 968 (80.1) 439 (84.6) 90 (53.2) 53 (10.2) Parity at baseline 7 (0.4) 4 (0.3) 3 (0.6) < 0.01 Nalliparous 112 (12.3) 159 (13.2) 53 (10.2) < 0.01 1 hild 172 (10.0) 131 (10.8) 41 (7.9) < 2.4 children 41 (7.24,1) 262 (21.7) 155 (29.9) Missing 8 (0.5) 3 (0.2) 5 (1.0) < 0.2 < 0.2 < 0.2 < 0.2 < 0.2 < 0.2 < 0.2 < 0.2 < 0.2 < 0.2 < 0.2	Case	670 (38.8)	466 (38.5)	204 (39.3)	
Race and ethnicity	Missing	125 (7.2)	82 (6.8)	43 (8.3)	
African American90 (5.2)54 (4.5)36 (6.9)Japanes American828 (47.9)611 (50.5)217 (41.8)Latino828 (47.9)83 (6.9)62 (11.9)Native Hawaiian287 (16.6)167 (13.8)120 (23.1)Non-Hispanic White287 (16.6)167 (13.8)120 (23.1)Menopausal statu sta urine collection237 (19.6)77 (14.8)02Premenopausal314 (18.2)237 (19.6)77 (14.8)02Postmenopausal1407 (81.4)968 (80.1)439 (84.6)03Missing70 (.4)968 (80.1)439 (84.6)04Parity at baseline212 (12.3)159 (13.2)53 (10.2)53 (10.2)1 child172 (10.0)131 (10.8)41 (7.9)2-3 children265 (51.1)2-3 children919 (53.2)654 (54.1)265 (51.1)24 children202 (21.7)155 (29.9)Missing8 (0.5)3 (0.2)5 (1.0)020202Part storegen use at urine collection306 (17.7)203 (43.0)16 (32.0)02Current strongen use alone689 (39.9)466 (38.5)223 (43.0)0606Gurent estrongen use alone101 (58.7)733 (60.6)220 (43.0)0606Sing status at baseline50.015 (58.7)733 (60.6)820 (33.0)66 (13.6)06Current strongen use alone101 (58.7)733 (60.6)820 (33.0)60 (13.0)16 (31.0)Sing status at baseline50.015 (58.7)733 (60.6)820 (33.0)<	Race and ethnicity				<.001
Japanese American 828 (47.9) 611 (50.5) 217 (41.8) Latino 145 (8.4) 83 (6.9) 62 (11.9) Native Hawaiian 287 (16.6) 167 (13.8) 120 (23.1) Non-Hispanic Whire 378 (21.9) 294 (24.3) 84 (16.2) Premenopausal status at urine collection 314 (18.2) 237 (19.6) 77 (14.8) Postmenopausal 1407 (81.4) 968 (80.1) 439 (84.6) Missing 7 (0.4) 4 (0.3) 3 (0.6) Parity at baseline 59 (13.2) 53 (10.2) Valiliparous 121 (12.3) 159 (13.2) 65 (51.1) 2-3 children 919 (53.2) 654 (54.1) 265 (51.1) 2-4 children 191 (0.5) 31 (0.2) 51 (0.0) Missing 8 (0.5) 30 (21.7) 155 (29.9) Missing 106 (17.7) 220 (18.2) 86 (16.6) Current estrogen use at urine collection	African American	90 (5.2)	54 (4.5)	36 (6.9)	
Latino 145 (8.4) 83 (6.9) 62 (11.9) Narkier Hawaian 287 (16.6) 167 (13.8) 120 (23.1) Non-Hispanic White 378 (21.9) 294 (13.3) 120 (23.1) Menopausal status at urine collection 378 (21.9) 237 (19.6) 77 (14.8) Portencopausal 1407 (81.4) 96 (80.1) 439 (84.6) Missing 7 (0.4) 468 (80.1) 439 (84.6) Partiry at baseline 7 (0.4) 96 (80.1) 439 (81.7) I child 172 (10.0) 151 (10.8) 41 (7.9) 2-3 children 919 (53.2) 654 (54.1) 265 (51.1) 2-4 children 417 (24.1) 262 (21.7) 155 (29.9) Missing 8 (0.5) 3 (0.2) 5 (1.0) Never estrogen use 689 (39.9) 466 (38.5) 223 (43.0) Past estrogen use alone 366 (17.7) 220 (18.2) 86 (16.6) Current estrogen use alone 136 (7.9) 101 (8.4) 35 (6.7) Missing 140.8) 160 (31.0) 410.8) 410.8)	Japanese American	828 (47.9)	611 (50.5)	217 (41.8)	
Narive Hawaiian 287 (16.6) 167 (13.8) 120 (23.1) Non-Hispanic White 378 (21.9) 294 (24.3) 84 (16.2) Menopausal status at urine collection	Latino	145 (8.4)	83 (6.9)	62 (11.9)	
Non-Hispanic Whire 378 (21.9) 294 (24.3) 84 (16.2) Menopusal status at urine collection	Native Hawaiian	287 (16.6)	167 (13.8)	120 (23.1)	
Menopausal status at urine collection .02 Premenopausal 314 (18.2) 237 (19.6) 77 (14.8) Postmenopausal 1407 (81.4) 968 (80.1) 439 (84.6) Missing 7 (0.4) 4 (0.3) 3 (0.6) Parity at baseline	Non-Hispanic White	378 (21.9)	294 (24.3)	84 (16.2)	
Premenopausal 314 (18.2) 237 (19.6) 77 (14.8) Postmenopausal 1407 (81.4) 968 (80.1) 439 (84.6) Missing 70.04 40.3) 30 (0.6) Parity at baseline <.001	Menopausal status at urine collection				.02
Postmenopausal1407 (81.4)968 (80.1)439 (84.6)Missing7 (0.4)4 (0.3)3 (0.6)Partir at baseline7 (0.4)159 (13.2)53 (10.2)Nulliparous212 (10.0)131 (10.8)41 (7.9)2-3 children72 (10.0)131 (10.8)41 (7.9)2-3 children919 (53.2)654 (54.1)265 (51.1)2-4 children417 (24.1)262 (21.7)155 (29.9)Missing80.5)3 (0.0)50 (10)Never estrogen use at urine collection583 (33.7)417 (34.5)166 (32.0)Never estrogen use689 (39.9)466 (38.5)223 (43.0)Qurrent estrogen use alone306 (17.7)220 (18.2)86 (16.6)Current estrogen use alone306 (17.7)200 (18.4)35 (6.7)Missing1015 (58.7)733 (60.6)282 (54.3)500 (22.9)Smoking status at baseline500 (28.9)339 (28.0)161 (31.0)Current at baseline500 (28.9)339 (28.0)161 (31.0)Missing1015 (58.7)733 (60.6)282 (54.3)400 (31.3)Missing150 (0.9)80 (20.1)70.13)400 (20.1)Gurrent at baseline500 (28.9)339 (28.0)161 (31.0)Missing500 (28.9)339 (28.0)161 (31.0)400 (20.9)Gurrent at baseline516 (0.3)84 (31.8)74 (33.5)Gurrent at baseline500 (28.13)341 (28.2)199 (38.3)Gollege graduate540 (31.3)341 (28.2)199 (38.3)<	Premenopausal	314 (18.2)	237 (19.6)	77 (14.8)	
Missing 7 (0.4) 4 (0.3) 3 (0.6) Parity at baseline <	Postmenopausal	1407 (81.4)	968 (80.1)	439 (84.6)	
Parity at baseline <	Missing	7 (0.4)	4 (0.3)	3 (0.6)	
Nulliparous212 (12.3)159 (13.2)53 (10.2)1 child172 (10.0)131 (10.8)41 (7.9)2-3 children919 (53.2)654 (54.1)265 (51.1)≥4 children417 (24.1)262 (21.7)155 (29.9)Missing80.5)3 (0.2)51.00Pormore replacement therapy use at urine collection30 (0.2)51.00Never estrogen use689 (39.9)466 (38.5)223 (43.0)Past estrogen use689 (39.9)466 (38.5)223 (43.0)Current estrogen use alone306 (17.7)220 (18.2)86 (16.6)Current estrogen use alone306 (17.7)220 (18.2)86 (16.6)Current estrogen + progesterone use136 (7.9)101 (8.4)35 (6.7)Missing14 (0.8)5 (0.4)9 (1.7)50Smoker500 (28.9)339 (28.0)161 (31.0)60Never1015 (58.7)733 (06.6)282 (54.3)60Former500 (28.9)339 (28.0)161 (31.0)60Missing15 (0.9)8 (0.7)7 (1.3)70Education at baseline </td <td>Parity at baseline</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><.001</td>	Parity at baseline				<.001
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Nulliparous	212 (12.3)	159 (13.2)	53 (10.2)	
2-3 children 919 (53.2) 654 (54.1) 265 (51.1) ≥4 children 417 (24.1) 262 (21.7) 155 (29.9) Missing 8 (0.5) 3 (0.2) 5 (1.0) Hormone replacement therapy use at urine collection .02 Never estrogen use 689 (39.9) 466 (38.5) 223 (43.0) Past estrogen use 689 (39.9) 466 (38.5) 263 (43.0) Current estrogen use alone 306 (17.7) 220 (18.2) 86 (16.6) Current estrogen use alone 136 (7.9) 101 (8.4) 35 (6.7) Missing 1015 (58.7) 733 (60.6) 282 (54.3) Never 1015 (58.7) 733 (60.6) 282 (54.3) Former 500 (28.9) 339 (28.0) 161 (31.0) Current at baseline	1 child	172 (10.0)	131 (10.8)	41 (7.9)	
