1 **Title**: Trans-synaptic molecular context of NMDA receptor nanodomains

Authors: Michael C Anderson^{1†}, Aaron D Levy^{2†}, Poorna A Dharmasri^{1,3†}, Sarah R Metzbower^{2,4},
 Thomas A Blanpied^{2*}

5 6 Affiliations:

- 7 1 Program in Neuroscience, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA
- 8 2 Department of Physiology, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA
- 9 3 Current address: Solomon H. Snyder Department of Neuroscience, Johns Hopkins University
- 10 School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA
- 11 4 Current address: Nikon Instruments Inc, Melville, NY, USA
- 12 **†** equal contribution
- 13 * corresponding author (<u>tblanpied@som.umarlyand.edu</u>)

15 ORCID:

- 16 MCA: 0000-0001-8746-4681
- 17 ADL: 0000-0002-5856-8294
- 18 PAD: 0009-0002-1809-8045
- 19 TAB: 0000-0003-4957-557X
- 20

14

2

21 Contributions:

The project was conceptualized by MCA, ADL, PAD, SRM, and TAB. Experimental conditions and reagents were optimized by MCA and ADL. Confocal data were collected by MCA and ADL.

- 24 DNA-PAINT data were collected by ADL. DNA-PAINT analysis was performed by MCA, ADL,
- PAD, and SRM, TAB supervised the study. Figures were prepared by MCA and ADL, and the
- manuscript was written by ADL with constructive review and editing by TAB, MCA, PAD, and SRM.

1

28

29 Funding:

- 30 MCA F31MH124283
- 31 ADL F32MH119687
- 32 PAD F31MH117920
- 33 TAB R37MH080046, R01MH11982

34

37 ABSTRACT

38

39 Tight coordination of the spatial relationships between protein complexes is required for 40 cellular function. In neuronal synapses, many proteins responsible for neurotransmission organize into subsynaptic nanoclusters whose trans-cellular alignment modulates synaptic 41 42 signal propagation. However, the spatial relationships between these proteins and NMDA receptors (NMDARs), which are required for learning and memory, remain undefined. Here, we 43 mapped the relationship of key NMDAR subunits to reference proteins in the active zone and 44 45 postsynaptic density using multiplexed super-resolution DNA-PAINT microscopy. GluN2A and GluN2B subunits formed nanoclusters with diverse configurations that, surprisingly, were not 46 localized near presynaptic vesicle release sites marked by Munc13-1. However, a subset of 47 presynaptic sites was configured to maintain NMDAR activation: these were internally denser. 48 aligned with abundant PSD-95, and associated closely with specific NMDAR nanodomains. This 49 50 work reveals a new principle regulating NMDAR signaling and suggests that synaptic functional architecture depends on assembly of multiprotein nanodomains whose interior construction is 51

52 conditional on trans-cellular relationships.

53 INTRODUCTION

54 Many cellular functions are performed by macromolecular protein ensembles that require nanoscale spatial relationships with neighboring ensembles to facilitate complex signaling. 55 These critical relationships are required in healthy signaling and disrupted in disease across 56 biological systems. An important case where this is clear is the neuronal synapse¹⁻³. Synapses 57 mediate highly complex intercellular signaling to respond extremely rapidly and with high fidelity 58 to diverse stimuli, propagating information in the brain. Despite their small size (<500 nm), the 59 spatial position of signaling events within the synapse can critically influence their effect on 60 neuronal signaling⁴⁻⁷. Indeed, converging lines of evidence suggest the nanoscale positioning, 61 62 relative to one another, of the protein ensembles that mediate synaptic signaling is a key determinant of local synapse function⁸. 63

64 A clear case of how nanoscale coordination of protein ensembles influences synaptic transmission is the regulation of ionotropic glutamate receptor activation. Presynaptic vesicle 65 release machinery, postsynaptic receptors, and the scaffolds that position them each 66 concentrate in <100 nm diameter subsynaptic regions of high protein density (nanoclusters, 67 NCs)⁸. Alignment of NCs across the synapse from one another into the trans-synaptic 68 "nanocolumn" plays a critical role in regulating synaptic strength by enriching AMPA receptors 69 (AMPARs) directly across the synaptic cleft from release sites^{9,10}. Indeed, perturbing this 70 71 complex nanoscale relationship between AMPARs and release sites disrupts synaptic transmission^{1,11–13}, most remarkably even if synaptic receptor content is not altered¹⁴, 72 demonstrating the fundamental role of nanoscale protein contextual relationships in neuronal 73 74 function.

75 Despite the clear importance of nanoscale context for AMPAR function, how synaptic NMDA receptors (NMDARs) are organized relative to release sites remains unanswered. NMDARs are 76 required for learning and memory and disrupted in many neurological disorders¹⁵, highlighting 77 the need to understand their regulation. Importantly, though, the molecular context of NMDARs 78 is critical for determining synaptic function even beyond controlling their activation, as the 79 80 receptors form large signaling super-complexes that are intimately involved in both driving plasticity and establishing molecular organization within synapses^{15–17}. Indeed, NMDARs signal 81 via both Ca²⁺ flux-dependent and independent mechanisms^{16,18}, and are attached via their large 82 extracellular domains and long C-terminal tails to myriad extra and intracellular signaling 83 proteins by which they are presumed to organize subsynaptic signaling domains^{19–21}. These 84 roles for NMDARs also depend on receptor subunit composition: receptor kinetics and 85 biophysics are subunit specific¹⁶, super-resolution microscopy has shown differences in subunit 86 distribution within single synapses^{22,23}, and GluN2 subunit interactions with scaffolds and 87 signaling molecules are differentially mediated by subunit C-tails^{19,20,24}. It is therefore critical to 88 understand NMDAR subunit positioning and molecular context, as these key attributes will 89 control receptor activation and may also indicate regions where NMDARs create their own 90 91 unique environments to facilitate subsynaptic signaling. However, we have until recently lacked the tools to investigate multiple protein complex relationships simultaneously, and therefore lack 92 basic maps of receptor context to aid these determinations. 93

To map the spatial relationships of endogenous NMDAR GluN2 subunits to key pre and 94 postsynaptic nanodomains in synapses, we utilized the multiplexing capabilities of DNA 95 Exchange-PAINT (Points Accumulation for Imaging in Nanoscale Topography). We targeted the 96 relationships in cultured rat hippocampal neurons between the critical GluN2 subunits GluN2A 97 and GluN2B¹⁵, the major NMDAR postsynaptic scaffold protein PSD-95²⁵, and presynaptic 98 release sites, as marked by the vesicle priming protein Munc13-1²⁶. This multiplexed mapping 99 100 approach revealed nanodomain assembly principles in single synapses. Most surprisingly, we find that NMDARs are typically lacking from the immediate nanoscale region of the PSD directly 101

across from presynaptic release sites. However, the protein content and context of individual 102 presynaptic release sites in single synapses differed markedly from one another. Only a subset 103 of Munc13-1 NCs were enriched across the synapse with high-density PSD-95, and these 104 aligned NCs had significantly higher Munc13-1 protein density. Critically, these nanocolumnar 105 release sites were dramatically more enriched with GluN2 subunits than non-nanocolumnar 106 107 release sites in the same synapses, likely ensuring a higher probability of activation of specific subtypes and subsets of receptors following release from appropriate presynaptic fusion sites. 108 These results demonstrate that NMDAR positioning and organization are governed by a trans-109 110 cellular assembly of multiprotein ensembles and suggest that overall synapse architecture may arise from local formation of subsynaptic domains with unique functional roles. 111

- 112
- 113

114 **RESULTS**

115

116 Mapping endogenous NMDAR organization with DNA-PAINT

We took advantage of the high resolution and multiplexing flexibility of the single molecule 117 localization microscopy (SMLM) technique DNA Exchange-PAINT²⁷ to map the nanoscale 118 relationships of multiple proteins at a single synapse. To facilitate multiplexing, we 119 preincubated^{28,29} primary antibodies separately with secondary nanobodies conjugated to 120 orthogonal DNA-PAINT docking strands, then combined them on the sample (Fig 1A, and see 121 122 Materials and Methods). This method allows simple, antibody species-independent multiplexing and saturates the primary antibody with a defined number of DNA strands close to ideal for 123 SMLM (1 per nanobody; 2 nanobodies per antibody). The use of small secondary nanobodies 124 also significantly reduces linkage error compared to a secondary antibody^{28,29} and avoids the 125 technical hurdles of direct primary antibody conjugation. NC-sized (40-100 nm) regions of high 126 protein density can be observed within single synapses (~300-500 nm) in dendritic spines (~1 127 µm) in DNA Exchange-PAINT renderings of GluN2A, the pre- and post-synaptic scaffolds 128 Bassoon and PSD-95, and a myristoylated-EGFP cell fill (Fig 1B), revealing the power of this 129 130 technique to resolve the nanoscale organization and context of multiple proteins at a single 131 synapse.

We aimed to measure the distribution of endogenous, surface expressed NMDAR subunits 132 with both pre- and postsynaptic molecular context. To do this, we performed 2D DNA 133 Exchange-PAINT of GluN2A, GluN2B, PSD-95 and Munc13-1 together at synapses in DIV21 rat 134 primary hippocampal cultures. We labeled surface-expressed GluN2A (Fig S1A-C) and total 135 PSD-95 and Munc13-1 with antibodies to avoid overexpression artifacts. As we were unsatisfied 136 with commercially available antibodies targeting surface expressed GluN2B, we used ORANGE 137 CRISPR³⁰ to knock in EGFP to the extracellular domain of endogenous GluN2B, then labeled 138 surface expressed EGFP-GluN2B with an anti-EGFP antibody (Fig 1C-D and S1D). We were 139 routinely able to identify synapses containing all four proteins (Fig 1E), and only analyzed 140 synapses containing EGFP-GluN2B localizations, as those without EGFP-GluN2B may either 141 142 genuinely lack the subunit or could have accumulated an indel during genome editing and be GluN2B knock-outs. We further only selected synapses perpendicular (en face) to the optical 143 axis for further analysis to best measure positional relationships between proteins with high 144 fidelity (Fig S2). Together, these data demonstrate a workflow to map the distribution of surface-145 expressed, endogenous NMDAR subunit nanoclustering and position in the spatial context of 146 other key pre and postsynaptic nanodomains. 147

148

149 Endogenous GluN2 subunits form diverse nanodomain types

In pyramidal neurons in hippocampus and neocortex, the dominantly expressed GluN2A and GluN2B subunits¹⁵ are each found in subsynaptic NCs that are postulated to control receptor subtype-specific activation and activity-regulated positioning^{22,23,31} relevant for signaling and plasticity. The characteristics and mutual relationships of these receptor-containing areas may shape their relationships with other synaptic constituents. Therefore, before investigating the spatial organization of NMDARs relative to other nanodomains, we first examined the nanoscale organization of GluN2A and GluN2B.

