ECOLOGY

Connecting the multiple dimensions of global soil fungal diversity

Vladimir Mikryukov¹*⁺, Olesya Dulya¹⁺, Alexander Zizka², Mohammad Bahram³, Niloufar Hagh-Doust¹, Sten Anslan¹, Oleh Prylutskyi⁴, Manuel Delgado-Baquerizo⁵, Fernando T. Maestre⁶, Henrik Nilsson⁷, Jaan Pärn¹, Maarja Öpik¹, Mari Moora¹, Martin Zobel¹, Mikk Espenberg¹, Ülo Mander¹, Abdul Nasir Khalid⁸, Adriana Corrales⁹, Ahto Agan¹⁰, Aída-M. Vasco-Palacios¹¹, Alessandro Saitta¹², Andrea Rinaldi¹³, Annemieke Verbeken¹⁴, Bobby Sulistyo¹⁴, Boris Tamgnoue¹⁵, Brendan Furneaux¹⁶, Camila Duarte Ritter¹⁷, Casper Nyamukondiwa¹⁸, Cathy Sharp¹⁹, César Marín²⁰, Daniyal Gohar²¹, Darta Klavina²², Dipon Sharmah²³, Dong-Qin Dai²⁴, Eduardo Nouhra²⁵, Elisabeth Machteld Biersma^{26,27}, Elisabeth Rähn¹⁰, Erin Cameron²⁸, Eske De Crop¹⁴, Eveli Otsing²¹, Evgeny Davydov²⁹, Felipe Albornoz³⁰, Francis Brearley³¹, Franz Buegger³², Geoffrey Zahn³³, Gregory Bonito³⁴, Inga Hiiesalu¹, Isabel Barrio³⁵, Jacob Heilmann-Clausen³⁶, Jelena Ankuda³⁷, Jiri Doležal³⁸, John Kupagme²¹, Jose Maciá-Vicente³⁹, Joseph Djeugap Fovo¹⁵, József Geml⁴⁰, Juha Alatalo⁴¹, Julieta Alvarez-Manjarrez⁴², Kadri Põldmaa^{1,43}, Kadri Runnel¹, Kalev Adamson¹⁰, Kari-Anne Bråthen⁴⁴, Karin Pritsch³², Kassim Tchan Issifou⁴⁵, Kęstutis Armolaitis⁴⁶, Kevin Hyde⁴⁷, Kevin K. Newsham²⁷, Kristel Panksep⁴⁸, Adebola Azeez Lateef^{49,50}, Linda Hansson⁵¹, Louis Lamit⁵², Malka Saba⁵³, Maria Tuomi⁴⁴, Marieka Gryzenhout⁵⁴, Marijn Bauters⁵⁵, Meike Piepenbring⁵⁶, Nalin N. Wijayawardene⁵⁷, Nourou Yorou⁴⁵, Olavi Kurina⁵⁸, Peter Mortimer⁵⁹, Peter Meidl⁶⁰, Petr Kohout⁶¹, Rasmus Puusepp²¹, Rein Drenkhan¹⁰, Roberto Garibay-Orijel⁴², Roberto Godoy⁶², Saad Alkahtani⁶³, Saleh Rahimlou²¹, Sergey Dudov⁶⁴, Sergei Põlme^{21,43}, Soumya Ghosh⁵⁴, Sunil Mundra⁶⁵, Talaat Ahmed⁴¹, Tarquin Netherway³, Terry Henkel⁶⁶, Tomas Roslin³, Vincent Nteziryayo⁶⁷, Vladimir Fedosov⁶⁴, Vladimir Onipchenko⁶⁴, Weeragalle Arachchillage Erandi Yasanthika⁴⁷, Young Lim⁶⁸, Michael Van Nuland⁶⁹, Nadejda Soudzilovskaia⁷⁰, Alexandre Antonelli⁷¹, Urmas Kõljalg^{1,43}, Kessy Abarenkov⁴³, Leho Tedersoo^{21,63}*

How the multiple facets of soil fungal diversity vary worldwide remains virtually unknown, hindering the management of this essential species-rich group. By sequencing high-resolution DNA markers in over 4000 topsoil samples from natural and human-altered ecosystems across all continents, we illustrate the distributions and drivers of different levels of taxonomic and phylogenetic diversity of fungi and their ecological groups. We show the impact of precipitation and temperature interactions on local fungal species richness (alpha diversity) across different climates. Our findings reveal how temperature drives fungal compositional turnover (beta diversity) and phylogenetic diversity, linking them with regional species richness (gamma diversity). We integrate fungi into the principles of global biodiversity distribution and present detailed maps for biodiversity conservation and modeling of global ecological processes.

INTRODUCTION

As the largest contributor to global biomass after plants and bacteria (1), soil-inhabiting fungi play crucial roles in maintaining the health, productivity, and nutrient cycling of terrestrial ecosystems (2–4). Yet, our understanding of fungal diversity distribution across the globe is incomplete, hampering analysis and prediction of diversity-functioning relationships in changing environments. The relatively recent emergence of high-throughput molecular identification offers the tools for large-scale surveys of diverse fungal communities (5–11). Pioneering global studies, incorporating hundreds of samples and focusing on dominant phylotypes (5, 7, 9, 12), have consistently reported a major role of precipitation in soil fungal alpha diversity (i.e., plot-scale diversity) and the existence of a latitudinal diversity gradient (the pattern of poleward

declines of biodiversity intrinsic to many large groups of organisms). A recent metastudy (13) involving heterogeneous data from a large number of soil samples (>3000) inferred higher fungal diversity in hyper-arid and Arctic habitats compared to much of the tropics, challenging the common understanding about the global distribution of fungal diversity and of biodiversity in general (5, 7, 14–16).

While the biogeographic patterns seen in plants and animals are explained by competing hypotheses involving interactions between various factors of diversity (16), the joint effect of key drivers water and thermal energy supply—on the global distribution of fungal alpha diversity has not been assessed. There is also a notable lack of global data providing joint analysis of other diversity aspects, including beta diversity (spatial variability of community

Copyright © 2023

composition), gamma diversity (regional species richness), and phylogenetic diversity (incorporating the evolutionary component of community diversity). Considering these diversity aspects together is pivotal for comprehending the spatial organization of biodiversity and becomes an imperative in development of sustainable ecosystem management strategies and effective biodiversity conservation schemes (15, 17).

Here, we analyze global patterns of fungal taxonomic and phylogenetic alpha, beta, and gamma diversities based on long-read DNA sequencing of ca. 4000 topsoil samples (fig. S1), collected during six global surveys following comparable sampling and molecular analysis protocols: the Global Soil Mycobiome consortium (GSMc) (6), BIODESERT (18), MUSGONET and CLIMIFUN (19), GlobalAM (20), and GlobalWetlands (21). The samples encompass a wide variety of land cover types, including different types of woody and herbaceous plant communities, deserts, and agricultural and urban environments. To increase the discriminatory power of the analysis compared to previous works, we use the full-length internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region of the ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene as a taxonomic marker (22, 23). The resulting >14 million high-quality sequences are clustered into 800,000 operational taxonomic units (OTUs; fig. S2) using a 98% similarity threshold, a practical compromise for grouping sequences roughly

corresponding to fungal species (6). Although the resulting OTUs may not always precisely represent distinct species, they serve as taxonomic proxies whose distribution patterns are likely similar to corresponding species.

While fungi exhibit immensely high functional diversity, they are, much like other organisms, commonly categorized by their most prominent roles in ecosystem functioning into pathogens, symbionts, and decomposers of dead organic matter. Leveraging the expert-curated FungalTraits database (24), we categorize species into functionally distinct, minimally overlapping groups (fig. S3 and table S1): arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) and ectomycorrhizal (EcM) fungi, molds, nonmycorrhizal Agaricomycetes (NMA; mostly represented by saprotrophic macrofungi), and a group of species that are nonsymbiotically biotrophic on a wide variety of organisms (hereafter "pathogens"). We consider yeasts and nonyeast unicellular fungi that exhibit markedly different lifestyles from the predominant multicellular mycelial forms separately (25, 26). Given their importance from a public health standpoint, we also distinguish opportunistic human pathogens (OHPs).

Drawing on these knowledge gaps and previous findings, we address the following questions. (i) What is the combined effect of precipitation and temperature on soil fungal alpha diversity? (ii) Do the global distribution and drivers of alpha diversity vary

¹Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Tartu, Tartu 50409, Estonia. ²Department of Biology, Philipps-University, Marburg 35032, Germany. ³Department of Ecology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala 75007, Sweden. ⁴Department of Mycology and Plant Resistance, School of Biology, V.N. Karazin Kharkiv National University, Kharkiv 61022, Ukraine. ⁵Laboratorio de Biodiversidad y Funcionamiento Ecosistemico, Instituto de Recursos Naturales y Ágrobiología de Sevilla (IRNAS), Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Sevilla 41012, Spain. ⁶Instituto Multidisciplinar para el Estudio del Medio 'Ramón Margalef' and Departamento de Ecología, Universidad de Alicante, Alicante 03690, Spain. ⁷Gothenburg Global Biodiversity Centre, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg 40530, Sweden.⁸Institute of Botany, University of the Punjab, Pakistan 54590, Pakistan. ⁹Centro de Investigaciones en Microbiología y Biotecnología-UR (CIMBIUR), Universidad del Rosario, Bogotá 111221, Colombia. ¹⁰Institute of Forestry and Engineering, Estonian University of Life Sciences, Tartu 51006, Estonia. ¹¹Grupo de BioMicro y Microbiología Ambiental, Escuela de Microbiologia, Universidad de Antioquia UdeA, Medellin 050010, Colombia. ¹²Department of Agricultural, Food and Forest Sciences, University of Palermo, Palermo 90128, Italy. ¹³Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Cagliari, Cagliari 09124, Italy. ¹⁴Department Biology, Ghent University, Ghent 9000, Belgium. ¹⁵Department of Crop Science, University of Dschang, Dschang, Cameroon. ¹⁶Department of Biological and Environmental Science, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä 40014, Finland. ¹⁷Instituto Juruá, Manaus 69083, Brazil. ¹⁸Department of Biological Sciences and Biotechnology, Botswana International University of Science and Tech-nology, Palapye 10071, Botswana. ¹⁹Natural History Museum of Zimbabwe, Bulawayo, Zimbabwe. ²⁰Centro de Investigación e Innovación para el Cambio Climático (CiiCC), Universidad SantoTomás, Valdivia, Chile. ²¹Center of Mycology and Microbiology, University of Tartu, Tartu 50409, Estonia. ²²Latvian State Forest Research Institute Silava, Salaspils 2169, Latvia. ²³Department of Botany, Jawaharlal Nehru Rajkeeya Mahavidyalaya, Pondicherry University, Port Blair 744101, India. ²⁴College of Biological Resource and Food Engineering, Qujing Normal University, Qujing, Yunnan 655011, China.²⁵Instituto Multidisciplinario de Biología Vegetal (CONICET), Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Cordoba 5000, Argentina. ²⁶Natural History Museum of Denmark, Copenhagen 1123, Denmark. ²⁷British Antarctic Survey, NERC, High Cross, Cambridge CB3 0ET, UK. ²⁸Department of Environmental Science, Saint Mary's University, Halfax B3H 3C3, Canada. ²⁹Altai State University, Barnaul 656049, Russia. ³⁰Land and Water, Commonwealth Sciencific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO), Wembley 6014, Australia. ³¹Department of Natural Sciences, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester M1 5GD, UK. ³²Helmholtz Zentrum München, Neuherberg 85764, Germany. ³³Biology Department, Utah Valley University, Orem, UT 84058, USA. ³⁴Plant, Soil and Microbial Sciences, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824-6254, USA. ³⁵Faculty of Natural and Environmental Sciences, Agricultural University of Iceland, Reykjavík 112, Iceland. ³⁶Center for Macroecology, Evolution and Climate, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen 1350, Denmark. ³⁷Voké branch, Institute of Agriculture, Lithuanian Research Centre for Agriculture and Forestry (LAMMC), Vilnius LT-02232, Lithuania. ³⁸Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, České Budějovice 37005, Czech Republic. ³⁹Department of Environmental Sciences, Plant Ecology and Nature Conservation, Wageningen University and Re-search, Wageningen 6708, Netherlands. ⁴⁰ELKH-EKKE Lendület Environmental Microbiome Research Group, Eszterházy Károly Catholic University, Eger 3300, Hungary. ⁴¹Environmental Science Center, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar.
 ⁴²Instituto de Biología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad de México 04510, Mexico.
 ⁴³Natural History Museum, University of Tartu, Tartu 51003, Estonia.
 ⁴⁴Department of Arctic and Marine Biology, The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø 9019, Norway. ⁴⁵Research Unit Tropical Mycology and Plants-Soil Fungi Interactions, University of Parakou, Parakou 00229, Benin.⁴⁶Department of Silviculture and Ecology, Institute of Forestry, Lithuanian Research Centre for Agriculture and Forestry (LAMMC), Girionys 53101, Lithuania. ⁴⁷Center of Excellence in Fungal Research, Mae Fah Luang University, Chiang Rai 57100, Thailand. ⁴⁸Chair of Hydrobiology and Fishery, Estonian University of Life Sciences, Tartu 51006, Estonia. ⁴⁹Department of Plant Biology, Faculty of Life Science, University of Ilorin, Ilorin 240102, Nigeria. ⁵⁰Department of Forest Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Boot, Finland. ⁵¹Gothenburg Centre for Sustainable Development, Gothenburg 41133, Sweden. 52 Department of Biology, Syracuse University, Syracuse 13244, USA. 53 Department of Plant Sciences, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad 45320, Pakistan. ⁵⁴Department of Genetics, Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences, University of the Free State, Bloemfontein 9300, South Africa. ⁵⁵Department of Environment, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Ghent University, Ghent 9000, Belgium. ⁵⁶Mycology Working Group, Goethe University Frankfurt am Main, Frankfurt am Main 60438, Germany.⁵⁷College of Biological Resource and Food Engineering, Qujing Normal University, Qujing, China. ⁵⁸Institute of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Estonian University of Life Sciences, Tartu 51006, Estonia. ⁵⁹Center For Mountain Futures, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650201, China. 60 Freie Universität Berlin, Institut für Biologie, Berlin 14195, Germany. 61 Institute of Microbiology, Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic. 62 Instituto Ciencias Ambientales y Evolutivas, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile. 63 Department of Zoology, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia. ⁶⁴Department of Ecology and Plant Geography, Moscow Lomonosov State University, Moscow 10324, Russia. ⁶⁵Department of Biology, College of Science, United Arab Emirates University (UAEU), AI Ain, UAE. ⁶⁶Department of Biological Sciences, California State Polytechnic University, Arcata, CA 95521, USA. ⁶⁷Department of Food Science and Technology, University of Burundi, Bujumbura Burundi. ⁶⁸School of Biological Sciences and Institute of Microbiology, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea. ⁶⁹Society for the Protection of Underground Networks (SPUN), Dover, DE 19901, USA. ⁷⁰Centre for Environmental Sciences, Hasselt University, Hasselt 3500, Belgium. ⁷¹Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond TW9 3AE, UK. *Corresponding author. Email: vladimir.mikryukov@ut.ee (V.M.); leho.tedersoo@ut.ee (L.T.) ⁺These authors contributed equally to this work.

