Haemodynamic effect of a 20% albumin fluid bolus in postcardiac surgery patients

Salvatore L Cutuli, Laurent Bitker, Eduardo A Osawa, Zachary O'Brien, Emmanuel Canet, Fumitaka Yanase, Paolo Ancona, Anthony Wilson, Luca Lucchetta, Mark Kubicki, Maria Cronhjort, Luca Cioccari, Lea Peck, Helen Young, Glenn M Eastwood, Johan Mårtensson, Neil J Glassford and Rinaldo Bellomo

Fluid bolus therapy (FBT) is ubiquitous in the intensive care unit (ICU). It is typically given to treat haemodynamic instability.¹ This may be especially common in cardiac surgery patients,² where the aim is to expand intravascular volume in order to increase cardiac index (CI) and mean arterial pressure (MAP).³ However, much uncertainty remains in terms of the timing of FBT administration, the speed of delivery, the type and volume of fluid, the clinical indications and physiological targets,⁴ and the evaluation of response.^{5,6} In particular, only 50% of ICU patients with haemodynamic instability appear FBT responsive,⁷ and although crystalloids are frequently used in post-cardiac surgical patients,² previous studies reported dissipation of their cardiovascular effect within 10 minutes⁸ following the end of fluid infusion after surgery. Artificial colloids, such as starch and gelatine, may have a longer lasting effect on haemodynamics, but they have been associated with significant risks.9-12

On the other hand, after exclusion of patients with traumatic brain injury,¹³ human albumin solutions have repeatedly been shown to be safe and may achieve longer lasting haemodynamic effects.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ Furthermore, fluid resuscitation with hyperoncotic (20%) albumin solutions have been associated with decreased fluid requirements and less fluid accumulation in critically ill patients¹⁷ compared with iso-oncotic (4–5%) albumin.¹⁴ Such beneficial effect on fluid balance has been recently confirmed in cardiac surgery patients.¹⁸ However, no study has addressed the cardiovascular pharmacodynamics of a rapid 20% albumin FBT in cardiac surgery patients. Accordingly, we conducted a detailed assessment of the cardiovascular effect of a rapid 20% albumin bolus in a cohort of post-cardiac surgery patients. Our primary hypothesis was that, in such patients, a CI response would occur in most cases.

Methods

The study was approved by the Austin Health Human Research Ethics Committee (LNR/16/Austin/358). The need for informed consent was waived due to the observational nature of the study.

ABSTRACT

Objective: To study the cardiovascular effect over 30 minutes following the end of fluid bolus therapy (FBT) with 20% albumin in patients after cardiac surgery.

Design: Prospective observational study.

Setting: Intensive care unit of a tertiary university-affiliated hospital.

Participants: Twenty post-cardiac surgery mechanically ventilated patients with a clinical decision to administer FBT. **Intervention:** FBT with a 100 mL bolus of 20% albumin.

Main outcome measures: Cardiac index (CI) response was defined by $a \ge 15\%$ increase, while mean arterial pressure (MAP) response was defined by $a \ge 10\%$ increase.

Results: The most common indication for FBT was hypotension (40%). Median duration of infusion was 7 minutes (interquartile range [IQR], 3–9 min). At the end of FBT, five patients (25%) showed a CI response, which increased to almost half in the following 30 minutes and dissipated in one patient. MAP response occurred in 11 patients (55%) and dissipated in five patients (45%) by a median of 6 minutes (IQR, 6–10 min). CI and MAP responses coexisted in four patients (20%). An intrabolus MAP response occurred in 17 patients (85%) but dissipated in 11 patients (65%) within a median of 7 minutes (IQR, 2–11 min). On regression analysis, faster fluid bolus administration predicted MAP increase at the end of the bolus.

Conclusion: In post-cardiac surgery patients, CI response to 20% albumin FBT was not congruous with MAP response over 30 minutes. Although hypotension was the main indication for FBT and a MAP response occurred in most of patients, such response was maximal during the bolus, dissipated in a few minutes, and was dissociated from the CI response.

