Integrative Systems

A Network Model of the Modulation of γ Oscillations by NMDA Receptors in Cerebral Cortex

Eduarda Susin and Alain Destexhe

https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0157-23.2023

Institute of Neuroscience (NeuroPSI), Paris-Saclay University, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Saclay, France 91400

Abstract

Psychotic drugs such as ketamine induce symptoms close to schizophrenia and stimulate the production of γ oscillations, as also seen in patients, but the underlying mechanisms are still unclear. Here, we have used computational models of cortical networks generating γ oscillations, and have integrated the action of drugs such as ketamine to partially block NMDA receptors (NMDARs). The model can reproduce the paradoxical increase of γ oscillations by NMDA receptor antagonists, assuming that antagonists affect NMDA receptors with higher affinity on inhibitory interneurons. We next used the model to compare the responsiveness of the network to external stimuli, and found that when NMDA channels are blocked, an increase of γ power is observed altogether with an increase of network responsiveness. However, this responsiveness increase applies not only to γ states, but also to asynchronous states with no apparent γ . We conclude that NMDA antagonists induce an increased excitability state, which may or may not produce γ oscillations, but the response to external inputs is exacerbated, which may explain phenomena such as altered perception or hallucinations.

Key words: γ oscillations; hallucinations; ketamine; network model; NMDA receptors; schizophrenia

Significance Statement

NMDA synaptic receptors mediate excitatory interactions using the neurotransmitter glutamate. NMDA receptors (NMDARs) have been implicated in psychosis such as schizophrenia and are also targeted by hallucinogenic drugs like ketamine. However, the exact mechanisms of action are still unclear. Furthermore, ketamine paradoxically leads to an excited state, although it is a blocker of NMDA receptors, therefore, in principle diminishing excitation. Here, we use models of cortical networks generating γ oscillations and show that this model can explain the paradoxical exciting effect of ketamine if one assumes a higher affinity on NMDA receptors of inhibitory interneurons. The simulated ketamine effect reproduces known symptoms of psychosis such as increased γ oscillations and exacerbated responses to external inputs, compatible with hallucinations.

Introduction

Schizophrenia is a mental disorder characterized by three classes of symptoms: positive symptoms (such as delusions, hallucinations and disordered thoughts or speech), negative symptoms (comprehending poverty of speech and deficits of normal emotional response), and cognitive deficits (Lewis et al., 2005; Bozikas and Andreou, 2011; Su et al., 2018). Several abnormalities have been identified in

Received May 13, 2023; accepted September 5, 2023; First published November 8, 2023.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

schizophrenic patients, including important differences in neurotransmitters systems, anatomic deficits and abnormal neural rhythms (Uhlhaas and Singer, 2010; Shenton et al., 2001).

 γ Oscillations (30–90 Hz) in early-course schizophrenia patients are commonly reported to present increased power and/or phase synchronization (Flynn et al., 2008; Grent-'t-Jong et al., 2018; Perrottelli et al., 2021). In parallel, positive correlation between psychotic symptoms and

Author contributions: E.S. and A.D. designed research; E.S. performed research; E.S. and A.D. analyzed data; E.S. and A.D. wrote the paper.

the γ power have been identified in schizophrenic patients, in which higher γ -band activity corresponded to increased symptom load (Spencer et al., 2004, 2008, 2009; Mulert et al., 2011). These findings indicate that hallucinations and delusions could be related to an excess of oscillatory synchronization in the γ band.

NMDA receptor (NMDAR) antagonists, commonly used in subanesthetic doses as animal and human models to study Schizophrenia (Gunduz-Bruce, 2009), induce a psychotic state that resembles all three classes of symptoms of the disease (Javitt and Zukin, 1991; Krystal et al., 1994; Kalsi et al., 2011). Furthermore, NMDAR antagonists also increase γ power amplitude, both in human and in animal models (Plourde et al., 1997; Pinault, 2008; Hong et al., 2010; Nicolás et al., 2011; Kocsis, 2012; Wood et al., 2012; Shaw et al., 2015; Slovik et al., 2017).

In this study, we investigate by means of computational models how NMDAR antagonists, such as ketamine, affect the dynamics of neural networks and how the generated boosting of γ activity affects the network response, providing an interpretation for the observed correlation between γ power and psychotic episodes.

Materials and Methods

We describe the model used and the analysis procedures applied to the model.

Neuronal model

The model consists of a sparsely randomly connected network of excitatory and inhibitory spiking neurons, where the neural units are described by the Adaptive Exponential Integrate-And-Fire Model (AdEx; Brette and Gerstner, 2005). In this model, each neuron *i* is described by its membrane potential V_i , which evolves according to the following equations:

$$C\frac{dV_{i}(t)}{dt} = -g_{L}(V_{i} - E_{L}) + g_{L}\Delta exp\left[\frac{(V_{i}(t) - V_{th})}{\Delta}\right]$$

$$-w_{i}(t) - l_{i}^{Syn}(t) , \qquad (1)$$

$$\tau_{w_{i}}\frac{dw_{i}(t)}{dt} = a(V_{i}(t) - E_{L}) - w_{i}(t) + b\sum_{j}\delta(t - t_{j})$$

where *C* is the membrane capacitance, g_L is the leakage conductance, E_L is the leaky membrane potential, V_{th} is the effective threshold, Δ is the threshold slope factor and I_i^{Syn} (t) is postsynaptic current received by the neuron *i* (see next section). The adaptation current, described by the variable

This work was supported by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) and the European Community (Human Brain Project H2020-785907). E.S. was supported by a PhD fellowship from the École des Neurosciences de Paris (ENP), the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (FRM) Grant FDT202012010566, and La Fondation des Treilles.

Correspondence should be addressed to Eduarda Susin at eduardadsusin@ gmail.com or Alain Destexhe at alain.destexhe@cnrs.fr.

https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0157-23.2023

Copyright © 2023 Susin and Destexhe

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.

 w_i , increases by an amount *b* every time the neuron *i* emits a spike at times t_j and decays exponentially with time scale τ_w . The subthreshold adaptation is governed by the parameter *a*.

During the simulations, the equation characterizing the membrane potential V_i is numerically integrated until a spike is generated. Formally this happens when V_i grows rapidly toward infinity. In practice, the spiking time is defined as the moment in which V_i reaches a certain threshold (V_{th}). When $V_i = V_{th}$ the membrane potential is reset to V_{rest} , which is kept constant until the end of the refractory period T_{ref} . After the refractory period the equations start being integrated again.

In the developed network two types of cells were used: regular spiking (RS) excitatory cells and fast spiking (FS) inhibitory cells. The cell specific parameters are indicated in Table 1.

