

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Cell Rep.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 December 29.

Published in final edited form as:

Cell Rep. 2023 November 28; 42(11): 113295. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2023.113295.

KEAP1 mutation in lung adenocarcinoma promotes immune evasion and immunotherapy resistance

Anastasia-Maria Zavitsanou^{1,2,15}, Ray Pillai^{1,3,4,15}, Yuan Hao^{5,6}, Warren L. Wu^{1,2}, Eric Bartnicki^{2,7}, Triantafyllia Karakousi^{1,2}, Sahith Rajalingam¹, Alberto Herrera^{1,8}, Angeliki Karatza⁴, Ali Rashidfarrokhi^{1,2}, Sabrina Solis^{2,9}, Metamia Ciampricotti^{10,11}, Anna H. Yeaton^{1,6}, Ellie Ivanova¹, Corrin A. Wohlhieter¹¹, Terkild B. Buus^{1,12}, Makiko Hayashi¹, Burcu Karadal-Ferrena¹, Harvey I. Pass¹³, John T. Poirier⁶, Charles M. Rudin^{10,11}, Kwok-Kin Wong⁶, Andre L. Moreira¹, Kamal M. Khanna^{4,7}, Aristotelis Tsirigos^{1,3,14}, Thales Papagiannakopoulos^{1,6,16,*}, Sergei B. Koralov^{1,6,16,17,*}

¹Department of Pathology, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA

²Vilcek Institute of Graduate Biomedical Sciences, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA

³Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Medicine, VA New York Harbor Healthcare System, New York, NY, USA

⁴Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine, Department of Medicine, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA

⁵Applied Bioinformatics Laboratories, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA

⁶Laura and Isaac Perlmutter Cancer Center, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA

⁷Department of Microbiology, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA

⁸Department of Immunology and Microbial Pathogenesis, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY, USA

⁹NYU Langone Vaccine Center, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA

Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2023.113295.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Correspondence: thales.papagiannakopoulos@nyulangone.org (T.P.), sergei.koralov@nyulangone.org (S.B.K.). AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

A.M.Z. led the project and conducted experiments with assistance from R.P., T.K., A.R., S.S., M.C., E.B., E.I., A.H., and S.R. Y.H., T.B.B., and A.M.Z. performed the bioinformatic analysis. W.L.W. generated the Keap1 vectors. A.K. provided advice and reagents for ICB experiments. R.P. and A.H.Y. performed the Nanostring analysis. H.I.P. provided human tumor samples, performed sequencing, and editing the manuscript. C.A.W., J.T.P., and C.M.R. provided the human tissue microarray. A.L.M. provided human samples and advice. K.M.K. supervised the mouse immunofluorescence experiments. A.T. supervised the bioinformatics analyses. K.K.W. provided conceptual advice. A.M.Z. drafted the manuscript. All authors reviewed and discussed the final version of the manuscript.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

T.P. has received research support from Agios Pharmaceuticals, and T.P. and S.B.K. have received funding from Dracen Pharmaceuticals, Kymera Therapeutics, and Bristol Myers Squibb. T.P. has received honoraria from Calithera Biosciences and Vividion Therapeutics. T.P. and S.B.K. are authors on US provisional patent application 16/483,835: "Methods for treating cancers having a deregulated NRF2/KEAP1 pathway."

¹⁰Department of Medicine, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA

¹¹Molecular Pharmacology Program, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA

¹²LEO Foundation Skin Immunology Research Center, Department of Immunology and Microbiology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

¹³Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, NYU Langone Health, New York, NY, USA

¹⁴Institute for Computational Medicine, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA

¹⁵These authors contributed equally

¹⁶These authors contributed equally

¹⁷Lead contact

SUMMARY

Lung cancer treatment has benefited greatly through advancements in immunotherapies. However, immunotherapy often fails in patients with specific mutations like *KEAP1*, which are frequently found in lung adenocarcinoma. We established an antigenic lung cancer model and used it to explore how *Keap1* mutations remodel the tumor immune microenvironment. Using single-cell technology and depletion studies, we demonstrate that *Keap1*-mutant tumors diminish dendritic cell and T cell responses driving immunotherapy resistance. This observation was corroborated in patient samples. CRISPR-Cas9-mediated gene targeting revealed that hyperactivation of the NRF2 antioxidant pathway is responsible for diminished immune responses in *Keap1*-mutant tumors. Importantly, we demonstrate that combining glutaminase inhibition with immune checkpoint blockade can reverse immunosuppression, making *Keap1*-mutant tumors susceptible to immunotherapy. Our study provides new insight into the role of *KEAP1* mutations in immune evasion, paving the way for novel immune-based therapeutic strategies for *KEAP1*-mutant cancers.

Graphical abstract



In brief

Zavitsanou et al. show that *KEAP1* mutations in lung cancer promote immune evasion by suppressing dendritic and T cell responses, elucidating the mechanisms underlying immunotherapy resistance of *KEAP1*-mutant tumors. These findings underscore the importance of stratifying patients by *KEAP1* mutation status and pave the way for novel therapies.

INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide.¹ Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), including lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD), accounts for 85% of lung cancer cases.^{2,3} Despite improvements in therapy, NSCLC mortality remains high, with fewer than 20% of patients surviving after 5 years.² Recently, immunotherapy has emerged as an important therapeutic intervention for a range of cancer types, including NSCLC.⁴ Immunotherapeutic approaches include the use of monoclonal antibodies against major checkpoints, such as cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen protein 4 (CTLA4) and programmed death receptor 1 (PD1) or its ligand PDL1 (reviewed in Sharma et al.⁵). Despite promising results of immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) in NSCLC, either alone or with chemotherapy, improvements in survival are modest, and only a subset of patients achieve long-lasting remission.^{5–8} Understanding what limits the efficacy of these treatments is critical for development of future therapies.

Emerging evidence suggests that T cell presence in the tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) has an impact on immunotherapy response.⁹ Cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) are

the main drivers of the anti-tumor immune response and are associated with improved outcomes and responses to ICB therapies.^{10,11} Accordingly, CTL chemoattractants such as CXCL9/CXCL10 and cytokines like type I and type II interferons have also been associated with favorable outcome, as they can promote CTL effector function.^{12–14} In addition, Batf3-dependent conventional type I dendritic cells (CD103 DCs) can modulate anti-tumor T cell responses via chemokine production and presentation of tumor-associated antigens, together with providing co-stimulatory or inhibitory signals.^{15–17} Importantly, CD103 DCs have been shown to control ICB responses in preclinical models and correlate with improved survival in humans.^{18–21} The full spectrum of tumor-intrinsic and host-dependent factors that determine the strength of anti-tumor immune responses remains to be fully elucidated.

One approach to address immunotherapy resistance is to define tumor-intrinsic genetic mutations that modulate the TIME and therapy response. Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (*KEAP1*) is the third most frequently mutated gene in LUAD.²² KEAP1 is an adaptor protein for the Culin 3 (CUL3)/Ring box 1 (RBX1) E3 ubiquitin ligase complex. It targets the antioxidant transcription factor NRF2 for degradation, thereby preventing its nuclear accumulation and activation of the antioxidant program.^{23–25} The majority of somatic mutations in *KEAP1* are missense or truncating events that can generate dominant-negative forms of *KEAP1*.^{26–29} We previously established that *KEAP1* is a tumor-suppressor gene by demonstrating that *Keap1* loss accelerated *Kras*-driven LUAD progression³⁰ and metastasis.³¹ Clinical data have revealed that *KEAP1*-mutant lung cancers are resistant to standard-of-care radiation,³² covalent KRAS^{G12C} inhibitors,^{33–35} and ICB.^{36–40} Furthermore, *KEAP1*-mutant lung cancers are associated with a TIME lacking T cells,⁴¹ suggesting that mutations in *KEAP1* can have an impact on immune surveillance.

In this study, we investigated whether tumor-intrinsic *Keap1* mutations remodel the TIME and promote resistance to ICB. Using an antigenic mouse model of LUAD, we demonstrate that *Keap1*-mutant tumors suppress CD103 DC-mediated CD8 T cell immunity and drive resistance to immunotherapy. Consistent with this, our analysis of patient biospecimens showed decreased T cell and DC infiltration in *KEAP1*-mutant tumors. Using CRISPR-Cas9 editing, we confirmed that NRF2, one of the main KEAP1 binding partners, promotes immune evasion in *Keap1*-mutant tumors. Finally, we demonstrated that combining glutaminase inhibition with ICB effectively reverses this immunosuppression and sensitizes this LUAD subtype to immunotherapy. Taken together, our study reveals how tumor-intrinsic *KEAP1* mutations subvert anti-tumor immune responses and opens a new therapeutic avenue of combining metabolic modulators targeting glutamine metabolism and ICB therapies for malignancies characterized by these mutations.

RESULTS

Keap1 mutation accelerates tumor growth in antigenic and autochthonous mouse models of lung adenocarcinoma

Given that previous studies have implicated tumor-intrinsic *KEAP1* mutations in failure to respond to ICB,^{36–40} we sought to examine the impact of *KEAP1* mutations on cancer growth and immune surveillance. We generated an orthotopic transplant model of *Kras*^{G12D/+}; $p53^{-/-}$ (KP) lung cancer⁴² with cells expressing either a wild-type or a

dominant-negative form of *Keap1* (Keap1 R470C), the most frequently listed mutation of *KEAP1* in the COSMIC database (Figures 1A and S1A).^{28,43} Because earlier work has demonstrated that *Kras*-driven mouse LUAD models do not elicit robust T cell responses and do not respond to immune checkpoint inhibition therapy,^{44–48} we elected to establish a Y chromosome-driven antigenic mouse model of LUAD. We utilized gene-targeted male tumor cells (Figure S1B) and introduced them orthotopically into immunocompetent female hosts (Figure 1A). We hypothesized that the presence of Y chromosome antigens will elicit an antigenic response in female recipients as previously shown in the context of lymphoma and liver cancer.^{49,50} We validated our H-Y-driven system by ensuring that male KP tumor cells trigger an immune response in females but not in males. Indeed, KP cells grew rapidly in male mice, while tumor growth was reduced in female hosts (Figure 1B). We then performed antibody-mediated depletion of CD4 or CD8 T cells in female and male hosts. Depletion of either CD4 or CD8 T cells resulted in increased tumor burden in female (Figure 1C), but not in male mice (Figure 1D).

To investigate the role of *Keap1* mutation in modulating antitumor immune responses, we injected *Keap1* wild-type and mutant male mouse lung cancer cells into immunocompetent female hosts (Figure 1E). Critically, *Keap1*-mutant tumors displayed accelerated growth kinetics compared with controls in female mice (Figure 1E) and increased tumor burden measured by histological analysis (Figure 1F). However, no difference in tumor growth based on *Keap1* mutation was observed in male recipients (Figures 1G, 1H, and S1F). To confirm loss of KEAP1 function in our dominant-negative model, we analyzed NQO1 levels through immunohistochemistry, since *Keap1* loss is known to activate the NRF2 pathway.^{30,52,53} Our staining confirmed that *Keap1*-mutant tumors expressed higher levels of NQO1, a downstream target of NRF2 (Figure 1F). In line with this, *Keap1*-mutant cells expressed higher levels of NRF2 target genes (*Nqo1, Blvrb*, and *Slc7a11*) *in vitro* compared with *Keap1* wild-type cells (Figure S1C).

In vitro proliferation analysis of *Keap1* wild-type and mutant cells showed no differences, suggesting that *Keap1* mutation does not have an impact on the intrinsic proliferative capacity of LUAD cells (Figure S1D). To control for *Keap1* overexpression, we also generated LUAD cells carrying an empty control vector. The *in vitro* and *in vivo* growth of tumor cells transduced with an empty vector was similar to that of cells transduced with *Keap1* wild-type vector (Figures S1D–S1F). We hypothesized that if *Keap1* mutation promotes tumor growth by dampening immune responses, then *Keap1*-mutant and wild-type tumors should grow at similar rates in NOD-SCID IL2Rgamma^{null} (NSG) female mice that lack B, T, and natural killer (NK) cells. Indeed, we observed no differences, suggesting that *Keap1* mutations elicit faster tumor growth by subverting the anti-tumor immune response (Figure 1I).

We corroborated our findings of the impact of KEAP1 deficiency on tumor growth by evaluating additional LUAD orthotopic models. While the R470C mutation is one the most frequently occurring *KEAP1* mutations in LUAD, we wanted to validate that the increased proliferation of KP tumors also occurs upon genetic loss of *Keap1*. Utilizing the parental male KP cell lines, we generated *Keap1*-deficient cells (sg*Keap1*) via CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing. We confirmed increased expression of NRF2 target genes in knockout

cells, including *Nqo1* (Figure S1G), compared with cells that received the control sgRNA (sgControl). Consistent with the *Keap1*-mutant R470C cell line, sg*Keap1* tumor cells grew significantly faster than their controls (Figure S1H) and tumor-bearing lungs had increased weight, indicative of increased tumor burden (Figure S1I).

We next sought to investigate how *Keap1* mutation affects tumor growth and immune surveillance in an autochthonous genetically engineered mouse model (GEMM) of LUAD. We generated *Kras*^{LSL-G12D/+}; *Trp53*^{flox/flox} mice expressing wildtype *Keap1* (*Keap1*^{+/+}), mice heterozygous for the floxed *Keap1* conditional allele (*Keap1*^{fl/+}), or animals homozygous for the conditional allele (*Keap1*^{fl/fl}) (Figure S1J). Intratracheal administration of *Cre*-expressing lentivirus leads to the induction of LUAD tumors in these animals. Tumor burden quantification based on histological analysis revealed that partial loss of *Keap1* resulted in increased tumor burden (Figure 1K). Interestingly, mice in which *Cre*-mediated deletion resulted in homozygous loss of *Keap1* had similar tumor burden compared with animals with a wild-type *Keap1* allele (Figure 1K), which is consistent with prior studies⁵³ and data from human tumors suggesting that more aggressive tumors first acquire a missense or truncating mutation in one allele and then undergo loss of heterozygosity.^{26,27} Immunohistochemical staining for NQO1 displayed the expected gradient, where *Keap1*^{+/+} tumors had little to no staining, *Keap1*^{fl/+} tumors had focal staining, and *Keap1*^{fl/fl} were strongly positive (Figure 1K).

To investigate the mechanisms by which *Keap1*-mutant lung tumors could drive immune evasion, we sorted tumor cells from the lungs of immunocompetent female mice with KP tumors carrying a wild-type or Keap1-mutant allele and performed transcriptional profiling by RNA sequencing (RNA-seq). We found that 655 genes were upregulated, while 1,108 were downregulated in mutant compared with wild-type cells (Figure S2A). Pathway enrichment analysis revealed that NRF2-regulated pathways were upregulated and interferon pathways were the top downregulated pathways in *Keap1*-mutant tumor cells (Figure S2B). Interferon production has been shown to be critical for activation of cross presentation of tumor antigens by DCs to CD8 T cells, as well as for T cell recruitment into the tumor microenvironment.^{15,54,55} Dysregulated chemokine signaling may contribute to immune evasion, as chemokines are essential for migration of both activating and immunosuppressive leukocytes in the TIME.^{12-14,16,56,57-60} We examined chemokine expression in wild-type and mutant tumor cells. A total of seven chemokines were differentially expressed (Cxcl9, Cxcl10, Cxcl16, Ccl20, Cxcl3, Cxcl5, and Cxcl15), with all of them being expressed at lower levels in Keap1-mutant compared with wild-type tumor cells (Figure S2C). The reduced expression of these chemokines can contribute to diminished infiltration of key anti-tumor immune cells.

