ARTICLE OPEN



Check for updates

Amino acid auxotrophies in human gut bacteria are linked to higher microbiome diversity and long-term stability

Svenja Starke¹, Danielle M. M. Harris ^{1,2}, Johannes Zimmermann ^{3,4}, Sven Schuchardt⁵, Mhmd Oumari², Derk Frank^{6,7}, Corinna Bang², Philip Rosenstiel ², Stefan Schreiber^{2,8}, Norbert Frey^{6,7,9}, Andre Franke², Konrad Aden^{2,8 &} and Silvio Waschina ^{1*}

© The Author(s) 2023

Amino acid auxotrophies are prevalent among bacteria. They can govern ecological dynamics in microbial communities and indicate metabolic cross-feeding interactions among coexisting genotypes. Despite the ecological importance of auxotrophies, their distribution and impact on the diversity and function of the human gut microbiome remain poorly understood. This study performed the first systematic analysis of the distribution of amino acid auxotrophies in the human gut microbiome using a combined metabolomic, metagenomic, and metabolic modeling approach. Results showed that amino acid auxotrophies are ubiquitous in the colon microbiome, with tryptophan auxotrophy being the most common. Auxotrophy frequencies were higher for those amino acids that are also essential to the human host. Moreover, a higher overall abundance of auxotrophies was associated with greater microbiome diversity and stability, and the distribution of auxotrophs was found to be related to the human host's metabolome, including trimethylamine oxide, small aromatic acids, and secondary bile acids. Thus, our results suggest that amino acid auxotrophies are important factors contributing to microbiome ecology and host-microbiome metabolic interactions.

The ISME Journal (2023) 17:2370-2380; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-023-01537-3

BACKGROUND

The metabolic processes performed by the human gut microbiota have a crucial impact on human metabolism and health [1–3]. For instance, various human out bacteria produce the short-chain fatty acid butyrate. Butyrate is a primary energy source for human colonocytes[1] and intersects with host immunological processes by mediating anti-inflammatory effects [4, 5]. Another notable metabolic interaction between the human host and its gastrointestinal microbiota is the microbial transformation of aromatic amino acids into various metabolites. Recent studies suggest that aromatic amino acidderived metabolites such as indole-3-propionic acid and indole-3-acetic acid can modulate the host immune system [6, 7]. Thus, these and several further studies provide evidence that gut microbial metabolites are essential factors in the pathophysiology of inflammatory diseases and the efficacy of immunomodulatory therapies [7-10].

The repertoire of molecules synthesized and eventually released by individual gut microbes comprises metabolic by-products that serve the dual purpose of energy metabolism and facilitating the biosynthesis of essential metabolites necessary for cellular maintenance and proliferation. However, often not all metabolites required for growth and survival (i.e., nucleotides, vitamins, amino acids) can be *de-novo* synthesized by gut-dwelling microorganisms, rendering those organisms dependent (termed *auxotrophic*) on the uptake of the focal metabolite from the microbial cell's nutritional environment. Several in silico studies have applied genome-mining approaches, suggesting that most analyzed gut bacteria lack biosynthetic pathways for producing at least one proteinogenic amino acid [11, 12] or a growth-essential vitamin [13, 14]. In addition, in vitro growth experiments have confirmed specific amino acid and vitamin auxotrophies in common human gut bacteria [13, 15, 16].

The prevalence of auxotrophs in the human gut microbiome raises the question of the source of the required metabolites in the gastrointestinal growth environment. There are three potential sources of essential nutrients for microbial growth: (i) Required metabolites could be diet-derived. However, amino acids and vitamins are usually efficiently absorbed by the human host in the small intestine [17], limiting the accessibility of diet-derived essential nutrients for the majority of the gut microbial community, which resides in the colonic region [18]. (ii) Metabolites required by auxotrophic microorganisms in the gastrointestinal tract may be host-derived, e.g., from proteins and peptides secreted by the gut epithelium into the gut lumen or from apical proteins of the host epithelial cell layer accessible to gut microorganisms [15]. (iii) Auxotrophic members of the gut microbial community might obtain essential nutrients via crossfeeding interactions with prototrophic organisms within their microbial community [19, 20].

Received: 23 March 2023 Revised: 6 October 2023 Accepted: 9 October 2023 Published online: 27 October 2023

¹Institute of Human Nutrition and Food Science, Nutriinformatics, Kiel University, Kiel, Germany. ²Institute of Clinical Molecular Biology, Kiel University, Kiel, Germany. ³Zoological Institute, Research Group Evolutionary Ecology and Genetics, Kiel University, Kiel, Germany. ⁴Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Biology, Plön, Germany. ⁵Fraunhofer Institute for Toxicology and Experimental Medicine (ITEM), Hanover, Germany. ⁶Department of Internal Medicine II, University Medical Center Schleswig-Holstein, Kiel, Germany. ⁷German Centre for Cardiovascular Research (DZHK), Partner site Hamburg, Kiel, Lübeck, Germany. ⁸Department of Internal Medicine I, University Medical Center Schleswig-Holstein, Kiel, Germany. ⁹Present address: Department of Internal Medicine III, University Hospital Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany. ^{Semanik}: kaden@ikmb.uni-kiel.de; swaschina@nutrinf.uni-kiel.de

While the exchange of electron donor metabolites (e.g., acetateor lactate cross-feeding) between different microorganisms is well-documented for the human gut microbiome [21-23], the extent of cross-feeding interactions via the exchange of essential nutrients such as amino acids and vitamins remains still unknown. However, in vitro experiments of synthetic microbial communities suggest that co-cultured microorganisms, which are auxotrophic for different compounds, can support each other's growth by exchanging the focal metabolites [24]. Furthermore, theoretical ecological models suggest that cross-feeding interactions between auxotrophic organisms within complex communities can increase community diversity through metabolic niche expansion [25] and community robustness to ecological perturbance [26], such as changes in the composition of the chemical environment. Thus, cross-feeding of amino acids and vitamins between different members of the human gut microbiota could be crucial determinants of microbiome dynamics, resilience, and the contribution of gut microbes to human metabolism and health.

In this study, we applied genome-scale metabolic modeling to predict the distribution and diversity of amino acid auxotrophies in the human gut microbiome. The predictions were combined with stool metagenomic sequencing and targeted serum metabolomics from observational human cohort studies to estimate auxotrophy frequencies and their impact on the human metabolome. We found that amino acids that are essential to the human host are also the most common auxotrophies in the human gut microbiome. Intriguingly, a higher frequency of auxotrophies was associated with long-term stability of the microbiome community composition. Furthermore, a higher number of auxotrophies among gut bacteria was associated with higher diversity of the gut bacteria and increased levels of aromatic compounds of putative microbial origin in the human serum metabolome.

RESULTS

Prediction and validation of auxotrophies with genome-scale metabolic modeling

To estimate the overall distribution of amino acid auxotrophies in the human gut microbiome, we predicted the amino acid production capacities using genome-metabolic modeling for all bacterial genomes (n = 5414) from the 'Human Reference Gut Microbiome (HRGM)' collection [27]. Auxotrophies were predicted for the 20 proteinogenic amino acids by comparing the model's

growth with and without the amino acid using flux-balance analysis. If the model was not able to grow without the amino acid, then an auxotrophy was predicted (Fig. 1). To exclude an overprediction of auxotrophies due to genome incompleteness, we correlated the genome completeness and the number of auxotrophies predicted. Results showed a negative relationship between genome completeness and the number of auxotrophies per genome (Supplementary Fig. S1, $\rho = -0.50$, $p \le 2.2e-16$). To combat this, the genomes were filtered for completeness $\geq 85\%$ and contamination <2%. Only the filtered metabolic models (n = 3687) were used to predict auxotrophies and ongoing analysis. All auxotrophies predicted for HRGM models are in the supplementary material (Supplementary Table S1).

