NOTES

The Carboxyl Terminus of Protein TraD Adds Specificity and Efficiency to F-Plasmid Conjugative Transfer

J. IGNACIO SASTRE, ELENA CABEZÓN,[†] AND FERNANDO DE LA CRUZ^{*}

Department of Molecular Biology, University of Cantabria, 39011 Santander, Spain

Received 20 May 1998/Accepted 5 September 1998

We isolated and characterized *traD* **mutants with an altered specificity of interaction with relaxosomes of various conjugative (F and R388) and mobilizable (RSF1010 and ColE1) plasmids. The change in specificity was due to a loss of some amino acids in the carboxyl terminus of TraD that resulted in a broadening of the range of mobilizable relaxosomes at the expense of a decrease in the efficiency of F-plasmid transfer.**

Bacterial conjugation is a process of DNA transfer that is widespread among bacteria. Essential functions for conjugation include mating-pair formation (Mpf) and conjugative DNA processing. In every conjugative system there is a protein that is essential for conjugation but is not required for any of these processes; this protein presumably connects the relaxosome (a nucleoprotein complex for conjugative DNA processing that forms at *oriT*) and the membrane-spanning protein complex for DNA translocation (encoded by Mpf genes), and so it has been called the "coupling protein" (5). Mobilizable plasmids (such as RSF1010 and ColE1) bear functions required for relaxosome formation but encode neither Mpf proteins nor the coupling protein, which have to be provided by a conjugative plasmid (3–5, 12). Conjugative plasmids bear all functions required to promote their own transfer, including a gene for a coupling protein, which has been found in different conjugative plasmids from gram-negative bacteria (*traG* in RP4, *trwB* in R388, and *traD* in F) and also in the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* T-DNA transfer system (*virD4*). Proteins encoded by these genes, which are termed the TraG protein family, show significant similarities among their amino acid sequences and share transmembrane domains and sequence signatures for nucleoside triphosphate binding $(1, 13)$. Interactions of the TraD coupling protein with different components of the F-plasmid relaxosome have already been suggested, both in vivo (TraD with TraI) (6) and in vitro (TraD with TraM) (8).

E. coli **strains and plasmids.** *Escherichia coli* strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

Effects on conjugation of a deletion in the carboxyl terminus of protein TraD. Because of the homology among coupling proteins, some attempts have been made to interchange them for conjugative transfer and mobilization. Cabezón et al. (4), working with R388, demonstrated that efficient transfer of mobilizable plasmid RSF1010 could be achieved when R388 *trwB* was replaced by RP4 *traG*. However, F *traD* only very

ferences in RSF1010 mobilization could be due to this feature, so the TraD carboxyl terminus was deleted by introducing a stop codon in *traD* by site-directed mutagenesis. The stop codon was introduced just after amino acid 576, which corresponds to the point in the TraD sequence where homology with TrwB ends. The resulting protein (TraD576 [Fig. 1]) lacks the last 140 amino acids of TraD (nearly 20% of the whole protein). Plasmid pSU4327, containing *traD576*, was used to complement pSU1456, a *trwB* mutant of R388, for self-transfer and for mobilization of either ColE1 or RSF1010. The results are shown in Table 3. While self-transfer of pSU1456 was comple-

inefficiently complemented R388 *trwB* for RSF1010 mobilization (5). Amino acid sequence alignment of TrwB, TraG, and TraD indicated that TraD displays a carboxyl terminus longer than those of TrwB or TraG (Fig. 1). We suspected that dif-

mented by TraD to very low levels $(10^{-8}$ transconjugants/ donor), this frequency increased 1,000-fold with TraD576. The frequency of mobilization of ColE1, however, remained unaffected. Interestingly, the *traD576* mutant also increased pSU1456-mediated mobilization of RSF1010 by 1,000-fold, resulting in a frequency equivalent to that obtained when RSF1010 mobilization was complemented by $trwB$ (10⁻⁴) transconjugants/donor). This result suggested that the presence of the TraD carboxyl terminus was hindering mobilization of RSF1010 by the Mpf_{W} system. It seems likely that the TraD C terminus led to deficient interaction either with the RSF1010 relaxosome or with the R388 Mpf_{W} apparatus. To decide between both possibilities, we analyzed RSF1010 mobilization while employing the Mpf_F apparatus (provided by strain JM109). With TraD as the complementing protein, RSF1010 mobilization was poor $(5 \times 10^{-6}$ transconjugants/donor [Table 3]). However, conjugation of F itself occurred at high frequencies (1 transconjugant/donor [Table 3]), so it can be assumed that TraD is able to interact properly with Mpf_F but fails to interact with the RSF1010 relaxosome. If, as we supposed, the TraD carboxyl terminus was hindering the interaction with the RSF1010 relaxosome, TraD576 should lead to a higher RSF1010 mobilization frequency than TraD when complementing JM109. In fact that was the case, and the results showed that mobilization of RSF1010 by Mpf_F also increased 1,000-fold with TraD576 (Table 3). Thus, the RSF1010 mobilization frequency was 10^3 -fold higher with TraD576 than with TraD, regardless of the Mpf system employed, and the lack of

