

# The use of ChatGPT in medical research: do we need a reporting guideline?

Xufei Luo, MPH, PhD<sup>a,b,c,d</sup>, Janne Estill, PhD<sup>e</sup>, Yaolong Chen, MD, PhD<sup>a,b,c,d,\*</sup>

ChatGPT (Chat Generative Pre-trained Transformer) is an artificial intelligence chatbot that utilizes natural language processing to generate conversational dialogue that closely resembles human interactions<sup>[1]</sup>. This language model can respond to queries and compose diverse written content, spanning from articles, social media posts, and essays to code and emails<sup>[1]</sup>. It has also been widely applied in medical research, such as in surgery<sup>[2]</sup> and radiology<sup>[3]</sup>.

Researchers have employed ChatGPT to draft scientific papers, which have been published<sup>[3,4]</sup>. The use of such tools has increased the researchers' efficiency and productivity to some extent. However, there may also be some issues and limitations associated with this approach. First, the data used to train large language models like ChatGPT may have a time lag, meaning the generated content may not be up-to-date or accurate. Second, the quality of the questions posed to ChatGPT greatly affects the quality of its responses, and even slightly different prompts can yield inconsistent results<sup>[5]</sup>. Because of these challenges, authors should not rely on ChatGPT or similar tools alone and should always confirm and critically revise the generated content.

In order to tackle these problems, the World Health Organization Collaborating Centre for Guideline Implementation and Knowledge Translation proposes to develop reporting guidelines for the use of ChatGPT and similar conversational tools in medical research writing. When utilizing ChatGPT or similar chatbots in writing papers or conducting medical research, it is important to adhere to reporting standards and provide detailed information about the process. Our

Sponsorships or competing interests that may be relevant to content are disclosed at the end of this article.

\*Corresponding author. Address: No. 199, Donggang West Road, Chengguan District, Evidence-Based Medicine Center, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China. Tel.: + 86 0931 8912639; fax:+ 86 0931 8915023 E-mail: chevidence@lzu.edu.cn (Y. Chen).

Copyright © 2023 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

research team has developed a proposed draft list of items and explanations for such guidance based on a review of the literature, as outlined in Table 1. In the next step, we plan to establish an international multidisciplinary expert group to develop a reporting standard for the application of ChatGPT in medical research, abbreviated as CHEER (CHatGPT usEd in mEdical Research), aiming to enhance transparency in the use of chatbots like ChatGPT in medical research.

#### **Ethical approval**

Not applicable/not required. This correspondent does not require human or animal subjects to acquire such approval.

#### Table 1

### Potential reporting items for the use of ChatGPT and other similar chatbots in medical research.

1. Did you use ChatGPT or similar software/tools to assist in writing the manuscript? Explanation: If ChatGPT or similar tools were used during the writing of a research

paper, it is suggested to report the details to enhance the transparency of the study.

- 2. Please specify the AI tool and its version that was used in writing the manuscript.
- Explanation: Authors should disclose in the relevant sections of the paper the name (e.g. ChatGPT, Claud, Bard, or NewBing) and the version (e.g. ChatGPT 3.5 or 4.0) of the Al tool(s) that were used.
- Please report the specific section of the manuscript where ChatGPT or similar tools were used.
- Explanation: Authors should explicitly report in the article for which paragraphs or sections ChatGPT (or other similar tool) was used to assist readers in better understanding and assessing the content and value of the paper.
- 4. Please describe the role of ChatGPT in assisting the manuscript writing process.
- *Explanation:* In addition to reporting which paragraphs were generated with the assistance of ChatGPT, authors should specify the exact role of ChatGPT in the process. This may include, for example, language refinement, outlining ideas, or generating content.
- Please report whether the content generated by ChatGPT was verified and/or modified. If not, please explain the reason.
- *Explanation:* Content directly generated by ChatGPT may contain false or exaggerated information, so it is recommended to manually proofread or verify the generated content to ensure its accuracy and reliability and revise it if necessary. For example, if ChatGPT was used solely for language refinement, further modifications may be necessary. If no verification was performed, the reason should be clearly stated.
- 6. Please report whether and how the ChatGPT-generated content can be expected to have influenced the overall conclusions and accuracy of the research.
- Explanation: Authors should report whether and how the content generated by ChatGPT may have influenced the results. If ChatGPT was used for language editing correctly, it generally should not affect the content. However, if ChatGPT is used to directly generate content, it may also have an impact on the results.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Evidence-Based Medicine Center, <sup>b</sup>Research Unit of Evidence-Based Evaluation and Guidelines, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (2021RU017), <sup>c</sup>WHO Collaborating Centre for Guideline Implementation and Knowledge Translation, <sup>d</sup>Institute of Health Data Science, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, People's Republic of China and <sup>e</sup>Institute of Global Health, University of Geneva, Geneve, Switzerland

International Journal of Surgery (2023) 109:3750-3751

Received 21 August 2023; Accepted 23 August 2023

Published online 13 September 2023

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/JS9.000000000000737

Al, artificial intelligence; ChatGPT, Chat Generative Pre-trained Transformer.

#### Consent

None.

#### Sources of funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

#### Author contributions

X.L.: drafted the initial manuscript; J.E. and Y.C.: provided mentorship and editorial support. All authors read and approved the final draft before submission.

#### **Conflicts of interest disclosure**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## Research registration unique identifying number (UIN)

- 1. Name of the registry: not required.
- 2. Unique identifying number or registration ID: not required.
- 3. Hyperlink to your specific registration (must be publicly accessible and will be checked): not required.

#### Guarantor

Yaolong Chen (corresponding author) takes full responsibility for the work and/or the conduct of the study, has access to the data, and controls the decision to publish.

#### **Data availability statement**

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

#### Provenance and peer review

Not commissioned, externally peer-reviewed.

#### Acknowledgements

None.

#### References

- [1] OpenAI. Introducing ChatGPT; 2023. https://openai.com/blog/chatgpt
- [2] Sun X, Wei J, Wang X, et al. The vast chasm in ChatGPT assisting in realistic surgery. Int J Surg 2023. doi:10.1097/JS9.00000000000657. [Epub ahead of print].
- [3] Biswas S. ChatGPT and the future of medical writing. Radiology 2023; 307:e223312.
- [4] Dahdah JE, Kassab J, Helou MCE, et al. ChatGPT: a valuable tool for emergency medical assistance. Ann Emerg Med 2023;82:411–3.
- [5] Johnson D, Goodman R, Patrinely J, et al. Assessing the accuracy and reliability of AI-generated medical responses: an evaluation of the Chat-GPT model. Preprint Res Sq 2023. rs.3.rs-2566942.