To examine whether genotype-specific differences were affecting the ability of tumor cells to produce interferons (IFNs), we stimulated cells with poly(dA:dT), poly(dG:dC), or IFN- α . Poly (dA:dT) is able to stimulate both DNA and RNA sensors, while poly(dG:dC) specifically stimulates DNA sensors. IFN- α binds to IFN receptors on the surface of tumor cells and activates the JAK-STAT pathway and subsequent IFN production. We treated *Keap1* wild-type, *Keap1*-mutant, and wild-type cells pretreated with the small molecule NRF2 activator Ki-696 with stimulators of IFN signaling and assessed the expression of

type I IFN genes using quantitative real-time PCR (Figure S2D). We observed that *Keap1* mutation or hyperactivation of the NRF2 pathway did not have an impact on the ability of tumor cells to produce type I IFNs and had no apparent impact on the IFN-stimulated genes. This result suggests that the diminished IFN response gene signature observed in the RNA-seq analysis of *ex vivo* isolated *Keap1*-mutant tumors could be specific to the altered TIME of *Keap1*-mutant tumors, rather than tumor-intrinsic upregulation of IFN response pathways. To investigate whether altered type I IFN signaling influences *Keap1*-mutant tumor growth *in vivo* specifically, we blocked IFN signaling using an anti-IFN- α/β receptor (anti-IFNAR) antibody.⁵⁴ After tumor engraftment, administration of anti-IFNAR antibody drastically accelerated the growth of *Keap1* wild-type tumors (Figure S2E). Remarkably, blockade of type I IFN signaling had no impact on the growth dynamics of *Keap1*-mutant tumors (Figure S2E). These findings suggest that dampened IFN signaling within the TIME is one of the mechanisms by which *Keap1*-deficient tumors evade immune surveillance.

Keap1 mutation prevents CD103 DC accumulation and activation in lung adenocarcinoma tumors

To investigate how *Keap1* mutation alters the immune microenvironment, we performed single-cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) of wildtype and mutant lung tumors. We sorted lunginfiltrating immune cells (while excluding circulating leukocytes using intravenous injection of fluorescently tagged CD45 antibody). We identified 14 clusters in our scRNA-seq analysis representing major immune cell lineages with granulocyte, T lymphocyte, B cell, macrophage, and DC subsets being the most abundant populations (Figures S3A-S3D). Given the critical roles of DCs and T cells in anti-tumor immunity, we wanted to investigate how Keap1 mutation affects DC-mediated T cell immune surveillance. Tissue-resident DCs consist of two subsets: Batf3-dependent CD103/CD8 DCs specialized in cross presenting antigens to CD8 T cells⁶¹ and CD11b DCs, which drive CD4 helper T cell responses.^{62,63} CD103 DCs are essential for anti-tumor immune responses in multiple preclinical mouse models, including the KP lung cancer model.^{15–19,47,54,55,64} To get a more detailed look at TIME-associated DC populations, we further subclustered the DCs into six populations (Figure 2A). The CD103 DC cluster expressed the canonical genes Itgae, Xcr1, Clec9a, and Naaa, while CD11b DC characteristic transcripts included Itgam, Mgl2, and CD209a.65 In addition to bona fide CD103 and CD11b DC genes, the proliferating CD103 and CD11b DC clusters also expressed the cell-cycle and proliferation markers Birc5, Mki67, Top2a, Stmn1, Cks1b, Hist1h2ae, Hist1h1b, and Hist1h2ap. The mreg DC cluster expressed Ccr7, Fscn1, and II4i1, as previously shown.⁴⁷ pDCs expressed the canonical genes Bst2, Siglech, Ccr9, Ly6d, and Klk1 (Figure S3E).⁶⁶ Our scRNA-seq analysis of Keap1-mutant tumors revealed decreased numbers of CD103 DCs, increased frequency of pDCs, and modestly increased CD11b and mreg-DC numbers (Figures 2B and 2C).

Using our flow cytometry strategy, we were able to distinguish CD103 DCs and CD11b DCs (Figure S4A). We found fewer CD103 DCs in *Keap1*-mutant tumors compared with wild-type tumors, while CD11b DCs remained unchanged (Figures 2D, 2E, and S4B). Consistent with the immunosuppressive role of *Keap1* mutation, there was no difference in CD103 DC infiltration between wild-type and mutant tumors in the less antigenic setting of male hosts (Figure S4C). When examining our other LUAD models, CD103 DC infiltration

was lower in the Keap1-knockout tumors (Figure S4D) compared with controls, as well in the autochthonous model comparing $Keap 1^{fl/+}$ with $Keap 1^{+/+}$ tumors (Figure 2F). Together, these findings indicate that tumor-intrinsic loss of Keap1 leads to diminished CD103 DC infiltration. When we examined markers of DC activation, we observed that CD103 DCs from *Keap1* wild-type tumors displayed higher levels of co-stimulatory molecules such as CD44 and CD86 compared with DCs from mutant tumors (Figure 2G). To examine the spatial distribution of CD103 DCs in *Keap1* wildtype and mutant tumors, we conducted multi-color immunofluorescence staining. We observed that CD103 DCs were primarily found in the tumor periphery (Figure 2H). Analysis of Keap1 wildtype tumors revealed notably increased intratumoral infiltration (Figure 2H) and higher overall CD103 DC numbers (Figure 2H) compared with Keap1-mutant tumors. We reasoned that if Keap1mutant tumors suppress CD103 DC-mediated anti-tumor immune responses, then Keap1 wild-type and mutant tumors should grow similarly in CD103 DC-deficient Batf3^{-/-} hosts. Analysis of $Batf3^{+/+}$ and $Batf3^{-/-}$ female C57BL/6J hosts demonstrated that, although mutant tumors displayed accelerated growth in wild-type mice, the difference in growth kinetics and tumor burden between these tumor genotypes was no longer evident in Batf3-/hosts (Figures 2J and 2K).

We next examined whether human tumors with *KEAP1* mutation display signs of immune evasion. To assess infiltration of antigen-presenting cells (DCs) we used Nanostring GeoMx digital spatial profiling. Using a tissue microarray (TMA) containing *KEAP1* wild-type and mutant LUAD human samples, we acquired GeoMx data from three different areas of each sample (Figure 2L). We developed protein modules that describe DCs (CD11c/HLA-DR) and mononuclear phagocytes (CD11c/HLA-DR/CD14/CD68/CD163) and evaluated the TMAs in which we distinguished between tumor and adjacent normal tissue based on pankeratin staining (Figures 2L and 2M). We observed a reduction in the expression of both DC modules in *KEAP1*-mutant tumors compared with patient samples in which the allele had no evident mutations (Figure 2M), consistent with our preclinical mouse model data. Interestingly, *KEAP1* mutation status negatively correlated with levels of proteins involved in antigen presentation (β -2-microglobulin and HLA-DR)^{15,67} (Figure 2N), in accordance with a reduction in DC-associated signatures (Figure 2M). This suggests that genetic inactivation of *KEAP1* results in decreased DC infiltration in both mice and humans.

Keap1 mutation suppresses CD103 DC-mediated CD8 T cell immunity

CD103 DCs are responsible for taking up dead tumor cells and cross priming CD8 and CD4 T lymphocytes.^{18,61,68,69} Furthermore, they can also promote recruitment and local expansion of CD8 T lymphocytes and support their effector function.⁷⁰ We thus sought to determine whether reduced number and functionality of CD103 DCs observed in *Keap1*-mutant tumors was driving defective T cell responses. We identified 10 phenotypically distinct populations of T cells in our scRNA-seq dataset based on gene expression profiles (Figure 3A). Based on patterns of gene expression, we identified CD8 and CD4 naive cells (Sell+Ccr7+Dapl1+Igfbp4+) and early activated CD8 and CD4 T cells characterized by intermediate expression of *Sell*, *Ccr7*, and *Lef1* and upregulation of activation markers common to both CD4 and CD8 T cells (*Ccl5* and *Nkg7*) or unique to either CD8 (*Klrk1*, *Xcl1*, *Cd7*, and *Ly6c2*) or CD4 T cells (*Ccl28*) (Figure S5A). We also observed effector/

exhausted CD4 and CD8 T cells that robustly expressed markers of exhaustion (*Pdcd1*, *Tigit, Lag3*, and *Bhlhe40*) (Figures 3A and S5A). Another cluster was a CD8 population that appeared to be a cell state between early activated and exhausted cells, as it expressed markers of activation but had no notable expression of exhaustion markers. There were two additional CD4 clusters that appeared to be T regulatory cells (*Il2ra, Ctla4, Klrg1, Foxp3*, and *Tnfrsf4*) and Th1 cells (*Vim, Ahnak, Id2, Crip1, Lgals1*, and *S100a4*) based on gene expression profiles (Figures 3A and S5A).^{71,72}

Keap1 wild-type tumors had a notable enrichment of both CD4 and CD8 early activated cells (Figures 3B and 3C), consistent with CD103 DCs facilitating T cell activation.^{16,19,20,54,55} *Keap1*-mutant tumors had higher numbers of CD8 exhausted T cells. In line with a more immunosuppressive TIME of mutant tumors, wild-type tumors had more Th1 cells, while *Keap1*-mutant tumors were enriched in T regulatory cells (Tregs) (Figures 3B and 3C). Reconstruction of the T cell receptor (TCR) repertoire from our scRNA-seq data revealed that lungs with *Keap1*-mutant and wild-type tumors were infiltrated with clonally expanded T cells, suggesting antigen-specific responses (Figure S5B). Notably, while the CD8 activated/exhausted T cell cluster was characterized by clonal T cells in both tumor genotypes, *Keap1*-mutant tumors were characterized by the presence of clonally expanded Tregs.

Flow cytometric analysis (gating strategy in Figure S6A) revealed an overall reduction in T cell infiltration in the lungs of mice with *Keap1*-mutant tumors compared with lungs of animals with KP tumors (Figures 3D, 3E, and S6B). The difference in number of recruited T cells appears to be driven by a decrease in both CD4 and CD8 T cell subsets in *Keap1*-mutant tumors (Figures 3D and 3E). CD8 T cells infiltrating *Keap1*-mutant tumors expressed lower levels of activation (CD69, PD1, and CD44) and proliferation (Ki67) markers (Figure 3F). Importantly, we observed fewer CD8 T cells producing the effector cytokines IFN- γ and TNF- α critical for tumor control in mutant tumors (Figure 3G). Consistent with the *Keap1* R470C mutant model, we found that *Keap1*-knockout tumors also had reduced activated CD8 T cells and diminished proliferation of CD8 lymphocytes (Figure S6C). Furthermore, CD8 T cells from the sg*Keap1* condition also had blunted IFN- γ and TNF- α production compared with wild-type KP tumors (Figure S6D).

Examining CD4 T cells of wild-type and mutant orthotopic tumors, we found no significant differences in their activation or proliferation. However, mutant tumors had fewer cytokine-producing CD4 T cells (Figures S6E–S6G). Interestingly, CD4 T cells were skewed toward Tregs and away from a pro-inflammatory Th1 cell phenotype in *Keap1*-mutant tumors, consistent with the immunosuppressive role of this mutation (Figure S6H). Strikingly, there was no difference in total T cells or frequency of Tregs in the less antigenic setting of male hosts (Figure S6I).

To further investigate whether *Keap1* mutation inhibits CD8 and/or CD4 anti-tumor immunity, we performed antibody-mediated depletion of CD8 and CD4 T cells to examine what role Th and cytotoxic T cells play in tumor immune surveillance. Depletion of CD8 T cells resulted in accelerated growth (Figure 3H) and increased tumor burden of *Keap1* wild-type tumors without affecting the growth of *Keap1*-mutant tumors (Figure 3I). This

suggests the presence of active CD8 T cell immune surveillance in wild-type, but not *Keap1*-mutant, tumors. Depletion of CD4 T cells resulted in accelerated growth of both wild-type and mutant tumors, suggesting that there is active CD4 T cell immune surveillance in both tumor genotypes (Figures S6J and S6K).

We next examined whether human tumors with *KEAP1* mutation display defects in T cell immune surveillance. We previously identified *KEAP1*-mutant LUAD tumors using targeted exome capture and validated these results via NQO1 staining.³⁰ Using multiplex immunofluorescence, we found that *KEAP1* wild-type tumors have increased infiltration of total CD3 T cells, CD8 T cells, and PD1-expressing CD8 T cells compared with mutant tumors (Figure 3J). In line with results from our mouse models of this malignancy, we did not observe any significant differences in the CD4 T cell infiltration patient samples irrespective of their *KEAP1* mutation status (Figure S6L).

In summary, we demonstrated that *Keap1*-mutant tumors suppress CD8 T cell immune surveillance, promoting T cell exhaustion marked by reduced activation, diminished proliferation, and decreased effector molecule production. Importantly, *KEAP1*-mutant human tumors also exhibit a similar deficit in CD8, but not CD4, T cell infiltration. Overall, our characterization of the immune landscape in *Keap1* wild-type and mutant tumors, along with depletion studies, provides critical insight into how loss of KEAP1 function disrupts the CD103DC-CD8 anti-tumor axis and compromises immune surveillance.

Keap1 mutation impairs response to immune checkpoint blockade

Engagement of CD103 DCs and T cells is a critical determinant of success of immunotherapies in several preclinical models of cancer.^{18–21} Moreover, recent evidence implicates tumor intrinsic mutations in *KEAP1* in immunotherapy resistance in humans.^{36–40} This prompted us to investigate whether suppression of the DC-CD8 T cell axis by *Keap1*-mutant tumors can drive resistance to ICB. Despite several efforts to establish genetically defined *KRAS*-driven LUAD mouse models that induce T cell responses and can respond to ICB therapy,^{44,45,48,73–75} to the best of our knowledge, none have been shown to respond to ICB therapy.^{46,48} We took advantage of our H-Y-driven orthotopic mouse model to study how *Keap1*-mutation subverts anti-tumor immune responses to ICB.

To investigate immune responses and tumor growth kinetics of wild-type and mutant tumors following immunotherapy, we injected wild-type and mutant male KP cell lines orthotopically into C57BL/6J female mice (Figure 4A). After engraftment, we treated mice with anti-PD1 monoclonal antibody (clone 29F.1A12) or isotype control. We selected this clone following testing of different anti-PD1 clones in an MC38 model (Figures S7A and S7B). Since higher tumor burden can promote resistance to ICB,⁷⁶ we initiated anti-PD1 treatment when both genotypes had equivalent tumor burden (Figure S7C). Overall, *Keap1* wild-type tumors responded better to anti-PD1 treatment than mutant tumors (Figures 4A–4C, S7D, and S7E). These results validate the clinical studies and confirm that the central role of KEAP1 in resistance to ICB.^{36,37} We did not observe changes in the numbers of T lymphocytes or DC populations (Figures 4D and 4E) upon anti-PD1 treatment. However, immunotherapy treatment resulted in increased CD8 T cell proliferation irrespective of *Keap1* status (Figure 4F). We then investigated the production of effector molecules by T

lymphocytes. We observed increased expression of IFN- γ and TNF- α in both CD4 and CD8 T cells in *Keap1* wild-type but not mutant tumors (Figures 4G and S7F). These results indicate that, although antibody treatment promotes proliferation of CD8 T cells in both genotypes, anti-tumor T cell responses are blunted in *Keap1*-mutant tumors.

