

Check for updates

Salvage Therapy With Selpercatinib for *RET*-Rearranged NSCLC With Pralsetinib-Related Pneumonitis and Leptomeningeal Disease: A Case Report

Paolo D. d'Arienzo, MD, MRCP,^a Niamh Cunningham, MBBS, BSc, MRCP,^a Hazel O'Sullivan, MBBchBAO, MRCPI,^a Charlotte Grieco, MBBS, MRCP,^a Virjen Patel, MbChB, BSc, FRCR,^b Sanjay Popat, PhD, FRCP^{a,c,*}

^aLung Unit, Royal Marsden Hospital, London, United Kingdom ^bClinical Radiology Department, Royal Marsden Hospital, London, United Kingdom ^cDivision of Clinical Studies, Institute of Cancer Research, London, United Kingdom

Received 22 June 2023; revised 18 September 2023; accepted 26 September 2023 Available online - 29 September 2023

ABSTRACT

Selpercatinib and pralsetinib are RET inhibitors with substantial activity in advanced *RET*-rearranged NSCLC. We present a case of pralsetinib-related pneumonitis and leptomeningeal and brain metastases progression during treatment suspension for pneumonitis. During recovery, selpercatinib administration led to rapid neurologic response and complete intracranial response and allowed pneumonitis resolution. This case supports the safety of selpercatinib in patients with pneumonitis on pralsetinib and highlights its marked efficacy in leptomeningeal disease.

© 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Keywords: Selpercatinib; Pralsetinib; RET fusion; Leptomeningeal disease; NSCLC; Case Report

Introduction

Rearrangements in the RET proto-oncogene occur in 1% to 2% of NSCLCs. Patients are at high risk of intracranial metastases (25% at diagnosis, 46% lifetime risk). Selpercatinib and pralsetinib are tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) with selective anti-RET activity. Two multicohort phase 1-2 trials reported excellent activity and tolerability in advanced unresectable *RET* fusionpositive NSCLC.^{1,2} TKI-related pneumonitis manifests as progressive dyspnea with/without cough, fever, and bilateral interstitial or alveolar opacification, in the absence of pulmonary infection or disease progression.³ Pralsetinib-related pneumonitis was reported in 12.1% of patients with NSCLC on the ARROW trial,¹ whereas no cases of selpercatinib-related pneumonitis were reported in patients with NSCLC on the LIBRETTO-001 trial.² TKI switch after TKI-related pneumonitis has been reported in oncogene-addicted NSCLC types,^{4–7} but not in *RET* fusion-positive NSCLC.

Leptomeningeal disease (LMD), defined as tumor cell spread within the leptomeninges and subarachnoid space, is common in oncogene-addicted NSCLC and associated with poor prognosis. Switch to TKIs with enhanced central nervous system penetration can

ISSN: 2666-3643

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtocrr.2023.100581

^{*}Corresponding author.

Disclosure: Dr. Popat received personal fees from Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Blueprint, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Boehringer Ingelheim, Daiichi Sankyo, EQRx, GlaxoSmithKline, Guardant Health, Incyte, Janssen, Eli Lilly, Merck Serono, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, Seattle Genetics, Takeda, and Turning Point Therapeutics. Dr. O'Sullivan received personal fees from Amgen, AstraZeneca, and Takeda. The remaining authors declare no conflict of interest.

Address for correspondence: Sanjay Popat, Lung Unit, The Royal Marsden Hospital, 203 Fulham Road, London SW3 6JJ, United Kingdom E-mail: sanjay.popat@rmh.nhs.uk

Cite this article as: d'Arienzo PD, Cunningham N, O'Sullivan H, Grieco C, Patel V, Popat S. Salvage therapy with selpercatinib for RET-rearranged NSCLC with pralsetinib-related pneumonitis and leptomeningeal disease: a case report. *JTO Clin Res Rep.* 2023;4:100581.

^{© 2023} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



Figure 1. Timeline of events. GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; Jan, January; Jul, July; Jun, June; Mar, March; NGS, next-generation sequencing; Nov, November; Oct, October; OD, once a day.

provide additional benefit. Although both pralsetinib and selpercatinib had good intracranial responses in ARROW and LIBRETTO-001, neither trial enrolled patients with untreated symptomatic intracranial disease. Our case highlights the safety of switching to selpercatinib in a patient intolerant of pralsetinib owing to pneumonitis and its effectiveness in symptomatic progressive LMD.

Case Presentation

A 64-year-old man with adenocarcinoma subtype NSCLC with metastases to the lungs, liver, and brain was admitted in October 2021 with exertional dyspnea and hypoxia. A KIF5B-RET fusion was confirmed by RNAbased multitarget next-generation sequencing panel and programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression was 35%. Medical history included a transient ischemic attack and 5 pack-year smoking history. Prior treatment included three cycles of carboplatin, pemetrexed, and pembrolizumab to partial response and 12 months of second-line pralsetinib, with partial response. Three small-volume brain metastases (largest lesion 7 mm, left parietal lobe) had been present at baseline and previously responded to pralsetinib without requirement for radiation therapy. Three prior episodes of low-grade (maximum grade 2) pralsetinib-related pneumonitis between November 2020 and April 2021 had been managed with oral steroids, treatment interruption, and dose reduction (400 mg once daily to 200 mg once daily). The sequence of events is detailed in Figure 1.

