REVIEW

Cyclosporine as Therapy for Traumatic Brain Injury

MagnusJ. Hansson^{1,[2](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7901-1826)} **D** · Eskil Elmér^{1,2} **D**

Accepted: 19 July 2023 / Published online: 10 August 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

Drug development in traumatic brain injury (TBI) has been impeded by the complexity and heterogeneity of the disease pathology, as well as limited understanding of the secondary injury cascade that follows the initial trauma. As a result, patients with TBI have an unmet need for effective pharmacological therapies. One promising drug candidate is cyclosporine, a polypeptide traditionally used to achieve immunosuppression in transplant recipients. Cyclosporine inhibits mitochondrial permeability transition, thereby reducing secondary brain injury, and has shown neuroprotective effects in multiple preclinical models of TBI. Moreover, the cyclosporine formulation NeuroSTAT[®] displayed positive effects on injury biomarker levels in patients with severe TBI enrolled in the Phase Ib/IIa Copenhagen Head Injury Ciclosporin trial (NCT01825044). Future research on neuroprotective compounds such as cyclosporine should take advantage of recent advances in fluid-based biomarkers and neuroimaging to select patients with similar disease pathologies for clinical trials. This would increase statistical power and allow for more accurate assessment of long-term outcomes.

Keywords Biomarkers · Brain injuries, Traumatic · Clinical trials as topic · Cyclosporine · Diffuse axonal injury · Drug evaluation, Preclinical

Introduction

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a major cause of death and disability. Globally, more than 50 million people have a TBI each year [\[1](#page-11-0)]. The burden of mortality and morbidity that TBI imposes on society makes TBI a pressing public health problem.

TBI has been defined as an "alteration in brain function, or other evidence of brain pathology, caused by an external force" [\[2\]](#page-11-1). Depending on the nature of that external force, it can be broadly categorized as diffuse or focal. However, these categories simplify what is a complex disease process with diverse and overlapping injury subtypes [\[3](#page-11-2)]. The heterogeneity of disease pathology and clinical course has posed substantial challenges in the development of neuroprotective

Invited review for The Next Generation of Clinical Trials for Traumatic Brain Injury.

 \boxtimes Magnus J. Hansson magnus.hansson@med.lu.se

¹ Abliva AB, Lund, Sweden

² Department of Clinical Sciences, Mitochondrial Medicine, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

therapies for TBI, resulting in a lack of clinically proven pharmaceutical interventions.

Another barrier for TBI drug development is understanding and addressing the complexity of the secondary injury cascades that follows the initial injury. One example of such an injury cascade has been described for diffuse axonal injury (DAI), a common type of TBI. In DAI, neurons become sheared, stretched, or otherwise damaged as a result of high-velocity translational or rotational forces. Axons respond to these mechanical forces and secondary insults by undergoing Wallerian degeneration [[4\]](#page-11-3). Membrane polarization and ion homeostasis are disrupted in affected neurons and oxidative stress increases. Neurofilaments become compacted and axonal transport is impaired as a result of the fracturing of microtubules. As a result of calcium overload and oxidative stress, common in several types of injuries, the mitochondrial permeability transition pore (mPTP) forms, increasing mitochondrial membrane permeability and ultimately leading to irreversible neuron damage and brain atrophy $[5, 6]$ $[5, 6]$ $[5, 6]$. If we can attenuate the secondary injury cascades following TBI, in the case of DAI by preventing the transition from reversible damage to axon disconnection, then we have an opportunity to limit the extent of neurodegeneration and morbidity following trauma [[7\]](#page-11-6).

Cyclosporine has been used for decades to achieve immunosuppression in organ transplant recipients. Indeed, its potent neuroprotective effects were discovered by accident while it was being used as an immunosuppressant to prevent hippocampal graft rejection in an experimental forebrain ischemia model [\[8](#page-11-7), [9](#page-11-8)]. Since then, cyclosporine has demonstrated neuroprotection in a wide range of animal models of neurological injury and disease, an effect that has been linked to its ability to stabilize mitochondrial function by preventing mPTP formation [[10–](#page-11-9)[12\]](#page-11-10). Cyclosporine, analogs of cyclosporine, and genetic tools to modulate mPTP formation have been widely explored in experimental traumatic central nervous system injury models [\[13\]](#page-11-11). Here, we summarize the preclinical and clinical development of Neuro-STAT® (also known as CicloMulsion®), a novel parenteral formulation of cyclosporine which was developed to avoid the risk of anaphylactic reactions associated with Kolliphor EL^{ω} , which is used as an excipient in other cyclosporine products such as Sandimmune® [\[14](#page-11-12)]. NeuroSTAT® has been recognized by regulatory authorities in the US and Europe in their granting of orphan drug designation for treatment of moderate to severe TBI (US Food and Drug Administration designation 10-3197, European Union designation EU/3/10/791).

Following a number of unsuccessful interventional clinical trials, large natural history initiatives over the past few decades have sought to improve the precision of TBI classification and diagnosis, standardize data collection, and develop and validate relevant clinical outcome measures [\[15–](#page-11-13)[17](#page-11-14)]. The next era of therapeutic clinical trials will be able to utilize these efforts to better target defined subgroups of TBI patients with disease pathologies likely to benefit from the tested intervention. Specifically, the use of imaging and fluid-based biomarkers can aid in both the selection of patients with specific types of disease pathologies and the monitoring of pharmacodynamic effects of drugs.