To validate our *gapseq*-based auxotrophy predictions, we compared the predictions on strain level with in vitro experimentally verified auxotrophies as reported in previous studies for a total of 36 gut bacteria (Supplementary Table S2), of which most were already summarized in a recent study [28]. If a genome assembly of the experimentally tested strain was available on NCBI RefSeg, we reconstructed the genome-scale metabolic model and predicted the auxotrophies. In addition to auxotrophy predictions using our gapseg model collection (Supplementary Table S1), we also tested models from the AGORA2 collection (Supplementary Table S3). Auxotrophy predictions using gapseq models had a sensitivity of 75.5%, a specificity of 95.9%, and an accuracy of 93%. The auxotrophies predicted by the AGORA2 models showed a lower degree of agreement with the experimental data: sensitivity (43.4%), specificity (92.3%), and accuracy (81.7%). In addition, we reconstructed genome-scale metabolic models for 124 bacterial genotypes known to be prototrophic for all 20 proteinogenic amino acids [29] to further validate our auxotrophy predictions (Supplementary Table S4). We note that the 124 prototrophic genotypes are isolates from diverse isolation sources and not from the human gut. However, the resource can be used to estimate the rate of false auxotrophy predictions [29]. In total, 99.1% of all predictions coincided with the known amino acid prototrophies of the organisms, thus suggesting a false auxotrophy prediction rate of less than 1%. In general, the frequency of auxotrophy predictions among genomes from human gut bacteria is generally higher compared to the collection of 124 prototrophic genomes (Supplementary Fig. S2), indicating that the high frequency of auxotrophies cannot be explained by a false-positive rate associated with potential pitfalls in the model reconstruction workflow.



Fia. 1 Workflow for the prediction of auxotrophies with genome-scale metabolic modeling. Gapseq was used to reconstruct genome-scale metabolic models from genomes of the Human Reference Gut Microbiome (HRGM) catalog [27]. The workflow of gapseg to reconstruct metabolic models consists of five steps: transporter/metabolic pathway prediction, draft metabolic network construction, growth medium prediction, gap filling, final model reconstruction. Auxotrophy prediction was performed using flux-balance analysis and validated by reconstructing gapseq models from experimentally verified auxotrophic strains. The predicted auxotrophies were compared on strain level from gapseq and AGORA2 models to experimentally verified auxotrophies. QC reads of cohorts were mapped on HRGM. Auxotrophy frequencies in cohorts were determined by mapping QC reads from the metagenomes of the cohorts to genomes from HRGM collection. Icons are from www.flaticon.com (creators: photo3idea_studio, Freepik, surang, Eucalyp, Voysla, juicy_fish, smashingstocks, SBTS2018, creative_designer).

Amino acid auxotrophies are common in the human gut microbiome

Auxotrophies for tryptophan were predicted to be the most prevalent, at 63.9% of the genomes in the HRGM catalog (Fig. 2). Isoleucine, leucine, and valine (BCAA, branched-chain amino acids) auxotrophies were also estimated to be common (40.1%, 40%, 41.1%, respectively). No auxotrophies were predicted for alanine, aspartate, and glutamate. We further analyzed the observed auxotrophies at the taxonomy level by comparing the proportion and number of auxotrophies on phylum and order level (Supplementary Fig. S3). Actinobacteriota were predicted to have a higher proportion of BCAA auxotrophies compared to prototrophies (Supplementary Fig. S3). For tryptophan, a higher proportion of auxotrophic to prototrophic bacteria was estimated in Firmicutes, Actinobacteriota, and Fusobacteriota. Based on our computational analysis, Fusobacteriota had a higher auxotrophic to prototrophic ratio for almost all amino acids, whereas the opposite was predicted for Proteobacteria. This observation is further supported by the number of auxotrophies predicted per genome for Proteobacteria and Fusobacteriota (Supplementary Fig. S4). Additionally, the results suggest that auxotrophic genotypes have lost the genes for most of the enzymes required for the biosynthesis of the corresponding amino acid (Supplementary Fig. S5). Taken together, the results indicate that amino acid auxotrophies are prevalent in the human gut microbiome.

Amino acid auxotrophies are associated with the profile of fermentation products

Amino acid biosynthesis pathways and pathways producing fermentation products share common precursor metabolites (Fig. 3B). For example, pyruvate is a central metabolite that is utilized for the biosynthesis of the BCAA as well as in some gut bacterial species for lactate formation, underlining the interconnection of amino acids and energy metabolism in the metabolic network.

Here, we investigated whether bacteria that are auxotrophic for specific amino acids are commonly associated with specific profiles of fermentation products. Therefore, we predicted the metabolic by-products of cell growth and compared those results with the auxotrophy predictions for the corresponding organisms (Fig. 3A). BCAA auxotrophic bacteria were more likely to produce lactate in comparison to prototrophic bacteria (Fisher's exact test for count data, $log_2(Odds Ratio (OR)) = 2.0-2.8$, FDR-corrected *p* value < 0.05). Propionate production was commonly predicted for glutamine auxotrophic gut bacteria ($log_2(OR) = 2.4$, FDR-corrected *p* value < 0.05) and by cysteine auxotrophs ($log_2(OR) = 1.9$, FDR-corrected *p* value < 0.05). Succinate is predominantly produced by asparagine auxotrophic gut bacteria ($log_2(OR) = 2.2$,

FDR corrected *p* value < 0.05). For butyrate, there was a higher association with glutamine auxotrophic bacteria ($log_2(OR) = 1.6$, FDR-corrected *p* value < 0.05).

The association of auxotrophic bacteria with the production of organic acids might be explained by the distribution of reaction fluxes through the metabolic network. For instance, pyruvate is a metabolic precursor for the de novo biosynthesis pathways for BCAA but also for lactate formation (Fig. 3B). Pyruvate not used for BCAA biosynthesis in auxotrophic genotypes might be redirected towards lactate production. Thus, our findings suggest a plausible interplay in resource allocation between a microorganism's energy metabolism strategy and its auxotrophy profile.

More diverse gut microbiomes are characterized by a higher auxotrophy frequency

To estimate the frequency of auxotrophies in the gut microbiome of individual persons, we quantified the relative abundance of gut bacterial genotypes from the HRGM catalog using stool metagenomes of 185 healthy adults. As mentioned above, we found a negative correlation between the number of auxotrophies and genome completeness levels (Supplementary Fig. S1). To validate that higher genome completeness levels do not affect the general pattern in the auxotrophy distribution of individual microbiomes, we determined auxotrophy frequencies with different cutoff values for completeness (80–95%) of the reference genomes used for quantification. Overall, the distribution of auxotrophy frequencies remained robust to increasing genome completeness levels (Supplementary Fig. S6). Therefore, we decided to keep the 85% completeness level described above.

Auxotrophies for amino acids that are essential to the human organism were more frequent than non-essential amino acids (Fig. 4A). The highest percentage of bacteria were auxotrophic for tryptophan, followed by isoleucine and histidine (median: 54%, 28.7%, 28%, respectively). Auxotrophies for leucine, methionine, phenylalanine, arginine, and valine were found with a median frequency of >20% (Fig. 4A). The lowest frequencies were detected for serine, lysine, asparagine, aspartate, alanine, and glutamate auxotrophies.

Additionally, we were interested in the relationship between the proportion of auxotrophic bacteria in the human gut and the overall microbiome diversity calculated as the Shannon index (Fig. 4B, C). Overall, increasing frequencies of almost all amino acid auxotrophies are accompanied by increasing microbiome diversity (Spearman correlation, Fig. 4B). Further, we correlated the Shannon index with the abundance-weighted average of the number of auxotrophies per metagenome sample, which takes the relative abundance of each genome and its total number of auxotrophies, an increase in the diversity was observed (Fig. 4C, $\rho = 0.27$, p = 0.00018). This result



Fig. 2 Abundances of auxotrophies in 3687 genomes. The predicted amino acid auxotrophies in HRGM genomes were categorized into human essential and non-essential amino acids.