As expected²³, both GluN2A and GluN2B formed small, tight NCs within the synapse that 157 were readily discernible in local density heat maps (Fig 2A). To characterize these NCs, we first 158 measured the normalized autocorrelation¹⁰ of each subunit (Fig 2B), which indicates the length 159 scales over which the density of a protein correlates to itself, normalized to a uniform distribution 160 at the average density. The autocorrelation thus assesses nanoclustering without needing to 161 define NC boundaries. Both GluN2A and GluN2B showed autocorrelation magnitudes greater 162 than one at short length scales that guickly decayed and plateaued after 35-45 nm, consistent 163 with each protein forming NCs near that diameter. Both autocorrelations also plateaued below 164 one, consistent with most of the protein being concentrated within NCs and sparse between, as 165 was visually apparent (Fig 2A). This can be contrasted with the broader autocorrelation for PSD-166 95, reflecting the known larger size of its NCs and stronger presence of PSD-95 nearly 167 continuously between NCs^{10,32–34}. 168

We measured the properties of individual NCs by identifying them directly using DBSCAN. 169 We identified on average 2.3 ± 0.3 GluN2A and 3.8 ± 0.4 GluN2B NCs per synapse, with 170 average areas of 748 ± 44 nm² and 997 ± 44 nm², respectively, consistent with the size of 171 clustering suggested by the autocorrelation (~30-40 nm diameters if approximating a circular 172 NC) (Figs 2C-D). These NCs were clearly different in size and number from the larger, less 173 frequent PSD-95 NCs identified at the same synapses. GluN2 NCs were distributed throughout 174 the radial extent of the synapse, compared to PSD-95 NCs that skewed slightly more central 175 (Fig 2E). As has been reported also in tissue slices³⁵, some synapses had centrally located 176 NMDAR NCs, whereas others had prominent NCs positioned near the PSD edge. We also 177 observed GluN2 NC-sized objects in extrasynaptic regions. These were largely concentrated 178 within ~200 nm of the edge of the synapse (Fig 2F), consistent with recent single particle 179 tracking data of expressed receptor subunits³⁶, and on average were slightly smaller than their 180 respective synaptic NCs (GluN2A: 569 ± 71 nm², p=0.0679 vs synaptic; GluN2B: 763 ± 57 nm², 181 p=0.0026 vs synaptic; Fig 2G). 182

NMDARs of different GluN2 subunit compositions may form synaptic nanodomains in which 183 separate diheteromeric receptor types (diheteromers) intermix, or they could represent 184 triheteromeric GluN1/2A/2B receptors (triheteromers), or be a combination of both. Given the 185 unique kinetics and interactors of each subunit, these mixed subunit nanodomains could have 186 unique activation properties or downstream signaling pathways depending on their constituents 187 and proximity to other complexes. We therefore assessed the nanoscale relationship of 188 endogenous GluN2 subunits to one another. We first measured the relationship of receptor 189 subunits using a normalized cross-correlation¹⁰, which, like the autocorrelation, indicates the 190 spatial scales over which two protein distributions correlate. The magnitude of the cross-191 correlation of GluN2A and GluN2B was greater than one at length scales less than 30 nm (Fig 192 2H), similar to the predicted size of GluN2 NCs (Fig 2B,D), indicating that on average, GluN2A 193 and GluN2B NCs are strongly spatially associated. Indeed, a cross-enrichment analysis¹⁰, which 194 measures the density of one protein surrounding the peak density position of each NC of 195 196 another protein (normalized to a randomized distribution), showed that each subunit was on average significantly enriched near the opposite subunit's NC peak (Fig 2I-J). When the cross-197

enrichment curves were separated into those that statistically can be determined as enriched or 198 de-enriched within the first 60 nm from the peak¹⁰, we found that 52.6% of GluN2A NCs were 199 enriched with GluN2B and 42.0% of GluN2B NCs were enriched with GluN2A. By contrast, only 200 15.6% of GluN2A and 12.4% of GluN2B NCs were statistically de-enriched with the other 201 subunit (Fig 2K). Consistent with this finding, 36.7% of GluN2A NC peaks could be found within 202 30 nm of the nearest GluN2B NC peak, and 22.6% of GluN2B NC peaks were within 30 nm of 203 the nearest GluN2A peak (Fig 2L). Further, 39.0% and 23.4% of GluN2A and GluN2B NC 204 areas, respectively, spatially overlapped (Fig 2M). These data together indicate that 30-50% of 205 206 GluN2A and GluN2B NCs are closely spatially associated with one another at these synapses, a number strikingly similar to the predicted percentage of triheteromeric NMDARs at mature 207 synapses^{37,38}. These results suggest subtype-specific NMDAR trafficking mechanisms establish 208 a diverse array of nanodomain types within single synapses, where the co-enriched population 209 likely represents either triheteromers or mixed nanodomains of GluN2A and GluN2B 210 211 diheteromers, while the remaining NCs likely represent nanodomains that accumulate a single diheteromer type. 212

213

214 Only a subpopulation of release sites is enriched with GluN2 subunits

NMDARs with different subunit compositions have different sensitivity to distance from the 215 release site³⁹, and their position within the synapse may affect what intracellular signaling 216 cascades are activated after Ca²⁺ influx. To examine the relationship of GluN2 subunits to 217 release sites, we detected Munc13-1 NCs, which are the best available immunostaining-based 218 indicators of synaptic vesicle docking and fusion sites²⁶. The Munc13-1 autocorrelation sharply 219 decayed and plateaued below one around 45 nm, indicating small NCs with few localizations 220 between (Fig 3A-B). This was supported by direct NC detection, which showed a wide range of 221 NC numbers per synapse (up to 17, mean 6.9 ± 0.5 with area 850 ± 27 nm², Fig 3C), consistent 222 with previous reports^{26,40}. In contrast to our expectations, GluN2A and GluN2B were each quite 223 strongly de-enriched from Munc13-1 NC peaks (Fig 3D). Nearest the peak, GluN2A enrichment 224 225 was only 0.76 ± 0.04 , and GluN2B enrichment 0.58 ± 0.04 , of randomly distributed receptor density, and their enrichments did not reach random until 95 and 155 nm from the Munc13-1 NC 226 peak, respectively. Further, the GluN2 enrichment indices, or the average enrichment within 60 227 nm of the Munc13-1 NC peak¹⁰, was 0.88 ± 0.03 and 0.76 ± 0.03 for GluN2A and GluN2B, 228 respectively, indicating a significant lack of both subunits immediately across the synapse from 229 230 Munc13-1 NC peaks. This surprising observation provides direct evidence that NMDAR distribution within synapses is sensitive to presynaptic organization. 231

The offset from release sites was intriguing given that it would appear to decrease the 232 likelihood of NMDAR activation, particularly of receptors containing GluN2B, which was on 233 average more strongly de-enriched from Munc13-1 NCs and also has a stronger predicted 234 distance-dependence for activation due to its slower glutamate binding³⁹. However, having 235 observed diversity in the spatial relationship between GluN2 subunits, we asked whether the 236 relationship of GluN2 subunits to Munc13-1 NCs was a result of a systematic de-enrichment of 237 receptors around all Munc13-1 NCs, or if there existed a subset of release sites enriched with 238 GluN2 subunits (Fig 3E). Such diversity would suggest a tight interplay of NMDAR position and 239 active zone structure. 240

As a first step, we paired mutually nearest Munc13-1 and GluN2 NCs and measured their cross-enrichment (Fig 3F). If GluN2 density were systematically de-enriched from Munc13-1 NCs, then it would remain de-enriched even after selecting for nearest pairs. However, we observed that paired Munc13-1 NCs were significantly cross-enriched with both subunits, consistent with there being a subpopulation of release sites enriched with NMDARs (Fig 3G).

Indeed, even without pairing NCs, 21.8% and 24.0% of Munc13-1 NCs were statistically 246 enriched with GluN2A and GluN2B, respectively, despite a slightly larger population being 247 statistically de-enriched (25.0% for GluN2A and 31.6% for GluN2B, Fig 3H). Further, 26.0% and 248 32.7% of Munc13-1 NC peaks had a GluN2A or GluN2B NC peak, respectively, within 60 nm 249 (Fig 3I), and 10.6% and 11.3% of Munc13-1 NCs showed spatial overlap with GluN2A and 250 251 GluN2B, respectively (Fig 3J). Intriguinally, while 33.1% of the release sites enriched with GluN2 subunits were specifically enriched with both GluN2A and GluN2B, just 6.9% were enriched with 252 only one subunit and *de*-enriched with the other, suggesting triheteromer or mixed diheteromer 253 254 nanodomains may be specifically enriched closer to release sites.