NRF2 signaling pathway promotes immune evasion in Keap1-mutant tumors

Although KEAP1 binds with many proteins, cancer genome sequencing revealed that mutations in *KEAP1* and *NRF2* genes are mutually exclusive. This implies that NRF2 hyperactivation is primarily responsible for tumorigenic effects of a mutated KEAP1/NRF2 pathway.⁷⁷ Given that several lines of evidence point to the immunoregulatory role of the NRF2 antioxidant pathway,^{78–82} we performed functional studies to determine whether NRF2 is the major substrate of KEAP1 that promotes immune evasion. Using CRISPR-Cas9 editing and two different guides against *Nrf2*, we generated *Nrf2*-deficient (*Nrf2^{-/-}*) *Keap1*-mutant KP cells. Both NRF2 and its downstream target gene *Hmox1* showed decreased protein levels in *Nrf2^{-/-} Keap1*-mutant cells, similar to the *Keap1* wild-type cells (Figure S8A). Other NRF2 target genes (*Nqo1*, *Blvrb*, and *Slc7a11*) were also downregulated, confirming successful reversal of NRF2 hyperactivation in *Keap1*-mutant cells (Figure S6B). *In vitro* proliferation of *Nrf2*-deficient cells was unchanged compared with *Nrf2* wild-type cells (Figure S8C), indicating that *Nrf2* loss does not have an impact on tumor-intrinsic *Keap1*-mutant cell proliferation.

To investigate whether the NRF2 pathway is responsible for driving immune evasion in *Keap1*-mutant tumors, we injected male *Keap1*-mutant cells, wild-type (sgTom) cells, or cells deficient for *Nrf2* into female mice (Figure 5A). We found that *Keap1*-mutant tumors lacking *Nrf2* grew considerably slower compared with *Nrf2*-sufficient *Keap1*-mutant tumors (Figure 5A). Flow cytometric analysis revealed that downregulation of the NRF2 antioxidant pathway in *Keap1*-mutant tumors resulted in increased infiltration of CD103 DCs, while CD11b DCs remained unchanged (Figure 5B). Importantly, although we did not observe any changes in the number of total T cells, both CD8 and CD4 T cells infiltrating *Nrf2*-deficient *Keap1*-mutant tumors had increased cytokine production (IFN- γ and TNF- α) compared with *Keap1*-mutant tumors with a hyperactive NRF2 pathway (Figures 5C and S8D). These data demonstrate that tumorintrinsic hyperactivation of the NRF2 pathway impairs accumulation of CD103 DCs, thereby subverting immune surveillance by T lymphocytes.

Glutamine blockade synergizes with immunotherapy to reverse immunosuppression and resistance in Keap1-mutant tumors

We previously showed that a hyperactive NRF2 pathway in *Keap1*-mutant tumors drives significant metabolic rewiring that makes these tumors dependent on exogenous glutamine.^{30,83} Importantly, *Keap1*-mutant cells are highly sensitive to CB-839, a glutaminase inhibitor, which blocks the conversion of glutamine to glutamate.^{30,83–85} Since glutamine availability is critical for effector T cell function, we hypothesized that targeting the NRF2-driven metabolic rewiring of *Keap1*-mutant tumors using CB-839 may enhance anti-tumor T cell responses. We modeled this *in vitro* by examining T cell killing of tumors in the presence of CB-839. We generated *Keap1* wild-type and mutant cell lines expressing LucOS, which contains luciferase fused to T cell antigens.^{44,73} These cells were then

co-cultured with different ratios of activated OTI T cells with varying doses of CB-839, and viability was measured by bioluminescence after 24 h. Addition of CB-839 did not enhance T cell-mediated killing of *Keap1* wild-type tumor cells (Figure 5D). However, in a dose-dependent manner, CB-839 significantly increased the killing of *Keap1*-mutant tumors by OTI T cells (Figure 5D), demonstrating that inhibiting glutaminase can augment anti-tumor T cell function.

Given the enhancement in T cell-mediated killing by CB-839 *in vitro*, we then asked whether CB-839 can sensitize *Keap1*-mutant tumors to anti-PD1 treatment. We treated orthotopic *Keap1*-mutant tumors with CB-839 and monoclonal α-PD1 antibody alone or in combination. Interestingly, we observed that the combination of CB-839 with α-PD1 was more effective compared with single agents or vehicle control, resulting in decreased tumor burden and extended survival (Figures 5E and 5F). KP cells expressing a *Keap1* dominant-negative mutant were not responsive to CB-839 *in vivo*, in contrast to prior studies using *Keap1*-null cells,^{30,83} which could be the result of CB-839 acting on various immune cell populations that have been shown to have diverse metabolic programs and differential sensitivity to glutamine metabolism modulation.^{86–89} Consistent with this idea, it is important to highlight that those prior *in vivo* CB-839 studies were conducted using non-immunogenic tumor cells or immunodeficient mouse models.^{30,83,84}

Finally, we wanted to assess whether treatment of α -PD1 in combination with CB-839 is sufficient to reverse the immunosuppressive changes driven by *Keap1*-mutant tumors. Combination therapy resulted in a robust increase in CD103 DCs, while CD11b DCs remained unchanged (Figure 5G). We then examined whether augmented CD103 DC infiltration could promote a T cell-mediated anti-tumor immune response. Interestingly, we observed increased infiltration of T lymphocytes (Figure 5H) and enhanced expression of the effector molecules IFN- γ and TNF- α in CD8 and CD4 T cells (Figures 5I and S6D). On the other hand, treatment with either CB-839 or α -PD1 alone did not reverse the immunosuppressive TIME state established by *Keap1*-mutant tumors (Figures 5G–5I). These findings pave the way for a new therapeutic approach that combines metabolic modulators targeting glutamine metabolism with ICB therapies to treat lung cancer patients with *KEAP1* mutation and a hyperactive NRF2 signaling pathway.

DISCUSSION

Cancer immune evasion is a major obstacle in designing effective anti-cancer therapies.⁹⁰ Tumors can diminish their immunogenicity through multiple mechanisms: impaired antigen presentation, compromised recruitment and activation of T cells, or an increased presence of suppressive cells and modulators. Emerging clinical data highlight that alterations in *KEAP1* are associated with poor prognosis, resistance to multiple therapies including immunotherapy,^{32,36,37} and reduced T cell infiltration.⁴¹ Understanding the mechanisms of *KEAP1*-dependent immune evasion can pave the way for new immune-based therapeutic interventions. Here we demonstrated that *Keap1* loss of function dampens type I IFN signaling and CD103 DC-orchestrated CD8 T cell immunity, thereby driving immunotherapy resistance. Furthermore, analysis of human samples demonstrated that tumor-intrinsic *KEAP1* mutation similarly promotes immune evasion in cancer patients.

These findings indicate that *KEAP1* inactivation in LUAD could function as a key immune evasion mechanism.

Our *ex vivo* analysis indicates that *Keap1* inactivation is associated with reduced IFN and IFN-stimulated chemokine signatures (Figures S2A–S2C), pathways that are essential for anti-tumor immunity.^{12–14} However, *in vitro* analysis of tumor lines showed equivalent IFN production in *Keap1* wild-type and mutant tumor cells in response to various stimuli (Figure S2D). This result suggests that our *in vitro* methods may not adequately capture the complexity of the tumor microenvironment (TME). Further *in vivo* experiments revealed that blocking type I IFN had no impact on *Keap1*-mutant tumors but did accelerate the growth of *Keap1* wild-type tumors (Figure S2E). This points to a suppression of type I IFN signaling, although the precise mechanism remains elusive. Additional studies are needed to fully delineate the role of IFN signaling pathways in *KEAP1*-mediated immunosuppression and the dynamic interaction of DCs and T cells in the TIME.

T cell infiltration in the TME has been correlated with better prognosis and responses to ICB.^{9,91} Although DCs were primarily studied for their ability to prime T cells in the lymph nodes, they are now also recognized as key players at the primary tumor site.^{13,19,70} Here we demonstrated that *Keap1*-mutant lung tumors are characterized by decreased CD103 DC infiltration and activation (Figures 2D–2I, S4B, and S4C), resulting in diminished CD8 effector T cell responses (Figures 3H and 3I). Our analysis reveals that CD8 T cells from *Keap1*-mutant tumors appear more exhausted and have lower levels of activation markers, decreased proliferative capacity, and reduced production of effector molecules (Figures 3A–3G).

Since *Keap1*-mutant tumors drastically modulate the TIME via suppression of CD103 DCs and CD8 T cells, we wanted to investigate whether *Keap1* mutation could be driving resistance to ICB. Most immunogenic cancer models rely on the expression of model antigens, such as ovalbumin and LCMV-derived peptides,^{44,45,73,74} or mismatch repair genetic variants.^{48,75} Importantly, none of these lung cancer models have been shown to respond to ICB therapy,^{46,48} hindering studies aimed at investigating immunotherapy responses. We hypothesized that the lack of responses might be driven by the absence of a diverse antigenic landscape. We confirmed that our H-Y-driven antigenic model can drive anti-tumor T cell responses (Figures 1B–1D). Moreover, our examination of the T cell repertoire showed evidence of clonal immune responses within the tumors (Figure S5B). Future studies will be required to define the specific antigens present in these models and to establish the tumor reactivity of the clonal T cells.

Our *in vitro* assays demonstrated that inhibition of glutaminase with CB-839 can promote the CD8-dependent killing of *KEAP1*-mutant cancer cells (Figure 5D). These data are supported by prior studies in T cells that demonstrate that inhibition of glutamine metabolism results in enhanced tumor killing.^{86,92} In the immunogenic LUAD mouse model, glutaminase inhibition can sensitize *Keap1*-mutant tumors to immunotherapy (Figures 5E and 5F). Given the synergistic benefit we observed between glutaminase inhibition and ICB therapy, an exciting future research direction would be to examine how the rewiring of glutamine metabolism in *Keap1*-mutant tumors affects anti-tumor immune

responses. Our group, along with others, has shown that *Keap1*-mutant tumors have elevated antioxidant production^{83,85} and a heightened dependency on exogenous non-essential amino acids such as glutamine and serine.^{30,83,85} Glutamine^{88,93,94} and serine⁹⁵ are essential for T cell activation. Examining the TIME metabolic landscape will give additional insight into how *Keap1*-mutant tumors are driving immunosuppression.

NRF2, the most well characterized target of KEAP1, has been suggested to transcriptionally regulate immunomodulatory pathways such as chemokine production and the cGAS/ STING-induced type I IFN pathway, albeit primarily in an *in vitro* or non-tumor setting.^{79,81,82} Given that point mutations within *KEAP1* can impair targeting and degradation of multiple ETGE motif-containing substrates (PALB2, MCM3, IKKB, and DPP3),^{96–99} we sought to investigate whether NRF2 is the KEAP1 primary binding partner promoting immune evasion. Interestingly, we showed that loss of *Nrf2* in *Keap1*-mutant tumors results in delayed tumor kinetics in our immunogenic model and reverses the majority of immunosuppressive phenotypes in the TME. Given the pleiotropic role of the KEAP1/NRF2 pathway in tumorigenesis, it remains to be determined which of the downstream targets of NRF2 is underlying the immune evasion phenotype of *KEAP1*-mutant tumors.

Finally, our work has important clinical implications. We have demonstrated that *KEAP1*mutant LUAD patients display an immunosuppressive phenotype (Figures 2, 3, and 5) and are resistant to ICB (Figure 4). Our group has previously shown that *Keap1*-mutant tumors are highly sensitive to the glutaminase inhibitor CB-839,^{30,83,85} which blocks the conversion of glutamine to glutamate. We combined CB-839 glutaminase inhibition with α -PD1 treatment, effectively sensitizing *Keap1*-mutant tumors to immunotherapy. This combination was more effective in suppressing *Keap1*-mutant tumor growth than either agent alone. Importantly, the combination therapy reversed the immunosuppressive phenotypes associated with *Keap1*-mutant tumors. We hope our studies will encourage development of new therapeutic strategies combining ICB with glutamine metabolism inhibitors for patients with *KEAP1*-mutant tumors that are refractory to the standard-of-care combination of chemotherapy and immunotherapy.

Limitations of the study

Our study defines the impact of *Keap1*-mutant lung tumors in the suppression of anti-tumor T cell responses. A possible mechanism of immunosuppression is due to depletion of metabolites, such as glutamine, from the TME, which in turn impairs T cell function. One way to elucidate the metabolites involved would be to perform metabolic rescue experiments in the context of *in vitro* T cell killing assays. We also found that *Keap1*-mutant tumors grow independent of DC function using Batf3^{-/-} mice, yet it remains unknown if changes in tumor metabolism affect antigen cross presentation. In addition, our study highlights the synergy of CB-839 and anti-PD1 in enhancing anti-tumor T cell responses. Yet, a recent phase 2 trial found no clinical benefit of CB-839 (telaglenastat) combined with standard-of-care immunotherapy in KEAP1-mutant patients. Further studies will assess the efficacy of combining checkpoint inhibitors with newer, broader-acting, glutamine antagonists. Furthermore, while our TCR analysis revealed clonal responses within the tumors, antigen-

specific T cell responses to the tumor itself remain unproven. Functional studies of the clonal TCRs identified would be essential to determine the specificity of T cells from the TME toward tumor antigens. Finally, we demonstrated that *Keap1*-mutant tumors suppress type I IFN signaling using an anti-IFNAR antibody. However, it is unclear whether this suppression occurs in tumor cells or in surrounding immune cells. To understand if tumor-intrinsic IFN signaling is affected, further studies employing IFNAR-knockout mice or tumor-specific knockout of IFNAR are necessary.

STAR * METHODS

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact—Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Dr. Sergei Koralov (sergei.koralov@nyulangone.org).

Materials availability-Plasmids generated are available upon request.

Data and code availability

- Single-cell RNA-seq data have been deposited at GEO and are publicly available as of the date of publication. Accession numbers are listed in the key resources table.
- This paper does not report original code.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this work paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

METHOD DETAILS

Mice—All animal procedures were approved by the NYU Langone Medical Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). Animals were housed according to IACUC guidelines in ventilated caging in a specific pathogen free (SPF) animal facility. C57BL/6J mice were bred in house or purchased from Jackson Laboratories. OT-I mice were obtained from the Schwab laboratory at NYU. B6.129S(C)-Batf3^{tm1Kmm}/J were purchased from Jackson Laboratories. Animals acquired from Jackson laboratories were housed for at least one week in our SPF facility prior to experiment initiation. *Kras*^{LSL-G12D/+}; *p53*^{fl/fl} were crossed to *Keap1*^{fl/fl} (Taconic, 8799). For tumor induction, mice 6–10 weeks of age were infected intratracheally with Cre-expressing lentivirus as previously described.¹⁰⁰ Males or female mice were used as specified in the figure legends.

Tumor cell line generation—*Kras*^{G12D/+}; *p53*^{-/-} cells were isolated from a *Kras*^{LSL-G12D/+}; *p53*^{fl/fl} C57BL/6J male mouse that was infected with adenovirus expressing constitutive Cre recombinase. No clonal selection was performed. We generated *Keap1* overexpressing cells by cloning the mouse *Keap1* cDNA into Gibson compatible lentiviral backbone with hygromycin resistance cassette. *Keap1*R470C point mutation was generated by QuickChange II Site-directed Mutagenesis (Agilent) per manufacturer's protocol. Cells infected were selected with 600µg/ml hygromycin. All cell lines tested negative for

mycoplasma (PlasmoTest, InvivoGen). Cells were maintained in DMEM (Cellgro, Corning) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Sigma Aldrich) and gentamycin (Invitrogen). For *Nrf2* and *Keap1* knockout, cells were generated by transducing cells with the plasmid lenticrispr V2 puro (Addgene #98290) cloned with a specific guide. Two days after transduction cells were selected with 8 ug/mL puromycin for 5 days. Sequence of CRISPR guides: Nrf2.1:

5'-CACCGTGGAGGCAAGACATAGATCT-3';

5'-AAACAGATCTATGTCTTGCCTCCAC-3';

Nrf2.2: 5'-CACCGAGGTTGGGGGCAGCACCTGCT-3';

5'-AAACAGCAGGTGCTGCCCCAACCTC-3';

Keap1: 5'-CACCGCCGAAGCCCGTTGGTGAACA-3';

5'- AAACTGTTCACCAACGGGCTTCGGC-3'.

