# Highly Potent Peptide Therapeutics To Prevent Protein Aggregation in Huntington's Disease

Anooshay Khan, Cemile Elif Özçelik, Ozge Begli, Oguzhan Oguz, Mehmet Seçkin Kesici, Talip Serkan Kasırga, Salih Özçubukcu, Esra Yuca,\* and Urartu Ozgur Safak Seker\*



fibril formation. These experiments demonstrate that the chosen peptides suppress the formation of fibrils in mHtt proteins and can provide a therapeutic lead for further optimization and development.

KEYWORDS: Huntington's Disease, Peptide-based drug therapy, Huntingtin, Htt aggregation, Inhibition

H untington's disease (HD) is a progressive autosomaldominant neurological disorder that causes cognitive decline, behavioral disturbances, and neuropsychiatric symptoms.<sup>1</sup> HD is caused by a mutation in exon1 of the Htt gene, located on chromosome 4 (4p16.3). The gain of function mutation causes dramatic expansion of glutamine encoding CAG sequences that exceed 35 repeats, causing misfolded protein. Typically, individuals with the clinical phenotype have 27 to 35 repeats in their genome. It is commonly believed that HD can develop when 36 repeats or more are present, although full penetration does not occur until 40.<sup>2</sup> High CAG repeats count has also been linked to earlier onset, faster progression, and increased severity of the disease.<sup>3</sup>

This CAG-PolyQ expansion results in misfolded protein, which is highly prone to aggregation. The aggregated mutant Htt (mHtt) protein forms inclusion bodies inside the cells, leading to cell death and neurodegeneration.<sup>4</sup> mHtt aggregation process has three stages: the initial lag phase and an exponential growth phase, followed by a plateau (Figure 1). Primary nucleation initiates aggregate formation in mHtt by templated aggregation.<sup>5</sup> The N-terminal domain of exon1 of mHtt is flanked by polyQ repeats, and conformational changes in this region accelerate nucleation. The polyQ repeats form  $\beta$ sheets, which aggregate by hydrogen bonds. The aggregates then form protofibrils and elongate into fibrils by adding new monomers as they grow. Fibril-dependent nucleation is observed in mHtt, resulting in a branched morphology unlike



Time

Figure 1. Effect of the proposed inhibitory peptides on the aggregation kinetics of Htt proteins. The inhibitory peptides bind to the monomeric units of the Htt proteins, preventing aggregate formation.

Received:September 23, 2023Revised:November 10, 2023Accepted:November 10, 2023Published:November 14, 2023





**Figure 2.** ThT assay to evaluate aggregation kinetics of peptide treated and control Htt proteins. (A) Shows ThT dye binding to the beta-sheet structure of Htt fibrils. In the presence of peptides that inhibit the Htt fibril elongation, the binding of ThT dye is reduced. (B–D) ThT fluorescence intensity was recorded for Htt protein (B) Htt(103Q), (C) Htt(46Q), and (D) Htt(Q25) in the presence of peptides (1–6) at a 1:1 molar ratio. ThT emission was recorded at 495 nm upon excitation at 438 nm. The bar graph averages the end point reading at 16 h over triplicates for each sample (P < 0.0001).

other amyloid fibrils (amyloid beta, alpha-synuclein).<sup>6</sup> It is claimed that protein—protein interactions, post-translational changes, and protein cleavage all contribute to the pathogenesis of HD.<sup>7</sup> HD is a rare, age-onset degenerative disease with a prevalence of 2.7 per 100 000 worldwide and has been a subject of scientific and medical fascination for decades.<sup>8</sup>

There is currently no viable treatment for HD that reduces the severity and progression. Available pharmacological treatments for HD are inadequate and mainly focus on alleviating the symptoms of the disease rather than halting its development. In response to these deficiencies, small molecules (ASO,9 RNAi,9 antibody10) and peptide-based therapeutics<sup>11</sup> are being investigated. Peptides offer several benefits over small compounds, including high specificity, strong biological activity, cheap cost, and excellent membrane penetrability.<sup>11</sup> Peptide-based therapeutics for HD have shown promising results by hampering disease progression and preventing aggregation. Some of the research emphasized in this respect includes the following: polyQ region (25Q) separated by an  $\alpha$  helix colocalized and interacted with polyQ Htt protein aggregates; this peptide was able to minimize and delay aggregate development.<sup>12</sup> Polyglutamine binding peptide 1 (QBP1) and bivalent Htt-binding peptide were found to be particularly effective in binding abnormal polyQ proteins.<sup>12,13</sup> However, these peptides mainly focus on inhibiting aggregation later in the cascade of Htt polymerization and aggregate development.