255 We expect the diversity of this organization to impact receptor activation, particularly for GluN2B-containing receptors. We estimated the likelihood of NMDAR opening in response to 256 release at a given Munc13-1 NC based on distance-dependent open probabilities from Santucci 257 et al.³⁹, comparing release from Munc13-1 NCs either near (median distance in first quartile; 258 GluN2A = 37 nm, GluN2B = 35 nm) or far (median distance in third guartile; GluN2A = 246 nm; 259 260 GluN2B = 227 nm) from their nearest receptor NC. With these parameters, the probability of a GluN2B diheteromer opening is reduced by nearly 70% for receptors far from release sites vs 261 those nearby, and by about 10% for GluN2A diheteromers (Popen: GluN2B near = 0.49 vs 262 GluN2B far = 0.15; GluN2A near = 0.76 vs GluN2A far = 0.69). Note that triheteromer distance-263 dependence to release is unknown as the glutamate-dependence of their opening rates has not 264 been tested similarly, but as NMDAR opening requires glutamate binding at both GluN2 265 subunits⁴¹, they are likely dominated by the slow GluN2B glutamate binding rate and may 266 therefore be affected similarly to GluN2B diheteromers. These results are all consistent with the 267 presence of a subpopulation of Munc13-1 NCs that are enriched with both GluN2A and GluN2B, 268 amongst a larger population of putative release sites that lack NMDARs. 269

270

A subset of structurally unique Munc13-1 NCs is enriched with PSD-95 and in the nanocolumn

Our results suggest there may be unique, trans-cellular molecular contexts for some release 273 274 sites that may influence receptor positioning. To resolve this, we examined the relative transsynaptic enrichment of PSD-95 near Munc13-1 NCs (Fig 4A). PSD-95 anchors receptors within 275 the synapse²⁵ and is a central component of the trans-synaptic nanocolumn^{9,10,14}. However, 276 there are approximately 3.5 times as many Munc13-1 NCs (Fig 3C) as PSD-95 NCs (Fig 2C), 277 suggesting an architectural diversity that may be important for the control of receptor 278 279 subsynaptic positioning. When all NCs were analyzed, Munc13-1 was weakly enriched on average across from PSD-95 NC centers and PSD-95 was essentially randomly distributed 280 across from Munc13-1 NCs, consistent with the large numerical mismatch (Fig 4B). However, 281 282 this average did not reflect a systematic or consistent offset between Munc13-1 and PSD-95, as 283 identifying mutually paired NCs to even the numerical imbalance revealed strong, bidirectional enrichment (Fig 4C). 284

This subpopulation of Munc13-1 NC sites closely associated with PSD-95 was also evident 285 in other measures. When we tested each NC for whether it was enriched with the other protein 286 (within 60 nm), we found 24.4% of Munc13-1 NCs (~1.5-2 per synapse, on average) were 287 enriched with PSD-95 and 41.0% of PSD-95 NCs (~1 per synapse, on average) were enriched 288 with Munc13-1 (Fig 4D). Additionally, 20.6% of Munc13-1 NCs and 52.0% of PSD-95 NCs had a 289 nearest NC peak of the opposite protein within 60 nm (Fig 4E), indicating a subpopulation of 290 closely associated NCs. This fraction was similar to the proportion of each NC that spatially 291 overlaid one another (14.5% for Munc13 NCs and 40.9% for PSD-95 NCs, Fig 4F). These 292 results together suggest that some Munc13-1 NCs have a privileged location closely associated 293

with PSD-95 across the synapse. Indeed, this can be clearly seen in their cross-enrichment 294 profiles (Fig 4G-H) when subset by the data in Fig 4D. We designate these mutually co-enriched 295 PSD-95 and Munc13-1 densities as nanocolumnar, which allowed us to make further conditional 296 comparisons based on subsetting the data by nanocolumn status. Intriguingly, Munc13-1 NCs in 297 the nanocolumn had a higher Munc13-1 density within 60 nm of their peak than those outside 298 299 the nanocolumn (27.6% higher; 1.34 ± 0.06 inside vs 1.05 ± 0.06 outside, p=0.0009; Fig 4I). which may reflect a difference in priming characteristics of vesicles at these nanocolumnar 300 release sites. PSD-95 peak NC density was also significantly higher in the nanocolumn, though 301 302 not to the same magnitude as Munc13-1 (9.0% higher; 1.45 ± 0.03 inside vs 1.33 ± 0.03 outside, p=0.0152; Fig 4J). Together, these results suggest the nanocolumn represents a 303 complex, macromolecular context that endows a privileged subset of release sites with high 304 Munc13-1 NC density to spatially associate their neurotransmitter release most closely with 305 PSD-95 NCs. 306

307

Subunit-specific NMDAR nanodomains are organized with distinct trans-synaptic molecular contexts

With this approach in hand to molecularly identify subsets of Munc13-1 NCs, we 310 311 hypothesized that nanocolumn organization may determine NMDAR positioning with respect to release sites. To test this, we made further conditional comparisons, assigning each Munc13-1 312 313 NC as being within the nanocolumn (enriched with PSD-95) or outside it (de-enriched with PSD-95), then measured GluN2 enrichment to these subsets. GluN2A was strongly de-enriched from 314 Munc13-1 NCs outside the nanocolumn, considerably more so than to Munc13-1 NCs overall 315 (enrichment index (EI): 0.60 ± 0.07 to Munc13-1 NC outside the nanocolumn vs 0.88 ± 0.03 to 316 all Munc13-1 NCs (Fig 3D); p=0.0004). However, GluN2A enrichment near Munc13-1 NCs 317 within the nanocolumn was entirely rescued from de-enrichment, reaching a maximum 318 enrichment of 1.23 at 55 nm (EI: 1.13 ± 0.69 to Munc13-1 NCs inside the nanocolumn vs 0.60 ± 319 0.07 to Munc13-1 NCs outside the nanocolumn, p<0.0001) (Fig 5A, S3A). Similar to GluN2A, 320 321 GluN2B was strongly de-enriched from Munc13-1 NCs outside the nanocolumn (EI: GluN2B: 0.55 ± 0.06 vs GluN2A: 0.60 ± 0.07 to Munc13-1 NCs outside the nanocolumn, p=0.56), but like 322 GluN2A was significantly more enriched to Munc13-1 NCs in the nanocolumn (EI: 0.89 ± 0.07 to 323 Munc13-1 NCs inside the nanocolumn vs 0.55 ± 0.06 to Munc13-1 NCs outside the 324 nanocolumn, p=0.0327) (Fig 5B, S3B). These data indicate that NMDA receptors are generally 325 326 positioned away from release sites but are significantly more associated with release sites in the nanocolumn. 327

We pursued the molecular identity of these locations more fully by analyzing the 328 characteristics of receptor NCs depending on their molecular context. Interestingly, both 329 330 GluN2A and GluN2B NCs within the nanocolumn were denser compared to those outside the 331 nanocolumn (Fig 5C-D, S3C-D), suggesting these receptor nanodomains may contain more 332 receptors, or that the receptors are more tightly clustered near the nanocolumn. Because the enhanced accumulation was apparent for both subunits, we considered the possibility that the 333 nanocolumn comprises a subdomain of specific receptor nanodomain types. We examined the 334 cross-enrichments of each subunit to the other and found that GluN2A enrichment around 335 GluN2B NCs was nearly 29% higher in the nanocolumn than out (Fig 5E, S3E; EI: 1.58 ± 0.17 336 inside nanocolumn vs 1.13 ± 0.17 outside of nanocolumn, p=0.0741). Even more strikingly, 337 GluN2B enrichment around GluN2A NCs was enhanced almost 38% (Fig 5F, S3F; EI: 1.79 ± 338 0.22 inside nanocolumn vs 1.11 ± 0.15 outside of nanocolumn, p=0.0257). These observations 339 suggest more abundant closely positioned subunits of each type within the nanocolumn. In 340 341 further support of this, none of the nanocolumnar Munc13-1 NCs that were statistically enriched with any subunit were also de-enriched with the other. Instead, 34.6% of the nanocolumnar 342

Munc13-1 NCs enriched with any subunit were significantly enriched with both subunits, with the remainder significantly enriched with one subunit and at least neutral with the other. This reveals a preferential positioning of enlarged, heterogeneous NMDAR nanodomains near release sites aligned with PSD-95, and more generally indicates that receptor subsynaptic organizational characteristics are dependent on trans-synaptic context.

Finally, we found the parallelized nanoimaging approach with DNA-PAINT further revealed 348 349 that measuring the multiprotein context allows deeper and more accurate prediction of the organizational determinants of critical molecules. Notably, the presence of GluN2 density near a 351 Munc13-1 NC was predictive of the postsynaptic environment around the release site: Munc13-352 1 NCs that were enriched with either GluN2A or GluN2B were on average also enriched with PSD-95, while those de-enriched with GluN2A or GluN2B were also de-enriched with PSD-95 353 (Fig 5G-H, S3G-H; El of Munc13-1 NCs with PSD-95: 1.15 ± 0.04 with GluN2A vs 0.91 ± 0.03 354 without GluN2A, p<0.0001; 1.15 ± 0.03 with GluN2B vs 0.95 ± 0.03 without GluN2B, p<0.0001). 355 Together, these observations reveal that synaptic molecular architecture depends on the 356 357 assembly of multiprotein nanodomains whose interior construction is conditional on their transcellular relationships. 358

359 360

361 DISCUSSION

362 We leveraged the high resolution and multiplexing capabilities of DNA Exchange-PAINT to 363 map the nano-organization of GluN2A and GluN2B with respect to the key release site and 364 scaffold proteins Munc13-1 and PSD-95. Based on four-target super-resolution imaging and 365 new analysis of the mutually conditional distributions of these proteins, we propose a model (Fig 366 367 51) showing the unique distribution of NMDARs within the synapse, particularly with respect to release sites. GluN2 NCs were only well aligned to release sites enriched with PSD-95 i.e. 368 located at the nanocolumn, and otherwise were de-enriched from release sites. This multi-369 protein relationship was strong enough that Munc13-1 NC enrichment with PSD-95 could be 370 predicted by Munc13-1 enrichment with NMDAR subunits. Further, Munc13-1 NCs 371 outnumbered PSD-95 and receptor NCs, varied substantially in their interior density of Munc13-372 1, and some Munc13-1 NCs were quite far (100s of nm) from the nearest NMDAR NC, 373 374 suggesting variability in NMDAR responses within a single synapse will depend on which release site is activated. This spatial segregation raises the possibility that independent fusion of 375 vesicles at different release sites are likely to activate unique ratios of GluN2A or GluN2B-376 377 containing receptors, expanding the computational potential of the synapse.

A major question therefore is whether the structurally definable subsets of Munc13-1 NCs 378 we have observed are functionally distinct. One possibility is they have different preferred 379 release modes (synchronous vs asynchronous and spontaneous vs evoked), which are 380 suggested to be spatially segregated at the presynapse^{42–46}. Release mode diversity is critically 381 associated with NMDAR function, as functionally distinct pools of NMDARs respond more to 382 spontaneous vs evoked release^{47,48} and activate unique downstream signaling cascades^{49,50}. 383 NMDARs may also be preferentially activated by asynchronous release⁵¹, though the biological 384 sequelae specific to this remain to be determined. Molecular determinants establishing release 385 site preference for one or more different release modes have not been defined, but our data 386 raise the possibility that specific trans-cellular molecular contexts may be involved. 387

Structurally distinct Munc13-1 NCs may also quantitatively tune action potential-evoked release. The number of Munc13-1 NCs correlates strongly with the number of evoked release sites^{26,52}, and our data along with recent results indicate that release sites within a single synapse have diverse molecular properties^{6,52–54}. We found that Munc13-1 density was higher at nanocolumnar NCs than at those outside the nanocolumn, and increased Munc13-1 density is
 positively correlated with vesicle priming and release probability^{52,55}. This suggests Munc13-1
 NCs at the nanocolumn may support higher P_r there than at other synaptic sites, which in turn
 would further regulate its frequency-dependent engagement during paired action potentials or
 sustained activity. It is tempting to speculate that the trans-synaptic molecular context of a
 release site coordinately confers unique properties to evoked release and the associated
 receptor pool.