Tumor cell injections—Tumor cells were harvested by trypsinization and washed three times with PBS. For lung orthotopic experiments, 7–10-week-old mice were injected intravenously with $2x10^5$ KP cells expressing GFP and luciferase in 200ul endotoxin-free PBS. For MC38 subcutaneous injections, cells were injected into both right and left flack of each recipient mouse at $5x10^5$ cells in 100ul of endotoxin free PBS containing 2.5mM EDTA.

Virus preparation—Lentivirus was produced by co-transfection of HEK293 cells with viral vector and packaging plasmids (psPAX2, pMD2G) using PEI Pro (PolyPlus). Virus containing media was collected 48h and 72h post transfection and filtered through 0.45uM PVDF filter. For cell line generation, harvested virus was added onto target cells in the presence of 8µg/ml polybrene (Millipore). For *in vivo* experiments, harvested virus was concentrated by ultracentrifugation at 25000rpm for 2h at 4°C, dissolved in PBS and stored at -80°C. Virus titration was performed using the Green-Go reporter cell line as previously described.¹⁰¹

Proliferation assay—For cell proliferation assays, cells cultured in DMEM/10% FBS were trypsinized and plated into 6 well plates at 2*10^5 cells and 2ml DMEM/10% FBS media per well. Cells were counted at days 1, 3 and 6 post plating.

qPCR—mRNA was harvested from tumor cells using RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer's protocol. cDNA was synthesized from mRNA using SuperScript VILO (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer's protocol. Real-time PCR was performed with StepOne Plus PCR system using SybrGreen master mix (Applied Biosystems). Primer sequences used were as follows:

UTY-F: 5'-GAGGTTTTGTGGCATGGGAG -3';

UTY-R: 5'-TGCAGAAGATAACGAAGGAGCTA-3';

Blvrb-F: 5'-CCGATGTGGACAAGACTGTG-3'; Blvrb-R: 5'-TCGGACATTACTGTAGTGGGACT-3'; Nqo1-F: 5'-AGCGTTCGGTATTACGATCC-3' Nqo1-R: 5'-AGTACAATCAGGGCTCTTCTCG-3'; Slc7a11-F: 5'-GATTCATGTCCACAAGCACAC-3'; Slc7a11-R: 5'-GAGCATCACCATCGTCAGAG-3'; ISG15-F 5'- GGAACGAAAGGGGCCACAGCA-3'; ISG15-R 5'- CCTCCATGGGCCTTCCCTCGA-3'; IFN β -F 5' – GCTCCTGGAGCAGCTGAATG-3'; IFN β – R 5' – CGTCATCTCCATAGGGATCTTGA-3'; CXCL10 – F 5' – TGATTTCAAGCTTCCCTATGGC – 3'; IRF7 – F 5' – GAAGAGGCTGGAAGACCAACTTC-3'; IRF7 – R 5'- GAATTGTCTTGGCGCAAGATAA;

Stimulation assays—Tumor cells were plated in DMEM/10% FBS overnight. Next day, they were treated with 1ug/ml polydA:dT (Inviogen), 1ug/ml polydG:dC (Invivogen), and 10 ng/ml IFN-α (R&D, 12100–1). They were collected at the timepoints indicated in the figure. Media were collected and then tumor cells were directly lysed on the plates according to RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) protocol.

Antibody depletion experiments—For depletion of CD8 and CD4 T lymphocytes, anti-CD8a antibodies (clone: 2.43, Bioxcell) and anti-CD4 (GK1.5, Bioxcell) were used. Antibodies were diluted in PBS and injected intraperitoneally at 150µg/mouse twice a week (Monday, Friday), 7 days after tumor cell implantation until the end point of the experiment.

Labeling of circulating cells—Circulating immune cells were labeled using intravenously injected APC-conjugated CD45 antibody (30-F11, Biolegend) 3 minutes prior to sacrifice as previously described.^{45,102}

Histology analysis—Mice were euthanized by lethal doses of ketamine and xylazine. Lungs were inflated and incubated overnight at room temperature (RT) with 10% formalin. They were subsequently transferred to 70% ethanol, and subsequently embedded in paraffin. 5uM sections were stained with H&E or subjected to other immunohistochemical staining. For immunohistochemistry (IHC) we used antibody against NQO1 (1:100, HPA007308, Sigma-Aldrich). Immunohistochemistry was performed on a Leica Bond RX and slides

were imaged on a Leica SCN400F whole slide scanner. For NQO1, antigen retrieval was performed using antigen retrieval buffer pH=6 (Leica) for 20min. For detection, Leica Bond Polymer Refine Detection secondary antibody was used according to manufacturer's protocol. Total tumor lung area was quantified via H&E-stained slides using QuPath software.¹⁰³ Tumor burden and IHC analyses were done in a blinded fashion.

Immune cell isolation from lung tumors—Lungs were harvested at timepoints indicated in figure legends. Lungs were minced on glass slides followed by digestion using a cocktail of collagenase IV (125U/ml, Sigma), DNASE I (40U/ml, LifeTechnologies), 1X HEPES (Cellgro) in RPMI. Samples were incubated at 37°C for 35min and mixed by inverting every 5–8min. Digestion was quenched by adding RPMI containing 1mM EDTA final concentration. Digested samples were strained through 70µm cell strainers followed by red blood cell lysis (BD PharmLyse, BD Biosciences) for 10 min at 4°C.

Immune checkpoint blockade treatments—For immune checkpoint blockade treatment optimization on mc38 tumors anti-PD1 (RMP1–14, Bioxcell), anti-PD1 (mPD1–4H2-mIgG1-D265A, clone 6A1_RAS_Ab, Bristol Myers Squibb), anti-PD1 (29F.1A12, Bioxcell), anti-PD-L1 (10F.9G2, Bioxcell) was used. For isotype controls, IgG2b (LTF-2, Bioxcell), IgG1 (Bristol Myers Squibb), IgG2a (2A3, Bioxcell) were used. Tumor volume was measured by caliper, with volume calculated based on the following formula: (Length x Width2 x (3.14/6)). After tumor engraftment (~40–50mm³ tumor size) and before tumors reach 100mm³, animals were randomized and assigned to treatment group. For treatments of KP lung orthotopic tumors, anti-PD1 (29F.1A12, Bioxcell) was used. For anti-PD1 treatments, antibodies were diluted in PBS and injected intraperitoneally at 200ug/mouse three times a week until the end point of the experiment. For anti-PD-L1 treatment, mice were given a total of three doses intraperitoneally at 200µg/mouse every other day.

Anti-IFNAR treatment—Anti-IFNAR (MAR1–5A3) and isotype controls (IgG1, MOPC-21) were obtained from Bioxcell. Antibody was diluted in PBS. On day 0 of treatment mice were administered intraperitoneally 500 µg of either anti-IFNAR or isotype control then 250 ug every other day.

OTI/tumor *in vitro* killing assay—*Keap1* wildtype or *Keap1* mutant (R470C) KP cell lines were transduced with a lentivirus expressing LucOS and hCD2. Cells were then sorted for hCD2. OTI CD8 T cells were isolated from a spleen of an OTI mouse via negative magnetic selection. T Cells were activated in RPMI using plate bound anti-CD3 (Invitrogen 145–2C11) and anti-CD28 (Ebioscience 16–0281-82) in a 96 well flat bottom plate coated with goat anti-hamster IgG (MP Biomedical 856984). After 72 hours, T cells were expanded in a 75 mL flask with RPMI supplemented daily with recombinant mouse IL-2 (Peprotech, 25 IU/mL). On day 4 LuCOS expressing tumor cells were plated in a 96 well plate (10000 cells per well). Next day, OTI T cells were co-cultured with tumors cells (1,3, and 5:1 T cell:tumor ratio) and CB-839 (10 – 1000 nM) or vehicle (DMSO). 24 hours later cells bioluminescence was measured in a plate reader.

CB-839 treatment—Animals were treated with 200 mg/kg CB-839 or vehicle (Calithera, San Francisco, California) twice daily (morning and evening) administered through oral

gavage as before.¹⁰⁴ The vehicle contained 25% (w/v) hydroxypropyl- β -cyclodextrin in 10 mmol/L citrate (pH 2.0), and CB-839 was formulated at 20 mg/mL for a final dosing volume of 10 mL/kg.

Mouse tissue immunofluorescence—Tissues were processed as previously described.¹⁰⁵ Harvested tissues were fixed in PLP buffer¹⁰⁶ overnight at 4°C. Tissues were then dehydrated in 30% sucrose for 24 hours at 4°C and subsequently embedded in OCT media and stored at -80°C. 20mm sections were loaded on to racks (Shandon Sequenza) and washed with PBS. Sections were blocked with anti-CD16/CD32 (BioLegend, clone 93, 1/300) diluted in 1x PBS, 2% FBS, and 2% goat serum for 1h at RT. Staining antibodies were diluted in 1x PBS with 2% FBS, and 2% goat serum. Following blocking, sections were then incubated with fluorescently conjugated antibodies for CD11c (N418, Biolegend, 1/100), CD4, (Gk1.5, Biolegend, 1/100), CD103 (M290, Biolegend, 1/100) diluted in PBS with 2%FBS and 2% goat serum for 1h at room temperature. Zeiss LSM 880 confocal microscope with the Zeiss Zen Black software was used for imaging. Confocal microscopy images were analyzed using the Bitplane Imaris x64 version 9.0.2 software. Images were filtered with the 3x3x1 median filter function to reduce background autofluorescence. To quantify different cell types within tumor lesions, "spots" were made from either the positive or negative signal combinations of CD45circ-CD11c+ CD103+ (CD103 DCs). Tumors were manually constructed into "2D surfaces" based on positive GFP signal. The "find spots close to surfaces" function was then performed on generated spots and surfaces with a threshold of 0.5 to quantify generated spots located within the surfaces. These quantifications are reported as the number of spots present within constructed tumor surfaces per surface area to control for differences in tumor area between images.

Human tissue immunofluorescence—5µm paraffin sections were stained using Akoya Biosciences[®] Opal[™] multiplex automation kit reagents unless stated otherwise. Automated staining was performed on Leica BondRX[®] autostainer. The protocol was performed according to manufacturers' instructions with the following antibodies: anti-CD3 (LN10, Biocare Medical, 1/75, Opal570), anti-CD8 (C8/144, Cell Signaling Technology, 1/500, Opal620), anti-PD-1 (D4W2J, Cell Signaling Technology, 1/200, Opal520), anti-Foxp3 (236A/E7, Thermo Fisher Scientific, 1/100, Opal780). All slides underwent sequential epitope retrieval with Leica Biosystems epitope retrieval 2 solution (ER2, EDTA based, pH9, Cat. AR9640), primary and secondary antibody incubation and tyramide signal amplification (TSA) with Opal® fluorophores. Primary and secondary antibodies were removed during epitope retrieval steps while fluorophores remain covalently attached to the epitope. Semi-automated image acquisition was performed on a Vectra[®] Polaris multispectral imaging system. After whole slide scanning at 20X the tissue was manually outlined to select fields for spectral unmixing and analysis using InForm® version 2.4.7 software from Akoya Biosciences. For each field of view, a tissue segmentation algorithm (tissue/no tissue) was run prior to cell segmentation. Cells were segmented based on nuclear signal (DAPI). Cells were phenotyped after segmentation using inForm's trainable algorithm based on glmnet1 package in R. Phenotypes were reviewed for different samples during training iterations.

Flow cytometry and FACS—For surface staining, single cell suspensions were incubated for 10min with Fc receptor block (2.4G2, Bioxcell) at 4°C, followed by antibody staining for either 15min (for adaptive immune markers) or 30min (for innate immune markers) at 4°C. Staining was performed in FACS buffer (PBS, 2%BSA, 1mM EDTA). For cytokine staining, single cell suspensions were treated with a cell stimulation and protein transport inhibition cocktail, containing Golgi Plug (55029, 1/1000, BD Biosciences), Golgi Stop (555029, 1/1000, BD Biosciences), PMA (1/10000), Ionomycin (1/1000) for 3.5h. at 37°C in RPMI supplemented with 10% FBS. Cells were then surface stained as above, fixed in 2% PFA and permeabilized with 0.5% saponin. For transcription factors, staining was performed with the Foxp3 Staining Buffer kit (00552300, eBioscience). Fluorochrome conjugated antibodies with the following specificities were used: CD3 (ebio500A2, ebioscience), CD4 (RM4-5, ebioscience), CD4 (GK1.5, BD bioscience), CD45 (30-F11, BD bioscience), CD8 (53-6.7, BD bioscience), CD44 (IM7, ebioscience), CD62L (MEL-14, ebioscience), CD69 (H1.2F3, ebioscience), Gr-1 (RB6-8C5, ebioscience), Rorgt (Q31-378, BD Bioscience), Ki67 (B56, BD Bioscience), IFN-γ (XMG1.2, ebioscience), TNF-α (MP6-XT22, ebioscience), Foxp3 (FJK-16s, ebioscience), Tbet (4B10, ebioscience), GATA3 (TWAJ, ebioscience), TCRb (H57-597, ebioscience), PD-1 (J43, ebioscience), CD86 (GL1, BD Bioscience), CD11b (M1-70, Biolegend), CD11c (N418, Biolegend), CD103 (2E7, Biolegend), CD64 (X54-5/7.1, Biolegend), CD80 (16-10A1, Biolegend), IA/IE (M5/114.15.2, eBioscience), Siglec-F (E50-2440, BD Bioscience). For viability dye (1/1000, Biolegend), cells were stained in 100ul PBS for 10min at RT. Samples were acquired using BD LSR Fortessa Cell Analyzer. For sorting, single cell suspensions were resuspended in FACS buffer (PBS, 2%FBS, 0.01% Tween) and stained for viability dye (1/1000, Biolegend) in 100ul PBS for 10min at RT followed by surface staining with antibody specific for CD45 (30-F11, PercPcy55, 1/300, Biolegend). Tumor cells (for RNA-seq) were sorted for singlets, live cells, negative for CD45 and GFP positive. Immune cells (for single cell RNA seq) were sorted for singlets, live cells, negative for CD45 circulating antibody, and CD45 positive. Cells were sorted into pre-chilled tube containing DMEM supplemented with 20% FBS. Data were analyzed with FlowJo software (Tree Star Inc).