2372



Fig. 3 Associations of auxotrophies and fermentation products. A Comparison of fermentation product production rates in auxotrophic and prototrophic bacteria. Production rates of fermentation by-products were predicted with flux-balance analysis (cutoff-value >1 mmol/gDW) in 3 687 HRGM genomes. The association with the auxotrophic or prototrophic phenotype was statistically evaluated with the Fisher test for exact count data by calculating odds ratios. Asterisks denote FDR-corrected *p* values < 0.05. **B** Interconnection between the pathways of formation of fermentation products and amino acids, based on MetaCyc pathways [63]. We note that not all the displayed reactions/pathways occur in every gut bacterial genotype. The metabolic network shown displays pathways commonly found in human gut metagenomes and linked to amino acid biosynthetic pathways.

may point towards a positive influence of auxotrophic bacteria on the microbial diversity in the gut, presumably via a higher degree of amino acid cross-feeding interactions between genotypes that are auxotrophic for different amino acids. To test this, we calculated the pairwise dissimilarity (Hamming distance) between the binary auxotrophy profiles of genomes and the means of those differences per metagenome sample as an indicator for potential cross-feeding in the respective gut microbial community. An increasing average Hamming distance was positively associated with increased gut diversity (Fig. 4D, $\rho = 0.32$, p = 0.00001). Overall, a higher number of auxotrophies in the gut community is positively correlated with a higher diversity.

2374



Fig. 4 Distribution of auxotrophies in human gut microbiomes from 185 healthy adults, their association with diversity, and serum metabolite levels. A Boxplots display the abundance of amino acid auxotrophies in the human gut microbiome (n = 185 samples). B Partial Spearman correlation between the frequency of auxotrophic gut bacteria and serum levels of health markers and microbiome Shannon index. Dots indicate significant associations (FDR-corrected p values < 0.05, adjusted for the potential confounders age, sex, and BMI). C The abundance-weighted average of auxotrophies was calculated and correlated with the Shannon index (Spearman correlation, p = 0.27, p = 0.00018). D The average hamming distance was calculated to study the metabolic dissimilarity of auxotrophy profiles of coexisting genotypes and, therefore, potential cross-feeding interactions within the microbial communities. With the Spearman correlation, the association between the calculated average hamming distance and the Shannon index in the gut was estimated (p = 0.32, p = 0.00001). E Partial Spearman correlations between the serum levels of metabolites and the frequency of auxotrophic bacteria in the gut microbiome. Abbreviations for the serum metabolite levels can be found in Supplementary Table S5. Dots indicate significant associations (FDR-corrected p values < 0.05, adjusted for confounders age, sex, and BMI).

Associations of gut bacterial auxotrophies for amino acids with host health markers and the serum metabolome

The involvement of microbial metabolism in host health has been examined in several other studies [30, 31] but not yet for the

frequency of gut microbial amino acid auxotrophies. Our results showed that the summed relative abundance of bacteria that are auxotrophic for certain amino acids are inversely associated with the stool donor's BMI (Fig. 4B, partial Spearman correlation). No statistically significant associations with blood cell counts were found (Fig. 4B). Additionally, we correlated targeted metabolomics data from serum samples with the aggregate frequencies of bacteria auxotrophic for specific amino acids (Fig. 4E, partial Spearman correlation). Positive correlations were found between the tryptophan-derived 3-indoleacetic acid (3-IAA) as well as 3-indolepropionic acid (3-IPA) and tryptophan auxotrophic gut bacteria. Additionally, several other amino acid auxotrophies showed positive correlations with these metabolites. The total frequencies of bacteria auxotrophic for specific amino acids showed a positive correlation with P-cresol sulfate. Further, several significant associations were detected with metabolites from bile acid metabolism. Negative correlations were observed for glycoursodeoxycholic acid (GUDCA), a conjugated secondary bile acid metabolite, and several amino acid auxotrophies. Further, negative correlations with the bile acid metabolite deoxycholic acid (DCA) were found for the aggregate frequencies of bacteria auxotrophic for tyrosine, threonine, and cysteine. Positive associations were also observed for hippuric acid and TMAO with several amino acid auxotrophies. No significant associations were found for serum levels of amino acids and amino acid-related compounds (Fig. 4E).

Taken together, the frequency of auxotrophic bacteria is related to serum levels of several metabolites. The gut microbial contribution to serum metabolite levels was predominantly found for metabolites previously reported to be of microbial origin (e.g., 3-IAA) or derived from gut microbially-produced compounds (e.g., TMAO).

Analysis of longitudinal microbial composition data suggests a positive influence of auxotrophies on gut microbiome stability

So far, our results suggest an involvement of auxotrophic bacteria on the gut microbial diversity. Based on this observation, we further wanted to analyze whether the frequency of auxotrophies also impacts the microbiome's long-term stability using data from two longitudinal studies. Therefore, we re-analyzed recently published metagenomic data from two human cohort studies [32, 33]. Troci et al. included two stool metagenomes from 79 healthy individuals each where stool samples were three years apart [32]. The longitudinal study of Chen et al. involved two stool metagenomes from 338 individuals with a time difference between samples of four years [33]. Microbiome stability over the time periods was assessed by calculating the UniFrac distance for the microbial composition between the two time points for each participant. Since the UniFrac distance ranges between 0 (lowest possible dissimilarity) and 1 (highest dissimilarity), we calculated the inverse values (1-UniFrac) as a microbiome stability measure. The abundance-weighted average of auxotrophies per genotype was positively correlated with microbiome stability in both cohorts (Fig. 5A, Spearman rank sum correlation test, Troci et al.: ρ = 0.31, p = 0.006, n = 79; Chen et al.: p = 0.14, p = 0.0094, n = 338). We also correlated individual amino acid auxotrophy frequencies with microbiome stability to understand the impact of individual amino acid auxotrophies on long-term stability. A statistically significant positive correlation was found in both cohorts for many amino acid auxotrophies, while no negative correlation was observed (Fig. 5C).

Long-term microbiome stability was also tested for a statistical association with the average Hamming distance with samples, which represents a measure of the dissimilarity between the auxotrophy profile of co-existing genotypes and a potential indicator for the degree of amino acid cross-feeding in the microbial community. A positive correlation was observed for the average Hamming distance with microbiome stability in both cohorts (Fig. 5B, Troci et al.: $\rho = 0.33$, p = 0.0033, n = 79; Chen et al.: $\rho = 0.21$, p = 0.00014, n = 338), suggesting a potential positive impact of amino acid cross-feeding among auxotrophy genotypes on the long-term stability of microbiome composition.

Auxotrophic bacteria have a high dependence on their nutritional environment. Here, we wanted to test if a higher dietary intake of amino acids affects the relative abundance of amino acid auxotrophic bacteria in the gut. Therefore, we used the dietary intake data obtained from food frequency questionnaires from Troci et al. [32]. For both study time points, the intake of amino acid auxotrophies in the microbiomes. No significant correlations between the frequency of auxotrophic bacteria and the dietary intake of amino acids were observed (Supplementary Fig. S7).

In sum, our findings hint at a potentially positive impact of auxotrophies on the stability of the gut microbiome. Further, the



Fig. 5 Influence of auxotrophies on long-term stability of the human gut microbiome. A The stability of the human gut microbiome was calculated as 1 minus the UniFrac distance between the two time points in the longitudinal studies and correlated with the abundance-weighted average of auxotrophies at the first time point to study a potential influence of auxotrophies on the long-term stability of the human gut microbiome. B The average Hamming distance was calculated for the first time point and then correlated with the 1-UniFrac value to investigate the influence of potential cross-feeding on long-term stability. C The contribution of individual amino acid auxotrophies on the stability was calculated with the Spearman correlation between the 1-UniFrac values and individual amino acid auxotrophy frequencies.