The candidate inhibitory peptides tested in this study were screened against the monomeric units of Htt proteins, both wild-type (Htt(Q25)) and mHtt fragments such as Htt(Q46) and Htt(Q103).<sup>14</sup> The monomeric units of these proteins were expressed on the yeast surface, which allowed for proper eukaryotic folding/misfolding. The peptides were introduced into the phage display library (Supporting Information (SI), Figure S9). The ligand peptides were selected by biopanning without immobilizing yeast cells. The capacity of peptide to bind monomeric Htt fragments permits the inhibition of

aggregation at a very early stage in the course of disease progression. It lends originality to the putative peptides employed in this investigation. This study focuses on the *in vitro* characterization of ligand peptides that have been previously screened. In this investigation, we examined whether the screened peptides inhibited the fibril formation of mHtt proteins. Aggregation kinetics of the mHtt proteins with and without peptide treatment was investigated by ThT assay and atomic force microscopy. As a result, three out of six proposed peptides significantly blocked the aggregation of Htt proteins.

To determine the effect of inhibitory peptides on protein aggregation in real time, we performed a ThT assay. Initiating the aggregation with the cleavage of the GST solubility tag guarantees monomeric Htt starting conditions, which were otherwise challenging to accomplish as the protein polymerizes as soon as the tag is removed. We started ThT measurements soon after the addition of the TEV protease. By addition of an equimolar inhibitory peptide to the protein, the effect of the peptide on the fibrilization and consequent aggregation was evaluated. As the length of polyQ repeats correlates directly with the acceleration of aggregation kinetics, Tht assay revealed that Htt(Q103) exhibited the highest fluorescence, followed by Htt(Q46) and Htt(Q25) (Figure 2b). Fluorescence was monitored for a minimum of 16 h at 37  $^{\circ}$ C.

We knew from the previous study that these candidate inhibitory peptides interact with the monomeric units of Htt proteins.<sup>14</sup> Here we wanted to evaluate whether the interaction enabled or blocked aggregation in the Htt proteins. We saw that all six peptides decreased fluorescence significantly in Htt(Q103) (Figure 2). The fluorescence values were normalized, and the graphs were plotted (SI, Figure S5). The decrease in fluorescence indicates that the peptide hindered the fibrillization process and that the inhibitory peptide successfully prevented protein aggregation. When studying fibril kinetics with Htt(Q46) with the peptides, we saw that only peptide1, peptide 3, and peptide 4 decreased the



**Figure 3.** Kinetics of aggregation of the Htt(Q103), Htt(Q46), and Htt(Q25) protein. (A) Shows change in fibril length both control Htt(Q103) and peptide treated Htt(Q103) with respect to time. (B) Shows change in fibril length both control Htt(Q46) and peptide treated Htt(Q46) with respect to time. (C) Shows change in fibril length both control Htt(Q25) and peptide treated Htt(Q25) with respect to time. The fibril length was measured after 0, 4, 8, and 24 h, and the mean length of 100 fibrils and standard deviation at each time point is plotted. (D) Shows AFM images of all three proteins, both in the presence and absence of peptides, taken at t = 0 and t = 24 of the aggregation. All images show large-scale views with scale bars are 1  $\mu$ m.

fluorescence signal significantly (Figure 2B). Peptide 5 and peptide 2 also decreased the fluorescence signal; however, the decrease was insignificant according to the student-*t* test. Peptide 6, on the other hand, increased the fluorescence signal, indicating that the addition of peptide promoted aggregation in the Htt(Q46) protein.