RNA-seq—Tumor cells were sorted as described above. RNA was isolated using Purelink RNA mini kit (Invitrogen) per manufacturer's protocol. cDNA was synthesized from RNA using SMARTer PCR cDNA synthesis kit (Clontech) per manufacturer's protocol. Sequencing libraries were prepared using Nextera XT DNA library preparation kit (Illumina) per manufacturer's protocol. Samples were pooled at equimolar ratios. Libraries were loaded on an SP11 cycle flow cells and sequenced on Illumina NovaSeq6000. Read qualities were evaluated using FASTQC (Babraham Institute) and mapping to GRCm38 (GENCODE M25) reference genome using STAR programs¹⁰⁷ and RSEM¹⁰⁸ and with default parameters. Read counts, TPM and FPKM were calculated using RSEM. Identification of differentially expressed genes between wild-type and mutant tumor cells was performed using DESeq2 in R/Bioconductor. Genes without valid adjusted-p values (p-adj ==NA) were removed. All plots were generated using customized R scripts. Pathway enrichment analysis was performed using GSEA program¹⁰⁹ based on log2FC values. Gene sets were downloaded from MsigDB.¹¹⁰

Single cell RNA seq—Samples were multiplexed using cell hashing antibodies. These were covalently and irreversibly conjugated to barcode oligos by iEDDA-click chemistry ("home conjugated") as previously described.^{111,112} Cells were incubated for 10min with Fc receptor block (2.4G2, Bioxcell) and subsequently with hashing antibodies for 30min at 4°C. Cells were washed three times in PBS containing 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 0.01% Tween followed by centrifugation (300g) for 5min at 4°C and supernatant aspiration. After final wash, cells were resuspended in PBS and filtered through 40µm cell strained. Cells from each sample were pooled and loaded into 10X Chromium. Gene expression together with Hashtag oligo (HTO) libraries were processed using Cell Ranger (v5.0.0) in count mode. UMI count matrices from each modality were imported into the same Seurat object as separate assays. Cell-containing droplets were selected using the default filtering from Cell Ranger count "filtered feature bc matrix". Viable cells were filtered based on having more than 250 genes detected and less than 55% of total UMIs stemming from mitochondrial transcripts. HTO counts were normalized using centered log ratio transformation before hashed samples were demultiplexing using the Seurat::HTODemux function (positive.quantile set to 0.93). Cells from two separate droplet emulsion reactions were combined using the standard SCTrans-form integration workflow in the Seurat (v4) R package.¹¹³ Multimodal integration was performed using the weighted-nearest neighbor (WNN) method available in Seurat. Briefly, a WNN network was constructed based on modality weights estimated for each cell using FindMultiModalNeighbors function. Cell clusters were identified using FindClusters function based on the weighted SNN (WSNN) graph using leiden algorithm at various resolutions, ranging from 1 to 1.6. Cell types were annotated based on canonical cell type markers as well as differential expressed genes of each cluster identified using FindAllMarkers function in Seurat. Clusters expressing markers of the same cell type were merged into a single cluster. Single cell TCR sequence data was processed using Cell Ranger vdj pipeline to identify TCR genes and CDR3 sequences. For each sample, full length, productive TCR beta and alpha chains were retained for downstream analysis. Clonal expansions were determined using nucleotide sequences of CDR3 sequence of both chains and TRR alpha and beta genes that appeared in at least 5 cells based on all samples. TCR information was added as metadata into the scRNASeq Seurat object based on cell barcodes and sample information.

Nanostring GeoMx digital spatial profiling—Slide preparation was performed following the GeoMx DSP instructions. Briefly, 5µm TMA sections were baked for 2 hrs at 60 degrees Celsius before loading onto an automated slide strainer (LeicaBiosystems Bond RX). Slides underwent Baking and Dewaxing steps followed by blocking for 60 minutes with buffer 'W' (part of the slide prep kit for GeoMx). GeoMx antibody incubation was performed overnight at four degrees Celsius with the following combination of antibody panels: Human Immune Cell Profiling Protein Core, Human Immune Activation Status Protein, Human Immune Cell Typing Protein, Human IO Drug Target Protein, Human Pan-Tumor Protein (v1.0 for all panels and core). The Human Solid Tumor TME (v1.0) morphology marker kit was used to visualize pan-cytokeratin and CD45 antigens on the slide scan. Digital counts from barcodes corresponding to protein probes were analyzed as follows: raw counts were first normalized with internal spike-in controls (ERCC) to account for system variation. Data was normalized using the geometric mean of housekeeping

antibody counts for Histone H3 and S6. The module score was calculated by first rescaling each gene across measurements by dividing by the maximum value across measurements, then for each measurement, taking the mean of genes included in each module. Normalized values were visualized with boxplots. The wilcoxon test was used to calculate statistics between conditions. One outlier sample was removed. Outlier samples were determined as having values above the 98th percentile in more than 20 proteins. Ggplot and ggpubr R packages were used to generate plots and statistics.

Statistics—Values are represented as mean \pm SEM. Statistical analyses were performed using Prism 9 (GraphPad Software) and a p value<0.05 was considered significant. All animal experiments contained at least n>3 mice. Mann-Whitney test for flow cytometry and lung weight experiments with less than 2 experimental groups. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's test for lung weights and histological analysis with more than two experimental groups. Two-way ANOVA for growth *in vivo* growth kinetics and OTI tumor killing experiments. Survival analysis was performed using the Log-Rank (Mantel Cox) test. *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001; ****P<0.0001.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Work in the T.P. laboratory was supported by NIH grants (R37CA222504 and R01CA227649), an American Cancer Society Research Scholar grant (RSG-17-200-01-TBE), and the Emerald Foundation Young Investigator Award. Work in the S.B.K. laboratory was supported by NIH grants (R01HL125816 and R01CA271245), a LEO Foundation grant (LF-OC-20-000351), an NYU Cancer Center Pilot grant (P30CA016087), and a Judith and Stewart Colton Center for Autoimmunity Pilot grant. W.L.W. is supported by NIH training grants (T32GM007308 and 1F30CA247020). R.P. is supported by the William Rom Fellowship, the Stony Wold-Herbert Fund, and NIH T32 training grants (T32CA009161 and T32AI100853). E.B. is supported by an NIH training grant (T32AI100853-10). Work in the K.M.K. laboratory is supported by an NIH grant (R01AI143861-01). A.T. is supported by NCI/NIH P01CA229086 and NCI/NIH R01CA252239. T.B.B. was supported by the Danish Cancer Society (Kræftens Bekæmpelse). We would like to thank Drs. M. Okuniewska and S. Schwab (NYU) for providing OT-I mice and Dr. Thomas (NIH) for providing Ki696 and anti-NRF2 antibody. CB-839 was provided by Calithera. We thank the NYU Experimental Pathology Laboratory, Flow Cytometry Core, and Animal Resources Facility staff for their support and guidance. We thank the Genome Technology Center for expert library preparation and sequencing, and the Applied Bioinformatics Laboratories for providing bioinformatics support and helping with the analysis and interpretation of the data. These cores are partially supported by NYU Cancer Center grant P30CA016087. This work has used computing resources at the NYU School of Medicine High Performance Computing Facility. We are grateful to Drs. Frey and Reizis for their feedback on the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, and Jemal A (2019). Cancer statistics, 2019. CA. Cancer J. Clin 69, 7–34. 10.3322/caac.21551. [PubMed: 30620402]
- Cronin KA, Lake AJ, Scott S, Sherman RL, Noone AM, Howlader N, Henley SJ, Anderson RN, Firth AU, Ma J, et al. (2018). Annual Report to the Nation on the Status of Cancer, part I: National cancer statistics. Cancer 124, 2785–2800. 10.1002/cncr.31551. [PubMed: 29786848]
- Herbst RS, Morgensztern D, and Boshoff C (2018). The biology and management of non-small cell lung cancer. Nature 553, 446–454. 10.1038/nature25183. [PubMed: 29364287]
- Cable J, Greenbaum B, Pe'er D, Bollard CM, Bruni S, Griffin ME, Allison JP, Wu CJ, Subudhi SK, Mardis ER, et al. (2021). Frontiers in cancer immunotherapy-a symposium report. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci 1489, 30–47. 10.1111/nyas.14526. [PubMed: 33184911]

- Sharma P, Hu-Lieskovan S, Wargo JA, and Ribas A (2017). Primary, Adaptive, and Acquired Resistance to Cancer Immunotherapy. Cell 168, 707–723. 10.1016/j.cell.2017.01.017. [PubMed: 28187290]
- Howlader N, Forjaz G, Mooradian MJ, Meza R, Kong CY, Cronin KA, Mariotto AB, Lowy DR, and Feuer EJ (2020). The Effect of Advances in Lung-Cancer Treatment on Population Mortality. N. Engl. J. Med 383, 640–649. 10.1056/NEJMoa1916623. [PubMed: 32786189]
- Dal Bello MG, Alama A, Coco S, Vanni I, and Grossi F (2017). Understanding the checkpoint blockade in lung cancer immunotherapy. Drug Discov. Today 22, 1266–1273. 10.1016/ j.drudis.2017.05.016. [PubMed: 28600190]
- Brahmer JR, Govindan R, Anders RA, Antonia SJ, Sagorsky S, Davies MJ, Dubinett SM, Ferris A, Gandhi L, Garon EB, et al. (2018). The Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer consensus statement on immunotherapy for the treatment of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). J. Immunother. Cancer 6, 75. 10.1186/s40425-018-0382-2. [PubMed: 30012210]
- 9. Chen DS, and Mellman I (2017). Elements of cancer immunity and the cancer-immune set point. Nature 541, 321–330. 10.1038/nature21349. [PubMed: 28102259]
- Ayers M, Lunceford J, Nebozhyn M, Murphy E, Loboda A, Kaufman DR, Albright A, Cheng JD, Kang SP, Shankaran V, et al. (2017). IFN-γ-related mRNA profile predicts clinical response to PD-1 blockade. J. Clin. Invest 127, 2930–2940. 10.1172/jci91190. [PubMed: 28650338]
- Ji RR, Chasalow SD, Wang L, Hamid O, Schmidt H, Cogswell J, Alaparthy S, Berman D, Jure-Kunkel M, Siemers NO, et al. (2012). An immune-active tumor microenvironment favors clinical response to ipilimumab. Cancer Immunol. Immunother 61, 1019–1031. 10.1007/ s00262-011-1172-6. [PubMed: 22146893]
- Dangaj D, Bruand M, Grimm AJ, Ronet C, Barras D, Duttagupta PA, Lanitis E, Duraiswamy J, Tanyi JL, Benencia F, et al. (2019). Cooperation between Constitutive and Inducible Chemokines Enables T Cell Engraftment and Immune Attack in Solid Tumors. Cancer Cell 35, 885–900.e10. 10.1016/j.ccell.2019.05.004. [PubMed: 31185212]
- Spranger S, Bao R, and Gajewski TF (2015). Melanoma-intrinsic b-catenin signalling prevents anti-tumour immunity. Nature 523, 231–235. 10.1038/nature14404. [PubMed: 25970248]
- Chow MT, Ozga AJ, Servis RL, Frederick DT, Lo JA, Fisher DE, Freeman GJ, Boland GM, and Luster AD (2019). Intratumoral Activity of the CXCR3 Chemokine System Is Required for the Efficacy of Anti-PD-1 Therapy. Immunity 50, 1498–1512.e5. 10.1016/j.immuni.2019.04.010. [PubMed: 31097342]
- Woo SR, Fuertes MB, Corrales L, Spranger S, Furdyna MJ, Leung MYK, Duggan R, Wang Y, Barber GN, Fitzgerald KA, et al. (2014). STING-dependent cytosolic DNA sensing mediates innate immune recognition of immunogenic tumors. Immunity 41, 830–842. 10.1016/ j.immuni.2014.10.017. [PubMed: 25517615]
- Spranger S, Dai D, Horton B, and Gajewski TF (2017). Tumor-Residing Batf3 Dendritic Cells Are Required for Effector T Cell Trafficking and Adoptive T Cell Therapy. Cancer Cell 31, 711– 723.e4. 10.1016/j.ccell.2017.04.003. [PubMed: 28486109]
- Mayoux M, Roller A, Pulko V, Sammicheli S, Chen S, Sum E, Jost C, Fransen MF, Buser RB, Kowanetz M, et al. (2020). Dendritic cells dictate responses to PD-L1 blockade cancer immunotherapy. Sci. Transl. Med 12, eaav7431. 10.1126/scitranslmed.aav7431. [PubMed: 32161104]
- Salmon H, Idoyaga J, Rahman A, Leboeuf M, Remark R, Jordan S, Casanova-Acebes M, Khudoynazarova M, Agudo J, Tung N, et al. (2016). Expansion and Activation of CD103(+) Dendritic Cell Progenitors at the Tumor Site Enhances Tumor Responses to Therapeutic PD-L1 and BRAF Inhibition. Immunity 44, 924–938. 10.1016/j.immuni.2016.03.012. [PubMed: 27096321]
- Broz ML, Binnewies M, Boldajipour B, Nelson AE, Pollack JL, Erle DJ, Barczak A, Rosenblum MD, Daud A, Barber DL, et al. (2014). Dissecting the tumor myeloid compartment reveals rare activating antigen-presenting cells critical for T cell immunity. Cancer Cell 26, 638–652. 10.1016/ j.ccell.2014.09.007. [PubMed: 25446897]
- 20. Garris CS, Arlauckas SP, Kohler RH, Trefny MP, Garren S, Piot C, Engblom C, Pfirschke C, Siwicki M, Gungabeesoon J, et al. (2018). Successful Anti-PD-1 Cancer Immunotherapy Requires

T Cell-Dendritic Cell Crosstalk Involving the Cytokines IFN-γ and IL-12. Immunity 49, 1148–1161.e7. 10.1016/j.immuni.2018.09.024. [PubMed: 30552023]

