Oxygen Stimulation of Apparent Photosynthesis in Flaveria linearis'

Received for publication December 26, 1985

R. HAROLD BROWN*, JOSEPH H. BOUTON, AND PHILIP T. EVANS Department of Agronomy, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602

ABSTRACT

A plant was found in the C_3 - C_4 intermediate species, *Flaveria linearis*, in which apparent photosynthesis is stimulated by atmospheric O_2 concentrations. A survey of 44 selfed progeny of the plant showed that the $O₂$ stimulation of apparent photosynthesis was passed on to the progeny. When leaves equilibrated at 210 milliliters per liter $O₂$ were transferred to 20 milliliters per liter O_2 apparent photosynthesis was initially stimulated, but gradually declined so that at 30 to 40 minutes the rate was only about 80 to 85% of that at 210 milliliters per liter O_2 . Switching from 20 to 210 milliliters per liter caused the opposite transition in apparent photosynthesis. All other plants of F . linearis reached steady rates within 5 minutes after switching O_2 that were 20 to 24% lower in 210 than in 20 milliliters per liter O_2 . At low intercellular CO_2 concentrations and low irradiances, O_2 inhibition of apparent photosynthesis of the aberrant plant was similar to that in normal plants, but at an irradiance of 2 millimoles quanta per square meter per second and near 300 microliters per liter $CO₂$ apparent photosynthesis was consistently higher at 210 than at 20 milliliters per liter O_2 . In morphology and leaf anatomy, the aberrant plant is like the normal plants in F . *linearis*. The stimulation of apparent photosynthesis at air levels of $O₂$ in the aberrant plant is similar to other literature reports on observations with C_3 plants at high $CO₂$ concentrations, high irradiance and/or low temperatures, and may be related to limitation of photosynthesis by triose phosphate utilization.

Inhibition of AP^2 by O_2 is a well documented phenomenon in C_3 plants and results from competition between O_2 and CO_2 for RuBP during $CO₂$ assimilation plus the oxidation of glycolate to yield $CO₂$ in the photorespiratory cycle (6). The extent of inhibition of AP by atmospheric O_2 concentration is about 30% in C_3 species when tested near atmospheric levels of $CO₂$ (2). Some species classified as C_3-C_4 intermediates are less sensitive, their AP being inhibited by about 20% at atmospheric levels of $O₂$ (3, 11, 14). Flaveria linearis is one of the C_3-C_4 species found to have lower O_2 inhibition than C_3 species (14).

Because $CO₂$ and $O₂$ compete for reaction with RuBP, AP of leaves of C_3 species are generally O_2 -insensitive at high CO_2 concentrations (1, 5, 18), but in some cases AP has been slightly higher at 210 ml L^{-1} than at lower O₂ levels (5, 8, 12, 15, 18, 20,

21). It has been suggested that $O₂$ is required to sustain a high rate of photophosphorylation to support AP at high $CO₂$ concentrations (5). Sharkey (17, 18) recently postulated that $O₂$ -insensitivity of AP may result from AP being limited by TPU. If AP at 210 ml L^{-1} O₂ is limited by utilization of triose phosphates rather than by substrate levels (\overline{R} uBP or $CO₂$) then it is predicted that reducing O_2 around the leaf would not increase AP. According to Sharkey (17, 18), shortage of Pi may be involved in the limitation because of accumulation of phosphate in carbon cycle intermediates. It has been observed that when Pi is sequestered by infiltrating mannose into spinach leaf discs $O₂$ sensitivity of AP is lost (10). The shortage of Pi may be exacerbated by low $O₂$ since under conditions of $O₂$ -insensitivity of AP, lowering $O₂$ concentration has been found to raise the levels of RuBP and 3- P-glyceraldehyde (TD Sharkey, personal communication). Therefore, under conditions of TPU limitation, O₂ may have no effect or may actually stimulate AP because less Pi is tied up in phosphorylated intermediates and phosphoglycolate produced by reaction of O_2 and RuBP is also a source of Pi.