Crit Care Resusc 2020; 22 (1): 15-25

		Cl early re	sponse*		MAP ea	arly response⁺	
	Whole cohort (<i>n</i> = 20)	Cl non- responders (<i>n</i> = 15)	Cl responders (<i>n</i> = 5)	٩	MAP non- responders (<i>n</i> = 9)	MAP responders (<i>n</i> = 11)	ط
ge (years), median (IQR)	67 (64.2–75.8)	69 (60.5–78.5)	67 (66–67)	0.97	70 (66–79)	66 (60.5–71)	0.20
ender (female)	4 (20%)	2 (13%)	2 (40%)	0.25	2 (22%)	2 (18%)	> 0.99
ody surface area (m ²), median (IQR)	2 (1.8–2.1)	2 (1.7–2.2)	1.9 (1.9–2)	0.60	1.9 (1.7–2)	2.1 (1.9–2.2)	0.12
rpe of surgery				> 0.99			0.84
CABG	12 (60%)	(%09)6	3 (60%)		5 (56%)	7 (64%)	
Valves	5 (25%)	4 (27%)	1 (20%)		2 (22%)	3 (27%)	
CABG + valves	3 (15%)	2 (13%)	1 (20%)		2 (22%)	1 (9%)	
ost-bypass LV function				> 0.99			0.11
Normal	11 (55%)	8 (53%)	3 (60%)		7 (78%)	4 (36%)	
Dysfunction	6 (30%)	5 (33%)	1 (20%)		1 (11%)	5 (45%)	
sst-bypass RV function				> 0.99			> 0.99
Normal	16 (80%)	12 (80%)	4 (80%)		8 (89%)	8 (73%)	
Dysfunction	1 (5%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)		(%0) 0	1 (9%)	
Bypass duration (min), median (IQR)	114.5 (89.5–144.8)	116 (88–137.5)	113 (96–180)	0.63	113 (85–121)	116 (93.5–173.5)	0.32
eart rhythm				0.50			0.81
Paced	11 (55%)	7 (47%)	4 (80%)		5 (56%)	6 (55%)	
Sinus rhythm	8 (40%)	7 (47%)	1 (20%)		3 (33%)	5 (45%)	
Atrial fibrillation	1 (5%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)		1 (11%)	0 (0%)	
measuring technique				0.06			0.20
Discontinuous	12 (60%)	7 (47%)	5 (100%)		7 (78%)	5 (45%)	
Semi-continuous	8 (40%)	8 (53%)	0 (0%)		2 (22%)	6 (55%)	
echanical ventilator setting, median 2R)							
Tidal volume (mL/IBW)	7.9 (6.8–8.6)	8 (6.9–8.4)	7.5 (6.8–8.5)	< 0.99	8 (5.9–8.3)	7.8 (7.2–8.7)	0.41
Peak pressure (cmH ₂ O)	19 (16.5–21)	19 (17–21)	18 (15–21)	0.73	19 (17–21)	19 (16–20.5)	< 0.99
PEEP	5 (5–5)	5 (5–5)	5 (5–5)	06.0	5 (5–5)	5 (5–5)	> 0.99
Fio ₂	0.5 (0.3–0.6)	0.5 (0.3–0.6)	0.5 (0.3–0.5)	0.69	5 (5–5)	0.6 (0.4–0.8)	0.06
ochemistry findings, median (IQR)		(1 1 0 0) 1 1		210	11/0015		U U
raciale (minulizi)							

		Cl early r	esponse*		MAP ea	ırly response⁺	
	Whole cohort (<i>n</i> = 20)	Cl non- responders (<i>n</i> = 15)	Cl responders (<i>n</i> = 5)	٩	MAP non- responders (<i>n</i> = 9)	MAP responders (<i>n</i> = 11)	٩
Active external warming							
Use of external warming	8 (40%)	6 (40%)	2 (40%)	> 0.99	3 (33%)	5 (45%)	< 0.99
Haemodynamic support							
Vasopressors	7 (35%)	6 (40%)	1 (20%)	0.61	4 (44%)	3 (27%)	0.64
Inotropes		2 (13%)	0 (0%)	> 0.99	1 (11%)	1 (9%)	< 0.99
Number of fluid boluses received before study				0.45			> 0.99
0	18 (90%)	14 (93%)	4 (80%)		9 (100%)	9 (82%)	
	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)		(%) 0	1 (9%)	
≥ 2	1 (5%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)		(%) 0	1 (9%)	

ORIGINAL ARTICLES

Study design

This study was a prospective, single-centre, observational study performed in the ICU of a tertiary Australian university-affiliated hospital between July 2017 and May 2018. All patients aged 18 years or over, who were admitted to the ICU following on-pump cardiac surgery and were mechanically ventilated, were considered for inclusion in the study. Patients were included by the research team if the attending clinician decided to administer a 20% albumin FBT to treat haemodynamic instability in the first 12 hours of ICU admission and if there was a member of the research team available to observe the patient. Patients were excluded if they did not have invasive blood pressure and CI monitoring by pulmonary artery catheter (PAC), or if any intervention affecting haemodynamics (eg, change of sedatives, vasopressors, mechanical ventilation settings, patient's position) was performed immediately before or during the observational period.

Patients who were known to be pregnant or who required mechanical haemodynamic support (intraaortic balloon pump or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation) were also excluded.

Haemodynamic data

All patients were monitored using Philips Intelliview MP70 (Philips Healthcare, Best, Netherlands) bedside monitors, with continuous systemic arterial blood pressure monitoring from either a radial or brachial artery catheter. Central venous pressure (CVP), pulmonary artery pressures, and blood temperature were recorded from the PAC (Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, CA, USA), inserted via the internal jugular vein. The correct position of the PAC was confirmed on chest x-ray at ICU admission. Depending on the type of PAC, intermittent or semi-continuous measurements of CI (equal to cardiac output divided by body surface area) were recorded, using the thermodilution technique. When patients did not have a PAC capable of semi-continuous cardiac output monitoring, CI was measured at the following specific time points: before the fluid bolus, immediately after completion of the bolus, and then at 15 minutes and 30 minutes post-FBT.

