# <sup>1</sup> Predicting stop codon reassignment improves functional

# <sup>2</sup> annotation of bacteriophages

3

```
4 Authors
```

5 Ryan Cook<sup>\*1</sup>, Andrea Telatin<sup>1</sup>, George Bouras<sup>2,3</sup>, Antonio Pedro Camargo<sup>4</sup>, Martin Larralde<sup>5</sup>,

6 Robert A. Edwards<sup>6</sup>, and Evelien M. Adriaenssens<sup>1</sup>

- 7
- 8 \* *Denotes corresponding author:* Ryan.Cook@quadram.ac.uk
- 9

```
10 Affiliations
```

- 11 1: Food, Microbiome and Health Research Programme, Quadram Institute Bioscience, Norwich, NR4
- 12 7UQ, UK
- 13 2: Adelaide Medical School, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, The University of Adelaide,
- 14 Adelaide, SA 5070, Australia
- 15 3: Department of Surgery—Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery, University of Adelaide and the
- 16 Basil Hetzel Institute for Translational Health Research, Central Adelaide Local Health Network,
- 17 Adelaide, SA 5070, Australia
- 18 4: Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley,
- 19 CA 94720, USA
- 20 5: Structural and Computational Biology Unit, European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL),
- 21 Meyerhofstraße 1, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany
- 22 6: Flinders Accelerator for Microbiome Exploration, College of Science and Engineering, Flinders
- 23 University, Bedford Park, Adelaide, SA, 5042, Australia

#### 24 Abstract

The majority of bacteriophage diversity remains uncharacterised, and new intriguing 25 mechanisms of their biology are being continually described. Members of some phage 26 lineages, such as the Crassvirales, repurpose stop codons to encode an amino acid by using 27 alternate genetic codes. Here, we investigated the prevalence of stop codon reassignment in 28 phage genomes and subsequent impacts on functional annotation. We predicted 76 29 genomes within INPHARED and 712 vOTUs from the Unified Human Gut Virome catalogue 30 (UHGV) that repurpose a stop codon to encode an amino acid. We re-annotated these 31 sequences with modified versions of Pharokka and Prokka, called Pharokka-gv and Prokka-32 gy, to automatically predict stop codon reassignment prior to annotation. Both tools 33 significantly improved the quality of annotations, with Pharokka-gy performing best. For 34 sequences predicted to repurpose TAG to glutamine (translation table 15), Pharokka-gv 35 increased the median gene length (median of per genome medians) from 287 to 481 bp for 36 UHGV sequences (67.8% increase) and from 318 to 550 bp for INPHARED sequences (72.9% 37 increase). The re-annotation increased mean coding density from 66.8% to 90.0%, and from 38 69.0% to 89.8% for UHGV and INPHARED sequences. Furthermore, the proportion of genes 39 that could be assigned functional annotation increased, including an increase in the number 40 of major capsid proteins that could be identified. We propose that automatic prediction of 41 stop codon reassignment before annotation is beneficial to downstream viral genomic and 42 metagenomic analyses. 43

# 44 Main Body

Bacteriophages, hereafter phages, are increasingly recognised as a vital component of
microbial communities in all environments where they have been studied in detail. Phages
are known to drive bacterial evolution and community composition through predator-prey
dynamics and their potential as agents of horizontal gene transfer. The use of viral
metagenomics, or viromics, has massively expanded our understanding of global viral
diversity and shed light on the ecological roles that phages play.

51

52 Much of the study into viral communities has been conducted on the human gut. Here,

viromics has uncovered ecologically important viruses that are difficult to bring into culture

<sup>54</sup> using standard laboratory techniques<sup>1</sup>, shown potential roles of viruses in disease states<sup>2</sup>,

and allowed for the recovery of enormous phage genomes larger than any brought into

<sup>56</sup> culture<sup>3</sup>. As the majority of phage diversity remains uncharacterised, new and enigmatic

diversification mechanisms are being described continually, including the potential use of
alternative translation tables.