2376

data suggest that amino acid cross-feeding may contribute to the compositional stability of the gut microbiome. We found no evidence of diet's effect on auxotrophy frequencies.

DISCUSSION

Auxotrophies are widespread among microorganisms [11, 34]. The obligate nutritional requirements can have far-reaching consequences for the auxotrophic strains and the entire microbial community in the ecosystem [35]. Each auxotrophy for a specific essential nutrient (e.g., amino acids) increases the organism's dependence on the nutritional environment, coupling the organism's survival and proliferation to the availability of the specific compound [35]. Conversely, if the focal metabolite is available, auxotrophic genotypes might gain a selective advantage over prototrophic genotypes by saving metabolic costs [36]. In microbial communities, auxotrophies can affect the interactions between microorganisms and their hosts, where auxotrophs could act as recyclers of metabolites that other community members release as by-products of their metabolism [37]. In addition, organisms that are auxotrophic for different metabolites could engage in cooperative cross-feeding interactions [38-40]. Despite the ecological relevance of auxotrophies, their role in the human gut microbiome is largely unknown. More specifically, previous work showed that several human gut bacterial isolates are indeed amino acid auxotrophs using genome analysis and a comprehensive literature review of experimentally determined auxotrophies and prototrophies [28]. Still, the overall distribution and variation of auxotrophies in the human gut microbiome remain elusive. Here, we systematically analyzed the distribution of amino acid auxotrophies in the human gut microbiome using genome-scale metabolic modeling. Moreover, we statistically assessed the associations of inferred auxotrophy frequencies with overall microbiome diversity, long-term stability, and microbial contribution to the human metabolome.

Ubiquity of auxotrophies indicates a high prevalence of crossfeeding

Overall, high frequencies of auxotrophies were found in the human gut microbiome. For instance, we found that 54% (median) of organisms in the gut microbial communities of healthy adults are auxotrophic for tryptophan. The most frequent auxotrophies for amino acids in the human gut microbiome are also essential nutrients for the human host (Fig. 4A). While auxotrophies in human gut bacteria were reported before, the sources of amino acids for auxotrophic genotypes remain unknown. One potential source of amino acids might be dietary proteins [41]. However, most diet-derived protein is broken down in the upper gastrointestinal tract, and amino acids are absorbed by the human host, limiting protein and amino acid passage to the colon, where most of the gut microbiome resides [41]. While most dietary free amino acids do not reach the colon, some dietary proteins that escape digestion in the small intestine can provide a nutrient source for the auxotrophic colonic microbiome [42]. Our predictions are based on genomes from stool samples, which predominantly reflect the microbiome composition in the large intestine. Therefore, we argue that the high frequency of amino acid auxotrophies predicted for the colon microbiome in this study is unlikely to be explained by dietary sources of amino acids alone. Plus, we did not find any statistical associations between the dietary intake of amino acids of 79 adults and the frequency of auxotrophies in the microbiome (Supplementary Fig. S7), which further indicates that auxotrophic genotypes acquire their amino acids from other sources. Another study supports our conclusion, as varying dietary concentrations of essential nutrients did not alter the frequency of auxotrophy in the gut [43].

A second potential source of amino acids might be crossfeeding interactions between auxotrophic and prototrophic

genotypes. Cross-feeding between strains that are auxotrophic for different amino acids has been demonstrated in synthetic [40] and naturally occurring microbial communities [34]. Furthermore, a recent study showed that amino acids synthesized by the colonic microbiome stay in the gut and are not absorbed via the mucosa [42]. Cross-feeding as a potential source of amino acids for auxotrophic bacteria requires that prototrophic bacteria in the microbial community secrete the respective amino acids. In fact, amino acid biosynthesis and the release into their growth environment have been reported for several gut bacterial species, including members of the genus Bacteroides [44] and the species Bifidobacterium longum [45]. Thus, cross-feeding enables the growth of auxotrophic organisms even in environments where the focal nutrient is unavailable. Our results suggest a wide diversity of auxotrophic profiles between coexisting genotypes (Fig. 4D), indicating metabolic complementarity and amino acid cross-feeding in gut microbial communities.

Host-derived metabolites are the third potential source of amino acids for auxotrophic gut microbes. Yet, evidence reported in the scientific literature for gut microbial uptake of host-derived amino acids is scarce [42, 46]. An interesting case where an auxotrophic gut bacterium covers its demand for the focal amino acid might be *Akkermansia muciniphila*. Our predictions show that this bacterium is auxotrophic for threonine, which is in agreement with previous cultivation experiments [15]. *A. muciniphila* is a known degrader of host mucins and resides in the mucus layer. Besides glycans, mucin consists of a core protein scaffold rich in proline, threonine, and serine [47]. Thus, the threonine auxotrophy of *A. muciniphila* may indicate that this species also utilizes host-derived threonine.

Auxotrophies might promote ecological diversity and microbiome stability

A major result of our study is the positive associations between auxotrophies and diversity of the human gut microbiome. Earlier studies that used theoretical approaches suggested that auxotrophies can increase and maintain diversity in microbial communities by creating niches for different organisms to occupy through metabolite cross-feeding [25, 37]. Thus, we conclude that in communities with more auxotrophic members, more crossfeeding may take place, which could promote diversity. Our results support this theory since we observed a positive association between microbiome diversity and auxotrophic profile differences among coexisting genotypes.

Microbe-microbe interactions via metabolite exchanges may also promote microbiome stability [48]. Here, we tested if having more auxotrophies as an indicator for metabolite cross-feeding in the gut microbiome is linked to greater stability in healthy adults over three to four years. Indeed, our findings from two independent cohorts indicate that microbiomes with a higher average frequency of auxotrophies at the beginning of the study period remained more stable throughout the duration of the studies (Fig. 5). The association of auxotrophies with microbiome stability was even more pronounced when considering the dissimilarity of auxotrophy profiles of coexisting genotypes as a proxy for amino acid cross-feeding. This result is in line with a recent theoretical study, which demonstrates that cross-feeding between auxotrophs can facilitate that the community structure returns to equilibrium after ecological perturbance [26]. Moreover, it was previously reported that B-vitamin auxotrophies in the human microbiome are prevalent and suggest that cross-feeding B-vitamins between prototrophic and auxotrophic genotypes contributes to gut bacterial population dynamics [43]. The authors also base their conclusion on experimental results, where gnotobiotic mice were colonized by a human fecal microbial community. In these experiments, varying dietary B vitamin intake in mice did not result in appreciable changes in gut microbial community structure, including the proportion of B

| Age (years) | BMI | Female (%) | Study participants |
|-------------------|--|---|--|
| 47 [40–52] | 24.5 [22.2-26.4] | 44.9 | 185 |
| 53 [45.75-57.25]* | 25.7 [23.5 -27.5]* | 37.5* | 79 |
| 47.5 [40–56] | - | 55.6 | 338 |
| | Age (years) 47 [40–52] 53 [45.75-57.25]* 47.5 [40–56] | Age (years) BMI 47 [40-52] 24.5 [22.2-26.4] 53 [45.75-57.25]* 25.7 [23.5 -27.5]* 47.5 [40-56] - | Age (years) BMI Female (%) 47 [40-52] 24.5 [22.2-26.4] 44.9 53 [45.75-57.25]* 25.7 [23.5 -27.5]* 37.5* 47.5 [40-56] - 55.6 |

| Table 1. Cohort cha | aracteristics. |
|---------------------|----------------|
|---------------------|----------------|

*Missing values/information: 7.

vitamin-auxotrophic subpopulations, which further suggests cross-feeding as a source of essential nutrients for auxotrophic bacteria in the gut environment and supports our hypothesis that higher auxotrophy frequencies contribute to microbiome stability (Fig 5AB).