In this article, we summarize the molecular basis for the neuroprotective effect of cyclosporine before reviewing the preclinical and clinical evidence supporting its use in TBI. Finally, we will look at the opportunities for continued development of neuroprotective compounds such as cyclosporine for TBI, given recent advances in biomarkers and imaging.

Molecular and Cellular Effects of Cyclosporine

Cyclosporine is a cyclic polypeptide of 11 amino acids whose pharmacological targets are cyclophilins, a family of enzymes with diverse roles in cellular processes. Its current therapeutic uses in organ transplantation and autoimmune diseases are mediated by binding to cyclophilin A.

This binding inhibits calcineurin activity in T-helper cells and prevents lymphokine release and T-cell proliferation in cell-mediated immune responses [\[18](#page-11-15)].

Cyclosporine and its analogs have displayed neuroprotective properties in several models of acute and chronic neurological disease. Its neuroprotective effect is considered to be predominantly mediated via inhibition of another cyclophilin, cyclophilin D, which regulates the mPTP [\[19](#page-11-16)]. Cyclosporine's ability to inhibit activation of the mPTP has been demonstrated in both rodent and human brain mitochondria $[20-22]$ $[20-22]$. In TBI, inhibition of the mPTP by pharmacological treatments or genetic knockout of cyclophilin D decreases mitochondrial damage, lesion volume, intraaxonal cytoskeletal destruction, and brain injury biomarker levels (see Table [1\)](#page-2-0).

Preclinical Development of Cyclosporine

Data from a wide range of in vivo models of TBI provide evidence of the neuroprotective effects of cyclosporine on mechanistic, histological, and behavioral endpoints (Table [1\)](#page-2-0). More than 30 independent experimental studies of cyclosporine have been completed in different TBI models, including rodents and large animals. The neuroprotective effects of cyclosporine have been evaluated in impact acceleration animal models of DAI, as well as in models of primarily focal injury (cortical contusion) and experiments involving focal brain injury combined with DAI (fluid percussion models of TBI). Dosing regimens have varied from a single bolus to continuous infusions over several days, and the timepoint of evaluation following injury has varied from hours to weeks, depending on the outcome measure. A few studies have rigorously evaluated the optimal dosing regimen. For example, in a rat impact acceleration model, 10 mg/kg (infused post-injury over 1 h) was the most effective cyclosporine dose at attenuating axonal injury, as demonstrated by a 79% reduction in the mean density of damaged axons displaying amyloid precursor protein immunoreactivity at 24 h following injury [\[23\]](#page-11-19). In a series of papers evaluating the optimal dose and dosing regimen of cyclosporine following CCI injury in rats, an initial intraperitoneal loading dose of 20 mg/kg followed immediately by a continuous 7-day subcutaneous infusion of 10 mg/kg offered the greatest neuroprotective effect, as measured by a 74% reduction in lesion volume at day 7 [\[24,](#page-11-20) [25\]](#page-11-21). Overall, most in vivo studies have demonstrated beneficial effects of cyclosporine on the evaluated outcome measures. A few studies have found cyclosporine to be ineffective against TBI or to have negative effects (Table [1](#page-2-0)). Doses and dosing duration in relation to routes of administration have been discussed as a potential reason for these findings [[13](#page-11-11)]. Moreover, in a study using a rat penetrating ballistic-like brain injury model, it was concluded that the vehicle for cyclosporine contributed to the observed negative effects on outcome measures

Table 1 (continued)

transition pore, *MRI* magnetic resonance imaging, *MRS* magnetic resonance spectroscopy, *MWM* Morris water maze, *NAA N*-acetylaspartate, *PBBI* penetrating ballistic-like brain injury, *RCR*

respiratory control ratio, *RNR* rapid nonimpact rotational, *ROS* reactive oxygen species, *SBDPs* spectrin breakdown products, *SC* subcutaneous, *TBI* traumatic brain injury

Table 1 (continued)

[\[26](#page-11-22)]. However, the in vivo studies collectively demonstrate that cyclosporine exerts neuroprotective effects by (i) preserving mitochondrial function and morphology, (ii) maintaining axonal/cytoskeletal integrity, (iii) reducing the extent of proteolytic processes activated by or operant in TBI, (iv) minimizing the volume of the traumatic lesion, and (v) preserving peri-contusional viability.