Baseline haemodynamic parameters were recorded over a minimum of 3 minutes before commencement of FBT. Systolic arterial pressure (SAP), diastolic arterial pressure (DAP), MAP, CVP, systolic pulmonary

		CI early	response*		MAP early	response [†]	
	Whole cohort (n = 20)	CI non-re- sponders (n = 15)	Cl responders (n = 5)	P	MAP non- responders (n = 9)	MAP responders (n = 11)	Ρ
Fluid bolus characteristics							
Indication				0.29			0.87
Tachycardia	1 (5%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)		0 (0%)	1 (9%)	
Low CI	6 (30%)	3 (20%)	3 (60%)		2 (22%)	4 (36%)	
Low filling pressures	3 (15%)	3 (20%)	0 (0%)		2 (22%)	1 (9%)	
Hypotension	8 (40%)	7 (47%)	1 (20%)		4 (44%)	4 (36%)	
Other	2 (10%)	1 (7%)	1 (20%)		1 (11%)	1 (9%)	
Infusion duration (min), median (IQR)	7 (3–9)	7 (4–9)	3 (3–7)	0.23	8 (7–10)	4 (3–7)	0.05
CI response							
Early response	5 (25%)	0 (0%)	5 (100%)	< 0.01	na	na	na
At 15 min after bolus	1 (5%)	1 (7%)	na	na	na	na	na
At 30 min after bolus	8 (40%)	5 (33%)	3 (60%)	0.35	na	na	na
Dissipation of CI response [‡]							
Number of dissipators	1 (5%)	na	1 (20%)	na	na	na	na
Time to dissipation (min), median (IQR)	na	na	30 (30–30)	na	na	na	na
MAP response							
Early response	11 (55%)	na	na	na	0 (0%)	11 (100%)	< 0.01
At 15 min after bolus	7 (35%)	na	na	na	2 (22%)	5 (45%)	0.37
At 30 min after bolus	5 (25%)	na	na	na	1 (11%)	4 (36%)	0.32
Dissipation of MAP responses							
Number of dissipators	5 (25%)	na	na	na	na	5 (45%)	na
Time to dissipation (min), median (IQR)	na	na	na	na	na	6 (6–10)	na

 $CI = cardiac index; IQR = interquartile range; MAP = mean arterial pressure; na = not applicable. * Early CI response to fluid bolus therapy (FBT) was verified when CI increased by <math>\geq$ 15% above baseline immediately after the end of the FBT infusion. † Early MAP response to FBT was verified when MAP increased by \geq 10% above baseline immediately after the end of the FBT infusion. ‡ Dissipation of CI response to FBT was verified when CI was no greater than 5% above baseline for at least 2 consecutive minutes. § Dissipation of MAP response to FBT was verified when MAP was no greater than 3 mmHg above baseline for at least 2 consecutive minutes.

arterial pressure, diastolic pulmonary arterial pressure, and mean pulmonary arterial pressure measurements were referenced to the intersection of the anterior axillary line and the fifth intercostal space (phlebostatic level). We also collected further haemodynamic data, such as heart rate and peripheral oxygen saturation (Spo₂). Finally, mean systemic perfusion pressure (MSPP), as a determinant of systemic blood flow,¹⁹ was defined as equal to the difference between MAP and CVP. Pulse pressure was defined as equal to the difference between SAP and DAP. Stroke volume was defined as equal to the ratio between CI and heart rate, multiplied for body surface area. All haemodynamic data were exported live on a secondby-second basis, using the MediCollector data logging software (MediCollector, Boston, MA, USA) connected to the bedside monitors.

Fluid bolus therapy characteristics

FBT consisted of 100 mL of room temperature 20% albumin, which was given using an intravenous set with a hand pump. The clinical indication for FBT was collected before the FBT. A member of the research team was present at the bedside throughout the FBT administration and for 30 minutes afterwards. We truncated the study



observational period at 30 minutes after the end of FBT administration due to the practical impossibility to obtain confounder-free observations over a longer period of time in this population, and in accordance with the observational feature of the study. Furthermore, the researcher contemporaneously recorded the nature of any intervention performed during the study period by electronic annotation added to the MediCollector data file.

Haemodynamic response definitions

Patients were classified as early CI responsive to FBT if their CI increased by 15% or greater above baseline immediately after the end of the FBT infusion.⁶ They were classified as early MAP responsive if their MAP increased by 10% or greater above baseline at that same time point.²⁰ We defined delayed responsiveness if such changes were seen at 30 minutes after the completion of the bolus.

We then arbitrarily defined "time to dissipation" of FBT effect as the time from the end of the FBT to the time when a patient's CI was no greater than 5% above baseline for at least 2 consecutive minutes and, for blood pressure response, when a patient's MAP was no greater than 3 mmHg above baseline for at least 2 consecutive minutes.

The primary study hypothesis was that more than 50% of patients would have a persistent increase in CI greater than 15% at 30 minutes after the administration of 20%

albumin. We also tested several secondary hypotheses focused on the effect of the FBT on other haemodynamic parameters as described in our online pre-published protocol and statistical analysis plan.²¹

Pre-morbid and baseline data

We recorded demographic characteristics (age, sex, body surface area), type of surgery and duration of the extracorporeal bypass. Baseline ventilator settings, arterial blood gases and lactates, doses of sedatives, vasoactive drugs and inotropes (if any) were also noted. Arterial blood gas results from within 2 hours before the fluid bolus were also recorded.

