## Sequence Analysis of Tn*10* Insertion Sites in a Collection of *Escherichia coli* Strains Used for Genetic Mapping and Strain Construction

BRIAN P. NICHOLS,\* OBAID SHAFIQ, AND VICTORIA MEINERS

*Laboratory for Molecular Biology, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60607*

## Received 26 May 1998/Accepted 1 October 1998

**The chromosomal insertion sites of Tn***10***-containing** *Escherichia coli* **strains were amplified by inverse PCR, and the nucleotide sequences of the junctions were determined. In 95 strains analyzed, 88 unique Tn***10* **positions were determined and matched to the** *E. coli* **chromosome sequence. Two gaps in insertion site positions were noted, one including the terminus of DNA replication and another bounded by recombination hot spots** *RhsA* **and** *RhsB***.**

A collection of *Escherichia coli* strains with Tn*10* insertions located at approximately 1-min intervals around the chromosome was reported in 1989 (12) and has been used in many laboratories for strain construction and genetic mapping. The versatility of this collection of strains is based on its regularity of map positions around the chromosome and its combination of Tn*10* and positionally equivalent Tn*10kan* members. To clarify an occasional inconsistency in map position in certain members of the collection in our laboratory, we developed an inverse PCR scheme to allow determination of the precise positions of the Tn*10* insertion sites by DNA sequence analysis. We have determined the nucleotide positions of nearly all of the Tn*10* insertion sites in the collection of strains originally reported by Singer et al. (12) and subsequently catalogued by Berlyn et al. (2).

Strains used in this study were obtained either from the Carol Gross laboratory or from the *E. coli* Genetic Stock Center. DNA preparations were done by a modification of standard methods (10, 13). Cells from 5 ml of an overnight culture grown in Luria broth-tetracycline (10  $\mu$ g/ml) were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in 2.5 ml of lysis solution (25 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.4], 50 mM glucose, 10 mM EDTA,  $2$ - $\mu$ g/ml lysozyme). Cells were lysed by the addition of 0.25 ml of 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate, and DNA was extracted once with an equal volume of phenol saturated with 0.3 M sodium acetate (NaOAc). The aqueous phase was retained and made 0.3 M in NaOAc by addition of 0.1 volume of 3 M NaOAc, and DNA was precipitated by addition of 2.5 volumes of ethanol. The precipitate was transferred to 0.5 ml of 70% ethanol by using a Pasteur pipette. Following a brief centrifugation (30 s at 13,000  $\times$  *g*), the supernatant was removed and the DNA was resuspended in 0.25 ml of 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4)–0.1 mM EDTA. Chromosomal DNA was digested with *Hpa*II and circularized with DNA ligase preparatory to inverse PCR (8).

Inverse PCR was performed as described by Ochman et al. (8) by using Platinum *Taq* DNA Polymerase (Life Technologies). The PCR primers (Integrated DNA Technologies) were

designed from the Tn*10* sequence (5, 7, 9, 11) and are illustrated in Fig. 1. The product of the first PCR using primers 1 and 2 was diluted  $1/10$ , and 1  $\mu$ l was used as the template for a second round of PCR using primers 3 and 4. The sequences of the primers were as follows: primer 1, ACATGAAGGTC ATCGATAGCAGGA; primer 2, GGCTGTTGAGTTGAGG TTGACGAA; primer 3, AACAGTAATGGGCCAATAACA CCG; primer 4, CGAGTTCGCACATCTTGTTGTCTG.

PCR products were sequenced by using a PCR sequencing kit (Amersham). The sequencing primer was a 19-mer situated at positions  $-62$  to  $-53$  relative to the end of IS<sub>10</sub>R. Twentyto 40-nucleotide-long sequences at the junction of IS*10*R were determined, and the positions were identified by a BLAST search (1) of the *E. coli* genome sequence (3).

Of 95 strains analyzed, 88 yielded sufficient sequences for confident definition of positions on the *E. coli* chromosome (Table 1 and Fig. 2). Two strains (CAG18463 and CAG12099) yielded short sequences that occurred twice in the genome sequence, once within 0.5 min of the reported map position and once distant. The site near the reported map position was taken as the site of insertion for these strains. The sequence derived from the junction of CAG18491 was very short, but inspection of the sequence near *metE* showed only two identities, one in the *metR-metE* intergenic region and one downstream from the *metE* coding region. We presumed that the intergenic insertion was more likely to yield a *metE* phenotype and placed the insertion site at that position. One additional sequence (from CAG18429 *zjh-6*::Tn*10*) was too short for unambiguous assignment, and no phenotype was available to assist in placement. The remaining five strains yielded sequences identical to others in the collection. These duplicate strains



FIG. 1. Map of a portion of Tn*10* including *tetA* and IS*10*R. Open reading frames (orfs) are indicated, as are the positions of the PCR primers (pris) used in this study. The single *Hpa*II site in this region is also shown.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Mailing address: Laboratory for Molecular Biology, Department of Biological Sciences, Molecular Biology Research Bldg. m/c 567, University of Illinois at Chicago, 900 S. Ashland Ave., Chicago, IL 60607. Phone: (312) 996-5064. Fax: (312) 413-2691. E-mail: brian.p.nichols@uic.edu.





*Continued on following page*





 $\alpha$  Only the position of Tn10 is given.

 $<sup>b</sup>$  Map position as reported in reference 2.</sup>

<sup>c</sup> Map position calculated from the DNA sequence position.

<sup>d</sup> D, direction. The flanking sequence was read clockwise (>) or counterclockwise (<) on the genetic map.

 $e$  ig, intergenic. Flanking genes or open reading frames are listed.

 $f$  Strains from this laboratory and the E. coli Genetic Stock Center were analyzed.