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Molecular Biology, University of Cantabria, Cardenal Herrera Oria s/n, 39011 Santander, Spain. Phone: 34-42-201942. Fax: 34-42-201945. E-mail: delacruz@medi.unican.es.

[†] Present address: Laboratory of Molecular Biology of the Medical Research Council, Cambridge, United Kingdom.

TABLE 1. *E. coli* strains

Strain	Genotype	Reference or source	
$DH5\alpha$	F^- endA1 hsdR17 supE44 thi-1 recA1 relA1 $\Delta(\text{arg}F\text{-}lacZYA)U169$ ϕ 80d $lacZ\Delta M15$ gyrA96	10	
JM109	recA1 endA1 gyrA96 thi hsdR17 supE44 relA11 $\Delta (lac$ -proAB) (F' traD36 proAB $lacI^{q}Z\Delta M15)$	17	
N ₁₀₀	F^- galK lac ⁺ recA pro	14	
UB1637	F^- his lys trp rspL recA56 λ^-		
XL1-Red	endA1 gyrA96 thi-1 hsdR17 supE44 relA1 lac mutD5 mutS mutT $Tn10(Tet^r)$	Stratagene	

TABLE 2. Plasmids

Plasmid	Relevant features ^a	Replicon	Reference or source
pSU18	Cm^{r} , cloning vector	p15A	2
pSU1456	Tp^r , Su ^r , R388(trwB)	R388	13
pSU4305	Cm ^r , pSU18::(traD ⁺ ori T_w ⁺)	p15A	This work
pSU4309	Cm ^r , pSU18::(traD680fs ori T_w^+)	p15A	This work
pSU4314	Cm^r , pSU18:: $(traD664fs)$	p15A	This work
pSU4316	Cm^r , pSU18:: $(traD518)$	p15A	This work
pSU4327	Cmr , pSU18:: $(traD576)$	p15A	This work
pSU4601	Km ^r , ColE1::kan	pMB1	4
pSU4619	Cm^r , pSU18::traD	p15A	4
pSU4622	Cm ^r , pSU18:: $(trwA^+$ trwB ⁺)	p15A	4
RSF1010K	Km ^r , RSF1010::kan	RSF1010	16

^a Cm^r, chloramphenicol resistant; Su^r, sulfamide resistant; Tp^r, trimethoprim resistant.

the TraD carboxyl terminus in TraD576 allowed better interaction of TraD576 with components of the RSF1010 and R388 relaxosomes.

To this point, our results showed only a hindering function for the TraD carboxyl terminus, but its physiological significance in F conjugation was suggested by an experiment in which we used TraD576 to complement JM109 (F *traD*) for F transfer. In this case, the frequency of conjugative transfer of F traD upon complementation by TraD576 was reduced 10⁴-fold compared with the frequency obtained when F *traD* was complemented by wild-type TraD (Table 3). The conclusion was that the TraD carboxyl terminus is responsible for efficient coupling with the F relaxosome, at the cost of hindering the interaction with unrelated relaxosomes.

It is worth noting here that the frequencies of ColE1 mobilization with Mpf_W (pSU1456) or Mpf_F (JM109) did not vary when TraD576 instead of TraD was employed (Table 3). These results suggest that interaction of TraD with the ColE1 relaxosome probably does not involve the carboxyl region. These data reinforce the concept that the Mpf functions of both F and R388 can interact properly with TraD576, and so the results reported above should be a consequence of altered interactions with the relevant relaxosomes.