- 21. Sánchez-Paulete AR, Cueto FJ, Martínez-López M, Labiano S, Morales-Kastresana A, Rodríguez-Ruiz ME, Jure-Kunkel M, Azpilikueta A, Aznar MA, Quetglas JI, et al. (2016). Cancer Immunotherapy with Immunomodulatory Anti-CD137 and Anti-PD-1 Monoclonal Antibodies Requires BATF3-Dependent Dendritic Cells. Cancer Discov 6, 71–79. 10.1158/2159-8290.cd-15-0510. [PubMed: 26493961]
- 22. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network (2014). Comprehensive molecular profiling of lung adenocarcinoma. Nature 511, 543–550. 10.1038/nature13385. [PubMed: 25079552]
- 23. Kobayashi A, Kang MI, Okawa H, Ohtsuji M, Zenke Y, Chiba T, Igarashi K, and Yamamoto M (2004). Oxidative stress sensor Keap1 functions as an adaptor for Cul3-based E3 ligase to regulate proteasomal degradation of Nrf2. Mol. Cell Biol 24, 7130–7139. 10.1128/ mcb.24.16.7130-7139.2004. [PubMed: 15282312]
- 24. Harris IS, and DeNicola GM (2020). The Complex Interplay between Antioxidants and ROS in Cancer. Trends Cell Biol 30, 440–451. 10.1016/j.tcb.2020.03.002. [PubMed: 32303435]
- 25. Wu WL, and Papagiannakopoulos T (2020). The Pleiotropic Role of the KEAP1/NRF2 Pathway in Cancer. Annu. Rev. Cancer Biol 4, 413–435.
- Berger AH, Brooks AN, Wu X, Shrestha Y, Chouinard C, Piccioni F, Bagul M, Kamburov A, Imielinski M, Hogstrom L, et al. (2016). High-throughput Phenotyping of Lung Cancer Somatic Mutations. Cancer Cell 30, 214–228. 10.1016/j.ccell.2016.06.022. [PubMed: 27478040]
- 27. Singh A, Misra V, Thimmulappa RK, Lee H, Ames S, Hoque MO, Herman JG, Baylin SB, Sidransky D, Gabrielson E, et al. (2006). Dysfunctional KEAP1-NRF2 interaction in non-small-cell lung cancer. PLoS Med 3, e420. 10.1371/journal.pmed.0030420. [PubMed: 17020408]
- Wilson CJ, Chang M, Karttunen M, and Choy WY (2021). KEAP1 Cancer Mutants: A Large-Scale Molecular Dynamics Study of Protein Stability. Int. J. Mol. Sci 22, 5408. 10.3390/ijms22105408. [PubMed: 34065616]
- Hast BE, Cloer EW, Goldfarb D, Li H, Siesser PF, Yan F, Walter V, Zheng N, Hayes DN, and Major MB (2014). Cancer-derived mutations in KEAP1 impair NRF2 degradation but not ubiquitination. Cancer Res 74, 808–817. 10.1158/0008-5472.can-13-1655. [PubMed: 24322982]
- Romero R, Sayin VI, Davidson SM, Bauer MR, Singh SX, LeB-oeuf SE, Karakousi TR, Ellis DC, Bhutkar A, Sánchez-Rivera FJ, et al. (2017). Keap1 loss promotes Kras-driven lung cancer and results in dependence on glutaminolysis. Nat. Med 23, 1362–1368. 10.1038/nm.4407. [PubMed: 28967920]
- 31. Lignitto L, LeBoeuf SE, Homer H, Jiang S, Askenazi M, Karakousi TR, Pass HI, Bhutkar AJ, Tsirigos A, Ueberheide B, et al. (2019). Nrf2 Activation Promotes Lung Cancer Metastasis by Inhibiting the Degradation of Bach1. Cell 178, 316–329.e18. 10.1016/j.cell.2019.06.003. [PubMed: 31257023]
- 32. Binkley MS, Jeon Y-J, Nesselbush M, Moding EJ, Nabet BY, Almanza D, Kunder C, Stehr H, Yoo CH, Rhee S, et al. (2020). KEAP1/NFE2L2 Mutations Predict Lung Cancer Radiation Resistance That Can Be Targeted by Glutaminase Inhibition. Cancer Discov 10, 1826–1841. 10.1158/2159-8290.Cd-20-0282. [PubMed: 33071215]
- 33. Hallin J, Engstrom LD, Hargis L, Calinisan A, Aranda R, Briere DM, Sudhakar N, Bowcut V, Baer BR, Ballard JA, et al. (2020). The KRAS(G12C) Inhibitor MRTX849 Provides Insight toward Therapeutic Susceptibility of KRAS-Mutant Cancers in Mouse Models and Patients. Cancer Discov 10, 54–71. 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-19-1167. [PubMed: 31658955]
- 34. Skoulidis F, Li BT, Dy GK, Price TJ, Falchook GS, Wolf J, Italiano A, Schuler M, Borghaei H, Barlesi F, et al. (2021). Sotorasib for Lung Cancers with KRAS p.G12C Mutation. N. Engl. J. Med 384, 2371–2381. 10.1056/NEJMoa2103695. [PubMed: 34096690]
- Negrao MV, Araujo HA, Lamberti G, Cooper AJ, Akhave NS, Zhou T, Delasos L, Hicks JK, Aldea M, Minuti G, et al. (2023). Co-mutations and KRAS G12C inhibitor efficacy in advanced NSCLC. Cancer Discov, 1420. 10.1158/2159-8290.Cd-22.
- Arbour KC, Jordan E, Kim HR, Dienstag J, Yu HA, Sanchez-Vega F, Lito P, Berger M, Solit DB, Hellmann M, et al. (2018). Effects of Co-occurring Genomic Alterations on Outcomes

in Patients with KRAS-Mutant Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Clin. Cancer Res 24, 334–340. 10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-17-1841. [PubMed: 29089357]

- 37. Marinelli D, Mazzotta M, Scalera S, Terrenato I, Sperati F, D'Ambrosio L, Pallocca M, Corleone G, Krasniqi E, Pizzuti L, et al. (2020). KEAP1-driven co-mutations in lung adenocarcinoma unresponsive to immunotherapy despite high tumor mutational burden. Ann. Oncol 31, 1746–1754. 10.1016/j.annonc.2020.08.2105. [PubMed: 32866624]
- Aggarwal C, Thompson JC, Chien AL, Quinn KJ, Hwang WT, Black TA, Yee SS, Christensen TE, LaRiviere MJ, Silva BA, et al. (2020). Baseline Plasma Tumor Mutation Burden Predicts Response to Pembrolizumab-based Therapy in Patients with Metastatic Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Clin. Cancer Res 26, 2354–2361. 10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-19-3663. [PubMed: 32102950]
- Papillon-Cavanagh S, Doshi P, Dobrin R, Szustakowski J, and Walsh AM (2020). STK11 and KEAP1 mutations as prognostic biomarkers in an observational real-world lung adenocarcinoma cohort. ESMO Open 5, e000706. 10.1136/esmoopen-2020-000706. [PubMed: 32312757]
- 40. Kadara H, Choi M, Zhang J, Parra ER, Rodriguez-Canales J, Gaffney SG, Zhao Z, Behrens C, Fujimoto J, Chow C, et al. (2017). Whole-exome sequencing and immune profiling of early-stage lung adenocarcinoma with fully annotated clinical follow-up. Ann. Oncol 28, 75–82. 10.1093/ annonc/mdw436. [PubMed: 27687306]
- Cristescu R, Mogg R, Ayers M, Albright A, Murphy E, Yearley J, Sher X, Liu XQ, Lu H, Nebozhyn M, et al. (2018). Pan-tumor genomic biomarkers for PD-1 checkpoint blockade-based immunotherapy. Science 362, eaar3593. 10.1126/science.aar3593. [PubMed: 30309915]
- Dimitrova N, Gocheva V, Bhutkar A, Resnick R, Jong RM, Miller KM, Bendor J, and Jacks T (2016). Stromal Expression of miR-143/145 Promotes Neoangiogenesis in Lung Cancer Development. Cancer Discov 6, 188–201. 10.1158/2159-8290.Cd-15-0854. [PubMed: 26586766]
- 43. Tate JG, Bamford S, Jubb HC, Sondka Z, Beare DM, Bindal N, Boutselakis H, Cole CG, Creatore C, Dawson E, et al. (2019). COSMIC: the Catalogue Of Somatic Mutations In Cancer. Nucleic Acids Res 47, D941–D947. 10.1093/nar/gky1015. [PubMed: 30371878]
- 44. DuPage M, Cheung AF, Mazumdar C, Winslow MM, Bronson R, Schmidt LM, Crowley D, Chen J, and Jacks T (2011). Endogenous T cell responses to antigens expressed in lung adenocarcinomas delay malignant tumor progression. Cancer Cell 19, 72–85. 10.1016/j.ccr.2010.11.011. [PubMed: 21251614]
- 45. Joshi NS, Akama-Garren EH, Lu Y, Lee DY, Chang GP, Li A, DuPage M, Tammela T, Kerper NR, Farago AF, et al. (2015). Regulatory T Cells in Tumor-Associated Tertiary Lymphoid Structures Suppress Anti-tumor T Cell Responses. Immunity 43, 579–590. 10.1016/j.immuni.2015.08.006. [PubMed: 26341400]
- 46. Pfirschke C, Engblom C, Rickelt S, Cortez-Retamozo V, Garris C, Pucci F, Yamazaki T, Poirier-Colame V, Newton A, Redouane Y, et al. (2016). Immunogenic Chemotherapy Sensitizes Tumors to Checkpoint Blockade Therapy. Immunity 44, 343–354. 10.1016/j.immuni.2015.11.024. [PubMed: 26872698]
- Maier B, Leader AM, Chen ST, Tung N, Chang C, LeBerichel J, Chudnovskiy A, Maskey S, Walker L, Finnigan JP, et al. (2020). A conserved dendritic-cell regulatory program limits antitumour immunity. Nature 580, 257–262. 10.1038/s41586-020-2134-y. [PubMed: 32269339]
- Martinez-Usatorre A, Kadioglu E, Boivin G, Cianciaruso C, Guichard A, Torchia B, Zangger N, Nassiri S, Keklikoglou I, Schmittnaegel M, et al. (2021). Overcoming microenvironmental resistance to PD-1 blockade in genetically engineered lung cancer models. Sci. Transl. Med 13, eabd1616. 10.1126/scitranslmed.abd1616. [PubMed: 34380768]
- Milo I, Bedora-Faure M, Garcia Z, Thibaut R, Périé L, Shakhar G, Deriano L, and Bousso P (2018). The immune system profoundly restricts intratumor genetic heterogeneity. Sci. Immunol 3, eaat1435. 10.1126/sciimmunol.aat1435. [PubMed: 30470696]
- Poillet-Perez L, Sharp DW, Yang Y, Laddha SV, Ibrahim M, Bommareddy PK, Hu ZS, Vieth J, Haas M, Bosenberg MW, et al. (2020). Autophagy promotes growth of tumors with high mutational burden by inhibiting a T-cell immune response. Nat. Cancer 1, 923–934. 10.1038/ s43018-020-00110-7. [PubMed: 34476408]
- 51. Cortez-Retamozo V, Etzrodt M, Newton A, Rauch PJ, Chudnovskiy A, Berger C, Ryan RJH, Iwamoto Y, Marinelli B, Gorbatov R, et al. (2012). Origins of tumor-associated macrophages and

neutrophils. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 109, 2491–2496. 10.1073/pnas.1113744109. [PubMed: 22308361]

- 52. Wakabayashi N, Itoh K, Wakabayashi J, Motohashi H, Noda S, Takahashi S, Imakado S, Kotsuji T, Otsuka F, Roop DR, et al. (2003). Keap1-null mutation leads to postnatal lethality due to constitutive Nrf2 activation. Nat. Genet 35, 238–245. 10.1038/ng1248. [PubMed: 14517554]
- Kang YP, Torrente L, Falzone A, Elkins CM, Liu M, Asara JM, Dibble CC, and DeNicola GM (2019). Cysteine dioxygenase 1 is a metabolic liability for non-small cell lung cancer. Elife 8, e45572. 10.7554/eLife.45572. [PubMed: 31107239]
- Diamond MS, Kinder M, Matsushita H, Mashayekhi M, Dunn GP, Archambault JM, Lee H, Arthur CD, White JM, Kalinke U, et al. (2011). Type I interferon is selectively required by dendritic cells for immune rejection of tumors. J. Exp. Med 208, 1989–2003. 10.1084/jem.20101158. [PubMed: 21930769]
- 55. Fuertes MB, Kacha AK, Kline J, Woo SR, Kranz DM, Murphy KM, and Gajewski TF (2011). Host type I IFN signals are required for antitumor CD8+ T cell responses through CD8{alpha}+ dendritic cells. J. Exp. Med 208, 2005–2016. 10.1084/jem.20101159. [PubMed: 21930765]
- 56. Koyama S, Akbay EA, Li YY, Aref AR, Skoulidis F, Herter-Sprie GS, Buczkowski KA, Liu Y, Awad MM, Denning WL, et al. (2016). STK11/LKB1 Deficiency Promotes Neutrophil Recruitment and Proinflammatory Cytokine Production to Suppress T-cell Activity in the Lung Tumor Microenvironment. Cancer Res 76, 999–1008. 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-15-1439. [PubMed: 26833127]
- Böttcher JP, Bonavita E, Chakravarty P, Blees H, Cabeza-Cabrerizo M, Sammicheli S, Rogers NC, Sahai E, Zelenay S, and Reis e Sousa C (2018). NK Cells Stimulate Recruitment of cDC1 into the Tumor Microenvironment Promoting Cancer Immune Control. Cell 172, 1022–1037.e1014. 10.1016/j.cell.2018.01.004. [PubMed: 29429633]
- Qian BZ, Li J, Zhang H, Kitamura T, Zhang J, Campion LR, Kaiser EA, Snyder LA, and Pollard JW (2011). CCL2 recruits inflammatory monocytes to facilitate breast-tumour metastasis. Nature 475, 222–225. 10.1038/nature10138. [PubMed: 21654748]
- Chao T, Furth EE, and Vonderheide RH (2016). CXCR2-Dependent Accumulation of Tumor-Associated Neutrophils Regulates T-cell Immunity in Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. Cancer Immunol. Res 4, 968–982. 10.1158/2326-6066.cir-16-0188. [PubMed: 27737879]
- Xue W, Zender L, Miething C, Dickins RA, Hernando E, Krizhanovsky V, Cordon-Cardo C, and Lowe SW (2007). Senescence and tumour clearance is triggered by p53 restoration in murine liver carcinomas. Nature 445, 656–660. 10.1038/nature05529. [PubMed: 17251933]
- Hildner K, Edelson BT, Purtha WE, Diamond M, Matsushita H, Kohyama M, Calderon B, Schraml BU, Unanue ER, Diamond MS, et al. (2008). Batf3 deficiency reveals a critical role for CD8alpha+ dendritic cells in cytotoxic T cell immunity. Science 322, 1097–1100. 10.1126/ science.1164206. [PubMed: 19008445]
- Dudziak D, Kamphorst AO, Heidkamp GF, Buchholz VR, Trumpfheller C, Yamazaki S, Cheong C, Liu K, Lee HW, Park CG, et al. (2007). Differential antigen processing by dendritic cell subsets in vivo. Science (New York, N.Y.) 315, 107–111. 10.1126/sci-ence.1136080. [PubMed: 17204652]
- Binnewies M, Mujal AM, Pollack JL, Combes AJ, Hardison EA, Barry KC, Tsui J, Ruhland MK, Kersten K, Abushawish MA, et al. (2019). Unleashing Type-2 Dendritic Cells to Drive Protective Antitumor CD4(+) T Cell Immunity. Cell 177, 556–571.e16. 10.1016/j.cell.2019.02.005. [PubMed: 30955881]
- 64. Zhang L, Li Z, Skrzypczynska KM, Fang Q, Zhang W, O'Brien SA, He Y, Wang L, Zhang Q, Kim A, et al. (2020). Single-Cell Analyses Inform Mechanisms of Myeloid-Targeted Therapies in Colon Cancer. Cell 181, 442–459.e29. 10.1016/j.cell.2020.03.048. [PubMed: 32302573]
- Brown CC, Gudjonson H, Pritykin Y, Deep D, Lavallée VP, Mendoza A, Fromme R, Mazutis L, Ariyan C, Leslie C, et al. (2019). Transcriptional Basis of Mouse and Human Dendritic Cell Heterogeneity. Cell 179, 846–863.e24. 10.1016/j.cell.2019.09.035. [PubMed: 31668803]
- 66. Abbas A, Vu Manh TP, Valente M, Collinet N, Attaf N, Dong C, Naciri K, Chelbi R, Brelurut G, Cervera-Marzal I, et al. (2020). The activation trajectory of plasmacytoid dendritic cells in vivo during a viral infection. Nat. Immunol 21, 983–997. 10.1038/s41590-020-0731-4. [PubMed: 32690951]

