1	Robust organ size in Arabidopsis is primarily governed by cell growth rather than cell division
2	patterns
3	
4	Isabella Burda ^{1,2,3} , Chun-Biu Li ⁴ , Frances K. Clark ^{1,2,3} , Adrienne H. K. Roeder ^{1,2,3,†}
5	
6	1: Genetics, Genomics, and Development Graduate Program, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14850, USA
7	2: Weill Institute for Cell and Molecular Biology Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 14850, USA
8	3: School of Integrative Plant Science, Section of Plant Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 14850,
9	USA
10	4: Department of Mathematics, Stockholm University, Stockholm 10691, Sweden
11	
12	† Author for correspondence: ahr75@cornell.edu
13	
14	
15	Keywords: Arabidopsis thaliana, sepal, spatiotemporal averaging, FTSH4, endoreduplication, LGO,
16	morphogenesis, giant cells
17	
18	Summary statement: Robust sepal development is preserved despite changes in cell division rate and is
19	characterized by spatiotemporal averaging of heterogeneity in cell growth rate and direction.
20	
21	Abstract
22	Organ sizes and shapes are highly reproducible, or robust, within a species and individuals. Arabidopsis
23	thaliana sepals, which are the leaf-like organs that enclose flower buds, have consistent size and shape,
24	which indicates robust development. Counterintuitively, variability in cell growth rate over time and
25	between cells facilitates robust development because cumulative cell growth averages to a uniform rate.
26	Here we investigate how sepal morphogenesis is robust to changes in cell division but not robust to
27	changes in cell growth variability. We live image and quantitatively compare the development of sepals
28	with increased or decreased cell division rate (lgo mutant and LGO overexpression, respectively), a
29	mutant with altered cell growth variability (<i>ftsh4</i>), and double mutants combining these. We find that
30	robustness is preserved when cell division rate changes because there is no change in the spatial pattern of
31	growth. Meanwhile when robustness is lost in <i>ftsh4</i> mutants, cell growth accumulates unevenly, and cells
32	have disorganized growth directions. Thus, we demonstrate in vivo that both cell growth rate and
33	direction average in robust development, preserving robustness despite changes in cell division.
34	
25	

Introduction

36 Many aspects of development are robust, meaning that they have reproducible outcomes despite

37 internal or environmental noise. Many organs have robust size and shape, which is important for proper

function (Hong et al, 2018, Boulan and Leopold, 2021). *Arabidopsis thaliana* (hereafter Arabidopsis)

39 sepals, which are the leaf-like organs that enclose flower buds, have uniform size and shape which

40 indicates that development of size and shape is robust. (Hong et al, 2016; Zhu et al, 2020).

41 Organ size and cell size can be uncoupled during development, which is called compensation. It 42 has often been observed that changing cell size does not proportionally change organ size. Instead, an organ with less cells will have larger cell sizes, and an organ with more cells will have smaller cells. 43 44 Many mutants that exhibit compensation in leaf development have fewer cells per leaf, but those cells are 45 proportionally larger in size (Ferjani et al, 2007; Horiguchi and Tsukaya, 2011). The same trade-off 46 between cell size and cell number occurs in Drosophila wing development (Neufeld et al, 1998). Compensation is linked to a long-standing debate of whether cells or organs are considered the basic unit 47 48 of plants (Kaplan and Hagemann, 1991; Kaplan 1992) and indicates that control of organ size and shape

49 is complex.

50 Compensation has been observed in the Arabidopsis sepal when there is a change in the rate of 51 endoreduplication (Roeder et al, 2010; Robinson et al, 2018). Endoreduplication is an alternative to 52 mitosis in which DNA replication occurs without cell division producing a cell with increased ploidy 53 (Trass et al, 1998; Veydler et al, 2011). Endoreduplication is necessary for the differentiation of 54 specialized epidermal cell types such as trichomes and giant cells (Churchman et al, 2006; Van Leene et 55 al, 2010; Kumar et al, 2015, Roeder et al, 2010; Robinson et al, 2018). The sepal epidermis has 56 endoreduplicated giant cells which are interspersed among smaller epidermal cells (Roeder et al, 2010). 57 Overexpression of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor LOSS OF GIANT CELLS FROM ORGANS 58 (LGO), also called SMR1, results in an increased number of giant cells that have undergone 59 endoreduplication whereas lgo-2 mutants have few to no giant cells (Roeder et al, 2010; Schwarz and 60 Roeder, 2016; Kumar et al, 2015). However, LGO overexpression (pATML1::LGO; hereafter LGOoe) 61 sepals have the same area as wild-type sepals, and *lgo-2* mutant sepals are only slightly larger in area than 62 wild type (Robinson et al, 2018). This occurs because LGOoe sepals have fewer cells and lgo-2 sepals

have more cells (Roeder et al, 2010; Robinson et al, 2018). Thus, compensation occurs to preserve final
organ size. However, sepal size and shape also need to be uniform throughout their development to stay
closed as the flower grows. It is not well understood how the sepal compensates for the change in cell

66 division during development.

67 Mutants with variable organ size and shape have been used to elucidate mechanisms generating 68 reproducible organ size and shape. For example, sepal size and shape are variable in the *drmy1* mutant 69 due to altered timing of the initiation of primordia from the floral meristem. Disrupted patterning of 70 cytokinin and auxin underlie the abnormal timing of primordia initiation (Zhu et al, 2020; Kong et al, 71 2023). Thus, nearly synchronous initiation of sepals promotes robust sepal size. Variable sepal size and

72 shape also occurs in *vip3*, due to noisy transcription (Trinh et al, 2023). In addition, the mitochondrial 73 protease mutant *ftsh4-5* has variable sepal size and shape which results from elevated levels of reactive 74 oxygen species (Hong et al, 2016). FTSH4 (Filamentous temperature sensitive H 4) is an iAAA-protease 75 located in the inner mitochondrial membrane. Both its protease activity and chaperone activity have roles 76 in eliminating aggregated and carbonylated proteins in the mitochondria (Maziak et al, 2021) and *ftsh4* 77 mutants also have abnormal mitochondrial morphology (Gibala et al, 2009). Besides the variable organ 78 size and shape, a variety of developmental phenotypes have been reported in *ftsh4* mutants including 79 delayed bolting (Gibala et al, 2009; Dolzblasz et al 2016), delayed germination, and lower leaf production 80 (Gibala et al, 2009) in *ftsh4-1* and *ftsh4-2* and dwarfism and axillary branching (Zhang et al, 2014) in 81 ftsh4-4. Other developmental phenotypes occur when ftsh4 mutants are grown under heat stress 82 conditions, such as shorter stems and lack of siliques (Dolzblasz et al, 2016). Short day conditions also 83 cause developmental phenotypes in *ftsh4* mutants, such as serrated leaves with abnormal patterning of 84 palisade cells and spongy mesophyll (Gibala et al, 2009). The phenotypes are ameliorated by decreasing 85 reactive oxygen species (Zhang et al, 2014; Hong et al, 2016). Further, signs of oxidative stress increase 86 with age in *ftsh4* (Gibala et al, 2009; Dolzblasz et al, 2016), and the abnormal morphology of *ftsh4* leaves 87 is also associated with increased levels of reactive oxygen species as the plant ages (Gibala et al, 2009). 88 These findings indicate that the developmental phenotypes, including loss of robust sepal development, 89 are linked to the loss of function of FTSH4 and consequent increases in reactive oxygen species (Gibala et

90 al, 2009; Hong et al, 2016).

91 Counterintuitively, robust development is linked to heterogeneity in growth rates. Nearby 92 epidermal cells can have up to four-fold difference in growth rate (Elsner et al, 2012). Since cell walls 93 prevent plant cells from moving relative to each other, heterogeneity is generated at a subcellular scale, 94 with portions of the cell wall within a cell growing at different rates (Elsner et al, 2012). During sepal 95 development, epidermal cell growth rates vary both temporally (over the course of development) and 96 spatially (between cells at a given developmental time) (Tauriello et al, 2015; Hong et al, 2016; Le 97 Gloanec et al, 2022). Variability also results from differentiation of different epidermal cell types (Le 98 Gloanec et al. 2022). The averaging of spatial and temporal variability into even growth is termed 99 spatiotemporal averaging (Hong et al, 2016). Interestingly, there is decreased heterogeneity in cell growth 100 rates during *ftsh4-5* sepal development (Hong et al, 2016), suggesting that heterogeneity facilitates robust 101 development (Hong et al, 2016). Modeling indicates that robust development occurs because 102 heterogeneity that is spatially and temporally random averages over time and ensures even growth 103 throughout the organ (Hong et al, 2016).