58 59

<sup>60</sup> Lineage-specific stop codon reassignment has been described previously in

bacteriophages<sup>4,5</sup>, whereby a stop codon is repurposed to encode an amino acid. Notably,
 annotations of Lak "megaphages" assembled from metagenomes were observed to exhibit
 unusually low coding density (~70%) when genes are predicted using the standard bacterial,

archaeal and plant plastid genetic code (translation table 11)<sup>3</sup>, much lower than the value

observed for most cultured phages of ~90%<sup>6</sup>. The Lak megaphages were predicted to

repurpose the TAG stop codon into an as-of-yet unknown amino acid<sup>3</sup>. More recently,

uncultured members of *Crassvirales* have been predicted to repurpose TAG to glutamine

68 (translation table 15), and TGA to tryptophan (translation table 4)<sup>5</sup>, and since then the use of

translation table 15 has been experimentally validated in two phages belonging to

70 *Crassvirales*<sup>7</sup>. As this feature may be widespread in human gut viruses, we trained a fork of

<sup>71</sup> Prodigal<sup>8</sup>, named prodigal-gv, to predict stop codon reassignment in phages<sup>9</sup> and

implemented in the pyrodigal-gv library to provide efficient Cython bindings to Prodigal-gv

with pyrodigal<sup>10</sup>. Additionally, the virus discovery tool geNomad incorporates pyrodigal-gv to

74 predict stop codon reassignment for viral sequences identified in metagenomes and

viromes<sup>9</sup>. However, the detection of translation table 15 still has limited support in many
tools, and the impacts of stop codon reassignment are rarely considered in viral genomics
and metagenomics.

78

To assess the extent of stop codon reassignment in studied phage genomes and the impacts 79 on functional annotation, we extracted phage genomes from INPHARED<sup>6</sup> and predicted 80 those using alternative stop codons. We also added high-quality and complete vOTUs from 81 the Unified Human Gut Virome Catalog (UHGV; https://github.com/snayfach/UHGV) 82 predicted to use alternative codons. The viral genomes were re-annotated using modified 83 versions of the commonly used annotation pipelines Prokka<sup>11</sup>, and Pharokka<sup>12</sup> implementing 84 prodigal-gv/pyrodigal-gv for gene prediction (Supplementary Methods). Hereafter, the 85 modified versions are referred to Prokka-gv and Pharokka-gv. 86 87

From INPHARED, 49 genomes (0.24%) were predicted to use translation table 15, and 27 88 (0.13%) were predicted to use translation table 4. From the UHGV, 666 vOTUs (1.2%) were 89 predicted to use translation table 15 and 46 (0.08%) were predicted to use translation table 90 4. These genomes and vOTUs were not constrained to one particular clade of viruses, being 91 predicted to occur on both dsDNA viruses of the realm *Duplodnaviria* and ssDNA viruses of 92 the realm Monodnaviria, suggesting it is a phenomenon that has arisen on at least two 93 occasions (Supplementary Table 1). The lower frequency of these genomes in cultured 94 isolates (INPHARED) versus human viromes (UHGV) may be due to culturing and sequencing 95 96 biases, perhaps including modifications to DNA that are known to be recalcitrant to sequencing. 97

98

Although the mechanism for stop codon reassignment in phages is not fully understood, 99 suppressor tRNAs are suggested to play a role<sup>4,13</sup>. Consistent with previous findings, we 100 found 375/715 (52.4%) phages predicted to use translation table 15 encoded at least one 101 suppressor tRNA corresponding to the *amber* stop codon (Sup-CTA tRNA), and 11/73 (15.1%) 102 of those predicted to use translation table 4 encoded at least one suppressor tRNA 103 corresponding to the opal stop codon (Sup-TCA tRNA)<sup>4,13,14</sup>. Although fewer of those 104 predicted to use translation table 4 encoded the relevant suppressor tRNA, 22/27 (81%) of 105 106 the INPHARED phages predicted to use translation table 4 were viruses of *Mycoplasma* or

Spiroplasma. As Mycoplasma and Sprioplasma are known to use translation table 4, many of
 the viruses predicted to use translation table 4 may be simply using the same translation
 table as their host.

110

Prediction of stop codon reassignment led to improved annotations for both Prokka and Pharokka, although the extent of this varied with the two datasets, translation tables, and annotation pipelines tested. As Pharokka-gv outperformed Prokka-gv on all metrics tested, only Pharokka-gv is discussed further, and the equivalent results for Prokka-gv can be found in Supplementary Results.