Piglet Study of NeuroSTAT

As illustrated in Table [1](#page-2-0), the therapeutic potential of cyclosporine in various formulations has been evaluated in several different TBI models, mostly in rodents. Compared to rodent models, piglet models are more relevant to humans because the gyrencephalic pig brain resembles the human brain more closely in anatomy, growth, and development than do the brains of rodents. The efficacy of the NeuroSTAT cyclosporine formulation has been evaluated in a randomized, fully blinded study using a piglet controlled cortical impact (CCI) TBI model. The piglet study aimed to investigate whether treatment with NeuroSTAT could influence the volume of parenchymal injury, as well as markers of neuronal integrity and axonal injury. Based on the evaluation of optimal dosing regimens in previous animal models ([\[24,](#page-11-20) [25](#page-11-21)], see discussion above) as well as preliminary signals from clinical studies ([\[27,](#page-11-25) [28\]](#page-11-26), see below), it was decided to administer NeuroSTAT as a 5-day continuous infusion. Some of the translational outcome measures of the study are summarized in Fig. [1.](#page-6-0) Compared to placebo (*n*=13), NeuroSTAT (20 mg/kg/day, *n*=11) reduced the cortical plus subcortical lesion volume by 35% [\[29\]](#page-11-23). Axonal injury in the peri-contusional area was also reduced, as evidenced by significantly improved fractional anisotropy scores obtained by magnetic resonance diffusion tensor imaging [\[30](#page-11-24)]. Spectroscopic imaging of neuronal viability metabolites in brain regions comprising injured and normal parenchyma 5 days after CCI showed significantly higher mean levels of *N*-acetylaspartate, gamma-aminobutyric acid, phosphocreatine, and taurine in NeuroSTAT-treated animals compared to placebo-treated animals. Levels of neurofilament light (NF-L), a biomarker of axonal injury, also showed a trend of being lower in serum and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of NeuroSTAT-treated animals compared to placebo-treated animals. The study thus demonstrated that continuous infusion of NeuroSTAT for 5 days following CCI in piglets diminished secondary brain injury.

Clinical Studies of Cyclosporine in TBI

Clinical studies of cyclosporine in TBI are summarized in Table [2.](#page-7-0) Commercial cyclosporine formulations are referred to by name; other formulations are collectively referred to as "cyclosporine."

Early Randomized Controlled Trials

In a randomized, double-blind trial of cyclosporine by Young and colleagues, patients with severe TBI (Glasgow Coma Scale [GCS] 4–8) were assigned to one of four dose cohorts (placebo *n*=2, cyclosporine *n*=8 for each cohort): 0.625, 1.25, or 2.5 mg/kg as a 2-h infusion every 12 h (6 doses) or a 2.5 mg/kg loading dose followed by 5 mg/kg/ day as a continuous 72-h infusion. Efficacy was assessed at 3 and 6 months. Although Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS) and Glasgow Outcome Scale-Extended (GOSE) scores did not differ significantly between placebo- and cyclosporine-treated patients, cyclosporine showed trends of improved functional outcomes across different dose cohorts. Outcome scores improved from poor to good at the 6-month assessment in 35% of cyclosporine-treated patients and 0% of placebo-treated patients. Moreover, patients treated by continuous infusion for 72 h had a higher probability of a favorable functional outcome than patients who received separate infusions every 12 h. The incidence of mortality and serious adverse events (SAEs) did not differ significantly between cyclosporine and placebo. Percentages of patients with infections and abnormal liver function test results were also comparable between treatments [[27](#page-11-25)].

In another randomized, double-blind trial conducted by Bullock and colleagues, patients with severe TBI (GCS 3–8) were randomly assigned 3:1 to cyclosporine 5 mg/ kg or placebo. Treatment was administered by continuous infusion over 24 h. Brain extracellular fluid levels of glucose, lactate, and pyruvate were significantly higher in patients treated with cyclosporine than in those who received placebo. Conversely, glutamate levels were significantly higher in the placebo group than the cyclosporine group 1 to 2 days after the end of study drug infusion, and lactate/pyruvate ratio was significantly higher in the placebo group 2 to 3 days after the end of study drug infusion. Cyclosporine administration was associated with increases in mean arterial pressure (MAP) and cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP) [\[31\]](#page-11-27). Moreover, MAP and CPP were significantly higher in the cyclosporine group than the placebo group from 0 through 3 days, although MAP remained within the normal physiologic range. Mean blood urea nitrogen (BUN) levels were higher for cyclosporine than for placebo at 24 and 48 h, but they too remained within the normal range. The mean white blood cell count was higher for cyclosporine than for placebo at 24 h. Otherwise, there were no significant differences in laboratory test results between the two treatments, and the incidence of adverse events (AEs) was generally comparable between treatments. No significant treatment difference in neurological outcome based on GOS scores was observed at 3 or 6 months [[32](#page-12-25)].

Fig. 1 Translational efficacy outcomes of NeuroSTAT in a piglet study with controlled cortical impact injury. Neuroimaging on day 5 post-injury depicting **A** magnetic resonance imaging anatomical images representative of the median injury in the NeuroSTAT-treated group and **B** in the placebo group. **C** Volume of injury measured by manual tracing on each slice of area of increased signal abnormality on FLAIR imaging by board-certifed neuroradiologist blinded to treatment group. **D** Fractional anisotropy in peri-contusional tissue using difusion tensor imaging. **E** Neuroflament light (NF-L) in serum day 1–5 post-injury. Data are presented as mean and SEM. **p*<0.05. Adapted from [[29](#page-11-23), [30](#page-11-24)] with permission from the publisher

ular drainage, GFAP glial fibrillary acidic protein, GCS Glasgow Coma Scale, GOS Glasgow Dutcome Scale-Savended, ICP intracranial pressure, ICU intensive
care unit, IV intravenous, MAP mean arterial pressure, MMSE mini-men ular drainage, *GFAP* glial fbrillary acidic protein, *GCS* Glasgow Coma Scale, *GOS* Glasgow Outcome Scale, *GOSE* Glasgow Outcome Scale-Extended, *ICP* intracranial pressure, *ICU* intensive care unit, IV intravenous, MAP mean arterial pressure, MMSE mini-mental state examination, N-FL neurofilament light, PCI percutaneous coronary intervention, PK pharmacokinetics, SAE serious adverse event, *TBI* traumatic brain injury, *UCH* ubiquitin carboxy-terminal hydrolase, *WBC* white blood cell