While some modeling based on the high affinity of NMDARs suggests a minimal effect of 399 nanodomain organization on NMDAR activation⁵⁶, taking the subunit-specific kinetic behavior 400 401 into consideration suggests NMDAR activation is sensitive to release site position, especially for receptors containing GluN2B³⁹. Because so few NMDARs are activated during typical 402 responses⁵⁷, this organization could help promote the relatively dependable activation of 403 NMDARs during basal release by allowing nanocolumnar release sites to efficiently activate at 404 least the small population of NMDARs nearby. Furthermore, it will be important to explore 405 406 whether the remaining population of NMDAR subunits that do not align with Munc13-1 NCs instead are anchored at other specific synaptic subdomains to maintain distinct functions or 407 organize proteins there, or perhaps represent a mobile pool of diffusing receptors. Further 408 analysis of how NMDAR positioning is conditional on additional proteins should prove helpful in 409 establishing the mechanisms that determine their distribution. 410

Even when in the nanocolumn, the position of GluN2 peak enrichment was still offset 411 relative to the presynaptic NC center (55 and 45 nm for GluN2A and GluN2B respectively, Fig 412 5), well beyond the expected linkage error in our primary antibody/secondary nanobody 413 system^{28,29}. This offset is small enough that those nearest receptors will be activated at close to 414 their maximum probability (which is still predicted to be fairly low, especially for GluN2B), but 415 this distinctive organization may carry several other functions. Indeed, NMDARs act as synaptic 416 signaling hubs^{16,58} that scaffold diverse downstream signaling molecules through their long C-417 terminal tails²⁰. It's possible that NMDAR maximal activation is balanced to allow for many or 418 large binding partners to fit near scaffold nanodomains that concentrate further downstream 419 signaling proteins. For example, the holoenzyme of the major GluN2 intracellular binding partner 420 CaMKII is ~20 nm in diameter⁵⁹, a size that could be disruptive to fit into the dense PSD-95 NC 421 environment. Another potential reason for this shift could be to allow space for AMPARs to 422 access maximal activation, as suggested by Hruska et al.²², which is important not only because 423 of their biophysical properties⁶⁰, but also because their C-tails and auxiliary proteins, such as 424 the PSD-95-anchoring stargazin/TARPγ2, are targets for CaMKII phosphorylation⁶¹. This model 425 appears consistent with biochemical experiments showing that while TARPy2, PSD-95, GluN2B 426 C-tail, and CaMKII form phase condensates together, the highest concentrations of TARPv2 427 and PSD-95 are spatially separated from those of GluN2B C-tail and CaMKII⁶². In either case, 428 this offset organization seems likely to be facilitated by the large size of GluN2 C-tails (~660 429 amino acids), which could result in the receptor channel and the extracellular domains mapped 430 here being localized laterally guite far from their PSD-95-anchoring C-terminus²⁵. While the 431 structures of GluN2 C-tails remain unsolved and are presumably flexible in neurons⁶³, high-432 resolution, multiplexed mapping of receptor intracellular and extracellular domains with their 433 interacting proteins will provide additional insight to this organization. 434

We observed significant co-enrichment of GluN2A and GluN2B subunit nanodomains, and their selective enrichment with a subset of release sites in the nanocolumn. Previous work has observed minimal overlap between subunit nanodomains^{22,23}. This may arise from differences in culture age, expression levels, surface vs total staining, or the imaging modality, though we note that we accomplished our mapping without subunit overexpression. Nevertheless, the key insight we add is that we have mapped the overlapping GluN2 nanodomains simultaneously

and in the molecular context of two key synaptic proteins, which revealed their enrichment to the 441 nanocolumn and suggests their relative importance in the synapse, regardless of whether they 442 are the majority receptor population. This subunit co-enrichment specifically across from 443 nanocolumnar release sites suggests particular synaptic subregions (nanodomains) may 444 facilitate activation of specific NMDAR subtypes. This in turn may facilitate transduction of 445 sparse signals. Ca²⁺ is quickly buffered by calmodulin after entry into the dendritic spine^{64,65}, and 446 as only a few of the estimated 10-20 NMDARs per synapse are activated per stimulus⁵⁷, there 447 may also be postsynaptic hotspots of Ca²⁺ influx. Beyond Ca²⁺-dependent signaling, NMDARs 448 449 also pass non-ionotropic signals via effector proteins such as PP1 that interact directly with the receptor C-tail¹⁸. Therefore, positioning NMDAR signaling partners within the proposed NMDAR 450 functional nanodomains could facilitate their downstream activation. 451

Co-enriched GluN2 subunit nanodomains could be constructed from either mixed 452 populations of diheteromers or of triheteromers, which could confer unique signaling properties 453 to these nanodomains. Triheteromers make up ~50% of synaptic NMDARs in mature 454 synapses^{37,38} and have unique kinetic properties compared to diheteromers^{66,67}. Although 455 delineating the trafficking of triheteromers has not yet been feasible, it is notable that a 456 triheteromer carrying dual GluN2 C-tails likely engages in a broader range of interactions than 457 either diheteromer carrying only one type. We observed a slightly higher enrichment of 458 nanocolumnar release sites with GluN2A vs GluN2B, which could come about due to 459 preferential binding of GluN2A over GluN2B to PSD-95^{19,68}. However, there is still a significant 460 portion of GluN2A outside the nanocolumn, suggesting other mechanisms are at play. For 461 example, a receptor carrying GluN2A and GluN2B C-tails together could create an avidity effect 462 that increases the range of conformational possibilities with PSD-95, or perhaps the 463 combination of GluN2A and GluN2B binding with other MAGUKs, such as PSD-93 or SAP102, 464 could create a binding environment that gathers both subunits. This could have implications for 465 466 LTP, as triheteromers have fast, GluN2A-like kinetics and could bring the receptor to PSD-95 nanodomains, while the GluN2B C-tail recruits interactors required for LTP like CaMKII²⁰. In 467 fact, when GluN2B diheteromers, but not triheteromers, are blocked, LTP remains intact, but a 468 complete GluN2B subunit deletion ablates LTP^{69,70}, suggesting a specific role of triheteromers 469 that might be facilitated by their position relative to release sites. This suggests a nanoscale 470 signaling complex where the very precise spatial combination of the NMDAR coincidence 471 detection mechanism that gates Ca^{2+} influx is combined with the ability to interact with 472 downstream LTP effectors and concentrated near high Pr release sites. In the future, direct 473 474 visualization of NMDARs of specific molecular compositions in the context of release sites and other proteins will help clarify the specific roles each receptor subtype plays at the synapse in 475 neurotransmission and plasticity. 476

Our observations of NMDAR molecular context we believe help illuminate general rules by 477 which synapses are assembled. We suggest that a critical level at which synaptic function is 478 established is through assembly of specific nanodomain configurations from available cell type-479 specific components, rather than from following an overall synapse-wide scheme such as a 480 center-surround architecture. Several observations support this idea. Here, we document 481 variability in molecular characteristics of presynaptic release sites within a single active zone 482 and show that NMDAR subsynaptic distribution is dependent on highly local transcellular 483 context. Other recent work has shown that postsynapses contain diverse scaffold molecules 484 beyond PSD-95 that are organized in unique and developmentally regulated NCs^{10,71,72}. 485 Synaptic nanoclustering and trans-synaptic alignment are conserved in evolution and observed 486 across several synapse types^{40,73,74}, the detailed characteristics of which depend on cleft-487 resident synaptic organizing complexes^{1,9,11,14}. Further, the specific complement of these 488 proteins differs across cell types and may individualize the nano-organization even of the same 489 proteins at different excitatory synapse types⁴⁰, or related ones at inhibitory synapses⁷³. The 490

491 ability to assemble a range of nanoscale protein relationships substantially broadens the

functional range of a synapse. Given that assembly and regulation of multi-protein ensembles at

the nanoscale level is a ubiquitous requirement for diverse cell functions, the power of DNA-

PAINT super-resolution microscopy to provide high-resolution multiplexed protein localization

495 will be critical for analysis of how conditional distribution features sculpt these complex496 relationships.

- 497
- 498

499 Methods

500 **DNA constructs:** pORANGE GFP-Grin2b KI (Addgene plasmid #131487), pFUGW spCas9 501 (Addgene plasmid #131506) and pFUGW mCherry-KASH (Addgene plasmid #131505) were 502 gifts from Harold MacGillavry. psPAX2 (Addgene plasmid #12260) and pMD2.G (Addgene 503 plasmid #12250) were gifts from Didier Trans. Lentic PLSPB: 200 FB (LOV2) was a gift from

plasmid #12259) were gifts from Didier Trono. LentiCRISPRv2GFP (LCV2) was a gift from
 David Feldser (Addgene plasmid #82416). GFP-LRRTM2 knockdown/rescue was previously