Synaptic models

The postsynaptic current received by each neuron i is composed by three components: two excitatory, referred to as to AMPA and NMDA synaptic channels, and one inhibitory, referred to as to *GABA_A* channels.

$$I_i^{Syn}(t) = I_i^{AMPA}(t) + I_i^{GABA_A}(t) + I_i^{NMDA}(t)$$

in which

$$I_{i}^{AMPA}(t) = G_{i}^{AMPA}(t)(V_{i}(t) - E^{AMPA})$$

$$I_{i}^{GABA_{A}}(t) = G_{i}^{GABA_{A}}(t)(V_{i}(t) - E^{GABA_{A}}) \qquad (2)$$

$$I_{i}^{NMDA}(t) = G_{i}^{NMDA}(t)(V_{i}(t) - E^{NMDA})B(V_{i}(t))$$

 $E^{AMPA} = 0 \text{ mV}$, $E^{GABA_A} = -80 \text{ mV}$ and $E^{NMDA} = 0 \text{ mV}$ are the reversal potentials of AMPA, $GABA_A$ and NMDA channels. While the AMPA and $GABA_A$ -mediated currents are fast, NMDA-mediated currents are slower and voltage dependent (Faber and Korn, 1980; Perouansky and Yaari, 1993; Götz et al., 1997; Bellingham et al., 1998). This voltage dependence, because of magnesium block, is accurately modeled by the phenomenological expression B(V) (Jahr and Stevens, 1990):

$$B(V) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-0.062V) \cdot ([Mg^{2+}]_o/3.57)},$$
 (3)

where $[Mg^{2+}]_o = 1$ mm is the external magnesium concentration (1–2 mm in physiological conditions).

Because of the fast dynamics of *AMPA* and *GABA_A* channels, their synaptic conductances (G^X with X = AMPA, $GABA_A$) are usually modeled to increase discontinuously by a discrete amount Q^X , every time a presynaptic neuron spikes at time t_k , and to subsequently decay exponentially with a decay time constant τ^X_{decay} according to the following equation:

$$\tau_{decay}^{\chi} \frac{dG^{\chi}_{i}(t)}{dt} = -G^{\chi}_{i}(t) + Q^{\chi} \sum_{k} \delta(t - t_{k}).$$
(4)

In which \sum_{k} runs over all the presynaptic spike times. The synaptic time constants used for *AMPA* and *GABA_A* synapses are $\tau_{decay}^{AMPA} = 1.5$ ms and $\tau_{decay}^{GABA_A} = 7.5$ ms.

Table 1: Specific neuron model parameters

Parameter	RS	FS
V _{th}	-40 mV	-47.5 mV
Δ	2 mV	0.5 mV
T _{ref}	5 ms	5 ms
$ au_W$	500 ms	500 ms
а	4 nS	0 nS
b	20 pA	0 pA
С	150 pF	150 pF
<i>g</i> _L	10 nS	10 nS
E_L	-65 mV	-65 mV
E _E	0 mV	0 mV
E	-80 mV	-80 mV
Vrest	-65 mV	-65 mV

NMDA channels synaptic conductances, G^{NMDA} , because of their slow dynamics, are usually modeled as a biexponential function characterized by a rise time constant, $\tau_{rise}^{NMDA} = 2 \text{ ms}$, and a decay time constant $\tau_{decay}^{NMDA} = 200 \text{ ms}$, according to the following equation:

$$G_{i}^{NMDA} = Q_{i}^{NMDA} \mathbf{s}_{i}(t)^{NMDA}$$

$$\frac{ds_{i}(t)^{NMDA}}{dt} = -\frac{s_{i}(t)^{NMDA}}{\tau_{decay}^{NMDA}} + \alpha x_{i}(t)(1 - s_{i}(t)^{NMDA}). \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{dx_{i}(t)}{dt} = -\frac{x_{i}(t)}{\tau_{rise}^{NMDA}} + \sum_{k} \delta(t - t_{k})$$

In which Q_i^{NMDA} is the synaptic strength of the NMDA synapse toward the neuron *i*, $\alpha = 0.5$ /ms and x(t) is an auxiliary variable. The \sum_{k} runs over all the presynaptic spike times. Both, $s(t)^{NMDA}$ and x(t), are adimensional.

Synaptic strengths of *NMDA* synapses (toward RS and FS neurons) were chosen according to the parameter search expressed in Figure 1 ($Q_R^{NMDA}S$ = 0.4 nS and $Q_F^{NMDA}S$ = 0.5 nS), while the synaptic parameters of *AMPA* and *GABA_A* synapses were chosen according to previous works (Zerlaut and Destexhe, 2017; Susin and Destexhe, 2021; Q^{AMPA} = 5 nS and Q^{GABA_A} = 3.34 nS). All synapses (*AMPA*, *GABA_A*, and *NMDA*) were delayed by time of 1.5 ms. With these choice of parameters the NMDA/AMPA charge ratio in the network is on average higher in RS cells then in FS cells (Fig. 2), in agreement with experimental measurements in prefrontal cortex of adult mice (Rotaru et al., 2011) and rat (Wang and Gao, 2009).

Network structure

The network developed in this work is composed of 5000 neurons (4000 RS and 1000 FS). Each neuron (RS or FS) was connected randomly to every other neuron in the network with a probability of 10%, receiving on average 500 excitatory synapses (mediated by both AMPA and NMDA channels) and 100 inhibitory synapses (mediated by $GABA_A$ channels).

External input

In addition to recurrent connections, each neuron received an external drive to keep the network active. This external drive consisted of $N_{ext} = 5000$ independent and



Figure 1. Parameter space of NMDA synaptic weights in RS and FS cells. *A*, Average spiking rate in RS cells. *B*, Average spiking rate in FS cells. *C*, Population activity Power Spectrum pick. *D*, Population activity Power Spectrum amplitude. The parameter space of weights in NMDA synapses (Q^{NMDA}) was explored for RS and FS cells in the developed network model. Q_{RS}^{NMDA} and Q_{FS}^{NMDA} varied from 0 to 1 nS in steps of 0.05 nS. Each point in the color maps corresponds to the average of 10 simulations of 5 s. Points in which $Q_{RS}^{NMDA} = Q_{FS}^{NMDA}$ are highlighted. Small squares indicate a possible trajectory in the parameter space (in the direction of the arrow) generated by the action of NMDAR antagonists.

identically distributed excitatory Poissonian spike trains with a spiking frequency μ_{ext} . These spike trains were sent to the network with a 10% probability of connection and were computed inside of the synaptic current term l^{AMPA} , with a synaptic strength of $Q_{Ext}^{AMPA} = 0.8$ nS. For γ activity, the network was stimulated with a drive with $\mu_{ext} = 3$ Hz. For asynchronous-and-irregular activity, the network was stimulated with a drive with $\mu_{ext} = 2$ Hz. The external drive mimicked cortical input, as if the network were embedded in a much bigger one.

To inspected how the network responded to slowly-varying inputs (occurring in a time window much bigger than the γ period), an additional external input was included in the



Figure 2. Excitatory synaptic currents. *A*, Average AMPA current of one randomly picked RS (green) and one randomly picked FS (red) neuron. *B*, Average NMDA current of one randomly picked RS (green) and one randomly picked FS (red) neuron. *C*, Ratio of NMDA and AMPA charges for RS and FS cells. The synaptic charge ratio of each neuron was calculated separately. The Bars indicate the mean and the SD among the RS and FS population. The NMDA synaptic strengths in RS and FS cells are $Q_{RS}^{MDA} = 0.8$ nS and $Q_{FS}^{MDA} = 1$ nS (which, in our model, describes a healthy condition).

simulations. A simple way of generation this type of slowly varying stimulus is by means of a Gaussian variation in time of its amplitude. We have chosen Gaussian time variations with SD of 50 ms to allow the stimulus to interact with at least three 60-Hz γ cycles. Several amplitudes were tested. This additional external input, similar to the external drive, also consisted of $N_{ext} = 5000$ independent and identically distributed excitatory Poissonian spike trains, connected to the network with a 10% probability. The difference of this additional input was its firing rate time dependence $[\mu_{ext}(t)]$. These spike trains were computed inside of both synaptic current terms I^{AMPA} and I^{NMDA} , with a synaptic strengths of $Q_{Ext}^{AMPA} = 0.8$ nS, and Q_{Ext}^{NMDA} and Q_{Ext}^{NMDA} as indicated in each case.