among fungal ecological groups, reflecting their niche attributes? (iii) What mechanisms underlie fungal species and phylogenetic diversity relationships? (iv) Is climate, through the effects on fungal species ranges (9, 13), the primary driver of fungal compositional turnover (i.e., beta diversity)? (v) Is thermal energy supply, the main driver of animal and plant diversification (27), also the primary explanatory variable of fungal gamma diversity?

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Alpha diversity

The latitudinal distribution of the total number of fungal OTUs in the samples (i.e., alpha diversity, S_{TOT}) exhibits peaks in tropical and temperate ecosystems, while showing depressions in desert, Arctic, and Antarctic ecosystems (Fig. 1A and fig. S4). To identify the factors explaining S_{TOT} variability, we used 135 publicly available climatic, vegetation, and edaphic variables (table S2). We eliminated correlated variables, prioritizing variables already identified as fungal biodiversity drivers in global and continental-scale studies, and then preselected 35 influential variables for modeling S_{TOT} distribution within each dataset using the extreme gradient boosting (XGBoost) machine learning technique. Using a consensus approach that accounts for model performance and representation of environmental conditions in the training data, we constructed a high-resolution global map of predicted S_{TOT} $(S'_{TOT}; Fig. 1B and figs. S5 to S9)$ with a 30-arc sec resolution equating to grid cells of <1 km². The map highlights the largest fungal diversity "hotspots" (areas with S'_{TOT} above the global 97.5th percentile) in the East African highlands, Gulf of Guinea tropical forests, Appalachian forests, Central American dry forests, and Himalayan and New Guinean forests (fig. S10). The hotspots partly align with plant alpha diversity peaks (14), primarily along the equator, excluding the wettest parts of equatorial rainforests with $S_{\rm TOT}$ depressions. The $S'_{\rm TOT}$ "coldspots" (areas with $S'_{\rm TOT}$ below the 2.5th global percentile) include the Atacama, Sahara, and Arabian deserts, as well as the Central Asian drylands, reflecting the primary limiting effect of water deficit on soil fungal alpha diversity, similar to its impact on soil testate amoebae, earthworms, and other soil-dwelling organisms (28-30).

While S'_{TOT} displays a near-monotonic increase along the gradients of mean annual precipitation (MAP) and temperature (MAT) (Fig. 1C), corroborating previous works [(5, 7, 12, 30), but see (13)], conditional effect analysis shows that S'_{TOT} response to both predictors varies substantially across climate types. Specifically, it is positively related to annual temperature in cold humid or temperate humid regions, and negatively so in hot or arid regions (Fig. 1D). The S'_{TOT} response to annual precipitation is positive in hot climates with up to ca. 2000 mm of annual rainfall, but negative in hyper-humid or cold areas. Correspondingly, while tropical and subtropical rainforests generally have high S'_{TOT} (Fig. 1E, fig. S11, and table S3), relatively lower values are predicted for the central wettest parts of the Amazon and Congo basins and for the Indo-Malay swamp forests, where hydric soils predominate. Across temperate broadleaf and mixed forests, S'_{TOT} peaks in the Balkans, Far East, and Appalachians, but has the lowest values in Western and Central European humid lowlands. In tundra and boreal forest biomes, S'_{TOT} peaks in the Far East and declines in subarctic and Arctic ecosystems.

According to the model predictions, land cover type also constitutes a major influencer of fungal alpha diversity, with deciduous forests supporting the highest S'_{TOT} in all biomes (table S3). At a broader scale, this effect is exemplified by S'_{TOT} gradients from steppes to transitional forest-grassland vegetation to forested biomes. The difference in S'_{TOT} between deciduous forests and either croplands or urban areas is particularly pronounced in the tropical dry forest biome. Consequently, agricultural expansion and urbanization, which cover over 30% of the biome area, lead to notable and extensive declines in S'_{TOT} , as exemplified in the Deccan plateau, Central Indochina, and Central America. This reflects concerns about the loss of tropical biodiversity in other biotic groups (15). In addition, while less pronounced, yet extensive S'_{TOT} declines due to agricultural land transformation are also predicted in the Americas and Europe across Mediterranean and temperate forest biomes, as well as temperate grasslands.

The present analysis of the drivers of fungal diversity distribution is based on the most extensive global sample collected using a unified protocol and covering a large volume in the multidimensional space of environmental properties. However, certain habitats, characterized by unique combinations of environmental characteristics, have lower prediction precision and deserve future research focus (figs. S8 and S9). The large number of species forming the basis of our analyses supports the expectation of global fungal diversity (*31*), while its exceeding the number of currently named species underscores the necessity for additional efforts in describing mycobiota from various habitats (*32*).

Alpha diversity of fungal ecological groups

We examine and align the worldwide distributions of key fungal groups driving important soil processes on Earth through pathogenesis, symbiosis, and decomposition. We find that edaphic parameters, ecosystem productivity, and water availability are generally more important for saprotrophic groups, while temperature and vegetation composition are fundamental for mycorrhizal fungi (Fig. 2A and table S4). Conceptually mirroring previous findings (13, 33, 34), the latitudinal distributions of the predicted richness of AM and EcM fungi (S $'_{AM}$ and S $'_{ECM}$, respectively) are almost opposite (r = -0.54; fig. S12, A and B, and Supplementary Text) primarily divided by temperature niche (i.e., with optimal MAT >20°C for S'_{AM} and -15°C to +5°C for S'_{ECM} ; see fig. S13) and abundance of potential host plants. By overlaying the maps of S'_{AM} and S'_{ECM} (Fig. 2B), we outline two distinct areas with high S'_{AM} (generally in tropical forests and subtropical grasslands) and S'_{ECM} (in temperate and boreal forests) with transitional vegetation such as forest-grasslands, forest-steppes, and savannas, inhabited by species-rich communities of both groups.

While the diversity hotspots of molds and predominantly saprotrophic NMA are inferred, respectively, for temperate (e.g., in the Japanese Archipelago, Tasmania, and the Appalachians) and tropical (e.g., the Gulf of Guinea, Oceanian, and Central America forests) rainforests, S'_{MOLD} and S'_{NMA} are strongly correlated (r =0.70) and respond very similarly to primary drivers (figs. S12 and S13). Molds, however, exhibit a stronger demand for soil carbon and nitrogen content and preference for more acid soils than NMA. Unlike other saprotrophic groups, yeast diversity is less responsive to MAP and nutrient availability. We predict relatively high S'_{YEAST} for arid alkaline soils, in agreement with the extremophilic habit of many yeast species and supporting the idea of an



Fig. 1. Global distribution of soil fungal alpha diversity. (**A**) Latitudinal distributions of total fungal alpha diversity (S_{TOT}). (**B**) Consensus map of predicted total fungal alpha diversity (S'_{TOT}), with insets showing S'_{TOT} latitudinal distributions in Northern (green) and Southern (blue) Hemispheres; areas covered with perennial ice are colored in gray. (**C**) S'_{TOT} (median and interquartile range) distribution in the global gradients of MAP and MAT; green and gray denote the predictions within and beyond training data coverage, respectively. (**D**) Conditional effects of MAP and MAT on S'_{TOT} . (**E**) S'_{TOT} across terrestrial biomes, with dots showing median S'_{TOT} for 818 terrestrial ecoregions (47). Biomes sharing the same letter indicate no significant difference at the 5% level of significance after *P* value adjustment with the Holm-Bonferroni method.

understudied yeast biota from extreme habitats (25, 35). Conversely, nonyeast unicellular fungi exhibited narrower optimum ranges of climatic and edaphic parameters, and had predicted hotspots in temperate mesic forests but coldspots in arid regions and tropical hydric soils.

Compared to the other fungal groups, the effect of environmental variables (including land cover types) on S_{PATH} is weaker, resulting in a lower S'_{PATH} variability across the globe (fig. S12 and table S5). Primarily explained by diurnal temperature amplitude (DTA), S'_{PATH} is higher than average at DTA of ca. 7° to 13°C (i.e., optimal for most plants and ectotherms and fungal pathogens' primary hosts) while sharply declining outside this range (i.e., in extreme hyper-arid, alpine, and Arctic habitats). These findings, summarizing the variety of relationships between pathogen diversity and host community properties (*36–39*), suggest that a biotic community



Fig. 2. Alpha diversity of soil fungal ecological groups. (A) Importance of key predictors and the shape of their relationships with the local richness of fungal ecological groups. (B) Model-predicted global distribution of EcM and AM fungal local richness. Color legend denotes low, medium, and high EcM or AM fungal predicted richness; areas covered with perennial ice are colored in gray. (C) Functional profiles of soil mycobiota in different biomes based on predicted alpha diversity of fungal ecological groups. (D) Global distribution of predicted alpha diversity of OHP fungi and (E) overlap between areas with high predicted richness of OHP fungi and high human population density (D).

exceeding a certain low threshold of diversity or biomass invariably shelters a relatively diverse community of fungal pathogens.

Our alpha diversity maps provide the basis for conserving fungal ecological groups and, thus, the ecosystem functions performed by them and the organisms reliant on them. Furthermore, the predicted functional profiles of soil mycobiota, built by summarizing the groups' diversity, substantially vary across the globe (Fig. 2C). The unique functional profiles of terrestrial biomes and ecoregions (figs. S14 and S15) provide distinct ecosystem services and functions in global nutrient cycling, vividly exemplified by the contribution of mycorrhizal fungi in global carbon allocation (4).

Areas with high S'_{OHP} (Fig. 2D) cover the regions with the greatest human population density (Fig 2E). These results, while supporting the link between the frequency of OHP infections and social factors reported in epidemiological studies (40), may also point to an underexplored pathogenicity potential of fungal biota in less populated areas. In light of the elevated OHP potential in molds, we expect a larger number of yet undiscovered OHP species within S'_{MOLD} hotspots, where respective epidemiological surveillance is needed to mitigate public health risks.

Phylogenetic alpha diversity

Incorporating species relatedness into biodiversity estimates through phylogenetic diversity indices identifies the mechanisms of community assembly (41), and their potential functional value, and correspondingly underlies conservation prioritization (15, 42). In fungal biogeography, phylogenetic diversity is rarely considered alongside taxonomic diversity [but see (33)]. In our dataset, the simplest measure of phylogenetic alpha diversity-total phylogenetic branch lengths in a community (SPD)—is tightly positively linked with species richness (r = 0.71 between S_{PD} and S_{TOT}-_{GSMc}). Accordingly, S'_{PD} broadly correlates with S'_{TOT} (fig. S17). Meanwhile, phylogenetic dispersion (SES_{PD}), representing standardized difference between actual SPD and SPD of a randomly assembled community of the same richness, illustrates that approximately 93% of the sampled communities are phylogenetically clustered (SES_{PD} < 0). This highlights that environmental filtering for closely related, and thus functionally similar, species is the main global mechanism shaping local mycobiota. Phylogenetically overdispersed communities ($SES_{PD} > 0$), assembled with a greater contribution of competitive displacement among functionally similar species, are found in the equatorial tropics. Decreasing poleward (Fig. 3A) and with altitude in the tropical highlands (Fig. 3B), SES_{PD} is best explained by MAT (table S4). While SES_{PD} is independent of S_{TOT} (r = 0.01), it is affected by the richness of certain fungal groups in a community (positively by SAM and SUCELL and negatively by S_{ECM} and S_{MOLD}; table S6).



Fig. 3. Global patterns in soil fungal phylogenetic structure. (**A**) Global distribution of predicted phylogenetic dispersion (SES'_{PD}) of soil fungi, with accompanying latitudinal distributions of SES'_{PD} in the Northern (green) and Southern (blue) Hemispheres. (**B**) Association between SES_{PD} and elevation in tropical biomes. For clarity, the *y* axis is clipped, and two data points displaying low SES_{PD} values from the Neotropical biome are excluded.