- described¹⁴. SEP-GluN2A and SEP-GluN2B were gifts of Andres Barria. Rat GluN1-1a
- pcDNA3.1+ was a gift of Gabriela Popescu. pFUGW ORANGE GFP-Grin2b KI was made by
- subcloning the U6 promoter-sgRNA-GFP-Grin2b donor cassette from pORANGE into the Pacl
- restriction site of pFUGW mCherry-KASH with NEBuilder HiFi assembly, then subsequently
- removing mCherry-KASH with NEB Q5 site-directed mutagenesis. pFSW myr(Fyn)-EGFP-
- LDLRct⁷⁵ was made by subcloning a synthetic double-stranded DNA fragment of the promoter
- and ORF (Twist Bioscience) into the Pacl and Xbal sites of pFW (pFUGW with the ubiquitin
- promoter-EGFP removed by NEB Q5 mutagenesis) with restriction/ligation. LCV2 Grin1 KO was
- created by ligating annealed oligos for the previously described gRNA targeting GRIN1⁷⁶ into
- the BsmBI sites of LCV2. DNA constructs are detailed Supplementary Table 1.
- Lentivirus: HEK293T cells (ATCC CRL-3216) were maintained in DMEM + 10% FBS and 515 penicillin/streptomycin at 37°C and 5% CO₂. For lentiviral production, cells were plated at 5x10⁶ 516 cells/10 cm plate and transfected 12-24h later with 6 µg of either pFUGW ORANGE GFP-517 518 Grin2B KI, pFUGW spCas9 or LCV2 Grin1 KO + 4 µg psPAX2 + 2 µg pMD2.G using PEI for 4-6 hours. After 48h, the media was harvested, debris removed by centrifugation at 1000 RPM for 5 519 min and 0.45 µm PES filtering, and single use aliguots were frozen at -80°C for long term 520 storage without further concentration. Titers were ~10⁵ IFU/mL and routinely infected 90% or 521 more of the cells on the coverslip at the volumes used. 522
- Neuron and HEK culture: All animal procedures were approved by the University of Maryland 523 524 Animal Use and Care committee. Dissociated hippocampal cultures were prepared from E18 Sprague-Dawley rats of both sexes as described previously⁴⁰ and plated on poly-L-lysine-525 coated coverslips (#1.5, 18 mm, Warner) at a density of 30,000 cells/coverslip. For most 526 527 experiments, neurons were infected with 100-150 µl each of pFUGW ORANGE GFP-Grin2b KI and pFUGW spCas9 lentivirus at DIV4-6 and fixed at DIV20-21. For DNA-PAINT of dendritic 528 spines, neurons were transfected with 1 µg of pFSW myr(Fyn)-EGFP-LDLRct at DIV14-16 with 529 Lipofectamine 2000 per manufacturer's instructions, and fixed at DIV20-21. For testing anti-530 531 GluN2A specificity, neurons were infected with 100 µl LCV2 Grin1 KO lentivirus at DIV5 and fixed at DIV21. For SEP-GluN2 overexpression tests, HEK cells were plated on poly-L-lysine 532 and fibronectin-coated 18 mm coverslips at a density of 100,000 cells/coverslips, transfected 533 24h later with 250 ng SEP-GluN2A or GluN2B + 125 ng GluN1-1a + 125 ng mCherry-C1 with 534 Lipofectamine 2000, then maintained for 24h in fresh media with 150 µM APV + 11.25 µM MK-535 801 before fixation. 536

Antibody conjugation and preincubation: Primary antibodies are detailed in Supplementary
 Table 2, and secondary reagents in Supplementary Table 3. Donkey anti-rabbit IgG was

conjugated with Cy3B as previously described⁴⁰. Secondary single domain antibodies (sdAbs) 539 for DNA-PAINT were custom-made by Massive Photonics and each carried one of four 540 oligonucleotide docking strands optimized for DNA-PAINT⁷⁷. To stain multiple targets in the 541 same sample with antibodies form the same species, we preincubated^{28,29,40,53,71} primary 542 antibodies with 2.5-fold molar excess of the appropriate species secondary sdAb labeled with 543 544 DNA-PAINT docking strands for 20 minutes at room temperature (RT) in either PBS + 100 mM glycine (PBS/Gly) for fixed staining or ACSF (10 mM HEPES pH7.4, 139 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 545 10 mM glucose, 2 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM CaCl₂) for live staining, then bound excess sdAb by adding 546 547 2-fold molar excess of Fc fragment (Jackson Immunoresearch) of the same species as the secondary sdAb for a further 20 minutes at RT. Preincubated antibodies for a given incubation 548 were then pooled together and diluted to their final concentrations in PBS/Gly or ACSF for use 549 in immunostaining. 550

Immunostaining: For DNA-PAINT experiments, DIV20-21 neurons were removed from culture 551 media and placed in ACSF containing primary antibodies preincubated with sdAbs conjugated 552 553 to DNA-PAINT docking strands. Neurons were incubated in the antibody mixture at 16°C for 60 min and then transferred to fixative (2% PFA in 10mM MES (pH6.8), 138mM KCl, 3mM MgCl₂, 554 2mM EGTA, 320mM sucrose) for 15 min at room temperature. Following fixation, neurons were 555 washed in PBS/Gly 3 x 5 min at RT, permeabilized with 0.3% Triton X-100 for 20 min at RT, and 556 blocked with 10% donkey serum in PBS/Gly + 0.2% Triton X-100 for 45 min at RT. Neurons 557 were then incubated overnight at 4°C with sdAb-preincubated primary antibodies diluted in 50% 558 blocking buffer. Neurons were washed 3 x 5 min in PBS/Gly, postfixed in PBS containing 4% 559 PFA and 4% sucrose for 15 min at RT, and finally washed 3 x 5 min with PBS/Gly. For confocal 560 experiments, cells were stained using the protocol above, but without preincubating primaries. 561 Secondary antibodies diluted in PBS/Gly were applied for 1h at RT after washing off overnight 562 primaries. Cells were washed 3 x 5 min in PBS/Gly before postfixing as above. For detailed use 563 564 of antibodies in each experiment, see Supplementary Table 3.

565 Confocal microscopy and analysis: Confocal images were acquired on a Nikon TI2 inverted microscope equipped with an Andor Dragonfly spinning disk confocal, a Plan Apo λD 60x/1.42 566 NA oil immersion objective, and a Plan Apo 20x/0.75 NA air objective. Excitation light 567 (405/488/561/640) was supplied by an Andor ILE and reflected to the sample through a 568 405/488/561/638 guadband polychroic (Chroma), and emission light was passed through the 569 570 confocal unit and appropriate emission filters (ET525/50, ET600/50 (Chroma) or Em01-R442/647 (Semrock)) to a Zyla 4.2+ sCMOS camera (Andor). Neurons were imaged at 50% 571 laser power and 200 ms exposure, and Z-stacks were acquired using a piezo fitted in a Nikon 572 stage. Z-stacks were converted to maximum intensity projections using FIJI⁷⁸. The mouse anti-573 EGFP dilution series was analyzed with a custom FIJI macro that first background subtracted 574 575 the 1st percentile pixel intensity from each channel, then thresholded the GFP-LRRTM2 signal to create a mask and measured the mean EGFP and anti-EGFP intensities inside the ROI. 576

Single-molecule microscopy: DNA-PAINT images were acquired on an Olympus IX81 577 inverted microscope with an Olympus 100x/1.49 NA TIRF oil immersion objective. Excitation 578 light (405/488/561) from an Andor ALC and a Toptica iBeam Smart (640) was reflected to the 579 sample through a 405/488/561/638 guadband polychroic (Chroma) at an incident angle greater 580 than the critical angle to achieve Highly Inclined and Laminated Optical (HILO) illumination. 581 Emission light was passed through an adaptive optics device (MicAO, Imagine Optic), which 582 corrected aberrations present in the point-spread function, followed by a DV2 image splitter 583 (Photometrics) equipped with a T640lpxr dichroic and ET655lp single band (far-red) and 584 59004m dual band (red and green) emission filters to allow identification of GFP-Grin2b KI cells 585 with the 488 nm laser followed by simultaneous collection of red and far-red emissions during 586 587 DNA-PAINT imaging. Emission was finally collected on an iXon+ 897 EM-CCD camera (Andor). Z stability was maintained by the Olympus ZDC2 feedback positioning system. The microscope,
 ALC, and camera were controlled by iQ3 (Andor), the Toptica laser by TOPAS iBeam Smart
 GUI, and the Micao by separate Imagine Optic software. An additional arc lamp provided
 epifluorescence illumination for identifying GFP-Grin2b KI cells. The microscope was contained
 inside an insulated box with temperature control to minimize sample drift.

90 nm gold nanoparticles (Cytodiagnostics) were added at a 1:3 dilution for 10 minutes 593 594 before imaging to act as fiducials for drift and chromatic correction. EGFP-Grin2b KI cells were identified based on GFP-Booster AF488 staining and selected to have similar AF488 intensity 595 596 and cell morphology across experiments. Four targets were imaged in two exchange rounds. 597 Cy3B and Atto643 DNA-PAINT imager strands (Massive Photonics) (one each) were diluted into imaging buffer (1x PBS pH7.4 + 500 mM NaCl + oxygen scavengers (PCA/PCD/Trolox))²⁷ 598 to the indicated concentrations and added to the sample. Drift was allowed to settle for 10 599 minutes, then 50,000 frames were acquired with 50 ms exposure. Output laser power at the 600 objective on the Olympus setup was ~27 mW for the 640 nm laser and ~18 mW for the 561 nm 601 laser, yielding power densities of ~3.3 and ~2.2 and kW/cm², respectively. After acquisition, the 602 imagers were removed by gently exchanging the imaging buffer with 20 mL exchange buffer (1x 603 PBS pH7.4), then replacing the exchange buffer with fresh imaging buffer containing the next 604 set of imager strands. After letting drift settle 10 minutes, the second round of imaging was 605 acquired as before. TetraSpeck beads (100 nm; Invitrogen) were immobilized on separate 606 coverslips prepared with poly-L-lysine as for the cultured neurons, and 8-10 fields of beads were 607 imaged for 100 frames at 50 ms exposure each and used to generate transforms to correct 608 chromatic aberrations between the two channels. 609

Single molecule processing: Images were processed in batch with a combination of FIJI. 610 Picasso v0.4.11 (https://github.com/jungmannlab/picasso), and custom MATLAB scripts similar 611 to our previous work^{40,53,71}. The analysis pipeline is described in detail in Supplemental Note 1 612 and Supplementary Figure 2. In brief, images were localized and drift corrected in Picasso, and 613 614 chromatic aberrations between channels corrected with a custom MATLAB script. Localizations were subsequently filtered to remove spurious detections and linked to combine localizations 615 persisting for more than one frame. Clusters of synaptic proteins were identified with DBSCAN 616 and removed if they displayed kinetic properties of non-specific imager binding⁷⁹. Finally, high-617 confidence synapses were picked by manually inspecting for the presence of the other imaged 618 proteins in sufficient density for analysis and to confirm that the kept cluster was a synapse. 619 Synapses were kept based on disc like shapes, overlap of pre- and post-synaptic proteins, a 620 size range of $\sim 100 - 800$ nm diameter, and their position near a dendrite, then scored as "en 621 622 face", "side view" or "intermediate", ie, somewhere between en face and side. Synapses were then further filtered for en face by removing those with a long/short axis ratio >2, then validated 623 independently by three expert raters. In some cases, super-resolution images were rendered 624 using the FIJI ThunderSTORM plugin's⁸⁰ average shifted histogram method with 10 nm pixels 625 (magnification 16) for ease of visualization. Otherwise, localizations are plotted as heat maps of 626 local density, calculated for each localization as the number of localizations within 2x epsilon 627 (see below) for that protein and normalizing to the maximum value per synapse. 628

629 Single-molecule analyses:

630 *Synapse analyses:* Protein autocorrelations (AC) and cross-correlations (CC) were determined 631 using custom MATLAB functions as previously described^{71,81} with 5 nm render pixels and a max

shift radius of 500 nm for AC and 5 nm render pixels and max shift radius of 250 nm for the CC.