Block of NMDA channels: effect of NMDAR antagonists

In this work, we mimic the effect of NMDAR antagonists by changing the value of the NMDA synaptic weights Q^{NMDA} . In Figure 3A, a possible trajectory in the parameter space generated by the action of NMDAR antagonists is depicted. This is one of many possible trajectories in parameters space (data not shown).

Simulations

All neural networks were constructed using Brian2 simulator (Stimberg et al., 2019). All equations were numerically integrated using Euler methods and dt = 0.1 ms as integration time step. The codes for each one of the three developed networks are available at ModelDB platform.

Population activity: LFP model

To measure the global behavior of the neuronal population, we used a simulated local field potential (LFP). This LFP was generated by the network, by means of a recent method developed by (Telenczuk et al., 2020). This approach calculates the LFP by convolving the spike trains of the network with a kernel that have been previously estimated from unitary LFPs (the LFP generated by a single axon, uLFP) measured experimentally. Since this method assumes a spatial neuronal displacement, to be able to apply it to our simulations, we randomly displaced part of the network (50 neurons) in a 2D grid, assuming that the electrode was displaced on its center and was measuring the LFP in the same layer as neuronal soma. The program code of the kernel method is available in ModelDB (http:// modeldb.yale.edu/266508), using python three or the hoc language of NEURON.

Power Spectrum

The Power Spectrum [or Power Spectral Density (PSD)] of the simulated LFP was calculated by means of the Welch's method, using a Hamming window of length 0.25 s and 125 overlapping points. We used the Python-based ecosystem Scipy function *signal.welch* to do our calculations.

Distinction between γ and asynchronous states

Because γ oscillations and asynchronous-and-irregular (AI) states are usually part of a continuum of network states (Susin and Destexhe, 2021), we used a criterion based on the PSD to distinguish between γ and AI states. The criterion was that if the oscillatory behavior of the network was prominent, which usually corresponds to a dominant peak at the γ frequency in the PSD, then the network state was considered as γ oscillation. If the PSD peak in the γ frequency was either absent or small compared with the other fluctuations of the PSD, we considered the corresponding network state as AI. It is important to note that for most AI states, although the raster did not reveal any prominent oscillatory component, there was a small peak in the γ frequency range. In general, the amplitude of the oscillation increased with depolarization of the neurons, as described previously (Susin and Destexhe, 2021).

Synaptic charge

The synaptic charge (AMPA or NMDA) of each neuron is defined as the area under the curve of the average



Figure 3. Network dynamics with respect to different levels of NMDA channels block in the network. **A**, Possible trajectory in the parameter space of Q_{RS}^{NMDA} versus Q_{RS}^{NMDA} (NMDA synaptic strengths in RS and FS cells), mimicking the action of NMDA receptor (NMDAR) antagonists (the higher the intensity of the NMDAR antagonists, the smaller the NMDA synaptic strengths; same trajectory as that indicated in Fig. 1). The thin line indicates the identity for reference. The arrow indicates the progressive action of NMDAR antagonists. Points of higher synaptic strengths are associated with healthy conditions, while points with lower synaptic strengths are associated to pathologic conditions supposedly similar to the schizophrenic brain. **B**, **C**, Raster plots indicating the activity of only 1000 cells of each type (FS in red and RS in green), for two parameter sets. **B**, $Q_{RS}^{NMDA} = 0.8$ nS and $Q_{RS}^{NMDA} = 1$ nS. **C**, $Q_{RS}^{NMDA} = 0.213$ nS and $Q_{RS}^{NMDA} = 0.2$ nS. **D**, Average normalized Power Spectrum of the network LFP for different NMDA synaptic strength. The synaptic strengths follow the curve indicated in **A**, but only the values in FS cells (Q_{RS}^{NMDA}) are indicated in the color scale. Notice the shift of the Power Spectrum peak toward smaller frequencies with the increase of NMDA channel block. **E**, Power Spectrum peak amplitude with respect to the levels of NMDA channels block. Only the values of the NMDA synaptic strength in FS cells (Q_{RS}^{NMDA}) are indicated in the *x*-axis. The color scheme (presented for better visualization) is the same as in **D**. SEMs are indicated as error bars. **F**, Average firing rate of RS (green) and FS cells (red) with respect to the trajectory in parameter space depicted in **A**. Like in **E**, only Q_{RS}^{NMDA} are indicated in the *x*-axis. SEMs are indicated as error bars. Results expressed in **D**–**F** are the outcome of 50 simulations average. In all simulations, an external drive of 3 Hz was used to maintain network activity in

synaptic current (Fig. 2A,B, shaded areas), which was calculated from the presynaptic input time until 10 ms after it.

Responsiveness

The level of responsiveness (*R*) of a network, because of an stimulus (*S*) in a time window of duration *T*, is defined as the difference between the total number of spikes generated by the whole network because of an stimulus (N_{spikes}^{S}) and the total number of spikes generated in the absence of the stimulus (N_{spikes}), normalized by the network size (total number of neurons N_n) and the duration of the time window *T*.

$$\mathsf{R} = \frac{N_{spikes}^{S} - N_{spikes}}{TN_{n}}.$$
 (6)

Results

We first show that the computational model reproduces features of the block of NMDA receptors observed in

November 2023, 10(11) ENEURO.0157-23.2023

cerebral cortex, and next, we investigate the responsiveness of the model in response to external input, comparing γ oscillations with asynchronous states, which will constitute the main prediction of the model.

Computational model reproduces experimental features

As detailed in Materials and Methods, we used a network model of excitatory RS, and inhibitory FS cells, displaying γ oscillations. This model includes available experimental data on the NMDA/AMPA charge ratio. This ratio is on average higher in RS cells then in FS cells (Fig. 2), in agreement with experimental measurements in prefrontal cortex of adult mice (Rotaru et al., 2011) and rat (Wang and Gao, 2009).

Several preparations with subanesthetics doses of NMDAR antagonists have reported to produce neural excitation (Lahti et al., 1995; Breier et al., 1997; Moghaddam et al., 1997; Vollenweider et al., 1997; Suzuki et al., 2002; Jackson et al., 2004). Since NMDARs mediate excitatory



Figure 4. Excitatory synaptic currents with respect to different levels of NMDA channels block. As in Figure 3, higher values of synaptic strengths are associated with healthy conditions, while lower values of synaptic strengths are associated to pathologic conditions supposedly similar to the schizophrenic brain. *A*, Average AMPA current (for healthy and pathologic conditions) of two randomly picked neurons: RS (green) and FS (red). *B*, Average NMDA current (for healthy and pathologic conditions) of two randomly picked neurons: RS (green) and FS (red). *C*, Ratio of NMDA and AMPA charges for RS and FS cells (for healthy and pathologic conditions). The synaptic charge ratio of each neuron was calculated separately. The bars indicate the mean and the SD among the RS and FS population.

synaptic transmission, this behavior is intriguing. Several hypothesis have been proposed to explain this apparent paradox (Su et al., 2018). One of the possible explanations is that NMDAR antagonists in subanesthetics doses act preferentially on inhibitory neurons, increasing network activity indirectly by means of disinhibition. Although some contrasting results have been observed (Rotaru et al., 2011), this interpretation has been supported experimentally by several works (Lazzaro et al., 2003; Homayoun and Moghaddam, 2007; Zhang et al., 2008; Widman and McMahon, 2018). Network excitability have also been reported to increase in schizophrenic patients (Hoffman and Cavus, 2002; Daskalakis et al., 2007), and its increase in sensory and association cortex have been correlated with hallucinations (Hoffman et al., 2003; Merabet et al., 2003).