2 Springer

Table 2 (continued)

Nonrandomized Study

A nonrandomized, placebo-controlled clinical study in Iran tested cyclosporine on TBI patients with a GCS score of ≤10 and clinical and radiological evidence of DAI. Cyclosporine (5 mg/kg) or vehicle (5% dextrose water) was administered as a continuous 24-h infusion, starting within 8 h after trauma. Efficacy was assessed at 3 and 6 months. All patients in both the cyclosporine arm (*n*=50) and the placebo arm (*n*=50) had moderate or severe cognitive impairment at 3 and 6 months based on mini-mental state examination $(MMSE)$ results $[33]$. There were no significant differences between treatment arms in MMSE results or GOSE scores at 3 and 6 months. While not presented in detail, data for deaths and infections were reported to be comparable between the cyclosporine and placebo arms. BUN levels at 48 h were higher in the cyclosporine arm than in the placebo arm. Otherwise, levels of other safety markers (creatinine, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, and alkaline phosphatase) were within normal ranges and were comparable between treatment arms.

Clinical Development of NeuroSTAT

Bioequivalence Study

The first clinical study in the clinical development of NeuroSTAT was a Phase I open-label laboratory-blind crossover study in healthy volunteers to establish bioequivalence between NeuroSTAT and the reference cyclosporine formulation Sandimmune. NeuroSTAT and Sandimmune were administered as a single 5 mg/kg dose, infused at a constant rate over 4 h. Sixty-five subjects were enrolled in the study of whom 63 received at least one dose of study drug. The analysis included data for the 52 subjects who completed the study. For both AUC_{0-last} and C_{max} , the 90% confidence intervals of the geometric mean ratios for NeuroSTAT/Sandimmune were within the bioequivalence range of 0.80–1.25 [[14\]](#page-11-12). Two SAEs were recorded (anaphylactoid reaction and anaphylactic reaction), both after administration of Sandimmune. No SAEs were recorded after administration of NeuroSTAT.

Copenhagen Head Injury Ciclosporin Trial

CHIC (Copenhagen Head Injury Ciclosporin) was an openlabel, Phase Ib/IIa clinical trial that investigated the effects of two NeuroSTAT dosing regimens on pharmacokinetics, safety, and biomarkers of efficacy in patients with severe TBI (GCS 4–8) and clinical indication for external ventricular drainage and intracranial pressure monitoring. Patients were given a 2.5 mg/kg IV loading dose followed by a 5-day continuous infusion of 5 mg/kg/day (*n*=10) or 10 mg/kg/day (*n*=6). CSF cyclosporine concentration-time profiles showed that all patients had detectable cyclosporine concentrations. The PK profiles in blood and CSF were similar after the constant infusion was stopped, suggesting that elimination of CSF is determined by elimination in blood. The mean steady-state concentration indicated a dose-proportional exposure in CSF.

A positive pharmacodynamic signal was detected in biomarkers measured in CSF samples. Levels of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), NF-L, Tau, and ubiquitin carboxyterminal hydrolase (UCH)-L1 tended to decrease during the 5-day NeuroSTAT treatment period and to increase in the follow-up period after the end of treatment. Figure [2](#page-10-0)A illustrates by-patient CSF NF-L values over time. The shifts in trends for biomarker concentrations (slope after vs. during infusion) were statistically significant for NF-L (Fig. [2](#page-10-0)B) and the other investigated biomarkers [\[28](#page-11-26)]. These findings are exciting but should be considered preliminary given the lack of a control group and the small sample size.

Twenty-one AEs related to NeuroSTAT were reported in the CHIC trial. These included five events of increased plasma cystatin C, three events of increased plasma creatinine, and two events of oliguria. Plasma bilirubin levels increased during treatment in both dose groups, but the increase was more pronounced in the higher dose group (10 mg/kg/day). Moreover, compared to the 5 mg/kg/day group, hyperbilirubinemia was more frequent in the 10 mg/kg/day dose group. One patient in the 10 mg/kg/day group suffered from an SAE of acute renal tubular necrosis, likely as a result of concurrent sepsis. Four events of increased intracranial pressure were assessed as nonrelated to study treatment, and intracranial pressure was not obviously affected by NeuroSTAT administration.

Future Directions

As outlined above, the broad neuroprotective effects of cyclosporine have been demonstrated in multiple preclinical studies with solid experimental evidence supporting cyclosporine's efficacy in both diffuse and focal contusional injury models (Table [1](#page-2-0)). In addition, safe and tolerable dosing regimens have been explored in clinical studies of patients with severe TBI with mixed underlying pathology. Based on this exciting preclinical and clinical data, cyclosporine warrants further investigation as a potential therapy for TBI.