Unlike mutant Htt exon 1 fragments, wild-type Htt with less than 36 polyQ repeats does not form insoluble but soluble aggregates.<sup>15</sup> Baseline fluorescence was observed for Htt-(25Q), attributed to the presence of beta sheets (although of shorter length and with fewer cross-links). Because the screened peptides were screened against all three Htt (Q25), (Q46), and (Q103) proteins, the impact of the candidate inhibitory peptides on wild-type Htt (Q25) was also investigated. Peptide1, 3 and 5, and 6 showed a decrease in fluorescence signal for Htt Q25, and peptides 2 and 4 led to increased fluorescence (Figure 2B), hence increasing aggregation. After quantifying the fluorescence signals and comparing the effects of peptides on fibril kinetics, shown in SI, Table S3, we shortlisted three peptides that caused a decrease in aggregation in all three proteins tested. These peptides were peptide 1, peptide 3, and peptide 5. When comparing various proteins and peptides, the intensity of fluorescence by the Tht assay is typically an insufficient quantitative indicator of fibril production and inhibition. Therefore, we wanted to test further shortlisted peptides' effect on aggregation kinetics by atomic force microscopy.

We examined the morphological characteristics of huntingtin nanofibrils at various phases of *in vitro* fibril formation, in both the presence and absence of peptides. As previously done, the aggregation process was commenced by cleaving GST with site-specific TEV protease. After allowing the fibrils to grow for 2 h, peptides were added. This time was recorded as t = 0 h. As seen in Figure 3D, for both control and peptide-added proteins, spherical oligomers and individual fibrils were observed for Htt(Q103), and only spherical oligomers were observed for Htt(Q46) and Htt(Q25) at t = 0. As previously reported, these should be noticed during the first phases of fibrillization kinetics.<sup>16</sup> Samples for AFM imaging were taken every 4 h (SI, Figures S6–S8). At t = 4, we noticed an increase in the length and branching of fibrils. Branched morphology is a well-established property of polyQ > 36 Htt proteins, and this was seen for t = 4, t = 8, and t = 24 for both Htt(Q103) and Htt(Q46). Over time, the branching increased, and clusters of aggregates were observed, except for Htt(Q25). At each time point, the length of 100 distinct fibrils was measured. The length of fibrils at various time points is represented graphically in Figure 3. At t = 24, a reduction in length was noticed. This reduction may result from protein degradation in the TEV cleavage buffer (pH 8.0).

Comparing the fibril kinetics of peptide-treated Htt(Q103), a substantial reduction in fibril length was seen at all time points. Then 24 h after peptide addition, we observed that peptide 1 led to a 36.7% decrease in fibril length, peptide 3 caused a 22.67% decrease, and peptide 5 caused a 28% decrease in the fibril length. In addition, a decline in clustering and branching was also observed. This reduction was assessed by measuring 100 fibrils at each time point and graphing the average lengths (Figure 3). Even at early stages of aggregation kinetic, peptide inhibits agglomeration. At t = 4 and t = 8, less branching and even isolated fibrils are visible. The reduction in fibril length at an early stage of fibril production confirms that the peptides interact with the monomeric units of the htt proteins. This data provide conclusive proof that the applied peptide inhibits the aggregation of the ordinarily aggregated Htt(Q103) protein, which exhibits distinct branching and clustering. Similarly, the fibril kinetics of peptide-treated Htt(Q46) also showed a reduction in fibril length at all time points. Then 24 h after peptide treatment, we observed that peptide 1 led to a 24.91% decrease in fibril length, peptide 3 led to a 17.61% length decrease, and peptide 5 caused a 17.3% decrease in fibril length.