Another important effect of NMDAR antagonists in subanesthetics doses is the increase of γ -band activity. These observations were reported in human (Plourde et al., 1997; Hong et al., 2010; Shaw et al., 2015), monkey (Slovik et al., 2017), and rats (Pinault, 2008; Nicolás et al., 2011; Kocsis, 2012; Wood et al., 2012), both during cognitive tasks or free movement.

The network model developed in the present work (see Materials and Methods) is able to reproduce both of these features (increase of network excitability and increase of γ power). Figure 3 depicts the network behavior with respect to the different NMDA synaptic strengths, Q^{NMDA}, in excitatory regular spiking (RS) and in inhibitory fast spiking (FS) cells. We mimic the block of NMDA channels because of the action of NMDAR antagonists by decreasing Q^{NMDA} in RS and FS cells according to Figure 3A (see Materials and Methods). Points of higher synaptic strengths are associated with healthy conditions, while points with lower synaptic strengths are associated to pathologic conditions supposedly similar to the schizophrenic brain. The properties of the synaptic input (average current and synaptic current charge), for different levels of NMDA block, are further shown in Figure 4. The network dynamics for two sets of NMDA synaptic strengths are shown in Figure 3B,C by means of a raster plot. As the synaptic strengths of NMDA channels decreased (higher concentration of NMDAR



Figure 5. Network responsiveness to broad Gaussian inputs in different levels of NMDA channel blocked during γ rhythms. **A**, Responsiveness protocol scheme. The total number of spikes generated by the network were measured during an external stimulus and in its absence in a time window of 500 ms. The stimulus consisted of a Gaussian fluctuation in the firing rate of the external noise input. Responsiveness was calculated according to Equation 6. **B**, Gaussian input amplitude variation. The Gaussian amplitude varied from 0.05 to 2.5 Hz (step of 0.05 Hz), always keeping the same SD of 50 ms. **C** and **D** depict, respectively, the responsiveness of RS (**C**) and FS (**D**) neurons for different Gaussian amplitudes in different levels of NMDAR block, when the network was displaying γ activity. The color scheme indicates the synaptic weights of NMDA synapses (Q^{NMDA}) in RS and FS cells. The arrow indicates the sense of the simulated action of NMDA antagonist (decreasing synaptic strength). Every point corresponds to the average responsiveness measured in 15 simulations. SEMs are indicated by the shaded region around each curve.

antagonists), the firing rate of excitatory RS cells increased while the firing rate of inhibitory FS cells decreased (Fig. 3D). In addition, the γ power of the population activity (see Materials and Methods) presented an increase (Fig. 3*E*,*F*).

Network responsiveness during γ rhythms in different levels of NMDAR block

We investigated how the decrease of NMDA synaptic strength changed the network dynamics and its capacity to respond to external stimulus.

While network excitability is related to an overall increase of spiking activity, network responsiveness relates to the network capacity to react to a certain stimulus, producing additional spikes then the ones generated by spontaneous activity. These two dynamical measurements (excitability and responsiveness) are not always congruent, meaning that it is possible to observe an increase in excitability but a concomitant decrease in responsiveness (Susin and Destexhe, 2021).

Network responsiveness was defined as the difference between the total number of spikes generated by the whole network in the presence and in the absence of the stimulus (see Eq. 6). We measured network responsiveness at different levels of NMDAR block for different stimulus amplitudes (Fig. 5). The stimulus consisted of a variation in time of the external Poissonian drive in a Gaussian manner (see Materials and Methods).

Network responsiveness in RS cells increased with the increased level of NMDAR block, while the responsiveness of FS neurons decreased. In this case, both, network

excitability and network responsiveness, behave in the same direction.

The increase of network responsiveness can be understood from Figure 6. The NMDA receptors block depolarizes RS cells, while FS neurons are overall hyperpolarized. For weak levels of NMDA receptors block, no or weak depolarization is observed in FS cells, while for strong levels of NMDA block a significant hyperpolarization is observed.

γ States versus AI states

 γ Oscillations (30–90 Hz) are believed to be involved in information processing (O'Keefe and Recce, 1993; Singer and Gray, 1995; Singer, 1999; Fries, 2005, 2015; Fries et al., 2007), and have been associated to different high-level cognitive functions, such as memory (Pesaran et al., 2002; Colgin et al., 2009; Carr et al., 2012), perception (Rougeul-Buser et al., 1975; Bouyer et al., 1981; Rodriguez et al., 1999; Melloni et al., 2007), attention (Fries et al., 2001; Gregoriou et al., 2009; Rouhinen et al., 2013; Vinck et al., 2013), focused arousal (Sheer, 1989), and prediction (Womelsdorf et al., 2006). In parallel, studies with schizophrenic patients have reported a positive correlation between psychotic symptoms and the power of γ oscillations (Spencer et al., 2004, 2008, 2009; Mulert et al., 2011).

In contrast, asynchronous-and-irregular (AI) states (Brunel, 2000) are usually associated to conscious states (Koch et al., 2016), being observed during awake and aroused states (Goldman et al., 2019). This regime are characterized by irregular and sustained firing with very weak correlations



Figure 6. Membrane potential polarization as a function of NMDA receptor block. The average membrane potential of RS (green, left *y*-axis) and FS (red, right *y*-axis) is expressed as function of NMDA synaptic weights of RS and FS cells. The values of Q_{RS}^{NMDA} and Q_{FS}^{NMDA} follow the same trajectory in the parameter space, as indicated in Figure 3A. Only the values of Q_{FS}^{NMDA} are indicated in the *x*-axis. The average was performed first in between neurons ($\langle \rangle_N$), obtaining an average curve as a function of time, and subsequently with respect time ($\langle \rangle_t$). The values plotted correspond to the average of $\langle \langle V \rangle_N \rangle_t$ in between 10 simulations. The error bars indicate the SEM between these simulations.

(Softky and Koch, 1993; Holt et al., 1996; Shadlen and Newsome, 1998; Destexhe et al., 2003; Henrie and Shapley, 2005).

In a previous study (Susin and Destexhe, 2021), we reported that AI states, in comparison to oscillatory states in γ band, provide the highest responsiveness to external stimuli, indicating that γ oscillations tend to overall diminish responsiveness. This observation could indicate that γ rhythms present a masking effect, conveying information in its cycles on spike timing at the expense of decreasing the strength of the network response.