Heterogeneity in growth rates has also been found to be important in other developmental contexts. The microtubule severing protein mutant *katanin* has less heterogeneity in growth rates and has abnormal morphology of organ primordia (Uyttewaal et al, 2012). Modeling suggests that the ability for microtubules to reorient in response to tension, and thus resist growth in the direction of tension,

108 increases heterogeneity in growth rates. The ability to amplify heterogeneity likely allows primordia 109 emergence from the meristem, because the primordia grows faster than the boundary region (Uyttewaal et 110 al, 2012). Heterogeneous cell growth rates also occur in response to differentiation of trichomes and serve 111 to preserve organ shape despite variation in trichome number (Hervieux et al, 2017). The initial fast 112 growth and then subsequent slow growth of trichomes causes nearby cells to restrict their growth rates, 113 thus acting as a buffer and preventing organ shape change (Hervieux et al, 2017, Le Gloanec et al, 114 2022). Thus, heterogeneity could be a response to noise and cell type differentiation during development 115 and facilitate robustness of organ size and shape development.

116 Here, we test the role of cell division in robustness development by increasing and decreasing cell 117 division rate in the wild type and *ftsh4-5* background. We use LGO expression level to modulate cell 118 division rate and *ftsh4-5* to alter robustness. Then we perform time lapse imaging to understand how 119 growth is affected in the developing sepal. We find that the spatial pattern of cell growth and cell growth 120 direction are the same in WT, overexpression of LGO, and a lgo null mutant despite changes in cell size. 121 The preserved pattern of cell growth and cell growth direction explains how sepal morphogenesis is 122 robust to changes in cell division. In contrast, the spatial pattern of cell growth and cell growth direction 123 are altered by *ftsh4-5* and double mutants. Further, in the wild-type background, the variability of growth 124 averages over time to produce even growth and organized growth direction despite changes in division 125 rate. However, in the *ftsh4-5* background, cell growth accumulates unevenly over time and cells grow in 126 disorganized directions. The defects in *ftsh4-5* cell growth are not ameliorated or accentuated by changes 127 in cell division rate. Together, our results suggest that robust sepal development is driven by localization 128 of growth rather than cell division and shows in vivo that heterogeneity in growth averages to produce 129 uniform growth.

130

131 Results

132 Robustness of sepal size and shape is not affected by cell division

133 To test whether cell division affects robustness of sepal size and shape, we used the loss of 134 function *lgo-2* allele, which increases cell division, and the gain of function *pATML1::LGO* transgenic plants (hereafter referred to as LGOoe), which decreases cell division in the sepal epidermis. Wild type 135 136 (WT), *lgo-2*, and *LGOoe* have sepals that appear uniform in size and shape (Figure 1A-C). Double 137 mutants were made with *ftsh4-5*, which has sepals of variable size and shape (Hong et al, 2016)(Figure 1D). In the mature flower, both lgo-2 ftsh4-5 and LGOoe ftsh4-5 have variable sepal size and shape 138 139 similar to *ftsh4* single mutant, indicating that the *ftsh4-5* morphology remains when cell division rate 140 changes (Figure 1E-F). During flower development, four sepals enclose each flower bud, and the sepals 141 must have robust size and shape to maintain closure of the bud. WT and lgo-2 buds are closed (Figure 142 1G-H) whereas LGOoe buds often have small gaps between adjacent sepals (Figure 1I) due to decreased 143 sepal width which prevents the sepals from fully wrapping around the flower and was previously reported 144 (Roeder et al, 2012). In contrast, *ftsh4-5*, *lgo-2 ftsh4- 5*, and *LGOoe ftsh4-5* often have large gaps between

sepals, particularly when buds have sepals with large differences in size or shape (Figure 1J-L).

- 146 Therefore, the phenotypes of WT, *LGOoe*, and *lgo-2* are indicative of robust sepal development whereas
- 147 the phenotypes of *ftsh4-5*, *lgo-2 ftsh4-5*, and *LGOoe ftsh4-5* are indicative of a loss of robustness.

148 To quantify robustness, all four sepals were dissected from mature flowers (n=25), photographed, 149 and then contours outlining the sepal shapes were segmented from the photographs. To quantify the 150 variability in size within a flower, standard deviation of sepal area within one flower was calculated. WT, 151 *lgo-2*, and *LGOoe* have similar levels of variability in sepal size, and have less variability in sepal size compared to *ftsh4-5*, *lgo-2 ftsh4-5*, and *LGOoe ftsh4-5* respectively (Figure 1M) (the difference between 152 WT and *ftsh4-5* shows the same trend as the other but does not reach statistical significance). To quantify 153 154 variability in shape, contours were normalized by size. WT, lgo-2, and LGOoe (Figure 1N-P, S1A-C) 155 have similar levels of variability around the average sepal shape, and have less variability compared to ftsh4-5, lgo-2 ftsh4-5, and LGOoe ftsh4-5 respectively (Figure 1Q-T, SD-F) (the difference between lgo-2 156 157 and *lgo-2 ftsh4-5* is statistically significant and the others how the same trend but do not reach statistical 158 significance). Low variability in sepal size and shape explains the closure of the flower bud in WT, *lgo-2* 159 as well as the mostly closed flower buds in LGOoe. Increased variability in sepal size and shape explains 160 the opened flower buds in *ftsh4-5*, *lgo-2 ftsh4-5*, and *LGOoe ftsh4-5*. Our results show that uniformity of

- sepal size and shape within a flower is preserved when cell division rate is increased or decreased.
- 162 Similarly, the *ftsh4-5* variability of sepal size and shape is unaffected by cell division rate.
- 163

164 *LGO* expression changes cell division rate in WT and *ftsh4-5* backgrounds during development

165 To determine how sepal shape robustness is preserved despite extreme changes in cell division rate, 166 we time lapse imaged living sepals during development. Sepals from each genotype were imaged every 167 24 hours for 6 days (n=3). Abaxial sepals were used because they face outwards, making them the most 168 accessible for imaging. Flowers at stage 5 of development, when the sepals are about to enclose the floral 169 meristem (Smyth, 1990), were chosen for the start of time lapse imaging. This time series captures earlier 170 stages of development than had been imaged previously (Hong et al. 2016), and it spans the time during 171 which *ftsh4-5* phenotype first becomes visible. MorphoGraphX (Barbier de Reuille et al 2015; Strauss et 172 al, 2022) was used for segmentation of cell and lineage tracking in two and a half dimensions on the 173 curved surface of the sepal.

- To measure the extent to which genotype changed cell division rate during the time-lapse, the number of daughter cells per lineage over the 6-day time series was calculated. WT has a combination of giant cells that never divide that are interspersed with dividing lineages with smaller cells (Fig 2A, S2A). *lgo-2*
- has more daughter cells per lineage, and few to no cells that never divide (Fig 2B, S2B). *LGOoe* has
- 178 fewer daughter cell per lineage and many non-dividing giant cells (Figure 2C, S2C). Thus, the expression
- 179 level of *LGO* successfully modulates cell division rate. *ftsh4-5* (Figure 2D, S2D) has slightly fewer

180 daughter cells per lineage than WT, and a similar amount of nondividing lineages. lgo-2 ftsh4-5 has more daughter cells per lineage than *ftsh4-5*, and few to no non-dividing cells (Figure 2E, S2E). LGOoe ftsh4-5 181 182 has fewer daughter cells per lineage than *ftsh4-5*, and mostly non-dividing giant cells (Figure 2F, S2F). 183 Our results demonstrate that LGO expression level successfully modulates division rate in the *ftsh4-5* 184 background as well as WT (Fig 2G). The count of nondividing cells in each genotype follows the same 185 trend as the division rate but does not reach significance (Fig 2H). We conclude the phenotypes of the 186 double mutants are additive, indicating that LGO and FTSH4 function in separate pathways. Our results 187 confirm that these genotypes can be used to test how cell division affects robustness in young developing 188 sepals.