- Gettinger S, Choi J, Hastings K, Truini A, Datar I, Sowell R, Wurtz A, Dong W, Cai G, Melnick MA, et al. (2017). Impaired HLA Class I Antigen Processing and Presentation as a Mechanism of Acquired Resistance to Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors in Lung Cancer. Cancer Discov 7, 1420– 1435. 10.1158/2159-8290.cd-17-0593. [PubMed: 29025772]
- Roberts EW, Broz ML, Binnewies M, Headley MB, Nelson AE, Wolf DM, Kaisho T, Bogunovic D, Bhardwaj N, and Krummel MF (2016). Critical Role for CD103(+)/CD141(+) Dendritic Cells Bearing CCR7 for Tumor Antigen Trafficking and Priming of T Cell Immunity in Melanoma. Cancer Cell 30, 324–336. 10.1016/j.ccell.2016.06.003. [PubMed: 27424807]
- Ferris ST, Durai V, Wu R, Theisen DJ, Ward JP, Bern MD, Davidson JT 4th, Bagadia P, Liu T, Briseño CG, et al. (2020). cDC1 prime and are licensed by CD4(+) T cells to induce anti-tumour immunity. Nature 584, 624–629. 10.1038/s41586-020-2611-3. [PubMed: 32788723]
- Ruffell B, Chang-Strachan D, Chan V, Rosenbusch A, Ho CMT, Pryer N, Daniel D, Hwang ES, Rugo HS, and Coussens LM (2014). Macrophage IL-10 blocks CD8+ T cell-dependent responses to chemotherapy by suppressing IL-12 expression in intratumoral dendritic cells. Cancer Cell 26, 623–637. 10.1016/j.ccell.2014.09.006. [PubMed: 25446896]
- 71. Tibbitt CA, Stark JM, Martens L, Ma J, Mold JE, Deswarte K, Oliynyk G, Feng X, Lambrecht BN, De Bleser P, et al. (2019). Single-Cell RNA Sequencing of the T Helper Cell Response to House Dust Mites Defines a Distinct Gene Expression Signature in Airway Th2 Cells. Immunity 51, 169–184.e5. 10.1016/j.immuni.2019.05.014. [PubMed: 31231035]
- 72. Connolly KA, Kuchroo M, Venkat A, Khatun A, Wang J, William I, Hornick N, Fitzgerald B, Dam M, Kasmani MY, et al. (2021). A reservoir of stem-like CD8 T cells in the tumor-draining lymph node maintains the ongoing anti-tumor immune response. Preprint at biorxiv 10.1101/2021.01.27.428467.
- DuPage M, Mazumdar C, Schmidt LM, Cheung AF, and Jacks T (2012). Expression of tumourspecific antigens underlies cancer immunoediting. Nature 482, 405–409. 10.1038/nature10803. [PubMed: 22318517]
- 74. Damo M, Fitzgerald B, Lu Y, Nader M, William I, Cheung JF, Connolly KA, Foster GG, Akama-Garren E, Lee DY, et al. (2021). Inducible de novo expression of neoantigens in tumor cells and mice. Nat. Biotechnol 39, 64–73. 10.1038/s41587-020-0613-1. [PubMed: 32719479]
- Downey CM, and Jirik FR (2015). DNA mismatch repair deficiency accelerates lung neoplasm development in K-ras(LA1/+) mice: a brief report. Cancer Med 4, 897–902. 10.1002/cam4.420. [PubMed: 25773971]
- 76. Zelenay S, van der Veen AG, Böttcher JP, Snelgrove KJ, Rogers N, Acton SE, Chakravarty P, Girotti MR, Marais R, Quezada SA, et al. (2015). Cyclooxygenase-Dependent Tumor Growth through Evasion of Immunity. Cell 162, 1257–1270. 10.1016/j.cell.2015.08.015. [PubMed: 26343581]
- 77. Shibata T, Ohta T, Tong KI, Kokubu A, Odogawa R, Tsuta K, Asamura H, Yamamoto M, and Hirohashi S (2008). Cancer related mutations in NRF2 impair its recognition by Keap1-Cul3 E3 ligase and promote malignancy. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 105, 13568–13573. 10.1073/ pnas.0806268105. [PubMed: 18757741]
- 78. Ma Q, Battelli L, and Hubbs AF (2006). Multiorgan autoimmune inflammation, enhanced lymphoproliferation, and impaired homeostasis of reactive oxygen species in mice lacking the antioxidant-activated transcription factor Nrf2. Am. J. Pathol 168, 1960–1974. 10.2353/ ajpath.2006.051113. [PubMed: 16723711]
- Kitamura H, Onodera Y, Murakami S, Suzuki T, and Motohashi H (2017). IL-11 contribution to tumorigenesis in an NRF2 addiction cancer model. Oncogene 36, 6315–6324. 10.1038/ onc.2017.236. [PubMed: 28714957]
- 80. Kobayashi EH, Suzuki T, Funayama R, Nagashima T, Hayashi M, Sekine H, Tanaka N, Moriguchi T, Motohashi H, Nakayama K, and Yamamoto M (2016). Nrf2 suppresses macrophage inflammatory response by blocking proinflammatory cytokine transcription. Nat. Commun 7, 11624. 10.1038/ncomms11624. [PubMed: 27211851]
- 81. Olagnier D, Brandtoft AM, Gunderstofte C, Villadsen NL, Krapp C, Thielke AL, Laustsen A, Peri S, Hansen AL, Bonefeld L, et al. (2018). Nrf2 negatively regulates STING indicating a link between antiviral sensing and metabolic reprogramming. Nat. Commun 9, 3506. 10.1038/ s41467-018-05861-7. [PubMed: 30158636]

- Nishina T, Deguchi Y, Miura R, Yamazaki S, Shinkai Y, Kojima Y, Okumura K, Kumagai Y, and Nakano H (2017). Critical Contribution of Nuclear Factor Erythroid 2-related Factor 2 (NRF2) to Electrophile-induced Interleukin-11 Production. J. Biol. Chem 292, 205–216. 10.1074/ jbc.M116.744755. [PubMed: 27872193]
- Sayin VI, LeBoeuf SE, Singh SX, Davidson SM, Biancur D, Guzelhan BS, Alvarez SW, Wu WL, Karakousi TR, Zavitsanou AM, et al. (2017). Activation of the NRF2 antioxidant program generates an imbalance in central carbon metabolism in cancer. Elife 6, e28083. 10.7554/ eLife.28083. [PubMed: 28967864]
- 84. Galan-Cobo A, Sitthideatphaiboon P, Qu X, Poteete A, Pisegna MA, Tong P, Chen PH, Boroughs LK, Rodriguez MLM, Zhang W, et al. (2019). LKB1 and KEAP1/NRF2 Pathways Cooperatively Promote Metabolic Reprogramming with Enhanced Glutamine Dependence in KRAS-Mutant Lung Adenocarcinoma. Cancer Res 79, 3251–3267. 10.1158/0008-5472.Can-18-3527. [PubMed: 31040157]
- LeBoeuf SE, Wu WL, Karakousi TR, Karadal B, Jackson SR, Davidson SM, Wong KK, Koralov SB, Sayin VI, and Papagiannakopoulos T (2020). Activation of Oxidative Stress Response in Cancer Generates a Druggable Dependency on Exogenous Non-essential Amino Acids. Cell Metab 31, 339–350.e4. 10.1016/j.cmet.2019.11.012. [PubMed: 31813821]
- Johnson MO, Wolf MM, Madden MZ, Andrejeva G, Sugiura A, Contreras DC, Maseda D, Liberti MV, Paz K, Kishton RJ, et al. (2018). Distinct Regulation of Th17 and Th1 Cell Differentiation by Glutaminase-Dependent Metabolism. Cell 175, 1780–1795.e19. 10.1016/j.cell.2018.10.001. [PubMed: 30392958]
- Klysz D, Tai X, Robert PA, Craveiro M, Cretenet G, Oburoglu L, Mongellaz C, Floess S, Fritz V, Matias MI, et al. (2015). Glutamine-dependent a-ketoglutarate production regulates the balance between T helper 1 cell and regulatory T cell generation. Sci. Signal 8, ra97. 10.1126/ scisignal.aab2610. [PubMed: 26420908]
- Nakaya M, Xiao Y, Zhou X, Chang JH, Chang M, Cheng X, Blonska M, Lin X, and Sun SC (2014). Inflammatory T cell responses rely on amino acid transporter ASCT2 facilitation of glutamine uptake and mTORC1 kinase activation. Immunity 40, 692–705. 10.1016/ j.immuni.2014.04.007. [PubMed: 24792914]
- Xu T, Stewart KM, Wang X, Liu K, Xie M, Ryu JK, Li K, Ma T, Wang H, Ni L, et al. (2017). Metabolic control of T(H)17 and induced T(reg) cell balance by an epigenetic mechanism. Nature 548, 228–233. 10.1038/nature23475. [PubMed: 28783731]
- Vinay DS, Ryan EP, Pawelec G, Talib WH, Stagg J, Elkord E, Lichtor T, Decker WK, Whelan RL, Kumara HMCS, et al. (2015). Immune evasion in cancer: Mechanistic basis and therapeutic strategies. Semin. Cancer Biol 35, S185–S198. 10.1016/j.semcancer.2015.03.004. [PubMed: 25818339]
- Tumeh PC, Harview CL, Yearley JH, Shintaku IP, Taylor EJM, Robert L, Chmielowski B, Spasic M, Henry G, Ciobanu V, et al. (2014). PD-1 blockade induces responses by inhibiting adaptive immune resistance. Nature 515, 568–571. 10.1038/nature13954. [PubMed: 25428505]
- 92. Leone RD, Zhao L, Englert JM, Sun IM, Oh MH, Sun IH, Arwood ML, Bettencourt IA, Patel CH, Wen J, et al. (2019). Glutamine blockade induces divergent metabolic programs to overcome tumor immune evasion. Science 366, 1013–1021. 10.1126/science.aav2588. [PubMed: 31699883]
- 93. Carr EL, Kelman A, Wu GS, Gopaul R, Senkevitch E, Aghvanyan A, Turay AM, and Frauwirth KA (2010). Glutamine uptake and metabolism are coordinately regulated by ERK/MAPK during T lymphocyte activation. J. Immunol 185, 1037–1044. 10.4049/jimmu-nol.0903586. [PubMed: 20554958]
- 94. Chang WK, Yang KD, Chuang H, Jan JT, and Shaio MF (2002). Glutamine protects activated human T cells from apoptosis by up-regulating glutathione and Bcl-2 levels. Clin. Immunol 104, 151–160. 10.1006/clim.2002.5257. [PubMed: 12165276]
- 95. Ma EH, Bantug G, Griss T, Condotta S, Johnson RM, Samborska B, Mainolfi N, Suri V, Guak H, Balmer ML, et al. (2017). Serine Is an Essential Metabolite for Effector T Cell Expansion. Cell Metab 25, 482. 10.1016/j.cmet.2017.01.014. [PubMed: 28178570]
- 96. Hast BE, Goldfarb D, Mulvaney KM, Hast MA, Siesser PF, Yan F, Hayes DN, and Major MB (2013). Proteomic analysis of ubiquitin ligase KEAP1 reveals associated proteins that inhibit

NRF2 ubiquitination. Cancer Res 73, 2199–2210. 10.1158/0008-5472.can-12-4400. [PubMed: 23382044]

- 97. Lee DF, Kuo HP, Liu M, Chou CK, Xia W, Du Y, Shen J, Chen CT, Huo L, Hsu MC, et al. (2009). KEAP1 E3 ligase-mediated downregulation of NF-kappaB signaling by targeting IKKbeta. Mol. Cell 36, 131–140. 10.1016/j.molcel.2009.07.025. [PubMed: 19818716]
- 98. Tamberg N, Tahk S, Koit S, Kristjuhan K, Kasvandik S, Kristjuhan A, and Ilves I (2018). Keap1-MCM3 interaction is a potential coordinator of molecular machineries of antioxidant response and genomic DNA replication in metazoa. Sci. Rep 8, 12136. 10.1038/s41598-018-30562-y. [PubMed: 30108253]
- 99. Ma J, Cai H, Wu T, Sobhian B, Huo Y, Alcivar A, Mehta M, Cheung KL, Ganesan S, Kong ANT, et al. (2012). PALB2 interacts with KEAP1 to promote NRF2 nuclear accumulation and function. Mol. Cell Biol 32, 1506–1517. 10.1128/mcb.06271-11. [PubMed: 22331464]
- 100. DuPage M, Dooley AL, and Jacks T (2009). Conditional mouse lung cancer models using adenoviral or lentiviral delivery of Cre recombinase. Nat. Protoc 4, 1064–1072. 10.1038/ nprot.2009.95. [PubMed: 19561589]
- 101. Sánchez-Rivera FJ, Papagiannakopoulos T, Romero R, Tammela T, Bauer MR, Bhutkar A, Joshi NS, Subbaraj L, Bronson RT, Xue W, and Jacks T (2014). Rapid modelling of cooperating genetic events in cancer through somatic genome editing. Nature 516, 428–431. 10.1038/ nature13906. [PubMed: 25337879]
- 102. Anderson KG, Mayer-Barber K, Sung H, Beura L, James BR, Taylor JJ, Qunaj L, Griffith TS, Vezys V, Barber DL, and Masopust D (2014). Intravascular staining for discrimination of vascular and tissue leukocytes. Nat. Protoc. 9, 209–222. 10.1038/nprot.2014.005. [PubMed: 24385150]
- 103. Bankhead P, Loughrey MB, Fernández JA, Dombrowski Y, McArt DG, Dunne PD, McQuaid S, Gray RT, Murray LJ, Coleman HG, et al. (2017). QuPath: Open source software for digital pathology image analysis. Sci. Rep 7, 16878. 10.1038/s41598-017-17204-5. [PubMed: 29203879]
- 104. Davidson SM, Papagiannakopoulos T, Olenchock BA, Heyman JE, Keibler MA, Luengo A, Bauer MR, Jha AK, O'Brien JP, Pierce KA, et al. (2016). Environment Impacts the Metabolic Dependencies of Ras-Driven Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Cell Metab 23, 517–528. 10.1016/ j.cmet.2016.01.007. [PubMed: 26853747]
- 105. Perez OA, Yeung ST, Vera-Licona P, Romagnoli PA, Samji T, Ural BB, Maher L, Tanaka M, and Khanna KM (2017). CD169(+) macrophages orchestrate innate immune responses by regulating bacterial localization in the spleen. Sci. Immunol 2, eaah5520. 10.1126/sciimmunol.aah5520. [PubMed: 28986418]
- 106. McLean IW, and Nakane PK (1974). Periodate-lysine-paraformaldehyde fixative. A new fixation for immunoelectron microscopy. J. Histochem. Cytochem 22, 1077–1083. 10.1177/22.12.1077. [PubMed: 4374474]
- 107. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, and Gingeras TR (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics 29, 15–21. 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635. [PubMed: 23104886]
- 108. Li B, and Dewey CN (2011). RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a reference genome. BMC Bioinf 12, 323. 10.1186/1471-2105-12-323.
- 109. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, Paulovich A, Pomeroy SL, Golub TR, Lander ES, and Mesirov JP (2005). Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102, 15545–15550. 10.1073/pnas.0506580102. [PubMed: 16199517]
- Liberzon A, Subramanian A, Pinchback R, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Tamayo P, and Mesirov JP (2011). Molecular signatures database (MSigDB) 3.0. Bioinformatics 27, 1739–1740. 10.1093/ bioinformatics/btr260. [PubMed: 21546393]
- 111. Stoeckius M, Zheng S, Houck-Loomis B, Hao S, Yeung BZ, Mauck WM 3rd, Smibert P, and Satija R (2018). Cell Hashing with barcoded antibodies enables multiplexing and doublet detection for single cell genomics. Genome Biol 19, 224. 10.1186/s13059-018-1603-1. [PubMed: 30567574]

- 112. van Buggenum JAGL, Gerlach JP, Eising S, Schoonen L, van Eijl RAPM, Tanis SEJ, Hogeweg M, Hubner NC, van Hest JC, Bonger KM, and Mulder KW (2016). A covalent and cleavable antibody-DNA conjugation strategy for sensitive protein detection via immuno-PCR. Sci. Rep 6, 22675. 10.1038/srep22675. [PubMed: 26947912]
- 113. Stuart T, Butler A, Hoffman P, Hafemeister C, Papalexi E, Mauck WM 3rd, Hao Y, Stoeckius M, Smibert P, and Satija R (2019). Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data. Cell 177, 1888–1902.e21. 10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.031. [PubMed: 31178118]

Highlights

- *KEAP1* mutations in lung cancer suppress anti-tumor CD103 DC and CD8 T cell responses
- Hyperactivation of NRF2 is responsible for immune evasion in KEAP1mutant tumors
- Glutaminase inhibition can sensitize KEAP1-mutant tumors to immunotherapy



Figure 1. Loss of Keap1 promotes immune evasion and accelerated tumor growth in a novel antigenic model of LUAD

(A) Schematic of our antigenic H-Y-driven orthotopic mouse model.