Statistical analysis

A statistical analysis plan related to the protocol was published online ahead of data collection.²¹ All analyses were performed using the R software, version 3.3.1 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria), with the package Ime4. A P value below 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Continuous variables were expressed as median with interguartile range (IQR), and categorical variables as count with percentage. A convenience sample size of 20 patients for whom the observational period of the study was free from haemodynamic confounders was analysed. All baseline characteristics were compared between responders and non-responders, using Fisher exact test for categorical variables and the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test for continuous variables. Variables collected over the observation period in all patients were first compared with baseline values, using linear mixed effects regression models, accounting for the repetition of measurements in a given individual. Then, we performed an overall comparison of variables (either absolute values or relative change from baseline) collected over the observation period between responders and non-responders, using similar methodology. If significant, we performed a post hoc analysis to examine the significance of the difference at each time point, accounting for the inflation in the α risk using the Tukey adjustment method. Finally, time to dissipation of haemodynamic response was assessed using Kaplan-Meier curves. A P below 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Patients characteristics

Of the 35 patients screened, 15 patients were excluded from analysis because of haemodynamic confounders (online Appendix, eFigure 1; available at cicm.org.au/Resources/ Publications/Journal). The characteristics of the 20 patients included in the study are displayed in Table 1. Most patients

		CI early r	esponse*	MAP early	/ response ⁺
	Whole cohort (n = 20) Median (IQR)	Non-responders (<i>n</i> = 15) Median (IQR)	Responders (n = 5) Median (IQR)	Non- responders (<i>n</i> = 9) Median (IQR)	Responders (n = 11) Median (IQR)
CI (L/min/m²)					
Baseline	2.3 (1.9–2.9)	2.4 (2–2.9)	1.7 (1.7–2.8)	2.1 (2–2.8)	2.5 (1.8–2.9)
End	2.6 (2.1–3.2) [±]	2.6 (2.1–3.1)	2.6 (2.3–3.4)	2.4 (2.1–3.4)	2.6 (2.1–3.1)
15 min	2.6 (2.4–3)	2.6 (2.4–3)	na	1.9 (1.8–2.7)	2.7 (2.4–3)
30 min	2.6 (2.2–3.1)‡	2.7 (2.3–3.1)	2.2 (2.1–2.7)	2.5 (2.1–3.1)	2.7 (2.2–3)
Mean arterial pressure (mmHg	J)				
Baseline	68 (63–75)	67 (63–70)	77 (67–82)	67 (67–70)	68 (62–80)
End	76 (68–84) [±]	73 (68–79)	91 (75–95)	69 (68–73)	80 (76–93)¶
15 min	71 (65–81) [‡]	69 (65–78)	82 (75–89)	69 (65–75)	77 (67–83)
30 min	71 (65–81) [‡]	69 (64–76)	85 (71–91)	69 (65–71)	73 (65–88)
Heart rate (per min)					
Baseline	88 (80–92)	88 (76–96)	88 (80–88)	88 (78–96)	88 (80–90)
End	88 (79–92)	88 (77–96)	88 (80–88)	88 (78–96)	88 (80–90)
15 min	88 (80–92)	88 (78–96)	88 (80–88)	88 (78–95)	88 (80–90)
30 min	88 (78–91)	88 (78–96)	88 (80–88)	88 (78–94)	88 (79–90)
Systolic arterial pressure (mmł	lg)				
Baseline	102 (93–111)	97 (87–108)	106 (104–112)	106 (94–111)	100 (92–108)
End	111 (99–127)‡	108 (98–123)	124 (122–136)	101 (93–113)	124 (108–133)
15 min	105 (96–117)	101 (96–115)	112 (108–119)	101 (93–106)	112 (99–117)
30 min	102 (93–118)	97 (92–115)	115 (98–122)	97 (92–109)	114 (96–122)
Diastolic arterial pressure (mm	Hg)				
Baseline	52 (47–59)	52 (49–55)	63 (45–64)	50 (48–52)	53 (48–63)
End	57 (51–67) [‡]	53 (51–62)	68 (54–78)	52 (50–53)	66 (58–70)¶
15 min	56 (49–62) [‡]	53 (49–60)	62 (61–70)	52 (49–58)	61 (52–64)
30 min	54 (48–63)	53 (47–60)	64 (54–68)	53 (48–54)	61 (50–65)
Central venous pressure (mmł	Ha)				
Baseline	7 (6–10)	7 (6–9)	8 (5–10)	7 (5–10)	8 (7–8)
End	10 (8–11) [‡]	10 (9–11)	10 (8–12)	10 (7–11)	10 (9–10)
15 min	9 (7–10) [‡]	9 (8–10)	9 (6–11)	8 (6–11)	9 (8–10)
30 min	9 (7–10) [‡]	9 (7–10)	9 (7–11)	8 (6–11)	9 (8–10)
Systolic pulmonary arterial pre	ssure (mmHg)				
Baseline	26 (22–30)	26 (22–34)	23 (22–27)	27 (24–33)	23 (22–28)
End	28 (26–34) [±]	28 (25–39)	27 (26–31)	31 (26–37)	27 (25–32)
15 min	27 (25–32) [‡]	27 (24–35)	27 (25–30)	28 (26–33)	26 (24–31)
30 min	27 (24–33) [‡]	26 (24–34)	29 (25–31)	28 (26–32)	26 (24–32)
Diastolic pulmonary arterial pr	ressure (mmHa)			(- (_ / 0 _ /
Baseline	13 (10–16)	13 (10–17)	12 (11–14)	13 (11–15)	12 (10–17)
End	15 (12–17)‡	15 (13–18)	14 (11–16)	15 (12–17)	15 (12–19)
-					(Continues)