- 189
- 190

Cell division rate progressively changes cell size during development

191 To determine how changes in cell division affect cell size during development, cell area was measured at each time point throughout the course of time lapse imaging. At the start of the time lapse 192 193 imaging, cell areas of WT, *lgo-2* and *LGOoe* are relatively homogenous and similar between genotypes. The mean cell size of lgo-2 is the smallest at 99.4 μ m², the mean cell size of LGOoe is the largest at 157 194 μ m², and the mean cell size of WT is 106 μ m² (Figure 3A,D, Figure S3A-F). At the 48 and 72 hr time 195 points, WT has a larger range of cell areas due to the differentiation of giant cells interspersed among 196 197 smaller cells (Fig 3A,D S3A-B). The WT cell size distribution continues to widen in later time points (Fig 3A, S3M). The largest cells are differentiating into giant cells, which continue to endoreduplicate and 198 grow in area (Roeder et al, 2010). Cell areas of *lgo-2* remain smaller and more homogenous than WT (Fig 199 200 3B,D FigS3C-D, M) and cell areas of LGOoe, get progressively larger (Fig3C-D Fig3SE-F,M). At the final time point, the mean cell size of lgo-2 is the smallest at 221 μ m², the mean cell size of LGOoe is the 201 largest at 797 µm², and the mean cell size of WT is 342 µm². *ftsh4-5* (Fig 3D-E, Fig S2G-H), *lgo-2 ftsh4-*202 203 5 (Fig 3D,F, Fig S3 I-J), and LGOoe ftsh4-5 (Fig 3D-G, Fig S3 K-M) mirror the cell area distributions of WT, *lgo-2* and *LGOoe* respectively. Therefore, the trade-off between cell size and cell division rate 204 205 becomes pronounced during these developmental stages. Multidimensional scaling, which represents the 206 differences in distributions as 2D distances, was used to analyze the cell areas in each time point and 207 genotype. This reveals that cell areas of all genotypes cluster together at the 0 hr and 24 hr time points. 208 LGOoe and LGOoe ftsh4-5 no longer cluster with the other genotypes starting at the 48 hr time point. Then at the 72, 96, and 120 hr time points, WT and *ftsh4-5* cluster while *lgo-2* and *lgo-2 ftsh4-5* form 209 210 another cluster (Figure S4). In summary, genotypes with different cell division rates have similar 211 distributions of cell areas at the beginning of the time lapse imaging and become progressively different 212 over time. The distribution of cell areas is not affected by *ftsh4-5*. Therefore, over the course of the time 213 lapse, there is divergence in cell size distributions that is dependent on LGO expression level but not on 214 ftsh4-5.

215

216 Changing division rate does not change spatial localization of divisions within the developing sepal

217 To further characterize differences in cell division based on *LGO* expression and *ftsh4-5*, we

examined the spatial localization of cell division over 24-hour time intervals. Cell divisions are

represented as the change in number of cells in a lineage over each time interval. In WT, cell division is

220 localized more densely at the distal half of the sepal at 0-24 hrs, then and progresses proximally towards

the base over time (Fig 4A, Fig S2G-H). This common spatial pattern is called a basipetal gradient

222 (Hervieux et al, 201). Interestingly, *lgo-2* (Figure 4B, S2I-J) and *LGOoe* (Figure 4C, S2K-L) cell division

is also localized in a basipetal gradient, but with increased and decreased divisions respectively.

224 Therefore, cell division rate does not affect the localization of cell division.

In *ftsh4-5*, cell division has less tight distal localization at 0-24 hrs compared to WT. In later time

points, cell division is more proximal, but localized in patches rather than a band that spans the sepal (Fig

4D. Fig S2M-N). Similar to *ftsh4-5*, division in *lgo-2 ftsh4-5* sepals does not show clear distal localization

at the early time points. Neither *lgo-2 ftsh4-5* nor *LGOoe ftsh4-5* exhibit a band-like localization of cell

division (Fig 3E,F, FigS2O-R). Our results indicate that changing the rate of cell division does not change

the localization of cell divisions during development. However, *ftsh4-5* slightly alters the localization ofcell division.

232

233 Changing division rate does not change the spatial pattern of cell growth

234 To understand how dramatic differences in cell division rate are compensated to have little effect 235 on sepal shape, we examined cell growth. The epidermal cell layer drives morphogenesis (Savaldi-236 Goldstein, 2007), so we focus our analysis on measuring cell growth in the epidermis. In WT, the highest 237 rates of cell growth are localized distally at the 0-24 hr time interval, and then cell growth forms a band-238 like localization that becomes more proximal over each successive time interval (Fig 5A, S5A-B). This 239 basipetal pattern matches previously described sepal growth (Hervieux et al, 2016, Hong et al, 2016). 240 Localization of cell growth in *lgo-2* (Fig 5B, S5C-D) and *LGOoe* (Fig 5C, S5E-F) is remarkably similar 241 to that of WT. Thus, cell division rate has little effect on sepal shape because localization of cell growth is

unchanged.

However, the localization of cell growth in *ftsh4-5* differs from WT, *lgo-2*, and *LGOoe*. The
sepals of *ftsh4-5* have localization of cell growth that appears patchy rather than band-like (Fig 5E, S5G-

H). The patches can appear as faster growth that is spatially localized only on one side of the sepal or fast

growth that persists for most of the time lapse. The localization of growth in *lgo-2 ftsh4-5* (Fig 5F, Fig

247 S5I-J) and *LGOoe ftsh4-5* (Fig 5G, Fig S5K-L) matches that of *ftsh4-5* in that it is patchy rather than

band-like. Strikingly, some *lgo-2 ftsh4-5* sepals have especially clear boundaries between fast and slow

growing patches. Therefore, growth localization is patchy and variable in the *ftsh4-5* background and is

250 not affected by cell division rate.

251 Notably, the frequency distributions of cell growth rates of *ftsh4-5*, *lgo-2 ftsh4-5*, and *LGOoe* ftsh4-5 do not differ from each other or from WT, lgo-2, and LGOoe (Fig 5D). Multidimensional scaling 252 253 was used to compare the differences in the distributions of cell growth rates between genotypes and time 254 points. This analysis reveals that all genotypes loosely cluster by time interval (developmental stage) but 255 not by genotype (Fig S6) Thus, the localization of cell growth is disrupted in genotypes with a loss of 256 robustness of shape, but not the rate of growth. WT, lgo-2, and LGOoe, which have robust sepal 257 development, have the same spatial patterns of cell growth, whereas in *ftsh4-5*, *lgo-2 ftsh4-5* and *LGOoe* 258 ftsh4-5, each replicate has a different spatial pattern of growth.

259

Cell division and cell area growth colocalize but occur independently 260

261 We examined the relationship between cell area growth and cell division because both exhibit a 262 basipetal gradient in WT, lgo-2, and LGOoe. To compare the localization of cell area growth and cell division, cells that formed from divisions were outlined on the cell growth heat maps. This reveals that 263 264 fast cell growth and cell division colocalize to the same regions of the sepal, although the fastest growing 265 cells are not necessarily the ones that divide in WT (Fig 5A, S5A-B), lgo-2 (Fig 5B, S5C-D), and LGOoe 266 (Fig 5C, S5E-F). Interestingly, fast cell area growth and cell division also colocalize in *ftsh4-5* (Fig 5E S5G-H), lgo-2 ftsh4-5 (Fig 5F, S5I-J), and LGOoe ftsh4-5 (Fig 5G, S5K-L) despite the patchy localization 267 268 patterns. Thus, across all genotypes, cells that divide in a given 24 hr interval tend to have greater cell area growth compared to cells that do not divide (Fig S7). The independence of cell growth from cell 269 270 division in individual cells explains the robustness of sepal size and shape despite changes in cell division 271 (Robinson et al, 2018) (Figure 1).

272

273 Growth rate can vary within a cell

274 It is impressive that the basipetal pattern of growth is preserved in *LGOoe* considering giant cells span large vertical sections of the sepal. However, if giant cells had different amounts of expansion in 275 276 different regions of the cells, this may facilitate the preservation of the normal localization of cell area 277 growth. Different rates of growth within the same cell have been previously observed in the leaf (Elsner et 278 al, 2012). To test this hypothesis, giant cells were artificially subdivided into multiple "cells." Then cell 279 area heat maps were created with the artificial "cells" outlined in white. In both WT (Fig 6A, S8A-B) and 280 LGOoe (Fig 6B, S8C-D), different regions of the giant cells often had different amounts of area growth. 281 Our data supports the conclusion that *LGOoe* basipetal growth is achieved by different growth rates 282 within cells.

283

Spatiotemporal averaging of heterogeneous cell growth rate occurs when cell division is altered, but 284 285 not in *ftsh4* mutants

286 We have previously proposed that spatiotemporal averaging of heterogeneous cell growth creates 287 robustness in sepal shape (Hong et al, 2016). Previously we have assessed spatiotemporal averaging only 288 in growth direction, not in growth rate. Here we assess spatiotemporal averaging in growth rate by 289 measuring cumulative growth over three days (spanning the 24 hr to 96 hr time point). If the 290 heterogeneous cell growth rates average, we expect the cumulative growth of cell lineages in the sepal 291 should become more uniform. Since spatiotemporal averaging occurs superimposed on the basipetal 292 growth gradient, we expect that this averaging will generate a band of relatively uniform fast cell growth 293 in the middle of the sepal surrounded by bands of slower cell growth at the tip and base. That is what we 294 observe in WT; cumulative three-day growth heat maps have a band of relatively uniform growth across 295 the medial-lateral axis in all three replicates (Fig 7A). Cells that are at the same location along the 296 proximal distal axis have similar amounts of growth. These bands of uniform cumulative growth are 297 consistent with the model that underlying heterogeneity in growth rates average over time into even growth across the organ. Cumulative growth rates form uniform bands in lgo-2 (Fig 7B) and LGOoe (Fig 298 299 7C) similar to WT, suggesting that spatiotemporal averaging of cell growth occurs despite changes in the 300 cell division rate.