(B) Growth kinetics (left) of male KP tumors established in female or male hosts with endpoint lung weights (right).

(C) Growth kinetics (left) of male KP cells grown in female hosts following antibody-mediated depletion of CD4 or CD8 T lymphocytes with endpoint lung weights (right).⁵¹
(D) Growth kinetics (left) and endpoint lung weights (right) of male KP cells injected into male hosts following antibody-mediated depletion of CD4 or CD8 T lymphocytes.

(E) Growth kinetics of *Keap1* wild-type and mutant KP cells in females.

(F) Representative images of H&E and NQO1 immunohistochemical staining of *Keap1* wild-type and mutant KP tumors in female hosts.

(G) Growth kinetics of *Keap1* wild-type and mutant KP cells injected into male hosts.

(H) Lung weight measured on day 26 in female and male mice bearing *Keap1* wild-type and mutant tumors.

(I) Growth kinetics (left) and endpoint luminescence (right) of *Keap1* wild-type and mutant male cells injected into immunodeficient (NSG) female mice.

(J) Schematic of KP *Keap1*^{+/+}, *Keap1*^{fl/+}, or *Keap1*^{fl/fl} GEMM mice infected with 20K TU *Cre*-expressing lentivirus.

(K) Representative H&E and NQO1 immunohistochemical staining of Keap1^{+/+}, *Keap1*^{fl/+}, and *Keap1*^{fl/fl} KP tumors and tumor area quantification of mice infected with *Cre*-expressing lentivirus and sacrificed 3.5 months post infection. Scale bars, 2 mm. Each experimental subgroup had n 6 mice. Each symbol represents an individual mouse. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; ***p < 0.0001.



Figure 2. Absence of CD103 DC-mediated anti-tumor immune responses in Keap1-mutant tumors

(A) UMAP of DC subclusters identified by scRNA-seq colored by cell type.

(B) UMAP of the distribution of DC subclusters in *Keap1* wild-type (WT, blue) and mutant (MUT, red) lung tumors.

(C) Bar plot showing distribution of the DC clusters in *Keap1* wild-type and mutant mouse lung tumors.

(D) Representative flow cytometry plots for CD103 versus CD11b within a CD11c⁺MHCII⁺ DC gate.

(E) Percentage of CD103 and CD11b DCs out of total tissue-infiltrating immune cells (CD45⁺CD45circ⁻) in normal (non-tumor) lung and lungs with orthotopic *Keap1* wild-type and mutant tumors.

(F) Percentage of CD103 and CD11b DCs out of total tissue-infiltrating immune cells (CD45⁺CD45circ⁻) in normal (non-tumor) lung and lungs with autochthonous genetically engineered mouse model (GEMM) *Keap1* wild-type and mutant tumors.

(G) Percentage of CD86, CD44, and CD80 among CD103 DCs in wild-type and mutant *Keap1* tumors. FMO (fluorescence-minus-one) control shown for all markers.

(H) Confocal images of lung tumor sections from *Keap1* wild-type and mutant KP tumors. GFP (tumors) is shown in green, circulating CD45⁺ cells are shown in blue, CD11c is shown in red, and CD103 is shown in yellow. Scale bars, 100 μ m. Images are representative of individual tumors from 5 mice per genotype.

(I) Quantification of tissue-infiltrating CD103 DCs (CD11c⁺CD103⁺CD45circ⁻) in tumor (GFP⁺) areas. Each symbol represents an individual tumor.

(J) Growth kinetics of *Keap1* wild-type and mutant lung orthotopic tumors in C57BL/6J and $Batf3^{-/-}$ female mice measured by bioluminescence.

(K) Quantification of tumor burden (tumor area/total lung area) of *Keap1* wild-type and mutant tumors in C57BL/6J and *Batf3*^{-/-} based on H&E staining. Scale bars, 2 mm. Each experimental subgroup had at least n = 6 mice.

(L) Representative image of the LUAD tissue microarray. Scale bars, 1 mm. Three regions of interest per tumor sample were quantified. Pancytokeratin-positive staining is shown in green, negative is shown in magenta, and DAPI is shown in blue.

(M) Boxplots showing expression of CD11c/HLA-DR and CD11C/HLA-DR/CD14/CD68/ CD163 protein modules in *KEAP1* wild-type (n = 19) and mutant (n = 19) patient tumor samples from tumor microarray containing LUAD patient samples. The microarray was stained and analyzed using the Nanostring GeoMx platform. Expression is shown for pancytokeratin-positive areas.

(N) Boxplots showing expression for individual proteins: β -2-microglobulin and HLA-DR. Each symbol represents an individual mouse or human tumor core. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ****p < 0.0001.



Figure 3. Keap1-mutant tumors suppress CD8 T cell responses and promote T cell exhaustion(A) UMAP of T cell subclusters identified by scRNA-seq, colored by cell type.(B) UMAP of the distribution of T cell subclusters in *Keap1* wild-type (WT, blue) and mutant (MUT, red) lung tumors.

(C) Bar plot showing distribution of T cell clusters in *Keap1* wild-type and mutant mouse lung tumors.

(D) Percentage of CD3, CD4, and CD8 T cells among tissue-infiltrating immune cells (for CD3) or of tissue-infiltrating TCR β^+ T cells in non-tumor lung (normal) and lung with *Keap1* wild-type or mutant orthotopic tumors. Each symbol represents an individual mouse. Each experimental subgroup had n 4 mice.

(E) Percentage of CD3, CD4, and CD8 T cells among tissue-infiltrating immune cells (for CD3) or of tissue-infiltrating TCR β^+ T cells in non-tumor lung (normal) and lung with

Keap1 wild-type or mutant GEMM tumors. Each symbol represents an individual mouse. Each experimental subgroup had n 4 mice.

(F) Percentage of CD69, PD1, CD44⁺CD62L⁻, or Ki67 among CD8⁺ T cells in wild-type and mutant *Keap1* tumors. Each symbol represents an individual mouse. Each experimental subgroup had n 5 mice.

(G) Percentage of intracellular IFN- γ - or TNF- α -positive cells among the CD8⁺ T

lymphocytes in wild-type and mutant *Keap1* tumors. Each symbol represents an individual mouse. Each experimental subgroup had n 5 mice.

(H) Growth kinetics of *Keap1* wild-type and mutant tumors measured by bioluminescence in female hosts upon CD8 T cell depletion.

(I) Representative images of lung tumor burden and quantification by H&E staining. Scale bars, 2 mm.

(J) Representative H&E (top) and immunofluorescence (bottom) images of *KEAP1* wildtype and mutant human tumors. DAPI is shown in blue, CD3 in green, CD8 in red, Foxp3 in magenta, and PD1 in orange. Scale bars, 20 µm. Quantification of T cells (CD3⁺), CD8 T cells (CD8⁺), and PD1⁺ CD8 T cells (CD8⁺PD1⁺) in *KEAP1* wild-type and mutant human tumors is shown at the bottom. Tumor area was identified based on H&E staining. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01.

Zavitsanou et al.



Figure 4. Keap1 mutation drives immunotherapy resistance in antigenic Kras-driven lung adenocarcinoma mouse model

(A) Growth kinetics of *Keap1* wild-type (WT, left) and mutant (MUT, right) KP cells injected intravenously in female C57BL/6J hosts upon treatment with anti-PD1 monoclonal antibody or isotype control. Each experimental subgroup had n 6 mice.

(B) Representative images of lung tumor burden and quantification (tumor area/total lung area) by H&E staining of *Keap1* wild-type and mutant orthotopic tumors treated with either isotype or α -PD1. Scale bars, 2 mm. Each experimental subgroup had n 6 mice.

(C) Waterfall plots showing the percentage of tumor growth of *Keap1* wild-type and mutant lung tumors treated with isotype control or anti-PD1.

(D) Percentage of CD103 and CD11b DCs among tissue-infiltrating immune cells in the lungs of animals with *Keap1* wild-type or mutant tumors treated with anti-PD1 or isotype control.

(E) Percentage of CD3⁺ lymphocytes among tissue-infiltrating immune cells. Each experimental subgroup had n 5 mice.

(F and G) Percentages of Ki67⁺ cells (F) or intracellular IFN- γ - and TNF- α -positive cells within the CD8 T cell gate in *Keap1* wild-type and mutant tumor-bearing mice treated with

anti-PD1 or isotype control. Each experimental subgroup had at least n = 5 mice. Each symbol represents an individual mouse. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01.



Figure 5. NRF2 pathway drives immune evasion in Keap1-mutant tumors, and glutamine modulation synergizes with ICB to reverse immunosuppression and immunotherapy resistance (A) Growth kinetics of *Nrf2*-wild-type and *Nrf2*-deficient *Keap1*-mutant KP cells injected intravenously into female C57BL/6J hosts measured by bioluminescence.

(B) Percentage of CD103 and CD11b DCs among tissue-infiltrating immune cells in the lungs of animals with wild-type or *Nrf2*-deficient *Keap1*-mutant tumors.

(C) Percentage of CD3 lymphocytes among tissue-infiltrating immune cells and percentage of intracellular IFN- γ - and TNF- α -positive cells among the CD8 T lymphocytes. Each experimental subgroup had n 5 mice.

(D) Activated OTI CD8 T cells were co-cultured with LucOS-expressing *Keap1*-mutant and wild-type KP cell lines in the presence of CB-839 (n = 3). After 24 h, the bioluminescent signal was measured and plotted normalized to the zero T cell condition.

(E) Representative images of lung tumor burden and quantification by H&E staining of *Keap1*-mutant tumors treated with vehicle/isotype control, α -PD1, CB-839, or combination

of α -PD1 and CB-839. Histological samples were collected from a sample other than those used for survival studies, and all tissues came from the same time point. Scale bars, 2 mm. (F) Survival of C57BL/6J female mice injected with *Keap1*-mutant KP cells and treated with vehicle/isotype control, α -PD1, CB-839, or a combination of α -PD1 and CB-839, with n 11.

(G) Percentage of CD103 and CD11b DCs among tissue-infiltrating immune cells in the lungs of animals with *Keap1*-mutant tumors treated with vehicle/isotype control, α -PD1, CB-839, or a combination of α -PD1 and CB-839.

(H) Percentage of CD3⁺ lymphocytes among tissue-infiltrating immune cells. (I) Percentage of intracellular IFN- γ - and TNF- α -positive cells among the CD8 T lymphocytes infiltrating the lungs of animals with *Keap1*-mutant tumors treated with vehicle/isotype control, α -PD1, CB-839, or a combination of α -PD1 and CB-839. Each symbol in the bar plots represents an individual mouse. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; ****p < 0.0001.

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
anti-mouse CD3	eBioscience	500A2
anti-mouse CD4	eBioscience	RM4–5
anti-mouse CD8	BD bioscience	53-6.7
anti-mouse CD45	BD bioscience	30-F11
anti-mouse CD4	BD Bioscience	GK1.5
anti-mouse CD44	eBioscience	IM7
anti-mouse CD62L	eBioscience	MEL-14
anti-mouse CD69	eBioscience	H1.2F3
anti-mouse GR1	eBioscience	RB6-8C5
anti-mouse Rorgt	BD Bioscience	Q31–378
anti-mouse Ki-67	BD Bioscience	B56
anti-mouse IFN gamma	eBioscience	XMG1.2
anti-mouse TNF alpha	eBioscience	MP6-XT22
anti-mouse FoxP3	eBioscience	FJK-16s
anti-mouse Tbet	eBioscience	4B10
ant-mouse GATA3	eBioscience	TWAJ
anti-mouse TCRb	eBioscience	H57–597
anti-mouse PD1	eBioscience	J43
anti-mouse CD86	BD Bioscience	GL1
anti-mouse CD11b	Biolegend	M1-70
anti-mouse CD11c	Biolegend	N418
anti-mouse CD103	Biolegend	2E7
anti-mouse CD64	Biolegend	X54–5/7.1
anti-mouse CD80	Biolegend	16–10A1
anti-mouse IA/IE	eBioscience	M5/114.15.2
anti-mouse SiglecF	BD Bioscience	E50-2440
anti-human CD3	Biocare Medical	LN10
anti-human CD8	CST	C8/144
anti-human PD1	CST	D4W2J
anti-human FOXP3	Thermo Scientific	236A/E7
anti-mouse CD11c	Biolegend	N418
anti-mouse CD4	Biolegend	GK1.5
anti-mouse CD103	Biolegend	M290
anti-mouse NQO1	Sigma Aldrich	HPA007308
Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins		
IFN-alpha	R&D	12100–1
Deposited Data		
scRNA-seq and RNA-seq data	GEO	GSE241482

Experimental Models: Cell Lines

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
<i>Kras</i> LSL-G12D/+; <i>p53</i> fl/fl lung adenocarcinoma cells (1233, 1234)	Tyler Jacks Lab	N/A
Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains		
B6.129S(C)-Batf3tm1Kmm/J	Jackson Laboratories	013755
Keap1 ^{f1/f1}	Taconic	8799
$Kras^{\text{LSL-G12D/+}}; p53^{\text{fl/fl}}$	Jackson Laboratories	032435
Oligonucleotides		
UTY		UTY-F: 5'-GAGGTTTTGTGGCATGGGAG -3'; UTY-R: 5'-TGCAGAAGATAACGAAGGAGCTA-3'
Blvrb		Blvrb-F: 5'-CCGATGTGGACAAGACTGTG-3'; Blvrb-R: 5'-TCGGACATTACTGTAGTGGGACT-3'
Nqo1		Nqo1-F: 5'-AGCGTTCGGTATTACGATCC-3' Nqo1-R: 5'-AGTACAATCAGGGCTCTTCTCG-3';
Slc7a11		Slc7a11-F: 5'-GATTCATGTCCACAAGCACAC-3'; Slc7a11-R: 5'-GAGCATCACCATCGTCAGAG-3';
ISG15		ISG15-F 5'- GGAACGAAAGGGGCCACAGCA-3'; ISG15-R 5'- CCTCCATGGGCCTTCCCTCGA-3'
IFN beta		IFN β -F 5' – GCTCCTGGAGCAGCTGAATG-3'; IFN β – R 5' – CGTCATCTCCATAGGGATCTTGA-3';
CXCL10		CXCL10 – F 5' – TGATTTCAAGCTTCCCTATGGC – 3'; CXCL10 – R 5' – ATTTTCTGCCTCATCCTGCT – 3';
IRF7		IRF7 – F 5' – GAAGAGGCTGGAAGACCAACTTC-3'; IRF7 – R 5'- GAATTGTCTTGGCGCAAGATAA;
Software and Algorithms		
GraphPad Prism v9		N/A
FlowJo		N/A

Cell Rep. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 December 29.

Author Manuscript