		Clearly r	esponse*	MAP early	response [†]
	Whole cohort (<i>n</i> = 20) Median (IQR)	Non-responders (n = 15) Median (IQR)	Responders (n = 5) Median (IQR)	Non- responders (n = 9) Median (IQR)	Responders (n = 11) Median (IQR)
15 min	14 (12–17) [±]	14 (12–18)	13 (13–14)	14 (13–17)	13 (11–18)
30 min	14 (12–18) [±]	14 (11–18)	14 (13–16)	14 (12–17)	14 (11–18)
Mean pulmonary arterial pres	ssure (mmHg)				
Baseline	18 (16–22)	19 (16–24)	18 (17–18)	19 (17–22)	18 (16–22)
End	21 (18–25) [±]	21 (18–27)	20 (20–21)	21 (19–25)	20 (19–25)
15 min	19 (18–23) [±]	20 (17–25)	19 (19–20)	20 (18–22)	19 (17–24)
30 min	19 (18–24) [±]	20 (17–25)	19 (19–23)	21 (18–23)	19 (17–24)
Body temperature (°C)					
Baseline	35.8 (35.4–37)	36.9 (35.4–37)	35.6 (35.4–36)	37 (35.4–37.1)	35.7 (35.3–36.9)
End	35.7 (35.4–37)‡	36.7 (35.4–37)	35.5 (35.3–35.8)	36.8 (35.5–37)	35.6 (35.2–36.9)
15 min	35.9 (35.5–37.1) [‡]	36.9 (35.6–37.2)	35.5 (35.4–35.9)	36.9 (35.6–37.2)	35.8 (35.4–37)
30 min	36 (35.6–37.3) [‡]	37 (35.8–37.3)	35.7 (35.5–36)	37 (35.8–37.4)	35.9 (35.5–37.1)
Systemic perfusion pressure (mmHg)				
Baseline	62 (56–65)§	60 (54–64)	69 (65–75)	63 (57–65)	61 (56–73)
End	64 (59–71) [±]	63 (59–69)	82 (75–87)§	62 (59–64)	75 (66–85)¶
15 min	68 (58–72) [±]	63 (57–69)	75 (73–79)§	62 (58–69)	71 (63–77)
30 min	63 (57–74)	60 (56–66)	76 (73–80)§	60 (57–67)	74 (63–78)
Pulse pressure (mmHg)					
Baseline	48 (43–54)	47 (40–54)	52 (48–54)	48 (47–59)	48 (43–53)
End	53 (47–63) [±]	53 (47–60)	54 (52–65)	50 (40–56)	57 (50–65)
15 min	51 (46–58)	51 (44–56)	50 (48–60)	48 (38–53)	52 (47–58)
30 min	51 (44–58)	50 (43–58)	52 (49–55)	50 (38–51)	52 (48–58)

Table 3. Main haemodynamics during the observation period, and comparison based on haemodynamic response (*Continued*)

CI = cardiac index; IQR = interquartile range; MAP = mean arterial pressure; na = not applicable. * Early CI response to FBT was verified when CI increased by \geq 15% above baseline immediately after the end of the FBT infusion. † Early MAP response to fluid bolus therapy (FBT) was verified when MAP increased by \geq 10% above baseline immediately after the end of the FBT infusion. ‡ P < 0.05 compared with baseline value. § P < 0.05 compared with CI non-responders. ¶ P < 0.05 compared with MAP non-responders. Dissipation of CI response to FBT was verified when CI was no greater than 5% above baseline for at least 2 consecutive minutes. Dissipation of MAP response to FBT was verified when MAP was no greater than 3 mmHg above baseline for at least 2 consecutive minutes.

had paced cardiac rhythm and rate, and only a few were on vasopressor or inotropic support at ICU admission. There were no significant differences of demographic, surgical and baseline haemodynamic characteristics between either early CI or MAP responders and non-responders.

Fluid bolus therapy description

Table 2 describes the FBT characteristics along with haemodynamic outcomes. The most common indication for FBT was hypotension (n = 8, 40%). The median duration of infusion was 7 minutes (IQR, 3–9 min).

Pharmacodynamic analysis according to early cardiac index response

Early CI response was observed in five patients (25%) (Table 2) and the absolute increase from the baseline was significantly larger in early CI responders than in non-responders (Figure 1). The prevalence of CI responsiveness increased over the observational period and, by 30 minutes, it was still present in three early CI responders (15%) and in a further five patients (25%), which showed a delayed CI response. Among other haemodynamic parameters, only MSPP was significantly higher in early CI responders

ORIGINAL ARTICLES



(Table 3), and on univariate regression analysis, a greater MSPP was associated with CI response (online Appendix, eTable 1). At 30 minutes, body temperature showed smaller changes in early CI responders than in non-responders (online Appendix, eFigure 2 and eFigure 3).

Pharmacodynamic analysis according to early mean arterial pressure response

Early MAP response was observed in 11 patients (55%) (Table 2) and their absolute MAP increase from the baseline was significantly greater (Figure 2) within the first 10 minutes from the end of the bolus. However, by 30 minutes, the response had dissipated in seven patients (64%) (median time to dissipation, 6 min after bolus completion; IQR, 6–10 min), while one early non-responder (11%) showed a delayed response. Thus, only five patients (25%) were responders at 30 minutes.