Computed tomography pulmonary angiogram on admission revealed multiple lung metastases and multifocal ground-glass changes consistent with pneumonitis; nil evidence of infection or pulmonary embolus. Neutrophil count, white blood cell count, and C-reactive protein level were within reference range; there were no pyrexia or sputum production. High-flow oxygen and 1 mg/kg oral prednisolone were commenced for treatment of grade 3 drug-related pneumonitis. Pralsetinib was suspended. On day 16 of admission, although still on oxygen and 50 mg prednisolone daily, he became persistently obtunded and developed difficulties in retaining information (Glasgow Coma Scale 13, E3V4M6); performance status dropped to Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status 4. Neurologic examination revealed left upper limb weakness without cranial nerve abnormalities. Magnetic resonance imaging of the brain revealed progression of established brain metastases with new extensive LMD (Fig. 2) confirmed on cerebrospinal fluid cytology. Given the marked neurologic deterioration despite steroids, selpercatinib was commenced at 160 mg twice a day.

The patient had a neurologic response within 72 hours. The abbreviated mental test score went from 7/10 (unable to state date, identify job roles, recall address) to 10/10. Although mild neurologic sequelae, including dysarthria and mild upper limb weakness, persisted, he made a rapid neurologic and respiratory recovery and was discharged on day 35 with ECOG performance status 1, no oxygen requirement, and 20 mg prednisolone daily. Repeat magnetic resonance imaging on the head after three months revealed no residual LMD or brain metastases (Fig. 2*A*–*F*). Restaging computed tomography of the thorax-abdomen revealed resolution of pneumonitic changes (Fig. 3*A*–*D*) but progression in the lungs, liver, and peritoneum. He died five months after despite further carboplatin-pemetrexed-selpercatinib combination treatment.



Figure 2. Serial magnetic resonance imaging of the brain. (*A*, *B*) Postcontrast axial T1 sequences at the level of the inferior frontal gyrus. (*C*, *D*) Postcontrast axial T1 sequences at the level of the superior cerebellum. (*E*, *F*) Postcontrast coronal T1 sequences at the level of the occipital horns of the lateral ventricles. The enhancing intra-axial metastasis within the left inferior frontal gyrus and diffuse enhancing leptomeningeal disease of the cerebellum (arrows) have disappeared.



Figure 3. Serial CT imaging of the chest. Panels (A) and (C) illustrate baseline axial and coronal CT imaging revealing multifocal ground-glass opacification in keeping with pralsetinib-related pneumonitis, which has significantly improved after three months of treatment with selpercatinib (found in panels [B] and [D]). CT, computed tomography.

Discussion

Our case highlights both the intracranial efficacy and the pulmonary safety of selpercatinib in the setting of leptomeningeal progression on pralsetinib and resolving drug-related pneumonitis.

Two prior case reports described rapid neurologic response to selpercatinib in patients developing LMD while receiving RET-targeting therapy. One case reported partial intracranial response and overall disease control for 10 months after progression on agerafenib (RXDX-105).⁸ The other described partial intracranial response and disease stability for five months after progression on pralsetinib.⁹

Intracranial response to selpercatinib after progression on pralsetinib suggests potential superior intracranial penetration with selpercatinib; however, preclinical and clinical evidence remains inconclusive. In mouse models of intracranial metastasis, pralsetinib was found to have survival benefit at doses inferior to the equivalent recommended human phase 2 dose, and selpercatinib had a relatively low unbound brain-to-plasma partition coefficient (K_{p, uu, brain}) at 0.20. Within LIBRETTO-001 and ARROW, both drugs had early and rapid responses in treated and untreated stable brain metastases. Intracranial response rates were 85% for selpercatinib and 70% for pralsetinib.^{1,2} Nevertheless, relatively few patients had measurable intracranial disease (26 and 10, respectively), and LMD was a trial exclusion.

In our case, given the 2-week treatment interruption owing to pneumonitis, LMD may have represented occult tumor flare rather than acquired pralsetinib resistance. Pralsetinib rechallenge was inappropriate owing to ongoing recovery of grade 3 treatment-related pneumonitis and dose limitations owing to prior toxicityrelated dose reductions. Switching to selpercatinib was the only feasible option to attempt intracranial control despite risk of pneumonitis.