≥4 children 417 (24.1) 262 (21.7) 155 (29.9) Missing 8 (0.5) 3 (0.2) 5 (1.0) Normer explacement therapy use at urine collection 689 (39.9) 466 (38.5) 223 (43.0) Never estrogen use 689 (39.7) 417 (34.5) 166 (32.0) Past estrogen use alone 306 (17.7) 220 (18.2) 86 (16.6) Current estrogen use alone 136 (7.9) 101 (8.4) 35 (6.7) Missing 1015 (58.7) 733 (60.6) 282 (54.3) Never 1015 (58.7) 733 (60.6) 282 (54.3) Former 500 (28.9) 339 (28.0) 161 (31.0) Current strogen graduate 15 (0.9) 8 (0.7) 7 (1.3) Missing 15 (0.9) 8 (0.7) 7 (1.3) Former 500 (28.9) 384 (31.8) 174 (33.5) Ketaction at baseline 558 (32.3) 384 (31.8) 174 (33.5) Some college graduate 503 (31.7) 235 (19.4) 68 (13.1) Graduate/professional school 303 (17.5) 235 (19.4) 68 (13.1) Missing 12 (0.7) 6 (0.5) 6 (12.)	2–3 children	919 (53.2)	654 (54.1)	265 (51.1)	
Missing 8 (0.5) 3 (0.2) 5 (1.0) Hormone replacement therapy use at urine collection .02 Never estrogen use 689 (39.9) 466 (38.5) 223 (43.0) Past estrogen use 583 (33.7) 417 (34.5) 166 (32.0) Current estrogen use alone 306 (17.7) 220 (18.2) 86 (16.6) Current estrogen + progesterone use 136 (7.9) 101 (8.4) 35 (6.7) Missing 14 (0.8) 5 (0.4) 9 (1.7) Sowking status at baseline	≥4 children	417 (24.1)	262 (21.7)	155 (29.9)	
Hormone replacement therapy use at urine collection .02 Never estrogen use 689 (39.9) 466 (38.5) 223 (43.0) Past estrogen use 583 (33.7) 417 (34.5) 166 (32.0) Current estrogen use alone 306 (17.7) 220 (18.2) 86 (16.6) Current estrogen + progesterone use 136 (7.9) 101 (8.4) 35 (6.7) Missing 14 (0.8) 5 (0.4) 9 (1.7) Swekr 1015 (58.7) 733 (60.6) 282 (54.3) Never 1015 (58.7) 733 (60.6) 282 (54.3) Former 500 (28.9) 339 (28.0) 161 (31.0) Current baseline 509 (28.9) 339 (28.0) 161 (31.0) Kurer 198 (11.5) 129 (10.7) 69 (13.3) Missing 160 (31.3) 341 (28.2) 199 (38.3) Some college 558 (32.3) 384 (31.8) 174 (33.5) Some college 558 (32.3) 384 (31.8) 174 (33.5) Graduate/professional school 303 (17.5) 235 (19.4) 68 (13.1) Missing 12 (0.7) 6 (0.5) 6 (12.) 2001 Missing <td>Missing</td> <td>8 (0.5)</td> <td>3 (0.2)</td> <td>5 (1.0)</td> <td></td>	Missing	8 (0.5)	3 (0.2)	5 (1.0)	
Never estrogen use689 (39.9)466 (38.5)223 (43.0)Past estrogen use583 (33.7)417 (34.5)166 (32.0)Current estrogen use alone306 (17.7)220 (18.2)86 (16.6)Current estrogen + progesterone use136 (7.9)101 (8.4)35 (6.7)Missing14 (0.8)5 (0.4)9 (1.7)Smoking status at baseline	Hormone replacement therapy use at urine collection				.02
Past estrogen use 583 (33.7) 417 (34.5) 166 (32.0) Current estrogen use alone 306 (17.7) 220 (18.2) 86 (16.6) Current estrogen + progesterone use 136 (7.9) 101 (8.4) 35 (6.7) Missing 14 (0.8) 5 (0.4) 9 (1.7) Smoking status at baseline	Never estrogen use	689 (39.9)	466 (38.5)	223 (43.0)	
Current estrogen use alone 306 (17.7) 220 (18.2) 86 (16.6) Current estrogen + progesterone use 136 (7.9) 101 (8.4) 35 (6.7) Missing 14 (0.8) 5 (0.4) 9 (1.7) Smoking status at baseline	Past estrogen use	583 (33.7)	417 (34.5)	166 (32.0)	
	Current estrogen use alone	306 (17.7)	220 (18.2)	86 (16.6)	
Missing 14 (0.8) 5 (0.4) 9 (1.7) Smoking status at baseline .06 Never 1015 (58.7) 733 (60.6) 282 (54.3) Former 500 (28.9) 339 (28.0) 161 (31.0) Current 198 (11.5) 129 (10.7) 69 (13.3) Missing 15 (0.9) 8 (0.7) 7 (1.3) Education at baseline ≤High school graduate 540 (31.3) 341 (28.2) 199 (38.3) Some college 558 (32.3) 384 (31.8) 174 (33.5) Graduate/professional school 303 (17.5) 243 (20.1) 72 (13.9) Missing 12 (0.7) 6 (0.5) 6 (1.2) Missing 12 (0.7) 6 (0.5) 6 (1.2) Koty mass index at urine collection, kg/m ² <25	Current estrogen + progesterone use	136 (7.9)	101 (8.4)	35 (6.7)	
Smoking status at baseline .06 Never 1015 (58.7) 733 (60.6) 282 (54.3) Former 500 (28.9) 339 (28.0) 161 (31.0) Current 198 (11.5) 129 (10.7) 69 (13.3) Missing 15 (0.9) 8 (0.7) 7 (1.3) Education at baseline ≤High school graduate 540 (31.3) 341 (28.2) 199 (38.3) Some college 558 (32.3) 384 (31.8) 174 (33.5) College graduate 303 (17.5) 243 (20.1) 72 (13.9) Missing 12 (0.7) 6 (0.5) 6 (1.2) Missing 12 (0.7) 6 (0.5) 6 (1.2) Zoty mass index at urine collection, kg/m ² <25	Missing	14 (0.8)	5 (0.4)	9 (1.7)	
Never 1015 (58.7) 733 (60.6) 282 (54.3) Former 500 (28.9) 339 (28.0) 161 (31.0) Current 198 (11.5) 129 (10.7) 69 (13.3) Missing 15 (0.9) 8 (0.7) 7 (1.3) Education at baseline ≤High school graduate 540 (31.3) 341 (28.2) 199 (38.3) Some college 558 (32.3) 384 (31.8) 174 (33.5) College graduate 315 (18.2) 243 (20.1) 72 (13.9) Graduate/professional school 303 (17.5) 235 (19.4) 68 (13.1) Missing 12 (0.7) 6 (0.5) 6 (1.2) Eody mass index at urine collection, kg/m ² <25	Smoking status at baseline				.06
Former 500 (28.9) 339 (28.0) 161 (31.0) Current 198 (11.5) 129 (10.7) 69 (13.3) Missing 15 (0.9) 8 (0.7) 7 (1.3) Education at baseline ≤High school graduate 540 (31.3) 341 (28.2) 199 (38.3) Some college 558 (32.3) 384 (31.8) 174 (33.5) College graduate 303 (17.5) 235 (19.4) 68 (13.1) Missing 12 (0.7) 6 (0.5) 6 (1.2) Body mass index at urine collection, kg/m ² <25	Never	1015 (58.7)	733 (60.6)	282 (54.3)	
Current 198 (11.5) 129 (10.7) 69 (13.3) Missing 15 (0.9) 8 (0.7) 7 (1.3) Education at baseline ≤High school graduate 540 (31.3) 341 (28.2) 199 (38.3) Some college 558 (32.3) 384 (31.8) 174 (33.5) College graduate 303 (17.5) 243 (20.1) 72 (13.9) Graduate/professional school 303 (17.5) 235 (19.4) 68 (13.1) Missing 12 (0.7) 6 (0.5) 6 (1.2) Body mass index at urine collection, kg/m ² <25	Former	500 (28.9)	339 (28.0)	161 (31.0)	
Missing 15 (0.9) 8 (0.7) 7 (1.3) Education at baseline <	Current	198 (11.5)	129 (10.7)	69 (13.3)	
Education at baseline <.001	Missing	15 (0.9)	8 (0.7)	7 (1.3)	
	Education at baseline				<.001
Some college 558 (32.3) 384 (31.8) 174 (33.5) College graduate 315 (18.2) 243 (20.1) 72 (13.9) Graduate/professional school 303 (17.5) 235 (19.4) 68 (13.1) Missing 12 (0.7) 6 (0.5) 6 (1.2) Body mass index at urine collection, kg/m ² <25	≤High school graduate	540 (31.3)	341 (28.2)	199 (38.3)	
College graduate 315 (18.2) 243 (20.1) 72 (13.9) Graduate/professional school 303 (17.5) 235 (19.4) 68 (13.1) Missing 12 (0.7) 6 (0.5) 6 (1.2) Body mass index at urine collection, kg/m ² <25	Some college	558 (32.3)	384 (31.8)	174 (33.5)	
Graduate/professional school 303 (17.5) 235 (19.4) 68 (13.1) Missing 12 (0.7) 6 (0.5) 6 (1.2) Body mass index at urine collection, kg/m ² <25	College graduate	315 (18.2)	243 (20.1)	72 (13.9)	
Missing 12 (0.7) 6 (0.5) 6 (1.2) Body mass index at urine collection, kg/m ² <.001	Graduate/professional school	303 (17.5)	235 (19.4)	68 (13.1)	
Body mass index at urine collection, kg/m ² <.001	Missing	12 (0.7)	6 (0.5)	6 (1.2)	
<25 788 (45.6) 691 (57.2) 97 (18.7)	Body mass index at urine collection, kg/m ²				<.001
	<25	788 (45.6)	691 (57.2)	97 (18.7)	
25-<30 572 (33.1) 365 (30.2) 207 (39.9)	25-<30	572 (33.1)	365 (30.2)	207 (39.9)	
≥30 368 (21.3) 153 (12.7) 215 (41.4)	≥30	368 (21.3)	153 (12.7)	215 (41.4)	