301 In contrast, cumulative cell area growth over 3 days in ftsh4-5 sepals usually does not appear 302 uniforms; instead, the patchy growth accumulates into more pronounced patches of fast and slow growth 303 (Fig 7D), suggesting a lack of spatiotemporal averaging of cell growth over time. Although one ftsh4-5 304 replicate appears less patchy, it has a large region in the center that grows even faster than WT, 305 suggesting that the center of the sepal has excess growth. Every ftsh4-5 sepal has a different cumulative growth pattern, which fits with the variability of sepal size and shape phenotype. Cumulative cell area 306 307 growth is similarly patchy in lgo-2 ftsh4-5 (Fig 7E) and LGOoe ftsh4-5 (Fig 7F). In many of the 308 replicates, a patch of slower growing cells persists over time and intervenes between patches of faster 309 growing cells. Examining the same sample over consecutive time points (Figure 5E,F,G) and 310 cumulatively (Figure 7D, EF), shows that slower growing cells in ftsh4-5, ftsh4-5 lgo-2, and ftsh4-5 311 LGOoe mutants persist in their slow growth over consecutive time points, such that these same cells 312 appear as the cumulative slow growth patch. In comparison, a cell that is growing slowly in wild type, 313 lgo-2, or LGOoe is often growing faster in the next time point, allowing the growth rate to average over time, producing a moderate overall cumulative cell growth rate. Together, our data suggest that in ftsh4, 314 315 cell growth patterns are stabilized in time, resulting in the patchy cumulative growth indicating a loss of averaging. This cumulative patchy growth is expected to cause unpredictable, uneven expansion of the 316 317 organ. Furthermore, changing the rate of cell division does not alter the loss of spatiotemporal averaging 318 in ftsh4-5.

319

320 Cell growth direction is organized in even growth and disorganized in patchy growth

321 Cell area growth rate measures the magnitude of growth. However, the direction of cell expansion is also an important consideration for development of shape. Previously, it has been shown that direction 322 323 of cell growth averages over time to be aligned with the proximal-distal axis in WT sepals, and not in 324 ftsh4-5 sepals (Hong et al, 2016). To test whether cell division rate affects averaging of growth direction, 325 we examined the cumulative principal direction of growth over 3 days, which is the primary direction that 326 the cell or cell lineage expands over the time interval. In WT, lgo-2 and LGOoe, the cells in the band of 327 fast, even growth have principal directions of growth that appear aligned to each other and to the proximal-distal (base to tip) axis of the sepal (Figure 7A-C). A trichome is present in one WT replicate, 328 329 which is known to cause neighboring cells to alter growth (Hervieux et al, 2017), and explains the unaligned growth directions in those cells. However, in *ftsh4-5*, *lgo-2 ftsh4-5*, and *LGOoe ftsh4-5*, the 330 331 patches of fast growth have cells with variable directions instead of directions aligned with the proximal 332 distal axis (Figure 7D-F). Therefore, genotypes with robust shape have even tissue expansion in an organized direction whereas genotypes with loss of robustness of shape have patchy tissue expansion in 333 334 variable directions. Cell division rate does not affect the averaging of cell growth direction.

335

336 Even growth, but not patchy growth, overlaps with proximal-distal elongation

Although WT, lgo-2, and LGOoe have decreased variability in cell growth direction in regions of 337 338 faster growth, ftsh4-5, lgo-2 ftsh4-5, and LGOoe ftsh4-5, have increased variability in growth directions between cells in faster growing patches. To better compare the spatial localizations of proximal-distal 339 growth with the cell growth rates, 3-day principal directions of growth were converted to heat map values. 340 A value of 1 would signify equal amounts of proximal-distal and medial-lateral cell growth (isotropic 341 342 growth). A heat map value greater than one indicates that proximal-distal growth is greater than medial-343 lateral growth. In WT, lgo-2, and LGOoe, the greatest amount of proximal-distal growth is localized in a 344 band across the center of the sepal (Figure 7A-C) and colocalizes with fast cell growth. Further, greater cell growth is correlated with greater proximal-distal elongation (Fig7SA-C). This trend is different in 345 346 ftsh4-5, lgo-2 ftsh4-5, and LGOoe ftsh4-5. Instead, the cells with the greatest proximal-distal growth do 347 not colocalize with fast cell growth, and instead colocalize with patches of slow growth (Figure 7D-F). 348 Further, ftsh4-5, lgo-2 ftsh4-5, and LGOoe ftsh4-5 have a weaker correlation between growth rate and 349 proximal-distal growth (Figure S9D-F). Therefore, *ftsh4-5* causes a loss of colocalization of fast growth 350 and proximal distal elongation. The coordination of fast growth and proximal-distal growth in WT, lgo 351 and LGOoe is likely responsible for the uniform sepal size and shape. On the other hand, variability in 352 temporal and spatial location of growth and variable growth directions should both lead to variable organ 353 size and shape. 354

- 554
- 355 Discussion
- 356

357 Sepal development results in uniform organ size and shape in WT, indicating that development is 358 robust. The development of organs has been shown in many situations to be robust to changes in cell 359 division, a phenomenon known as compensation. To understand how compensation occurs and makes 360 sepal morphogenesis robust to changes in cell division, we time lapse imaged a mutant with increased cell 361 division rate (lgo-2), a transgenic plant with decreased cell division (LGOoe), a mutant with variable 362 organ size and shape (*ftsh4-5*), and double mutants. We confirm that sepal development is robust to 363 changes in cell division. Further, the loss of robustness in *ftsh4-5* is not affected by changing cell division 364 rate with *lgo-2* or *LGOoe*. We find that cell growth localization and growth direction are not affected by 365 the cell division rate, which explains robustness to changes in cell division. The change in cell division 366 rate without changing growth automatically generates the change in cell size observed during 367 compensation. For instance, increasing the division rate while maintaining the growth rate automatically 368 generates more smaller cells. Thus, our imaging reveals one mechanism through which compensation 369 occurs.

370 It has been previously proposed that WT sepal development is robust to heterogeneity in cell 371 growth rate through spatiotemporal averaging. Here we find further evidence of spatiotemporal averaging, 372 because cell growth rates form uniform bands of growth across the sepal. We find that the cells in the bands with the highest growth rates also align their growth to the proximal distal axis. Thus, each of these 373 374 WT developing sepals are expanding evenly and in the same direction, which should cause them to have 375 similar final sepal shapes. We find the same evidence of spatiotemporal averaging in lgo-2 and LGOoe as 376 in WT, revealing that sepal development is robust to changes in cell division both because cell division 377 does not change the growth patterns and because those growth patterns still undergo spatiotemporal 378 averaging.

379 Spatiotemporal averaging of growth rate and direction is disrupted in the *ftsh4-5*, *lgo-2 ftsh4-5*, 380 and LGOoe ftsh4-5 mutants, which also have a loss of robustness of shape. Instead, the tissue accumulates 381 patches of fast and slow growth, and the fastest growing cells are often not elongating in the proximal-382 distal direction. The patchy and disorganized growth direction suggests that these sepals will not be 383 uniform in shape. These results are consistent with previous modeling in which high spatial correlation in 384 growth rate causes regions of the tissue to grow different amounts, resulting in variable organ size and 385 shape (Hong et al, 2016). Therefore, averaging heterogeneity during development is necessary for robust 386 development of shape.

387

388 Robustness of shape is preserved despite changes in cell division

WT, *lgo-2*, and *LGOoe* have similar variability in mature sepal shape, indicating that robustness of sepal shape is not influenced by cell division rate. Further, the loss of robustness in *ftsh4-5* is not affected by changing cell division rate with *lgo-2* or *LGOoe*. The ability to preserve robust development of shape despite cell division stems from the preservation of the spatial localization of growth despite

393 changes in cell division rate. Growth occurs in a basipetal gradient in WT, *lgo-2*, and *LGOoe*. Further,

growth rates can vary at the subcellular level, which may assist *LGOoe* in replicating the basipetal growthgradient with mostly large cells that span different growth rate regions.