In the present study, we compare AI and γ states at different levels of NMDAR block. Figure 7 depicts the responsiveness of RS neurons, with respect to different stimulus amplitudes (same protocol as Fig. 5), for different ensembles



Figure 7. Network responsiveness to broad Gaussian inputs of different amplitudes during γ and AI states. The responsiveness of RS neurons, because of different Gaussian amplitudes stimuli (same as in the protocol of Fig. 5), was measured in different states AI and γ for NMDA synaptic parameter sets: $Q_{RS}^{NMDA} = 0.8$ nS and $Q_{FS}^{NMDA} = 1$ nS (γ : black, AI: gray), and $Q_{RS}^{NMDA} = 0.36$ nS and $Q_{FS}^{NMDA} = 0.4$ nS (γ : blue, AI: light blue). The Gaussian amplitude varied from 0.05 to 2.5 Hz (step of 0.05 Hz), always keeping the same SD of 50 ms.



Figure 8. Network responsiveness with faster NMDA decay time constant. As in Figure 7, the network responsiveness to broad Gaussian inputs of different amplitudes during γ and AI states are displayed. In this case, the used NMDA synaptic times constant used in Equation 5 are $\tau_{rlse}^{NMDA} = 2 \text{ ms}$ and $\tau_{decay}^{NMDA} = 50 \text{ ms}$. The responsiveness of RS neurons, was measured in different states AI and γ for NMDA synaptic parameter sets: $Q_{RS}^{NMDA} = 0.8 \text{ nS}$ and $Q_{FS}^{NMDA} = 1 \text{ nS} (\gamma: \text{ black, AI: gray})$, and $Q_{RS}^{MMDA} = 0.36 \text{ nS}$ and $Q_{FS}^{NMDA} = 0.4 \text{ nS} (\gamma: \text{ blue, AI: light blue})$. The Gaussian amplitude varied from 0.25 to 2.5 Hz (step of 0.25 Hz), always keeping the same SD of 50 ms. Every point corresponds to the average responsiveness measured in 10 simulations. SEMs are indicated by the shaded region around each curve.

of NMDA synaptic strengths. In agreement with Figure 5, parameter sets in which NMDA synaptic strengths are decreased (mimicking the action NMDAR antagonists) correspond to regions of the parameter space with higher responsiveness. For example, $Q_{FS}^{NMDA} = 0.4$ nS and Q_{FS}^{NMDA} = 0.36 nS displayed higher responsiveness then the networks in which the NMDA synaptic strengths wrere $Q_{FS}^{NMDA} = 1$ nS and $Q_{FS}^{NMDA} = 0.8$ nS. Interestingly, in both conditions, responsiveness in AI states were always superior to the one in γ . This result was also observed in a similar model in the absence of NMDA channels (Susin and Destexhe, 2021). This example illustrates a general tendency, which was also observed with other parameter sets. In particular, it was observed when NMDA currents had a faster decay time, as shown in the example of Figure 8.

Discussion

In this work, we used computational models to investigate the effect of psychotic drugs such as ketamine in cerebral cortex, and how γ oscillations relate to these effects. Our findings are (1) NMDA receptor antagonists modulate the rhythms produced by a simple network model consisting of two distinct cell types, RS and FS cells, which generate γ oscillations by means of the PING mechanism (Tiesinga and Sejnowski, 2009). This modulation is obtained assuming that the NMDAR block predominantly affects interneurons for low doses of ketamine. (2) The boosted γ oscillations following partial block of NMDA receptors, was accompanied by an increased responsiveness to external inputs. (3) This increase of responsiveness could also be seen for asynchronous states, with no apparent γ . We discuss below the implications of these findings.

A first prediction of the model is that it was necessary that the antagonism affects predominantly NMDAR receptors on interneurons. This feature is supported by a number of observations. Intuitively, if the NMDAR block would occur predominantly on excitatory cells, then it is difficult to see how diminishing excitation could augment the activity and excitability of the network. This longstanding question was resolved recently by finding that indeed, NMDAR antagonists primarily affects NMDA receptors on interneurons. It was observed that the application of Ketamine or MK-801 in subanesthetic doses leads to an increased activity of glutamatergic neurons both in cortex (Moghaddam et al., 1997; Lazzaro et al., 2003) and in hippocampus (Widman and McMahon, 2018), and that this increase of glutamatergic activity is a consequence of the disinhibition of GABAergic neurons (Homayoun and Moghaddam, 2007; Zhang et al., 2008). In addition, it has also been reported in hippocampus that inhibitory neurons are more sensitive to NMDAR antagonists than glutamatergic neurons (Ling and Benardo, 1995b; Grunze et al., 1996). Thus, our model completely supports these findings, and could reproduce the increase of γ power induced by NMDA receptor antagonists. On the other hand, contrasting results also exist. For example, Rotaru et al. (2011) argue that NMDAR have less impact on the activity of inhibitory neurons than on the one of excitatory neurons, since they and other authors observed that NMDAR block depressed large EPSP-spike coupling more strongly in excitatory than in inhibitory neurons (Ling and Benardo, 1995a; Karayannis et al., 2007; Rotaru et al., 2011).

The second finding, which is probably the main finding of our study, is that the network has a marked increased responsiveness under the boosted γ condition. This increased responsiveness could be tested experimentally either *in vitro*, by testing the response of cortical slices with and without application of NMDAR antagonists, or *in vivo*, by monitoring their response following administration of NMDA antagonists.

The third finding is that the increase of responsiveness is not specific to γ oscillations, because it was also present for asynchronous states with no apparent γ . The underlying mechanism is that the antagonism of NMDA receptors produce an overall depolarization of RS cells, and hyperpolarization of FS cells. Consequently, there is an increase of responsiveness of RS cells, with a corresponding decrease for FS cells, as we observed. In this model, the increase of responsiveness is because of the depolarizing effect on RS cells, and are not because of γ oscillations. Indeed, the highest responsiveness was seen for asynchronous states, also in agreement with a previous modeling study (Susin and Destexhe, 2021).

Possible implications to understand brain pathologies

Our model exhibits several interesting properties that can be related to pathologies. First, the model provides a possible explanation for the symptoms associated to ketamine and others NMDA receptor antagonists, such as hallucinations. The enhanced responsiveness produced by antagonizing NMDA receptors may explain exacerbated responses to sensory stimuli, which may be related to phenomena such as altered perception or hallucinations. Indeed, it is well documented that ketamine produces hallucinations together with a marked increase of γ oscillations (Lee et al., 2003; Hakami et al., 2009; Jones et al., 2012).

Besides hallucinations, the model seems also a priori consistent with the previously reported role for FS neurons in schizophrenia. Postmortem analysis of schizophrenic patient brains have shown a reduced expression of parvalbumin (PV) and GAD67 (Akbarian et al., 1995; Volk et al., 2000; Eyles et al., 2002; Lewis et al., 2005; Akbarian and Huang, 2006; Inan et al., 2013). In parallel, genetic ablation of NMDA receptors in PV-positive interneurons in rodents mimics important behavioral (Korotkova et al., 2010) and phenotypical features of the disease [reduction of GAD67 (Belforte et al., 2010), increase of neuronal excitability (Belforte et al., 2010), and increase of spontaneous γ power (Carlén et al., 2012; Billingslea et al., 2014; Nakao and Nakazawa, 2014)]. These observations support the idea that the hypofunction of NMDA receptors in PV-positive interneurons are especially important in this illness.

