

**Cochrane** Database of Systematic Reviews

# Very tight versus tight control for diabetes in pregnancy (Review)

Walkinshaw SA

Walkinshaw SA. Very tight versus tight control for diabetes in pregnancy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2006, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD000226. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000226.pub2.

www.cochranelibrary.com

Very tight versus tight control for diabetes in pregnancy (Review) Copyright © 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



### TABLE OF CONTENTS

| REASON FOR WITHDRAWAL FROM PUBLICATION | 1 |
|--|---|
| WHAT'S NEW                             | 1 |
| HISTORY                                | 1 |
| SOURCES OF SUPPORT                     | 1 |



#### [Intervention Review]

## Very tight versus tight control for diabetes in pregnancy

Stephen A Walkinshaw<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Fetal Centre, Liverpool Women's NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, UK

**Contact:** Stephen A Walkinshaw, Fetal Centre, Liverpool Women's NHS Foundation Trust, Crown Street, Liverpool, L8 7SS, UK. steve.walkinshaw@lwh.nhs.uk.

**Editorial group:** Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group. **Publication status and date:** Withdrawn from publication for reasons stated in the review, published in Issue 1, 2010.

**Citation:** Walkinshaw SA. Very tight versus tight control for diabetes in pregnancy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2006, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD000226. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000226.pub2.

Copyright © 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

#### REASON FOR WITHDRAWAL FROM PUBLICATION

This review has been withdrawn because the review is out-of-date and the review team are unable to prepare the update.

The editorial group responsible for this previously published document have withdrawn it from publication.

#### WHAT'S NEW

| Date           | Event   | Description                     |
|----------------|---------|---------------------------------|
| 21 August 2008 | Amended | Converted to new review format. |

#### HISTORY

Protocol first published: Issue 3, 1996 Review first published: Issue 3, 1996

| Date            | Event   | Description   |
|-----------------|---------|---|
| 31 January 2006 | Amended | Review withdrawn from publication in <i>The Cochrane Library</i> 2006, Issue 2. |

#### SOURCES OF SUPPORT

#### Internal sources

• Liverpool Women's Hospital NHS Trust, UK.



Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.

#### **External sources**

• No sources of support supplied