Recent advancements in the understanding of the biology of TBI, diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers, and medical imaging will facilitate further development of neuroprotective compounds. First, focusing on a single pathological TBI endophenotype (i.e., an internal measurable phenotype underlying a more complex phenotype [\[34,](#page-12-27) [35](#page-12-28)]) will **Fig. 2** Pharmacodynamic signal of NeuroSTAT in the Copenhagen Head Injury Ciclosporin Phase Ib/IIa clinical trial. Temporal profle of brain injury biomarker neuroflament light (NF-L) in cerebrospinal fuid (CSF). **A** Individual levels of NF-L in CSF samples drawn at predose, during the continuous NeuroSTAT infusion, and after treatment had ended. Dashed vertical lines indicate the start and stop of infusion. CSF, cerebrospinal fuid. **B** Slopes of NF-L change during NeuroSTAT infusion and during follow-up after infusion stop. Data are presented as mean and SEM. **p*<0.05. Adapted from [[28](#page-11-26)] with permission from the publisher

minimize patient heterogeneity and increase the ability to assess pharmacodynamic outcomes. One appealing candidate here is a predominantly DAI endophenotype. DAI is one of the most common and severe forms of TBI, with a high degree of intracellular secondary injury cascade activation [[3](#page-11-2)]. A systematic review and meta-analysis concluded that TBI patients with DAI have a three times higher risk of an unfavorable outcome than TBI patients without DAI [\[36](#page-12-29)]. The mechanism of action of cyclosporine is highly relevant to DAI in that prompt treatment of the primary injury can prevent subsequent brain atrophy.

10

CSF NF-L (ng/ml)

CSF NF-L (ng/ml)

100

Fluid biomarkers and neuroimaging are potentially sensitive measures for diagnosing DAI and tracking neurodegeneration following DAI [[37\]](#page-12-30). Both have been included in large natural history studies, providing a valuable data source for adequate powering of investigational studies and for analyzing the associations between biomarker trajectories and long-term clinical outcomes [[17](#page-11-14), [38\]](#page-12-31).

A biomarker of particular interest for DAI is NF-L, a protein found in long myelinated white matter axons. Studies have shown that serum NF-L increases as a result of TBI and that serum NF-L levels after TBI can predict clinical outcome [\[39](#page-12-32)[–41](#page-12-33)]. Both the preclinical piglet study with Neuro-STAT and the clinical CHIC study demonstrated that NF-L levels were attenuated during cyclosporine therapy [[28,](#page-11-26) [30](#page-11-24)].

Brain atrophy after TBI has been shown to be predictive of cognitive and neuropsychological outcomes [[42](#page-12-34)[–44](#page-12-35)]. Global and regional atrophy can be measured by repeat magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans, comparing a pseudo-baseline assessment soon after trauma to later follow-up assessments. MRI with diffusion tensor imaging also enables a sensitive assessment of DAI, and the location and extent of DAI as assessed by fractional anisotropy has been shown to predict the degree of progressive atrophy [[45](#page-12-36)]. Advanced imaging techniques such as these should help clinical researchers to more accurately predict long-term outcomes in TBI patients based on short-term responses to cyclosporine.

 -0.2

 -0.4

-0.4 Limited on

Following

Conclusions

0 24 48 72 96 120 144 168

Time from start of infusion (h)

Since its serendipitous discovery, the neuroprotective effect of cyclosporine has been explored and validated in an extensive set of preclinical and clinical studies. Future clinical development of cyclosporine will be aided by an increased understanding of TBI endophenotypes and the further development of biomarkers and MRI as clinical research tools. These important advancements should increase the probability of success in clinical trials, enabling the evaluation of cyclosporine in a more homogenous population where clinical efficacy can be visualized and quantified. The time is now for the progression of neuroprotective agents for the treatment of TBI to address the unmet needs of patients with TBI who have been historically underserved.

Acknowledgements Medical writing support was provided by Stephen Gilliver, PhD (Evidera) in accordance with Good Publication Practice guidelines [\(https://www.ismpp.org/gpp-2022](https://www.ismpp.org/gpp-2022)).

Funding Open access funding provided by Lund University. This work was funded by Abliva AB.