(continued)

	Total population	Metabolic syndr	ome	P value
		No	Yes	
N $(\%)^a$	1728 (100)	1209 (70.0)	519 (30.0)	
High blood pressure before urine collection				<.001
No	804 (46.5)	741 (61.3)	63 (12.1)	
Yes	924 (53.5)	468 (38.7)	456 (87.9)	
Waist circumference before urine collection, inches				<.001
0-≤35	859 (49.7)	768 (63.5)	91 (17.5)	
>35	657 (38.0)	274 (22.7)	383 (73.8)	
Missing	212 (12.3)	167 (13.8)	45 (8.7)	
Triglycerides at urine collection, mg/dL				<.001
0-<150	1245 (72.0)	990 (81.9)	255 (49.1)	
≥150	359 (20.8)	108 (8.9)	251 (48.4)	
Missing	124 (7.2)	111 (9.2)	13 (2.5)	
High-density lipoprotein cholesterol at urine collection, mg/dL				<.001
≥50	673 (38.9)	620 (51.3)	53 (10.2)	
0-<50	929 (53.8)	476 (39.4)	453 (87.3)	
Missing	126 (7.3)	113 (9.3)	13 (2.5)	
Glucose at urine collection, mg/dL				<.001
0-<100	1378 (79.7)	1086 (89.8)	292 (56.3)	
≥100	300 (17.4)	77 (6.4)	223 (43.0)	
Missing	50 (2.9)	46 (3.8)	4 (0.8)	