Among other haemodynamic parameters, SAP, MAP, DAP and MSPP were significantly higher in early MAP responders (Table 3) but not at subsequent time points. Changes in SAP, MSPP and pulse pressure and DAP are shown in the online Appendix (eFigure 4 and eFigure 5). The dissipation rate is presented in the online Appendix (eFigure 6). On univariate regression, a shorter FBT duration was associated with early MAP response (online Appendix, eTable1).

When taking into account the intrabolus period, the number of MAP responder increased to 17 (85%). However, in 11 of these patients (65%) the MAP effect dissipated, at a median of 7 minutes (IQR, 2–11 min) of FBT start (online Appendix, eTable2). The probability of effect persistence (non-dissipation) of MAP response as a function of time is shown in the online Appendix (eFigure 7).

Secondary endpoints and other haemodynamic effects

A CVP increase greater than +2 mmHg was observed in seven patients (35%) at the end of the FBT and in three patients (15%) at 30 minutes. MSPP increased more than 5% in ten patients (50%) at the end of the FBT, and this increase was preserved in nine patients (45%) at 30 minutes after FBT.

Post hoc analysis

Early CI and MAP response coexisted in only four patients (20%), and the majority of early MAP responders were not CI responders (n = 7, 64%) (online Appendix, eFigure 8). Delayed CI and MAP response coexisted only in two patients

(10%), and the majority of delayed MAP responses were not CI responders (n = 3, 60%) (online Appendix, eFigure 9). We did not observe any correlation between relative or absolute CI and MAP changes from baseline, nor at the end of the FBT or at 30 minutes afterwards (online Appendix, eFigure 10 and eFigure 11 respectively). We did not observe any further correlation between relative changes in stroke volume and pulse pressure at the same time points (online Appendix, eFigure 12).

Finally, although we observed drain output increase and haemoglobin concentration decrease one hour after FBT, the absolute changes were not significant (online Appendix, eTable3).

Discussion

Key findings

We performed a detailed observational study of the magnitude and duration of the haemodynamic effect of 100 mL 20% albumin FBT in post-cardiac surgery patients for whom a fluid bolus was deemed necessary by attending clinicians. We found that, at the end of the bolus, only a guarter of the patients had a significant CI response, but also that this percentage increased to almost half in the following 30 minutes, with only one responder showing CI effect dissipation. Hypotension was the most common indication for FBT, and more than 50% of patients had a significant early MAP response to it. However, such MAP effect was short-lived and dissipated within about 10 minutes in most responders. Faster fluid administration predicted early MAP response, and such MAP increase peaked in most patients before the end of the bolus. Finally, the CI response and the MAP response were dissociated in most cases. FBT was not associated with significant drain output and haemoglobin concentration changes one hour after its administration.

Relationship to previous studies

Early CI response to FBT in our study was lower than previously described in patients with haemodynamic instability due to sepsis or major surgery.⁵⁻⁷ For example, in a prospective observational study of 20 mostly septic critically ill patients with circulatory shock and taking vasopressors, who received 500 mL of crystalloids over 30 minutes,²² an early CI response was detected in 13 patients (65%). However, this effect dissipated within 60 minutes. In another study⁸ of FBT (250 mL of crystalloid over 5 min) as part of a goal-directed therapy protocol in 26 post-operative critically ill patients, early CI response was detected in 13 patients (50%), with peak effect at one minute and dissipation within 10 minutes. Compared with

other studies of compound sodium lactate⁸ and Ringer's lactate or sodium chloride 0.9% solution,²² most of our patients became CI responsive at 30 minutes after FBT and CI dissipation was less. This aligns with evidence in septic patients that the effect of 20% albumin may be almost twice that of the infused volume, reaching its peak at 30 minutes,²³ possibly related to its hyperoncotic properties.²⁴

Hypotension is the most common indication for FBT and the MAP response is frequently used as a surrogate of CI responsiveness.^{2,4} However, the available evidence shows a dissociation between CI and MAP response after FBT administration,^{25,26} and demonstrates that MAP increases are not a reliable surrogate of CI improvements after FBT. In contrast with CI responsiveness, which has been reported in more than 30 studies,⁶ MAP responsiveness has only been previously studied once²⁷ and, to our knowledge, never in post-cardiac surgery patients. In our study, MAP increased immediately, even after the completion of the FBT, even in CI non-responders. This phenomenon requires further investigation; however, we hypothesise that this may be related to the scavenging effect of albumin on nitric oxide²⁸ and/or to systemic vasoconstriction induced by relative hypothermia secondary to the administration of a room temperature FBT.²⁹

Study implications

Our study implies that small volume FBT with 20% albumin is safe and leads to similar early effects to those reported for larger volumes of other fluids. It also implies that, unlike other fluids, its CI effect increases over time, possibly related to the movement of fluid into intravascular compartment in response to an increase in oncotic pressure. Moreover, it implies that a MAP response does not signify a CI response and that the early MAP response should not be expected to last. Finally, the impact of speed on MAP effect implies that 20% albumin can achieve rapid stabilisation of hypotensive patients even before the bolus is completed and with a minimum amount of fluid. However, in most cases, such effect only provides an approximate 10-minute window to diagnose the underlying state and deliver other targeted interventions to increase MAP if necessary.