 $O₂$ -insensitivity or stimulation of AP has been observed only at low temperatures $(8, 12)$, at $CO₂$ levels above atmospheric (12, 17, 18, 20, 21), or at concentrations near atmospheric in plants under stress (18). In this paper we report the $O₂$ response of AP in a plant of the species, F. linearis, in which AP is stimulated by 210 ml L^{-1} O₂ at atmospheric CO₂ concentrations, and under nonstress growth conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material. Seeds of Flaveria linearis were kindly supplied by Dr. M. S. B. Ku, Washington State University. When several plants grown from these seed were tested for $O₂$ inhibition of AP at 320 μ l L⁻¹ CO₂ all exhibited about 20% inhibition by 210 ml L^{-1} O₂ compared to 20 ml L^{-1} , except one plant designated 84-9, in which AP was either $O₂$ -insensitive or was lower at 20 ml L^{-1} than 210 ml L^{-1} O₂. This plant was propagated vegetatively and grown in 3-L pots filled with a 1:1:1 (by volume) mixture of peat:soil:Perlite. Other plants from the same seed lot were handled in the same way. In addition, for some experiments plants of the C_4 species *Flaveria trinervia* (14) and the C_3 species Panicum boliviense (4) were grown under the same conditions for comparison to F . linearis. Plants were grown in a greenhouse in which temperatures ranged from a maximum of 30 to 35°C during the d to a minimum of about 25°C at night. They were grown during summer when maximum irradiance at midd was 1.5 to 2.2 mmol quanta $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ PAR. Plants were fertilized twice weekly with full strength Hoagland solution.

Gas Exchange Measurements. Measurements of AP and transpiration were made in acrylic plastic chambers ¹³ cm long, ⁷ cm wide, and 7.5 cm deep. The chambers had a removable top which was held in place by bolts and wing nuts. Soft closed-cell plastic gaskets provided an effective seal when leaves were enclosed. The chamber was separated into two compartments by

^{&#}x27;Supported by state and Hatch funds allocated to the Georgia Agricultural Experiment Station and the United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, under Grant 5901-0410-8-0181- 0 from the Competitive Research Grants Office.

²Abbreviations: AP, apparent photosynthesis; RuBP, ribulose bisphosphate; TPU, triose phosphate utilization; c_i , intercellular CO_2 concentration.

an acrylic plastic partition which had a 2.5 cm diameter hole in each end. The upper compartment was ¹ cm deep and the lower was 6.2 cm deep. A fan and ^a cooling coil was installed in the bottom compartment, and air was circulated over the coil, through the hole in the opposite end of the partition, and past the leaf enclosed in the upper compartment. Water was circulated through the cooling coil from ^a temperature controlled bath. A fine wire thermocouple was pressed against the lower side of the enclosed leaf. Four similar chambers were arranged in a circle under ^a ¹⁵⁰⁰ W multivapor lamp and ^a switching system was used to sequentially monitor chambers for exhaust gas and temperature for ⁵ min periods. Thus, four leaves could be measured in one experiment.

Prepared gas mixtures were humidified to a dewpoint of 11 to 13° C (constant to within 0.3 $^{\circ}$ C for a given experiment) by passing first through a flask containing water and then through a water cooled condenser. Transpiration raised the dewpoint in the chamber to 17 to 24°C. Water vapor and $CO₂$ differentials in intake and exhaust gas were measured with a dewpoint hygrometer and an IR gas analyzer, respectively. Water vapor and CO2 exchange were calculated from flow rates and concentration differentials in intake and exhaust air. Leaf temperature was maintained at 30°C and incident PAR was 2 mmol quanta m^{-2} s^{-1} , except in the experiment involving light response. All AP measurements were made on the youngest fully expanded leaf on vegetative shoots.

Variation in $O₂$ Sensitivity of AP within the Genotype. $F₁$. linearis 84-9 was self-pollinated by dusting the inflorescence with pollen collected from several 84-9 plants, using a small, soft bristle brush. Seeds produced were germinated and plants were cultured as in the previous section, except the pots were smaller (1L). Forty-four plants were tested for $O₂$ inhibition of AP when they had grown to a height of 10 to 20 cm.