- NCs were detected using DBSCAN with the following parameters: GluN2B epsilon = 16 nm,
- minpts = 11; GluN2A epsilon = 16 nm, minpts = 8; Munc13-1 epsilon = 17.6 nm, minpts = 9.
- DBSCAN parameters for PSD-95 were set per synapse to normalize for density variations
- between synapses, where epsilon was 5x standard deviations greater than the mean minimal

distance of 50 randomizations of PSD-95 localization positions within the same space, and 637

- minpts was 5x standard deviations greater than the mean number of points within that epsilon. 638
- NC areas were determined using the MATLAB alphaShape function with 'HoleThreshold' set to 639 suppress all interior holes and an alpha radius of 150 nm, followed by the area function. NCs 640
- with fewer than five localizations or with large outlier areas due to erroneous grouping by 641
- 642 DBSCAN were removed from analysis (area maximums identified by GraphPad Prism's ROUT
- method at Q = 0.1%: GluN2A = 2430.7 μ m², GluN2B = 3376.6 μ m², PSD-95 = 6202.9 μ m², 643
- Munc13-1 = 2818.6 μ m²). To calculate NC position relative to PSD center and edge, PSD-95 644
- 645 localizations at each synapse were fit to an ellipse (modifying an approach by Nima Moshtagh
- (2007); Minimum Volume Enclosing Ellipsoid v1.2.0.0, 646
- https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/9542-minimum-volume-enclosing-647
- ellipsoid, MATLAB Central File Exchange, retrieved May 4, 2023) that was used to derive a 648
- concentric ellipse that included the center of a NC of interest on its perimeter. The ratio of the 649
- 650 area of these two ellipses yields a two-dimensional measure of how close to the center or to the
- edge a given NC is located, the square root of which results in a linear representation, where 0 651 is a NC at the center of the synapse, 1 is a NC on the edge of the synapse, and 0.5 is a NC that
- 652
- 653 is positioned halfway between center and edge. The distance of extransynaptic NCs to the PSD
- border was calculated from a vector connecting the extrasynaptic NC and PSD centroids by 654
- subtracting the distance between the PSD centroid and border (determined using MATLAB's 655
- intersect function) from the distance between the PSD and NC centroids. 656
- Nanocluster analyses: NC peak-to-peak distances were determined as the linear distance 657 (MATLAB pdist2) from the peak of a NC to the nearest peak of another protein NC. NC pairing 658
- was performed by, for each NC, identifying based on peak-to-peak distances whether there 659
- existed a NC of a second protein that was mutually nearest to it. Receptor activation was 660
- calculated from Munc13-1 NC peak-to-GluN2 NC-peak median 1st and 4th guartile distances 661
- using curves fit to the distance-dependent open probabilities (P_o) of GluN2A and GluN2B³⁹, with 662
- a linear equation for GluN2A ($P_o = (distance from release site \times m) + b$; m = -0.000314; b = 663
- 0.7681; $R^2 = 0.88$) or a one-phase exponential decay for GluN2B ($P_o = (P_{o(0)} plateau) \times$ 664
- $e^{(-K*distance\ from\ release\ site)} + plateau; P_{o(0)} = 0.6231;$ plateau = 0.05748; K = 0.008038; R² = 665
- 0.99). NC overlap was determined using the separation index as described previously⁵³, which 666
- normalizes the distance between NCs to the sum of their radii, resulting in a measure ranging 667
- from 0 (perfect overlap) to 1 (perfectly adjacent) and greater (no overlap). 668
- Cross-enrichments: Cross-enrichments (CE) were determined as described^{53,71,81}. In brief, the 669
- peak density of one protein NC was used as the reference point, and the distance of all 670
- localizations of a second protein, or of a modified randomized synapse of that protein with 300x 671
- more localizations to avoid bins with zero localizations^{40,53}, were determined to this point. CE is 672
- calculated as the number of localizations with distances to the reference position in 10 nm bins 673
- normalized to the same for the randomized synapse, with the 300x density factor divided out. 674
- CEs can be noisy due to the randomization resulting in a value that disproportionately 675
- represents the true density within a distance bin. To retain the information in that bin but 676
- minimize this noisiness. CEs were smoothed by using the MATLAB isoutlier function and its 677
- 'quartiles' method to detect outliers per distance bin and replacing the outlier values with the 678 679 largest, non-outlier value in that bin. Auto-enrichments were calculated the same way except the
- distance of localizations of one protein was measured in reference to the peak density of its own 680
- 681 NC. NC density was calculated as the mean of the first 60 nm of the auto-enrichment.
- Conditional comparisons: Nanoclusters were determined to be "enriched" or "not enriched" if the 682 enrichment index (average of the first 60 nm of the CE) to the real data was greater than or less 683
- than 1.96x standard deviations from the mean of the enrichment index to a mean randomized 684
- 685 synapse, respectively. Nanoclusters were then subset into these groups and their CE with

- another protein plotted. For example, a Munc13-1 NC can be conditioned on its nanocolumn 686
- status, ie, within the nanocolumn (Munc13-1 NC enriched with PSD-95) or outside the 687 nanocolumn (Munc13-1 NC de-enriched with PSD-95), then the CE of those Munc13-1 NC
- 688
- groups with GluN2 subunits compared. 689
- Statistics: A total of 74 high-confidence, en face synapses from 8 neurons over 6 independent 690 cultures were measured in this study. All statistical comparisons were performed in GraphPad 691
- Prism 10. Data were tested for normality using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. All normally 692
- distributed datasets in this work met the assumptions of homoscedasticity (F test), and 693
- differences between groups were tested using two-tailed unpaired t-tests. For non-parametric 694
- 695 data, differences between groups were tested using two-tailed Mann-Whitney tests for two
- groups or a Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by post-hoc Dunn's multiple comparisons tests, for 696
- 697 greater than two groups.





700 Figure 1. Mapping endogenous NMDA receptor organization with DNA-PAINT

a) Schematic of a primary antibody labeled with a DNA-PAINT docking strand-conjugated

secondary nanobody and imaged with fluorescent imager strands. Red star indicates

- fluorophore. b) (top) DNA-PAINT rendering (10 nm pixels) of myristoylated-EGFP cell fill,
- surface expressed GluN2A, PSD-95, and Bassoon demonstrating four-target, synaptic DNA-
- PAINT. Scale bar 2 µm. (bottom) Boxed region from top, including widefield image of myr-
- EGFP. Scale bar 500 nm. c) (left) Confocal image of EGFP-GluN2B CRISPR knock-in cell.
- ⁷⁰⁷ Scale bar 20 μm. (right) Boxed region from left, showing surface expressed GluN2A, surface
- expressed GluN2B (EGFP knock-in), and PSD-95 labeling colocalized at synapses. Scale bar 4
- ⁷⁰⁹ μm. d) Schematic of four-target DNA-PAINT labeling of endogenous, surface expressed
- 710 NMDAR subunits GluN2A and GluN2B with pre (Munc13-1) and postsynaptic (PSD-95)
- molecular context using primary antibodies preincubated with secondary nanobodies. This
- ⁷¹² labeling scheme is used throughout the figures. e) (top) DNA-PAINT rendering (10 nm pixels) of
- endogenous, surface expressed GluN2A and GluN2B (EGFP knock-in), PSD-95, and Munc13-
- 1. Scale bar 1 μm. (bottom) Zoom-in on two representative synapses showing nanoclusters of
- each protein and their co-organization. Scale bar 200 nm.



716 717



a) Example synapse of DNA-PAINT localizations of GluN2A and GluN2B showing GluN2 NCs

have diverse co-organization. Each point is a localization and its heat map codes normalized

local density. NCs are indicated by gray-shaded, dash-bordered areas. Centers of the NCs of 721 the opposing protein are indicated by colored x's. Gray line indicates PSD border, defined by 722 723 PSD-95 localizations (not shown). b-e) Characterization of GluN2 subunit subsynaptic organization. GluN2 subunit autocorrelations decayed faster than PSD-95 and plateaued below 724 one, indicating small NCs with few localizations between them (b). Detected GluN2B NCs were 725 726 more numerous than GluN2A or PSD-95 NCs (c), and both GluN2A and GluN2B NCs were smaller (d) and distributed slightly less centrally (e) than PSD-95 NCs. f-g) Characterization of 727 extrasynaptic GluN2 subunit distribution. Extrasynaptic GluN2 tended to be within ~200 nm of 728 729 the PSD edge (f) and formed clusters on average smaller than synaptic GluN2 NCs (g). h-m) Characterization of GluN2 subunit nanodomains. Cross-correlation (h) and cross-enrichment (i-730 i) indicated strong overlap of GluN2A and GluN2B densities at short distances, 52.6% of 731 GluN2A and 42.0% of GluN2B NCs were significantly enriched with the opposite subunit (k), 732 36.7% of GluN2A and 22.6% of GluN2B NC peaks were located within 30 nm of an opposite 733 734 GluN2 NC peak (I), and 39.0% of GluN2A and 23.4% of GluN2B NC areas spatially overlapped with the opposite subunit (m), indicating that a subset of GluN2 NCs are co-enriched at the 735 synapse. Data in **b** and **h**-**j** are means ± SEM shading. Points in **c** are individual synapses and 736 points in **d** and **g** individual NCs. Lines in **c**, **d** and **g** are means ± SEM. Data in I are shown as 737 frequency histograms (20 nm bins), with dashed line indicating the division summarized in inset 738 pie charts. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ****p<0.0001 739



- 740 741
- 742 Figure 3. Only a subpopulation of release sites is enriched with GluN2 subunits
- a) Example synapse of DNA-PAINT localizations of PSD-95, GluN2A, GluN2B, and Munc13-1 743 744 showing arrangement of receptor subunits relative to release sites. Markers as described in Fig 2a. b-c) Characterization of Munc13-1 NCs. Munc13-1 autocorrelation decayed rapidly and 745 plateaued below one (b), consistent with its many small NCs (c). d) Munc13-1 NCs were, on 746 average, de-enriched with GluN2A (left), and GluN2B (right) at distances <55 nm (shading). e-j) 747 A subset of Munc13-1 NCs were enriched with GluN2 subunits. Schematic indicates possible 748 configurations of GluN2 and Munc13-1 NCs (e). Schematic of NC pairing to reveal stereotyped 749 distances of closely-associated NCs (f). Paired Munc13-1 NCs were enriched with GluN2A and 750 GluN2B within 55 nm of their center (g). 21.8% and 24.0% of Munc13-1 NCs were statistically 751 enriched with GluN2A or GluN2B (h), 26.0% and 32.7% of Munc13-1 NCs had a GluN2A or 752 GluN2B NC peak within 60 nm (i), and 10.6% and 11.3% of Munc13-1 NCs spatially overlapped 753 with GluN2A or GluN2B NCs (j), respectively. Data in b, d and g are means ± SEM shading. 754
- Points in **c** (left) are synapses and (right) NCs, with lines at mean ± SEM. Data in **i** are shown

as frequency histograms (20 nm bins), with dashed line indicating the division summarized in

757 inset pie charts.