Study strengths and limitations

This study has several strengths. It involved an intervention of clinical interest in post-cardiac surgery patients.¹⁸ It was conducted in a homogeneous sample of patients to minimise confounders. We selected a baseline period that was shorter than previously studied in other clinical trials (eg, 10 min⁸ or 15 min³⁰) with the aim of taking a real snapshot of the proximate haemodynamic conditions leading to FBT in patients where such parameters change

quickly over time. We investigated the pharmacodynamics of 20% albumin 100 mL in an everyday clinical context of haemodynamic instability and free from any other confounding intervention. We did not dictate the decision to give FBT, thus minimising research-dependent selection bias. We measured multiple relevant haemodynamic parameters in great detail, thus providing the first detailed analysis of the haemodynamic effect of 20% albumin.

Our study has some limitations. We designed a singlecentre observational study and included a small number of patients. However, we are the first to investigate the haemodynamic effect of 20% albumin in this population and we collected detailed haemodynamic information. Moreover, such detailed monitoring is extremely demanding to execute and, for practical and logistic reasons, cannot be performed in hundreds of patients. We did not use pulse pressure variation or stroke volume variation to trigger FBT. However, we wished to study clinical practice as is currently applied; the application of such dynamic indices in clinical practice remains uncommon⁴ and of limited clinical value in post cardiac surgical patients,^{31,32} for whom the FBT challenge remains a common intervention.^{1,33} Furthermore, the majority of patients had paced cardiac rhythm, which may have limited the heart rate response to the FBT. However, such clinical condition is frequent after cardiac surgery and represents a common limitation in this group of patients, which supports the external validity of our results. We used arbitrary definition for CI and MAP response dissipation. However, we are the first to systematically characterise this phenomenon in these patients with this type of fluid and no consensus exists on this concept. Finally, we did not compare the haemodynamic effect of 20% albumin administration with other fluids; however, we provided the physiologic background for such studies.

Conclusion

In post-cardiac surgery patients treated with a 100 mL 20% albumin bolus according to clinical judgement, only a quarter had a significant CI response. However, this percentage doubled in the following 30 minutes. Similarly, more than half of patients had a significant early MAP response to it, which was dependent on speed of delivery, and often occurred even before the bolus was complete. However, such MAP effect dissipated within about 10 minutes in most responders and was dissociated from the CI response. These findings provide the first detailed pharmacodynamic assessment of the effect of FBT with 100 mL of 20% albumin and inform clinicians considering its use in such patients.

Acknowledgements: We thank all nurses at Austin Hospital for the help and support provided to the investigators for this research.

Competing interests

None declared.

Author details

Salvatore L Cutuli^{1,2,3} Laurent Bitker^{1,4} Eduardo A Osawa¹ Zachary O'Brien^{1,5} Emmanuel Canet¹ Fumitaka Yanase¹ Paolo Ancona¹ Anthony Wilson¹ Luca Lucchetta¹ Mark Kubicki¹ Maria Cronhjort^{1,6} Luca Cioccari^{1,5,7} Lea Peck¹ Helen Young¹ Glenn M Eastwood¹ Johan Mårtensson^{1,8} Neil J Glassford^{1,5,9} Rinaldo Bellomo^{1,9,10}

- 1 Department of Intensive Care, Austin Hospital, Melbourne, VIC, Australia.
- 2 Dipartimento di Scienze dell'emergenza, anestesiologiche e della Rianimazione, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy.
- 3 Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy.
- 4 Service de Médecine Intensive et Réanimation, Hôpital de la Croix-Rousse, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France.
- 5 Australian and New Zealand Intensive Care Research Centre, School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia.
- 6 Department of Clinical Science and Education, Karolinska Institutet, Södersjukhuset, Stockholm, Sweden.
- 7 Department of Intensive Care Medicine, University Hospital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland.
- 8 Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, Section of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden.
- 9 Intensive Care Unit, Royal Melbourne Hospital, Melbourne Health, Melbourne, VIC, Australia.
- 10 Centre for Integrated Critical Care, Department of Medicine and Radiology, School of Medicine, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia.