The map of model-predicted SES_{PD} (SES'_{PD}) shows strong latitudinal and elevational gradients of phylogenetic dispersion, mirroring plant and animal biogeographical patterns (15, 43). On the basis of the known patterns in EcM and AM fungal evolutionary biogeography (33, 34, 44, 45), the links between SES_{PD} and the richness of ecological groups highlight the impact of rapid speciation in specific genera of EcM fungi and the selective exclusion of AM fungi in colder climates on the global distribution of the phylogenetic structure of the whole soil fungal community. Research of the mechanisms involving unicellular fungi and molds, taxa with a largely unexplored evolutionary biogeography, represents a promising avenue for future investigations.

Beta diversity

Beta diversity, measuring the compositional heterogeneity of biota among locations, is a crucial parameter for the development of strategies for regional- and continental-scale biodiversity maintenance (17). We examined global patterns of soil fungal beta diversity among GSMc samples based on Simpson's pairwise dissimilarity in the composition of OTUs [D_{TAX} (taxonomic dissimilarity)] and phylogenetic lineages [D_{PD} (phylogenetic dissimilarity)]. As the indices are strongly correlated (Mantel's r = 0.86), their revealed drivers and distribution maps are largely similar (fig. S19). Specifically, while our sampling captures high compositional dissimilarity at the landscape scale, it also reveals the greater importance of the environment rather than geographical distance for fungal compositional variability at macroscales, supporting the results of the species distribution analyses (Fig. 4A) (*5*, *9*, *13*, *46*). The strongest environmental predictors of fungal spatial compositional turnover include MAT, soil pH, and N content (Fig. 4A and fig. S19).

We constructed maps of the model-predicted D_{TAX} and D_{PD} (D'_{TAX} and D'_{PD} , respectively) (Fig. 4B and fig. S20), which broadly illustrate a statistically significant divergence of all terrestrial biomes in mycobiota composition (Fig. 4C, fig. S18, and table S7), with tropical moist forests harboring the most unique communities. Mangroves, tropical coniferous forests, and flooded and mountain grasslands [i.e., small biomes associated with specific terrains "embedded" in large continuous biomes (47)] share the largest part of

SCIENCE ADVANCES | RESEARCH ARTICLE



Fig. 4. Global patterns of soil fungal beta diversity. (**A**) Compositional turnover of fungal communities over the ranges of the most influential variables: geographical distance (0.7 to 19,948 km), MAT (-11.8° to 29.3°C), soil pH (4.0 to 8.7), soil N content (0.4 to 16.7 g kg⁻¹), aboveground biomass (0 to 1828 Mg C ha⁻¹), organic C stocks (9.4 to 142.5 Mg ha⁻¹), and winter length (0 to 365 days). (**B**) Predicted compositional dissimilarity of fungal communities, where color gradients represent species composition gradients derived from environmental predictors and combined into three GDM components; thereby, color similarity among locations in the map is proportional to compositional similarity of fungal communities. (**C**) Graph of compositional pairwise relatedness of terrestrial biomes, with node color indicative of the biome's position in GDM components and edge width proportional to mycobiota compositional similarity between the biomes. (**D**) Predicted compositional dissimilarity among soil fungal communities within ecoregions (median of D'_{TAX}). (**E**) Latitudinal distribution of ecoregional D'_{TAX}-to-D'_{PD} ratio in areas with a small, medium, and large altitude difference (elevation interquartile range).

their fungal biota with surrounding biomes. However, given the specificity of such ecosystems, we consider that the uniqueness of their mycobiota could be underestimated in our study (and other ongoing works) due to the lack of variables accurately describing such habitats (as emphasized in mountain biodiversity studies) (48).

By averaging D'_{TAX} within terrestrial ecoregions (Fig. 4D) and a 150-km radius area, we predict that the most pronounced spatial turnover of soil fungi within subtropical and tropical regions arises from greater differences in altitude and, consequently, environmental heterogeneity (figs. S21 and S22). When accounting for the topography effect, we found that the D'_{TAX} -to- D'_{PD} ratio declines with distance from the equator ($\beta < -0.01$, P < 0.001; Fig. 4E). In terms of tropical conservatism theory, this implies a

nonlinear increase of tropical lineage loss with distance from the environmental optimum.

Gamma diversity

Fungi are estimated to comprise 2 million to 3 million species (31), with the global distribution of regional species richness remaining one of the least studied aspects of fungal diversity. On the basis of our global surveys and museum collections' sequences deposited in the UNITE (including GenBank) database (49), we evaluate gamma diversity as OTU richness in a superecoregion [as defined by (46)], accounting for variations in sampling and sequencing effort (table S8). The overall fungal gamma diversity (G_{TOT}) is linked to regional average S'_{TOT} (Fig. 5A) and, correspondingly, responds to the index

SCIENCE ADVANCES | RESEARCH ARTICLE



Fig. 5. Gamma diversity of soil fungal communities. (**A**) Relationships of total fungal regional richness (G_{TOT}) with median S_{TOT} and distance from the equator. Separate regression lines are shown for the Northern (green) and Southern (blue) Hemispheres. Deserts and drylands (red dots) are excluded from the regression analysis. (**B**) Marginal effects of the significant predictors on G_{TOT} . Potential evapotranspiration (PET) spatial variability is the interquartile range of Thornthwaite's PET, and the Ellenberg quotient, the ratio of mean temperature of the warmest month to annual precipitation, is an index of drought. (**C**) Map of G_{TOT} at the ecoregion scale (46).

of drought (Fig. 5B). The strong positive effect of spatial variability of thermal energy supply (i.e., of potential evapotranspiration) on G_{TOT} matches the classical positive relationships between environmental heterogeneity and regional plant and animal biodiversity (50). Given that temperature is the main driver of compositional turnover and SES_{PD}, the revealed effect of energy supply variability on G_{TOT} also links regional richness with beta diversity and phylogenetic dispersion. The significance of the annual range of soil temperature for G_{TOT} suggests that the relationships between physiological tolerance to temperature fluctuations and distribution ranges of plant and ectothermic species (51) also apply to fungi. Meanwhile, MacArthur and Wilson's (52) classic theory of island biogeography does not hold true for our dataset, as G_{TOT} is not related to island area or distance from mainland (table S9).

In the G_{TOT} map, much like the situation in other kingdoms (48, 53, 54), most of the G_{TOT} peaks in the tropics are located not only in mountainous regions such as the eastern African highlands, New Guinea, and eastern Himalayas but also in coastal lowlands in Central Africa and Australia (Fig. 5C). Notably, the eastern slopes of the tropical Andes, a region known for its high plant and vertebrate richness (48, 53, 54), are not among the identified areas with high fungal G_{TOT} . In the temperate zones, G_{TOT} peaks in northeastern United States, Anatolian forests, the Japanese Archipelago, and

Ussuri forests. Beringia exhibits the highest gamma diversity among Arctic superecoregions, possibly because of its relatively long glacier-free history (55). The lowest G_{TOT} values are observed in desert areas.

While regional richness of fungal ecological groups generally coincides with alpha diversity, peaking in the same geographical regions and largely driven by similar variables (figs. S24 and S25, tables S10 and S11, and Supplementary Text), gamma diversity of pathogens is also regulated by the regional variability of climatic predictors and elevation, which highlights the importance of environmental heterogeneity for this wide-ranging ecological group.

Synthesis

Together, the results of our multidimensional analyses indicate that, alongside other kingdoms, soil fungi exhibit peaks in alpha, beta, and gamma diversities in tropical (mostly mountainous) regions while decreasing toward the poles and abruptly dropping in hyper-arid regions. While water deficit in drylands is an evident limiting factor for alpha diversity, our models incorporating water-energy balance also explain the declines in local diversity in tropical hyper-humid soils—one of several unresolved issues in fungal biogeography. In addition, phylogenetic and species turnover are linked to gamma diversity by spatial variability in thermal energy supply. By integrating the distribution patterns of taxonomic, phylogenetic, and ecological group diversities, we outline the mechanisms shaping soil fungal communities across environmental gradients and space and highlight the pivotal roles of EcM and AM fungi, molds, and nonyeast unicellular fungi in the mechanisms. One of our critical findings is that the predicted functional uniqueness of soil mycobiota across the majority of terrestrial ecoregions implies their role as functional units with different roles in global nutrient cycles. The potential cross-regional impacts of the loss of such functional units emphasize the imperative of collaborative conservation efforts that aim to preserve all types of biota to safeguard biosphere functional integrity. Considering the heightened vulnerability of endemic species to environmental changes, we propose assessing the conservation value of local mycobiota based not only on its diversity but also on its compositional uniqueness. The models and high-resolution maps provided by our work represent the basis for conservation planning (of fungi or groups dependent on them) and for considering soil fungi in explanatory and predictive modeling of macroecological, biogeochemical, and epidemiological processes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Datasets

Fungal surveys

The GSMc (https://GSMc-fungi.github.io/) dataset (6) was the basis for the analysis of taxonomic and phylogenetic alpha, beta, and gamma diversities conducted. This dataset comprises fungal sequence data from 128,000 subsamples at 3200 study sites collected from all continents in 2010-2019 following strict sampling and analytical protocols. In short, 40 soil cores (5 cm in diameter and 5 cm in depth) were collected from a 2500 m² area at each study site and were pooled. For gamma diversity and validation of alpha diversity analyses, we used the data from five additional global surveys applying comparable sampling protocols: BIODESERT (249 samples) exploring dryland ecosystem response to grazing pressure and climate change (18); MUSGONET and CLIMIFUN (290 samples) (19); GlobalAM (199 samples), assessing arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal diversity worldwide (20); and GlobalWetlands (85 samples) (21). A map displaying the sampling locations is shown in fig. S1. Because all sampling plots in all the surveys are of a comparable size, the design excludes the "spatial grain effect" [i.e., nonlinear relationships between species richness and sampling plot area (14, 50)]. The effect of environmental properties (e.g., microtopography and local plant richness) and habitat type on the microscale spatial variability of soil fungal community composition has been documented. While we fully acknowledge that taking microscale variability into account in diversity assessment can enhance the accuracy of the analyses, the current affordability of high-throughput molecular-genetic tools does not permit the processing of such a large number of samples. Thus, the present study operates on the assumption that the sampling effort (number of bulked subsamples) adequately captures local fungal richness across the studied habitats.

When necessitated by local authorities, the appropriate permissions were secured for the execution of field work. No species that are classified as endangered or protected were involved in the sampling process or adversely affected. For experimental blinding, each soil sample was assigned a unique identifier used in all subsequent analyses, ensuring that the research team remained unaware of the geographic origin of the samples, thereby reducing potential location-based bias.

Environmental data

To model the diversity of soil fungal communities across diverse ecosystems, we used a comprehensive collection of environmental datasets (table S2). These datasets encompassed a wide range of climatic, edaphic, and biotic factors, including the CHELSA (56), ENVIREM (57), CMCC-BioClimInd (58), SBIO (59), TerraClimate (60), SoilGrids (61), and other relevant datasets. By incorporating variables such as temperature, precipitation, evapotranspiration, soil properties, vegetation indices, land cover, and plant species richness, our analyses aimed to capture the complex interplay of factors that drive fungal diversity patterns.

To infer regional diversity patterns, we used 818 ecoregions cf. Olson *et al.* (62) based on the revised map of Dinerstein *et al.* (47) and 174 superecoregions defined by Tedersoo *et al.* (46).

Molecular and bioinformatic analyses

DNA extraction was performed using different methods for each of the above-described surveys (see the methods of each survey for details). Polymerase chain reaction and amplification were performed identically for DNA samples obtained from all studies following the protocol of Tedersoo et al. (6). To obtain full-length sequences of the ITS region, we used the universal eukaryotic primers ITS9mun (3'-GTACACACCGCCCGTCG-5') and ITS4ngsUni (3'-CCTSCSCTTANTDATATGC-5') (63). Both primers contained unique 12-base pair indices used for sample multiplexing. Library preparation and DNA sequencing were performed using the PacBio Sequel II System (Pacific Biosciences, Palo Alto, USA) as described previously (6). The DNA sequences obtained were demultiplexed to samples with LIMA v2.0.0 software (Pacific Biosciences) and quality-filtered with VSEARCH v2.17.0 (64). All reads with more than one ambiguous nucleotide or more than two expected errors were eliminated during quality filtering. To keep only the ITS region, SSU and LSU regions were trimmed using ITSxpress v1.8.0 (65). Sequences were clustered into OTUs (66) at 98% sequence similarity and taxonomically annotated using the BLASTn method with BLAST+ v2.11.0 against the UNITE database v9 (49) (https://unite.ut.ee/) to represent roughly species-level entities. More details on bioinformatic analyses are provided by Tedersoo et al. (6). The bioinformatic analysis involved the use of multiple scripts, which were primarily incorporated into the open-source pipeline NextITS (67). This pipeline is available for public use and can be accessed on the GitHub platform (https://Next-ITS. github.io/).

We acknowledge that sequence clustering at the chosen similarity threshold reflects an overall compromise across different taxonomic groups. It results in the inflation of species richness of some taxa and the underestimation of some species-rich groups of Ascomycota with slowly evolving ITS regions (e.g., in certain families in Helotiales, Hypocreales, and Eurotiales). However, due to practical limitations, applying different clustering thresholds to distinct fungal groups is currently unfeasible (*68*).