FIG. 2. Positions of Tn10 insertions on the E. coli map. Shown for each strain are its designation, the gene or open reading frame disrupted by the insertion, and the base pair position. Numbering on the inside of the circle is in minutes, ig, intergenic.

were CAG12074 = CAG18465, CAG12159 = CAG18459 =  $CAG12151, CAG18483 = CAG12080, CAG18498 = CAG18499,$ and  $CAG18709 = CAG18456$ . Each duplicate sequence was confirmed by analysis of strains obtained from the *E. coli* Genetic Stock Center.

Eleven of the Tn10 insertion sites were in intergenic regions, 51 were in coding regions of known genes, and 26 were in potential open reading frames identified by sequence analysis  $(3)$ . For the majority of strains, the nucleotide positions of the Tn*10* insertion site fell within 1 min of the position determined by genetic mapping. Six of the sequences differed in map position by greater than 2 min. All strains whose Tn*10* positions differed from the mapped position by greater than 1.5 min were obtained from the *E. coli* Genetic Stock Center and reanalyzed. In most cases, there was agreement between the strains in our laboratory collection and those obtained from the *E. coli* Genetic Stock Center. In several cases, cross-contamination of cultures was evident. In most cases, the mixture was resolved by isolation of single colonies from the cultures. It is not clear whether the positional differences we noted were caused by culture contamination that occurred prior to the distribution of strains to this laboratory and the *E. coli* Genetic Stock Center or some other artifact of the original genetic analysis.

There are two noticeable gaps in this particular collection of transposon-containing strains, each about 5 min long. The gap at 33 to 38 min contains the DNA replication terminus and recombination hot spot sites *dif* and *RhsE*. The gap at 77 to 82 min is bounded by recombination hot spot sites *RhsB* and *RhsA*. Three of the strains with transposons originally mapped to these two gaps (CAG18640, CAG12163, and CAG18462) now contain the transposon at a grossly different location on the chromosome. It seems likely that the failure of the transposons to be maintained at these locations is due to the same features that have led to the characterization of these regions as "recombinationally unstable" (4, 6).

We thank Jonathan Narita, Mitchell Singer, and Mary Berlyn for advice during the course of this work.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. **Altschul, S. F., W. Gish, W. Miller, E. W. Myers, and D. J. Lipman.** 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. **215:**403–410.
- 2. **Berlyn, M. K. B., K. B. Low, K. E. Rudd, and M. Singer.** 1996. Linkage map of *Escherichia coli* K-12, edition 9, p. 1715–1902. *In* F. C. Neidhardt, R. Curtiss III, J. L. Ingraham, E. C. C. Lin, K. B. Low, B. Magasanik, W. S. Reznikoff, M. Riley, M. Schoechter, and H. E. Umbarger (ed.), *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella*, 2nd ed., vol. 2. ASM Press, Washington, D.C.
- 3. **Blattner, F. R., G. Plunkett III, C. A. Bloch, N. T. Perna, V. Burland, M. Riley, J. Collado-Vides, J. D. Glasner, C. K. Rode, G. F. Mayhew, J. Gregor, N. W. Davis, H. A. Kirkpatrick, M. A. Goeden, D. J. Rose, B. Mau, and Y. Shao.** 1997. The complete genome sequence of *Escherichia coli* K-12. Science **277:**1453–1474.
- 4. **Corre, J., F. Cornet, J. Patte, and J. M. Louarn.** 1997. Unraveling a regionspecific hyper-recombination phenomenon: genetic control and modalities of terminal recombination in *Escherichia coli*. Genetics **147:**979–989.
- 5. **Halling, S. M., R. W. Simons, J. C. Way, R. B. Walsh, and N. Kleckner.** 1982. DNA sequence organization of IS*10*-right of Tn*10* and comparison with IS*10*-left. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **79:**2608–2612.
- 6. **Lin, R. J., M. Capage, and C. W. Hill.** 1984. A repetitive DNA sequence, *rhs*, responsible for duplications within the *Escherichia coli* K-12 chromosome. J. Mol. Biol. **177:**1–18.
- 7. **Nguyen, T. T., K. Postle, and K. P. Bertrand.** 1983. Sequence homology between the tetracycline-resistance determinants of Tn*10* and pBR322. Gene **25:**83–92.
- 8. **Ochman, H., M. M. Medhora, D. Garza, and D. L. Hartl.** 1990. Amplification of flanking sequences by inverse PCR, p. 219–227. *In* M. A. Innis, D. H. Gelfand, J. J. Sninsky, and T. J. White. (ed.), PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications. Academic Press, San Diego, Calif.
- Postle, K., T. T. Nguyen, and K. P. Bertrand. 1984. Nucleotide sequence of the repressor gene of the Tn*10* tetracycline resistance determinant. Nucleic Acids Res. **12:**4849–4863.
- 10. **Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis.** 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 11. **Schollmeier, K., and W. Hillen.** 1984. Transposon Tn*10* contains two structural genes with opposite polarity between *tetA* and IS*10*R. J. Bacteriol. **160:** 499–503.
- 12. **Singer, M., T. A. Baker, G. Schnitzler, S. M. Deischel, M. Goel, W. Dove, K. J. Jaacks, A. D. Grossman, J. W. Erickson, and C. A. Gross.** 1989. Collection of strains containing genetically linked alternating antibiotic resistance elements for genetic mapping of *Escherichia coli*. Microbiol. Rev. **53:** 1–24.
- 13. **Wilson, K.** 1987. Preparation of genomic DNA from bacteria, p. 2.4.1–2.4.5. *In* F. M. Ausubel, R. Brent, R. E. Kingston, D. D. Moore, J. G. Seidman, J. A. Smith, and K. Struhl (ed.), Current protocols in molecular biology. Greene Publishing and Wiley-Interscience, New York, N.Y.