^{*a*}All values are frequencies and column percentages unless otherwise noted. *P* values are estimated by chi-square tests for categorical variables and by independent sample t-tests for continuous variables.

non-Hispanic White, African American, and Mexican/ Hispanic participants in the NHANES 2005-2014 population [18]. An inverse association between MEHP and MetS was observed among non-Hispanic White women [18]. We observed inverse associations between the MEHP ratios and MetS among African American women that were not statistically significant. African American women in the MEC had MEHP ratio measures that were among the highest by race and ethnicity (eg, mean MEHP/(MECPP + MEHHP) ratio measures in African American women were 11.8 ng/mg creatinine (95% CI 9.0-15.7) compared with 10.0 ng/mg creatinine (95% CI 7.8-12.8) in Latino women who had the lowest measures). Other NHANES studies have described high phthalate and paraben measures among African American women compared to non-Hispanic White women [40], and racial and ethnic differences in phthalate measures associated with personal care product use [41, 42]. Therefore, additional prospective analyses in multiethnic populations that assess potential sources of EDC exposure and the patterns of use of EDC products are needed to further explain racial and ethnic differences in EDC-MetS associations.

To our knowledge, this study is the first to evaluate the associations of secondary DEHP metabolite ratios and MetS among adult women. DEHP metabolites undergo hydroxylation and oxidation steps before excretion such that accounting for MEHP and secondary DEHP metabolites likely provides a more accurate indication of DEHP exposure [43, 44]. The inverse associations of parabens and MetS suggested among women with a normal/underweight BMI and women with an overweight BMI suggest possibly dampened effects of the EDCs on MetS in specific subgroups. Obesity was positively associated with MEHP measures and slowed the conversion of MEHP to the less toxic secondary phthalate metabolites in an NHANES study, but our finding of a suggestive inverse association among women of BMI <30 kg/m² warrants further evaluation.

This study adds to the existing literature by evaluating multiple classes of EDCs in a multiracial and multiethnic population. We used comprehensive methods to quantify metabolite concentrations and we analyzed phthalate metabolite ratios, which have been understudied. We applied a conservative approach to estimating the associations by using a Bonferroni correction for all EDCs and detected some notable associations. A limitation of this study is the small samples sizes in analyses by race and ethnicity which may have contributed to increased uncertainty in the estimated effect sizes. We used a single urine sample for this assessment which may not be representative of long-term EDC exposure [45]. Also, urine collection methods varied slightly by study site; all samples collected in Los Angeles County were first morning samples whereas most samples from Hawai'i were overnight collections. As there are potential additive effects of coexposure to multiple chemicals of similar toxicology, the Environmental Protection Agency is developing guidance for cumulative risk assessments for phthalates [46, 47]. This analysis may be improved by implementing the recommended methods once they are finalized and released. Also, we cannot eliminate the possibility that the findings of this cross-sectional study may be due to reverse causation.

Results from this cross-sectional assessment of the association between EDCs and MetS suggest an inverse relationship

	Total Popu n = 1728	ulation	African Ar n = 90	nerican	Japanese A n = 828	merican	Latino n = 145		Native Hav n = 287	vaiian	Non-Hispai n = 378	nic White	$P_{\rm het}$
	MetS/ No MetS	OR (95% CI)	MetS/ No MetS	OR (95 % CI)	MetS/ No MetS	OR (95% CI)	MetS/ No MetS	OR (95% CI)	MetS/ No MetS	OR (95% CI)	MetS/ No MetS	OR (95% CI)	
Bisphenol A													.35
≤0.84	200/426	1.00	18/21	1.00	78/225	1.00	29/48	1.00	47/48	1.00	28/84	1.00	
>0.84-≤1.76	158/388	0.93 (0.71-1.23)	7/17	0.51 (0.15-1.75)	69/193	1.05 (0.70-1.58)	14/20	1.18 (0.49-2.86)	37/67	0.60 ($0.32-1.12$)	31/91	1.05 (0.55-2.04)	
>1.76	160/392	0.96 (0.73-1.26)	11/15	0.97 (0.29-3.24)	70/193	1.14 (0.76-1.72)	18/15	1.79 (0.74-4.35)	36/51	0.71 (0.38-1.35)	25/118	0.64 (0.33-1.26)	
P trend		.71		.51		69.		.96		.71		.44	
Triclosan													.61
≤5.27	210/410	1.00	22/28	1.00	72/166	1.00	24/40	1.00	53/56	1.00	39/120	1.00	
>5.27-≤20.57	160/369	0.87 (0.66-1.14)	7/11	0.52 (0.14-1.98)	73/196	0.86 (0.56-1.32)	18/15	1.84 (0.73-4.65)	35/61	$0.51 \\ (0.27-0.97)^*$	27/86	$0.91 \\ (0.49-1.71)$	
>20.57	148/427	0.80 (0.60-1.06)	7/14	0.70 (0.20-2.54)	72/249	0.74 (0.49-1.13)	19/28	1.47 (0.63-3.43)	32/49	0.60 (0.31-1.16)	18/87	0.70 (0.35-1.40)	
								0.1		41			
Γ trend ΣMEP parabens		66.		10.		.6/		.84		.41		97.	.79
≤47.51	230/395	1.00	12/13	1.00	84/176	1.00	21/17	1.00	65/84	1.00	48/105	1.00	
>47.51-≤195.19	160/397	0.82 (0.62-1.07)	10/20	0.80 (0.23-2.85)	67/204	0.82 (0.54-1.23)	27/26	0.82 (0.32-2.07)	34/48	0.92 (0.51-1.68)	22/99	0.81 (0.43-1.53)	
>195.19	128/414	0.73 (0.55-0.97)*	14/20	0.83 (0.25-2.78)	66/231	0.81 (0.54-1.22)	13/40	0.30 (0.11-0.79)*	21/34	0.76 ($0.38-1.53$)	14/89	0.80 (0.37 -1.69)	
P trend		.002**		.37		.06		.01*		.57		.28	
Total parabens													.77
≤49.26	229/396	1.00	12/13	1.00	84/179	1.00	21/16	1.00	64/83	1.00	48/105	1.00	
>49.26-≤201.53	163/396	0.84 (0.64-1.10)	10/21	0.79 (0.22-2.77)	68/200	0.85 (0.57-1.28)	27/27	0.77 (0.30-1.97)	36/48	0.95 (0.53-1.73)	22/100	0.81 (0.43-1.54)	
>201.53	126/414	0.72 (0.54-0.96)*	14/19	0.85 (0.25-2.85)	65/232	0.80 (0.53-1.21)	13/40	0.29 (0.11-0.77)*	20/35	0.70 (0.35-1.42)	14/88	0.84 ($0.39-1.80$)	
P trend		.002**		.36		.07		.01*		.53		.29	
MEHP%													.59
≤5.49%	187/360	1.00	11/13	1.00	71/174	1.00	25/26	1.00	44/52	1.00	36/95	1.00	
>5.49-11.08%	181/407	0.93 (0.71-1.23)	16/17	0.77 (0.21-2.83)	78/198	1.03 (0.68-1.55)	19/29	0.72 (0.31-1.69)	43/61	1.03 (0.55-1.92)	25/102	0.79 (0.41-1.50)	
>11.08%	150/439	0.75 $(0.56-0.99)^{*}$	9/23	0.30 (0.08-1.19)	68/239	0.74 (0.49-1.12)	17/28	1.29 (0.50-3.31)	33/53	0.85 ($0.44-1.63$)	23/96	0.78 (0.40-1.52)	
P trend		60.		.06		.22		.62		.42		.77	
													Vr -