Declarations

Conflict of Interest The authors are employed and have equity interest in Abliva AB which owns the intellectual property of NeuroSTAT®.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- 1. Maas AIR, Menon DK, Adelson PD, et al. Traumatic brain injury: integrated approaches to improve prevention, clinical care, and research. Lancet Neurol. 2017;16:987–1048.
- 2. Menon DK, Schwab K, Wright DW, et al. Position statement: definition of traumatic brain injury. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2010;91:1637–40.
- 3. Hill CS, Coleman MP, Menon DK. Traumatic axonal injury: mechanisms and translational opportunities. Trends Neurosci. 2016;39:311–24.
- 4. Waller A. Experiments on the section of the glossopharyngeal and hypoglossal nerves of the frog, and observations of the alterations produced thereby in the structure of their primitive fibres. Phil Trans R Soc. 1850;140:423–9.
- 5. Readnower RD, Hubbard WB, Kalimon OJ, Geddes JW, Sullivan PG. Genetic approach to elucidate the role of cyclophilin D in traumatic brain injury pathology. Cells. 2021;10.
- 6. Kulbe JR, Singh IN, Wang JA, Cebak JE, Hall ED. Continuous infusion of phenelzine, cyclosporine A, or their combination: evaluation of mitochondrial bioenergetics, oxidative damage, and cytoskeletal degradation following severe controlled cortical impact traumatic brain injury in rats. J Neurotrauma. 2018;35:1280–93.
- 7. Armstrong RC, Mierzwa AJ, Marion CM, Sullivan GM. White matter involvement after TBI: clues to axon and myelin repair capacity. Exp Neurol. 2016;275(Pt 3):328–33.
- 8. Uchino H, Elmer E, Uchino K, et al. Amelioration by cyclosporin A of brain damage in transient forebrain ischemia in the rat. Brain Res. 1998;812:216–26.
- 9. Uchino H, Elmer E, Uchino K, Lindvall O, Siesjo BK. Cyclosporin A dramatically ameliorates CA1 hippocampal damage following transient forebrain ischaemia in the rat. Acta Physiol Scand. 1995;155:469–71.
- 10. Crompton M, Ellinger H, Costi A. Inhibition by cyclosporin A of a Ca2+-dependent pore in heart mitochondria activated by inorganic phosphate and oxidative stress. Biochem J. 1988;255:357–60.
- 11. Halestrap AP, Davidson AM. Inhibition of Ca2(+)-induced large-amplitude swelling of liver and heart mitochondria by cyclosporin is probably caused by the inhibitor binding to mitochondrial-matrix peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase and preventing it interacting with the adenine nucleotide translocase. Biochem J. 1990;268:153–60.
- 12. Hunter DR, Haworth RA. The Ca2+-induced membrane transition in mitochondria. I. The protective mechanisms. Arch Biochem Biophys. 1979;195:453-459.
- 13. Springer JE, Prajapati P, Sullivan PG. Targeting the mitochondrial permeability transition pore in traumatic central nervous system injury. Neural Regen Res. 2018;13:1338–41.
- 14. Ehinger KH, Hansson MJ, Sjovall F, Elmer E. Bioequivalence and tolerability assessment of a novel intravenous ciclosporin lipid emulsion compared to branded ciclosporin in Cremophor (R) EL. Clin Drug Investig. 2013;33:25–34.
- 15. Maas AI, Roozenbeek B, Manley GT. Clinical trials in traumatic brain injury: past experience and current developments. Neurotherapeutics. 2010;7:115–26.
- 16. Maas AI, Steyerberg EW, Marmarou A, et al. IMPACT recommendations for improving the design and analysis of clinical trials in moderate to severe traumatic brain injury. Neurotherapeutics. 2010;7:127–34.
- 17. Yue JK, Vassar MJ, Lingsma HF, et al. Transforming research and clinical knowledge in traumatic brain injury pilot: multicenter implementation of the common data elements for traumatic brain injury. J Neurotrauma. 2013;30:1831–44.
- 18. Borel JF, Baumann G, Chapman I, et al. In vivo pharmacological effects of ciclosporin and some analogues. Adv Pharmacol. 1996;35:115–246.
- 19. Waldmeier PC, Zimmermann K, Qian T, Tintelnot-Blomley M, Lemasters JJ. Cyclophilin D as a drug target. Curr Med Chem. 2003;10:1485–506.
- 20. Hansson MJ, Mansson R, Mattiasson G, et al. Brain-derived respiring mitochondria exhibit homogeneous, complete and cyclosporin-sensitive permeability transition. J Neurochem. 2004;89:715–29.
- 21. Hansson MJ, Morota S, Chen L, et al. Cyclophilin D-sensitive mitochondrial permeability transition in adult human brain and liver mitochondria. J Neurotrauma. 2011;28:143–53.
- 22. Hansson MJ, Persson T, Friberg H, et al. Powerful cyclosporin inhibition of calcium-induced permeability transition in brain mitochondria. Brain Res. 2003;960:99–111.
- 23. Okonkwo DO, Melon DE, Pellicane AJ, et al. Dose-response of cyclosporin A in attenuating traumatic axonal injury in rat. Neuroreport. 2003;14:463–6.
- 24. Sullivan PG, Rabchevsky AG, Hicks RR, et al. Dose-response curve and optimal dosing regimen of cyclosporin A after traumatic brain injury in rats. Neuroscience. 2000;101:289–95.
- 25. Sullivan PG, Thompson M, Scheff SW. Continuous infusion of cyclosporin A postinjury significantly ameliorates cortical damage following traumatic brain injury. Exp Neurol. 2000;161:631–7.