We used the FungalTraits database v1.3 (24) to categorize fungal species into functional groups based on their ecological and morphological characteristics. These ecological groups are as follows: (i) AM fungi (3.7% of total OTUs), including all Glomeromycota but excluding all Endogonomycetes due to the lack of data separating AM species from free-living species; (ii) EcM fungi (15.4%); (iii) non-EcM Agaricomycetes (9.3%), primarily saprotrophic macrofungi; (iv) molds (5.8%), including Mortierellales, Mucorales, Umbelopsidales, Aspergillaceae and Trichocomaceae of Eurotiales, and *Trichoderma* of Hypocreales; (v) putative pathogens (11.4%), consisting of plant, animal, and fungal pathogens as primary or secondary lifestyles; (vi) soil borne OHPs (7.5%), excluding Mortierellales; (vii) yeasts (1.3%), excluding dimorphic yeasts; and (viii) other unicellular, nonyeast fungi (9.6%), including Chytridiomycota, Aphelida, Rozellomycota, and other early-diverging fungal lineages. Refer to table S12 for data structure details.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were carried out in R v4.3.0 unless otherwise stated. Data handling was performed using the data.table v1.14.2 and Apache Arrow v10.0.0 packages. Data visualization was done using the ggplot2 v3.3.6 package.

Alpha diversity

In our study, we evaluated fungal OTU richness in samples (denoted as S). This estimation was conducted across all fungal OTUs (S_{TOT}) as well as for distinct ecological groups of fungi (indicated with subscripted labels corresponding to an ecological group name; e.g., S_{EcM} and S_{AM} for ectomycorrhizal and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi). As an alpha diversity metric robust to sequencing depth variability between samples, we used the residuals from a linear regression of the logarithmically transformed number of fungal OTUs against the logarithm of the sequencing depth (figs. S26 and S27 and table S13). We chose not to use the widely applied alternative method of rarefying abundance tables, as it discards substantial amounts of data.

For fungal phylogenetic alpha diversity assessment, we used the sum of the total length of phylogenetic branches in a community (S_{PD}) and sequencing depth normalized with ranked subsampling (SRS) (69). To construct a phylogenetic tree, we followed the method outlined in (70). This method entails the use of a phylogeny built from nearly complete rRNA gene sequences (18S and 28S), calibrated to divergence time, which served as a backbone tree for OTU assignment using sequence classification information. As a measure of phylogenetic dispersion in fungal communities, we estimated standardized effect size of S_{PD} (SES_{PD}) using PhyloMeasures v2.1 (71), which was calculated as the deviation of the observed community's S_{PD} from the S_{PD} of a community under a null model of random species distribution.

We used XGBoost to model the relationship between OTU richness or phylogenetic diversity and explanatory variables, which included bioclimatic (56-58, 60, 72), edaphic (59, 61, 73, 74), and vegetation-related predictors (53, 75-77). Table S2 lists the variables included in the analysis. A mask created from Copernicus Global Land Cover layers v3 (75) was used to restrict the mapped areas to land not covered with glaciers and to exclude permanent inland water bodies. Spatial autocorrelation assessment for response variables and predictors was performed across different geographical distance thresholds using Moran's *I* index. In general, geographical distance had negligible effects on alpha diversity (maximum Moran's I = 0.25 at the 100-km distance class). To avoid an excessive number of variables and reduce multicollinearity in the predictors, we excluded highly correlated variables (Pearson's r > 0.8 and variance inflation factor > 10) using spatialRF v1.1.4 (78) and retrieved the most relevant candidate variables for modeling using Boruta v7.0.0 (79). Models were fitted using xgboost v1.6.0.1 (80) via

mlr3 v0.13.3 framework (81). To avoid overfitting, we used nested resampling (82) with fivefold cross-validation for hyperparameter tuning and evaluation of model performance. Predictor importance scores were estimated as the average gain in model accuracy brought by the predictor across all decision tree splits where this predictor was used. To incorporate the spatial autocorrelation signal, we calculated model residuals at the sampling sites and used inverse distance weighting to interpolate residuals beyond the sampling sites. To obtain final alpha diversity predictions, interpolated residuals were added to the model-based predictions (83). To delineate the contribution of each predictor in the prediction of fungal richness for individual grid cells, we used Shapley values, which were computed using the fastshap package v0.0.7 (84). We used terra v1.6-7 (85) to generate the fungal diversity maps. The maps were constructed at a spatial resolution of 30 arc sec, which roughly corresponds to raster grid cells each covering a median area of 0.67 km² (interquartile range 0.47 to 0.80 km²). Although we collected samples in Antarctica, we excluded this region from predictive modeling due to the lack of available data for numerous predictors. The rasterVis package v0.51.5 (86) was used for visualization. The creation of correlation matrix graphs was carried out using corrplot v0.92 (87).

The GSMc-based alpha diversity analyses were validated by performing similar analyses using the GlobalAM, BIODESERT, and CLIMIFUN + MUSGONET datasets. To assess the area where model predictions are confined within the observed ranges of environmental variables, we estimated the dissimilarity index DI (88) for each dataset. DI measures a variable-importance-weighted distance of environmental conditions on the map to the values observed in the training data. By combining four maps, weighted according to their model performance and the inverse of DI for each location, we generated a consensus map of fungal alpha diversity. DI was also used to delineate the area of applicability (88) of the predictions (fig. S9). To estimate the prediction uncertainty of diversity estimates, we used a 10-fold cross-validation approach and used standard deviation (SD) as a measure of uncertainty (fig. S8). To identify regions of exceptionally high (hotspots) and low (coldspots) species richness, we determined the top and bottom 2.5% quantiles of the richest and poorest grid cells on the map (89).

To assess the interaction of MAP and MAT as well as their relative impact on the total richness of fungal communities, we fitted a generalized additive model (GAM) with tensor product smooth functions with three knots using mgcv package v1.8-41 (90).

Beta diversity

For beta diversity analyses, we excluded OTUs with <10 occurrences as well as samples with <10 OTUs. To estimate the taxonomic distinctness (D_{TAX}) of fungal communities, we used Simpson's pairwise dissimilarity index(the proportion of unique species found in a smaller community from a larger community richness), because, unlike other metrics, it is unaffected by differences in OTU richness between samples (91). Phylogenetic dissimilarity (D_{PD}) was calculated using the same equation but based on shared and unique branches of the phylogenetic tree (92). Estimation of phylogenetic beta diversity was performed using phyloregion package v1.0.6 (93). To estimate the correlation between D_{TAX} and D_{PD} matrices, we used Mantel's permutation test based on Pearson's correlation coefficient. For the ordination of samples, we performed principal coordinates analysis using the "capscale" function of the vegan v2.6-2 (94) package.

Predictors of fungal compositional turnover were assessed for all fungi using generalized dissimilarity modeling (GDM) and permutational analysis of variance [PERMANOVA; (95)] approaches as implemented in the gdm v1.5.0-3 (96) and vegan packages, respectively. Predictors' relative importance in GDM models was quantified using a permutational test (1000 iterations) by estimation of the percent change in the explained deviance between a model fit with and without a permuted predictor. In addition, the relative importance of the variables included in the GDM was ranked according to the I-spline values rescaled to range between 0 and 1. Fungal compositional centroids of ecoregions were found using principal coordinates based on the GDM model. To evaluate local compositional turnover (fig. S21), we calculated and plotted the average expected compositional dissimilarity of each location and its closest neighbors within a 150-km radius. To assess the similarity among soil fungal communities at the ecoregional level, we conducted an ordination using the centroids derived from principal components analysis components based on the GDM-transformed environmental predictors.

The within-biome compositional variability of fungal communities was estimated by calculating the median distance of ecoregions to the biome centroids. To construct a graph representing the pairwise relatedness of fungal communities among biomes, we used Gephi v0.10 (https://gephi.org/). Compositional similarity between biomes was determined using the inverse of a pseudo-*F* statistic obtained from the PERMDISP analysis (97), which involved 10,000 randomization permutations.

Gamma diversity

For gamma diversity, the above datasets were augmented with the GlobalWetland survey (21) (85 samples) and Sanger-sequenced sites from the UNITE database (7706 samples). Logarithmically transformed cumulative ecoregion species richness was subjected to model selection against the logarithmically transformed number of samples and sequencing depth to calculate residual richness. To infer the relationship between fungal gamma diversity and environmental predictors, we used a GAM model with penalization and thin plate regression splines with a basis dimension of 3. For preselection of the most important predictors, we followed the same algorithm as for alpha diversity analysis. To extract the model coefficients, we used the parameters package v0.20.1 (98). Maps were constructed using the sf v1.0.8 package (99) and QGIS v3.28 (https://qgis.org/).

Supplementary Materials

This PDF file includes: Supplementary Text Figs. S1 to S28 Legends for tables S1 to S13

Other Supplementary Material for this manuscript includes the following: Tables S1 to S13

REFERENCES AND NOTES

 L. He, J. L. Mazza Rodrigues, N. A. Soudzilovskaia, M. Barceló, P. A. Olsson, C. Song, L. Tedersoo, F. Yuan, F. Yuan, D. A. Lipson, X. Xu, Global biogeography of fungal and bacterial biomass carbon in topsoil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **151**, 108024 (2020).