The C-terminal 37 amino acids of TraD are responsible for the change in specificity. Using a different experimental approach, we randomly mutagenized *traD* to improve its ability to complement conjugative transfer of R388 *trwB*. A method that combined in vivo mutagenesis and selection steps was used. Random mutagenesis was carried out by introducing pSU4305, a recombinant plasmid containing F *traD* together with R388 *oriT*, into *E. coli* XL1-Red (catalog no. 200129; Stratagene). This strain is damaged in three different DNA repair systems (*mutD mutS mutT*), so its mutation rate is about 5,000-fold higher than that of common *E. coli* laboratory strains. After 200 generations of growth of XL1-Red(pSU4305), plasmid DNA was isolated and introduced into strain DH5 α (pSU1456). About $10⁴$ transformant colonies were pooled, diluted in Luria broth to 2×10^9 cells/ml, and used as donors for mating with the recipient strain, UB1637. The presence of R388 *oriT* in pSU4305 allowed conjugative DNA processing of this plasmid by pSU1456-encoded proteins and thus its transfer to the recipient strain. Donor cells (10^7) were mated with 10^9 recipient cells at 37° C on a solid surface $(0.22 \text{-} \mu \text{m-pore-size}$ Millipore

FIG. 1. Comparison of TrwB with TraD and a series of TraD mutants in the carboxyl-terminal region. The upper part of the figure shows a schematic alignment of TrwB and TraD. Solid boxes represent predicted transmembrane segments, and shaded segments represent theoretical nucleoside triphosphate-binding motifs. When the amino acid sequences of TrwB and TraD were aligned, C-terminal residue 507 of TrwB aligned with residue 576 of TraD. The lower part of the figure shows the amino acid sequences of the carboxyl-terminal regions of TraD and its derivatives. The plasmids that encode each of the proteins are shown in parentheses. Superscript numbers correspond to the positions of the corresponding amino acids in the sequence of TraD. Amino acids that differ from those of TraD are underlined. Asterisks represent the ends of the proteins.

Plasmid (coupling protein)	Transfer frequency						
	DH5 α (pSU1456) (R388 trwB) with mobilizable plasmid:			$JM109$ (F $traD$) with mobilizable plasmid:			
	None	pSU4601 (ColE1 Km ^r)	RSF1010K	None b	pSU4601 (ColE1 Km ^r)	RSF1010K	
pSU4305 $(TraD)^c$	3×10^{-8}	2×10^{-4}	3×10^{-7}		5×10^{-2}	5×10^{-6}	
pSU4327 (TraD576)	4×10^{-5}	2×10^{-4}	2×10^{-4}	1×10^{-4}	1×10^{-2}	2×10^{-3}	
$pSU4309$ (TraD680fs)	2×10^{-5}	3×10^{-3}	5×10^{-4}	1×10^{-4}	1×10^{-1}	3×10^{-3}	
$pSU4314$ (TraD664fs)	4×10^{-5}	2×10^{-4}	1×10^{-4}	1×10^{-4}	5×10^{-2}	6×10^{-4}	
pSU4316 (TraD518)	$\leq 1 \times 10^{-8}$	${<}1 \times 10^{-8}$	$\leq 1 \times 10^{-8}$	1×10^{-5}	2×10^{-6}	$< 1 \times 10^{-8}$	
$pSU4622$ (TrwB)	4×10^{-2}	1×10^{-4}	3×10^{-4}	2×10^{-6}	8×10^{-6}	2×10^{-7}	
None	$\leq 1 \times 10^{-8}$	$< 1 \times 10^{-8}$	$\leq 1 \times 10^{-8}$	$< 1 \times 10^{-8}$	$\leq 1 \times 10^{-8}$	$< 1 \times 10^{-8}$	

TABLE 3. Transfer frequencies of mobilizable plasmids, or of conjugative plasmids deficient in the coupling protein, when complemented by TraD variants*^a*

^a Donor strains were derivatives either of strain DH5a(pSU1456) or of strain JM109 carrying one of the plasmids providing a coupling protein with or without a mobilizable plasmid. Transfer frequencies are expressed as the number of transconjugants per donor cell obtained when donor strains were mated with UB1637 (except as indicated in footnote *^b*) for 1 h at 37°C on solid media. Reported figures are means of at least three experiments. *^b* Recipient strain was N100.

c Frequencies obtained with pSU4619 (equivalent to pSU4305 but not containing *oriT_W*) were the same as for pSU4305.

nitrocellulose filter on prewarmed nutritive agar plates) for 1 h. Transconjugants harboring pSU4305 derivatives were isolated. In that way, a selection step that eliminated nonconjugative mutants and enriched the population of those *traD* mutants that increased complementation of R388 $oriT_w$ transfer was carried out. Resulting transconjugants were pooled, and plasmid DNA was obtained from them and reintroduced into XL1- Red cells to carry out another mutagenesis-selection cycle. After five of these cycles, a mutant plasmid named pSU4309, which produced a frequency of transfer of R388 *trwB* that was 10³-fold higher than that obtained with the original plasmid, pSU4305, was isolated (Table 3).