116

The largest differences were observed for sequences predicted to use translation table 15, 117 for which Pharokka-gv increased the median gene length (median of per genome medians) 118 119 from 287 to 481 bp for UHGV sequences (67.8% increase) and from 318 to 550 bp for INPHARED sequences (72.9% increase; Figure 1A). This was also reflected in an increase of 120 median coding capacity from 66.8% to 90.0% for UHGV, and 69.0% to 89.8% for INPHARED 121 (Figure 1B). Overall, these improved gene calls led to an increased gene length, and a 122 reduction in the number of predicted genes per kb and the number of genes that could not 123 be assigned functional annotations (Supplementary Figure 2; Supplementary Table 2). As it is 124 commonly used as a phylogenetic marker for bacteriophages, we investigated how 125 commonly the major capsid protein (MCP) could be identified with and without predicted 126 stop codon reassignment<sup>15</sup>. For those viruses we predicted to use translation table 15, 127 annotation using the default translation table 11 only resulted in the MCP being identified in 128 407/715 (56.9%) of the genomes. In contrast, using translation table 15 with Pharokka-gy, 129 we could identify the MCP in 475/715 (66.4%). 130

131

When investigating the sequences for which translation table 4 was predicted to be optimal, a substantial increase was also observed for UHGV sequences, with Pharokka-gv increasing median gene length (median of per genome medians) from 350 to 518 bp (a 48.0% increase in length; Figure 1A), resulting in an increase of coding capacity from 78.0% to 90.4% (Figure 1B). However, the same was not observed for the 27 INPHARED genomes predicted to use translation table 4. Reannotation resulted in a modest increase in median gene length (median of per genome medians) from 573 to 588 bp (a 2.6% increase in length; Figure 1A).

- 139 Median coding capacity was not increased, with both Pharokka and Pharokka-gv obtaining
- 140 89.1% (Figure 1B). As the median gene length and coding capacity for INPHARED sequences
- predicted to use translation table 4 are in line with expected values, their prediction may be
- a false positive. Reassuringly, the prediction of translation table 4 has not hindered the
- 143 quality of annotations where it may be a false positive.





145

Figure 1. Re-annotating with predicted stop codon reassignment increases the quality of annotations. Comparison of (A) median predicted gene length (bp) and (B) coding capacity (%) for INPHARED genomes and UHGV vOTUs annotated with Pharokka (translation table 11 only) and Pharokka-gv (prediction of stop codon reassignment), grouped by dataset and predicted stop codon reassignment. Asterisk indicates significance at  $P \le 10e-10$  with P determined by a simple T test and adjusted with the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

- 152 The analysis of viral (meta)genomes relies on accurate protein predictions, with predicted
- ORFs being used in common analyses, including (pro)phage prediction, functional
- annotation, and phylogenetic analyses. The clear differences in protein predictions
- 155 with/without predicted stop codon reassignment will likely have downstream impacts upon
- these analyses. However, this phenomenon is not yet widely considered in viral
- (meta)genomics. We have demonstrated the impacts of stop codon reassignment in the
- 158 functional annotation of phages, and provide tools for the automatic prediction and
- annotation of viral genomes that repurpose stop codons. Our analysis highlights the need for
- accurate viral ORF prediction, and further experimental validation to elucidate the
- 161 mechanisms of stop codon reassignment.

# 162 Data Availability

- 163 The genomes used in this analysis are from two publicly available datasets; INPHARED
- 164 (https://github.com/RyanCook94/inphared) and the Unified Human Gut Virome (UHGV;
- 165 https://github.com/snayfach/UHGV). The details of included sequences are shown in
- 166 Supplementary Table 1. The code for Prokka-gv is available on GitHub
- 167 (https://github.com/telatin/metaprokka). The code for Pharokka is available on GitHub
- 168 (https://github.com/gbouras13/pharokka). The code for Prodigal-gv is available on GitHub
- (https://github.com/apcamargo/prodigal-gv). The code for Pyrodigal-gv is available on
- 170 GitHub (https://github.com/althonos/pyrodigal-gy).
- 171