- R. Dean, J. A. L. Van Kan, Z. A. Pretorius, K. E. Hammond-Kosack, A. Di Pietro, P. D. Spanu, J. J. Rudd, M. Dickman, R. Kahmann, J. Ellis, G. D. Foster, The top 10 fungal pathogens in molecular plant pathology. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **13**, 414–430 (2012).
- L. Tedersoo, M. Bahram, M. Zobel, How mycorrhizal associations drive plant population and community biology. *Science* 367, eaba1223 (2020).
- H.-J. Hawkins, R. I. M. Cargill, M. E. V. Nuland, S. C. Hagen, K. J. Field, M. Sheldrake, N. A. Soudzilovskaia, E. T. Kiers, Mycorrhizal mycelium as a global carbon pool. *Curr. Biol.* 33, R560–R573 (2023).
- L. Tedersoo, M. Bahram, S. Polme, U. Kõljalg, N. S. Yorou, R. Wijesundera, L. V. Ruiz, A. M. Vasco-Palacios, P. Q. Thu, A. Suija, M. E. Smith, C. Sharp, E. Saluveer, A. Saitta, M. Rosas, T. Riit, D. Ratkowsky, K. Pritsch, K. Poldmaa, M. Piepenbring, C. Phosri, M. Peterson, K. Parts, K. Partel, E. Otsing, E. Nouhra, A. L. Njouonkou, R. H. Nilsson, L. N. Morgado, J. Mayor, T. W. May, L. Majuakim, D. J. Lodge, S. S. Lee, K. H. Larsson, P. Kohout, K. Hosaka, I. Hiiesalu, T. W. Henkel, H. Harend, L. D. Guo, A. Greslebin, G. Grelet, J. Geml, G. Gates, W. Dunstan, C. Dunk, R. Drenkhan, J. Dearnaley, A. De Kesel, T. Dang, X. Chen, F. Buegger, F. Q. Brearley, G. Bonito, S. Anslan, S. Abell, K. Abarenkov, Global diversity and geography of soil fungi. *Science* 346, 1256688 (2014).
- 6. L. Tedersoo, V. Mikryukov, S. Anslan, M. Bahram, A. N. Khalid, A. Corrales, A. Agan, A.-M. Vasco-Palacios, A. Saitta, A. Antonelli, A. C. Rinaldi, A. Verbeken, B. P. Sulistyo, B. Tamgnoue, B. Furneaux, C. D. Ritter, C. Nyamukondiwa, C. Sharp, C. Marín, D. Q. Dai, D. Gohar, D. Sharmah, E. M. Biersma, E. K. Cameron, E. De Crop, E. Otsing, E. A. Davydov, F. E. Albornoz, F. Q. Brearley, F. Buegger, G. Gates, G. Zahn, G. Bonito, I. Hiiesalu, I. Hiiesalu, I. Zettur, I. C. Barrio, J. Pärn, J. Heilmann-Clausen, J. Ankuda, J. Y. Kupagme, J. Sarapuu, J. G. Maciá-Vicente, J. D. Fovo, J. Geml, J. M. Alatalo, J. Alvarez-Maniarrez, J. Monkai, K. Pőldmaa, K. Runnel, K. Adamson, K. A. Bråthen, K. Pritsch, K. I. Tchan, K. Armolaitis, K. D. Hyde, K. K. Newsham, K. Panksep, L. A. Adebola, L. J. Lamit, M. Saba, M. E. da Silva Cáceres, M. Tuomi, M. Gryzenhout, M. Bauters, M. Bálint, N. Wijayawardene, N. Hagh-Doust, N. S. Yorou, O. Kurina, P. E. Mortimer, P. Meidl, R. H. Nilsson, R. Puusepp, R. Casique-Valdés, R. Drenkhan, R. Garibay-Orijel, R. Godoy, S. Alfarraj, S. Rahimlou, S. Põlme, S. V. Dudov, S. Mundra, T. Ahmed, T. Netherway, T. W. Henkel, T. Roslin, V. E. Fedosov, V. G. Onipchenko, W. A. E. Yasanthika, Y. W. Lim, M. Piepenbring, D. Klavina, U. Kõljalg, K. Abarenkov, The Global Soil Mycobiome consortium dataset for boosting fungal diversity research. Fungal Divers. 111, 573-588 (2021).
- M. Bahram, F. Hildebrand, S. K. Forslund, J. L. Anderson, N. A. Soudzilovskaia, P. M. Bodegom, J. Bengtsson-Palme, S. Anslan, L. P. Coelho, H. Harend, J. Huerta-Cepas, M. H. Medema, M. R. Maltz, S. Mundra, P. A. Olsson, M. Pent, S. Polme, S. Sunagawa, M. Ryberg, L. Tedersoo, P. Bork, Structure and function of the global topsoil microbiome. *Nature* 560, 233–237 (2018).
- M. Delgado-Baquerizo, A. M. Oliverio, T. E. Brewer, A. Benavent-González, D. J. Eldridge, R. D. Bardgett, F. T. Maestre, B. K. Singh, N. Fierer, A global atlas of the dominant bacteria found in soil. *Science* 359, 320–325 (2018).
- E. Egidi, M. Delgado-Baquerizo, J. M. Plett, J. Wang, D. J. Eldridge, R. D. Bardgett, F. T. Maestre, B. K. Singh, A few Ascomycota taxa dominate soil fungal communities worldwide. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 2369 (2019).
- C. A. Guerra, A. Heintz-Buschart, J. Sikorski, A. Chatzinotas, N. Guerrero-Ramírez, S. Cesarz, L. Beaumelle, M. C. Rillig, F. T. Maestre, M. Delgado-Baquerizo, F. Buscot, J. Overmann, G. Patoine, H. R. P. Phillips, M. Winter, T. Wubet, K. Küsel, R. D. Bardgett, E. K. Cameron, D. Cowan, T. Grebenc, C. Marín, A. Orgiazzi, B. K. Singh, D. H. Wall, N. Eisenhauer, Blind spots in global soil biodiversity and ecosystem function research. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 3870 (2020).
- T. Větrovský, D. Morais, P. Kohout, C. Lepinay, C. Algora, S. Awokunle Hollá, B. D. Bahnmann, K. Bílohnědá, V. Brabcová, F. D'Alò, Z. R. Human, M. Jomura, M. Kolařík, J. Kvasniková, S. Lladó, R. López-Mondéjar, T. Martinović, T. Mašínová, L. Meszárošová, L. Michalíková, T. Michalová, S. Mundra, D. Navrátilová, I. Odriozola, S. Piché-Choquette, M. Štursová, K. Švec, V. Tláskal, M. Urbanová, L. Vlk, J. Voříšková, L. Žiľáková, P. Baldrian, GlobalFungi, a global database of fungal occurrences from high-throughput-sequencing metabarcoding studies. *Sci. Data* 7, 228 (2020).
- F. T. Maestre, M. Delgado-Baquerizo, T. C. Jeffries, D. J. Eldridge, V. Ochoa, B. Gozalo, J. L. Quero, M. Garcia-Gomez, A. Gallardo, W. Ulrich, M. A. Bowker, T. Arredondo, C. Barraza-Zepeda, D. Bran, A. Florentino, J. Gaitan, J. R. Gutierrez, E. Huber-Sannwald, M. Jankju, R. L. Mau, M. Miriti, K. Naseri, A. Ospina, I. Stavi, D. L. Wang, N. N. Woods, X. Yuan, E. Zaady, B. K. Singh, Increasing aridity reduces soil microbial diversity and abundance in global drylands. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **112**, 15684–15689 (2015).
- T. Větrovský, P. Kohout, M. Kopecký, A. Machac, M. Man, B. D. Bahnmann, V. Brabcová, J. Choi, L. Meszárošová, Z. R. Human, C. Lepinay, S. Lladó, R. López-Mondéjar, T. Martinović, T. Mašínová, D. Morais, D. Navrátilová, I. Odriozola, M. Štursová, K. Švec, V. Tláskal, M. Urbanová, J. Wan, L. Žířáková, A. Howe, J. Ladau, K. G. Peay, D. Storch, J. Wild, P. Baldrian, A meta-analysis of global fungal distribution reveals climate-driven patterns. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 5142 (2019).
- F. M. Sabatini, B. Jimenez-Alfaro, U. Jandt, M. Chytry, R. Field, M. Kessler, J. Lenoir, F. Schrodt, S. K. Wiser, M. A. S. Arfin Khan, F. Attorre, L. Cayuela, M. De Sanctis, J. Dengler, S. Haider,

M. Z. Hatim, A. Indreica, F. Jansen, A. Pauchard, R. K. Peet, P. Petrik, V. D. Pillar, B. Sandel, M. Schmidt, Z. Tang, P. van Bodegom, K. Vassilev, C. Violle, E. Alvarez-Davila, P. Davidar, J. Dolezal, B. Herault, A. Galan-de-Mera, J. Jimenez, S. Kambach, S. Kepfer-Rojas, H. Kreft, F. Lezama, R. Linares-Palomino, A. Monteagudo Mendoza, J. K. N'Dja, O. L. Phillips, G. Rivas-Torres, P. Sklenar, K. Speziale, B. J. Strohbach, R. Vasquez Martinez, H. F. Wang, K. Wesche, H. Bruelheide, Global patterns of vascular plant alpha diversity. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 4683 (2022).

- T. J. Davies, S. A. Fritz, R. Grenyer, C. D. L. Orme, J. Bielby, O. R. P. Bininda-Emonds, M. Cardillo, K. E. Jones, J. L. Gittleman, G. M. Mace, A. Purvis, Phylogenetic trees and the future of mammalian biodiversity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **105**, 11556–11563 (2008).
- M. R. Willig, S. J. Presley, Latitudinal gradients of biodiversity: Theory and empirical patterns, in *Encyclopedia of the Anthropocene*, D. A. Dellasala, M. I. Goldstein, Eds. (Elsevier, 2018), pp. 13–19.
- J. B. Socolar, J. J. Gilroy, W. E. Kunin, D. P. Edwards, How should β-diversity inform biodiversity conservation? *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **31**, 67–80 (2016).
- 18. F. T. Maestre, Y. Le Bagousse-Pinguet, M. Delgado-Baquerizo, D. J. Eldridge, H. Saiz, M. Berdugo, B. Gozalo, V. Ochoa, E. Guirado, M. García-Gómez, E. Valencia, J. J. Gaitán, S. Asensio, B. J. Mendoza, C. Plaza, P. Díaz-Martínez, A. Rey, H.-W. Hu, J.-Z. He, J.-T. Wang, A. Lehmann, M. C. Rillig, S. Cesarz, N. Eisenhauer, J. Martínez-Valderrama, E. Moreno-Jiménez, O. Sala, M. Abedi, N. Ahmadian, C. L. Alados, V. Aramayo, F. Amghar, T. Arredondo, R. J. Ahumada, K. Bahalkeh, F. B. Salem, N. Blaum, B. Boldgiv, M. A. Bowker, D. Bran, C. Bu, R. Canessa, A. P. Castillo-Monrov, H. Castro, I. Castro, P. Castro-Ouezada, R. Chibani, A. A. Conceição, C. M. Currier, A. Darrouzet-Nardi, B. Deák, D. A. Donoso, A. J. Dougill, J. Durán, B. Erdenetsetseg, C. I. Espinosa, A. Fajardo, M. Farzam, D. Ferrante, A. S. K. Frank, L. H. Fraser, L. A. Gherardi, A. C. Greenville, C. A. Guerra, E. Gusmán-Montalvan, R. M. Hernández-Hernández, N. Hölzel, E. Huber-Sannwald, F. M. Hughes, O. Jadán-Maza, F. Jeltsch, A. Jentsch, K. F. Kaseke, M. Köbel, J. E. Koopman, C. V. Leder, A. Linstädter, P. C. le Roux, X. Li, P. Liancourt, J. Liu, M. A. Louw, G. Maggs-Kölling, T. P. Makhalanyane, O. M. Issa, A. J. Manzaneda, E. Marais, J. P. Mora, G. Moreno, S. M. Munson, A. Nunes, G. Oliva, G. R. Oñatibia, G. Peter, M. O. D. Pivari, Y. Pueyo, R. E. Quiroga, S. Rahmanian, S. C. Reed, P. J. Rev, B. Richard, A. Rodríguez, V. Rolo, J. G. Rubalcaba, J. C. Ruppert, A. Salah, M. A. Schuchardt, S. Spann, I. Stavi, C. R. A. Stephens, A. M. Swemmer, A. L. Teixido, A. D. Thomas, H. L. Throop, K. Tielbörger, S. Travers, J. Val, O. Valkó, L. van den Brink, S. V. Ayuso, F. Velbert, W. Wamiti, D. Wang, L. Wang, G. M. Wardle, L. Yahdjian, E. Zaady, Y. Zhang, X. Zhou, B. K. Singh, N. Gross, Grazing and ecosystem service delivery in global drvlands, Science 378, 915-920 (2022),
- C. A. Guerra, M. Berdugo, D. J. Eldridge, N. Eisenhauer, B. K. Singh, H. Cui, S. Abades, F. D. Alfaro, A. R. Bamigboye, F. Bastida, J. L. Blanco-Pastor, A. de los Ríos, J. Durán, T. Grebenc, J. G. Illán, Y.-R. Liu, T. P. Makhalanyane, S. Mamet, M. A. Molina-Montenegro, J. L. Moreno, A. Mukherjee, T. U. Nahberger, G. F. Peñaloza-Bojacá, C. Plaza, S. Picó, J. P. Verma, A. Rey, A. Rodríguez, L. Tedersoo, A. L. Teixido, C. Torres-Díaz, P. Trivedi, J. Wang, L. Wang, J. Wang, E. Zaady, X. Zhou, X.-Q. Zhou, M. Delgado-Baquerizo, Global hotspots for soil nature conservation. *Nature* **610**, 693–698 (2022).
- J. Davison, M. Moora, M. Semchenko, S. B. Adenan, T. Ahmed, A. A. Akhmetzhanova, J. M. Alatalo, S. Al-Quraishy, E. Andriyanova, S. Anslan, M. Bahram, A. Batbaatar, C. Brown, C. G. Bueno, J. Cahill, J. J. Cantero, B. B. Casper, M. Cherosov, S. Chideh, A. P. Coelho, M. Coghill, G. Decocq, S. Dudov, E. C. Fabiano, V. E. Fedosov, L. Fraser, S. I. Glassman, A. Helm, H. A. L. Henry, B. Hérault, I. Hiiesalu, I. Hiiesalu, W. N. Hozzein, P. Kohout, U. Köljalg, K. Koorem, L. Laanisto, Ü. Mander, L. Mucina, J.-P. Munyampundu, L. Neuenkamp, Ü. Niinemets, C. Nyamukondiwa, J. Oja, V. Onipchenko, M. Pärtel, C. Phosri, S. Põlme, K. Püssa, A. Ronk, A. Saitta, O. Semboli, S.-K. Sepp, A. Seregin, S. Sudheer, C. P. Peña-Venegas, C. Paz, T. Vahter, M. Vasar, A. J. Veraart, L. Tedersoo, M. Zobel, M. Öpik, Temperature and pH define the realised niche space of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytol.* 231, 763–776 (2021).
- M. Bahram, M. Espenberg, J. Parn, L. Lehtovirta-Morley, S. Anslan, K. Kasak, U. Kõljalg, J. Liira, M. Maddison, M. Moora, U. Niinemets, M. Opik, M. Partel, K. Soosaar, M. Zobel, F. Hildebrand, L. Tedersoo, U. Mander, Structure and function of the soil microbiome underlying N₂O emissions from global wetlands. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 1430 (2022).
- C. L. Schoch, K. A. Seifert, S. Huhndorf, V. Robert, J. L. Spouge, C. A. Levesque, W. Chen; Fungal Barcoding Consortium; Fungal Barcoding Consortium Author List, Nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (*ITS*) region as a universal DNA barcode marker for fungi. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **109**, 6241–6246 (2012).
- L. Tedersoo, A. Tooming-Klunderud, S. Anslan, PacBio metabarcoding of fungi and other eukaryotes: Errors, biases and perspectives. *New Phytol.* 217, 1370–1385 (2018).
- S. Pölme, K. Abarenkov, R. H. Nilsson, B. D. Lindahl, K. E. Clemmensen, H. Kauserud, N. Nguyen, R. Kjøller, S. T. Bates, P. Baldrian, T. G. Frøslev, K. Adojaan, A. Vizzini, A. Suija, D. Pfister, H.-O. Baral, H. Järv, H. Madrid, J. Nordén, J.-K. Liu, J. Pawlowska, K. Pöldmaa, K. Pärtel, K. Runnel, K. Hansen, K.-H. Larsson, K. D. Hyde, M. Sandoval-Denis, M. E. Smith, M. Toome-Heller, N. N. Wijayawardene, N. Menolli, N. K. Reynolds, R. Drenkhan, S. S. N. Maharachchikumbura, T. B. Gibertoni, T. Læssoe, W. Davis, Y. Tokarev, A. Corrales, A. M. Soares, A. Agan, A. R. Machado, A. Argüelles-Moyao, A. Detheridge, A. de Meiras-

Ottoni, A. Verbeken, A. K. Dutta, B.-K. Cui, C. K. Pradeep, C. Marín, D. Stanton, D. Gohar, D. N. Wanasinghe, E. Otsing, F. Aslani, G. W. Griffith, T. H. Lumbsch, H.-P. Grossart, H. Masigol, I. Timling, I. Hiiesalu, J. Oja, J. Y. Kupagme, J. Geml, J. Alvarez-Manjarrez, K. Ilves, K. Loit, K. Adamson, K. Nara, K. Küngas, K. Rojas-Jimenez, K. Bitenieks, L. Irinyi, L. G. Nagy, L. Soonvald, L.-W. Zhou, L. Wagner, M. C. Aime, M. Öpik, M. I. Mujica, M. Metsoja, M. Ryberg, M. Vasar, M. Murata, M. P. Nelsen, M. Cleary, M. C. Samarakoon, M. Doilom, M. Bahram, N. Hagh-Doust, O. Dulya, P. Johnston, P. Kohout, Q. Chen, Q. Tian, R. Nandi, R. Amiri, R. H. Perera, R. dos Santos Chikowski, R. L. Mendes-Alvarenga, R. Garibay-Orijel, R. Gielen, S. P. Brown, S.-K. Sepp, S. Mundra, Z.-H. Luo, T. Bose, T. Vahter, T. Netherway, T. Yang, T. May, T. Varga, W. Li, V. R. M. Coimbra, V. R. T. de Oliveira, V. X. de Lima, V. S. Mikryukov, Y. Lu, Y. Matsuda, Y. Miyamoto, U. Köljalg, L. Tedersoo, FungalTraits: A user-friendly traits database of fungi and fungus-like stramenopiles. *Fungal Divers.* **105**, 1–16 (2020).