However, NMDA receptors are expressed in both GABAergic and glutamatergic neurons (Homayoun and Moghaddam, 2007), and it still remains unclear in which types of cells the NMDA receptor hypofunction causes schizophrenia (Gonzalez-Burgos and Lewis, 2012; Su et al., 2018). Some works reported conflicting results and have questioned the hypothesis that PV-positive Fast Spiking neurons play a role in Schizophrenia (Rotaru et al., 2011; Gonzalez-Burgos and Lewis, 2012).

In our model, the effect of NMDAR antagonists is to increase excitability because of disinhibition, consistent with a number of experimental observations (Lahti et al., 1995; Breier et al., 1997; Moghaddam et al., 1997; Vollenweider et al., 1997; Suzuki et al., 2002; Jackson et al., 2004). This increased excitability is accompanied by a γ power increase, as also found in experiments with ketamine (Plourde et al., 1997; Hong et al., 2010; Shaw et al., 2015) or in schizophrenic patients (Spencer et al., 2004, 2008, 2009; Flynn et al., 2008; Mulert et al., 2011; Grent-'t-Jong et al., 2018; Perrottelli et al., 2021). The model could reproduce all these experimental observations only assuming a larger decrease of the NMDA synaptic strengths in FS cells than in RS cells (Fig. 3A). These results support the idea sustained by some authors (Gonzalez-Burgos and Lewis, 2008), that PV-positive Fast Spiking inhibitory neurons play a key role in schizophrenia. Another modeling study also stressed the importance of NMDA channels into FS neurons (Spencer, 2009). Thus, models support the view that the hypofunction of NMDA receptors on FS cells could explain a number of features typical of schizophrenia, such as anomalous responses and boosted γ oscillations.

References

- Akbarian S, Huang HS (2006) Molecular and cellular mechanisms of altered gad1/gad67 expression in schizophrenia and related disorders. Brain Res Rev 52:293–304.
- Akbarian S, Kim JJ, Potkin SG, Hagman JO, Tafazzoli A, Bunney WE, Jones EG (1995) Gene expression for glutamic acid decarboxylase is reduced without loss of neurons in prefrontal cortex of schizophrenics. Arch Gen Psychiatry 52:258–266.

- Belforte JE, Zsiros V, Sklar ER, Jiang Z, Yu G, Li Y, Quinlan EM, Nakazawa K (2010) Postnatal NMDA receptor ablation in corticolimbic interneurons confers schizophrenia-like phenotypes. Nat Neurosci 13:76–83.
- Bellingham MC, Lim R, Walmsley B (1998) Developmental changes in epsc quantal size and quantal content at a central glutamatergic synapse in rat. J Physiol 511 [Pt 3]:861–869.
- Billingslea EN, Tatard-Leitman VM, Anguiano J, Jutzeler CR, Suh J, Saunders JA, Morita S, Featherstone RE, Ortinski PI, Gandal MJ, Lin R, Liang Y, Gur RE, Carlson GC, Hahn CG, Siegel SJ (2014) Parvalbumin cell ablation of NMDA-R1 causes increased resting network excitability with associated social and self-care deficits. Neuropsychopharmacology 39:1603–1613.
- Bouyer J, Montaron M, Rougeul A (1981) Fast fronto-parietal rhythms during combined focused attentive behaviour and immobility in cat: cortical and thalamic localizations. Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol 51:244–252.
- Bozikas VP, Andreou C (2011) Longitudinal studies of cognition in first episode psychosis: a systematic review of the literature. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 45:93–108.
- Breier A, Malhotra AK, Pinals DA, Weisenfeld NI, Pickar D (1997) Association of ketamine-induced psychosis with focal activation of the prefrontal cortex in healthy volunteers. Am J Psychiatry 154:805–811.
- Brette R, Gerstner W (2005) Adaptive exponential integrate-andfire model as an effective description of neuronal activity. J Neurophysiol 94:3637–3642.
- Brunel N (2000) Dynamics of sparsely connected networks of excitatory and inhibitory spiking neurons. J Comput Neurosci 8:183– 208.
- Carlén M, Meletis K, Siegle JH, Cardin JA, Futai K, Vierling-Claassen D, Rühlmann C, Jones SR, Deisseroth K, Sheng M, Moore Cl, Tsai LH (2012) A critical role for NMDA receptors in parvalbumin interneurons for gamma rhythm induction and behavior. Mol Psychiatry 17:537–548.
- Carr MF, Karlsson MP, Frank LM (2012) Transient slow gamma synchrony underlies hippocampal memory replay. Neuron 75:700– 713.
- Colgin LL, Denninger T, Fyhn M, Hafting T, Bonnevie T, Jensen O, Moser MB, Moser EI (2009) Frequency of gamma oscillations routes flow of information in the hippocampus. Nature 462:353–357.
- Daskalakis ZJ, Fitzgerald PB, Christensen BK (2007) The role of cortical inhibition in the pathophysiology and treatment of schizophrenia. Brain Res Rev 56:427–442.
- Destexhe A, Rudolph M, Paré D (2003) The high-conductance state of neocortical neurons in vivo. Nat Rev Neurosci 4:739–751.
- Eyles D, McGrath J, Reynolds G (2002) Neuronal calcium-binding proteins and schizophrenia. Schizophr Res 57:27–34.
- Faber DS, Korn H (1980) Single-shot channel activation accounts for duration of inhibitory postsynaptic potentials in a central neuron. Science 208:612–615.
- Flynn G, Alexander D, Harris A, Whitford T, Wong W, Galletly C, Silverstein S, Gordon E, Williams LM (2008) Increased absolute magnitude of gamma synchrony in first-episode psychosis. Schizophr Res 105:262–271.
- Fries P (2005) A mechanism for cognitive dynamics: neuronal communication through neuronal coherence. Trends Cogn Sci 9:474– 480.
- Fries P (2015) Rhythms for cognition: communication through coherence. Neuron 88:220–235.
- Fries P, Reynolds JH, Rorie AE, Desimone R (2001) Modulation of oscillatory neuronal synchronization by selective visual attention. Science 291:1560–1563.
- Fries P, Nikolić D, Singer W (2007) The gamma cycle. Trends Neurosci 30:309–316.
- Goldman JS, Tort-Colet N, Di Volo M, Susin E, Bouté J, Dali M, Carlu M, Nghiem TA, Górski T, Destexhe A (2019) Bridging single neuron dynamics to global brain states. Front Syst Neurosci 13:75.