Correspondence: sl.cutuli@gmail.com

References

- 1 Cecconi M, De Backer D, Antonelli M, et al. Consensus on circulatory shock and hemodynamic monitoring Task force of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. *Intensive Care Med* 2014; 40: 1795-815.
- 2 Parke R, McGuinness S, Gilder E, et al. Intravenous fluid use after cardiac surgery: a multicentre, prospective, observational study. *Crit Care Resusc* 2014; 16: 164-9.
- 3 Vincent J, De Backer D. Circulatory Shock. *N Engl J Med* 2013; 369: 1726-34.
- 4 Cecconi M, Hofer C, Teboul J, et al. Fluid challenges in intensive care: the FENICE study. *Intensive Care Med* 2015; 41: 1529-37.
- Toscani L, Aya H, Antonakaki D, et al. What is the impact of the fluid challenge technique on diagnosis of fluid responsiveness?
 A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care* 2017; 21: 207.
- 6 Glassford N, Eastwood G, Bellomo R. Physiological changes after fluid bolus therapy in sepsis: a systematic review of contemporary data. *Crit Care* 2014; 18: 696.
- 7 Bentzer P, Griesdale D, Boyd J, et al. Will this hemodynamically unstable patient respond to a bolus of intravenous fluids? *JAMA* 2016; 316: 1298-309.
- 8 Aya H, Ster I, Fletcher N, et al. Pharmacodynamic analysis of a fluid challenge. *Crit Care Med* 2016; 44: 880-91.
- 9 Myburgh J, Finfer S, Bellomo R, et al. Hydroxyethyl starch or saline for fluid resuscitation in intensive care. *N Engl J Med* 2012; 367: 1901-11.
- 10 Perner A, Haase N, Guttormsen A, et al. Hydroxyethyl starch 130/0.42 versus Ringer's acetate in severe sepsis. *N Engl J Med* 2012; 367: 124-34.
- 11 Haase N, Perner A, Hennings L, et al. Hydroxyethyl starch 130/0.38-0.45 versus crystalloid or albumin in patients with sepsis: systematic review with meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis. *BMJ* 2013; 346: f839.
- 12 Lewis S, Pritchard M, Evans D, et al. Colloids versus crystalloids for fluid resuscitation in critically ill people. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2018; (8): CD000567.
- 13 The SAFE Study Investigators. Saline or albumin for fluid resuscitation in patients with traumatic brain injury. *N Engl J Med* 2007; 357: 874-84.
- 14 Mårtensson J, Bihari S, Bannard-Smith J, et al. Small volume resuscitation with 20% albumin in intensive care: physiological effects. *Intensive Care Med* 2018; 44: 1797-806.
- 15 The SAFE Study Investigators. A comparison of albumin and saline for fluid resuscitation in the intensive care unit. *N Engl J Med* 2004; 350: 2247-56.
- 16 Bihari S, Wiersema U, Perry R, et al. Efficacy and safety of 20% albumin fluid loading in healthy subjects: a comparison of four resuscitation fluids. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2019; 126: 1646-60.
- 17 Caironi P, Tognoni G, Masson S, et al. Albumin replacement in patients with severe sepsis or septic shock. N Engl J Med 2014; 370: 1412-21.

- 18 Wigmore G, Anstey J, St John A, et al. 20% Human albumin solution fluid bolus administration therapy in patients after cardiac surgery (the HAS FLAIR study). J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2019; 33: 2920-7.
- 19 Wong B, Chan M, Glassford N, et al. Mean arterial pressure and mean perfusion pressure deficit in septic acute kidney injury. J Crit Care 2015; 30: 975-81.
- 20 Glassford N, Mårtensson J, Eastwood G, et al. Defining the characteristics and expectations of fluid bolus therapy: A worldwide perspective. *J Crit Care* 2016; 35: 126-32.
- 21 Cutuli S, Osawa E, Bellomo R. Fluid bolus of 20% albumin in post-cardiac surgical patient: a prospective observational study of effect duration. Melbourne: Monash University, 2018. https:// www.monash.edu/__data/assets/pdf_file/0004/1337935/ Protocol-SAP_2018-04-04_Cutuli_Fluid-bolus-of-20-Albumin. pdf (viewed Dec 2019).
- 22 Nunes TS, Ladeira RT, Bafi AT, et al. Duration of hemodynamic effects of crystalloids in patients with circulatory shock after initial resuscitation. *Ann Intensive Care* 2014; 4: 25.
- 23 Margarson MP, Soni NC. Changes in serum albumin concentration and volume expanding effects following a bolus of albumin 20% in septic patients. *Br J Anaesth* 2004; 92: 821-6.
- 24 Jacob M, Chappell D, Hofmann-Kiefer K, et al. The intravascular volume effect of Ringer's lactate is below 20%: a prospective study in humans. *Crit Care* 2012; 16: R86.
- 25 Pierrakos C, Velissaris D, Scolletta S, et al. Can changes in arterial pressure be used to detect changes in cardiac index during fluid challenge in patients with septic shock? *Intensive Care Med* 2012; 38: 422-8.
- 26 Le Manach Y, Hofer C, Lehot J, et al. Can changes in arterial pressure be used to detect changes in cardiac output during volume expansion in the perioperative period? *Anesthesiology* 2012; 117: 1165-74.
- 27 Natalini G, Rosano A, Militano C, et al. Prediction of arterial pressure increase after fluid challenge. BMC Anesthesiol 2012; 12: 3.
- 28 Taverna M, Marie A, Mira J, et al. Specific antioxidant properties of human serum albumin. *Ann Intensive Care* 2013; 3: 4.
- 29 Wall O, Ehrenberg L, Joelsson-Alm E, et al. Haemodynamic effects of cold versus warm fluid bolus in healthy volunteers: a randomised crossover trial. *Crit Care Resusc* 2018; 20: 277-84.
- 30 Gondos T, Marjanek Z, Ulakcsai Z, et al. Short-term effectiveness of different volume replacement therapies in postoperative hypovolaemic patients. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2010; 27: 794-800.
- 31 Suzuki S, Woinarski N, Lipcsey M, et al. Pulse pressure variationguided fluid therapy after cardiac surgery: a pilot before-andafter trial. J Crit Care 2014; 29: 992-6.
- 32 Aneman A, Brechot N, Brodie D, et al. Advances in critical care management of patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *Intensive Care Med* 2018; 44: 799-810.
- 33 Vincent J, Weil M. Fluid challenge revisited. *Crit Care Med* 2006; 34: 1333-7.