Robustness is preserved in *lgo-2* and *LGOoe*, which are cell division mutants which exhibit
compensation between cell size and cell number during the proliferative stages of organ development.
However, some mutants with decreased cell number exhibit compensation after the proliferative phase of
organ growth is over (Ferjani et al, 2007). This suggests that additional mechanisms to preserve
robustness can occur after the proliferative phase of organ development in addition to the spatiotemporal
averaging mechanism we have found that occurs during the proliferative phase.

402

403 Reproducible cell growth localization is associated with uniform sepal size and shape

A characteristic of the *ftsh4-5* phenotype is variability in both final sepal size and shape and the
localization of growth during development. The variability in the *ftsh4-5* phenotype allows for evaluation
of robustness of shape rather than development of a particular shape. This means that different replicates
of *ftsh4-5* were variable compared to each other whereas wild type replicates were more consistent.
Despite variability in sepal size and shape, a lack of averaging of heterogeneity, patchy growth rate, and

disorganized growth direction, were characteristic of all *ftsh4-5* sepals that were imaged. Therefore, these

characteristics are indicative of a loss of robust development rather than development of a certain size orshape.

412

413 Spatiotemporal averaging of heterogeneity occurs in vivo, and is preserved despite changes in cell 414 division rate

415 Previously it was found that WT has more spatiotemporal heterogeneity than *ftsh4-5* in cell area 416 growth rates (Hong et al, 2016). Modeling predicted that if a 2D growing shape has regions with different 417 specified growth rates, and if the growth rates frequently change, then the heterogeneity present at single 418 time points will average over time and cause all parts of the shape to have equal growth. There is less 419 spatial and temporal heterogeneity in *ftsh4-5* cells growth during sepal development (Hong et al, 2016). 420 Accordingly, the model was also modified to decrease spatial and temporal heterogeneity in growth by 421 removing changes in growth rate and increasing the size of regions with a specified growth rate. This 422 causes some parts of the shape to grow more than others, and there is variability in the final shapes when 423 the model is run multiple times (Hong et al, 2016). Here, we time lapse image sepal development for a 424 longer time period, which allows us to understand the long-term implications of heterogeneity and 425 spatiotemporal averaging in vivo. The patchy localization of growth observed in ftsh4-5, lgo-2 ftsh4-5, 426 and LGOoe ftsh4-5 is remarkably similar to the model. Therefore, the time-lapse imaging here supports 427 the findings of the model that spatiotemporal averaging of heterogeneous growth leads to robust sepal 428 development. Further, spatiotemporal averaging is preserved when cell division rate changes.

429

430 Colocalization of spatiotemporal averaging of growth rate and growth direction

431 Previously, it was found that heterogeneity in growth direction averaged over time in WT and not 432 in *ftsh4-5* (Hong et al, 2016). Here we find that averaging of growth direction occurs in the sepal cells 433 with the highest growth rates, which results in fast growth in an organized (proximal-distal) direction. 434 This coordination between growth rate and direction will lead to the development of a uniform, 435 reproducible shape. There is a different relationship between growth rate and direction in the *ftsh4-5* background. Instead, the fastest growing cells have growth directions that are not growing in the 436 proximal-distal direction and are not aligned within the organ. It remains to be determined whether 437 disorganized growth direction is independent of patchy growth, or if patchy growth rotates these cells 438 439 relative to the rest of the organ resulting in disorganized growth direction. Persistent patches of fast

- 440 growth with variable growth direction lead to variable organ shape.
- 441

442 Methods

443 Plant material

- 444 Accession *Col-0* plants are used as wild-type and all mutants are in *Col-0* background as well. Isolation of
- the *ftsh4-5* mutant is described in Hong et al, 2016. *ATML1p::LGO (LGOoe)* is from Roeder et al 2010.
- 446 The membrane marker *p35S::mCitrine-RCI2A* was crossed into *lgo-2*, *LGOoe* and *lgo-2 ftsh4-5*.
- 447 35S::mCitrine-RCI2A was transformed into LGOoe ftsh4-5 due to silencing. The epidermal specific
- 448 membrane marker *ML1::mCitrine-RCI2A* was used in *ftsh4-5* plants due to silencing.
- 449 Genotyping
- 450 The *lgo-2* mutation can be PCR genotyped with the primers CTTCCCTCTCACTTCTCCAA,
- 451 CCGAACACCAACAGATAATT, and TTGGGTGATGGTTCACGTAGTGGG. The WT band is 546
- 452 base pairs and the *lgo-2* band is 753 base pairs. The *ftsh4-5* mutation can be PCR genotyped with the
- 453 primers AGAAAGGACTCACTTTAAAGAACAGCCATG and TCCTCTGTCCTCGATAAGAGCTCC
- 454 followed by digesting the product with Nco1 which produces a WT band of 103 base pairs and a *ftsh4-5*
- 455 band of 124 base pairs. The *LGOoe* plants are easily distinguished by their phenotype of curled leaves.

456 Images of phenotypes and sepal shape variability quantification

- 457 Photographs of mature flowers and flower buds were taken with either a Canon A610 or an Excelis 4K
- 458 camera mounted on a Zeiss Stemi stereomicroscope. Sepals of mature flowers were dissected, placed on a
- 459 black background, flattened under a slide, and photographed using the Canon A610 camera mounted to a
- 460 dissecting microscope. Python programs, as described and available in Hong et al, 2016, were used to
- trace the outline of the sepal shapes and converted into contours of the shape and measurement of area.
- 462 Shapes were normalized by size and then the variability of shape was compared between genotypes.
- 463 Microscopy and Image Analysis

Inflorescences were dissected and mounted in apex culture media Hamant et al, 2014. Media contained
2.3 g/L Murashige and Skoog, 1% sucrose, 0.1% MES pH=5.8 media supplemented with vitamins (final
concentration of 100 µg/ml myoinositol, 1 ng/ml nicotinic acid, 1 ng/ml pyridoxine hydrochloride, 1
ng/ml thiamine hydrochloride, 2 ng/ml glycine), plant preservative mixture from Plant Cell Technology
which was used as 1000X stock, and 1.2% agarose. Plants then grew in 16 hr light 8hr dark conditions on

the media and were imaged with a Zeiss LSM710 confocal microscope once every 24 hrs for 6 days. A

470 20X water dipping objective with an NA of 1.0 (W Plan-APOCHROMAT 20x.1.0 DIC (US) VIS-IR)

471 was used. A 514 laser with a power of 5% was used for excitation. The voxel size was x=0.4151,

472 y=0.4151, $z = either 1.5 \mu m \text{ or } 0.5 \mu m$. The wavelengths detected were 519-622 nm. The zoom was 1.

- 473 The pinhole was 2.17 airy units= $3.4 \mu m$.
- 474

475 MorphoGraphX was used for image processing (Barbier de Reuille et al., 2015; Strauss et al., 2022). One

476 of two methods was used to detect the surface. Method one begins by trimming voxels of a trichome if

477 there is one was present, then Stack/Filters/Gaussian Blur Stack(X sigma=1, Y sigma=1, Z sigma=1),

478 Stack/Morphology/Edge Detect (Threshold=3000-10000, Multiplier=2.0, Adapt= 0.3, Fill Value= 3000)

to find the surface, then either Stack/Morphology/Closing (X Radius=1-10, Y Radius=1-10, Z Radius=1-

480 10) or Stack/Morphology/Fill Holes (X Radius=1-10, Y Radius=1-10, Threshold=10000, Depth= 0, Fill

481 Value= 30000) to fix any holes and then manually trimming voxels of adjacent organs or empty space

that was filled by the Fill Holes function. Method two made the surface using Stack/Lyon/Init Level Set

483 (Up Threshold=2-10, Down Threshold=2-10), Stack/Lyon/Level Set Evolve (Default settings except

484 View=5 and cancel after 5 to 15 rounds), Stack/Morphology/Edge Detect Angle, and

485 Stack/Morphology/Closing (X Radius=1-10, Y Radius=1-10, Z Radius=1-10) and manually trimming

486 voxels of adjacent organs. Then the mesh was created with the processes Mesh/Creation/Marching Cubes

487 Surface (Cube size= 5.0, Threshold=20000), then 2-4 rounds (smaller meshes had 3-4 and larger meshes

488 had 2-3) of Mesh/Structure/Subdivide and Mesh/Structure/Smooth Mesh (Passes= 10, Walls Only= No).