- Gonzalez-Burgos G, Lewis DA (2008) Gaba neurons and the mechanisms of network oscillations: implications for understanding cortical dysfunction in schizophrenia. Schizophr Bull 34:944–961.
- Gonzalez-Burgos G, Lewis DA (2012) NMDA receptor hypofunction, parvalbumin-positive neurons, and cortical gamma oscillations in schizophrenia. Schizophr Bull 38:950–957.
- Götz T, Kraushaar U, Geiger J, Lübke J, Berger T, Jonas P (1997) Functional properties of AMPA and NMDA receptors expressed in identified types of basal ganglia neurons. J Neurosci 17:204–215.
- Gregoriou GG, Gotts SJ, Zhou H, Desimone R (2009) High-frequency, long-range coupling between prefrontal and visual cortex during attention. Science 324:1207–1210.
- Grent-'t-Jong T, Gross J, Goense J, Wibral M, Gajwani R, Gumley AI, Lawrie SM, Schwannauer M, Schultze-Lutter F, Navarro Schröder T, Koethe D, Leweke FM, Singer W, Uhlhaas PJ (2018) Restingstate gamma-band power alterations in schizophrenia reveal E/Ibalance abnormalities across illness-stages. Elife 7:e37799.
- Grunze HC, Rainnie DG, Hasselmo ME, Barkai E, Hearn EF, McCarley RW, Greene RW (1996) NMDA-dependent modulation of ca1 local circuit inhibition. J Neurosci 16:2034–2043.
- Gunduz-Bruce H (2009) The acute effects of NMDA antagonism: from the rodent to the human brain. Brain Res Rev 60:279–286.
- Hakami T, Jones NC, Tolmacheva EA, Gaudias J, Chaumont J, Salzberg M, O'Brien TJ, Pinault D (2009) NMDA receptor hypofunction leads to generalized and persistent aberrant γ oscillations independent of hyperlocomotion and the state of consciousness. PLoS One 4:e6755.
- Henrie JA, Shapley R (2005) LFP power spectra in V1 cortex: the graded effect of stimulus contrast. J Neurophysiol 94:479–490.
- Hoffman RE, Cavus I (2002) Slow transcranial magnetic stimulation, long-term depotentiation, and brain hyperexcitability disorders. Am J Psychiatry 159:1093–1102.
- Hoffman RE, Hawkins KA, Gueorguieva R, Boutros NN, Rachid F, Carroll K, Krystal JH (2003) Transcranial magnetic stimulation of left temporoparietal cortex and medication-resistant auditory hallucinations. Arch Gen Psychiatry 60:49–56.
- Holt GR, Softky WR, Koch C, Douglas RJ (1996) Comparison of discharge variability in vitro and in vivo in cat visual cortex neurons. J Neurophysiol 75:1806–1814.
- Homayoun H, Moghaddam B (2007) NMDA receptor hypofunction produces opposite effects on prefrontal cortex interneurons and pyramidal neurons. J Neurosci 27:11496–11500.
- Hong LE, Summerfelt A, Buchanan RW, O'Donnell P, Thaker GK, Weiler MA, Lahti AC (2010) Gamma and delta neural oscillations and association with clinical symptoms under subanesthetic ketamine. Neuropsychopharmacology 35:632–640.
- Inan M, Petros TJ, Anderson SA (2013) Losing your inhibition: linking cortical GABAergic interneurons to schizophrenia. Neurobiol Dis 53:36–48.
- Jackson ME, Homayoun H, Moghaddam B (2004) NMDA receptor hypofunction produces concomitant firing rate potentiation and burst activity reduction in the prefrontal cortex. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:8467–8472.
- Jahr CE, Stevens CF (1990) Voltage dependence of NMDA-activated macroscopic conductances predicted by single-channel kinetics. J Neurosci 10:3178–3182.
- Javitt DC, Zukin SR (1991) Recent advances in the phencyclidine model of schizophrenia. Am J Psychiatry 148:1301–1308.
- Jones NC, Reddy M, Anderson P, Salzberg MR, O'Brien TJ, Pinault D (2012) Acute administration of typical and atypical antipsychotics reduces EEG γ power, but only the preclinical compound LY379268 reduces the ketamine-induced rise in γ power. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 15:657–668.
- Kalsi SS, Wood DM, Dargan PI (2011) The epidemiology and patterns of acute and chronic toxicity associated with recreational ketamine use. Emerg Health Threats J 4:7107.
- Karayannis T, Huerta-Ocampo I, Capogna M (2007) GABAergic and pyramidal neurons of deep cortical layers directly receive and differently integrate callosal input. Cereb Cortex 17:1213–1226.

- Koch C, Massimini M, Boly M, Tononi G (2016) Neural correlates of consciousness: progress and problems. Nat Rev Neurosci 17:307–321.
- Kocsis B (2012) Differential role of NR2A and NR2B subunits in Nmethyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonist-induced aberrant cortical gamma oscillations. Biol Psychiatry 71:987–995.
- Korotkova T, Fuchs EC, Ponomarenko A, von Engelhardt J, Monyer H (2010) NMDA receptor ablation on parvalbumin-positive interneurons impairs hippocampal synchrony, spatial representations, and working memory. Neuron 68:557–569.
- Krystal JH, Karper LP, Seibyl JP, Freeman GK, Delaney R, Bremner JD, Heninger GR, Bowers MB, Charney DS (1994) Subanesthetic effects of the noncompetitive NMDA antagonist, ketamine, in humans: psychotomimetic, perceptual, cognitive, and neuroendocrine responses. Arch Gen Psychiatry 51:199–214.
- Lahti AC, Holcomb HH, Medoff DR, Tamminga CA (1995) Ketamine activates psychosis and alters limbic blood flow in schizophrenia. Neuroreport 6:869–872.
- Lazzaro VD, Oliviero A, Profice P, Pennisi M, Pilato F, Zito G, Dileone M, Nicoletti R, Pasqualetti P, Tonali P (2003) Ketamine increases human motor cortex excitability to transcranial magnetic stimulation. J Physiol 547:485–496.
- Lee KH, Williams LM, Breakspear M, Gordon E (2003) Synchronous gamma activity: a review and contribution to an integrative neuroscience model of schizophrenia. Brain Res Brain Res Rev 41:57–78.
- Lewis DA, Hashimoto T, Volk DW (2005) Cortical inhibitory neurons and schizophrenia. Nat Rev Neurosci 6:312–324.
- Ling DS, Benardo LS (1995a) Recruitment of GABAA inhibition in rat neocortex is limited and not NMDA dependent. J Neurophysiol 82:1793–1807.
- Ling DS, Benardo LS (1995b) Activity-dependent depression of monosynaptic fast IPSCs in hippocampus: contributions from reductions in chloride driving force and conductance. Brain Res 670:142–146.
- Melloni L, Molina C, Pena M, Torres D, Singer W, Rodriguez E (2007) Synchronization of neural activity across cortical areas correlates with conscious perception. J Neurosci 27:2858–2865.
- Merabet LB, Kobayashi M, Barton J, Pascual-Leone A (2003) Suppression of complex visual hallucinatory experiences by occipital transcranial magnetic stimulation: a case report. Neurocase 9:436–440.
- Moghaddam B, Adams B, Verma A, Daly D (1997) Activation of glutamatergic neurotransmission by ketamine: a novel step in the pathway from NMDA receptor blockade to dopaminergic and cognitive disruptions associated with the prefrontal cortex. J Neurosci 17:2921–2927.
- Mulert C, Kirsch V, Pascual-Marqui R, McCarley RW, Spencer KM (2011) Long-range synchrony of γ oscillations and auditory hallucination symptoms in schizophrenia. Int J Psychophysiol 79:55–63.
- Nakao K, Nakazawa K (2014) Brain state-dependent abnormal LFP activity in the auditory cortex of a schizophrenia mouse model. Front Neurosci 8:168.
- Nicolás MJ, López-Azcárate J, Valencia M, Alegre M, Pérez-Alcázar M, Iriarte J, Artieda J (2011) Ketamine-induced oscillations in the motor circuit of the rat basal ganglia. PLoS One 6:e21814.
- O'Keefe J, Recce ML (1993) Phase relationship between hippocampal place units and the EEG theta rhythm. Hippocampus 3:317– 330.
- Perouansky M, Yaari Y (1993) Kinetic properties of NMDA receptormediated synaptic currents in rat hippocampal pyramidal cells versus interneurones. J Physiol 465:223–244.
- Perrottelli A, Giordano GM, Brando F, Giuliani L, Mucci A (2021) EEG-based measures in at-risk mental state and early stages of schizophrenia: a systematic review. Front Psychiatry 12:653642.
- Pesaran B, Pezaris JS, Sahani M, Mitra PP, Andersen RA (2002) Temporal structure in neuronal activity during working memory in macaque parietal cortex. Nat Neurosci 5:805–811.