758 759

Figure 4. A subset of structurally unique Munc13-1 NCs is enriched with PSD-95 and in the

761 nanocolumn

- a) Example synapse of DNA-PAINT localizations of Munc13-1 and PSD-95, showing variable
- position of Munc13-1 NCs relative to PSD-95 density. Markers as in Fig **2a**. **b-f**) A subset of
- Munc13-1 NCs is enriched with PSD-95. On average Munc13-1 and PSD-95 were weakly
- enriched with one another (b), but after pairing (as in 3g) both were significantly enriched (c).
- When subsetting the data, 24.4% of Munc13-1 and 41.0% of PSD-95 NCs were statistically
- enriched with the other protein (d), 20.6% of Munc13-1 and 52.0% of PSD-95 NCs had a NC
- peak of the other protein within 60 nm (e), and 14.5% of Munc13-1 and 40.9% of PSD-95 NCs
- were spatially overlapped (**f**). **g-h**) Cross-enrichments of PSD-95 NCs with Munc13-1 (**g**) or vice
- versa (h) demonstrate strong cross-enrichment of these proteins when subset by the data in 4d.

- i-j) Munc13-1 (i) and PSD-95 (j) NCs were denser when in the nanocolumn than when outside
- it. Data in **b-c** and **g-h** are means ± SEM shading. Points in **i** and **j** are NCs with lines at mean ±
- 773 SEM. ****p<0.0001, *p<0.05.



Figure 5. Subunit-specific NMDAR nanodomains are organized with distinct trans-synaptic molecular contexts

a-h) In each row, the schematic indicates the conditional comparison being made, and each

column shows the measurements made with respect to GluN2A (a,c,e,g) and GluN2B (b,d,f,h).

Each panel shows the cross-enrichment plot, where data are means ± SEM shading. **a-b**)

780 Munc13-1 NCs in the nanocolumn were significantly more enriched with both GluN2A and

GluN2B than Munc13-1 NCs outside the nanocolumn. **c-d)** GluN2A and GluN2B NCs in the

nanocolumn were denser than those outside the nanocolumn. **e-f)** GluN2A and GluN2B NCs

were more cross-enriched with one another when in the nanocolumn. **g-h)** Munc13-1 NC

enrichment with GluN2A and GluN2B can predict Munc13-1 NC enrichment with PSD-95. i)

785 Proposed model: NMDAR distribution in synapses is governed by nanodomains with distinct

trans-synaptic molecular contexts. Active zones contain molecularly diverse release sites likely

787 with differing vesicle priming and release properties and whose functional impact depends in

part on differential transsynaptic alignment. Receptor nanodomains near nanocolumn release
 sites contain GluN2A and GluN2B subunits; NMDARs accumulated near release sites will be

r89 sites contain GluN2A and GluN2B subunits; NMDARs accumulated near release sites will be r80 activated more efficiently and potentially transduce unique signaling due to the presence of a

791 mixed population of intracellular C-termini.

792 **REFERENCES**

- Fukata, Y. *et al.* LGI1–ADAM22–MAGUK configures transsynaptic nanoalignment for
 synaptic transmission and epilepsy prevention. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **118**, e2022580118
 (2021).
- Žhu, W. *et al.* Nanoscale reorganisation of synaptic proteins in Alzheimer's disease.
 Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol. 49, e12924 (2023).
- Zieger, H. L. & Choquet, D. Nanoscale synapse organization and dysfunction in neurodevelopmental disorders. *Neurobiol. Dis.* **158**, 105453 (2021).
- 4. Choquet, D. & Opazo, P. The role of AMPAR lateral diffusion in memory. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **125**, 76–83 (2022).
- 5. Eggermann, E., Bucurenciu, I., Goswami, S. P. & Jonas, P. Nanodomain coupling between
 Ca2+ channels and sensors of exocytosis at fast mammalian synapses. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **13**, 7–21 (2012).
- 6. Gou, X.-Z., Ramsey, A. M. & Tang, A.-H. Re-examination of the determinants of synaptic
 strength from the perspective of superresolution imaging. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* **74**, 102540
 (2022).
- Guzikowski, N. J. & Kavalali, E. T. Nano-Organization at the Synapse: Segregation of
 Distinct Forms of Neurotransmission. *Front. Synaptic Neurosci.* 13, 796498 (2021).
- 8. Biederer, T., Kaeser, P. S. & Blanpied, T. A. Transcellular Nanoalignment of Synaptic 811 Function. *Neuron* **96**, 680–696 (2017).
- Lloyd, B. A., Han, Y., Roth, R., Zhang, B. & Aoto, J. Neurexin-3 subsynaptic densities are
 spatially distinct from Neurexin-1 and essential for excitatory synapse nanoscale
 organization in the hippocampus. *Nat. Commun.* 14, 4706 (2023).
- 10. Tang, A.-H. *et al.* A trans-synaptic nanocolumn aligns neurotransmitter release to receptors.
 Nature 536, 210 (2016).
- 11. Haas, K. T. *et al.* Pre-post synaptic alignment through neuroligin-1 tunes synaptic
 transmission efficiency. *eLife* 7, e31755 (2018).
- 12. Han, Y. *et al.* Neuroligin-3 confines AMPA receptors into nanoclusters, thereby controlling synaptic strength at the calyx of Held synapses. *Sci. Adv.* **8**, eabo4173 (2022).
- 13. Sinnen, B. L. *et al.* Optogenetic Control of Synaptic Composition and Function. *Neuron* 93, 646-660.e5 (2017).
- 14. Ramsey, A. M. *et al.* Subsynaptic positioning of AMPARs by LRRTM2 controls synaptic strength. *Sci. Adv.* **7**, eabf3126 (2021).
- 15. Paoletti, P., Bellone, C. & Zhou, Q. NMDA receptor subunit diversity: impact on receptor properties, synaptic plasticity and disease. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **14**, 383–400 (2013).
- 16. Hansen, K. B., Yi, F., Perszyk, R. E., Menniti, F. S. & Traynelis, S. F. NMDA Receptors in
 the Central Nervous System. in *NMDA Receptors* (eds. Burnashev, N. & Szepetowski, P.)
 vol. 1677 1–80 (Springer New York, 2017).
- 17. Hosokawa, T. & Liu, P.-W. Regulation of the Stability and Localization of Post-synaptic
 Membrane Proteins by Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation. *Front. Physiol.* 12, 795757 (2021).
- 18. Dore, K. *et al.* Unconventional NMDA Receptor Signaling. *J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci.* 37, 10800–10807 (2017).
- 19. Gardoni, F. & Di Luca, M. Protein-protein interactions at the NMDA receptor complex: From
 synaptic retention to synaptonuclear protein messengers. *Neuropharmacology* 190, 108551
 (2021).
- 20. Hardingham, G. NMDA receptor C-terminal signaling in development, plasticity, and
 disease. *F1000Research* 8, 1547 (2019).
- 21. Petit-Pedrol, M. & Groc, L. Regulation of membrane NMDA receptors by dynamics and
 protein interactions. *J. Cell Biol.* 220, e202006101 (2021).

- 22. Hruska, M., Cain, R. E. & Dalva, M. B. Nanoscale rules governing the organization of
 glutamate receptors in spine synapses are subunit specific. *Nat. Commun.* 13, 920 (2022).
- Kellermayer, B. *et al.* Differential Nanoscale Topography and Functional Role of GluN2 NMDA Receptor Subtypes at Glutamatergic Synapses. *Neuron* **100**, 106-119.e7 (2018).
- 24. Sun, Y. *et al.* The differences between GluN2A and GluN2B signaling in the brain. *J. Neurosci. Res.* 96, 1430–1443 (2018).
- 25. Niethammer, M., Kim, E. & Sheng, M. Interaction between the C terminus of NMDA receptor
 subunits and multiple members of the PSD-95 family of membrane-associated guanylate
 kinases. *J. Neurosci.* 16, 2157–2163 (1996).
- 26. Sakamoto, H. *et al.* Synaptic weight set by Munc13-1 supramolecular assemblies. *Nat. Neurosci.* 21, 41–49 (2018).
- 27. Schnitzbauer, J., Strauss, M. T., Schlichthaerle, T., Schueder, F. & Jungmann, R. Superresolution microscopy with DNA-PAINT. *Nat. Protoc.* **12**, 1198–1228 (2017).
- 28. Pleiner, T., Bates, M. & Görlich, D. A toolbox of anti–mouse and anti–rabbit IgG secondary nanobodies. *J. Cell Biol.* **217**, 1143–1154 (2018).
- 29. Sograte-Idrissi, S. *et al.* Circumvention of common labelling artefacts using secondary
 nanobodies. *Nanoscale* 12, 10226–10239 (2020).
- 30. Willems, J. *et al.* ORANGE: A CRISPR/Cas9-based genome editing toolbox for epitope tagging of endogenous proteins in neurons. *PLOS Biol.* **18**, e3000665 (2020).
- 31. Dupuis, J. P. *et al.* Surface dynamics of GluN2B-NMDA receptors controls plasticity of maturing glutamate synapses. *EMBO J.* **33**, 842–861 (2014).
- 32. Broadhead, M. J. *et al.* PSD95 nanoclusters are postsynaptic building blocks in
 hippocampus circuits. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 24626 (2016).
- 33. Gürth, C.-M. et al. Neuronal activity modulates the incorporation of newly translated PSD-95
 into a robust structure as revealed by STED and MINFLUX.
 http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2023.10.18.562700 (2023)
 doi:10.1101/2023.10.18.562700.
- 34. MacGillavry, H. D., Song, Y., Raghavachari, S. & Blanpied, T. A. Nanoscale scaffolding
 domains within the postsynaptic density concentrate synaptic AMPA receptors. *Neuron* 78,
 615–622 (2013).
- 35. Dani, A., Huang, B., Bergan, J., Dulac, C. & Zhuang, X. Superresolution Imaging of Chemical Synapses in the Brain. *Neuron* **68**, 843–856 (2010).
- 36. Kortus, S. *et al.* Subunit-Dependent Surface Mobility and Localization of NMDA Receptors in
 Hippocampal Neurons Measured Using Nanobody Probes. *J. Neurosci.* 43, 4755–4774
 (2023).
- 37. Al-Hallaq, R. A., Conrads, T. P., Veenstra, T. D. & Wenthold, R. J. NMDA Di-Heteromeric
 Receptor Populations and Associated Proteins in Rat Hippocampus. *J. Neurosci.* 27, 8334–
 8343 (2007).
- 38. Rauner, C. & Köhr, G. Triheteromeric NR1/NR2A/NR2B Receptors Constitute the Major N Methyl-d-aspartate Receptor Population in Adult Hippocampal Synapses. J. Biol. Chem.
 286, 7558–7566 (2011).
- 39. Santucci, D. M. & Raghavachari, S. The Effects of NR2 Subunit-Dependent NMDA Receptor
 Kinetics on Synaptic Transmission and CaMKII Activation. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 4, e1000208
 (2008).
- 40. Dharmasri, P. A., Levy, A. D. & Blanpied, T. A. Differential nanoscale organization of
 excitatory synapses onto excitatory vs inhibitory neurons.
 http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2023.09.06.556279 (2023)
- doi:10.1101/2023.09.06.556279.
- 41. Hansen, K. B. *et al.* Structure, function, and allosteric modulation of NMDA receptors. *J. Gen. Physiol.* **150**, 1081–1105 (2018).