6

(continued)

	Total Popu n = 1728	lation	African Am n = 90	herican	Japanese Aı n = 828	merican	Latino n = 145		Native Haw n = 287	vaiian	Non-Hispar n = 378	nic White	$P_{\rm het}$
	MetS/ No MetS	OR (95% CI)	MetS/ No MetS	OR (95% CI)	MetS/ No MetS	OR (95% CI)	MetS/ No MetS	OR (95% CI)	MetS/ No MetS	OR (95% CI)	MetS/ No MetS	OR (95% CI)	
MEHP/(MEOHP + MI	EHHP)												.62
≤9.76%	176/359	1.00	12/14	1.00	64/170	1.00	26/24	1.00	42/52	1.00		1.00	
>9.76-21.36%	186/410	1.01 (0.77-1.34)	16/17	1.00 (0.28-3.64)	77/202	1.06 (0.69-1.62)	21/30	0.68 (0.29-1.56)	44/57	1.08 (0.58-2.01)		1.16 (0.60-2.25)	
>21.36%	156/437	0.82 (0.62-1.08)	8/22	0.22 (0.05-0.94)*	76/239	0.89 (0.58-1.35)	14/29	0.84 (0.32-2.21)	34/57	0.85 (0.45-1.64)		1.04 (0.53-2.04)	
P trend		.18		.04*		.56		.56		.21		66.	
MEHP/(MECPP + ME	(HHP)												.79
≤7.87%	197/360	1.00	11/15	1.00	75/174	1.00	25/27	1.00	49/54	1.00	37/90	1.00	
>7.87-16.86%	174/412	$0.91 \\ (0.69-1.20)$	16/17	0.82 (0.23-2.94)	75/199	0.99 (0.65-1.49)	21/28	0.85 (0.37 -1.95)	37/58	0.98 (0.52-1.84)	25/110	0.70 (0.37-1.34)	
>16.86%	147/434	0.73 $(0.55-0.97)^*$	9/21	0.37 (0.10-1.45)	67/238	0.72 (0.47-1.09)	15/28	1.13 (0.44-2.94)	34/54	0.84 (0.44-1.58)	22/93	0.73 (0.38-1.44)	
P trend		.05		.04*		.15		.59		.36		.70	
Phthalic acid													.38
≤36.90	159/386	1.00	8/9	1.00	82/239	1.00	12/19	1.00	39/46	1.00	18/73	1.00	
>36.90-≤79.76	174/405	0.94 (0.71-1.25)	9/23	0.19 (0.04-0.97)*	74/195	1.05 (0.71-1.57)	26/22	1.50 (0.54-4.15)	34/61	0.71 (0.36-1.37)	31/104	1.02 (0.49-2.12)	
>79.76	185/415	0.92 (0.70-1.23)	19/21	0.44 (0.10-2.01)	61/177	0.95 (0.63-1.44)	23/42	0.68 (0.25-1.83)	47/59	0.75 (0.39-1.42)	35/116	1.25 (0.61-2.56)	
P trend 2DEHP		.42		.77		.82		.15		0.97		0.53	.39
≤63.21	171/404	1.00	18/25	1.00	70/211	1.00	16/23	1.00	44/58	1.00	23/87	1.00	
>63.21-≤133.05	194/399	$1.14 \\ (0.87 - 1.50)$	12/16	0.78 (0.25-2.44)	77/209	1.09 (0.73-1.64)	25/20	1.63 (0.62-4.29)	45/57	1.10 (0.59-2.04)	35/97	1.25 (0.64-2.44)	
>133.05	153/403	0.89 (0.67 -1.18)	6/12	0.68 (0.18-2.60)	70/191	$1.11 \\ (0.73-1.68)$	20/40	0.45 (0.17-1.14)	31/51	0.77 (0.40-1.49)	26/109	0.96 (0.48-1.93)	
<i>P</i> trend ΣΗΜWP		.59		.67		.58		.06		.89		.36	.13
≤78.13	176/403	1.00	16/25	1.00	70/208	1.00	16/27	1.00	45/60	1.00	29/83	1.00	
>78.13-≤149.24	188/387	$1.11 \\ (0.84-1.46)$	14/15	0.97 (0.31-3.01)	71/200	1.07 (0.71-1.62)	29/17	1.72 (0.66-4.52)	47/56	1.32 (0.72-2.45)	27/99	0.77 (0.40-1.51)	
>149.24	154/416	0.85 (0.64-1.13)	6/13	0.65 (0.17-2.43)	76/203	$1.12 \\ (0.74-1.68)$	16/39	0.37 (0.14-0.97)*	28/50	0.77 (0.40-1.48)	28/111	0.74 (0.38-1.43)	
P trend		.42		.48		.72		.06		.81		.21	
												(contin	(pəm

Journal of the Endocrine Society, 2023, Vol. 7, No. 12

Table 2. Continued

7

_
- 0
÷
_
_
-
_
0
~~
0
2
4
÷
_
ð

	Total Popu $n = 1728$	ulation	African An n = 90	nerican	Japanese A n = 828	American	Latino n = 145		Native Hav n = 287	vaiian	Non-Hispa n = 378	anic White	$P_{\rm het}$
	MetS/ No MetS	OR (95% CI)	MetS/ No MetS	OR (95% CI)	MetS/ No MetS	OR (95% CI)	MetS/ No MetS	OR (95% CI)	MetS/ No MetS	OR (95% CI)	MetS/ No MetS	OR (95% CI)	
ELMWP													.72
≤64.00	163/384	1.00	7/5	1.00	82/230	1.00	6/11	1.00	41/63	1.00	27/75	1.00	
>64.00-≤145.29	187/397	1.08 (0.81-1.42)	14/14	0.42 (0.08-2.20)	82/201	1.08 (0.73-1.60)	21/24	2.28 (0.66-7.92)	42/54	1.22 (0.66-2.27)	28/104	0.88 (0.45-1.73)	
>145.29	168/425	0.86 (0.64-1.15)	15/34	0.19 $(0.04-0.93)^{*}$	53/180	0.86 ($0.56-1.32$)	34/48	1.60 (0.50-5.19)	37/49	$0.91 \\ (0.48-1.76)$	29/114	0.92 (0.47-1.79)	
P trend		.06		.04*		.21		.45		.93		.40	
ΣLMHMPA													.57
≤226.33	175/379	1.00	10/11	1.00	82/219	1.00	12/13	1.00	48/60	1.00	23/76	1.00	
>226.33-≤442.34	173/397	0.98 (0.74-1.29)	11/20	0.31 (0.07-1.29)	74/194	1.17 (0.79-1.75)	24/24	0.76 (0.25-2.30)	33/54	0.72 (0.38-1.38)	31/105	1.08 (0.55-2.12)	
>442.34	170/430	0.78 (0.59-1.04)	15/22	0.41 (0.10-1.62)	61/198	0.84 (0.56-1.28)	25/46	0.37 (0.13-1.09)	39/52	0.71 (0.37-1.35)	30/112	1.05 (0.53-2.07)	
P trend		.06		.19		.45		.08		.68		.26	