While studying aggregation kinetics for Htt(Q25), tiny circular oligomers with a diameter of 80-100 nm were seen for Htt(Q25) (protein alone) at t = 0. The dimensions of the circular oligomers were in accordance with what was predicted. Because Htt(Q25) does not form insoluble aggregates, most t = 4 samples consisted of small oligomers with relatively few individual fibrils. These fibrils were considerably shorter than the mutant Htt exon1 proteins mentioned before. At t = 8, protein-only tiny individual fibrils measuring 100-140 nm were found for Htt(Q25), and at t = 24, small oligomers were again observed. These oligomers' diameters were measured. Then 24 h after peptide treatment, we observed that peptide 1 led to a 42.87% decrease in fibril length, peptide 3 led to a 38.33% length decrease, and peptide 5 caused a 25.6% decrease in the fibril length. In order to remove any contaminant in the SiO<sub>2</sub> wafer, only oligomers taller than 5 nm were considered. The sizes of 100 distinct fibrils/oligomers were measured at each time point. The lengths of these fibrils at various time intervals are shown in Figure 3 (C). At time t = 24, a reduction in length and protein degradation were detected.

By comparison of the fibril dynamics of peptide-treated proteins, a substantial reduction in fibril length was seen at all time points. No individual fibers were seen at periods 4, 8, and 24; only oligomers were observed for all peptides. Even for short poly-Q stretches, our data demonstrate that the inhibitory peptides are highly selective and block the fibril formation.

Overall results of AFM and ThT assay complement each other. It provides solid evidence that the inhibitory peptides effectively inhibited the *in vitro* aggregation of wild-type and mutant Htt fibrils. Exon1 of both mutant and wild-type Htt was the focus of this research. Previous research has demonstrated that N-terminal Htt peptides exhibit high pathogenicity.<sup>17</sup> The expansion of the poly-Q stretch in exon1 causes specific structural and physicochemical alterations in Htt proteins, resulting in misfolded monomers that quickly polymerize into hazardous oligomers. These oligomers subsequently form inclusion bodies in the brain's basal ganglia, impairing neuronal function, and resulting in neural degeneration.<sup>18</sup> Because HD is an autosomal dominant condition, wild-type Htt was included in all of the aggregation kinetics investigations, as one mutant allele is sufficient for pathological aggregation formation.

Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that mutant Htt(Q46) seeds may induce the formation of insoluble aggregates on Htt(Q25) in vitro.<sup>19</sup> Therefore, we want to determine whether our peptides affected the generation of soluble aggregates by Htt(Q25) and whether our peptide treatment will be beneficial in heterozygous HD variants. Using peptides that prevent this aggregation in Htt protein by interacting with polyQ stretches provides a promising platform for drug development. These peptides' low potential toxicity and ability to target mHtt at the initial steps in the aggregation make them ideal candidates for peptide-based therapeutics. The inhibitory peptides tested in this study were screened against the monomeric units of Htt proteins using display technologies. The ability of the peptide to bind monomeric htt fragments allows for the blocking of aggregation at a very early stage of disease progression. This approach gives novelty to the proposed peptides used in this study. Future studies will focus on assessing the toxicity of these selected peptides in mammalian cells; both would perform this in in vitro and in vivo experiments.

*In vitro* studies will utilize the HT22 (mouse hippocampal) cell line. Furthermore, the toxicity will be evaluated by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) colorimetric test for the viability assay. The peptides will be tested individually on Ht22 cells and in combination with Htt proteins in an equimolar ratio by cotransfection. This will allow us to evaluate two things; whether the peptide has any toxic effect on the neurons and whether the peptide is decreasing the toxicity of the mHtt aggregates in the neurons. After this, the peptides that pose the least to no toxicity are selected for *in vivo* experiments in animal models.

In this study, candidate ligand peptides were characterized and evaluated for their inhibitory effect against three Htt proteins; (Q25), (Q46), and (Q103). *In vitro* characterization of peptides on aggregation, kinetics, was studied by ThT Assay and AFM. Three of the 6 selected peptides (HHGANS-LGLVQS), (HGLHSMHNKLQT), and (WMFPSLKLLDYH) showed a decrease in fluorescence signal, indicating inhibition in fibril formation for all three proteins. AFM images for these peptides showed less aggregation in mHtt proteins than in untreated mHtt proteins. These studies show that the selected peptides are effective at inhibiting the aggregation of fibrils in mHtt proteins and are promising candidates for peptide therapy for HD.

# ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **Supporting Information**

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.3c00415.

Letter

Supporting figures and tables, experimental details for expression and purification of Htt constructs, aggregation assays, AFM imaging, peptide selection, circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy, and cytotoxicity assay (PDF)

# AUTHOR INFORMATION

### **Corresponding Authors**

- Urartu Ozgur Safak Seker UNAM-Institute of Materials Science and Nanotechnology, Bilkent University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey; Department of Neurosciences, Bilkent University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey; orcid.org/0000-0002-5272-1876; Email: urartu@bilkent.edu.tr
- Esra Yuca Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul 34349, Turkey; Health Biotechnology Joint Research and Application Center of Excellence, Istanbul 34220, Turkey; Email: eyuca@ yildiz.edu.tr

## Authors

Anooshay Khan – UNAM-Institute of Materials Science and Nanotechnology, Bilkent University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey; Department of Neurosciences, Bilkent University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey

- **Cemile Elif Özçelik** UNAM-Institute of Materials Science and Nanotechnology, Bilkent University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey
- **Ozge Begli** UNAM-Institute of Materials Science and Nanotechnology, Bilkent University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey
- Oguzhan Oguz UNAM-Institute of Materials Science and Nanotechnology, Bilkent University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey; orcid.org/0000-0003-3128-7334
- **Mehmet Seçkin Kesici** Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Middle East Technical University, Ankara 06800, Turkey
- Talip Serkan Kasırga UNAM-Institute of Materials Science and Nanotechnology, Bilkent University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey; • orcid.org/0000-0003-3510-5059
- Salih Özçubukcu Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Middle East Technical University, Ankara 06800, Turkey

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.3c00415

### **Author Contributions**

U.O.S.S. conceived the idea. U.O.S.S., A.K., and E.Y. planned the experiments for molecular cloning, protein expression and purification. A.K., E.Y., C.E.O., and O.B. carried out experiments. O.O. and S.K. carried out AFM imaging experiments.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by TUBITAK, project number 216S127. We thank Recep Erdem Ahan, and Ahmet Hincer for their support with the experiments.

## ABBREVIATIONS USED

Htt, Huntingtin; ThT, Thiofavin T; AFM, atomic force microscopy

#### REFERENCES

(1) Roos, R. A. C. Huntington's disease: a clinical review. Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases 2010, 5 (1), 40.

(2) Semaka, A.; Kay, C.; Doty, C.; Collins, J. A.; Bijlsma, E. K.; Richards, F.; Goldberg, Y. P.; Hayden, M. R. CAG size-specific risk estimates for intermediate allele repeat instability in Huntington disease. *J. Med. Genet* **2013**, *50* (10), 696–703. From NLM.

(3) Aziz, N. A.; Jurgens, C. K.; Landwehrmeyer, G. B.; van Roon-Mom, W. M. C.; van Ommen, G. J. B.; Stijnen, T.; Roos, R. A. C. Normal and mutant HTT interact to affect clinical severity and progression in Huntington disease. *Neurology* **2009**, 73 (16), 1280– 1285.

(4) Persichetti, F.; Ambrose, C. M.; Ge, P.; McNeil, S. M.; Srinidhi, J.; Anderson, M. A.; Jenkins, B.; Barnes, G. T.; Duyao, M. P.; Kanaley, L.; Wexler, N. S.; Myers, R. H.; Bird, E. D.; Vonsattel, J.-P.; MacDonald, M. E.; Gusella, J. F.; Orkin, S. H. Normal and expanded Huntington's disease gene alleles produce distinguishable proteins due to translation across the CAG repeat. *Mol. Med.* **1995**, *1*, 374–383. From NLM.

(5) Arrasate, M.; Finkbeiner, S. Protein aggregates in Huntington's disease. *Exp. Neurol.* **2012**, 238 (1), 1–11.

(6) Boatz, J. C.; Piretra, T.; Lasorsa, A.; Matlahov, I.; Conway, J. F.; van der Wel, P. C. A. Protofilament Structure and Supramolecular Polymorphism of Aggregated Mutant Huntingtin Exon 1. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2020**, 432 (16), 4722–4744.