- 42. Kaeser, P. S. & Regehr, W. G. Molecular Mechanisms for Synchronous, Asynchronous, and Spontaneous Neurotransmitter Release. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **76**, 333–363 (2014).
- 43. Kusick, G. F. *et al.* Synaptic vesicles transiently dock to refill release sites. *Nat. Neurosci.*23, 1329–1338 (2020).
- 44. Melom, J. E., Akbergenova, Y., Gavornik, J. P. & Littleton, J. T. Spontaneous and Evoked
 Release Are Independently Regulated at Individual Active Zones. *J. Neurosci.* 33, 17253–
 17263 (2013).
- 45. Mendonça, P. R. F. *et al.* Asynchronous glutamate release is enhanced in low release efficacy synapses and dispersed across the active zone. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 3497 (2022).
- 46. Wang, C. S., Chanaday, N. L., Monteggia, L. M. & Kavalali, E. T. Probing the segregation of
 evoked and spontaneous neurotransmission via photobleaching and recovery of a
 fluorescent glutamate sensor. *eLife* 11, e76008 (2022).
- 47. Atasoy, D. *et al.* Spontaneous and Evoked Glutamate Release Activates Two Populations of NMDA Receptors with Limited Overlap. *J. Neurosci.* **28**, 10151–10166 (2008).
- 48. Reese, A. L. & Kavalali, E. T. Single synapse evaluation of the postsynaptic NMDA
 receptors targeted by evoked and spontaneous neurotransmission. *eLife* 5, e21170 (2016).
- 49. Sutton, M. A., Wall, N. R., Aakalu, G. N. & Schuman, E. M. Regulation of Dendritic Protein
 Synthesis by Miniature Synaptic Events. *Science* **304**, 1979–1983 (2004).
- 50. Sutton, M. A., Taylor, A. M., Ito, H. T., Pham, A. & Schuman, E. M. Postsynaptic Decoding
 of Neural Activity: eEF2 as a Biochemical Sensor Coupling Miniature Synaptic Transmission
 to Local Protein Synthesis. *Neuron* 55, 648–661 (2007).
- 51. Li, S. *et al.* Asynchronous release sites align with NMDA receptors in mouse hippocampal synapses. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 677 (2021).
- 52. Karlocai, M. R. *et al.* Variability in the Munc13-1 content of excitatory release sites. *eLife* **10**, e67468 (2021).
- 53. Emperador-Melero, J. et al. Molecular definition of distinct active zone protein machineries for Ca²⁺ channel clustering and synaptic vesicle priming. http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2023.10.27.564439 (2023) doi:10.1101/2023.10.27.564439.
- 54. Maschi, D. & Klyachko, V. A. Spatiotemporal dynamics of multi-vesicular release is
 determined by heterogeneity of release sites within central synapses. *eLife* 9, e55210
 (2020).
- 55. Aldahabi, M. *et al.* Different priming states of synaptic vesicles underlie distinct release probabilities at hippocampal excitatory synapses. *Neuron* **110**, 4144-4161.e7 (2022).
- 56. Goncalves, J. *et al.* Nanoscale co-organization and coactivation of AMPAR, NMDAR, and mGluR at excitatory synapses. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **117**, 14503–14511 (2020).
- 57. Nimchinsky, E. A., Yasuda, R., Oertner, T. G. & Svoboda, K. The Number of Glutamate
 Receptors Opened by Synaptic Stimulation in Single Hippocampal Spines. *J. Neurosci.* 24, 2054–2064 (2004).
- 58. Fan, X., Jin, W. Y. & Wang, Y. T. The NMDA receptor complex: a multifunctional machine at the glutamatergic synapse. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* **8**, (2014).
- 59. Myers, J. B. *et al.* The CaMKII holoenzyme structure in activation-competent conformations.
 Nat. Commun. 8, 15742 (2017).
- 60. Raghavachari, S. & Lisman, J. E. Properties of Quantal Transmission at CA1 Synapses. *J. Neurophysiol.* 92, 2456–2467 (2004).
- 61. Lisman, J., Yasuda, R. & Raghavachari, S. Mechanisms of CaMKII action in long-term potentiation. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **13**, 169–182 (2012).
- 62. Hosokawa, T. *et al.* CaMKII activation persistently segregates postsynaptic proteins via liquid phase separation. *Nat. Neurosci.* **24**, 777–785 (2021).

- 63. Warnet, X. L., Bakke Krog, H., Sevillano-Quispe, O. G., Poulsen, H. & Kjaergaard, M. The
 C-terminal domains of the NMDA receptor: How intrinsically disordered tails affect signalling,
 plasticity and disease. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 54, 6713–6739 (2021).
- 64. Faas, G. C., Raghavachari, S., Lisman, J. E. & Mody, I. Calmodulin as a direct detector of Ca2+ signals. *Nat. Neurosci.* **14**, 301–304 (2011).
- 65. Sabatini, B. L., Oertner, T. G. & Svoboda, K. The life cycle of Ca(2+) ions in dendritic spines.
 Neuron 33, 439–452 (2002).
- 66. Hansen, K. B., Ogden, K. K., Yuan, H. & Traynelis, S. F. Distinct Functional and
 Pharmacological Properties of Triheteromeric GluN1/GluN2A/GluN2B NMDA Receptors. *Neuron* 81, 1084–1096 (2014).
- 67. Stroebel, D., Carvalho, S., Grand, T., Zhu, S. & Paoletti, P. Controlling NMDA Receptor
 Subunit Composition Using Ectopic Retention Signals. *J. Neurosci.* 34, 16630–16636
 (2014).
- 68. Sans, N. *et al.* A Developmental Change in NMDA Receptor-Associated Proteins at Hippocampal Synapses. *J. Neurosci.* **20**, 1260–1271 (2000).
- 69. Delaney, A. J., Sedlak, P. L., Autuori, E., Power, J. M. & Sah, P. Synaptic NMDA receptors
 in basolateral amygdala principal neurons are triheteromeric proteins: physiological role of
 GluN2B subunits. *J. Neurophysiol.* **109**, 1391–1402 (2013).
- 70. Foster, K. A. *et al.* Distinct Roles of NR2A and NR2B Cytoplasmic Tails in Long-Term Potentiation. *J. Neurosci.* **30**, 2676–2685 (2010).
- 71. Metzbower, S. R., Dharmasri, P. A., Levy, A. D., Anderson, M. C. & Blanpied, T. A. Distinct
 SAP102 and PSD-95 nano-organization defines multiple types of synaptic scaffold protein
 domains at single synapses. http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2023.09.12.557372 (2023)
 doi:10.1101/2023.09.12.557372.
- 72. Sun, S.-Y. *et al.* Correlative Assembly of Subsynaptic Nanoscale Organizations During Development. *Front. Synaptic Neurosci.* **14**, 748184 (2022).
- 73. Crosby, K. C. *et al.* Nanoscale Subsynaptic Domains Underlie the Organization of the Inhibitory Synapse. *Cell Rep.* **26**, 3284-3297.e3 (2019).
- 74. Muttathukunnel, P., Frei, P., Perry, S., Dickman, D. & Müller, M. Rapid homeostatic
 modulation of transsynaptic nanocolumn rings. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 119,
 e2119044119 (2022).
- 75. Kameda, H. *et al.* Targeting green fluorescent protein to dendritic membrane in central neurons. *Neurosci. Res.* **61**, 79–91 (2008).
- 76. Incontro, S., Asensio, C. S., Edwards, R. H. & Nicoll, R. A. Efficient, Complete Deletion of Synaptic Proteins using CRISPR. *Neuron* **83**, 1051–1057 (2014).
- 975 77. Strauss, S. & Jungmann, R. Up to 100-fold speed-up and multiplexing in optimized DNA 976 PAINT. *Nat. Methods* 17, 789–791 (2020).
- 78. Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods*978 **9**, 676–682 (2012).
- 79. Sun, C. *et al.* The prevalence and specificity of local protein synthesis during neuronal synaptic plasticity. *Sci. Adv.* **7**, eabj0790 (2021).
- 80. Ovesný, M., Křížek, P., Borkovec, J., Švindrych, Z. & Hagen, G. M. ThunderSTORM: a
 comprehensive ImageJ plug-in for PALM and STORM data analysis and super-resolution
 imaging. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2389–2390 (2014).
- 81. Chen, J.-H., Blanpied, T. A. & Tang, A.-H. Quantitative Analysis of Trans-synaptic Protein
 Alignment. http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/573063 (2019) doi:10.1101/573063.

986