- Pinault D (2008) N-methyl d-aspartate receptor antagonists ketamine and MK-801 induce wake-related aberrant γ oscillations in the rat neocortex. Biol Psychiatry 63:730–735.
- Plourde G, Baribeau J, Bonhomme V (1997) Ketamine increases the amplitude of the 40-Hz auditory steady-state response in humans. Br J Anaesth 78:524–529.
- Rodriguez E, George N, Lachaux JP, Martinerie J, Renault B, Varela FJ (1999) Perception's shadow: long-distance synchronization of human brain activity. Nature 397:430–433.
- Rotaru DC, Yoshino H, Lewis DA, Ermentrout GB, Gonzalez-Burgos G (2011) Glutamate receptor subtypes mediating synaptic activation of prefrontal cortex neurons: relevance for schizophrenia. J Neurosci 31:142–156.
- Rougeul-Buser A, Bouyer J, Buser P (1975) From attentiveness to sleep. a topographical analysis of localized "synchronized" activities on the cortex of normal cat and monkey. Acta Neurobiol Exp (Warsz) 35:805–819.
- Rouhinen S, Panula J, Palva JM, Palva S (2013) Load dependence of β and γ oscillations predicts individual capacity of visual attention. J Neurosci 33:19023–19033.
- Shadlen MN, Newsome WT (1998) The variable discharge of cortical neurons: implications for connectivity, computation, and information coding. J Neurosci 18:3870–3896.
- Shaw AD, Saxena N, Jackson LE, Hall JE, Singh KD, Muthukumaraswamy SD (2015) Ketamine amplifies induced gamma frequency oscillations in the human cerebral cortex. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 25:1136–1146.
- Sheer DE (1989) Focused arousal and the cognitive 40-hz event-related potentials: differential diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. Prog Clin Biol Res 317:79–94.
- Shenton ME, Dickey CC, Frumin M, McCarley RW (2001) A review of MRI findings in schizophrenia. Schizophr Res 49:1–52.
- Singer W (1999) Neuronal synchrony: a versatile code for the definition of relations? Neuron 24:49–65.
- Singer W, Gray CM (1995) Visual feature integration and the temporal correlation hypothesis. Annu Rev Neurosci 18:555–586.
- Slovik M, Rosin B, Moshel S, Mitelman R, Schechtman E, Eitan R, Raz A, Bergman H (2017) Ketamine induced converged synchronous gamma oscillations in the cortico-basal ganglia network of nonhuman primates. J Neurophysiol 118:917–931.
- Softky WR, Koch C (1993) The highly irregular firing of cortical cells is inconsistent with temporal integration of random EPSPs. J Neurosci 13:334–350.
- Spencer KM (2009) The functional consequences of cortical circuit abnormalities on gamma oscillations in schizophrenia: insights from computational modeling. Front Hum Neurosci 3:33.
- Spencer KM, Nestor PG, Perlmutter R, Niznikiewicz MA, Klump MC, Frumin M, Shenton ME, McCarley RW (2004) Neural synchrony indexes disordered perception and cognition in schizophrenia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:17288–17293.
- Spencer KM, Salisbury DF, Shenton ME, McCarley RW (2008) γ-Band auditory steady-state responses are impaired in first episode psychosis. Biol Psychiatry 64:369–375.
- Spencer KM, Niznikiewicz MA, Nestor PG, Shenton ME, McCarley RW (2009) Left auditory cortex gamma synchronization and auditory hallucination symptoms in schizophrenia. BMC Neurosci 10:85.
- Stimberg M, Brette R, Goodman DF (2019) Brian 2, an intuitive and efficient neural simulator. Elife 8:e47314.
- Su T, Lu Y, Geng Y, Lu W, Chen Y (2018) How could N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonists lead to excitation instead of inhibition? Brain Sci Adv 4:73–98.
- Susin E, Destexhe A (2021) Integration, coincidence detection and resonance in networks of spiking neurons expressing gamma oscillations and asynchronous states. PLoS Comput Biol 17: e1009416.
- Suzuki Y, Jodo E, Takeuchi S, Niwa S, Kayama Y (2002) Acute administration of phencyclidine induces tonic activation of medial prefrontal cortex neurons in freely moving rats. Neuroscience 114:769–779.

- Telenczuk B, Telenczuk M, Destexhe A (2020) A kernel-based method to calculate local field potentials from networks of spiking neurons. J Neurosci Methods 344:108871.
- Tiesinga P, Sejnowski TJ (2009) Cortical enlightenment: are attentional gamma oscillations driven by ING or PING? Neuron 63:727– 732.
- Uhlhaas PJ, Singer W (2010) Abnormal neural oscillations and synchrony in schizophrenia. Nat Rev Neurosci 11:100–113.
- Vinck M, Womelsdorf T, Buffalo EA, Desimone R, Fries P (2013) Attentional modulation of cell-class-specific gamma-band synchronization in awake monkey area v4. Neuron 80:1077–1089.
- Volk DW, Austin MC, Pierri JN, Sampson AR, Lewis DA (2000) Decreased glutamic acid decarboxylase67 messenger RNA expression in a subset of prefrontal cortical γ-aminobutyric acid neurons in subjects with schizophrenia. Arch Gen Psychiatry 57:237-245.
- Vollenweider F, Leenders K, Øye I, Hell D, Angst J (1997) Differential psychopathology and patterns of cerebral glucose utilisation produced by (S)-and (R)-ketamine in healthy volunteers using positron emission tomography (PET). Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 7:25–38.

- Wang HX, Gao WJ (2009) Cell type-specific development of NMDA receptors in the interneurons of rat prefrontal cortex. Neuropsychopharmacology 34:2028–2040.
- Widman AJ, McMahon LL (2018) Disinhibition of ca1 pyramidal cells by low-dose ketamine and other antagonists with rapid antidepressant efficacy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 115:E3007–E3016.
- Womelsdorf T, Fries P, Mitra PP, Desimone R (2006) Gamma-band synchronization in visual cortex predicts speed of change detection. Nature 439:733–736.
- Wood J, Kim Y, Moghaddam B (2012) Disruption of prefrontal cortex large scale neuronal activity by different classes of psychotomimetic drugs. J Neurosci 32:3022–3031.
- Zerlaut Y, Destexhe A (2017) Enhanced responsiveness and lowlevel awareness in stochastic network states. Neuron 94:1002– 1009.
- Zhang Y, Behrens MM, Lisman JE (2008) Prolonged exposure to NMDAR antagonist suppresses inhibitory synaptic transmission in prefrontal cortex. J Neurophysiol 100:959–965.