Testing was done by measuring AP at 20 and 210 ml $L^{-1}O_2$. Measurements were made at $CO₂$ concentrations and dewpoints in the leaf chamber which ranged from 295 to 315 μ l L⁻¹ and 17 to 21°C, respectively. For a given leaf, $CO₂$ concentrations in the chamber never differed more than 10 μ l L⁻¹ for comparison of AP at 20 and 210 ml $L^{-1}O_2$ and the differences were usually less than 5 μ l L⁻¹. Leaf area in the chamber ranged from 2.5 to 5.0 cm-2. The measurements of AP were repeated on three separate leaves of each plant.

Time Course of AP after Changes in $O₂$ Concentration. Compressed gas cylinders were prepared with mixtures containing 335 μ l L⁻¹ CO₂, and 20 or 210 ml L⁻¹ O₂, with the balance N₂. One of the chambers described under the gas exchange measurement section was used, and AP was monitored continuously. The leaf chamber was flushed at a rate of 0.4 L min⁻¹ with 20 or 210 ml L^{-1} O₂ until steady state AP was attained. The gas stream was then quickly changed with a switching valve to the other O_2 concentration and AP was followed for 25 min in the first set of measurements and 40 min in others with a constant flow rate. Responses of a plant produced from seed of 84-9 (designated 84-9s), and one from rooted cuttings of F . *linearis* (84-5) were compared. Measurements were made on three leaves of each plant after switching from 20 to 210 ml L^{-1} and three leaves of 84-9s and two of 84-5 after switching from 210 to 20 ml L^{-1} O₂. Rates of AP were calculated at 5 min intervals after switching O_2 concentrations. The chamber was fully flushed at 2 to 3 min after switching.

Light Response of AP. Measurements of AP were made at irradiances of 0.2, 0.45, 0.8, and 2.0 mmol quanta m^{-2} s⁻¹. Irradiance was varied by placing plastic shade screens over the leaf chamber. At each irradiance AP was measured at 20 and 210 ml L^{-1} O₂, on three leaves of *F. linearis* (84-5) and three leaves each of two plants grown from seed of 84-9 (84-9s). Concentrations of $CO₂$ in the leaf chamber were maintained in

the range of 310 to 340 μ l L⁻¹ (the higher concentrations at the lowest irradiance) and dewpoint was kept in the range of 17 to 22°C. For two leaves of each plant the irradiance was changed stepwise from highest to lowest and in the third from lowest to highest. The sequence did not appear to influence the response.

Response of AP to CO₂ Concentrations. The response of AP to $CO₂$ concentration was determined by measurements at 20 ml L^{-1} O₂ and CO₂ concentrations entering the leaf chamber of 83, 125, 207, 335, and 500 μ l L⁻¹. Measurements at 210 ml L⁻¹ 02 were made with gases containing 83, 113, 210, 328, and 487 μ l L⁻¹ CO₂. Concentrations of CO₂ leaving the chamber were assumed to represent that surrounding the leaf, since the air was well stirred in the chamber, c; was calculated from leaf conductance derived from transpiration. Dewpoints in the leaf chamber ranged from 17 to 22°C during the experiment, and for a given leaf the variation was 2°C or less.

Response of AP to $CO₂$ was determined for F. linearis (plants 84-9, 84-5, 84-7, and 84-8), *F. trinervia* (C_4) , and the C_3 species Panicum laxum (4). Response curves were established for three separate leaves of each plant, except F . *linearis* 84-9, for which five leaves were measured. Since the response was similar for F. linearis 84-5, 84-7, and 84-8 the data for these were averaged.

RESULTS

The influence of 210 ml L⁻¹ O₂ on AP in progeny of *Flaveria* linearis 84-9 ranged from 12.7% inhibition to 27.3% stimulation (data not shown). Of the 44 plants tested, however, only three had O_2 inhibition of AP, with values of 3.7, 5.8, and 12.7%. Response of steady state AP to $O₂$ for none of the plants approached the 20 to 24% O_2 inhibition in other *F*. linearis plants (Fig. 1). There was a range of AP at 210 ml $L^{-1}O_2$ from 13.4 to 27.4 mmol CO_2 m⁻² s⁻¹, but AP rate was not related to O_2 response.