Since a reduction in gut microbiome diversity has been reported for several chronic diseases [49-51], our results and the methodology to predict auxotrophy frequencies may guide the development of novel personalized treatment strategies by targeting ecological interactions between coexisting gut microorganisms. For instance, oral administration of microencapsulated amino acids with delayed content release could be used to specifically promote the growth of beneficial subpopulations of the large intestine microbial community, which are auxotrophic for the focal compound [52].

There is an ongoing debate about how different types of cell interactions (i.e., cooperation and competition) contribute to the stability of multi-species communities [20, 26, 53-55]. We want to emphasize that we do not claim that cooperative interactions are stronger than competitive interactions in stabilizing microbiomes, also because we focused in this study on one type of interaction (amino acid cross-feeding) and not on the prevalence of other kinds of interactions or the exchange of other metabolites. Instead, we argue that our results provide evidence that auxotrophies and potential amino acid cross-feeding contribute to maintaining microbiome composition.

Auxotrophy associations with the human metabolome

Pathways of amino acid biosynthesis and fermentation byproduct biosynthesis share common precursors. Therefore, the loss of biosynthetic genes for amino acids might affect the flux distribution in the metabolic network [36]. Fermentation byproducts such as the organic acids butyrate, acetate, and propionate have implications for human physiology [1]. Hence, we wanted to investigate whether specific amino acid auxotrophies are associated with the profile of fermentation products released by gut bacteria. Comparison of the fermentation byproduct profile of auxotrophic and prototrophic bacteria revealed statistically significant associations (Fig. 3A), which may be due to the structure of the metabolic network. For example, BCAA auxotrophic bacteria are more likely to be lactate producers, which might be attributed to the fact that the common precursor of BCAA synthesis and lactate synthesis, pyruvate, is no longer used for BCAA synthesis in BCAA auxotrophic bacteria but can be used for lactate formation. The altered fermentation profile in auxotrophic bacteria may, therefore, indicate the importance of the nutritional requirements of gut bacteria for the microbiome's contribution to the human metabolome.

Indeed, when we tested for associations of the relative abundance of amino acid auxotrophs with compounds of the human metabolome, we found several significant correlations (Fig. 4E). In particular, the frequencies of several auxotrophies were correlated with phenylic and indolic metabolites, namely hippuric acid, p-cresol sulfate, 3-indole acetic acid (IAA), and 3-indole propionic acid (IPA). These compounds were previously reported to be of microbial origin or are derived from gut microbially-produced metabolites [56]. For instance, hippuric acid and p-cresol sulfate levels were reported to strongly correlate with the microbiome alpha diversity in a large human cohort study [57]. P-cresol is known to be produced by gut bacteria that metabolize tyrosine [58], and we found an association with tyrosine auxotrophic gut bacteria. Moreover, the tryptophan-derived IAA is a known agonist of the epithelial human aryl hydrocarbon receptor, an important regulator of intestinal immunity [59]. In summary, our results suggest that the contribution of phenylic and indolic compounds to the human metabolome is linked to metabolic processes performed by amino acid auxotrophic gut bacteria

Limitations

The method of our study is subject to certain limitations. In our study, auxotrophies were predicted with reconstructed genomescale metabolic models. Discrepancies between metabolic modeling-based predictions and results from vitro assessments have been reported and discussed previously [13, 29, 60]. Thus, it is crucial to validate in silico prediction with in vitro results of auxotrophies. Here, we compared our in silico results with in vitro results for 36 gut bacterial strains and found a sensitivity of 75% for auxotrophy predictions with gapseq- reconstructed genome-scale metabolic models. In addition, we performed auxotrophy prediction for 124 genomes from bacterial strains that are not human gut bacteria but known from cultivation experiments to be prototrophic for all 20 proteinogenic amino acids. This test showed that 99.1% of our prototrophy predictions are in line with the experimental data, suggesting that the high prevalence of predicted auxotrophies among the human gut bacterial genomes is not due to a potential technical bias in the in silico approach.

CONCLUSION

Our study demonstrates the prevalence and potential impact of auxotrophs in the human gut microbiome. Auxotrophies are common in the human gut microbiome, and interestingly, amino acids essential to the human host are also commonly essential for large fractions of the gut microbiome. Furthermore, human gut microbiomes with high frequencies of auxotrophies were characterized by higher alpha diversity and were more stable over time. Since gut microbial communities commonly display reduced diversity during chronic diseases, auxotrophy frequencies in the human gut microbiome could indicate a healthy gut microbiome. In addition, our results suggest that metabolite cross-feeding networks in gut bacterial communities may be an important factor for stability and maintaining diversity. From a more technical point of view, previous studies have suggested a cautious interpretation of in silico-predicted auxotrophies. Therefore, we validated our in silico results with experimentally determined auxotrophies reported in scientific literature. This validation indicated the high predictive performance of our method, which used automatic genome-scale metabolic network reconstruction without the need for manual curation of individual genotypes. Thus, the approach can also be applied to microbial communities other than the human gut microbiome.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Reconstruction of genome-scale metabolic models

Genome-scale metabolic models were reconstructed for bacterial genomes from the Human Reference Gut Microbiome (HRGM) genome collection [27, 61]. The HRGM collection combines isolate and metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) from several data sources to summarize genome sequences obtained from human fecal samples. Metabolic models were reconstructed using *gapseq* version 1.2 [62]. *gapseq* is a command-line tool for automated reconstructions of genome-scale metabolic networks, that utilizes pathway, reaction, and metabolite entries from the databases *MetaCyc* [63] and *ModelSEED* [64]. A detailed description of the genome-scale metabolic model reconstruction workflow can be found in the Supplementary Information and Supplementary Table S6.

Prediction of amino acid auxotrophies

Amino acid auxotrophies were predicted with flux balance analysis [65], where the objective function was set to the flux through the biomass formation reaction. In detail, each model was tested for its ability to form biomass under two different environmental conditions: First, with the growth medium predicted with *gapseq* (see Supplementary Information), and second, with the same medium but where the amino acid of interest was removed. An organism was defined as auxotrophic for a specific amino acid if the organism was able to form biomass in the original medium but not in the medium without the amino acid of interest. Flux balance analysis was performed in R (v4.1.2), the R package *sybil* v2.20 [66], and IBM ILOG CPLEX optimizer as linear programming solver. We validated our auxotrophy predictions for 150 organisms (36 from the human gut, 124 known prototrophs from different environments), for which experimental data for amino acid auxotrophies and prototrophies were available in scientific literature (see Supplementary Information for details).

When assessing the distribution of amino acid auxotrophies in sampled individual microbiomes, it is important to consider the relative abundance of different genotypes. To this end, we combined the estimated relative abundances of reference genomes (see "Metagenome data processing") and predicted auxotrophies in the corresponding genomes to calculate the relative auxotrophy abundance $y_{j,k}$ of amino acid k in sample j using the equation:

$$y_{j,k} = \sum_{i \in M} p_{i,j} b_{i,k}$$

Where *M* is the set of all reference genomes, p_{ij} the relative abundance of genome *i* in sample *j*, and $b_{i,k}$ the auxotrophy prediction with "1" if genotype *i* is auxotrophic for amino acid *k* and "0" otherwise.