- T. Boekhout, A. S. Amend, F. El Baidouri, T. Gabaldón, J. Geml, M. Mittelbach, V. Robert, C. S. Tan, B. Turchetti, D. Vu, Q.-M. Wang, A. Yurkov, Trends in yeast diversity discovery. *Fungal Divers.* **114**, 491–537 (2022).
- 26. N. N. Wijayawardene, K. D. Hyde, L. K. T. Al-Ani, L. Tedersoo, D. Haelewaters, K. C. Rajeshkumar, R. L. Zhao, A. Aptroot, D. Leontyev, R. K. Saxena, Y. S. Tokarev, D. Q. Dai, P. M. Letcher, S. L. Stephenson, D. Ertz, H. T. Lumbsch, M. Kukwa, I. Issi, H. Madrid, A. J. L. Phillips, L. Selbmann, W. P. Pfliegler, E. Horvath, K. Bensch, P. M. Kirk, K. Kolarikova, H. A. Raja, R. Radek, V. Papp, B. Dima, J. Ma, E. Malosso, S. Takamatsu, G. Rambold, P. B. Gannibal, D. Triebel, A. K. Gautam, S. Avasthi, S. Suetrong, E. Timdal, S. C. Fryar, G. Delgado, M. Reblova, M. Doilom, S. Dolatabadi, J. Z. Pawlowska, R. A. Humber, R. Kodsueb, I. Sanchez-Castro, B. T. Goto, D. K. A. Silva, F. A. de Souza, F. R. Oehl, G. A. da Silva, I. R. Silva, J. Blaszkowski, K. Jobim, L. C. Maia, F. R. Barbosa, P. O. Fiuza, P. K. Divakar, B. D. Shenoy, R. F. Castaneda-Ruiz, S. Somrithipol, A. A. Lateef, S. C. Karunarathna, S. Tibpromma, P. E. Mortimer, D. N. Wanasinghe, R. Phookamsak, J. Xu, Y. Wang, F. Tian, P. Alvarado, D. W. Li, I. Kusan, N. Matocec, A. Masic, Z. Tkalcec, S. S. N. Maharachchikumbura, M. Papizadeh, G. Heredia, F. Wartchow, M. Bakhshi, E. Boehm, N. Youssef, V. P. Hustad, J. D. Lawrey, A. L. C. M. A. Santiago, J. D. P. Bezerra, C. M. Souza-Motta, A. L. Firmino, Q. Tian, J. Houbraken, S. Hongsanan, K. Tanaka, A. J. Dissanayake, J. S. Monteiro, H. P. Grossart, A. Suija, G. Weerakoon, J. Etayo, A. Tsurykau, V. Vazquez, P. Mungai, U. Damm, Q. R. Li, H. Zhang, S. Boonmee, Y. Z. Lu, A. G. Becerra, B. Kendrick, F. Q. Brearley, J. Motiejunaite, B. Sharma, R. Khare, S. Gaikwad, D. S. A. Wijesundara, L. Z. Tang, M. Q. He, A. Flakus, P. Rodriguez-Flakus, M. P. Zhurbenko, E. H. C. McKenzie, M. Stadler, D. J. Bhat, J. K. Liu, M. Raza, R. Jeewon, E. S. Nassonova, M. Prieto, R. G. U. Jayalal, M. Erdogdu, A. Yurkov, M. Schnittler, O. N. Shchepin, Y. K. Novozhilov, A. G. S. Silva-Filho, E. Gentekaki, P. Liu, J. C. Cavender, Y. Kang, S. Mohammad, L. F. Zhang, R. F. Xu, Y. M. Li, M. C. Dayarathne, A. H. Ekanayaka, T. C. Wen, C. Y. Deng, O. L. Pereira, S. Navathe, D. L. Hawksworth, X. L. Fan, L. S. Dissanayake, E. Kuhnert, M. Thines, Outline of fungi and fungus-like taxa. Mycosphere 11, 1060-1456 (2020).
- L. N. Gillman, S. D. Wright, Species richness and evolutionary speed: The influence of temperature, water and area. J. Biogeogr. 41, 39–51 (2014).
- 28. H. R. P. Phillips, C. A. Guerra, M. L. C. Bartz, M. J. I. Briones, G. Brown, T. W. Crowther, O. Ferlian, K. B. Gongalsky, J. van den Hoogen, J. Krebs, A. Orgiazzi, D. Routh, B. Schwarz, E. M. Bach, J. M. Bennett, U. Brose, T. Decaëns, B. König-Ries, M. Loreau, J. Mathieu, C. Mulder, W. H. van der Putten, K. S. Ramirez, M. C. Rillig, D. Russell, M. Rutgers, M. P. Thakur, F. T. de Vries, D. H. Wall, D. A. Wardle, M. Arai, F. O. Ayuke, G. H. Baker, R. Beauséjour, J. C. Bedano, K. Birkhofer, E. Blanchart, B. Blossey, T. Bolger, R. L. Bradley, M. A. Callaham, Y. Capowiez, M. E. Caulfield, A. Choi, F. V. Crotty, J. M. Crumsey, A. Dávalos, D. J. D. Cosin, A. Dominguez, A. E. Duhour, N. van Eekeren, C. Emmerling, L. B. Falco, R, Fernández, S, J, Fonte, C, Fragoso, A, L, C, Franco, M, Fugère, A, T, Fusilero, S, Gholami, M. J. Gundale, M. G. López, D. K. Hackenberger, L. M. Hernández, T. Hishi, A. R. Holdsworth, M. Holmstrup, K. N. Hopfensperger, E. H. Lwanga, V. Huhta, T. T. Hurisso, B. V. lannone, M. Iordache, M. Joschko, N. Kaneko, R. Kanianska, A. M. Keith, C. A. Kelly, M. L. Kernecker, J. Klaminder, A. W. Koné, Y. Kooch, S. T. Kukkonen, H. Lalthanzara, D. R. Lammel, I. M. Lebedev, Y. Li, J. B. J. Lidon, N. K. Lincoln, S. R. Loss, R. Marichal, R. Matula, J. H. Moos, G. Moreno, A. Morón-Ríos, B. Muys, J. Neirynck, L. Norgrove, M. Novo, V. Nuutinen, V. Nuzzo, J. Pansu, S. Paudel, G. Pérès, L. Pérez-Camacho, R. Piñeiro, J.-F. Ponge, M. I. Rashid, S. Rebollo, J. Rodeiro-Iglesias, M. Á. Rodríguez, A. M. Roth, G. X. Rousseau, A. Rozen, E. Sayad, L. van Schaik, B. C. Scharenbroch, M. Schirrmann, O. Schmidt, B. Schröder, J. Seeber, M. P. Shashkov, J. Singh, S. M. Smith, M. Steinwandter, J. A. Talavera, D. Trigo, J. Tsukamoto, A. W. de Valença, S. J. Vanek, I. Virto, A. A. Wackett, M. W. Warren, N. H. Wehr, J. K. Whalen, M. B. Wironen, V. Wolters, I. V. Zenkova, W. Zhang, E. K. Cameron, N. Eisenhauer, Global distribution of earthworm diversity. Science 366, 480-485 (2019).
- L. D. Fernández, B. Fournier, R. Rivera, E. Lara, E. A. D. Mitchell, C. E. Hernández, Water– energy balance, past ecological perturbations and evolutionary constraints shape the latitudinal diversity gradient of soil testate amoebae in south-western South America. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 25, 1216–1227 (2016).

- J. Zhang, Y. Feng, F. T. Maestre, M. Berdugo, J. Wang, C. Coleine, T. Sáez-Sandino, L. García-Velázquez, B. K. Singh, M. Delgado-Baquerizo, Water availability creates global thresholds in multidimensional soil biodiversity and functions. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 7, 1002–1011 (2023).
- T. Niskanen, R. Lücking, A. Dahlberg, E. Gaya, L. Suz, V. Mikryukov, K. Liimatainen, K. Druzhinina, J. Westrio, G. Mueller, K. Martins-Cunha, P. M. Kirk, L. Tedersoo, A. Antonelli, Pushing the frontiers of biodiversity research: Unveiling the global diversity, distribution, and conservation of fungi. *Annu. Rev. Env. Resour.* 48, (2023).
- R. H. Nilsson, M. Ryberg, C. Wurzbacher, L. Tedersoo, S. Anslan, S. Pölme, V. Spirin, V. Mikryukov, S. Svantesson, M. Hartmann, C. Lennartsdotter, P. Belford, M. Khomich, A. Retter, N. Corcoll, D. G. Martinez, T. Jansson, M. Ghobad-Nejhad, D. Vu, M. Sanchez-Garcia, E. Kristiansson, K. Abarenkov, How, not if, is the question mycologists should be asking about DNA-based typification. *MycoKeys* **96**, 143–157 (2023).
- M. Vasar, J. Davison, S. K. Sepp, J. Oja, S. Al-Quraishy, C. G. Bueno, J. J. Cantero, E. C. Fabiano,
 G. Decocq, L. Fraser, I. Hiiesalu, W. N. Hozzein, K. Koorem, M. Moora, L. Mucina,
 V. Onipchenko, M. Opik, M. Partel, C. Phosri, T. Vahter, L. Tedersoo, M. Zobel, Global taxonomic and phylogenetic assembly of AM fungi. *Mycorrhiza* 32, 135–144 (2022).
- L. Tedersoo, M. Bahram, M. Toots, A. G. Diédhiou, T. W. Henkel, R. Kjøller, M. H. Morris, K. Nara, E. Nouhra, K. G. Peay, S. Põlme, M. Ryberg, M. E. Smith, U. Kõljalg, Towards global patterns in the diversity and community structure of ectomycorrhizal fungi. *Mol. Ecol.* 21, 4160–4170 (2012).
- K. K. Newsham, D. W. Hopkins, L. C. Carvalhais, P. T. Fretwell, S. P. Rushton, A. G. O'Donnell, P. G. Dennis, Relationship between soil fungal diversity and temperature in the maritime Antarctic. *Nat. Clim. Change* 6, 182–186 (2016).
- M. Delgado-Baquerizo, C. A. Guerra, C. Cano-Diaz, E. Egidi, J. T. Wang, N. Eisenhauer, B. K. Singh, F. T. Maestre, The proportion of soil-borne pathogens increases with warming at the global scale. *Nat. Clim. Change* **10**, 550–554 (2020).
- J. van Ruijven, E. Ampt, D. Francioli, L. Mommer, Do soil-borne fungal pathogens mediate plant diversity–productivity relationships? Evidence and future opportunities. *J. Ecol.* 108, 1810–1821 (2020).
- M. Faticov, M.-L. Desprez-Loustau, L. Kiss, M. Massot, J. F. d'Arcier, J. Mutz, M. Z. Németh, T. Roslin, A. J. M. Tack, Niche differentiation within a cryptic pathogen complex: Climatic drivers and hyperparasitism at multiple spatial scales. *Ecography* **2022**, e06062 (2022).
- M. Labouyrie, C. Ballabio, F. Romero, P. Panagos, A. Jones, M. W. Schmid, V. Mikryukov, O. Dulya, L. Tedersoo, M. Bahram, E. Lugato, M. G. A. van der Heijden, A. Orgiazzi, Patterns in soil microbial diversity across Europe. *Nat. Commun.* 14, 3311 (2023).
- A. Rokas, Evolution of the human pathogenic lifestyle in fungi. Nat. Microbiol. 7, 607–619 (2022).
- M. R. Helmus, T. J. Bland, C. K. Williams, A. R. Ives, Phylogenetic measures of biodiversity. Am. Nat. 169, E68–E83 (2007).
- L. J. Pollock, D. F. Rosauer, A. H. Thornhill, H. Kujala, M. D. Crisp, J. T. Miller, M. A. McCarthy, Phylogenetic diversity meets conservation policy: Small areas are key to preserving eucalypt lineages. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **370**, 20140007 (2015).
- J. C. Massante, L. Götzenberger, K. Takkis, T. Hallikma, A. Kaasik, L. Laanisto, M. J. Hutchings, P. Gerhold, Contrasting latitudinal patterns in phylogenetic diversity between woody and herbaceous communities. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 6443 (2019).
- P. G. Kennedy, P. B. Matheny, K. M. Ryberg, T. W. Henkel, J. K. Uehling, M. E. Smith, Scaling up: Examining the macroecology of ectomycorrhizal fungi. *Mol. Ecol.* 21, 4151–4154 (2012).
- S. Sanchez-Ramirez, R. S. Etienne, J. M. Moncalvo, High speciation rate at temperate latitudes explains unusual diversity gradients in a clade of ectomycorrhizal fungi. *Evolution* 69, 2196–2209 (2015).
- 46. L. Tedersoo, V. Mikryukov, A. Zizka, M. Bahram, N. Hagh-Doust, S. Anslan, O. Prylutskyi, M. Delgado-Baquerizo, F. T. Maestre, J. Pärn, M. Öpik, M. Moora, M. Zobel, M. Espenberg, Ü. Mander, A. N. Khalid, A. Corrales, A. Agan, A.-M. Vasco-Palacios, A. Saitta, A. C. Rinaldi, A. Verbeken, B. P. Sulistyo, B. Tamgnoue, B. Furneaux, C. D. Ritter, C. Nyamukondiwa, C. Sharp, C. Marín, D. Gohar, D. Klavina, D. Sharmah, D. O. Dai, E. Nouhra, E. M. Biersma, E. Rähn, E. K. Cameron, E. De Crop, E. Otsing, E. A. Davydov, F. E. Albornoz, F. Q. Brearley, F. Buegger, G. Zahn, G. Bonito, I. Hiiesalu, I. C. Barrio, J. Heilmann-Clausen, J. Ankuda, J. Y. Kupagme, J. G. Maciá-Vicente, J. D. Fovo, J. Geml, J. M. Alatalo, J. Alvarez-Manjarrez, K. Pőldmaa, K. Runnel, K. Adamson, K. A. Bråthen, K. Pritsch, K. I. Tchan, K. Armolaitis, K. D. Hyde, K. K. Newsham, K. Panksep, A. A. Lateef, L. Tiirmann, L. Hansson, L. J. Lamit, M. Saba, M. Tuomi, M. Gryzenhout, M. Bauters, M. Piepenbring, N. Wijayawardene, N. S. Yorou, O. Kurina, P. E. Mortimer, P. Meidl, P. Kohout, R. H. Nilsson, R. Puusepp, R. Drenkhan, R. Garibay-Orijel, R. Godoy, S. Alkahtani, S. Rahimlou, S. V. Dudov, S. Põlme, S. Ghosh, S. Mundra, T. Ahmed, T. Netherway, T. W. Henkel, T. Roslin, V. Nteziryayo, V. E. Fedosov, V. G. Onipchenko, W. A. E. Yasanthika, Y. W. Lim, N. A. Soudzilovskaia, A. Antonelli, U. Kõljalg, K. Abarenkov, Global patterns in endemicity and vulnerability of soil fungi. Glob. Change Biol. 28, 6696-6710 (2022).
- E. Dinerstein, D. Olson, A. Joshi, C. Vynne, N. D. Burgess, E. Wikramanayake, N. Hahn, S. Palminteri, P. Hedao, R. Noss, M. Hansen, H. Locke, E. C. Ellis, B. Jones, C. V. Barber,