Adjusted for breast cancer status, age (<65, ≥ 65-74, ≥ 75 years), BMI at urine collection (<25, ≥ 25-30, ≥ 30 kg/m⁻), and race anu ecumusy www.met. we are status, age (<65, ≥ 65-74, ≥ 75 years), BMI at urine collection (<25, ≥ 25-30, ≥ 30 kg/m⁻), and race anu ecumusy www.met. we are any ecumusm. Abb medicular weight phthalates; het, heterogeneity; HMWP, high molecular weight phthalates; kg, kilograms; LMWP, low molecular weight phthalates; MEHP, mono(2-ethyl-5-exboxypentyl) phthalates; MEOHP, mono(2-ethyl-5-carboxypentyl), phthalate; MEHP, mono(2-ethyl-5-sthylroxyhexyl) phthalate; MEPP, mono-2-ethylhexyl-phthalate; MEOHP, mono(2-ethyl-5-carboxypentyl), phthalate; MEHP, mono(2-ethyl-5-carboxypentyl), phthalate; MEOHP, mono(2-ethyl-5-carboxypentyl), phthalate; MEOHP, mono(2-ethyl-5-carboxypentyl), phthalate; MEPP, mono-2-ethylhexyl-phthalate; MEOHP, mono(2-ethyl-5-carboxypentyl), phthalate; MEPP, mono(2-ethyl-5-carboxypentyl), phthalate; MEOHP, mono(2-ethyl-5-carboxypentyl), phthalate; MEPP, mono(2-ethyl-5-carboxypentyl), phthalate; MEP, methyl-, ethyl-, and propylparabens; MetS, metabolic syndrome; OR, odds ratio. ... * P < .003 statistically significant after Bonferroni corrections for multiple testing. **P < .003 statistically significant after Bonferroni corrections for multiple testing.

Journal of the Endocrine Society, 2023, Vol. 7, No. 12

	BMI at urine col n = 788	lection <25	BMI at urine coll $n = 572$	lection ≥25-<30	BMI at urine coll $n = 368$	lection ≥30	Phet
	MetS/No MetS	OR (95% CI)	MetS/No MetS	OR (95% CI)	MetS/No MetS	OR (95% CI)	
Bisphenol A							.29
≤0.84	29/239	1.00	79/137	1.00	92/50	1.00	
>0.84-≤1.76	31/223	1.18 (0.68-2.05)	68/114	1.08 (0.71-1.63)	59/51	0.62 (0.37-1.04)	
>1.76	37/227	1.40 (0.83-2.39)	59/113	0.96 (0.62-1.48)	64/52	0.68 (0.41-1.13)	
P trend		.18		.27		.46	
Triclosan							.50
≤5.27	35/218	1.00	83/125	1.00	92/67	1.00	
>5.27-≤20.57	27/207	0.78 (0.45-1.35)	58/118	0.67 (0.43-1.03)	75/44	1.21 (0.74-2.00)	
>20.57	35/264	0.79 (0.47-1.33)	65/121	0.73 (0.48-1.11)	48/42	0.83 (0.49-1.40)	
P trend		.96		.40		.35	
ΣMEP parabens							.33
≤47.51	34/186	1.00	86/130	1.00	110/79	1.00	
>47.51-≤195.19	34/221	0.92 (0.55-1.56)	69/129	0.79 (0.52-1.19)	57/47	0.82 (0.50-1.35)	
>195.19	29/282	0.57 (0.33-0.98)*	51/105	0.69 (0.44-1.08)	48/27	1.08 (0.60-1.95)	
P trend		.04*		.01*		.63	
Total parabens							.44
≤49.26	34/187	1.00	85/130	1.00	110/79	1.00	
>49.26-≤201.53	33/221	0.92 (0.54-1.55)	72/128	0.85 (0.56-1.28)	58/47	0.83 (0.50-1.37)	
>201.53	30/281	0.60 (0.35-1.03)	49/106	0.66 (0.42-1.04)	47/27	1.06 (0.59-1.90)	
P trend		.04*		.01*		.66	
MEHP%							.56
≤5.49%	31/194	1.00	72/107	1.00	84/59	1.00	
>5.49-11.08%	36/227	0.98 (0.58-1.66)	69/135	0.80 (0.52-1.22)	76/45	1.10 (0.66-1.84)	
>11.08%	30/268	0.65 (0.38-1.12)	65/122	0.81 (0.52-1.25)	55/49	0.70 (0.41-1.19)	
P trend		.75		.20		.12	
MEHP/(MEOHP + M	EHHP)						.43
≤9.76%	27/194	1.00	72/106	1.00	77/59	1.00	
>9.76-21.36%	36/233	1.16 (0.67-1.99)	68/131	0.80 (0.52-1.23)	82/46	1.31 (0.79-2.18)	
>21.36%	34/262	0.86 (0.50-1.48)	66/127	0.77 (0.50-1.19)	56/48	0.80 (0.47-1.37)	
P trend		.81		.32		.11	
MEHP/(MECPP + MI	EHHP)						.60
<7.87%	33/185	1.00	74/111	1.00	90/64	1.00	
- >7.87-16.86%	35/234	0.83 (0.50-1.40)	70/135	0.82 (0.54-1.26)	69/43	1.08 (0.64-1.80)	
>16.86%	29/270	0.55 (0.32-0.94)*	62/118	0.78 (0.51-1.21)	56/46	0.78 (0.46-1.32)	
P trend		0.45		0.21		0.12	
Phthalic acid							.60
<36.90	37/244	1.00	64/105	1.00	58/37	1.00	
>36.90-<79.76	36/218	1.16 (0.71-1.92)	66/128	0.90 (0.58-1.40)	72/59	0.84 (0.48-1.46)	
>79.76	24/227	0.70 (0.40-1.21)	76/131	0.98 (0.63-1.52)	85/57	1.01 (0.58-1.74)	
P trend		.39		.70		.94	
ΣDEHP							.29
<63.21	33/234	1.00	65/119	1.00	73/51	1.00	•=-
>63.21-<133.05	39/219	1.23 (0.74-2.04)	73/136	0.98 (0.64-1.50)	82/44	1.28 (0.76-2.17)	
>133.05	25/236	0.80(0.46-1.40)	68/109	1.06 (0.68-1.64)	60/58	0.74 (0.44-1.26)	
P trend	_0,_00	.19	- 01 2 07	.51	20100	.70	
ΣΗΜΨΡ		. = .					.56
<78.13	34/232	1.00	67/120	1.00	75/51	1.00	
>78.13-<149.24	37/215	1.16 (0.70-1 94)	71/128	1.01 (0.66-1 55)	80/44	1.18 (0.70-2.00)	
×/0.13 <u>1</u> 17/.27	5/1215	1.10 (0.70-1.77)	, 1/120	1.01 (0.00-1.55)	50/11	1.10 (0.70-2.00)	