# 172 Competing Interests

- 173 The authors have nothing to declare.
- 174

## 175 **Funding**

- 176 This research was supported by the BBSRC Institute Strategic Programme Food Microbiome
- and Health BB/X011054/1 and its constituent projects BBS/E/F/000PR13631 and
- BBS/E/F/000PR13633; and by the BBSRC Institute Strategic Programme Microbes and Food
- Safety BB/X011011/1 and its constituent projects BBS/E/F/000PR13634,
- BBS/E/F/000PR13635 and BBS/E/F/000PR13636. R.C and E.M.A were supported by the
- 181 BBSRC grant Bacteriophages in Gut Health BB/W015706/1. This research was supported in
- part by the NBI Research Computing through the High-Performance Computing cluster. We
- gratefully acknowledge CLIMB-BIG-DATA infrastructure (MR/T030062/1) support for the
- provision of cloud resources. RAE was supported by an award from the
- 185 NIH NIDDK RC2DK116713 and an award from the Australian Research
- 186 Council DP220102915. The work conducted by the US Department of Energy Joint Genome
- 187 Institute (https://ror.org/04xm1d337) and the National Energy Research Scientific
- 188 Computing Center (<u>https://ror.org/05v3mvq14</u>) is supported by the US Department of
- 189 Energy Office of Science user facilities, operated under contract no. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

# 190 **References**

191	1	Dutilh, B. E. et al. in Nature Communications Vol. 5 4498 (2014).
192	2	Clooney, A. G. et al. in Cell Host & Microbe Vol. 26 764-778.e765 (2019).
193	3	Devoto, A. E. et al. in Nature Microbiology (2019).
194	4	Ivanova, N. N. et al. Stop codon reassignments in the wild. Science 344, 909-913 (2014).
195		https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1250691
196	5	Yutin, N. et al. Analysis of metagenome-assembled viral genomes from the human gut reveals
197		diverse putative CrAss-like phages with unique genomic features. <i>Nat Commun</i> <b>12</b> , 1044 (2021).
198		https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21350-w
199	6	Cook, R. et al. in Phage Vol. 2 214-223 (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 2021).
200	7	Peters, S. L. et al. Experimental validation that human microbiome phages use alternative genetic
201		coding. Nature Communications 13, 5710 (2022). <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32979-6</u>
202	8	Hyatt, D. et al. in BMC Bioinformatics Vol. 11 1-11 (BioMed Central, 2010).
203	9	Camargo, A. P. et al. Identification of mobile genetic elements with geNomad. Nat Biotechnol
204		(2023). <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-023-01953-γ</u>
205	10	Larralde, M. Pyrodigal: Python bindings and interface to Prodigal, an efficient method for gene
206		prediction in prokaryotes. Journal of Open Source Software 7, 4296 (2022).
207		https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.04296
208	11	Seemann, T. in Bioinformatics Vol. 30 2068-2069 (2014).
209	12	Bouras, G. et al. Pharokka: a fast scalable bacteriophage annotation tool. Bioinformatics 39
210		(2022). https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btac776
211	13	Pfennig, A., Lomsadze, A. & Borodovsky, M. Annotation of Phage Genomes with Multiple Genetic
212		Codes. <i>bioRxiv</i> , 2022.2006.2029.495998 (2022). <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.29.495998</u>
213	14	Chan, P. P. & Lowe, T. M. tRNAscan-SE: Searching for tRNA Genes in Genomic Sequences.
214		Methods Mol Biol 1962, 1-14 (2019). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-9173-0_1</u>
215	15	Simmonds, P. et al. Four principles to establish a universal virus taxonomy. PLOS Biology 21,
216		e3001922 (2023). <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3001922</u>
217	16	Telatin, A., Fariselli, P. & Birolo, G. SeqFu: A Suite of Utilities for the Robust and Reproducible
218		Manipulation of Sequence Files. <i>Bioengineering</i> 8, 59 (2021).
219	17	Terzian, P. et al. in NAR Genomics and Bioinformatics Vol. 3 (Oxford Academic, 2021).
220	18	Team, R. C. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. (R Foundation for Statistical
221		Computing, 2018).
222	19	Benjamini, Y. & Hochberg, Y. in Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)
223		Vol. 57 289-300 (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 1995).
224	20	Wickham, H. Ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis. 2 edn, (Springer International
225		Publishing, 2016).