Prediction of metabolic by-products

For comparison of auxotrophic to prototrophic bacteria, the production rates of fermentation by-product formation were predicted. We undertook this analysis based on the demonstrated accuracy of gapseq in predicting fermentation products of anaerobically cultured gut bacteria [62]. Given the potential correlation between auxotrophies and the generation of metabolic by-products, investigating auxotrophy distributions could offer new insights into gut microbial metabolism and ecology. Metabolic by-products were predicted with flux-balance-analysis [65] using the flux through the biomass reaction as objective function (i.e., maximization) and subsequently analyzing the fluxes through exchange reactions. Metabolite production rates (mmol*gDW¹⁺hr⁻¹) were normalized by growth rates (hr⁻¹), resulting in the unit mmol/gDW. Production rates >1 mmol/gDW were considered as microbial production. The production of the two enantiomers, D- and L-lactate, were combined since their production rates were interchangeable in the FBA solution.

Cohorts

Data from three human population cohorts were analyzed for the present study (Table 1). The first cohort comprised paired stool metagenomes and serum metabolomes from 185 participants. This cohort was recruited at the University Hospital Schleswig Holstein, Campus Kiel 2016, and included detailed phenotypic and health-related data. The study was approved by the local ethics committee in Kiel (D441). None of the participants had received antibiotics or other medication two months before inclusion.

The second cohort (Troci et al., 2022) comprised longitudinal stool metagenomes from 79 study participants. Data from this cohort were already part of a previous study [32], which were reanalyzed in the present study. For each participant from this cohort, two metagenomes were

sequenced from stool samples that were three years apart. In addition, for each sampling time point, data from food frequency questionnaires were available. In brief, the questionnaire, originally designed and validated for use in the German EPIC study [67], comprised 112 food items and aimed to collect the intake frequency and amount of various types of foods. The average energy intake and other nutrients per day were calculated with data from the German Food Code and Nutrient Data Base (BLS version II.3 [66]). Further information about the sampling method, study design, and sequencing method of this cohort study can be found in the original publication [32].

The third cohort integrates fecal metagenomes from the 2021 publication by Chen et al., involving 338 Dutch study participants [33]. Like the second cohort, the Chen et al. cohort is designed longitudinally, incorporating two fecal metagenomic samples per participant over a four-year interval.

Metagenome sequencing

DNA of stool samples was extracted using the QIAamp DNA fast stool mini kit automated on the QIAcube (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) with a prior beadbeating step as described earlier [68]. DNA extracts were used for metagenomic library preparation as described previously [32] using Illumina Nextera DNA Library Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA) and sequenced with 2×150 bp paired-end reads on a NovaSeq platform (Illumina).

Metagenome data processing

Metagenomic reads were quality filtered using the 'qc' workflow from the metagenome-atlas pipeline tool v2.9.0 [69] with default parametrization if not stated otherwise in the Supplementary Information. Quality-controlled (QC) reads were used to estimate the relative abundance of genomes from the HRGM catalog [27] using coverM v0.6.1 [70]. Across all three analyzed metagenome data sets, a median of 76% QC reads mapped to HRGM reference genomes (Supplementary Fig. S8).

Targeted metabolomics of blood samples

Metabolite quantification for serum was performed by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS-MS) using the MxP Quant 500 kit (Biocrates Life Sciences AG, Innsbruck, Austria) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Please refer to the Supplementary Information document for blood sample preparation and metabolite quantification details.

Statistical data analysis

All data analysis steps and statistical tests were performed using R (v4.1.2). Flow charts (Fig. 1. and 3A) were created and rendered using Flowchart Designer 3. p values were corrected for multiple testing using the Benjamini and Hochberg method [71]. In all statistical tests, an adjusted p value of <0.05 was considered as significance threshold. UniFrac distances [72] were calculated using relative abundances of genomes using the R-package abdiv, v0.2.0 [73].

Alpha diversity was calculated using the Shannon index as implemented in the R-package vegan v2.6-2 [74]. The average pairwise Hamming distance between auxotrophic profiles of co-occurring genomes was calculated per sample to study the effect of metabolic dissimilarity on diversity. In other words, the Hamming distance is the number of amino acids for which the two genotypes had different auxotrophy predictions. In addition to the Hamming distance, we also calculated the abundance-weighted average of auxotrophies per genome y_i for each sample *j* using the equation:

 $y_j = \sum_{i \in M} a_i p_{ij}$

Where *M* is the set of all genomes, a_i the number of auxotrophies in genome *i*, and p_{ij} the relative abundance of genome *i* in sample *j*.

For the longitudinal cohorts, the UniFrac distance was correlated with the abundance-weighted average of auxotrophies per genome at the first time point using the Spearman correlation. Further, the Spearman correlation was used to determine the association between the UniFrac distance and the Hamming distance. With food frequency questionnaires, the total dietary intake of amino acids per day was summed up for every individual, and the energy percentage was then calculated based on the total energy intake per day. The Spearman correlation was used to study an association between the total dietary intake of amino acids relative to the total consumed energy (E%) and the frequency of amino acid auxotrophic bacteria. The correlation

between the intake of amino acids and frequencies of amino acid auxotrophic bacteria was studied separately for both time points.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The reconstructed genome-scale metabolic models from the HRGM catalog are available via Zenodo [75]. Further, metabolic model reconstructions for 124 prototrophic genotypes and 36 gut bacterial genotypes with amino acid auxotrophy/prototrophy status known from laboratory experiments are available via Zenodo [76, 77]. Metagenome sequencing data are provided via the European Nucleotide Archive 'ENA' for our study cohort and the cohort from Troci et al. (this study accession: PRJEB0573, Troci et al.: PRJEB48605). Metagenome sequencing data from Chen et al. 2021 [33] are available upon request via the European Genome Phenome Archive (accession: EGAD00001006959).

CODE AVAILABILITY

The code for analysis of the data can be found in the GitHub repositories https:// github.com/SvBusche/Auxo_manuscript_2023 (main results) and https://github.com/ Waschina/AGORA2_auxotrophies (for auxotrophy predictions from AGORA2 metabolic models).

REFERENCES

- 1. Parada Venegas D, De la Fuente MK, Landskron G, González MJ, Quera R, Dijkstra G, et al. Short chain fatty acids (SCFAs)-mediated gut epithelial and immune regulation and its relevance for inflammatory bowel diseases. Front Immunol. 2019;10:277.
- Neis E, Dejong C, Rensen S. The role of microbial amino acid metabolism in host metabolism. Nutrients 2015;7:2930–46.
- Roager HM, Licht TR. Microbial tryptophan catabolites in health and disease. Nat Commun. 2018;9:3294.
- Louis P, Hold GL, Flint HJ. The gut microbiota, bacterial metabolites and colorectal cancer. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2014;12:661–72.
- Hinrichsen F, Hamm J, Westermann M, Schröder L, Shima K, Mishra N, et al. Microbial regulation of hexokinase 2 links mitochondrial metabolism and cell death in colitis. Cell Metab. 2021;33:2355–2366.e8.
- Dodd D, Spitzer MH, Van Treuren W, Merrill BD, Hryckowian AJ, Higginbottom SK, et al. A gut bacterial pathway metabolizes aromatic amino acids into nine circulating metabolites. Nature 2017;551:648–52.
- Tintelnot J, Xu Y, Lesker TR, Schönlein M, Konczalla L, Giannou AD, et al. Microbiota-derived 3-IAA influences chemotherapy efficacy in pancreatic cancer. Nature 2023;615:168–74.
- Nikolaus S, Schulte B, Al-Massad N, Thieme F, Schulte DM, Bethge J, et al. Increased tryptophan metabolism is associated with activity of inflammatory bowel diseases. Gastroenterology 2017;153:1504–.e2.
- Effenberger M, Reider S, Waschina S, Bronowski C, Enrich B, Adolph TE, et al. Microbial butyrate synthesis indicates therapeutic efficacy of azathioprine in IBD patients. J Crohns Colitis. 2021;15:88–98.
- Pryor R, Norvaisas P, Marinos G, Best L, Thingholm LB, Quintaneiro LM, et al. Hostmicrobe-drug-nutrient screen identifies bacterial effectors of metformin therapy. Cell 2019;178:1299–.e29.
- D'Souza G, Waschina S, Pande S, Bohl K, Kaleta C, Kost C. Less is more: selective advantages can explain the prevalent loss of biosynthetic genes in bacteria: adaptive loss of biosynthetic genes in bacteria. Evolution 2014;68:2559–70.
- Heinken A, Khan MT, Paglia G, Rodionov DA, Harmsen HJM, Thiele I. Functional metabolic map of Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, a beneficial human gut microbe. J Bacteriol. 2014;196:3289–302.
- Soto-Martin EC, Warnke I, Farquharson FM, Christodoulou M, Horgan G, Derrien M, et al. Vitamin biosynthesis by human gut butyrate-producing bacteria and cross-feeding in synthetic microbial communities. mBio. 2020;11:e00886–20.
- 14. Xavier JC, Patil KR, Rocha I. Integration of biomass formulations of genome-scale metabolic models with experimental data reveals universally essential cofactors in prokaryotes. Metab Eng. 2017;39:200–8.
- van der Ark KCH, Aalvink S, Suarez-Diez M, Schaap PJ, de Vos WM, Belzer C. Model-driven design of a minimal medium for *Akkermansia muciniphila* confirms mucus adaptation. Micro Biotechnol. 2018;11:476–85.
- Tramontano M, Andrejev S, Pruteanu M, Klünemann M, Kuhn M, Galardini M, et al. Nutritional preferences of human gut bacteria reveal their metabolic idiosyncrasies. Nat Microbiol. 2018;3:514–22.
- Kiela PR, Ghishan FK. Physiology of intestinal absorption and secretion. Best Pr Res Clin Gastroenterol. 2016;30:145–59.