The stimulation of AP by O_2 in plant 84-9s was not immediate upon switching from 20 to 210 ml L^{-1} or vice versa. There was an initial (5 min after switching) inhibition of AP in two or three leaves upon changing from 20 to 210 ml L⁻¹ O₂ and AP was initially stimulated upon changing from 210 to 20 ml L^{-1} O₂ (Fig. 1). Inhibition of AP by 210 ml $L^{-1}O_2$ and stimulation by 20 ml L^{-1} disappeared with time after switching, rapidly for about 20 min and more slowly for an additional 20 min. After 40 min, stimulation of AP by 210 ml $L^{-1}O_2$ was 20 to 25%. In contrast to plant 84-9s, AP of F. linearis 84-5 was inhibited 20 to 24% by 210 ml $L^{-1}O_2$ and stimulated 27 to 30% by low O_2 and the O_2 effect was constant from 5 to 40 min after changing

FIG. 1. Percent change in AP at various times after switching from 210 to 20 ml L⁻¹ O₂ (- - -) and from 20 to 210 ml L⁻¹ O₂ (-----) for *F*. linearis 84-5 (\bullet , \blacksquare , \blacktriangle) and selfed progeny of 84-9 (\bigcirc , \Box , \triangle). Measurements were made at 2.0 mmol quanta $m^{-2} s^{-1}$, 30°C and 335 μ l L⁻¹ CO₂. Different symbols represent separate leaves.

 $O₂$ concentrations. This degree of $O₂$ inhibition at atmospheric $CO₂$ levels is consistent with other data for $C₃-C₄$ species (4, 11).

The stimulation of AP by O_2 occurred only at high irradiances. At the lowest irradiance AP of all three F . *linearis* plants was inhibited about 30%, a value typical of C_3 species (Fig. 2A).
Upon raising the irradiance to 2 mmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹, AP was inhibited 19.5% by O_2 in plant 84-5, whereas, AP of the two 84-9s plants was stimulated by 20 to 25%. The influence of $O₂$ on AP in the 84-9s plants changed steadily with increasing irradiance, whereas in 84-5 inhibition of AP remained nearly constant at 30% until irradiance was raised above 0.8 mmol quanta $m^{-2} s^{-1}$.

In contrast to most C_3 species and to plant 84-5 in Figure 2, AP in the 84-9s plants was saturated at lower irradiances with 20 than with 210 ml $L^{-1}O_2$ (Fig. 2B). Because of this, AP became higher at 210 than 20 ml $L^{-1}O_2$ at about 1.1 mmol quanta m⁻² s^{-1} in one 84-9s plant and about 0.65 mmol quanta $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ in the other. Stimulation of AP by O_2 occurred at a lower irradiance in the plant that had the lower maximum AP rate.

The response of AP to $CO₂$ was similar in 84-9 and other F . *linearis* plants at low c_i , but at c_i above 100 μ l L⁻¹ the divergence is remarkable (Fig. 3). Whereas for normal F. linearis plants AP was a linear function of c_i up to about 200 μ l L⁻¹ and with some curvature to about 325 μ l L⁻¹, AP at 210 ml L⁻¹ O₂ in 84-9 was $CO₂$ saturated at about 225 μ l L⁻¹. At 20 ml L⁻¹ O₂ there was a sharp break in the response of AP at a c_i of 100 μ l L⁻¹ and a decrease in AP with further increases in c_i . The maximum AP at 20 ml L^{-1} O₂ was only about 50% as high for plant 84-9 as for the other F . linearis plants. Data (not shown) for F . trinervia (C_4) and P. laxum (C_3) were similar to that previously published for C_4 and C_3 species (2). The C_4 species showed no O_2 sensitivity at any CO₂ concentration and AP saturated at about 100 μ l L⁻ c_i . In P. laxum AP was inhibited about 35% by 210 ml L⁻¹ O₂ at a c_i , (200 μ l L⁻¹) in approximate equilibrium with atmospheric $CO₂$.

DISCUSSION

The plant Flaveria linearis 84-9 has an $O₂$ sensitivity of AP different from other plants in the species and also unique among

FIG. 2. Response of AP in F. linearis to irradiance at 210 (- - -) and 20 ml $L^{-1} O_2$ (-----) (B), and the change in AP at 210 ml $L^{-1} O_2$ relative to that at 20 ml L^{-1} O_2 as a function of irradiance (A). *F. linearis* 84-5 is represented by (O, \bullet) , and two selfed progeny of F. linearis 84-9 are represented by $(\Delta, \blacktriangle, \square, \square)$. Vertical bars are ± 1 SD. AP was measured at 30°C and 310 to 340 μ l L⁻¹ CO₂.