# 227 Supplementary Methods

228

#### 229 Datasets

- 230 A multifasta file of phage genomes was downloaded from INPHARED
- 231 (https://github.com/RyanCook94/inphared; September 2023)<sup>6</sup>. Stop codon reassignment of
- INPHARED genomes was predicted using Prodigal-gv v2.11.0
- 233 (<u>https://github.com/apcamargo/prodigal-gv</u>), a fork of Prodigal written to improve viral gene
- calling<sup>8</sup>. Those predicted to use translation table 4 or 15 were retained for downstream
   analysis.
- 236
- The Unified Human Gut Virome Catalog (UHGV) was filtered for high quality and complete
- vOTUs deemed to be a "high confidence" virus and predicted to use either translation table
- 4 or 15 (<u>https://github.com/snayfach/UHGV</u>). Stop codon reassignment had already been
- predicted for UHGV vOTUs using Prodigal-gv and is available in the UHGV metadata.
- 241

### Prokka

- A fork of Prokka v1.14.5<sup>11</sup> was written that incorporates an initial stage of ORF prediction
- using Prodigal-gv v2.11.0 (<u>https://github.com/apcamargo/prodigal-gv</u>)<sup>8</sup>. A first gene calling
- step is used to infer the genetic code most likely adopted by the genome, then the predicted
- genetic code is used to perform the translation FASTX::Seq, which we updated to accept
- code 15 (metacpan.org/pod/FASTX::Seq)<sup>16</sup>. The code for this is available at
- 248 (github.com/telatin/metaprokka). We included publicly available HMMs of the PHROGs
- 249 database in our Prokka-gv annotations
- 250 (http://s3.climb.ac.uk/ADM\_share/all\_phrogs.hmm.gz)<sup>17</sup>. The fork is installable from
- Bioconda as 'metaprokka'.
- 252

## 253 Pharokka

- <sup>254</sup> Pharokka v1.5.0<sup>12</sup> was updated to include support for pyrodigal-gv implementing pyrodigal-
- gv as a gene predictor. This is specified by using '-g prodigal-gv' when running Pharokka. The
- updated code is available on GitHub (<u>https://github.com/gbouras13/pharokka</u>). Pharokka
- <sup>257</sup> uses tRNAscan-SE for predicting tRNAs<sup>14</sup>.

258

# 259 Statistical Analyses and Data Visualisation

- To test for significance in differences of results, a simple paired T test was performed in R
- v4.2.2<sup>18</sup> and P-values were adjusted using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure<sup>19</sup>. Figure 1 was
- produced using ggplot2 v3.4.2 $^{20}$ .

#### 263 Supplementary Results

#### 264 **Prokka-gv Annotations**

For Prokka-gy, the largest differences were observed for sequences predicted to use 265 translation table 15, for which Prokka-gv increased the median gene length (median of per 266 genome medians) from 276 to 396 bp for UHGV sequences (43.5% increase), and from 309 267 to 483 bp for INPHARED sequences (56.3% increase). This was also reflected in an increase 268 of median coding capacity from 66.6% to 86.7% for UHGV, and from 69.2% to 87.3% for 269 INPHARED. As it is commonly used as a phylogenetic marker for bacteriophages, we 270 investigated how commonly the major capsid protein (MCP) could be identified with and 271 without predicted stop codon reassignment<sup>15</sup>. For sequences predicted to use translation 272 table 15, the MCP could be identified on 382/715 (53.4%) sequences with Prokka and this 273 was marginally increased to 386/715 (53.9%) with Prokka-gv. 274 275 When investigating the sequences for which translation table 4 was predicted, a substantial 276 increase was also observed for UHGV sequences, with Prokka-gv increasing median median 277 gene length from 319 to 460 bp (44.2%), resulting in an increase of coding capacity from 278

- 78.4% to 91.4%. However, the same was not observed for INPHARED sequences predicted to
- use translation table 4. These sequences observed a modest increase in median median
- gene length from 573 to 584 bp (1.8%) for Prokka-gv. Median coding capacity was not
- increased with Prokka and Prokka-gv both obtaining 86.2%.





B