Table 3. Multivariable-adjusted odds ratios and 95% CIs for the association of urine BPA, triclosan, paraben, and phthalate metabolite measures with metabolic syndrome by body mass index among women in the multiethnic cohort study

(continued)

	BMI at urine col n = 788	lection <25	BMI at urine column $n = 572$	lection ≥25-<30	BMI at urine col n = 368	lection ≥30	Phet
	MetS/No MetS	OR (95% CI)	MetS/No MetS	OR (95% CI)	MetS/No MetS	OR (95% CI)	
>149.24	26/242	0.78 (0.45-1.35)	68/116	1.01 (0.66-1.55)	60/58	0.71 (0.42-1.20)	
P trend		.19		.79		.64	
ΣLMWP							.92
≤64.00	36/221	1.00	68/121	1.00	59/42	1.00	
>64.00-≤145.29	36/228	1.02 (0.61-1.69)	71/114	1.15 (0.74-1.76)	80/55	1.01 (0.59-1.72)	
>145.29	25/240	0.72 (0.41-1.26)	67/129	0.91 (0.58-1.41)	76/56	0.95 (0.55-1.65)	
P trend		.08		.41		.57	
ΣLMHMPA							.25
≤226.33	38/217	1.00	66/121	1.00	71/41	1.00	
>226.33-≤442.34	39/230	1.02 (0.62-1.68)	70/115	1.09 (0.71-1.68)	64/52	0.73 (0.42-1.25)	
>442.34	20/242	0.51 (0.29-0.92)*	70/128	0.97 (0.63-1.49)	80/60	0.78 (0.46-1.32)	
P trend		.046*		.54		.61	

Table 3. Continued

Adjusted for breast cancer status, age (<65, \geq 65–74, \geq 75 years), and race and ethnicity. All EDCs in units of ng/mg creatinine.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; DEHP, di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate; het, heterogeneity; HMWP, high molecular weight phthalates; LMWP, low molecular weight phthalates; LMHMPA: low and high molecular weight phthalates and phthalic acid; MECPP, mono(2-ethyl-5-carboxypentyl) phthalate; MEHHP, mono(2-ethyl-5-hydroxyhexyl) phthalate; MEHP, mono-2-ethylhexyl-phthalate; MEOHP, mono(2-ethyl-5-oxohexyl) phthalate; MEP, methyl-, ethyl-, and propylparabens; MetS, metabolic syndrome; OR, odds ratio. *P < .05 before Bonferroni corrections for multiple testing.

between total parabens and MetS among older women. This analysis adds to the growing literature that assesses specific detrimental health effects of EDCs and future studies should prospectively investigate the associations between EDCs and MetS among multiracial and multiethnic populations.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful for the participants in the Multiethnic Cohort study.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Cancer Institute (T32CA229110 for U. Ihenacho and C. Guillermo), the California Breast Cancer Research Program (22UB-2309), the Hawaii Community Foundation (#18ADFC-90803 for A.A. Franke) and the National Cancer Institute (U01 CA164973 for C.A. Haiman, L.R. Wilkens, L. Le Marchand), National Cancer Institute (P30 CA014089 for C.A. Haiman), University of Hawaii Cancer Center (Core) Support (P30 CA71789) and National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (P30 ES007048021 for A.H. Wu).

Disclosures

The authors confirm they have nothing to disclose.

Data Availability

Some or all datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

References

- 1. Zoeller RT, Brown TR, Doan LL, et al. Endocrine-disrupting chemicals and public health protection: a statement of principles from the endocrine society. Endocrinology. 2012;153(9):4097-4110.
- 2. Schettler T. Human exposure to phthalates via consumer products. Int J Androl. 2006;29(1):134-139. discussion 181-135.
- 3. Casals-Casas C, Desvergne B. Endocrine disruptors: from endocrine to metabolic disruption. Annu Rev Physiol. 2011;73(1): 135-162.
- 4. Hatch EE, Nelson JW, Qureshi MM, et al. Association of urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations with body mass index and waist circumference: a cross-sectional study of NHANES data, 1999-2002. Environ Health. 2008;7(1):27.
- 5. James-Todd T, Stahlhut R, Meeker JD, et al. Urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations and diabetes among women in the national health and nutrition examination survey (NHANES) 2001-2008. Environ Health Perspect. 2012;120(9):1307-1313.
- Sun Q, Cornelis MC, Townsend MK, et al. Association of urinary concentrations of bisphenol A and phthalate metabolites with risk of type 2 diabetes: a prospective investigation in the nurses' health study (NHS) and NHSII cohorts. Environ Health Perspect. 2014:122(6):616-623.
- Geens T, Dirtu AC, Dirinck E, et al. Daily intake of bisphenol A and triclosan and their association with anthropometric data, thyroid hormones and weight loss in overweight and obese individuals. Environ Int. 2015;76:98-105.
- 8. Diaz Santana MV, Hankinson SE, Bigelow C, et al. Urinary concentrations of phthalate biomarkers and weight change among postmenopausal women: a prospective cohort study. Environ Health. 2019;18(1):20.
- 9. Kim J, Chevrier J. Exposure to parabens and prevalence of obesity and metabolic syndrome: an analysis of the Canadian health measures survey. Sci Total Environ. 2020;713:135116.
- 10. Lim JE, Choi B, Jee SH. Urinary bisphenol A, phthalate metabolites, and obesity: do gender and menopausal status matter? Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 2020;27(27):34300-34310.
- 11. Lee S, Karvonen-Gutierrez C, Mukherjee B, Herman WH, Harlow SD, Park SK. Urinary concentrations of phenols and parabens and

incident diabetes in midlife women: the study of Women's Health across the nation. *Environ Epidemiol*. 2021;5(5):e171.