FIG. 3. Response of AP in F. linearis to c_i at 20 (---) and 210 ml L⁻¹ O₂ (- - -). (O, \bullet), average AP for three leaves each of plants 84-5, 84-7 and 84-8. (Δ, \triangle) , averages of measurements of five leaves of 84-9. Measurements made at 30°C and 2 mmol quanta m^{-2} s⁻¹.

plants reported in the literature. This unique response is most clearly demonstrated as a stimulation of AP by $O₂$ at atmospheric levels of $CO₂$, high irradiance, and near optimum temperature. This is in contrast to all other species reported, which under these conditions show either O_2 inhibition of AP as in C_3 and C_3-C_4 species or a lack of O_2 sensitivity characteristic of C_4 species, CAM species during nocturnal $CO₂$ assimilation, and some algae (2, 4, 6, 11, 12).

In characteristics other than $CO₂$ exchange plant 84-9 appears similar to other F . linearis plants with which we have compared it. Floral and foliar characteristics are identical to those of other plants from the same seed source. Leaf anatomy and $CO₂$ compensation concentrations are not distinguishable from other F. linearis plants (data not shown).

Plant 84-9 is easily distinguishable in the magnitude of AP and especially its response to CO₂, irradiance, and O₂. In the test of 84-9 progeny the average AP at 210 ml L⁻¹ O₂ was 21.6 \pm 2.3 μ mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹ which along with data in Figures 2B and 3 indicate that this plant had about 70 to 80% of the AP of other F . linearis plants. In plant 84-9, AP was saturated at lower c_i and irradiance than other F . *linearis* plants. The lower AP and lower $CO₂$ and irradiance levels required for saturation, along with $O₂$ stimulation of AP, may indicate TPU limitation of AP suggested by Sharkey (17, 18).

A stimulation of AP by O_2 has been reported in some C_3 species under conditions of high $CO₂$, high irradiance, and/or low temperature (5, 8, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18). However, no reports of 02 stimulation of AP have been made for temperatures as high as 30°C with c_i as low as 200 μ l L⁻¹. In addition, inhibition of AP by exposure to low O_2 has in several cases been transitory, with recovery requiring only a few minutes (5, 15, 20). Response of AP of 84-9 to $CO₂$ and $O₂$ concentrations most closely resembles the results of Cornic and Louason (8) for measurements conducted with C_3 species at 5°C. They observed stimu-
lation of AP by 210 ml $L^{-1}O_2$ at CO_2 concentrations of 200 to 400 μ l L⁻¹ or higher. In most other reports, much higher CO₂ concentrations were required (5, 15, 18, 21). Some of the variation in conditions required for $O₂$ stimulation of AP is undoubtedly due to the way plants were grown and experimental protocol. The stimulation in 84-9, however, is not due to growth or experimental conditions, since other plants under the same conditions did not show O_2 insensitivity or stimulation of AP.

Sharkey (17, 18) proposed that in addition to the limitation of AP by RuBP carboxylase activity and RuBP regeneration, AP could also be limited by TPU. This limitation was postulated to

occur when the capacity of a leaf to convert triose phosphates to starch or sucrose is reached. Under this limitation Pi would be accumulated in carbon cycle intermediates and Pi in the chloroplast would drop below the level required for photophosphorylation. Limitation by TPU is most likely to occur at high irradiance and $CO₂$ concentrations when synthesis of triose phosphates is high or under stress conditions when utilization of photosynthetic products is low. Under these conditions, reducing $O₂$ concentration would not stimulate AP because the rate is limited by utilization of photosynthetic products rather than by substrate levels or activation of RuBP carboxylase.