R. Hayes, C. Kormos, V. Martin, E. Crist, W. Sechrest, L. Price, J. E. M. Baillie, D. Weeden,
K. Suckling, C. Davis, N. Sizer, R. Moore, D. Thau, T. Birch, P. Potapov, S. Turubanova,
A. Tyukavina, N. de Souza, L. Pintea, J. C. Brito, O. A. Llewellyn, A. G. Miller, A. Patzelt,
S. A. Ghazanfar, J. Timberlake, H. Klöser, Y. Shennan-Farpón, R. Kindt, J.-P. B. Lillesø, P. van
Breugel, L. Graudal, M. Voge, K. F. Al-Shammari, M. Saleem, An ecoregion-based approach
to protecting half the terrestrial realm. *Bioscience* 67, 534–545 (2017).

- C. Rahbek, M. K. Borregaard, A. Antonelli, R. K. Colwell, B. G. Holt, D. Nogues-Bravo, C. M. Ø. Rasmussen, K. Richardson, M. T. Rosing, R. J. Whittaker, J. Fjeldså, Building mountain biodiversity: Geological and evolutionary processes. *Science* 365, 1114–1119 (2019).
- R. H. Nilsson, K.-H. Larsson, A. F. S. Taylor, J. Bengtsson-Palme, T. S. Jeppesen, D. Schigel, P. Kennedy, K. Picard, F. O. Glöckner, L. Tedersoo, I. Saar, U. Köljalg, K. Abarenkov, The UNITE database for molecular identification of fungi: Handling dark taxa and parallel taxonomic classifications. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, D259–D264 (2019).
- A. Stein, K. Gerstner, H. Kreft, Environmental heterogeneity as a universal driver of species richness across taxa, biomes and spatial scales. *Ecol. Lett.* 17, 866–880 (2014).
- A. S. Gardner, I. M. D. Maclean, K. J. Gaston, Climatic predictors of species distributions neglect biophysiologically meaningful variables. *Divers. Distrib.* 25, 1318–1333 (2019).
- R. H. MacArthur, E. O. Wilson, *The Theory of Island Biogeography* (Princeton University Press, REV-Revised., 1967); www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt19cc1t2.
- L. Cai, H. Kreft, A. Taylor, P. Denelle, J. Schrader, F. Essl, M. van Kleunen, J. Pergl, P. Pyšek, A. Stein, M. Winter, J. F. Barcelona, N. Fuentes, D. N. Inderjit, J. Karger, A. Kartesz, M. Kuprijanov, D. Nishino, A. Nickrent, A. Nowak, P. B. Patzelt, P. Pelser, J. J. Singh, P. W. Wieringa, Global models and predictions of plant diversity based on advanced machine learning techniques. *New Phytol.* 237, 1432–1445 (2022).
- A. Antonelli, W. D. Kissling, S. G. A. Flantua, M. A. Bermúdez, A. Mulch, A. N. Muellner-Riehl, H. Kreft, H. P. Linder, C. Badgley, J. Fjeldså, S. A. Fritz, C. Rahbek, F. Herman, H. Hooghiemstra, C. Hoorn, Geological and climatic influences on mountain biodiversity. *Nat. Geosci.* **11**, 718–725 (2018).
- 55. Wenner-Gren Foundation for Anthropological Research, D. M. Hopkins, Eds., *Paleoecology* of *Beringia* (Academic Press, 1982).
- D. N. Karger, O. Conrad, J. Böhner, T. Kawohl, H. Kreft, R. W. Soria-Auza, N. E. Zimmermann, H. P. Linder, M. Kessler, Climatologies at high resolution for the earth's land surface areas. *Sci. Data* 4, 170122 (2017).
- P. O. Title, J. B. Bemmels, ENVIREM: An expanded set of bioclimatic and topographic variables increases flexibility and improves performance of ecological niche modeling. *Ecography* 41, 291–307 (2018).
- S. Noce, L. Caporaso, M. Santini, A new global dataset of bioclimatic indicators. *Sci. Data.* 7, 398 (2020).

59. J. J. Lembrechts, J. Aalto, M. B. Ashcroft, P. De Frenne, M. Kopecký, J. Lenoir, M. Luoto, I. M. D. Maclean, O. Roupsard, E. Fuentes-Lillo, R. A. García, L. Pellissier, C. Pitteloud. J. M. Alatalo, S. W. Smith, R. G. Björk, L. Muffler, A. R. Backes, S. Cesarz, F. Gottschall, J. Okello, J. Urban, R. Plichta, M. Svátek, S. S. Phartyal, S. Wipf, N. Eisenhauer, M. Puşcaş, P. D. Turtureanu, A. Varlagin, R. D. Dimarco, A. S. Jump, K. Randall, E. Dorrepaal, K. Larson, J. Walz, L. Vitale, M. Svoboda, R. F. Higgens, A. H. Halbritter, S. R. Curasi, I. Klupar, A. Koontz, W. D. Pearse, E. Simpson, M. Stemkovski, B. J. Graae, M. V. Sørensen, T. T. Høve, M. R. F. Calzado, J. Lorite, M. Carbognani, M. Tomaselli, T. G. W. Forte, A. Petraglia, S. Haesen, B. Somers, K. Van Meerbeek, M. P. Björkman, K. Hylander, S. Merinero, M. Gharun, N. Buchmann, J. Dolezal, R. Matula, A. D. Thomas, J. J. Bailey, D. Ghosn, G. Kazakis, M. A. de Pablo, J. Kemppinen, P. Niittynen, L. Rew, T. Seipel, C. Larson, J. D. M. Speed, J. Ardö, N. Cannone, M. Guglielmin, F. Malfasi, M. Y. Bader, R. Canessa, A. Stanisci, J. Kreyling, J. Schmeddes, L. Teuber, V. Aschero, M. Čiliak, F. Máliš, P. De Smedt, S. Govaert, C. Meeussen, P. Vangansbeke, K. Gigauri, A. Lamprecht, H. Pauli, K. Steinbauer, M. Winkler, M. Uevama, M. A. Nuñez, T.-M. Ursu, S. Haider, R. E. M. Wedegärtner, M. Smiljanic, M. Trouillier, M. Wilmking, J. Altman, J. Brůna, L. Hederová, M. Macek, M. Man, J. Wild, P. Vittoz, M. Pärtel, P. Baraňok, R. Kanka, J. Kollár, A. Palaj, A. Barros, A. C. Mazzolari, M. Bauters, P. Boeckx, J.-L. B. Alonso, S. Zong, V. Di Cecco, Z. Sitková, K. Tielbörger, L. van den Brink, R. Weigel, J. Homeier, C. J. Dahlberg, S. Medinets, V. Medinets, H. J. De Boeck, M. Portillo-Estrada, L. T. Verryckt, A. Milbau, G. N. Daskalova, H. J. D. Thomas, I. H. Myers-Smith, B. Blonder, J. G. Stephan, P. Descombes, F. Zellweger, E. R. Frei, B. Heinesch, C. Andrews, J. Dick, L. Siebicke, A. Rocha, R. A. Senior, C. Rixen, J. J. Jimenez, J. Boike, A. Pauchard, T. Scholten, B. Scheffers, D. Klinges, E. W. Basham, J. Zhang, Z. Zhang, C. Géron, F. Fazlioglu, O. Candan, J. S. Bravo, F. Hrbacek, K. Laska, E. Cremonese, P. Haase, F. E. Moyano, C. Rossi, I. Nijs, SoilTemp: A global database of near-surface temperature. Glob. Change Biol. 26, 6616-6629 (2020). 60. J. T. Abatzoglou, S. Z. Dobrowski, S. A. Parks, K. C. Hegewisch, TerraClimate, a high-reso-

 J. T. Abatzoglou, S. Z. Dobrowski, S. A. Parks, K. C. Hegewisch, TerraClimate, a high-resolution global dataset of monthly climate and climatic water balance from 1958–2015. *Sci. Data* 5, 170191 (2018).