- 12. Alberti KG, Eckel RH, Grundy SM, et al. Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: a joint interim statement of the international diabetes federation task force on epidemiology and prevention; national heart, lung, and blood institute; American heart association; world heart federation; international atherosclerosis society; and international association for the study of obesity. *Circulation*. 2009;120(16):1640-1645.
- Hirode G, Wong RJ. Trends in the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in the United States, 2011-2016. JAMA. 2020;323(24): 2526-2528.
- 14. Heindel JJ, Vom Saal FS, Blumberg B, *et al.* Parma consensus statement on metabolic disruptors. *Environ Health*. 2015;14(1):54.
- Teppala S, Madhavan S, Shankar A. Bisphenol A and metabolic syndrome: results from NHANES. *Int J Endocrinol.* 2012;2012: 598180.
- James-Todd TM, Huang T, Seely EW, Saxena AR. The association between phthalates and metabolic syndrome: the national health and nutrition examination survey 2001-2010. *Environ Health*. 2016;15(1):52.
- Zamora AN, Jansen EC, Tamayo-Ortiz M, *et al.* Exposure to phenols, phthalates, and parabens and development of metabolic syndrome among Mexican women in midlife. *Front Public Health*. 2021;9:620769.
- Ghosh R, Haque M, Turner PC, Cruz-Cano R, Dallal CM. Racial and sex differences between urinary phthalates and metabolic syndrome among U.S. adults: NHANES 2005-2014. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(13):6870.
- Shih YL, Hsieh CJ, Lee TY, Liao PH, Wu HT, Liu CY. Sex differences between urinary phthalate metabolites and metabolic syndrome in adults: a cross-sectional Taiwan biobank study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022;19(16):10458.
- Dubey P, Reddy SY, Singh V, et al. Association of exposure to phthalate metabolites with sex hormones, obesity, and metabolic syndrome in US women. JAMA Netw Open. 2022;5(9):e2233088.
- 21. Ko NY, Lo YC, Huang PC, Huang YC, Chang JL, Huang HB. Changes in insulin resistance mediate the associations between phthalate exposure and metabolic syndrome. *Environ Res.* 2019;175:434-441.
- 22. Shim YH, Ock JW, Kim YJ, Kim Y, Kim SY, Kang D. Association between heavy metals, bisphenol a, volatile organic compounds and phthalates and metabolic syndrome. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2019;16(4):671.
- Kolonel LN, Henderson BE, Hankin JH, et al. A multiethnic cohort in Hawaii and Los Angeles: baseline characteristics. Am J Epidemiol. 2000;151(4):346-357.
- 24. Wu AH, Franke AA, Wilkens LR, *et al.* Urinary phthalate exposures and risk of breast cancer: the multiethnic cohort study. *Breast Cancer Res.* 2021;23(1):44.
- 25. Wu AH, Franke AA, Wilkens LR, *et al.* Risk of breast cancer and prediagnostic urinary excretion of bisphenol A, triclosan and parabens: the multiethnic cohort study. *Int J Cancer.* 2021;149(7): 1426-1434.
- 26. Ollberding NJ, Cheng I, Wilkens LR, et al. Genetic variants, prediagnostic circulating levels of insulin-like growth factors, insulin, and glucose and the risk of colorectal cancer: the multiethnic cohort study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2012;21(5):810-820.
- 27. Morimoto Y, Conroy SM, Ollberding NJ, et al. Erythrocyte membrane fatty acid composition, serum lipids, and non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma risk in a nested case-control study: the multiethnic cohort. Cancer Causes Control. 2012;23(10):1693-1703.
- Villegas-Valle RC, Lim U, Maskarinec G, *et al.* Metabolic syndrome screening using visceral adipose tissue (VAT) from opportunistic MRI locations in a multi-ethnic population. *Obes Res Clin Pract.* 2021;15(3):227-234.
- 29. Li X, Franke AA. Improvement of bisphenol A quantitation from urine by LCMS. *Anal Bioanal Chem.* 2015;407(13):3869-3874.

- Nowak K, Ratajczak-Wrona W, Gorska M, Jablonska E. Parabens and their effects on the endocrine system. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*. 2018;474:238-251.
- 32. Taxvig C, Dreisig K, Boberg J, et al. Differential effects of environmental chemicals and food contaminants on adipogenesis, biomarker release and PPARgamma activation. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2012;361(1-2):106-115.
- 33. Zajac-Gawlak I, Klapcinska B, Kroemeke A, Pospiech D, Pelclova J, Pridalova M. Associations of visceral fat area and physical activity levels with the risk of metabolic syndrome in postmenopausal women. *Biogerontology*. 2017;18(3):357-366.
- Toth MJ, Tchernof A, Sites CK, Poehlman ET. Effect of menopausal status on body composition and abdominal fat distribution. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2000;24(2):226-231.
- 35. Pan G, Hanaoka T, Yoshimura M, *et al.* Decreased serum free testosterone in workers exposed to high levels of di-n-butyl phthalate (DBP) and di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate (DEHP): a cross-sectional study in China. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2006;114(11): 1643-1648.
- 36. Huang T, Saxena AR, Isganaitis E, James-Todd T. Gender and racial/ethnic differences in the associations of urinary phthalate metabolites with markers of diabetes risk: national health and nutrition examination survey 2001-2008. *Environ Health*. 2014;13(1):6.
- Haverinen E, Fernandez MF, Mustieles V, Tolonen H. Metabolic syndrome and endocrine disrupting chemicals: an overview of exposure and health effects. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(24):13047.
- Newbold RR, Padilla-Banks E, Jefferson WN, Heindel JJ. Effects of endocrine disruptors on obesity. *Int J Androl.* 2008;31(2):201-208.
- 39. Heymsfield SB, Peterson CM, Thomas DM, Heo M, Schuna JM, Jr. Why are there race/ethnic differences in adult body mass indexadiposity relationships? A quantitative critical review. Obes Rev. 2016;17(3):262-275.
- Nguyen VK, Kahana A, Heidt J, *et al.* A comprehensive analysis of racial disparities in chemical biomarker concentrations in United States women, 1999-2014. *Environ Int.* 2020;137:105496.
- 41. Branch F, Woodruff TJ, Mitro SD, Zota AR. Vaginal douching and racial/ethnic disparities in phthalates exposures among reproductive-aged women: national health and nutrition examination survey 2001-2004. *Environ Health*. 2015;14(1):57.
- 42. Zota AR, Shamasunder B. The environmental injustice of beauty: framing chemical exposures from beauty products as a health disparities concern. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2017;217(4):418 e411-418 e416.
- Albro PW, Lavenhar SR. Metabolism of di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate. Drug Metab Rev. 1989;21(1):13-34.
- 44. Koch HM, Rossbach B, Drexler H, Angerer J. Internal exposure of the general population to DEHP and other phthalates– determination of secondary and primary phthalate monoester metabolites in urine. *Environ Res.* 2003;93(2):177-185.
- 45. Townsend MK, Franke AA, Li X, Hu FB, Eliassen AH. Within-person reproducibility of urinary bisphenol A and phthalate metabolites over a 1 to 3 year period among women in the nurses' health studies: a prospective cohort study. *Environ Health*. 2013;12(1):80.
- 46. Payne-Sturges D, De Saram S, Cory-Slechta DA. Cumulative risk evaluation of phthalates under TSCA. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2023;57(16):6403-6414.
- 47. United States Environmental Protection Agency Press Office. EPA Releases Proposed Approach for Considering Cumulative Risks under TSCA 2023. https://www.epa.gov/newsreleases/epareleases-proposed-approach-considering-cumulative-risks-under-tsca. Published February 24, 2023. Accessed June 28, 2023.