(7) Jones, L.; Hughes, A. Pathogenic mechanisms in Huntington's disease. *Int. Rev. Neurobiol* **2011**, *98*, 373–418. From NLM.

(8) Pringsheim, T.; Wiltshire, K.; Day, L.; Dykeman, J.; Steeves, T.; Jette, N. The incidence and prevalence of Huntington's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Mov Disord* **2012**, *27* (9), 1083– 1091. From NLM.

(9) Rook, M. E.; Southwell, A. L. Antisense Oligonucleotide Therapy: From Design to the Huntington Disease Clinic. *BioDrugs* **2022**, 36 (2), 105–119. From NLM.

(10) Denis, H. L.; David, L. S.; Cicchetti, F. Antibody-based therapies for Huntington's disease: current status and future directions. *Neurobiol Dis* 2019, *132*, No. 104569. From NLM.

(11) Marelli, C.; Maschat, F. The P42 peptide and Peptide-based therapies for Huntington's disease. *Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases* **2016**, *11* (1), 24.

(12) Kazantsev, A.; Walker, H. A.; Slepko, N.; Bear, J. E.; Preisinger, E.; Steffan, J. S.; Zhu, Y. Z.; Gertler, F. B.; Housman, D. E.; Marsh, J. L.; Thompson, L. M. A bivalent Huntingtin binding peptide suppresses polyglutamine aggregation and pathogenesis in Drosophila. *Nat. Genet.* **2002**, *30* (4), 367–376. From NLM.

(13) Popiel, H. A.; Nagai, Y.; Fujikake, N.; Toda, T. Delivery of the aggregate inhibitor peptide QBP1 into the mouse brain using PTDs and its therapeutic effect on polyglutamine disease mice. *Neurosci. Lett.* **2009**, *449* (2), 87–92. From NLM.

(14) Özçelik, C. E.; Beğli, Ö.; Hınçer, A.; Ahan, R. E.; Kesici, M. S.; Oğuz, O.; Kasırga, T. S.; Özçubukçu, S.; Şeker, U. Ö. Ş. Synergistic Screening of Peptide-Based Biotechnological Drug Candidates for Neurodegenerative Diseases Using Yeast Display and Phage Display. *ACS Chem. Neurosci.* **2023**, *14*, 3609.

(15) Xi, W.; Wang, X.; Laue, T. M.; Denis, C. L. Multiple discrete soluble aggregates influence polyglutamine toxicity in a Huntington's disease model system. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6* (1), No. 34916.

(16) Dahlgren, P. R.; Karymov, M. A.; Bankston, J.; Holden, T.; Thumfort, P.; Ingram, V. M.; Lyubchenko, Y. L. Atomic force microscopy analysis of the Huntington protein nanofibril formation. *Nanomedicine* **2005**, *1* (1), 52–57. From NLM.

(17) Sathasivam, K.; Neueder, A.; Gipson, T. A.; Landles, C.; Benjamin, A. C.; Bondulich, M. K.; Smith, D. L.; Faull, R. L.; Roos, R. A.; Howland, D.; Detloff, P. J.; Housman, D. E.; Bates, G. P. Aberrant splicing of HTT generates the pathogenic exon 1 protein in Huntington disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2013**, *110* (6), 2366–2370. From NLM.

(18) Heiser, V.; Scherzinger, E.; Boeddrich, A.; Nordhoff, E.; Lurz, R.; Schugardt, N.; Lehrach, H.; Wanker, E. E. Inhibition of huntingtin

fibrillogenesis by specific antibodies and small molecules: implications for Huntington's disease therapy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2000**, 97 (12), 6739–6744. From NLM.

(19) Busch, A.; Engemann, S.; Lurz, R.; Okazawa, H.; Lehrach, H.; Wanker, E. E. Mutant huntingtin promotes the fibrillogenesis of wildtype huntingtin: a potential mechanism for loss of huntingtin function in Huntington's disease. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2003**, *278* (42), 41452–41461. From NLM.