- Dethlefsen L, McFall-Ngai M, Relman DA. An ecological and evolutionary perspective on human-microbe mutualism and disease. Nature. 2007;449:811–8.
- Weiss AS, Burrichter AG, Durai Raj AC, von Strempel A, Meng C, Kleigrewe K, et al. In vitro interaction network of a synthetic gut bacterial community. ISME J. 2021;16:1095–109.
- Van Vliet S, Hauert C, Fridberg K, Ackermann M, Dal Co A. Global dynamics of microbial communities emerge from local interaction rules. Grilli J, editor. PLoS Comput Biol. 2022;18:e1009877.
- 21. Morris BEL, Henneberger R, Huber H, Moissl-Eichinger C. Microbial syntrophy: interaction for the common good. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2013;37:384–406.
- Rivière A, Gagnon M, Weckx S, Roy L. Mutual cross-feeding interactions between Bifidobacterium longum subsp. longum NCC2705 and Eubacterium rectale ATCC 33656 explain the bifidogenic and butyrogenic effects of arabinoxylan oligosaccharides. Schloss PD, editor. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2015;81:7767–81.
- Shetty SA, Kostopoulos I, Geerlings SY, Smidt H, de Vos WM, Belzer C. Dynamic metabolic interactions and trophic roles of human gut microbes identified using a minimal microbiome exhibiting ecological properties. ISME J. 2022;16:2144–59.
- Giri S, Oña L, Waschina S, Shitut S, Yousif G, Kaleta C, et al. Metabolic dissimilarity determines the establishment of cross-feeding interactions in bacteria. Curr Biol. 2021;31:5547–5557.e6.
- Oña L, Giri S, Avermann N, Kreienbaum M, Thormann KM, Kost C. Obligate crossfeeding expands the metabolic niche of bacteria. Nat Ecol Evol. 2021;5:1224–32.
- Oña L, Kost C. Cooperation increases robustness to ecological disturbance in microbial cross-feeding networks. Ecol Lett. 2022;25:1410–20.
- Kim CY, Lee M, Yang S, Kim K, Yong D, Kim HR, et al. Human reference gut microbiome catalog including newly assembled genomes from underrepresented Asian metagenomes. Genome Med. 2021;13:134.
- Ashniev GA, Petrov SN, lablokov SN, Rodionov DA. Genomics-based reconstruction and predictive profiling of amino acid biosynthesis in the human gut microbiome. Microorganisms 2022;10:740.
- 29. Price M. Erroneous predictions of auxotrophies by CarveMe. Nat Ecol Evol. 2023;7:194–5.
- Liu R, Hong J, Xu X, Feng Q, Zhang D, Gu Y, et al. Gut microbiome and serum metabolome alterations in obesity and after weight-loss intervention. Nat Med. 2017;23:859–68.
- Davenport M, Poles J, Leung JM, Wolff MJ, Abidi WM, Ullman T, et al. Metabolic alterations to the mucosal microbiota in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2014;20:723–31.
- Troci A, Rausch P, Waschina S, Lieb W, Franke A, Bang C. Long-term dietary effects on human gut microbiota composition employing shotgun metagenomics data analysis. Mol Nutr Food Res. 2022;2101098. https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.202101098.
- Chen L, Wang D, Garmaeva S, Kurilshikov A, Vich Vila A, Gacesa R, et al. The longterm genetic stability and individual specificity of the human gut microbiome. Cell 2021;184:2302–2315.e12.
- Henriques SF, Dhakan DB, Serra L, Francisco AP, Carvalho-Santos Z, Baltazar C, et al. Metabolic cross-feeding in imbalanced diets allows gut microbes to improve reproduction and alter host behaviour. Nat Commun. 2020;11:4236.
- D'Souza G, Shitut S, Preussger D, Yousif G, Waschina S, Kost C. Ecology and evolution of metabolic cross-feeding interactions in bacteria. Nat Prod Rep. 2018;35:455–88.
- Waschina S, D'Souza G, Kost C, Kaleta C. Metabolic network architecture and carbon source determine metabolite production costs. FEBS J. 2016;283:2149–63.
- Morris JJ, Lenski RE, Zinser ER. The black queen hypothesis: evolution of dependencies through adaptive gene loss. mBio 2012;3:e00036–12.
- Garcia SL, Buck M, McMahon KD, Grossart HP, Eiler A, Warnecke F. Auxotrophy and intrapopulation complementary in the 'interactome' of a cultivated freshwater model community. Mol Ecol. 2015;24:4449–59.
- Pande S, Merker H, Bohl K, Reichelt M, Schuster S, de Figueiredo LF, et al. Fitness and stability of obligate cross-feeding interactions that emerge upon gene loss in bacteria. ISME J. 2014;8:953–62.
- Pande S, Shitut S, Freund L, Westermann M, Bertels F, Colesie C, et al. Metabolic crossfeeding via intercellular nanotubes among bacteria. Nat Commun. 2015;6:6238.
- van der Wielen N, Moughan PJ, Mensink M. Amino acid absorption in the large intestine of humans and porcine models. J Nutr. 2017;147:1493–8.
- Zeng X, Xing X, Gupta M, Keber FC, Lopez JG, Lee YCJ, et al. Gut bacterial nutrient preferences quantified in vivo. Cell 2022;185:3441–3456.e19.
- Sharma V, Rodionov DA, Leyn SA, Tran D, lablokov SN, Ding H, et al. B-vitamin sharing promotes stability of gut microbial communities. Front Microbiol. 2019;10:1485.
- Han S, Van Treuren W, Fischer CR, Merrill BD, DeFelice BC, Sanchez JM, et al. A metabolomics pipeline for the mechanistic interrogation of the gut microbiome. Nature 2021;595:415–20.
- Sánchez B, Champomier-Vergès MC, Collado M, del C, Anglade P, Baraige F, et al. Low-pH adaptation and the acid tolerance response of Bifidobacterium longum biotype longum. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2007;73:6450–9.