In the ARROW trial, 12.1% of patients with NSCLC developed treatment-related pneumonitis, with grade 3 to 4 events in 2.1%. Median onset time was 66 days in treatment-naive patients and 146 days in pretreated patients.¹

Data on selpercatinib and drug-related pneumonitis are more sparse. The updated analysis of LIBRETTO-001 reported one case of treatment-related pneumonitis in a patient with thyroid cancer.² There were no pneumonitis cases in a real-world cohort of 50 patients with RET fusion-positive NSCLC.¹⁰ The Food and Drug Administration label included a warning for drug-related pneumonitis and reported a rate of 1.8% for all-grade events; however, the European Medicines Agency did not report pneumonitis as an adverse event.

Several case reports have described safety outcomes for TKI replacement challenge after TKI-related pneumonitis in oncogene-addicted NSCLC types. Erlotinib and afatinib were administered after gefitinib-related pneumonitis,^{4,5} lorlatinib after alectinib-related pneumonitis,⁶ and tepotinib after capmatinib-related pneumonitis.⁷ Concurrent steroids with TKI switch^{4–6} or lower starting TKI doses^{4,5,7} were used in some cases. No relapses of TKI-related pneumonitis were reported, suggesting that TKI replacement challenge (with or without steroid cover or lower starting dose) may be safely considered.

Despite complete intracranial response, in our case, extracranial disease control was not achieved, and the patient ultimately passed away. Circulating tumor DNA profiling or tissue biopsy was not feasible to elucidate resistance mechanisms, and next-generation RET inhibitors are still in early phase development. Nevertheless, selpercatinib-related pneumonitis of any grade was not identified, confirming this strategy as a potentially safe and effective method of overcoming pralsetinibassociated pneumonitis and achieving intracranial response, without compromising the RET-kinase inhibitory dose.

Conclusion

Our case reveals that selpercatinib is safe and effective in patients who develop pralsetinib-related pneumonitis and results in marked intracranial response.

CRediT Authorship Contribution Statement

Paolo Davide d'Arienzo: Conceptualization, Data curation, Writing—original draft.

Niamh Cunningham: Writing—review and editing. Hazel O'Sullivan: Writing—review and editing.

Charlotte Grieco: Data curation, Writing—review and editing.

Virjen Patel: Data curation, Writing—review and editing.

Sanjay Popat: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing—review and editing.

Acknowledgments

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient's next of kin after the patient's death for use and disclosure of the patient's health information. We convey our thanks to the patient and the patient's next of kin for helpful discussions.

References

- 1. Griesinger F, Curigliano G, Thomas M, et al. Safety and efficacy of pralsetinib in RET fusion-positive non-small-cell lung cancer including as first-line therapy: update from the ARROW trial. *Ann Oncol*. 2022;33:1168-1178.
- 2. Drilon A, Subbiah V, Gautschi O, et al. Selpercatinib in patients with RET fusion-positive non-small-cell lung cancer: updated safety and efficacy from the registrational LIBRETTO-001 phase I/II trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2022;41:385-394.
- 3. He Y, Zho C. Tyrosine kinase inhibitors interstitial pneumonitis: diagnosis and management. *Transl Lung Cancer Res.* 2019;8(suppl 3):S318-S320.
- 4. Chang SC, Chang CY, Chen CY, Yu CJ. Successful erlotinib rechallenge after gefitinib-induced acute interstitial pneumonia. *J Thorac Oncol*. 2010;5:1105-1106.
- Tani T, Naoki K, Asakura T, et al. Successful treatment of non-small-cell lung cancer with afatinib and a glucocorticoid following gefitinib- and erlotinib-induced interstitial lung disease: a case report. *Mol Clin Oncol*. 2016;5:488-490.
- 6. Myall NJ, Lei AQ, Wakelee HA. Safety of lorlatinib following alectinib-induced pneumonitis in two patients with ALK-rearranged non-small cell lung cancer: a case series. *Transl Lung Cancer Res.* 2021;10:487-495.
- 7. Hashiguchi MH, Sato T, Yamamoto H, et al. Successful tepotinib challenge after capmatinib-induced interstitial lung disease in a patient with lung adenocarcinoma harboring MET Exon 14 skipping mutation: case report. *JTO Clin Res Rep.* 2022;3:100271.
- 8. Guo R, Schreyer M, Chang JC, et al. Response to selective RET inhibition with LOXO-292 in a patient with RET fusion-positive lung cancer with leptomeningeal metastases. *JCO Precis Oncol*. 2019;3:1-6.
- **9.** Tsui DCC, Kavanagh BD, Honce JM, Rossi C, Patil T, Camidge DR. Central nervous system response to Selpercartinib in patient with RET-rearranged non-small cell lung cancer after developing leptomeningeal disease on pralsetinib. *Clin Lung Cancer*. 2022;23:e5-e8.
- **10.** Illini O, Hochmair MJ, Fabikan H, et al. Selpercatinib in RET fusion-positive non-small-cell lung cancer (SIREN): a retrospective analysis of patients treated through an access program. *Ther Adv Med Oncol*. 2021;13: 17588359211019675.