489 Then the mesh was segmented by projecting a 2 µm depth interval of the signal using

490 Mesh/Signal/Project Signal (Min Distance= 2-8, Max Distance= 4-10) followed by

491 Mesh/Segmentation/Watershed Segmentation (Steps= 50000). Lineage tracking was done by loading

492 meshes for consecutive time points into mesh 1 and mesh 2 spots, overlapping mesh 1 (check scale box

493 and increased size) and mesh 2 using the shapes of the cell lineages, then either manually or semi-

494 automatically assigning parent labels. Parent labels were checked for errors by running Mesh/Cell

495 Axis/PDG/Check Correspondence on the earlier time point. To make sure there were no cells on the

496 periphery that were parent tracked, but were partially cut off by the edge of the images in the later time

497 point, Mesh/Heat Map/Heat Map Classic (change map checked, decreasing) was run, and Mesh/Heat

498 Map/Heat Map Select (Lower=0, Upper=.999) was used to highlight cells that had "shrunk." If the cells

499 were at the edge of the segmentation, they were assumed to be a segmentation error, and deleted. Then

500 Mesh/Lineage Tracking/Save Parents was run on the later time point to save the parent labels as a csv file. 501 Then Mesh/Lineage Tracking/Load Parents was run to load the csv file that was just created and then 502 meshes were saved with the parent labels. 503 504 To make the 120-hour cumulative cell division heat maps, csv files specifying parent labels for the 0 hr to 505 120 hr time points were created from the 24 hr parent label csv files using a python script to do multi-step 506 lineage tracking as described in Hong et al, 2016. Then the corresponding parent labels were loaded onto 507 the later time point using Mesh/Lineage Tracking/Load Parents. Mesh/Lineage Tracking/Heat Map 508 Proliferation and Mesh/Heat Map/Heat Map Set Range (Min=1, Max=15) were run on the later time point 509 to make the heat map and they were saved as csv files using Mesh/Heat Map/Heat Map Save. 510 511 To make the cell area heat maps Mesh/Heat Map/Geometry/Area and Mesh/Heat Map/Heat Map Set 512 Range (Min=0 Max=4000) were run on each mesh and csv files were saved with Mesh/Heat Map/Heat 513 Map Save. 514 515 To make the 24 hr proliferation heat maps Mesh/Lineage Tracking. Mesh/Lineage Tracking/Heat Map 516 Proliferation and Mesh/Heat Map/Heat Map Set Range (Min=1 Max=4) were run on the later time point 517 of each 24 hr interval. Mesh/Heat Map/Heat Map Save was run to save the csv files. 518 519 To make the cell area heat maps with outlined divisions, Mesh/Heat Map/Heat Map Classic (changed map 520 checked, decreasing) was used to create the cell growth heat map, and then it was saved as a csv file using 521 Mesh/Heat Map/Heat Map Save. Then the 24 hr proliferation heat maps were loaded onto the later time 522 point of the 24 hr interval using Mesh/Heat Map/Heat Map Load. The Mesh/Heat Map/Heat Map Select 523 (Lower Threshold=2, Upper Threshold=7) was used to outline the cells that had divided at least once. 524 Then Mesh/Heat Map/Heat Map Load was used to load the cell growth heat map, and Mesh/Heat 525 Map/Heat Map Set Range (Min=1, Max=3) was used to set the scale. 526 527 To artificially subdivide the giant cells, a few giant cells were chosen to be deleted from the mesh based 528 on nearby junctions that would be helpful landmarks. The cells were manually seeded as multiple cells 529 using nearby junctions as landmarks, parent tracked as described above. Cell labels were outlined using 530 Mesh/Selection/Select Labels (add the labels of the cells). Cell growth heat maps were created using 531 Mesh/Heat Map/Heat Map Classic (changed map checked, decreasing, use manual range 1-3) on the later 532 time point. 533 534 To make the 3-day area growth and principal directions of growth, csv files specifying parent labels for 535 the 24 hr to 96 hr time points were also made using a python script for multi-step lineage tracking as

536 described in Hong et al, 2016. Then the corresponding parent labels were loaded onto the later time point 537 using Mesh/Lineage Tracking/Load Parents. Mesh/Heat Map/Heat Map Classic (changed map checked, 538 decreasing, use manual range 1-10) was run on the later time point, and Mesh/Heat Map/Heat Map Save 539 was used to save the heat map as a csv file. Then Mesh/Cell Axis/PDG/Check Correspondence and was 540 saved as a csv file using Mesh/CellAxis/PDG/Compute Growth then Mesh/Cell Axis/Cell Axis Save. 541 Then the process /Unselect was run on mesh 1 or the mesh was reloaded, and the csv file was reloaded 542 using Mesh/Cell Axis/Cell Axis Load. Then Mesh/Cell Axis/PDG/Display Growth Directions (Show 543 Axis=StrainMax, Color+=black, Line Width=5, Line Scale=2) was used to display the principal 544 directions of growth, Mesh/Heat Map/Heat Map Load was used to load the cell growth heat map that was

- saved as a csv file and Mesh/Heat Map/Heat Map Set Range (Min=1, Max=10) was used to adjust thescale.
- 547

549

548 To make the heat maps of proximal-distal growth, a custom axis was created from a heat map of distance

from cells at the tip of the sepal, then the proportion that the principal directions of growth were aligned

- 550 with the custom axis was used to create heat map values. First, to create the distance heat map, cells were
- 551 manually selected and then the process Mesh/Heat Map/Measures/Location/Cell Distance was run. This
- 552 was often repeated with different cells selected until the heat map appeared to measure distance
- accurately instead of creating a gradient that was curved or crooked. Then the heat map was saved as a
- csv file with Mesh/Heat Map/Heat Map Save. Then the principal directions of growth were loaded with
- 555 Mesh/Cell Axis/Cell Axis Load. Then the distance heat map was loaded with Mesh/Heat Map/Heat Map
- 556 Load. Then Mesh/Cell Axis/Custom/Create Heatmap Directions (Project Directions...=Yes,
- 557 Normalize=no) and Mesh/Cell Axis/Custom/Smooth Custom Directions (Weight by Cell Area=Yes,
- 558 Project Directions...=Yes) were used to create the axis from the distance heat map. Then Mesh/Cell
- 559 Axis/PDG/Display Growth Directions (Heatmap=Aniso Custom, ScaleHeat=Manual, Heat min=1, Heat
- 560 max=1.7, Show axis=StrainMax, color+=black, Line Width=5, Line Scale=2) was used to create a heat
- 561 map of the ratio of the amount that the principal directions of growth were parallel with the custom axis to
- the amount that they were perpendicular to the custom axis.
- 563

564 Analysis and Statistics

- 565 One-way ANOVA with genotype as a variable and Tukey tests were used to test for differences in shape
- variability, cumulative proliferation, and number of nondividing cells. Kendall rank correlation was used
- to test if there was a relationship between area growth and proximal-distal growth in each genotype.
- 568 Wasserstein tests were used to create the principal coordinate analysis plots. R scripts are available at
- 569 DOI: 10.17605/OSF.IO/7NMK. The R version 4.2.2 was used for analysis. The R packages used were
- 570 ggplot2_3.4.1, tidyr_1.3.0, stringr_1.5.0, dplyr_1.1.0, twosamples_2.0.0, RColorBrewer_1.1-3, ggsci_2.9,
- 571 ggthemes_4.2.4, ggplot2_3.4.1.

572	
573	
574	
575	Acknowledgements
576	We thank Lilan Hong, Shuyao Kong, Avilash Singh Yadav, Maura Zimmermann, and Michelle Heeney
577	for helpful discussions and comments on the manuscript. We thank Cornell Statistical Consulting Unit
578	(Matt Thomas) for helping with analysis of cell area, proliferation, and cell growth in R. We thank
579	Mingyuan Zhu and Richard Smith for expert image analysis advice. We thank Olivier Hamant, Arezki
580	Boudaoud, Corentin Mollier, Ya Min for helpful discussions. We thank Avilash Singh Yadav for
581	technical help with an experiment.
582	
583	
584	Competing interests
585	The authors declare they have no competing interests.
586	
587	
588	Funding
589	Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences
590	of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R01GM134037. The content is solely the
591	responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes
592	of Health.
593	
594	
595	Data Availability
596	Data for this project is available at DOI: 10.17605/OSF.IO/7NMK3
597	
598	
599	Author Contributions
600	Conceptualization: AHKR and IB
601	Genetics to create plant lines: IB and FKC
602	Experiments and image processing: IB
603	Shape variability analysis and multidimensional scaling: C-BL
604	Data Analysis: IB
605	Writing: IB
606	Revising and editing: IB. AHKR, C-BL, FKC
607	