- Macfarlane GT, Cummings JH, Macfarlane S, Gibson GR. Influence of retention time on degradation of pancreatic enzymes by human colonic bacteria grown in a 3-stage continuous culture system. J Appl Bacteriol. 1989;67:521–7.
- 47. Bansil R, Turner BS. The biology of mucus: composition, synthesis and organization. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2018;124:3–15.
- Fassarella M, Blaak EE, Penders J, Nauta A, Smidt H, Zoetendal EG. Gut microbiome stability and resilience: elucidating the response to perturbations in order to modulate gut health. Gut 2021;70:595–605.
- 49. Scher JU, Ubeda C, Artacho A, Attur M, Isaac S, Reddy SM, et al. Decreased bacterial diversity characterizes the altered gut microbiota in patients with Psoriatic Arthritis, resembling dysbiosis in Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Gut Microbiota in PsA. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2015;67:128–39.
- 50. Aden K, Rehman A, Waschina S, Pan WH, Walker A, Lucio M, et al. Metabolic functions of gut microbes associate with efficacy of tumor necrosis factor antagonists in patients with inflammatory bowel diseases. Gastroenterology 2019;157:1279–1292.e11.
- Lepage P, Häsler R, Spehlmann ME, Rehman A, Zvirbliene A, Begun A, et al. Twin study indicates loss of interaction between microbiota and mucosa of patients with Ulcerative Colitis. Gastroenterology 2011;141:227–36.
- Fangmann D, Theismann EM, Türk K, Schulte DM, Relling I, Hartmann K, et al. Targeted microbiome intervention by microencapsulated delayed-release niacin beneficially affects insulin sensitivity in humans. Diabetes Care. 2018;41:398–405.
- Gonze D, Coyte KZ, Lahti L, Faust K. Microbial communities as dynamical systems. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2018;44:41–9.
- Coyte KZ, Schluter J, Foster KR. The ecology of the microbiome: Networks, competition, and stability. Science 2015;350:663–6.
- 55. Palmer JD, Foster KR. Bacterial species rarely work together. Science 2022;376:581-2.
- Russell WR, Duncan SH, Scobbie L, Duncan G, Cantlay L, Calder AG, et al. Major phenylpropanoid-derived metabolites in the human gut can arise from microbial fermentation of protein. Mol Nutr Food Res. 2013;57:523–35.
- 57. Brial F, Chilloux J, Nielsen T, Vieira-Silva S, Falony G, Andrikopoulos P, et al. Human and preclinical studies of the host-gut microbiome co-metabolite hippurate as a marker and mediator of metabolic health. Gut 2021;70:2105–14.
- Saito Y, Sato T, Nomoto K, Tsuji H. Identification of phenol- and p-cresolproducing intestinal bacteria by using media supplemented with tyrosine and its metabolites. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2018;94:fty125.
- Lamas B, Natividad JM, Sokol H. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor and intestinal immunity. Mucosal Immunol. 2018;11:1024–38.
- 60. Price MN, Deutschbauer AM, Arkin AP. GapMind: Automated annotation of amino acid biosynthesis. Hallam SJ, editor. mSystems. 2020;5:e00291-20.
- Almeida A, Nayfach S, Boland M, Strozzi F, Beracochea M, Shi ZJ, et al. A unified catalog of 204,938 reference genomes from the human gut microbiome. Nat Biotechnol. 2021;39:105–14.
- Zimmermann J, Kaleta C, Waschina S. gapseq: informed prediction of bacterial metabolic pathways and reconstruction of accurate metabolic models. Genome Biol. 2021;22:81.
- 63. Caspi R, Billington R, Fulcher CA, Keseler IM, Kothari A, Krummenacker M, et al. The MetaCyc database of metabolic pathways and enzymes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46:D633–9.
- Henry CS, DeJongh M, Best AA, Frybarger PM, Linsay B, Stevens RL. Highthroughput generation, optimization and analysis of genome-scale metabolic models. Nat Biotechnol. 2010;28:977–82.
- 65. Orth JD, Thiele I, Palsson BØ. What is flux balance analysis? Nat Biotechnol. 2010 ;28:245-8.
- Gelius-Dietrich G, Desouki AA, Fritzemeier CJ, Lercher MJ. sybil Efficient constraint-based modelling in R. BMC Syst Biol. 2013;7:125.
- Boeing H, Wahrendorf J, Becker N. EPIC-Germany A source for studies into diet and risk of chronic diseases. Ann Nutr Metab. 1999;43:195–204.
- Thingholm LB, Bang C, Rühlemann MC, Starke A, Sicks F, Kaspari V, et al. Ecology impacts the decrease of Spirochaetes and Prevotella in the fecal gut microbiota of urban humans. BMC Microbiol. 2021;21:276.
- 69. Kieser S, Brown J, Zdobnov EM, Trajkovski M, McCue LA. ATLAS: a Snakemake workflow for assembly, annotation, and genomic binning of metagenome sequence data. BMC Bioinforma. 2020;21:257.
- Woodcroft BJ. CoverM [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 Aug 20]. Available from: https:// github.com/wwood/CoverM.
- Benjamini Y, Krieger AM, Yekutieli D. Adaptive linear step-up procedures that control the false discovery rate. Biometrika 2006;93:491–507.
- Lozupone C, Lladser ME, Knights D, Stombaugh J, Knight R. UniFrac: an effective distance metric for microbial community comparison. ISME J. 2011;5:169–72.
- Bittinger K. abdiv: Alpha and beta diversity measures [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2023 Aug 21]. Available from: https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/abdiv/ index.html.
- 74. Jari Oksanen, F. Guillaume Blanchet, Roeland Kindt, Pierre Leg- endre, Peter R. Minchin, et al. vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 26-2 [Internet]. 2022; Available from: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan.

- 75. Waschina S, Zimmermann J, Kaleta C. gapseq reconstructions for 5414 genomes from the HRGM collection [Internet]. *Zenodo*; 2023 [cited 2023 Aug 25]. Available from: https://zenodo.org/record/8283247.
- Busche, Svenja, Waschina, Silvio. gapseq reconstructions for 124 prototrophic genotypes [Internet]. Zenodo; 2023 [cited 2023 May 10]. Available from: https:// zenodo.org/record/7919571.
- 77. Starke S, Waschina S. gapseq reconstruction for 36 human gut bacterial strains with known amino acid auxotrophy status [Internet]. *Zenodo*; 2023 [cited 2023 Aug 21]. Available from: https://zenodo.org/record/8269533.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the participants and the staff of Lifelines-DEEP for their collaboration. Funding for the Lifelines-DEEP project was provided by the Top Institute Food and Nutrition Wageningen grant GH001 and the sequencing was carried out in collaboration with the Broad Institute. We want to thank the staff of the IKMB (*Institute für Klinische Molekularbiologie*) microbiome laboratory and the IKMB sequencing facilities for their excellent technical support.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: SW. Methodology: SvSt, SvS, SW. Software: SvSt, DH, SW, JZ. Validation: DH, SW, JZ. Formal analysis: SvSt, DH, MO. Investigation: SvSt. Resources: SvS, DF, NF, AF, KA, SW. Data curation: CB, MO, DF. Writing—Original Draft: SvSt, SW. Writing—Review & Editing: SvSt, DH, SW. Visualization: SvSt, SW. Project administration: KA, SW. Funding acquisition: AF, NF, PR, StS, KA, SW.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the DFG Cluster of Excellence "Precision medicine in chronic inflammation (PMI)" RTF V and TI-1 (KA, SW, PR), the PMI Miniproposal funding (SW), the EKFS (Clinician Scientist Professorship, KA), the BMBF (eMED Juniorverbund "Try-IBD" 01ZX1915A, KA), the DFG RU5042 (AF, KA, PR), DZHK (NF), and DFG RT 1289/ 17-1 (NF). This research was further supported through high-performance computing resources available at the Kiel University Computing Center, which received funding from the German Research Foundation (DFG project number 440395346).

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-023-01537-3.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Konrad Aden or Silvio Waschina.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023

2380