The mutation present in pSU4309 that was responsible for the effect on the frequency of transfer of R388 *trwB* was localized by DNA heteroduplex analysis in mutation detection enhancement (MDE) polyacrylamide gels (Hydrolink). Briefly, wild-type and mutant plasmid DNAs were endonuclease digested, mixed, heat denatured, and then renatured and run on an MDE gel. Heteroduplex DNA molecules carrying mismatches were detected by means of their reduced electrophoretic mobility, allowing detection of single-base substitutions. In this way, we limited mutations to a segment of 36 bp located 128 bp upstream from the end of *traD*. This region and adjacent DNA were sequenced, revealing that the only mutation detected was a deletion of a G at position 2358 in the published DNA sequence of *traD* (11). This point deletion caused a frameshift that changed the TraD amino acid sequence from position 680 onward and resulted in the appearance of a premature stop signal at amino acid 698. The resulting protein, named TraD680fs, had lost the last 37 amino acids of the carboxyl terminus of TraD (Fig. 1). That small deletion produced an effect on plasmid transfer frequencies equivalent to that observed with TraD576: a 10^3 -fold increase in the transfer frequencies of both R388 and the mobilizable plasmid RSF1010 and a 10⁴-fold reduction in F plasmid transfer frequency. A 10-fold increase in the transfer frequency of plasmid ColE1 may also be significant (Table 3). Therefore, the determinant for efficient interaction with the F relaxosome that hindered the interaction with R388 and RSF1010 relaxosomes was located at the very end of TraD, its last 37 amino acids. The remaining amino acid sequence deleted in TraD576 apparently had no additional effect, and its role could be simply to position properly the specificity determinant in the whole protein.

We next wondered if further deletion of the TraD carboxyl

terminus beyond amino acid residue 576 would maintain the same properties. Therefore, we constructed the TraD derivative TraD518, which ends with the substitution G518I and lacks the C-terminal 199 amino acids of TraD (Fig. 1). When plasmid pSU4316, containing *traD518*, was used to complement the transfer of either R388 *trwB* or F *traD*, the transfer frequencies obtained were very low (Table 3), suggesting that the region between amino acids 518 and 576 contains sequences of TraD that are essential for function.

Altogether, our results showed that the loss of even a small fragment of the TraD carboxyl terminus causes a change in the specificity of its interaction with different relaxosomes, resulting in a moderate frequency of conjugative transfer for a series of them (Table 3). Thus, the effect can be described as a widening of the range of mobilizable relaxosomes at the expense of a reduction in the efficiency of F relaxosome mobilization. This result could be interpreted as the presence in F TraD of a carboxyl-terminal arm, not present in other coupling proteins (such as $TrwB_{R388}$ and $Tr\hat{G}_{RP4}$), that constitutes a high-affinity site for interaction with its own relaxosome. The existence of this C-terminal arm hinders the interaction of a second, less efficient, site with affinity for a broader range of relaxosomes. Evolutionarily, this kind of specialization typically occurs in stable environments, where it is advantageous to sacrifice a capacity for adaptation to different conditions for an increase in functional efficiency (called a K-strategy); in contrast, under unstable conditions, specialization is a burden instead of an advantage, and an r-strategy based on a high reproduction rate is more convenient for ecological success (15). In nature, F exists usually as a single-copy plasmid exclusively in bacteria belonging to the *Enterobacteriaceae*, which proliferate in animal digestive tracts. This ecosystem probably constitutes a stable environment, so F may have followed a K-strategy consisting of the development of a specific and efficient transfer apparatus to promote its own transfer. This is consistent with the higher genetic complexity of the F transfer apparatus compared with other conjugative systems (9). Meanwhile, plasmids dwelling in more unstable environments, as do promiscuous plasmids within IncN, IncP, IncW, or IncQ, may have developed a simpler, less specialized, and less efficient but more versatile conjugative apparatus.