As shown by Sharkey (18) for plants with $O₂$ -insensitivity, photorespiration was probably occurring in plant 84-9 under conditions of $O₂$ stimulation of AP. This is indicated by the initial inhibition of AP when leaves equilibrated at 20 ml L^{-1} O₂ were switched to 210 ml L^{-1} O₂. Switching from 210 to 20 ml L^{-1} O_2 also caused an initial stimulation of AP. In fact, the rate of change in AP from 5 to 10 min after switching O_2 levels (Fig. 1) indicates that the initial effect of switching may have been similar to that in the other F . *linearis* plants. So RuBP oxygenation rates in plant 84-9 may be similar to those in other F . linearis at high as well as low $CO₂$ concentrations.

Higher photophosphorylation and consequently greater RuBP synthesis has been suggested as the cause of higher AP when $O₂$ is increased $(5, 15)$. Since $O₂$ stimulation in plant 84-9 occurs at $CO₂$ levels where RuBP limitation is most likely, this suggestion implies that AP would be increased at 210 ml L^{-1} O₂ due to increased RuBP pool size. However, evidence that RuBP levels in leaves are higher at 210 than 20 ml $L^{-1} O_2$ is not available and most published data show the opposite. In spinach protoplasts (7) and soybean and bean leaves (1, 9) RuBP levels decreased as O_2 was increased. In wheat leaves O_2 had little effect on RuBP concentration at 350 and 1400 μ l L⁻¹ CO₂, but at 100 μ l L⁻¹ CO₂ RuBP was decreased in 210 compared to 1 ml L⁻¹ $O₂$ (16). Thus, it appears that RuBP levels are similar or higher at low $O₂$ concentrations even under conditions such as high irradiance and high $CO₂$ in which AP would be expected to be insensitive to or stimulated by $O₂$.

The changes in AP with time after switching O_2 concentrations in Figure ¹ may reflect changes in activation of RuBP carboxylase. Sharkey et al. (19) have shown that under conditions of TPU limitation in bean, RuBP carboxylase is at a higher state of activation under atmospheric $O₂$ than at low levels. Changes in AP with time after switching O_2 in Figure 1 are similar to the time required for changes in activation of RuBP carboxylase in wheat leaves after raising or lowering $O₂$ concentrations (16).

The difference in O_2 response between 84-9 and other F. linearis plants is undoubtedly genetic, and possibly results from mutation of some gene or genes coding for enzymes involved in $CO₂$ metabolism. The variation in $O₂$ response of AP among the self-pollinated progeny from 84-9 may be genetic variation, but none of the plants had O_2 inhibition approaching that of the other F . linearis plants and variability among the replicate measurements of the progeny was high. Further studies of inheritance of this trait will be conducted using hybrids between 84-9 and other F. linearis plants as well as other Flaveria species.

The significance of occurrence of a plant with $O₂$ stimulation of AP in a species with C_3-C_4 photosynthesis is not known. Perhaps it is an extreme case of the reduced O_2 inhibition usually observed in C_3-C_4 species and the mechanism for reducing O_2 sensitivity may be common for 84-9 and other C_3-C_4 plants.

Except for two experiments, one each with species of Panicum and Flaveria (13, 14) AP has not been examined in plants of this photosynthetic type at $CO₂$ concentrations above atmospheric. In both of these studies, however, AP was inhibited by $O₂$ in the C_3 -C₄ species at the highest CO₂ levels used (about 400 μ l L⁻¹ c_i). In C₃-C₄ Flaveria species the inhibition of AP at 350 μ l L⁻¹ c_i appeared to be greater than in the C_3 species, Lycopersicon esculentum (13). So, if O_2 response of AP in the plant 84-9 results from the same mechanism as the reduced O_2 inhibition of AP in other C_3-C_4 plants, it is quantitatively a substantially different response.