- 26. Dixon CE, Bramlett HM, Dietrich WD, et al. Cyclosporine treatment in traumatic brain injury: operation brain trauma therapy. J Neurotrauma. 2016;33:553–66.
- 27. Hatton J, Rosbolt B, Empey P, Kryscio R, Young B. Dosing and safety of cyclosporine in patients with severe brain injury. J Neurosurg. 2008;109:699–707.
- 28. Kelsen J, Karlsson M, Hansson MJ, et al. Copenhagen Head Injury Ciclosporin study: a phase IIa safety, pharmacokinetics, and biomarker study of ciclosporin in severe traumatic brain injury patients. J Neurotrauma. 2019;36:3253–63.
- 29. Karlsson M, Pukenas B, Chawla S, et al. Neuroprotective effects of cyclosporine in a porcine pre-clinical trial of focal traumatic brain injury. J Neurotrauma. 2018;36:14–24.
- 30. Karlsson M, Yang Z, Chawla S, et al. Evaluation of diffusion tensor imaging and fluid based biomarkers in a large animal trial of cyclosporine in focal traumatic brain injury. J Neurotrauma. 2021;38:1870–8.
- 31. Mazzeo AT, Alves OL, Gilman CB et al. Brain metabolic and hemodynamic effects of cyclosporin A after human severe traumatic brain injury: a microdialysis study. Acta Neurochir (Wien). 2008;150:1019-1031; discussion 1031.
- 32. Mazzeo AT, Brophy GM, Gilman CB, et al. Safety and tolerability of cyclosporin a in severe traumatic brain injury patients: results from a prospective randomized trial. J Neurotrauma. 2009;26:2195–206.
- 33. Aminmansour B, Fard SA, Habibabadi MR, et al. The efficacy of cyclosporine-A on diffuse axonal injury after traumatic brain injury. Adv Biomed Res. 2014;3:35.
- 34. Diaz-Arrastia R, Agostini MA, Madden CJ, Van Ness PC. Posttraumatic epilepsy: the endophenotypes of a human model of epileptogenesis. Epilepsia. 2009;50(Suppl 2):14–20.
- 35. Haber M, Amyot F, Lynch CE, et al. Imaging biomarkers of vascular and axonal injury are spatially distinct in chronic traumatic brain injury. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2021;41:1924–38.
- 36. van Eijck MM, Schoonman GG, van der Naalt J, de Vries J, Roks G. Diffuse axonal injury after traumatic brain injury is a prognostic factor for functional outcome: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Brain Inj. 2018;32:395–402.
- 37. Graham NS, Sharp DJ. Understanding neurodegeneration after traumatic brain injury: from mechanisms to clinical trials in dementia. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2019;90:1221–33.
- 38. Korley FK, Yue JK, Wilson DH, et al. Performance evaluation of a multiplex assay for simultaneous detection of four clinically relevant traumatic brain injury biomarkers. J Neurotrauma. 2018;36:182–7.
- 39. Al Nimer F, Thelin E, Nystrom H, et al. Comparative assessment of the prognostic value of biomarkers in traumatic brain injury reveals an independent role for serum levels of neurofilament light. PLoS One. 2015;10: e0132177.
- 40. Shahim P, Gren M, Liman V, et al. Serum neurofilament light protein predicts clinical outcome in traumatic brain injury. Sci Rep. 2016;6:36791.
- 41. Shahim P, Politis A, van der Merwe A, et al. Neurofilament light as a biomarker in traumatic brain injury. Neurology. 2020;95:e610–22.
- 42. Warner MA, Marquez de la Plata C, Spence J et al. Assessing spatial relationships between axonal integrity, regional brain volumes, and neuropsychological outcomes after traumatic axonal injury. J Neurotrauma. 2010;27:2121-2130.
- 43. Warner MA, Youn TS, Davis T, et al. Regionally selective atrophy after traumatic axonal injury. Arch Neurol. 2010;67:1336–44.
- 44. Cole JH, Leech R, Sharp DJ, Alzheimer's disease neuroimaging I. Prediction of brain age suggests accelerated atrophy after traumatic brain injury. Ann Neurol. 2015;77:571-581.
- 45. Graham NSN, Jolly A, Zimmerman K, et al. Diffuse axonal injury predicts neurodegeneration after moderate-severe traumatic brain injury. Brain. 2020;143:3685–98.
- 46. Okonkwo DO, Buki A, Siman R, Povlishock JT. Cyclosporin A limits calcium-induced axonal damage following traumatic brain injury. Neuroreport. 1999;10:353–8.
- 47. Okonkwo DO, Povlishock JT. An intrathecal bolus of cyclosporin A before injury preserves mitochondrial integrity and attenuates axonal disruption in traumatic brain injury. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 1999;19:443–51.
- 48. Buki A, Okonkwo DO, Povlishock JT. Postinjury cyclosporin A administration limits axonal damage and disconnection in traumatic brain injury. J Neurotrauma. 1999;16:511–21.
- 49. Suehiro E, Povlishock JT. Exacerbation of traumatically induced axonal injury by rapid posthypothermic rewarming and attenuation of axonal change by cyclosporin A. J Neurosurg. 2001;94:493–8.
- 50. Signoretti S, Marmarou A, Tavazzi B, et al. The protective effect of cyclosporin A upon N-acetylaspartate and mitochondrial dysfunction following experimental diffuse traumatic brain injury. J Neurotrauma. 2004;21:1154–67.