608

609 References

- Barbier de Reuille, P., Routier-Kierzkowska, A.-L., Kierzkowski, D., Bassel, G. W., Schüpbach, T., Tauriello, G., Bajpai, N., Strauss, S., Weber, A., Kiss, A., et al. (2015). MorphoGraphX: A platform for quantifying morphogenesis in 4D. *eLife* 4, e05864.
- **Boulan, L. and Léopold, P.** (2021). What determines organ size during development and regeneration? *Development* 148, dev196063.
- Churchman, M. L., Brown, M. L., Kato, N., Kirik, V., Hülskamp, M., Inzé, D., De Veylder, L., Walker, J. D., Zheng, Z., Oppenheimer, D. G., et al. (2006). SIAMESE, a Plant-Specific Cell Cycle Regulator, Controls Endoreplication Onset in Arabidopsis thaliana. *The Plant Cell* 18, 3145–3157.
- Dolzblasz, A., Smakowska, E., Gola, E. M., Sokołowska, K., Kicia, M. and Janska, H. (2016). The mitochondrial protease AtFTSH4 safeguards Arabidopsis shoot apical meristem function. *Sci Rep* 6, 28315.
- Elsner, J., Michalski, M. and Kwiatkowska, D. (2012). Spatiotemporal variation of leaf epidermal cell growth: a quantitative analysis of Arabidopsis thaliana wild-type and triple cyclinD3 mutant plants. *Annals of Botany* 109, 897–910.
- Ferjani, A., Horiguchi, G., Yano, S. and Tsukaya, H. (2007). Analysis of Leaf Development in fugu Mutants of Arabidopsis Reveals Three Compensation Modes That Modulate Cell Expansion in Determinate Organs. *Plant Physiology* 144, 988–999.
- Gibala, M., Kicia, M., Sakamoto, W., Gola, E. M., Kubrakiewicz, J., Smakowska, E. and Janska, H. (2009). The lack of mitochondrial AtFtsH4 protease alters Arabidopsis leaf morphology at the late stage of rosette development under short-day photoperiod. *The Plant Journal* 59, 685–699.
- Hamant, O., Das, P. and Burian, A. (2014). Time-Lapse Imaging of Developing Meristems Using Confocal Laser Scanning Microscope. In *Plant Cell Morphogenesis: Methods and Protocols* (ed. Žárský, V.) and Cvrčková, F.), pp. 111–119. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press.
- Hervieux, N., Dumond, M., Sapala, A., Routier-Kierzkowska, A.-L., Kierzkowski, D., Roeder, A. H.
 K., Smith, R. S., Boudaoud, A. and Hamant, O. (2016). A Mechanical Feedback Restricts
 Sepal Growth and Shape in Arabidopsis. *Current Biology* 26, 1019–1028.
- Hervieux, N., Tsugawa, S., Fruleux, A., Dumond, M., Routier-Kierzkowska, A.-L., Komatsuzaki, T., Boudaoud, A., Larkin, J. C., Smith, R. S., Li, C.-B., et al. (2017). Mechanical Shielding of Rapidly Growing Cells Buffers Growth Heterogeneity and Contributes to Organ Shape Reproducibility. *Current Biology* 27, 3468-3479.e4.
- Hong, L., Dumond, M., Tsugawa, S., Sapala, A., Routier-Kierzkowska, A.-L., Zhou, Y., Chen, C.,
 Kiss, A., Zhu, M., Hamant, O., et al. (2016). Variable Cell Growth Yields Reproducible Organ
 Development through Spatiotemporal Averaging. *Developmental Cell* 38, 15–32.

- Hong, L., Dumond, M., Zhu, M., Tsugawa, S., Li, C.-B., Boudaoud, A., Hamant, O. and Roeder, A.
 H. K. (2018). Heterogeneity and Robustness in Plant Morphogenesis: From Cells to Organs. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 69, 469–495.
- Horiguchi, G. and Tsukaya, H. (2011). Organ Size Regulation in Plants: Insights from Compensation. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 2, 24.
- Kaplan, D. R. and Hagemann, W. (1991). The Relationship of Cell and Organism in Vascular Plants. *BioScience* 41, 693–703.
- Kaplan, D. R. (1992). The Relationship of Cells to Organisms in Plants: Problem and Implications of an Organismal Perspective. *International Journal of Plant Sciences*: Vol 153, No 3, Part 2.
- Kong, S., Zhu, M., Scarpin, M. R., Pan, D., Jia, L., Martinez, R. E., Alamos, S., Vadde, B. V. L., Garcia, H. G., Qian, S.-B., et al. (2023). DRMY1 promotes robust morphogenesis by sustaining translation of a hormone signaling protein. *bioRxiv* 2023.04.07.536060.
- Kumar, N., Harashima, H., Kalve, S., Bramsiepe, J., Wang, K., Sizani, B. L., Bertrand, L. L., Johnson, M. C., Faulk, C., Dale, R., et al. (2015). Functional Conservation in the SIAMESE-RELATED Family of Cyclin-Dependent Kinase Inhibitors in Land Plants. *The Plant Cell* 27, 3065–3080.
- Le Gloanec, C., Collet, L., Silveira, S. R., Wang, B., Routier-Kierzkowska, A.-L. and Kierzkowski, D. (2022). Cell type-specific dynamics underlie cellular growth variability in plants. *Development* 149, dev200783.
- Maziak, A., Heidorn-Czarna, M., Weremczuk, A. and Janska, H. (2021). FTSH4 and OMA1 mitochondrial proteases reduce moderate heat stress-induced protein aggregation. *Plant Physiology* 187, 769–786.
- Neufeld, T. P., de la Cruz, A. F. A., Johnston, L. A. and Edgar, B. A. (1998). Coordination of Growth and Cell Division in the Drosophila Wing. *Cell* 93, 1183–1193.
- Robinson, D. O., Coate, J. E., Singh, A., Hong, L., Bush, M., Doyle, J. J. and Roeder, A. H. K. (2018). Ploidy and Size at Multiple Scales in the Arabidopsis Sepal. *The Plant Cell* 30, 2308– 2329.
- Roeder, A. H. K., Chickarmane, V., Cunha, A., Obara, B., Manjunath, B. S. and Meyerowitz, E. M. (2010). Variability in the Control of Cell Division Underlies Sepal Epidermal Patterning in Arabidopsis thaliana. *PLOS Biology* 8, e1000367.
- Roeder, A. H. K., Cunha, A., Ohno, C. K. and Meyerowitz, E. M. (2012). Cell cycle regulates cell type in the Arabidopsis sepal. *Development* 139, 4416–4427.
- Savaldi-Goldstein, S., Peto, C. and Chory, J. (2007). The epidermis both drives and restricts plant shoot growth. *Nature* 446, 199–202.
- Schwarz, E. M. and Roeder, A. H. K. (2016). Transcriptomic Effects of the Cell Cycle Regulator LGO in Arabidopsis Sepals. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 220096.

- Smyth, D. R., Bowman, J. L. and Meyerowitz, E. M. (1990). Early flower development in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell* 2, 755–767.
- Strauss, S., Runions, A., Lane, B., Eschweiler, D., Bajpai, N., Trozzi, N., Routier-Kierzkowska, A.-L., Yoshida, S., Rodrigues da Silveira, S., Vijayan, A., et al. (2022). Using positional information to provide context for biological image analysis with MorphoGraphX 2.0. *eLife* 11, e72601.
- Tauriello, G., Meyer, H. M., Smith, R. S., Koumoutsakos, P. and Roeder, A. H. K. (2015). Variability and Constancy in Cellular Growth of Arabidopsis Sepals. *Plant Physiology* 169, 2342–2358.
- Traas, J., Hülskamp, M., Gendreau, E. and Höfte, H. (1998). Endoreduplication and development: rule without dividing? *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 1, 498–503.
- Trinh, D.-C., Martin, M., Bald, L., Maizel, A., Trehin, C. and Hamant, O. (2023). Increased gene expression variability hinders the formation of regional mechanical conflicts leading to reduced organ shape robustness. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 120, e2302441120.
- Uyttewaal, M., Burian, A., Alim, K., Landrein, B., Borowska-Wykręt, D., Dedieu, A., Peaucelle, A., Ludynia, M., Traas, J., Boudaoud, A., et al. (2012). Mechanical Stress Acts via Katanin to Amplify Differences in Growth Rate between Adjacent Cells in Arabidopsis. *Cell* 149, 439–451.
- Van Leene, J., Hollunder, J., Eeckhout, D., Persiau, G., Van De Slijke, E., Stals, H., Van Isterdael, G., Verkest, A., Neirynck, S., Buffel, Y., et al. (2010). Targeted interactomics reveals a complex core cell cycle machinery in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Molecular Systems Biology* 6, 397.
- **Veylder, L. D., Larkin, J. C. and Schnittger, A.** (2011). Molecular control and function of endoreplication in development and physiology. *Trends in Plant Science* 16, 624–634.
- Zhang, S., Wu, J., Yuan, D., Zhang, D., Huang, Z., Xiao, L. and Yang, C. (2014). Perturbation of Auxin Homeostasis Caused by Mitochondrial FtSH4 Gene-Mediated Peroxidase Accumulation Regulates Arabidopsis Architecture. *Molecular Plant* 7, 856–873.
- Zhu, M., Chen, W., Mirabet, V., Hong, L., Bovio, S., Strauss, S., Schwarz, E. M., Tsugawa, S.,
 Wang, Z., Smith, R. S., et al. (2020). Robust organ size requires robust timing of initiation orchestrated by focused auxin and cytokinin signalling. *Nat. Plants* 6, 686–698.