- L. Poggio, L. M. de Sousa, N. H. Batjes, G. B. M. Heuvelink, B. Kempen, E. Ribeiro, D. Rossiter, SoilGrids 2.0: Producing soil information for the globe with quantified spatial uncertainty. *Soil* 7, 217–240 (2021).
- D. M. Olson, E. Dinerstein, E. D. Wikramanayake, N. D. Burgess, G. V. N. Powell,
 E. C. Underwood, J. A. D'Amico, I. Itoua, H. E. Strand, J. C. Morrison, C. J. Loucks, T. F. Allnutt,
 T. H. Ricketts, Y. Kura, J. F. Lamoreux, W. W. Wettengel, P. Hedao, K. R. Kassem, Terrestrial ecoregions of the World: A new map of life on Earth. *Bioscience* 51, 933–938 (2001).
- L. Tedersoo, B. Lindahl, Fungal identification biases in microbiome projects. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 8, 774–779 (2016).
- T. Rognes, T. Flouri, B. Nichols, C. Quince, F. Mahé, VSEARCH: A versatile open source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ* 4, e2584 (2016).
- A. R. Rivers, K. C. Weber, T. G. Gardner, S. Liu, S. D. Armstrong, ITSxpress: Software to rapidly trim internally transcribed spacer sequences with quality scores for marker gene analysis. *F1000Research* 7, 1418 (2018).
- M. Blaxter, J. Mann, T. Chapman, F. Thomas, C. Whitton, R. Floyd, E. Abebe, Defining operational taxonomic units using DNA barcode data. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 360, 1935–1943 (2005).
- V. Mikryukov, S. Anslan, L. Tedersoo, NextITS: A pipeline for metabarcoding fungi and other eukaryotes with full-length ITS sequenced with PacBio (2023); https://github.com/ vmikk/NextITS.
- D. Vu, R. H. Nilsson, G. J. M. Verkley, Dnabarcoder: An open-source software package for analysing and predicting DNA sequence similarity cutoffs for fungal sequence identification. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 22, 2793–2809 (2022).
- L. Beule, P. Karlovsky, Improved normalization of species count data in ecology by scaling with ranked subsampling (SRS): Application to microbial communities. *PeerJ* 8, e9593 (2020).
- L. Tedersoo, S. Sanchez-Ramirez, U. Köljalg, M. Bahram, M. Doring, D. Schigel, T. May, M. Ryberg, K. Abarenkov, High-level classification of the fungi and a tool for evolutionary ecological analyses. *Fungal Divers* **90**, 135–159 (2018).
- C. Tsirogiannis, B. Sandel, PhyloMeasures: A package for computing phylogenetic biodiversity measures and their statistical moments. *Ecography* 39, 709–714 (2016).
- D. Gudex-Cross, L. Zhu, S. R. Keyser, B. Zuckerberg, J. N. Pauli, V. C. Radeloff, Winter conditions structure extratropical patterns of species richness of amphibians, birds and mammals globally. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* **31**, 1366–1380 (2022).
- K. Ivushkin, H. Bartholomeus, A. K. Bregt, A. Pulatov, B. Kempen, L. de Sousa, Global mapping of soil salinity change. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 231, 111260 (2019).
- S. E. Fick, R. J. Hijmans, WorldClim 2: New 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global land areas. Int. J. Climatol. 37, 4302–4315 (2017).
- M. Buchhorn, M. Lesiv, N.-E. Tsendbazar, M. Herold, L. Bertels, B. Smets, Copernicus Global Land cover layers—Collection 2. *Remote Sens. (Basel)* 12, 1044 (2020).
- N. A. Soudzilovskaia, P. M. van Bodegom, C. Terrer, M. van't Zelfde, I. McCallum, M. L. McCormack, J. B. Fisher, M. C. Brundrett, N. C. de Sá, L. Tedersoo, Global mycorrhizal plant distribution linked to terrestrial carbon stocks. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 5077 (2019).
- A. Toussaint, G. Bueno, J. Davison, M. Moora, L. Tedersoo, M. Zobel, M. Öpik, M. Pärtel, Asymmetric patterns of global diversity among plants and mycorrhizal fungi. *J. Veg. Sci.* 31, 355–366 (2020).
- B. M. Benito, spatialRF: Easy Spatial Regression with Random Forest (2021); https:// blasbenito.github.io/spatialRF/.
- M. B. Kursa, W. R. Rudnicki, Feature selection with the boruta package. J. Stat. Softw. 36, 1–13 (2010).
- T. Chen, C. Guestrin, XGBoost: A scalable tree boosting system, in *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD '16* (Association for Computing Machinery, 2016), pp. 785–794.
- M. Lang, M. Binder, J. Richter, P. Schratz, F. Pfisterer, S. Coors, Q. Au, G. Casalicchio, L. Kotthoff, B. Bischl, mlr3: A modern object-oriented machine learning framework in R. J. Open Source Softw. 4, 1903 (2019).
- M. Becker, M. Binder, B. Bischl, L. Kotthoff, M. Lang, F. Pfisterer, N. G. Reich, J. Richter, P. Schratz, R. Sonabend, D. Pulatov, mlr3: A modern object-oriented machine learning framework in R (2021); https://mlr3book.mlr-org.com/.
- T. Hengl, R. A. MacMillan, Predictive soil mapping with R (OpenGeoHub Foundation, 2019); https://soilmapper.org/.
- B. Greenwell, fastshap: Fast approximate Shapley values (2023); https://github.com/ bgreenwell/fastshap.
- 85. R. J. Hijmans, terra: Spatial data analysis (2023); https://rspatial.org/.
- O. Perpiñán, R. J. Hijmans, rasterVis package (2023); https://oscarperpinan.github.io/ rastervis/.
- T. Wei, V. Simko, R package "corrplot": Visualization of a correlation matrix (2021); https:// github.com/taiyun/corrplot.

- H. Meyer, E. Pebesma, Predicting into unknown space? Estimating the area of applicability of spatial prediction models. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 12, 1620–1633 (2021).
- B. H. Daru, P. C. le Roux, J. Gopalraj, D. S. Park, B. G. Holt, M. Greve, Spatial overlaps between the global protected areas network and terrestrial hotspots of evolutionary diversity. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 28, 757–766 (2019).
- S. N. Wood, Fast stable restricted maximum likelihood and marginal likelihood estimation of semiparametric generalized linear models. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Stat. Methodol. 73, 3–36 (2011).
- J. J. Lennon, P. Koleff, J. J. D. GreenwooD, K. J. Gaston, The geographical structure of British bird distributions: Diversity, spatial turnover and scale. J. Anim. Ecol. 70, 966–979 (2001).
- B. G. Holt, J.-P. Lessard, M. K. Borregaard, S. A. Fritz, M. B. Araújo, D. Dimitrov, P.-H. Fabre, C. H. Graham, G. R. Graves, K. A. Jønsson, D. Nogués-Bravo, Z. Wang, R. J. Whittaker, J. Fjeldså, C. Rahbek, An update of Wallace's zoogeographic regions of the world. *Science* 339, 74–78 (2013).
- B. H. Daru, P. Karunarathne, K. Schliep, phyloregion: R package for biogeographical regionalization and macroecology. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **11**, 1483–1491 (2020).
- 94. J. Oksanen, G. L. Simpson, F. G. Blanchet, R. Kindt, P. Legendre, P. R. Minchin, R. B. O'Hara, P. Solymos, M. H. H. Stevens, E. Szoecs, H. Wagner, M. Barbour, M. Bedward, B. Bolker, D. Borcard, G. Carvalho, M. Chirico, M. D. Caceres, S. Durand, H. B. A. Evangelista, R. FitzJohn, M. Friendly, B. Furneaux, G. Hannigan, M. O. Hill, L. Lahti, D. McGlinn, M.-H. Ouellette, E. R. Cunha, T. Smith, A. Stier, C. J. F. T. Braak, J. Weedon, vegan: Community Ecology Package (2023); https://github.com/vegandevs/vegan.
- M. J. Anderson, Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA), in Wiley StatsRef: Statistics Reference Online, N. Balakrishnan, T. Colton, B. Everitt, W. Piegorsch, F. Ruggeri, J. L. Teugels, Eds. (Wiley, ed. 1, 2017), pp. 1–15.
- K. Mokany, C. Ware, S. N. C. Woolley, S. Ferrier, M. C. Fitzpatrick, A working guide to harnessing generalized dissimilarity modelling for biodiversity analysis and conservation assessment. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* **31**, 802–821 (2022).
- M. J. Anderson, Distance-based tests for homogeneity of multivariate dispersions. *Biometrics* 62, 245–253 (2006).
- D. Lüdecke, M. Ben-Shachar, I. Patil, D. Makowski, Extracting, computing and exploring the parameters of statistical models using R. J. Open Source Softw. 5, 2445 (2020).
- 99. E. Pebesma, Simple features for R: Standardized support for spatial vector data. *R J.* **10**, 439 (2018).

Acknowledgments: We thank the High Performance Computing Center of the University of Tartu for providing the computational resources used in data analysis. We extend our gratitude to the three anonymous reviewers whose feedback and critiques substantially enriched the guality of this manuscript. Funding: This work was supported by the Estonian Science Foundation: PRG632 (to L.T.), Estonian Research Council: PRG1615 (to R.D.), Estonian Research Council: PRG1170 (to U.K. and Ka.Po.), Estonian Science Foundation: MOBTP198 (to St.An.), Novo Nordisk Fonden: NNF20OC0059948 (to L.T.), Norway-Baltic financial mechanism: EMP442 (to L.T., K.-A.B., and M.T.), King Saud University: DFSP-2020-2 (to L.T.), King Saud University: Highly Cited Program (to L.T.), European Regional Development Fund: Centre of Excellence EcolChange TK131 (to M.O., M.Z., Ü.M., U.K., and M.E.), Estonian Research Council: PRG1789 (to M.O. and I.H.), British Ecological Society: LRB17\1019 (MUSGONET) (to M.D.-B.), Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation: PID2020-115813RA-I00 (to M.D.-B.), Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation: SOIL4GROWTH (to M.D.-B.), Marie Sklodowska-Curie: 702057 (CLIMIFUN) (to M.D.-B.), European Research Council (ERC): grant 647038 [BIODESERT] (to F.T.M.), Generalitat Valenciana: CIDEGENT/2018/041 (to F.T.M.), Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation: EUR2022-134048 (to F.T.M.), Estonian Research Council: PRG1065 (to M.M. and M.Z.), Swedish Research Council Formas: 2020-00807 (to Mo.Ba.), Swedish Research Council: 2019-05191 (to Al. An.), Swedish Foundation for Strategic Environmental Research MISTRA: Project BioPath (to Al. An.), Kew Foundation (to Al.An.), EEA Financial Mechanism Baltic Research Programme in Estonia: EMP442 (to Ke.Ar. and Je.An.), Ghent University Special Research Fund (BOF): Metusalem (to N.S.), Estonian Research Council: PSG825 (to K.R.), European Research Council (ERC): 101096403 (MLTOM23415R) (to Ü.M.), European Regional Development Fund (ERDF): 1.1.1.2/VIAA/2/18/298 (to D.K.), Estonian Research Council: PUT1170 (to I.H.), German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF): 01DG20015FunTrAf (to K.T.I., M.P., and N.Y.), Provecto SIA: SA77210019 (ANID-Chile) (to C.M.), Fondecvt: 1190642 (ANID-Chile) (to R.G.), European Research Council (ERC): Synergy Grant 856506-LIFEPLAN (to T.R.), Academy of Finland: grant 322266 (to T.R.), U.S. National Science Foundation: DEB-0918591 (to T.H.), U.S. National Science Foundation: DEB-1556338 (to T.H.), U.S. National Science Foundation: DEB 1737898 (to G.B.), UNAM-PAPIIT: IV200223 (to R.G.-O.), Czech Science Foundation: 21-268835 (to J.D.), Estonian Research Council: PRG352 (to M.E.), NERC core funding: the BAS Biodiversity, Evolution and Adaptation Team (to K.K.N.), NERC-CONICYT: NE/P003079/1 (to E.M.B.), Carlsberg Foundation: CF18-0267 (to E.M.B.), Oatar Petroleum: OUEX-CAS-OP-RD-18/19 (to Ju.Al.), Russian Ministry of Science and Higher Education: 075-15-2021-1396 (to V.F. and V.O.), Secretaria de Ciencia v Técnica (SECYT) of Universidad Nacional de Córdoba and CONICET (to E.N.), High-Level Talent Recruitment Plan of Yunnan Province 2021: "High-End Foreign Experts" (to Pe.Mo.), AUA grant from research council of UAE University: G00003654 (to S.M.), Ghent University: Bijzonder Onderzoeksfonds (to A.V.), Ghent University: Bijzonder Onderzoeksfonds (BOF-PDO-2017-001201) (to E.D.C.), Ghent University: The Faculty Committee Scientific Research, FCWO (to E.D.C. and A.V.), The King Leopold III Fund for Nature Exploration and Conservation (to A.V. and E.D.C.), The Research Foundation-Flanders (FWO) (to E.D.C. and A.V.), The High-Level Talent Recruitment Plan of Yunnan Provinces: "Young Talents" Program (to D.-Q.D.), The High-Level Talent Recruitment Plan of Yunnan Provinces: "High-End Foreign Experts" Program (to N. N.W.), IRIS scholarship for progressive and ambitious women (to L.H.), Estonian University of Life Sciences: P190250PKKH (to Kr.Pa.), Hungarian Academy of Sciences: Lendület Programme (96049) (to J.G.), Eötvös Loránd Research Network (to J.G.), Botswana International University of Science and Technology (to C.N.), and Higher Education Commision (HEC, Islamabad, Pakistan): Indigenous and International research support initiative program (IRSIP) scholarship (to M.S.). Author contributions: Conceptualization: L.T., V.M., O.D., and U.K. Methodology: V.M., O.D., A. Z., Mo.Ba., O.P., L.T., and R.P. Investigation: V.M., O.D., and L.T. Formal analysis: V.M., O.D., L.T., A.Z., Mo.Ba., O.P., and N.H.-D. Resources: L.T. and U.K. Funding acquisition: L.T., R.D., U.K., Ka.Po., M.D.-B., F.T.M., St.An., K.-A.B., M.T., M.O., M.Z., Ü.M., M.E., M.M., Mo.Ba., Al.An., Ke.Ar., Je.An., N.S., K.R., D. K., I.H., K.T.I., M.P., N.Y., C.M., R.G., T.R., T.H., G.B., R.G.-O., J.D., K.K.N., E.M.B., Ju.Al., V.F., V.O., E.N., Pe. Mo., S.M., A.V., E.D.C., D.-Q.D., N.N.W., L.H., Kr.Pa., J.G., C.N., and M.S. Project administration: L.T. Supervision: L.T. Visualization: O.D. and V.M., N.H.-D., L.T., and A.Z. Writing-original draft: O.D.,

V.M., and L.T. Writing—review and editing: All authors. **Competing interests:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests. **Data and materials availability:** All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper and/or the Supplementary Materials. Additional data used in this article and the generated gridded maps are available through Zenodo DOI:10.5281/zenodo.8013448. The code used for data analysis and visualization of the main results of the study is available at GitHub: https://github.com/ Mycology-Microbiology-Center/Global_fungal_diversity (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.8407259). Additional details on the GSMc are available at https://GSMc-fungi.github.io/ (DOI:10.5281/ zenodo.10003080). Fungal DNA sequences and OTU occurrences can be accessed from the PlutoF biodiversity data management platform. The raw sequence data generated in this study are deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) at EMBL-EBI under accession number PRJEB63550 (Study ID ERP148695, run accessions ERR11608487–ERR11609485 and ERR11609494–ERR11613474). All soil samples collected are preserved in the University of Tartu Natural History Museum's collection of DNA and environmental samples.

Submitted 17 July 2023 Accepted 30 October 2023 Published 29 November 2023 10.1126/sciadv.adj8016