- 51. Riess P, Bareyre FM, Saatman KE, et al. Effects of chronic, post-injury cyclosporin A administration on motor and sensorimotor function following severe, experimental traumatic brain injury. Restor Neurol Neurosci. 2001;18:1–8.
- 52. Alessandri B, Rice AC, Levasseur J, et al. Cyclosporin A improves brain tissue oxygen consumption and learning/memory performance after lateral fluid percussion injury in rats. J Neurotrauma. 2002;19:829–41.
- 53. Van Den Heuvel C, Donkin JJ, Finnie JW, et al. Downregulation of amyloid precursor protein (APP) expression following post-traumatic cyclosporin-A administration. J Neurotrauma. 2004;21:1562–72.
- 54. Colley BS, Phillips LL, Reeves TM. The effects of cyclosporin-A on axonal conduction deficits following traumatic brain injury in adult rats. Exp Neurol. 2010;224:241–51.
- 55. Scheff SW, Sullivan PG. Cyclosporin A significantly ameliorates cortical damage following experimental traumatic brain injury in rodents. J Neurotrauma. 1999;16:783–92.
- 56. Sullivan PG, Thompson MB, Scheff SW. Cyclosporin A attenuates acute mitochondrial dysfunction following traumatic brain injury. Exp Neurol. 1999;160:226–34.
- 57. Fukui S, Signoretti S, Dunbar JG, Marmarou A. The effect of cyclosporin A on brain edema formation following experimental cortical contusion. Acta Neurochir Suppl. 2003;86:301–3.
- 58. Gabbita SP, Scheff SW, Menard RM, et al. Cleaved-tau: a biomarker of neuronal damage after traumatic brain injury. J Neurotrauma. 2005;22:83–94.
- 59. Redell JB, Zhao J, Dash PK. Acutely increased cyclophilin a expression after brain injury: a role in blood-brain barrier function and tissue preservation. J Neurosci Res. 2007;85:1980–8.
- 60. Mbye LH, Singh IN, Sullivan PG, Springer JE, Hall ED. Attenuation of acute mitochondrial dysfunction after traumatic brain injury in mice by NIM811, a non-immunosuppressive cyclosporin A analog. Exp Neurol. 2008;209:243–53.
- 61. Sullivan PG, Sebastian AH, Hall ED. Therapeutic window analysis of the neuroprotective effects of cyclosporine A after traumatic brain injury. J Neurotrauma. 2011;28:311–8.
- 62. Kulbe JR, Hill RL, Singh IN, Wang JA, Hall ED. Synaptic mitochondria sustain more damage than non-synaptic mitochondria after traumatic brain injury and are protected by cyclosporine A. J Neurotrauma. 2017;34:1291–301.
- 63. Main BS, Villapol S, Sloley SS, et al. Apolipoprotein E4 impairs spontaneous blood brain barrier repair following traumatic brain injury. Mol Neurodegener. 2018;13:17.
- 64. Gurcan O, Uckun MO, Celikmez RC, et al. Neuroprotective efficiency of cyclosporine after traumatic brain injury in rats. Turk Neurosurg. 2020;30:507–12.
- 65. Abdel Baki SG, Schwab B, Haber M, Fenton AA, Bergold PJ. Minocycline synergizes with N-acetylcysteine and improves cognition and memory following traumatic brain injury in rats. PLoS One. 2010;5: e12490.
- 66. Mbye LH, Singh IN, Carrico KM, Saatman KE, Hall ED. Comparative neuroprotective effects of cyclosporin A and NIM811, a nonimmunosuppressive cyclosporin A analog, following traumatic brain injury. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2009;29:87–97.
- 67. Chen L, Song Q, Chen Y, et al. Tailored reconstituted lipoprotein for site-specific and mitochondria-targeted cyclosporine A delivery to treat traumatic brain injury. ACS Nano. 2020;14:6636–48.
- 68. Albensi BC, Sullivan PG, Thompson MB, Scheff SW, Mattson MP. Cyclosporin ameliorates traumatic brain-injury-induced alterations of hippocampal synaptic plasticity. Exp Neurol. 2000;162:385–9.
- 69. Fee D, Crumbaugh A, Jacques T, et al. Activated/effector CD4+ T cells exacerbate acute damage in the central nervous system following traumatic injury. J Neuroimmunol. 2003;136:54–66.
- 70. Setkowicz Z, Guzik R. Injections of vehicle, but not cyclosporin A or tacrolimus (FK506), afford neuroprotection following injury in the developing rat brain. Acta Neurobiol Exp (Wars). 2007;67:399–409.
- 71. Kilbaugh TJ, Bhandare S, Lorom DH, et al. Cyclosporin A preserves mitochondrial function after traumatic brain injury in the immature rat and piglet. J Neurotrauma. 2011;28:763–74.
- 72. Margulies SS, Kilbaugh T, Sullivan S, et al. Establishing a clinically relevant large animal model platform for TBI therapy development: using cyclosporin A as a case study. Brain Pathol. 2015;25:289–303.
- 73. Empey PE, McNamara PJ, Young B, Rosbolt MB, Hatton J. Cyclosporin A disposition following acute traumatic brain injury. J Neurotrauma. 2006;23:109–16.
- 74. Mazzeo AT, Kunene NK, Gilman CB, et al. Severe human traumatic brain injury, but not cyclosporin a treatment, depresses

activated T lymphocytes early after injury. J Neurotrauma. 2006;23:962–75.

75. Brophy GM, Mazzeo AT, Brar S, et al. Exposure of cyclosporin A in whole blood, cerebral spinal fluid, and brain extracellular fluid dialysate in adults with traumatic brain injury. J Neurotrauma. 2013;30:1484–9.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.