Figure 1: Organ size and shape is robust to changes in *LGO* expression, but not in *ftsh4-5* and

double mutants. (A-F) Stage 15 mature flowers and (G-L) stage 12 flower buds of WT (A, G), *lgo-2*

- 612 mutant (B, H), LGOoe (C, I; pML1::LGO), ftsh4-5 mutant (D, J), lgo-2 ftsh4-5 double mutant (E, K), and
- 613 *LGOoe ftsh4-5* (F, L). Scale bars are 1 mm. Red arrows point to abnormally shaped sepals. (M) Bar
- graphs of standard deviation of sepal area within one flower. n=104 (WT), 100 (*lgo-2*), 104 (*LGOoe*), 108
- 615 (*ftsh4-5*), 116 (*lgo-2 ftsh4-5*), 108 (*LGOoe ftsh4*) sepals. Error bars show the standard error of the mean.
- 616 Letters mark the groups that are not significantly different. (N-S) Contours of mature outer (abaxial)
- 617 sepals normalized by size (red lines) and the average sepal shape (black line). n=18 (WT), 23 (*lgo-2*), 22
- 618 (LGOoe), 24 (ftsh4-5), 27 (lgo-2 ftsh4-5), 22 (LGOoe ftsh4) sepals. (T) Boxplots of variability of abaxial
- 619 sepal shape (S_2 as described in Hong et al 2016). n=77 (WT), 66 (*lgo-2*), 78 (*LGOoe*), 85 (*ftsh4-5*), 96
- 620 (*lgo-2 ftsh4-5*), 74 (*LGOoe ftsh4*) The boxes extend from the lower to upper quartile values of the data
- with the midline indicating the median and the whiskers extend past $1.5 \times$ interquartile range. Small dots
- 622 for each box indicate outliers. Letters mark the groups that are not significantly different. Contours for
- 623 inner (adaxial) and lateral sepals are available in Supplemental Figure S1.



624 Figure 2: Cell division rate is decreased by LGO overexpression (LGOoe) and LGOoe ftsh4 and is increased in lgo-2 and lgo-2 ftsh4-5. (A-F) Heat maps of number of daughter cells per lineage using 625 lineage tracking from 0-hour time point to 120-hour time point that are projected onto the 120-hour time 626 point for WT (A), lgo-2 (B), LGOoe (C), ftsh4-5 (D), lgo-2 ftsh4-4 (E), and LGOoe (F). The heat map 627 628 scale is 1 to 15 daughter cells, where 1 indicates that no divisions have taken place because one cell gave 629 rise to one cell at the final time point. The scale bar is 50µm. Representative images from n=3 biological 630 replicates. Additional replicates are available in Supplemental Figure S2A-F. (G) Average number of 631 daughter cells per lineage over the 120hr time lapse imaging. n=3. Error bars are the standard error of the

- 632 mean. Letters mark the groups that are not significantly different. (H) Average number of cells that do not
- 633 divide over the 120hr time lapse imaging. n=3. Error bars are the standard error of the mean.



Figure 3: Cell sizes remain smaller in *lgo-2*, and *lgo-2 ftsh4-5*, and become progressively larger in

635 *LGOoe* and *LGOoe ftsh4*. (A-G) Heat maps of cell area at each image time point for WT (A), *lgo-2* (B),

636 LGOoe (C), ftsh4-5 (D), lgo-2 ftsh4-5 (E), and LGOoe ftsh4-5 (F). The heat map scale is 0 to $4000 \mu m^2$

and the scale bar is $50\mu m$. Representative images from n=3 biological replicates. Additional replicates are

available in Supplemental Figure S3. (D) Distribution of cell areas at each time point. Statistical analysis

639 (multidimensional scaling) available in Supplemental Figure S4.



Figure 4: Cell division follows a basipetal gradient in WT, *lgo-2* and *LGOoe*, but not in *ftsh4-5*, *lgo-2*

641 *ftsh4-5*, and *LGOoe ftsh4-5*. (A-F) Heat maps of number of daughter cells per cell lineage over 24-hour

642 intervals for WT (A), *lgo-2* (B), *LGOoe* (C), *ftsh4-5* (D), *lgo-2 ftsh4-5* (E), and *LGOoe ftsh4-5* (F). The

643 lowest heat map value represents 1 cell per lineage, which means no division. The greatest heat map value

644 represents 4 or more cells per lineage. The scale bar is $50\mu m$. Heat maps are projected onto the later time

646 Supplemental Figure S2.



647 Figure 5: Cell growth follows a basipetal gradient which is preserved when cell division changes, but

altered in *ftsh4-5*, *lgo-2 ftsh4-5*, and *LGOoe ftsh4-5*. (A-F) Heat maps of cell area growth over each 24-

- 649 hour interval that are projected onto the later time point for WT (A), *lgo-2* (B), *LGOoe* (C), *ftsh4-5* (D),
- 650 *lgo-2 ftsh4-5* (E), and *LGOoe ftsh4-5* (F). The heat map represents the change in ratio of cell area (cell
- area of later time point divided by cell area of earlier time point) and the scale is 1 to 3. The scale bar is
- 652 50μm. Daughter cells that result from a division over a given time interval area outlined in white.

Localization of fast growth is marked by red outlines, and is band-like in WT, *lgo-2*, and *LGOoe* and

patchy in *ftsh4-5*, *lgo-2 ftsh4-5*, and *LGOoe ftsh4-5*. Representative images from n=3 biological

- replicates. Additional replicates are available in Supplemental Figure S5. (D) Distribution of cell area
- 656 growth for each time interval. Statistical analysis (multidimensional scaling) available in Supplemental
- Figure S6. Distribution of cell area growth related to the number of cell divisions in the lineage is
- 658 available in Supplemental Figure S7.



- Figure 6: Cells can have regions with different growth rates in both WT and *LGOoe*. (A-B) Giant
- 660 cells are artificially subdivided into multiple cells and outlined in white. The heat maps of cell area
- growth over each 24-hour interval are projected onto the later time point for WT (A) and *LGOoe* (B). The
- heat map represents the change in ratio of cell area (cell area of later time point divided by cell area of
- 663 earlier time point) and the scale is 1 to 3. The scale bar is $50\mu m$. Representative images from n=3
- biological replicates. Additional replicates are available in Supplemental Figure S8.



665 Figure 7: Cell growth rate and direction averages to be uniform across the organ in WT, LGOoe, and lgo-2 but do not average in ftsh4-5, lgo-2 ftsh4-5, and LGOoe ftsh4-5. (A-F) Heat maps from 24-666 667 hour time point to 96-hour time point for cell area growth (top rows) and ratio of proximal-distal cell 668 growth to medial-lateral cell growth (bottom rows) projected on the earlier time point for WT (A), lgo-2 669 (B), LGOoe (C), ftsh4-5 (D), lgo-2 ftsh4-5 (E), and LGOoe ftsh4-5 (F). Three replicates are shown for 670 each genotype, and each replicate has heat maps of both measures. The scale bar is 50um. The principal 671 directions of cell growth are overlaid on the heat maps as black lines that are oriented in the direction that each cell had the most growth and have a length that corresponds to the magnitude of the ratio of growth 672 673 parallel to the principal direction of growth to the growth perpendicular to the principal direction of 674 growth. Top rows: The heat map represents the change in ratio of cell area (cell area of later time point

- divided by cell area of earlier time point) and the scale is 1 to 10. Bottom rows: A proximal distal axis
- was defined, and the heat map represents the ratio of cell growth parallel to the axis divided by
- 677 perpendicular to the axis. The lowest heat map value is 1, which represents equal amounts of growth
- along both axes. The highest heap map value is 1.7, which represents 1.7x more proximal-distal growth
- than medial-lateral growth. Red circles mark the region of the sepal with greater area growth (top rows)
- and greater proportion of proximal-distal growth (bottom rows). Quantification of the relationship
- 681 between growth rate and proximal distal growth orientation is available in Supplemental Figure S9.