The mechanism of O_2 stimulation of AP is still far from clear, as are its implications under physiological conditions. The plant described in this report should be a valuable one for study of $O₂$ sensitivity of AP since O_2 stimulates AP under temperature, light, $CO₂$, and $O₂$ conditions commonly encountered in nature.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. BADGER MR, TD SHARKEY, ^S VON CAEMMERER 1984The relationship between steady-state gas exchange of bean leaves and the levels of carbon reduction cycle intermediates. Planta 160: 305-313
- 2. BJÖRKMAN O 1971 Comparative photosynthetic $CO₂$ exchange in higher plants. In MD Hatch, CB Osmond, RO Slatyer, eds, Photosynthesis and Photorespiration. Wiley-lnterscience, New York, pp 18-32
- 3. BROWN RH ¹⁹⁸⁰ Photosynthesis of grass species differing in carbon dioxide fixation pathways. IV Analysis of reduced oxygen response in Panicum milioides and Panicum schenckii. Plant Physiol 65: 346-349
- 4. BROWN RH, JH BOUTON, PT EVANS, HE MALTER, LL RIGSBY ¹⁹⁸⁵ Photosynthesis, morphology, leaf anatomy and cytogenetics of hybrids between C₃ and C_1/C_4 Panicum species. Plant Physiol 77: 653-658
- 5. CANVIN DT ¹⁹⁷⁸ Photorespiration and the effect of oxygen on photosynthesis. In HWSiegelman, ^J Hind, eds, Photosynthetic Carbon Assimilation. Plenum Press, New York, pp 61-76
- 6. CHOLLET R, WL OGREN 1975 Regulation of photorespiration in C_3 and C_4 species. Bot Rev 41: 137-179
- 7. COLLATZ GJ 1978 The interaction between photosynthesis and ribulose-P2 concentration-effects of light, CO₂ and O₂. Carnegie Inst Wash Year Book 77: 248-251
- 8. CORNIC G, G LOUASON 1980 The effects of O_2 on net photosynthesis at low temperature (5'C). Plant Cell Environ 3: 149-157
- 9. CREACH E, CR STEWART ¹⁹⁸² Effects of aminoacetonitrile on net photosynthesis, ribulose- 1,5-bisphosphate levels, and glycolate pathway intermediates. Plant Physiol 70: 1444-1448
- 10. HARRIS GC, JK CHEESBROUGH, DA WALKER ¹⁹⁸³ Effects of mannose on photosynthetic gas exchange in spinach leaf discs. Plant Physiol 71: 108-1 ¹ ¹
- 11. HOLADAY AS, R CHOLLET ¹⁹⁸⁴ Photosynthetic/photorespiratory characteristics of C_3 - C_4 intermediate species. Photosynth Res 5: 307-323
- 12. JOLLIFFE PA, EB TREGUNNA 1973 Environmental regulation of the oxygen effect on apparent photosynthesis in wheat. Can J Bot 51: 841-853
- 13. Ku SB, GE EDWARDS ¹⁹⁷⁸ Photosynthetic efficiency of Panicum hians and Panicum milioides in relation to C_3 and C_4 plants. Plant Cell Physiol 19: 665-675
- 14. Ku MSB, RK MONSON, RO LITTLEJOHN JR, H NAKAMOTO, DB FISHER, GE EDWARDS 1983 Photosynthetic characteristics of C_3-C_4 Flaveria species. I. Leaf anatomy, photosynthetic responses to O_2 and CO_2 , and activities of key enzymes in the C_3 and C_4 pathways. Plant Physiol 71: 944-948
- 15. McVErrY PBE, DT CANVIN ¹⁹⁸¹ Inhibition of photosynthesis by low oxygen concentrations. Can J Bot 59: 721-725
- 16. PERCHOROWICZ JT, RG JENSEN ¹⁹⁸³ Photosynthesisand activation ofrubulose bisphosphate carboxylase in wheat seedlings. Regulation by $CO₂$ and $O₂$. Plant Physiol 71: 955-960
- 17. SHARKEY TD 1985 Photosynthesis in intact leaves of C_3 plants: physics, physiology and rate limitations. Bot Rev 51: 54-105
- 18. SHARKEY TD 1985 O₂-insensitive photosynthesis in C_3 plants. Its occurrence and a possible explanation. Plant Physiol 78: 71-75
- 19. SHARKEY TD, JR SEEMAN, JA BERRY 1986 Regulation of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase activity in response to changing partial pressure of $O₂$ and
- light in *Phaseolus vulgaris*. Plant Physiol. In press
20. VIIL J, A LAISK, V OJA, T PÄRNIK 1977 Enhancement of photosynthesis caused by oxygen under saturating irradiance and high CO₂ concentrations. Photosynthetica 11: 251-255
- 21. Woo KC, SC Wong 1985 Inhibition of CO₂ assimilation by supraoptimal C02: effect of light and temperature. Aust J Plant Physiol 10: 75-85