This work received financial support from the Spanish Ministry of Education (DGICYT) through project PB95-1269. J.I.S. was a recipient of grants from the Department of Education of the Basque Government and from the Marqués de Valdecilla Foundation (in different periods), and E.C. was a recipient of a grant from the Department of Education of the Basque Government.

REFERENCES

- 1. **Balzer, D., W. Pansegrau, and E. Lanka.** 1994. Essential motifs of relaxase TraI and TraG proteins involved in conjugative transfer of plasmid RP4. J. Bacteriol. **176:**4285–4295.
- 2. **Bartolome´, B., Y. Jubete, E. Martı´nez, and F. de la Cruz.** 1991. Construction and properties of a family of pACYC184-derived cloning vectors, compatible with pBR322 and its derivatives. Gene **102:**75–78.
- 3. **Beijersbergen, A., A. D. Dulk-Ras, R. A. Schilperoort, and P. J. J. Hooykaas.** 1992. Conjugative transfer by the virulence system of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. Science **256:**1324–1327.
- 4. Cabezón, E., F. de la Cruz, and E. Lanka. 1994. Requirements for mobilization of plasmid RSF1010 and ColE1 by the IncW plasmid R388: *trwB* and RP4 *traG* are interchangeable. J. Bacteriol. **176:**4455–4458.
- 5. **Cabezo´n, E., J. I. Sastre, and F. de la Cruz.** 1997. Genetic evidence of a coupling role for the TraG protein-family in bacterial conjugation. Mol. Gen. Genet. **254:**400–406.
- 6. **Dash, P. K., B. A. Traxler, M. M. Panicker, D. D. Hackney, and E. G. Minkley.** 1992. Biochemical characterization of *Escherichia coli* DNA helicase I. Mol. Microbiol. **6:**1163–1172.
- 7. **De La Cruz, F., and J. Grinsted.** 1982. Genetic and molecular characterization of Tn*21*, a multiple resistance transposon from R100.1. J. Bacteriol. **151:**222–228.
- 8. **Disque´-Kochem, C., and B. Dreiseikelmann.** 1997. The cytoplasmic DNAbinding protein TraM binds to the inner membrane protein TraD in vitro. J. Bacteriol. **179:**6133–6137.
- 9. **Firth, N., K. Ippen-Ihler, and R. A. Skurray.** 1996. Structure and function of the F factor and mechanism of conjugation, p. 2377–2401. *In* F. C. Neidhardt, R. Curtiss III, J. L. Ingraham, E. C. C. Lin, K. B. Low, B. Magasanik, W. S. Reznikoff, M. Riley, M. Schaechter, and H. E. Umbarger (ed.), *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella*: cellular and molecular biology, 2nd ed., vol. 2. ASM Press, Washington, D.C.
- 10. **Grant, S. G. N., J. Jesee, F. R. Bloom, and D. Hanahan.** 1990. Differential plasmid rescue from transgenic mouse DNAs into *Escherichia coli* methylation-restriction mutants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **87:**4645–4649.
- 11. **Jalajakumari, M. B., and P. A. Manning.** 1989. Nucleotide sequence of the *traD* region in *Escherichia coli* F sex factor. Gene **81:**195–202.
- 12. **Lessl, M., D. Balzer, and E. Lanka.** 1993. The mating pair formation system of plasmid RP4 defined by RSF1010 mobilization and donor-specific phage propagation. J. Bacteriol. **175:**6415–6425.
- 13. **Llosa, M., S. Bolland, and F. de la Cruz.** 1994. Genetic organization of the conjugal DNA processing region of the IncW plasmid R388. J. Mol. Biol. **235:**448–464.
- 14. **McKenney, K., H. Shimatake, D. Court, U. Schmeissner, and M. Rosenberg.** 1981. A system to study promoter and terminator signals recognised by *Escherichia coli* DNA polymerase, p. 383–415. *In* J. G. Chirikjian and T. Papas (ed.), Gene amplification and analysis, vol. 2. Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- 15. **Pianka, E. R.** 1970. On r- and K-selection. Am. Nat. **104:**592–597.
- 16. **Scherzinger, E., R. Lurz, S. Otto, and B. Dobrinski.** 1992. *In vitro* cleavage of double- and single-stranded DNA by plasmid RSF1010-encoded mobilization proteins. Nucleic Acids Res. **20:**41–48.
- 17. **Yanisch-Perron, C., J. Vieira, and J. Messing.** 1985. Improved M13 phage cloning vectors and host strains: nucleotide sequences of the M13mp18 and pUC18 vectors. Gene **33:**103–119.